

Memorandum



To: RMD

From: UFO

Date 7/21/07

Subject: Steven Paul Jobs
161B-HQ-23908 (file)

- RUC
- File Destruction Program

Enclosed are 2 items.

These items are forwarded to your office since file meets criteria for destruction.

Enclosures are described as follows:

- Original Notes.
- Original FD-302's.
- Laboratory and/or Technical Reports.
- Miscellaneous Documents.
Indexing

Enc.

Note: Do Not Block Stamp Original Enclosures.

**PRESIDENTIAL
EXPEDITE**

LEAD SHEET - 1

FILE NUMBER: 161B-HQ-23908
ASSIGNED TO: [REDACTED]
DATE ASSIGNED: 2/21/91
BUDED: 3/7/91
CASE NAME: STEVEN PAUL JOBS
LEAD CLERK: [REDACTED]
DATE AND TIME RECEIVED: 2-21-91
DATE AND TIME LEAD SET: 2-21-91
DATE COPIED AND DISSEMINATED: 2-21-91
COPY TO INDICES: ✓

b6
b7c

APPOINTEE INTERVIEW: UA

**PRESIDENTIAL
EXPEDITE**

LEAD SHEET - 3

FILE: HQ-23908
ASSIGNED TO: _____
DATE ASSIGNED: _____
BUDED: 3/7/91
CASE NAME: _____

LEADS

COVERED BY

SUBSTITUTE

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
(IG & SY)
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES (HHS)
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
~~(PUBLIC INTEGRITY SECTION)~~
(JUSTICE PROGRAMS/OPR)
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR (IG/SY/OPF)
DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES
(D.C.)
(MARYLAND)
DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY (MILITARY FILES)
DEPARTMENT OF STATE
(IG/SY/OPF/BACKGROUND INVEST/FILE REVIEWS)
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY
DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY (PUBLIC DEBT)
DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS ADMINISTRATION
D.C. BAR ASSOCIATION
D.C. BAR COUNSEL/GRIEVANCES
D.C. MARRIAGE BUREAU
D.C. RECORDER OF DEEDS
D.C. SUPERIOR COURT
DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION
RECORD CHECKS/OPF
TRAINING OPERATIONS
ACADEMY OPERATIONS
(FILE REVIEWS)
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
FAIRFAX CITY GENERAL DISTRICT COURT
FAIRFAX CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT
FAIRFAX COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT
FAIRFAX COUNTY GENERAL DISTRICT COURT
FAIRFAX COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT
FALLS CHURCH GENERAL DISTRICT COURT
FALLS CHURCH POLICE DEPARTMENT
FAUQUIER COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT
FAUQUIER COUNTY GENERAL DISTRICT COURT
FAUQUIER COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT
FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
FEDERAL RECORDS CENTER
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

--

SA

LEAD SHEET - 6

FILE: -HQ- 23908
 ASSIGNED TO: _____
 DATE ASSIGNED: _____
 BUDED: 3/7/91
 CASE NAME: _____

LEADS

COVERED BY

SUBSTITUTE

STAFFORD COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT
 STAFFORD COUNTY GENERAL DISTRICT COURT
 STAFFORD COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
 U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
 (D.C. CRIMINAL/CIVIL FILES)
 (D.C. EXECUTIVE OFFICE)
 (EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA)
 U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
 U.S. COAST GUARD
 U.S. CONGRESS
 SENATE DISBURSING OFFICE
 HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DISBURSING OFFICE
 INTERVIEWS (TELEPHONE EXCHANGES 224 - 226)
 U.S. CUSTOMS
 U.S. DISTRICT COURT (D.C.)
 U.S. DISTRICT COURT (EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA)
 U.S. INFORMATION AGENCY
 U.S. MAGISTRATES COURT
 U.S. MAGISTRATES OFFICE (MD)
 U.S. MARSHAL'S OFFICE
 U.S. MINT
 U.S. NATURALIZATION COURT
~~U.S. SECRET SERVICE~~
 U.S. TAX COURT
 TAKOMA PARK POLICE DEPARTMENT
 TRINITY COLLEGE
 UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND
 UNIVERSITY OF D.C.
 VIENNA POLICE DEPARTMENT
 VOICE OF AMERICA
 WARRENTON POLICE DEPARTMENT
 WHITE HOUSE
(SPI/WHA/WHS/PA/INTERVIEWS)

SA
 SA
 SA

SA [] (EVEN)
 SA [] (ODD)

WOODWARD & LOTHROP

(THESE LEADS DO NOT GET ENTERED INTO FOIMS)

MPD
 VCIN
 DMV (VA)
 CRIMINAL CHECKS ON ALL D.C. RESIDENCE ADDRESSES

OPERATIONS CENTER
 OPERATIONS CENTER
 OPERATIONS CENTER
 OPERATIONS CENTER

WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN FIELD OFFICE
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
WASHINGTON, D.C.

FOR APPLICATION BACKGROUND INVESTIGATION ONLY

FILE: 161B-HQ-23908 ASSIGNED TO SQUAD: A-1 BUDED: 3-7-91

APPLICANT'S NAME: Steven Paul Jobs

b6
b7c

Aliases: _____

DATE OF BIRTH: 2-24-55 PLACE OF BIRTH: San Francisco, CA

CURRENT ADDRESS: _____

FORMER ADDRESS: _____

SOCIAL SECURITY ACCOUNT NUMBER: 549-94-3295

RELATIVES:	NAME	DOB	RELATIONSHIP

- BVS - 1
- MPD - 1
- DMV - 1
- USSS - 2 ✓
- FXPD - 2
- CREDIT - 1
- VCIN - 1
- DMV VA - 1
- ALEX PD - 1
- ARL PD - 1
- FAIRFAX CITY PD - 1
- FAIRFAX CO PD - 1
- FAUQUIER CO SO - 1
- PR WIL CO PD - 1
- VIENNA PD - 1
- FALLS CHURCH - 1
- HERNDON PD - 1
- LOUDON CO SHRF'S OFC - 1
- LEESBURG PD - 1
- MANASSAS CITY PD - 1
- MANASSAS PARK PD - 1
- QUANTICO PMO - 1
- STAFFORD CO PD - 1

REQUESTING AUTHORITY,
THOMAS E. DU HADWAY
SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE

FOR:
SUPERVISOR SQUAD

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

No Duplication Fees are charged for Deleted Page Information Sheet(s).

Total Deleted Page(s) ~ 16

Page 2 ~ Duplicate

Page 3 ~ Duplicate

Page 4 ~ Duplicate

Page 5 ~ Duplicate

Page 6 ~ Duplicate

Page 7 ~ Duplicate

Page 8 ~ Duplicate

Page 9 ~ Duplicate

Page 10 ~ Duplicate

Page 11 ~ Duplicate

Page 12 ~ Duplicate

Page 13 ~ Duplicate

Page 14 ~ Duplicate

Page 15 ~ Duplicate

Page 16 ~ Duplicate

Page 17 ~ Duplicate

NR

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

February 15, 1991

(Date)

TO: **FBI, LIAISON**
FROM:
SUBJECT: **FBI Investigations**

b6
b7C

Subject's Name JOBS, STEVEN PAUL SSN: 549-94-3295
Date of Birth 02/24/55 Place of Birth San Francisco, CA
Present Address

We request: _____ Copy of Previous Report
_____ Name Check
_____ Expanded Name Check
_____ X Full Field Investigation: Level I ___ Level II ___ Level III X
_____ Limited Update
_____ Other

The person named above is being considered for:

_____ White House Staff Position
_____ X* Presidential Appointment
*PA - NOT FOR SENATE CONFIRMATION

161-23908-1

Attachments:

FEB 19 1991

2 ENCLOSURE X SF 86
to follow SF 87, Fingerprint Card
X SF 86, Supplement

SPECIAL AG.

Remarks/Special Instructions:

PA President's Export Council (Additional information to follow on items #12 and #17)

(S)

OPM 3000 2/19, 157.

CLASSIFIED
2001/2/19

~~SECRET~~

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR SENSITIVE POSITIONS

COUNSEL'S OFFICE
 RECEIVED

FFR 13 1991

Form Approved:
 O.M.B. No. 3206-0007
 Expires: 8-31-90
 NSN 7540-00-634-4036

Part 1 OPM USE ONLY		Codes	Case Number
<i>Agency Use Only (Complete items A through P using instructions in FPM Supplement 206-88)</i>			
A Type of Investigation	B Extra Coverage	C Sensitivity Level	D Access
E Nature of Action Code	F Date of Action	Month	Day
G Geographic Location	H Position Code	I Position Title	DATE: 12-13-2011
J SON	K Location of Official Personnel Folder	None NPRC At SON	Other Address
L SOI	M Location of Security Folder	None At SOI NPI	Other Address
N SIBAC Number	O Accounting Data and/or Agency Case Number	REASON: 1.4 (C) DECLASSIFY ON: 12-13-2036 PER OGA LETTER DATED 11/22/2011	
P Requesting Official	Name and Title	Signature	Telephone Number (including Area Code)
			Date

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
 HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED EXCEPT
 WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE

Persons completing this form should begin with the questions below. Please type or print your answers.

1 FULL NAME	<input type="radio"/> If you have only initials in your name, use them. <input checked="" type="radio"/> If you have no middle name, enter "NMN".	<input type="radio"/> If you are a "Jr.", "Sr.", "II", etc., enter the abbreviation in the box after your middle name.	2 DATE OF BIRTH
Last Name	First Name	Middle Name	Month Day Year
Jobs	Steven	Paul	02 24 55

3 PLACE OF BIRTH	<input type="radio"/> Use the two letter code for the state.	4 SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER
City	County	State
San Francisco	San Francisco	CA
Country: (if not in the United States)		5 4 9 - 9 4 - 3 2 9 5

5 OTHER NAMES USED	<input type="radio"/> Give other names you used and the period of time you used them (for example: your maiden name, name[s] by a former marriage, former name[s], alias[es], or nickname[s]). If the other name is your maiden name, put "nee" in front of it.
Name	Month/Year From To
Name	Month/Year From To

6 OTHER IDENTIFYING INFORMATION	Height (feet and inches)	Weight (pounds)	Hair Color	Eye Color	Sex (mark one box)
	6' 0"	160	Brown	Brown	<input type="checkbox"/> Female <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Male

7 TELEPHONE NUMBERS	Work (include Area Code and extension)	Home (include Area Code)
	415-366-0900	

8a CITIZENSHIP	Mark the box at the right that applies to you and follow the instructions next to the box you marked.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I am a U.S. citizen by birth in the U.S. Go to 8c
	<input type="checkbox"/> I am a U.S. citizen, but I was NOT born in the U.S. Go to 8b
	<input type="checkbox"/> I am not a U.S. citizen. Go to 8d

8b UNITED STATES CITIZENSHIP	If you are a U.S. Citizen, but were not born in the U.S., enter your mother's maiden name in the box to the right and provide information about one or more of the following proofs of your citizenship. Then go to Item 8c.	Mother's Maiden Name

Naturalization Certificate (Where were you naturalized?)				
Court	City	State	Certificate Number	Month/Day/Year Issued

Citizenship Certificate (Where was the certificate issued?)				
City	State	Certificate Number	Month/Day/Year Issued	

State Department Form 240-Report of Birth Abroad of a Citizen of the United States				
Give the date the form was prepared and give an explanation if needed.	Month/Day/Year	Explanation		

U.S. Passport	Passport Number	Month/Day/Year Issued
This may be either a current or previous U.S. passport.		

8c DUAL CITIZENSHIP	If you are (or were) a dual citizen of the United States and another country, provide the name of that country in the space to the right.	Country

8d ALIEN	If you are an alien, provide the following information:
Place You Entered the United States:	City
	State
	Date You Entered U.S. Month Day Year
	Alien Registration Number
	Country of Citizenship

~~SECRET~~

ENCLOSURE

9 WHERE YOU HAVE LIVED

Your Address. In this column, give the information requested for every place you have lived for the past 15 years. Begin with where you live now and work backwards. For any address within the **past 3 years** that consisted of "General Delivery", a Rural Route, or Star Route, with no designated street address, don't give that as your address; give where you actually lived and then provide in the space available on page 6 general directions for locating it.

People Who Knew You. Use this column only for those residences you show on the left that you occupied during the **last 3 years**. Across from each such residence, give the name and address of someone who knew you in that neighborhood; preferably someone who still lives there.

Month/Year Month/Year 07/90 To pres.	Street Address (include apartment number, if any)	Name	b6 b7C
City		Street Address (include apartment number, if any)	
Country (if outside the United States)		City	State ZIP Code

Month/Year Month/Year 11/84 To 07/90	Street Address (include apartment number, if any) 460 Mountain Home Road	Name	
City Woodside		Street Address (include apartment number, if any)	
Country (if outside the United States)		City	State ZIP Code CA 94062

Month/Year Month/Year 1/80 To 11/84	Street Address (include apartment number, if any) 15900 West Road	Name	
City Los Gatos		Street Address (include apartment number, if any)	
Country (if outside the United States)		City	State ZIP Code CA 95030

Month/Year Month/Year 11/73 To 1/80	Street Address (include apartment number, if any) 2066 Crist Drive	Name	
City Los Altos		Street Address (include apartment number, if any)	
Country (if outside the United States)		City	State ZIP Code CA 94022

Month/Year Month/Year To	Street Address (include apartment number, if any)	Name	
City		Street Address (include apartment number, if any)	
Country (if outside the United States)		City	State ZIP Code

10 WHERE YOU WENT TO SCHOOL

Schools You Attended. In this column, give the information requested below for all schools you have attended beyond junior high school. Begin with the most recent school and work backwards. Use the following codes to indicate the type of school you attended:

People Who Knew You. Use this column only for those schools you show on the left that you attended in the **last 3 years**. Across from each such school, give the name and address of someone (such as an instructor or student) who knew you at the school.

1-High School 2-College/University 3-Vocational/Trade School

Month/Year Month/Year 9/72 To 9/74	Code 2	Name of School Reed College	Name (instructor, student, etc.)
Street Address 3203 Eastwood Stock Blvd.		Degree/Diploma/Other (show each degree and date received if Code 2.)	Street Address (include apartment number, if any)
City Portland		State ZIP Code OR 97202	City

Month/Year Month/Year 9/68 To 6/72	Code 1	Name of School Homestead High School	Name (instructor, student, etc.)
Street Address 21370 Homestead Road		Degree/Diploma/Other (show each degree and date received if Code 2.)	Street Address (include apartment number, if any)
City Cupertino		State ZIP Code CA 95014	City

Enter your Social Security Number before going to the next page.

549 - 94 - 3295

11 YOUR EMPLOYMENT HISTORY Fill in your employment and military history. Begin with the present and work backwards 15 years. Include:

- all full-time work
- all part-time work
- all paid work
- all voluntary work
- active military duty
- self-employment
- all periods of unemployment

- If you were in the military, list each duty station as a separate period of employment.
- If you worked under a contract with the Federal Government, name your employer, not the Government agency.
- If you were **self-employed** or **unemployed**, name someone who can verify it.
- If you list an employer or actual place of employment at a location outside the U.S., show city and **country** in the space for city.

Use the following codes for each segment of your employment history:

- 1 - Active military duty
- 2 - National Guard/Reserve
- 3 - U.S.P.H.S. Commissioned Corps
- 4 - Other Federal employment
- 5 - State employment
- 6 - Self-employment
- 7 - Unemployment
- 8 - Other

Employment. Provide the information requested for each period of employment. Give the name of your employer. Enter "self-employed" in the box for employer's name when appropriate, and "unemployed" for periods of unemployment. **Immediate Supervisor OR Person to Verify Self-employment or Unemployment.** Across from each employment on the left, provide the information requested below.

Month/Year: Month/Year 9/85 To Pres.	Code	Your Position President	Supervisor's/Person's Name	Telephone Number ()
Employer's Name NeXT, Inc.		Telephone Number (415) 366-0900	Street Address (if different than employer's)	
Employer's Street Address 900 Chesapeake Drive		City (Country) Redwood City	State CA	ZIP Code 94063
Actual job location if different from employer's address:		Street Address	City (Country)	State ZIP Code
Month/Year: Month/Year 1/76 To 9/85	Code	Your Position Chairman & Founder	Supervisor's/Person's Name	Telephone Number ()
Employer's Name Apple Computer		Telephone Number (408) 996-1010	Street Address (if different than employer's)	
Employer's Street Address 20525 Mariani		City (Country) Cupertino	State CA	ZIP Code 95014
Actual job location if different from employer's address:		Street Address	City (Country)	State ZIP Code
Month/Year: Month/Year 9/74 To 12/75	Code	Your Position	Supervisor's/Person's Name	Telephone Number ()
Employer's Name Atari, Inc.		Telephone Number (408) 745-2000	Street Address (if different than employer's)	
Employer's Street Address 1196 Borregas Ave.		City (Country) Cupertino	State CA	ZIP Code 95086
Actual job location if different from employer's address:		Street Address	City (Country)	State ZIP Code
Month/Year: Month/Year To	Code	Your Position	Supervisor's/Person's Name	Telephone Number ()
Employer's Name		Telephone Number ()	Street Address (if different than employer's)	
Employer's Street Address		City (Country)	State 	ZIP Code
Actual job location if different from employer's address:		Street Address	City (Country)	State ZIP Code
Month/Year: Month/Year To	Code	Your Position	Supervisor's/Person's Name	Telephone Number ()
Employer's Name		Telephone Number ()	Street Address (if different than employer's)	
Employer's Street Address		City (Country)	State 	ZIP Code
Actual job location if different from employer's address:		Street Address	City (Country)	State ZIP Code

Enter your Social Security Number before going to the next page.

549 - 94 - 3295

12 PEOPLE WHO KNOW YOU WELL List four people who know you well and live in the United States.

• Don't list spouse, other relatives, or former spouses.

○ Try not to list anyone mentioned in items 9, 10, or 11.

Name	[Redacted]	Name	[Redacted]
Number of Years Known	14	Number of Years Known	12
Daytime Telephone Number	[Redacted]	Daytime Telephone Number	[Redacted]
Home Address	[Redacted]	Home Address	[Redacted]
City	[Redacted]	City	[Redacted]
Name	[Redacted]	Name	[Redacted]
Number of Years Known		Number of Years Known	
Daytime Telephone Number	(415) [Redacted]	Daytime Telephone Number	b6 b7C
Home Address	[Redacted]	Home Address	[Redacted]
City	[Redacted]	City	[Redacted]
		State	ZIP Code

13a YOUR MEMBERSHIP IN ORGANIZATIONS List all U.S.-based organizations, **except labor unions, political, or religious organizations** you belonged to in the last 15 years.

Membership From Month/Year To Month/Year	Name of Organization	Nature of Affiliation/ Office Held, If Any	Location of Organization	
			City (Country)	State
None				

13b YOUR INVOLVEMENT IN FOREIGN ORGANIZATIONS List any foreign-based political or business organizations of which you have been a member, official, employee, or active participant at any time.

Involvement From Month/Year To Month/Year	Name of Foreign Organization	Nature of Affiliation/ Office Held, If Any	Location of Organization	
			City (Country)	State
None				

14 FOREIGN COUNTRIES YOU HAVE VISITED • Do not include countries covered in items 9, 10, and 11. • Use appropriate number code to show the purpose of your visit: **1 - Business** **2 - Pleasure** **3 - Education** **4 - Other**

In Country From Month/Year To Month/Year	Code	Country	In Country From Month/Year To Month/Year	Code	Country

15 PERSONAL CONTACT WITH FOREIGN NATIONALS Have you ever had a personal or continuing contact with a national of a Soviet, Soviet bloc, or communist country? If "YES", provide the information below.

Period of Contact (From/To)	Name of National	Country of National	Nature of Contact

16a MILITARY AND/OR MERCHANT MARINE SERVICE.

Have you served in the United States military? Yes No

Have you served in the United States Merchant Marine? Yes No

(If you served in the United States military, go to 16b and 16c; if you only served in the United States Merchant Marine, go to 16c; if you answered "NO" to both questions, go to question 17.)

16b CURRENT MILITARY STATUS Mark the box that corresponds to your current military status.

None Active Duty Active Reserve National Guard Inactive Reserve Retired

Enter your Social Security Number before going to the next page.

5,49 - 9,4 - 3,29,5

16c ACTIVE SERVICE ~~SECRET~~ **Service (includes active military reserve service)**. Use one of the following in the box for **Code**.
 Mark "O" for Officer or "E" for Enlisted.

- 1 - Air Force
- 2 - Army
- 3 - Navy
- 4 - Marine Corps
- 5 - Coast Guard
- 6 - Merchant Marine
- 7 - National Guard

Month/Year	Month/Year	Code	Service or Certificate Number	O	E	Month/Year	Month/Year	Code	Service or Certificate Number	O	E
To	To					To	To				

17 YOUR RELATIVES Give full names and enter the correct code for all relatives, living or dead, specified below:

- 1 - Mother
- 2 - Father
- 3 - Stepmother
- 4 - Stepfather
- 5 - Foster parent
- 6 - Child (adopted also)
- 7 - Stepchild
- 8 - Brother
- 9 - Sister
- 10 - Stepbrother
- 11 - Stepsister
- 12 - Half-brother
- 13 - Half-sister
- 14 - Father-in-law
- 15 - Mother-in-law
- 16 - Guardian

Full Name (if deceased, check box on the left before entering name)	Code	Date of Birth Month/Day/Year	Country of Birth	Country of Citizenship	Current Street Address and City (country) of Living Relatives	State
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Clara Hagopian Jobs	1	08/03/24	U.S.	U.S.		b6 b7c
<input type="checkbox"/>	2		U.S.	U.S.		C A
<input type="checkbox"/>	9		U.S.	U.S.		C A
<input type="checkbox"/>	9		U.S.	U.S.		N Y
<input type="checkbox"/>	6		U.S.	U.S.		C A
<input type="checkbox"/>						
<input type="checkbox"/>						
<input type="checkbox"/>						
<input type="checkbox"/>						

18 YOUR MARITAL STATUS Mark one of the following boxes to show your current marital status:

- 1 - Never married (go to question 19)
- 2 - Married
- 3 - Separated
- 4 - Legally separated
- 5 - Divorced
- 6 - Widowed

Current Spouse Complete the following about your current spouse.

Full Name	Date of Birth	Place of Birth (Include country if outside the U.S.)	Social Security Number
Other Names Used (Specify maiden name, names by other marriages, etc., and show dates used for each name)			
Country of Citizenship	Date Married	Place Married (Include country if outside the U.S.)	State
If Separated, Date of Separation (Mo./Day/Yr.)	If Legally Separated, Where is the Record Located? City (Country)		State
Address of Current Spouse (Street, city, and country if outside the U.S.)			State ZIP Code

Former Spouse(s) Complete the following about your former spouse(s).

Full Name	Date of Birth	Place of Birth (Include country if outside the U.S.)	State
Country of Citizenship	Date Married	Place Married (Include country if outside the U.S.)	State
Check One, Then Give Date	Month/Day/Year	If Divorced, Where is the Record Located? City (Country)	State
<input type="checkbox"/> Divorced <input type="checkbox"/> Widowed			
Address of Former Spouse (Street, city, and country if outside the U.S.)			State ZIP Code

19 Does the citizen of another country, or a United States citizen by other than birth, live at your residence? If "Yes", provide the information required below. If a United States citizen by other than birth lives with you, show both "United States" and prior country of citizenship below. Don't list your spouse or other relatives you provided in question 17.

Name of Person	Country of Citizenship	Relationship

~~SECRET~~

Enter your Social Security Number before going to the next page. 5 4 9 - 9 4 - 3 2 9 5

~~SECRET~~

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR SENSITIVE POSITIONS

Part 2

OPM
 USE
 ONLY

Codes

Case Number

Your Selective Service Record

20a Are you a male born after December 31, 1959? If "Yes", go to 20b. If "No", go to 21.	Yes	No
		X
20b Have you registered with the Selective Service System? If "Yes", give your registration number:		
20c If you answered "No", to 20b, are you legally exempt? If "Yes", state the reason for the exemption:		

Your Military Record

21a Have you ever received other than an honorable discharge from the military? If "Yes", provide:	Yes	No
		X
21b Have you ever been subject to court-martial or other disciplinary proceedings under the Uniform Code of Military Justice? If "Yes", list any disciplinary proceedings in the last 15 years and all courts-martial.		

Date (Month/Year)	Charge or Specification	Place (City and county/country if outside the United States)	State

Your Employment Record

22 Has any of the following happened to you in the last 15 years? If "Yes", begin with the most recent occurrence and go backwards, providing date fired, quit, or left, and other information requested.	Yes	No
	X	

Use the following codes to explain the reason your employment was ended:

- 1 - Fired from job
- 2 - Quit a job after being told you'd be fired
- 3 - Left a job by mutual agreement following allegations of misconduct
- 4 - Left a job by mutual agreement following allegations of unsatisfactory performance
- 5 - Left a job for other reasons under unfavorable circumstances

Date (Month/Year)	Code	Employer's Name and Address	State	ZIP Code
09/85	5	Apple Computer	CA	95014

Your Police Record

23 If you answer "Yes", to a, b, c, d, or e below, explain your answer(s) in the space provided. Do not include anything that happened before your 16th birthday.	Yes	No
--	-----	----

23a Have you ever been arrested, charged, or convicted of a felony offense?		X
23b Have you ever been arrested, charged, or convicted of a firearms or explosives charge?		X
23c Are there currently any charges pending against you for any criminal offense?		X
23d Have you ever been arrested, charged, or convicted of any offenses related to alcohol or drugs?		X
23e Have you ever been arrested, charged, or convicted of any other type of offense? Leave out traffic fines of less than \$100.		X

Date (Month/Year)	Offense	Action Taken	Law Enforcement Authority or Court (City and county/country if outside the U.S.)	State	ZIP Code

Your Involvement With Alcohol and Dangerous Drugs, Including Marijuana and Cocaine

24 This item concerns the use of alcoholic beverages, and the supplying or using, without a prescription, of marijuana, cocaine, hashish, narcotics (opium, morphine, codeine, heroin, etc.), stimulants (cocaine, amphetamines, etc.), depressants (barbiturates, methaqualone, tranquilizers, etc.), hallucinogenics (LSD, PCP, etc.), or other dangerous or illegal drugs.	Yes	No
--	-----	----

24a Do you now use, or within the last 5 years have you used, alcoholic beverages habitually to excess?		X
24b Do you now use or supply, or within the last 5 years have you used or supplied, marijuana, cocaine, narcotics, hallucinogenics, or other dangerous or illegal drugs?		X

24c If you answered "Yes" to question a or b above, provide at the top of page 8 information relating to the types of substance(s) used, the periods and frequency of use for each, and any other details or explanation relating to your use of these substances.

Enter your Social Security Number before going to the next page. 5 4 9 - 9 4 - 3 2 9 5

~~SECRET~~

Your Involvement with Alcohol and Dangerous Drugs, Including Marijuana and Cocaine (Continued)

~~SECRET~~

From (Month/Year)	To (Month/Year)	Type of Substance Used	Explanation (In your comments be sure to give the frequency of your use during each period you listed, including the period of most recent use.)

Your Medical Record

25 Have you ever had a nervous breakdown or have you ever had medical treatment for a mental condition? If "Yes", provide information below. Give period of treatment under "From/To" starting from the present.

Yes	No
	X

From (Month/Year)	To (Month/Year)	Name/Address of Person, Hospital, or Institution Providing Treatment (Include country if outside the United States)	State	ZIP Code

b1

(S) [Large empty box for medical record details]

28a Have you, your spouse, or a company effectively controlled by you filed for bankruptcy?

Yes	No
	X

28b Have you, your spouse, or a company effectively controlled by you been declared bankrupt?

Yes	No
	X

28c Have you, your spouse, or a company effectively controlled by you been subject to a tax lien or other lien?

Yes	No
	X

28d Have you, your spouse, or a company effectively controlled by you had legal judgement rendered against you for a debt?

Yes	No
	X

If you answered "Yes" to a, b, c, or d above, provide date of initial action and other information requested below.

Date (Month/Year)	Type of Action	Name Action Occurred Under	Name/Address of Court or Agency Handling Case	State	ZIP Code

29 Are you now over ninety (90) days delinquent on any loan or financial obligation? Include delinquent loans or obligations funded or guaranteed by the Federal Government. (If your answer is "Yes", provide date loan or obligation was made and other information requested below.)

Yes	No
	X

Date (Month/Year)	Type of Loan or Obligation	Name/Address of Creditor or Oblige	State	ZIP Code

~~SECRET~~

Enter your Social Security Number below going to the next page.



5 4 9 - 9 4 - 3 2 9 5

	Yes	No
30a Have you ever been a member, officer, or employee of the Communist Party?		X
30b Have you ever been a member, officer, or employee of any organization, association, or group which: 1) advocates the overthrow of our Government; 2) advocates or approves of committing acts of force or violence to deny others their constitutional rights; or 3) wants to change our form of Government by unconstitutional means?		X
30c Have you ever made a financial or other material contribution to any organization of the type described in Questions 30a or 30b? If you answered "Yes", to 30a, 30b, or 30c, answer 30d, 30e, and 30f.		X
30d At the time of your membership, participation, or contribution did you know of the unlawful aims of the organization(s)?		X
30e Did you intend to promote the unlawful aims of the organization(s)?		X
30f List each organization and provide an explanation of your involvement and activities with each one:		

Continuation Space

Use the continuation sheet(s) (SF 86A) for additional answers to questions 9, 10, and 11. Use the space below to continue answers to all other questions and any information you would like to add. If more space is needed than what is provided below, use a blank sheet(s) of paper. Start each sheet with your name and Social Security Number. Before each answer, identify the number of the question.

After completing Parts 1 and 2 of this form, you should review your answers to all questions to make sure the form is complete and accurate, and then sign and date the following certification and sign and date the release on page 10.

Certification That My Answers Are True

I read and understood the instructions explaining the purpose of this form and the Federal Government's authority for asking the questions. I read each question asked of me and understood each question. I understand that if I did not tell the truth on this form or did not list all relevant or material facts or events, the Federal Government may fire me, may not hire me, may deny or revoke my clearance, or may prosecute me. I understand that prosecution may result in my being fined up to \$10,000, imprisoned up to 5 years, or both.

Signature (Sign in Ink) <i>Stan Job</i> SECRET	Date February 6, 1991
--	--------------------------

Enter your Social Security Number before going to the next page. ➔ 5, 4, 9 - 9, 4 - 3, 2, 9, 5

~~SECRET~~

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Carefully read this authorization to release information about you, then sign and date it in ink.

AUTHORITY FOR RELEASE OF INFORMATION

I Authorize any duly accredited representative of the Federal Government, including those from the U.S. Office of Personnel Management, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and the Department of Defense, to obtain any information relating to my activities from schools, residential management agents, employers, criminal justice agencies, financial or lending institutions, credit bureaus, consumer reporting agencies, retail business establishments, medical institutions, hospitals or other repositories of medical records, or individuals. This information may include, but is not limited to, my academic, residential, achievement, performance, attendance, personal history, disciplinary, criminal history record, arrest, conviction, medical, psychiatric/psychological, and financial and credit information.

I Further Authorize the U.S. Office of Personnel Management, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Department of Defense, and any other authorized agency, to request criminal history record information about me from criminal justice agencies for the purpose of determining my eligibility for access to classified information, or assignment to, or retention in, sensitive national security duties, in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 9101.

I Direct You To Release such information upon request of the duly accredited representative of any authorized agency regardless of any agreement I may have made with you previously to the contrary.

I Understand that the information you release is for official use by the Federal Government, and that these users may redisclose the information you release as authorized by law.

I Release any individual, including records custodians, from all liability for damages that may result to me on account of compliance or any attempts to comply with this authorization. This release is binding, now and in the future, on my heirs, assigns, associates, and personal representative(s) of any nature. Copies of this authorization that show my signature are as valid as the original release signed by me.

Signature (Sign in ink) <i>Steve Jobs</i>		Full Name (Typed) Steven P. Jobs	
Other Names Used		Social Security Number 549 - 94 - 3295	
Current Address (Street, City)		State	ZIP Code
		Home Telephone Number (Include Area Code)	
Date 2/6/91	Parent/Guardian Signature (If Required)		

~~SECRET~~

SUPPLEMENT TO STANDARD FORM 86 (SF-86)
(Attach additional pages if necessary)

1S. Please list names of all corporations, firms, partnerships or other business enterprises, and all nonprofit organizations and other institutions with which you are *now*, or *during the past five years* have been, affiliated as an officer, owner, director, trustee, partner, advisor, attorney or consultant. In addition, please provide the names of any other organizations with which you were affiliated *prior to the past five years* that might present a potential conflict or appearance of conflict of interest with your prospective appointment. (Please note that in the case of an attorney's client listing, it is only necessary to provide the names of major clients and those that might present a potential conflict or appearance of conflict of interest with the prospective appointment).

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) Pixar
1001 W. Cutting Blvd.
Richmond, CA 94804 | 2) Next, Inc.
900 Chesapeake Drive
Redwood City, CA 94063 |
|---|---|

2S. Please list all your interests in real property, other than a personal residence, setting forth the nature of your interest, the type of property and the address.

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) Apartment (owner)
146 Central Park West
New York, NY 10023 | 2) House (vacant) Owner
460 Mountain Home Road
Woodside, CA 94062 |
|---|---|

3S. Have you or any firm, company or other entity with which you have been associated ever been convicted of a violation of any Federal, state, county or municipal law, regulation or ordinance? If so, please provide full details.

NO

4S. Have you or any firm, company or other entity with which you have been associated ever been the subject of Federal, state or local investigation for possible violation of a criminal statute? If so, please give full details.

NO

5S. Have you ever been involved in civil or criminal litigation, or in administrative or legislative proceedings of any kind, either as a plaintiff, defendant, respondent, witness or party in interest? If so, please give full details identifying dates, issues litigated and the location where the civil action is recorded.

SEE ATTACHED

161-23908 /
ENCLOSURE

5s. All but the last one have been dismissed and are no longer pending.

(1) Apple Computer, Inc. vs Jobs, et al, California Superior Court for Santa Clara County, No. 584898. Issues involved alleged breach of fiduciary duties and misappropriation of trade secrets. Filed 1985.

(2) [REDACTED] vs. Jobs, et al., California Superior Court for Santa Clara County, No. 614354. Filed 1987. Issues involved alleged wrongful discharge and other related claims. ^{b6}
^{b7c}

(3) Woodside Design Associates, Inc. et al. vs. Apple Computer, Inc., et al., California Superior Court for San Mateo County, No. 319154. Filed 1987. Issues involved alleged breach of contract and other related claims.

(4) Apple Computer Securities Litigation, United States District Court for the Northern District of California, No. C-84-20148 RPA. Filed 1984. Issues involve alleged violation of Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

6S. Have you ever been disciplined or cited for a breach of ethics or unprofessional conduct by, or been the subject of a complaint to any court, administrative agency, professional association, disciplinary committee, or other professional group? If so, please give full details.

NO

7S. Have you ever run for political office, served on a political committee or been identified in a public way with a particular organization, candidate or issue? Have any complaints been lodged against you or your political committee with the Federal Election Commission or state or local election authorities? If so, please describe.

NO

8S. Are you currently, or have you ever been, a member or office holder in any club or organization that restricts or restricted membership on the basis of sex, race, color, religion, national origin, age or handicap? If so, provide the name, address and dates of membership for each.

NO

9S. Please identify any adults (18 years or older) currently living with you who are not members of your immediate family. Provide the names of those individuals, dates and places of birth, and whether or not they are United States citizens.

NONE

10S. Is there anything in your personal life that could be used by someone to coerce or blackmail you? Is there anything in your life that could cause an embarrassment to you or to the President if publicly known? If so, please provide full details.

NO

I understand that the information being provided on this supplement to the SF-86 is to be considered part of the original SF-86 dated 2/6/91 and a false statement on this form is punishable by law.


Signature

INVESTIGATIVE SUPPORT INFORMATION SYSTEM (ISIS)
SIX WAY SEARCH

FOR UNIT : SPIN AS OF FEBRUARY 22 1991 b6
ANALYST : b7C

PAGE NO: 17

APPLICANT NAME : JOBS*STEVEN PAUL

NAME SEARCH : JOBS*STEVEN PAUL

NAME FOUND	DATA BASE	FILE NO.
------------	-----------	----------

+++ THERE ARE NO NAMES IN ISIS MATCHING THIS REQUEST +++



INVESTIGATIVE SUPPORT INFORMATION SYSTEM (ISIS)

SIX WAY SEARCH

FOR UNIT : SPIN AS OF FEBRUARY 22 1991

PAGE NO: 18

ANALYST :

b6

b7C

APPLICANT NAME : JOBS*STEVEN PAUL

NAME SEARCH

NAME FOUND

DATA BASE

FILE NO.

+++ THERE ARE NO NAMES IN ISIS MATCHING THIS REQUEST +++

INVESTIGATIVE SUPPORT INFORMATION SYSTEM (ISIS)

SIX WAY SEARCH

FOR UNIT : SPIN AS OF FEBRUARY 22, 1991

PAGE NO: 19

ANALYST :

APPLICANT NAME : JOBS*STEVEN PAUL

b6

NAME SEARCH :

b7C

NAME FOUND

DATA BASE

FILE NO.

+++ THERE ARE NO NAMES IN ISIS MATCHING THIS REQUEST +++

INVESTIGATIVE SUPPORT INFORMATION SYSTEM (ISIS)

SIX WAY SEARCH

FOR UNIT : SPIN AS OF FEBRUARY 22 1991

PAGE NO: 20

ANALYST :

APPLICANT NAME : JOBS*STEVEN PAUL

NAME SEARCH

b6

b7C

NAME FOUND

DATA BASE

FILE NO.

+++ THERE ARE NO NAMES IN ISIS MATCHING THIS REQUEST +++

[Redacted]

b7E

NAMES NOT FOUND WITHIN THE SPIN UNIT

22FEB91

ANALYST -

[Redacted]

b6

b7C

APPLICANT..... NAME.....

JOBS STEVEN PAUL

[Redacted]

SPECIAL INQUIRY
UNIT
FEB 27 12 04 PM '91
RECEIVED-921

[Handwritten signature]

NCIC CHECK ROOM 1328 L153, ATTN: [REDACTED] WANTED & CCH FILES

FROM: SPECIAL INQUIRY UNIT, DIV 6, RM 4371, TL114
EXT: 2587 ATTN: PSS [REDACTED]

b6
b7c

SUBJECT: STEVEN PAUL JOBS BUDED: 03/07/91

THE BUREAU HAS BEEN REQUESTED TO CONDUCT AN EXPEDITE BACKGROUND INVESTIGATION OF THE ABOVE-CAPTIONED SUBJECT, WHO IS BEING CONSIDERED FOR PRESIDENTIAL APPOINTMENT. YOU ARE REQUESTED TO CHECK APPROPRIATE INDICES BASED UPON AVAILABLE INFORMATION CONCERNING SUBJECT, EMPLOYMENT, AND ALL CLOSE RELATIVES. IT IS REQUESTED THAT THE RESULTS OF YOUR CHECK, WHETHER POSITIVE OR NEGATIVE, BE INDICATED IN THE SPACES PROVIDED BELOW, AND RELAYED TO THE SPECIAL INQUIRY UNIT, RM 4371, VIA ROUTING SLIP MARKED 'URGENT'.

SUBJECT IS DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

RESULT NAME: STEVEN PAUL JOBS
DOB: 02/24/55
POB: SAN FRANCISCO, CA
SSAN: 549-94-3295
CURRENT ADDRESS: [REDACTED]
EMPLOYMENT: NEXT, INC
900 CHESAPEAKE DR REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063

neg

CLOSE RELATIVES

RESULT	NAME	DOB	RESIDENCE
<i>neg</i>	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

b6
b7c

CHECK CONDUCTED BY: *WBY*, ON *2/26/91*

[Handwritten signature]

QW.DCFBIWAA6.NAM/JOBS,STEVEN PAUL

.SEX/M.DOB/022455.SOC/549943295

DCFBIWAA6

NO NCIC WANT SOC/549943295

NO NCIC WANT DOB/022455 NAM/JOBS,STEVEN PAUL SEX/M

QW.DCFBIWAA6.NAM/

[REDACTED]

.SEX/M.DOB/

[REDACTED]

DCFBIWAA6

NO NCIC WANT DOB/

[REDACTED]

NAM/

[REDACTED]

SEX/M

QW.DCFBIWAA6.NAM/

[REDACTED]

.SEX/F.DOB/

[REDACTED]

DCFBIWAA6

NO NCIC WANT DOB/

[REDACTED]

NAM/

[REDACTED]

SEX/F

b6
b7c

QW.DCFBIWAA6.NAM/

[REDACTED]

.SEX/F.DOB/

[REDACTED]

DCFBIWAA6

NO NCIC WANT DOB/

[REDACTED]

NAM/

[REDACTED]

SEX/F

QW.DCFBIWAA6.NAM/

[REDACTED]

.SEX/F.DOB/

[REDACTED]

DCFBIWAA6

NO NCIC WANT DOB/

[REDACTED]

NAM/

[REDACTED]

SEX/F

SPTN

INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN HAS BEEN COLLATED
FROM SENSITIVE MATERIAL, THE DISCLOSURE AND/OR
DESTRUCTION OF WHICH MAY BE SUBJECT TO COURT ORDER.

NOTE: No determination could be made by FBIHQ
ELSUR INDEX as to whether or not the attached are
identical with subject/subjects of your request. That
determination and any resultant leads will be the
responsibility of the field offices.

In the event search results require a communication
to the field, insure that a tickler of that communication
is forwarded to the ELSUR INDEX for administrative purposes.

50,409

ROUTE IN ENVELOPE

TO: INFORMATION MANAGEMENT DIVISION, ELSUR INDEX
ROOM 4997, TL 114

DATE: 02/22/91

FROM: X CID _ LCD _ INTD _ RMS _ OTHER _____

PRIORITY: _ EXPEDITE, WILL PICK UP;
_ ROUTINE
X DATE NEEDED: 02/28/91

REQUEST FOR SEARCH OF ELSUR INDEX FOR THE PURPOSE OF:

- TITLE III APPLICATION _ FBI _ DEA
- FISC APPLICATION
- LEGAL MOTION (DOJ)
- FIOPA
- SPIN/DAPLI
- SPU
- INVESTIGATIVE LEAD
- OTHER _____

(ONE OF THE ABOVE MUST BE CHECKED BEFORE SEARCH WILL BE CONDUCTED.)

REQUESTING/AUTHORIZING AGENT

COMPLETE AND RETURN TO:

[Redacted Name]

[Redacted Name]

2587 4371 114

b6
b7C

NAME

NAME

EXT. ROOM TL#

NAME; TELEPHONE #; VIN; OR
ADDRESS TO BE SEARCHED

KNOWN ALIASES

SEARCH RESULTS

STEVEN PAUL JOBS

(STEVE) JOBS

[Redacted Address]

✓ S/A
N/R
N/R
N/R

b6
b7C

[Handwritten signature]

BUFILE: 1618-23908

[Redacted Searcher Name]

SEARCHED BY

2/28/91

DATE

[Redacted]

b7E

NAMES NOT FOUND WITHIN THE SPIN UNIT

22FEB91

ANALYST - [Redacted]

APPLICANT.....

NAME.....

b6

b7C

JOBS STEVEN PAUL

[Redacted]

[Handwritten signature]

TO: NEXIS - ATTN [REDACTED] (DATA BANK [REDACTED])
FROM: SPECIAL INQUIRY UNIT [REDACTED] ROOM 4371, TL# 114 b6 b7C
SUBJECT: STEVEN PAUL JOBS BUDED: 03/07/91

THE BUREAU HAS BEEN REQUESTED TO CONDUCT AN EXPEDITE BACKGROUND INVESTIGATION OF THE ABOVE-CAPTIONED SUBJECT, WHO IS BEING CONSIDERED FOR PRESIDENTIAL APPOINTMENT. YOU ARE REQUESTED TO CHECK APPROPRIATE INDICES BASED UPON AVAILABLE INFORMATION CONCERNING SUBJECT, EMPLOYMENT, AND ALL CLOSE RELATIVES. IT IS REQUESTED THAT THE RESULTS OF YOUR CHECK, WHETHER POSITIVE OR NEGATIVE, BE INDICATED IN THE SPACES PROVIDED BELOW, AND RELAYED TO THE SPECIAL INQUIRY UNIT, RM 4371, VIA ROUTING SLIP MARKED 'URGENT'.

SUBJECT IS DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

RESULT NAME: STEVEN PAUL JOBS
+/-
DOB: 02/24/55 POB: SAN FRANCISCO, CA
SSAN: 549-94-3295
CURRENT RESIDENCE: [REDACTED]
EMPLOYMENT: NEXT, INC
900 CHESAPEAKE DR REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063

2/27 SA
2/28 2nd 3/81

b6
b7C

CHECK CONDUCTED BY: *Cyp* , ON *2-27-91*

1 - [redacted]

APR 18 1991
BY COURIER

*4/19/91
egw*

[redacted]

The White House
Washington, D.C.

Dear [redacted]:

Reference is made to my letter dated April 2, 1991, which furnished your office the partial results of a background investigation concerning Mr. Steven Paul Jobs.

Transmitted herewith is a summary memorandum containing the results of an additional inquiry in this matter.

Investigation determined that in 1984, Mr. Jobs who was the Chief Executive Officer of Apple Computer, Incorporated; other company officers; and the Board of Directors for Apple were named in a class action lawsuit which was brought on by the shareholders of Apple. The trial in this case begins on April 22, 1991. Absent a specific request from your office, we will not pursue this matter.

b6
b7c

This completes our investigation.

Sincerely yours,

WMB

William M. Baker
Assistant Director
Criminal Investigative Division

J
enc
Enclosure
EMG:lnp (3)
CLOSED


RETURN TO [redacted] ROOM 4371

- Exec AD Adm. _____
- Exec AD Inv. _____
- Exec AD LES _____
- Asst. Dir.:
- Adm. Servs. _____
- Crim. Inv. _____
- Ident. _____
- Insp. _____
- Intell. _____
- Lab. _____
- Legal Coun. _____
- Off. Cong. & Public Affs. _____
- Rec. Mgnt. _____
- Tech. Servs. _____
- Training _____
- Off. Liaison & Int. Affs. _____
- Telephone Rm. _____
- Director's Sec'y _____

MAIL ROOM

*1XC-OSAF
3/21/95
Hearse/GK*

WMB/la



APR 18 1991

STEVEN PAUL JOBS

The information in this summary memorandum supplements the information contained in a summary memorandum dated April 2, 1991, and completes the investigation.

Miscellaneous

As indicated in the prior summary memorandum dated April 2, 1991, Mr. Jobs indicated on his Standard Form 86 dated February 6, 1991, that he was involved in a lawsuit filed in 1984 against Apple Computer, Incorporated, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Number C-84-20148 RPA, which is still pending. Issues involved alleged violation of Section 10 (b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. A check of the records of the United States District Court, San Jose, California, determined that this file consists of ten volumes, only five of which could be located. Volume one, containing the original complaint and details of the matter was one of the unavailable volumes.

On April 17, 1991, [redacted] Apple Computer, Incorporated, Cupertino, California, was interviewed by the FBI concerning this pending lawsuit. [redacted] stated that in 1984, Mr. Jobs, who was the Chief Executive Officer for Apple, along with other company officers and Board of Directors for Apple, were named in a class action lawsuit which was brought on by the shareholders of Apple. [redacted] advised that the trial in this case begins on April 22, 1991, and will be heard in Federal District Court. The docket number for this case is C8420148 (ARPA). Incorporated in this summary memorandum are the results of [redacted] interview.

b6
b7c

- Exec AD Adm. _____
- Exec AD Inv. _____
- Exec AD LES _____
- Asst. Dir.: _____
- Adm. Servs. _____
- Crim. Inv. _____
- Ident. _____
- Insp. _____
- Intell. _____
- Lab. _____
- Legal Coun. _____
- Off. Cong. & _____
- Public Affs. _____
- Rec. Mgnt. _____
- Tech. Servs. _____
- Training _____
- Off. Liaison & _____
- Int. Affs. _____
- Telephone Rm. _____
- Director's Sec'y _____

EMG: Lap (2)

RETURN TO [redacted] ROOM 4371

b6
b7c

MAIL ROOM

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

4/17/91

Date of transcription

[redacted] Apple Computer, Incorporated, was contacted at his employment at [redacted], Cupertino, California. [redacted] was advised as to the nature of this investigation and voluntarily advised as follows.

[redacted]

[redacted] He stated that he is speaking on behalf of [redacted] who is out of the United States presently and will return on Monday, April 22, 1991. He advised that during 1984, STEPHEN PAUL JOBS who was the Chief Executive Officer for Apple, along with other Company Officers and the Board of Directors for Apple were named in a class action law suit which was brought on by the shareholders of Apple. The law suit was predicated on the 1982-83 announcement of the LISA Computer which was touted as one of the top products which Apple had ever introduced into the computer market for personal computers.

b6
b7C

[redacted] stated that the basis of the lawsuit was the Company's decision to advertise the positive features of the LISA computer, without also describing the potential problems concerning this computer. In addition, the LISA when introduced was priced at around \$10,000 in January, 1983 and the MacINTOSH which was introduced in January, 1984 was priced at around \$2,500. [redacted] stated the LISA was actually the predecessor of the MacINTOSH which as is well known did considerably better in sales and profit for the Company than the LISA.

[redacted] stated that a drop in Apple stock based upon the failure of the LISA to engender profits for the Company caused the shareholders to bring a class action suit against the Company for failure to disclose the potential problems of the LISA computer which caused shareholders to lose money based upon the devaluation of Apple stock. [redacted] explained that these kinds of lawsuits are fairly common in the Silicon Valley, however, he is convinced that the Company and JOBS acted in good faith and were not able to predict what would happen after the LISA was introduced.

b6
b7C

[redacted] stated that the lawsuit was appealed by the Company and was denied certiorari by the United States Supreme Court. The Ninth District Court of Appeals in its findings stated

2

Investigation on 4/17/91 at Cupertino, California File # 161B-HQ-23908

by SA [redacted] /bw Date dictated 4/17/91

b6
b7C

Continuation of FD-302 of [redacted] , On 4/17/91 , Page 2

that all but two of the actions on the part of the Company were not actionable. The two statements which were found which merited a trial were issues involving the "unifile" and "dual file" disk drives which apparently JOBS decided to use a different disk drive than was intended for these two software programs when upgrading the Apple I computer to the Apple II. [redacted] stated these programs were announced in November, 1982 and represented a small profit for Apple in the amount of \$5,000,000, in terms of their other products.

b6
b7c

[redacted] stated that trial in this case begins on Monday, April 22, 1991 and will be heard in Federal District Court by Judge JAMES WARE of the Northern District of California. The docket number for this case is: C8420148 (ARPA). [redacted] stated he would apprise the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) of the status of the case as appropriate.

[redacted] stated that other than this lawsuit, he was not aware of any other pending lawsuit against STEPHEN PAUL JOBS.

1 - [redacted]

APR 2 1991
BY COURIER

b6
b7c

37706

4391
agw

[redacted]

The White House
Washington, D.C.

Dear [redacted]:

In accordance with a request received from your office dated February 15, 1991, a Level III background investigation was initiated concerning Mr. Steven Paul Jobs. Transmitted herewith is a summary memorandum containing the partial results of this investigation, along with copies of interviews providing details of information contained in this summary memorandum.

You will be advised when additional information concerning a pending 1984 lawsuit involving Mr. Jobs becomes available.

Sincerely yours,

WMB

William M. Baker
Assistant Director
Criminal Investigative Division

Enclosures (3)

AMG: tj

AMG: tj (3)

NOTE: This case was opened on February 21, 1991. Mr. Jobs is currently the President of NeXT, Incorporated, Redwood City, California. He is being investigated for a Presidential appointment to the President's Export Council.

On 2/28/91, Special Agent (SA) [redacted], FBI San Francisco, telephonically advised that he attempted to arrange an appointment with Mr. Jobs to conduct an appointee interview.

Pending Inactive

- Exec AD Adm. _____
- Exec AD Inv. _____
- Exec AD LES _____
- Asst. Dir.:
- Adm. Servs. _____
- Crim. Inv. _____
- Ident. _____
- Insp. _____
- Intell. _____
- Lab. _____
- Legal Coun. _____
- Off. Cong. & Public Affs. _____
- Rec. Mgnt. _____
- Tech. Servs. _____
- Training _____
- Off. Liaison & Int. Affs. _____
- Telephone Rm. _____
- Director's Sec'y _____

Note Continue Next Page

b6
b7c

RETURN TO [redacted], ROOM 4371

1XC - OSAF
3/21/95
4/22/95

MAIL ROOM

WMB

[redacted]

Mr. Jobs' secretary informed SA [redacted] that Mr. Jobs was unavailable for an interview for three weeks. Mr. Jobs could not even see SA [redacted] for one hour.

On 2/28/91, SSA [redacted], Spin Unit, telephonically advised [redacted], the White House, that Mr. Jobs was unavailable for an interview with the FBI for three weeks.

Several individuals commented concerning past drug use on the part of Mr. Jobs.

b6
b7C

Several individuals questioned Mr. Jobs' honesty stating that Mr. Jobs will twist the truth and distort reality in order to achieve his goals. They also commented that, in the past, Mr. Jobs was not supportive of [redacted] (the mother of his child born out of wedlock) and their daughter; however, recently has become more supportive.

A review of NEXIS and an interview of Mr. Jobs provide details of Mr. Jobs' resignation from Apple Computer and the lawsuits in which Mr. Jobs was named as a defendant.

Mr. Jobs also commented concerning his past drug use.

A March 11, 1991, interview of [redacted] and a March 14, 1991, interview of [redacted] from the San Francisco facsimile dated March 26, 1991, were sent to the White House.

b6
b7C

Incorporated into the summary memorandum are the results of interviews of Mr. Jobs from San Francisco report dated March 20, 1991, and [redacted] from San Francisco facsimile dated March 26, 1991.

Mr. Jobs indicated on his SF-86 that he was involved in a lawsuit filed in 1984 in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California, Number C-84-20148 RPA. Issues involved alleged violation of Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. A check of the records of the U.S. District Court, San Jose, California, by the FBI San Francisco determined that this file consists of ten volumes, only five of which could be located. Volume one, containing the original complaint and details of the matter was one of the unavailable volumes. Additional attempts to obtain details concerning this lawsuit remain outstanding. (San Francisco)

insider trading

~~SECRET~~

DATE: 12-13-2011
CLASSIFIED BY UC 60322 LP/PJ/SZ
REASON: 1.4 (C)
DECLASSIFY ON: 12-13-2036
PER OGA LETTER DATED 11/22/2011

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED EXCEPT
WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE

APR 2 1991

STEVEN PAUL JOBS

THE INVESTIGATION OF MR. JOBS COVERED INQUIRIES IN THE UNITED STATES AS TO HIS CHARACTER, LOYALTY, AND GENERAL STANDING, BUT NO INQUIRIES WERE MADE AS TO THE SOURCES OF HIS INCOME.

This summary memorandum contains the partial results of an investigation concerning Mr. Jobs. Additional investigation is being conducted.

Birth

Mr. Jobs was born on February 24, 1955, in San Francisco, California.

It should be noted that Mr. Jobs is adopted and a review of his birth certificate lists his parents as [redacted] and Clara Hagopian.

b6
b7C

Education

Mr. Jobs graduated from Homestead High School, Cupertino, California, in June, 1972.

Military Service

Mr. Jobs has indicated no prior military service.

Employment

From February, 1981, to September, 1985, Mr. Jobs continued to be employed as chairman and founder of Apple Computer, Incorporated (ACI), Cupertino, California. (Refer to the Interviews section of this summary memorandum for additional information concerning this employment.)

Since September, 1985, Mr. Jobs has been self-employed as president of NeXT Computer, Incorporated, Redwood City, California.

In addition to the above-mentioned employments, Mr. Jobs is also the owner of PIXAR Software Company, Richmond, California.

- Exec AD Adm. _____
- Exec AD Inv. _____
- Exec AD LES _____
- Asst. Dir.:
- Adm. Servs. _____
- Crim. Inv. _____
- Ident. _____
- Insp. _____
- Intell. _____
- Lab. _____
- Legal Coun. _____
- Off. Cong. & Public Affs. _____
- Rec. Mgnt. _____
- Tech. Servs. _____
- Training _____
- Off. Liaison & Int. Affs. _____
- Telephone Rm. _____
- Director's Sec'y _____

g
me: tj

RETURN *So*

[redacted]

ROOM 4371

b6
b7C

~~SECRET~~

MAIL ROOM

Steven Paul Jobs

Family Status

Mr. Jobs resides at [redacted] California, with [redacted]. Mr. Jobs indicated during a routine interview that on March 18, 1991, he would marry [redacted]

Mr. Jobs' mother, Clara Hagopian Jobs, is deceased. Mr. Jobs has listed the following living close relatives:

b6
b7C

Father

[redacted]

Sister

[redacted]

Sister

[redacted]

Daughter

[redacted]

Based on the background information furnished by Mr. Jobs, he has no close relatives residing in communist-controlled countries.

Interviews

[redacted] for ACI, Cupertino, California, commented that Mr. Jobs left his employment with ACI due to differences in management style and philosophy between himself and [redacted] of the company. They offered favorable comments concerning Mr. Jobs' character, reputation, associates and loyalty. They are unaware of any illegal drug use or alcohol abuse by Mr. Jobs and they have never observed Mr. Jobs express any bias or prejudice. They recommended him for a position of trust with the Government.

[redacted] at ACI, stated that during the late 1960s and early 1970s, Mr. Jobs may have experimented with illegal drugs, having come from that generation. [redacted] could not provide any further details concerning this matter. However, [redacted] is unaware of any current drug use by Mr. Jobs. He also offered favorable comments concerning Mr. Jobs' character, reputation, loyalty and associates. [redacted] has never observed Mr. Jobs express any bias or prejudice and he recommended Mr. Jobs for a position of a trust and confidence.

b6
b7C

Steven Paul Jobs

[redacted]
[redacted] ACI, advised that he has been acquainted with Mr. Jobs for approximately [redacted] years, when he [redacted] began employment with ACI. He commented favorably concerning Mr. Jobs' character, integrity and associates. He knew of no alcohol abuse or drug use on the part of Mr. Jobs.

b6
b7C

[redacted] further stated that Mr. Jobs always conducted his business dealings in a reputable manner; however, he (Mr. Jobs) was sued by ACI when he left the company. [redacted] explained that, when Mr. Jobs resigned from ACI, he took proprietary information and key technological personnel with him. That prompted the lawsuit, which has since been resolved.

[redacted] advised that he has never observed Mr. Jobs express any bias or prejudice and stated that he (Mr. Jobs) lives within his financial means. [redacted] reiterated that Mr. Jobs resigned from ACI and that he was not fired. [redacted] recommended Mr. Jobs for a position of trust and confidence with the United States Government.

[redacted]
California, advised that he has been acquainted with Mr. Jobs since [redacted]. He characterized Mr. Jobs as a deceptive individual who is not completely forthright and honest. He stated that Mr. Jobs will twist the truth and distort reality in order to achieve his goals.

b6
b7C

[redacted] also advised that he was aware that Mr. Jobs used illegal drugs, including marijuana and LSD, while they were attending college. [redacted] was aware of Mr. Jobs' use of illegal drugs from reports of mutual friends and an admission of same from Mr. Jobs. [redacted] commented that he has never personally observed Mr. Jobs use any drugs or abuse alcohol.

[redacted] further stated that, approximately [redacted] years ago, Mr. Jobs and his girlfriend, [redacted]

b6
b7C

Steven Paul Jobs

[redacted] had a daughter born out of wedlock. The daughter is [redacted]. [redacted] related that Mr. Jobs mistreated them by not supporting them; however, recently Mr. Jobs has been more supportive. He commented that [redacted] would be able to provide additional information concerning this [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted] concluded the interview by stating that even though he does not consider Mr. Jobs to be a friend, he (Mr. Jobs) possesses the qualities to assume a high level political position. It was [redacted] opinion that honesty and integrity are not required qualities to hold such a position. [redacted] recommended him for a position of trust and confidence with the Government.

[redacted] California, advised he has been acquainted with Mr. Jobs since [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted] otherwise characterized Mr. Jobs as an individual possessing high moral character and integrity. He was unaware of any illegal drug use or alcohol abuse on the part of Mr. Jobs. He commented that Mr. Jobs lives within his financial means. [redacted] recommended Mr. Jobs for a position of trust and confidence.

[redacted] Palo Alto, California, advised that he has been acquainted with Mr. Jobs since [redacted] when they

b6
b7C

[redacted] Approximately [redacted] years ago, Mr. Jobs and [redacted] had a child born out of wedlock. [redacted] continued that Mr. Jobs basically abandoned [redacted] and their daughter; however, lately he has been more supportive of them.

[redacted] advised that he is no longer friends with Mr. Jobs. He feels bitter towards and alienated by Mr. Jobs based upon his association with Mr. Jobs at ACI. He characterized Mr. Jobs as an honest and trustworthy individual;

~~SECRET~~

Steven Paul Jobs

however, his moral character is questionable. [redacted] explained he [redacted] did not receive any stock, which would have made him quite wealthy now.

[redacted] commented that, although he does not consider Mr. Jobs to be a friend at this time, he considers Mr. Jobs to be a successful individual because he can delegate tasks to individuals. However, Mr. Jobs alienated a lot of people at ACI as a result of his ambition. [redacted] advised that Mr. Jobs associates with reputable individuals.

[redacted] is unaware of any illegal drug use or alcohol abuse by Mr. Jobs and he commented that Mr. Jobs has never expressed any bias or prejudice. [redacted] believes that Mr. Jobs could succeed in a political position, based upon his method of operation and past record. He recommended Mr. Jobs for a position of trust and responsibility.

b6
b7C

Two individuals, who were acquainted with Mr. Jobs while they were employed at ACI, offered favorable comments concerning Mr. Jobs. They stated that he is strongwilled, stubborn, hardworking and driven, which they believe is why he is so successful. They further stated, however, that Mr. Jobs possesses integrity as long as he gets his way; however, they did not elaborate on this. Both of these individuals never observed Mr. Jobs use illegal drugs or abuse alcohol. They recommended him for a position of trust and confidence with the United States Government.

On March 26, 1991, [redacted] Palo Alto, California, was interviewed by the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) concerning Mr. Jobs. [redacted]

b6
b7C

~~SECRET~~

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 3/26/91

On March 26, 1991, [redacted] was contacted at [redacted] California and was advised as to the nature of the investigation and voluntarily advised as follows.

[redacted]

She stated she was somewhat reluctant to discuss the Appointee since she has questions concerning his ethics and his morality [redacted]

[redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted]

[redacted] the Appointee grew up in the late sixties and early seventies when drug use was prevalent and that she and the Appointee both experimented with various drugs although she would not be more specific as to the kinds of drugs involved, other than to say that experimentation was involved. She added that the Appointee is extremely health conscious now and rarely even drinks but will occasionally have wine socially.

She advised that she has never observed the Appointee express any bias or prejudice toward anyone for any reason, racial or otherwise [redacted]

[redacted]

She added that a 1983 Time Magazine article by a MICHAEL (Last Name Unknown) provided a rather accurate description of the Appointee, in terms of his being a visionary and charismatic individual who was at the same time shallow and callous to people in his personal relationships. She described his personal life as being lacking due to his narcissism and shallowness. She added at the same time that he has far reaching vision and can vitalize plans and goals

Investigation on March 26, 1991 at Palo Alto, California File # 161B-HQ-23908

b6
b7C

by SA [redacted] /bw

Date dictated 3/26/91

FD-302a (Rev. 11-15-83)

b6
b7c

Continuation of FD-302 of [REDACTED]

On 3/26/91

Page 2

much the same as what he accomplished at Apple Computers. She also stated that his success at Apple which provided an enormous amount of power for the Appointee also caused him at times to lose sight of honesty and integrity and even caused him to distort the truth at times to get his way.

She concluded by stating that despite the Appointee's faults, she believes he is an extremely bright and competent individual who would serve well in a position of trust and confidence by his sense of vision as she previously related along with his indefatigable energy. She therefore recommended him for a position of trust and confidence with the Government.

~~SECRET~~

Steven Paul Jobs

Twenty-nine additional persons, consisting of colleagues, neighbors, references, professional associates, and social acquaintances, were interviewed. They provided favorable comments concerning Mr. Jobs' character, associates, reputation, and loyalty. They stated they are unaware of any current illegal drug use or alcohol abuse by Mr. Jobs, nor have they ever known him to exhibit any type of bias or prejudice against any class of citizen or any type of religious, racial or ethnic group. They also commented that they believe Mr. Jobs lives within his financial means. They recommended him for a position of trust and responsibility.

Among those interviewed are the following:

[redacted]
[redacted] NeXT Computer, Incorporated, Redwood City, California;
[redacted]
[redacted], IBM Corporation, Armonk, New York; and
[redacted] IBM Corporation, Armonk, New York.

b6
b7C

Credit and Arrest Checks

A review of appropriate credit records revealed no pertinent information concerning Mr. Jobs.

Information has been received from appropriate law enforcement agencies indicating their files contain no record concerning Mr. Jobs.

Miscellaneous

During a routine interview of Mr. Jobs conducted by the FBI on March 13, 1991, he commented concerning his resignation from ACI, and his past drug use. Mr. Jobs also advised that he was not personally involved in any lawsuits as a plaintiff or a defendant. He did state, however, that he was involved in two lawsuits in his capacity as a former officer of ACI. In both of those lawsuits, he was paid restitution by the company. A copy of Mr. Jobs' interview is incorporated in this summary memorandum.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 3/15/91

STEVEN PAUL JOBS, having been advised of the identity of the interviewing agent and the nature of the interview, was interviewed at NeXt Computer Inc., 900 Chesapeake Drive. He was apprised of the fact that the FBI was conducting the investigation at the request of others and played no part in whether he received an appointment. He was further advised that the FBI was not limited to information he provided, in conducting the investigation, and that the results of the investigation would determine his suitability for an appointment or security clearance.

He advised that to the best of his knowledge the information provided on the SF-86 was true and complete. In the way of clarification he advised that he was adopted and he had seen a copy of his birth certificate showing his adopted parents as the individuals on the document. He had a daughter, [redacted] to [redacted] who resided at [redacted] California. [redacted] born [redacted] was his [redacted] sister and [redacted] was his [redacted] sister.

b6
b7C

He advised that he currently was living at [redacted] California, with his fiance [redacted]. He and [redacted] were planning on getting married March 18, 1991.

He was currently the president NeXt Computer Inc. which he had formed after being fired from Apple Computer. At the time of his dismissal he was the general manager of the Mackintosh Division of Apple and had differences of opinion as to the direction of the company with the chief executive officer [redacted]. After being fired as the general manager of the Mackintosh Division, he remained in his position as chairman of the board of Apple Computer for approximately six months and then resigned his board position to form NeXt Computer Inc. In addition to his position at NeXt he also was the owner of Pixar Software Company where he was the CEO and Chairman. [redacted] of the company could substantiate his involvement in the company.

b6
b7C

Investigation on 3/13/91 at Redwood City, California File # 161B-HQ-23908

by SA [redacted] /vjd Date dictated 3/14/91

FD-302a (Rev. 11-15-83)

161B-HQ-23908

Continuation of FD-302 of STEVEN PAUL JOBS On 3/13/91 Page 2

He owned three properties which included a house at 460 Mountain Home Road, Woodside, California, an apartment at 146 Central Park West, New York, New York, and a home at 2101 Waverly, Palo Alto, California.

(S)

He belonged to no organizations other than the New York Athletic Club however he had never been in the New York Athletic Club and knew nothing with regard to their membership policies. He had extensive foreign travel and had been to Japan and the Soviet Union.

[Redacted]

b1

He had never been contacted by any foreign nationals or to his knowledge, representatives of any foreign intelligence personnel.

He had no military service and he had not been let go or dismissed from any job other than being fired from Apple Computer. He had never been charged, arrested or convicted of any offenses.

He had not used any illegal drugs in the past five years however during the period of approximately 1970 - 1974 he experimented with marijuana, hashish, and LSD. This was during high school and college and he mostly used these substances by himself. He never sold any drugs and no one who could substantiate his use came readily to mind. He had never abused alcohol and did not have a prescription drug abuse problem. He had never received treatment for alcoholic abuse or drug usage and he had not received any counseling or therapy for any type of mental condition.

[Redacted]

b1

(S)

He had never filed for or declared bankruptcy and had no delinquent debts. He had no student loans.

He was not personally involved in any lawsuits as a plaintiff or defendant. He was however associated with two lawsuits in his capacity as a prior officer of Apple Computer. In both of those suits which he listed on the SF-86 he was indemnified by the company. The two other suits brought against him were dismissed and were a matter of record.

FD-302a (Rev. 11-15-83)

161B-HQ-23908

Continuation of FD-302 of STEVEN PAUL JOBS , On 3/13/91 , Page 3

He was not a member of the communist party and did not belong to any organizations that espoused the overthrow of the government.

He could think of nothing in his past or present activities that could be used to coerce or blackmail him.

He was provided with the writer's telephone number and advised that should he have any questions or think of any additional information he should feel free to contact the writer at the San Francisco office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

Steven Paul Jobs

Mr. Jobs indicated on his Standard Form 86 (SF-86) dated February 6, 1991, that he was involved in three lawsuits in 1985 and 1987.

He also indicated on his SF-86 that he was involved in a lawsuit filed in 1984 against ACI in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California, Number C-84-20148 RPA, which is still pending. Issues involved alleged violation of Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. A check of the records of the U.S. District Court, San Jose, California, determined that this file consists of ten volumes, only five of which could be located. Volume one, containing the original complaint and details of the matter was one of the unavailable volumes. Additional attempts to obtain details concerning this lawsuit remain outstanding.

A check of the records of the Santa Clara County Superior Court, (SCCSC) San Jose, California, indicated that on September 23, 1985, ACI, filed a complaint against Steven P. Jobs and another individual, alleging that they secretly planned the formation of an enterprise to compete with ACI; they lured top executives away from ACI to head up the new enterprise; sold ACI stock under false pretenses in order to finance the new enterprise, and planned to use ACI technology which would be a misappropriation of confidential and proprietary information. On January 21, 1986, after an out of court settlement was reached, the case was dismissed without prejudice. Details of the settlement were not reflected in the record.

SCCSC records also disclosed that on October 23, 1987, [redacted] filed a complaint against Mr. Jobs and NeXT, Incorporated. That complaint alleged that she left [redacted] ACI to accept a position at NeXT, Incorporated, at a salary of \$80,000 a year, plus a large stock option. She stated she was under the impression that this job would be long term in nature; [redacted] alleged that this was a breach of an implied contract.

In response to [redacted] allegation, Mr. Jobs indicated that he did offer her a position with NeXT, Incorporated; however, he did not make her leave ACI. She accepted the position in September, 1985, but had difficulty getting people to work for her. Mr. Jobs advised that other positions were offered to [redacted] at the same salary, but none were acceptable to her.

b6
b7C

On November 15, 1989, a judgment was rendered in favor of Mr. Jobs. An appeal was filed by [redacted], but that appeal has been dismissed.

~~SECRET~~

Steven Paul Jobs

A check of the records of the Superior Court for San Mateo County, Redwood City, California, indicated that on May 29, 1987, Woodside Design Associates, Incorporated, et al, filed a complaint against ACI, et al, for breach of contract and other related claims. Mr. Jobs was not personally named as a defendant rather he was named solely as an officer of ACI in this matter. A dismissal was filed on September 6, 1988, with prejudice (cannot file again) of the entire action.

A review of NEXIS, a computerized news retrieval service, disclosed numerous articles regarding Mr. Jobs. However, those articles contained no additional pertinent information regarding Mr. Jobs.

Agency Checks

A check of the Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs Information System (NADDIS), a data base operated by the Drug Enforcement Administration, disclosed no record concerning Mr. Jobs or his close relatives.

A check of the records of the San Jose, California, Better Business Bureau, disclosed no record concerning Mr. Jobs. It did reveal, however, several complaints concerning ACI. It was noted that ACI was always very responsive to all complaints filed, and its reputation is considered outstanding.

During the course of this investigation, the records of the following entities were checked and found to contain either no record or no additional pertinent information concerning Mr. Jobs, unless otherwise noted in this summary memorandum:

Consumer Fraud Division of District Attorney's Office
of San Mateo County, California;
Appropriate California Better Business Bureaus;
State of California Attorney General's Office;
Office of Personnel Management;

(S)

Defense Industrial Security Clearance Office;
Public Integrity Section and
appropriate United States Attorneys,
Department of Justice;
Securities and Exchange Commission;
United States Secret Service;
and the White House Office.

b1

~~SECRET~~

Steven Paul Jobs

Searches of the various indices of the FBI, including but not limited to the central index maintained at FBI Headquarters, the index of the Identification Division, the indices of appropriate field offices and other appropriate computer data bases, did not identify any documents that contain pertinent information identifiable with Mr. Jobs, his close relatives, or .

b6
b7c

It should be noted that the currency of the data input into the various indices can vary from days to even months from the date of the document as a result of existing clerical backlogs throughout the FBI.

~~SECRET~~

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 3/26/91

On March 11, 1991, [redacted] was contacted at his employment at [redacted] located at [redacted] California. He was advised as to the nature of the investigation and voluntarily advised as follows, however, did not request confidentiality.

[redacted]

He described the Appointee as an individual who was not totally forthright and honest and has a tendency to distort reality in order to achieve his goals. He offered a comparison in that he [redacted] has high ethical standards and does business with people using this standard, however, the Appointee will twist the truth in order to achieve whatever goal he has set for himself. He therefore considered the Appointee to be a deceptive person.

b6
b7C

He provided an example of this deceptive characteristic of the Appointee.

[redacted]

[redacted]
he had heard reports from mutual friends and also an admission by the Appointee that he freely used illicit drugs while in College, to include marijuana and LSD. He never actually observed the Appointee use any drugs or consume alcohol to excess.

He also stated that the Appointee while living with his high school girlfriend had a daughter out of wedlock. This woman's name is [redacted] and they had a daughter who is probably [redacted] years old now. Her name is [redacted]. He advised that during their early relationship, the Appointee mistreated both [redacted]

b6
b7C

Investigation on March 11, 1991 at Los Gatos, California File # 161B-HQ-23908

by SA [redacted] /bw Date dictated 3/26/91

3/11/91 2

Continuation of FD-302 of [redacted]

On Page

and her daughter, by not supporting them; however, he understands that he has of late, been more supportive of his daughter and her mother.

He added that along these same lines, an individual named [redacted] would also be able to shed more light on the Appointee and this relationship he had with [redacted]

[redacted] He also provided names of others who would know the Appointee well as follows: [redacted] at [redacted]

[redacted] for Apple Computers; [redacted]

[redacted] who was instrumental [redacted] and can be reached at [redacted]

[redacted] for MacINTOSH products and can be reached at Apple;

[redacted] who is also at Apple, as well as [redacted].

b6
b7c

He concluded by saying that although he does not consider the Appointee to be a personal friend, he believed the Appointee has what it takes to assume a high level political position within the Government, which in his opinion, honesty and integrity are not prerequisites to assume such a position. He therefore recommended the Appointee for a position of trust and confidence with the Government.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 3/26/91

On March 14, 1991, [redacted] was contacted at his residence located at [redacted] Palo Alto, California and was advised as to the nature of the investigation and he voluntarily advised as follows. [redacted] stated he was presently self employed and in the process of starting up a company.

He stated he has known the Appointee since [redacted]

[redacted] although the Appointee is basically an honest and trustworthy person, he is a complex individual and his moral character is suspect. He stated he is no longer friends with the Appointee and feels bitter and somewhat alienated, based upon having to work for him at Apple. He explained that he [redacted] did not receive any stock as a result, which would obviously have made him quite wealthy now. He stated the Appointee alienated a large number of people at Apple, as a result of his ambition. He added that the Appointee is technically oriented but is in the opinion of many, not an engineer, since he never actually graduated from College with a degree in engineering. He stated the Appointee has been successful in business by delegating tasks to people. In this respect, he considers the Appointee to be a successful individual and has what it takes to succeed in politics to include governmental politics.

b6
b7C

He advised that the Appointee, [redacted]

[redacted] He stated the Appointee had met [redacted] in high school and that they were [redacted]. He added that she and the Appointee had a baby girl out of wedlock, whose name was [redacted]. She is now about [redacted] years old and was born in [redacted] or [redacted]. He added that [redacted] has been mentioned in many books which have been written about the Appointee but has always used assumed names so as to protect her daughter from the possibility of being kidnapped for ransom. He stated she would be reluctant to speak to the FBI, based upon her negative experiences with the Appointee, in which he basically abandoned her and her daughter. However, lately, the Appointee has apparently been more supportive of both of them and has even bought a home near his residence, for them to be close to him.

b6
b7C

He stated that although he does not consider the Appointee to be a friend now, he believes he could succeed in any political position, based upon his method of operation and past record. He

Investigation on March 14, 1991 at Palo Alto, California File # 161B HQ 23908

by SA [redacted] /bw Date dictated 3/26/91

b6
b7C

MAR 26 '91 14:48 FBI
MAR 26 '91 13:15

PAGE.03 P.3

FD-302a (Rev. 11-29-90)

Continuation of FD-302 of

[Redacted]

On 3/14/91 Page 2

b6
b7C

added that he has never observed the Appointee use illicit drugs or abuse alcohol and that he associates with reputable people. He added that he had never observed the Appointee express any racist sentiments and would recommend him for a position of trust and confidence with the Government.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE SAN FRANCISCO	OFFICE OF ORIGIN BUREAU	DATE 4/17/91	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 3/11/91 - 4/17/91
TITLE OF CASE STEPHEN PAUL JOBS		REPORT MADE BY SA [redacted]	TYPED BY bw
		CHARACTER OF CASE SPIN (B)	

REFERENCE: Bureau telcall to San Francisco, 4/7/91.

- RUC -

ADMINISTRATIVE:

All other leads as set out have been covered, this matter is now considered RUC. San Francisco regrets the delay in reporting, however, the Apple Computer Company has been less than cooperative, in terms of providing assistance as requested of the Legal Department.

APPROVED	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW			
COPIES MADE: ② - Bureau (Attn: [redacted] SPIN Unit, Room 4271) 1 - San Francisco (161B-HQ-23908)		b6 b7C			
COPIES DESTROYED					
Dissemination Record of Attached Report		Notations			
Agency		[Handwritten Signature]			
Request Recd.					
Date Fwd.					
How Fwd.					
By					

COVER PAGE

A*

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

Report of: SA [redacted]
Date: April 17, 1991

b6
b7C

Office: SAN FRANCISCO

Field Office File #: 161B-HQ-23908

Bureau File #: 161B-HQ 23908

Title: STEPHEN PAUL JOBS

Character: SPECIAL INQUIRY

Synopsis: Interview of representative of Apple Computer Company legal department conducted and information requested concerning the status of litigation against Appointee was obtained. The Company representative stated the Appointee had acted in good faith regarding decisions which were found actionable by the Ninth District Court of Appeals.

- RUC -

DETAILS:

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE SAN FRANCISCO	OFFICE OF ORIGIN BUREAU	DATE 4/1/91	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 3/11/91 - 3/27/91
TITLE OF CASE STEVEN PAUL JOBS		REPORT MADE BY SA 	TYPED BY: aan
		CHARACTER OF CASE SPIN (B)	

b6
b7c

REFERENCE: Reports of SA , dated 3/20/91 and 3/21/91.

- P -

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Where appropriate, Privacy Act (e) (3), data was furnished to persons interviewed. Express promises of confidentiality, both limited and unlimited, have been noted where granted.

Investigation extends beyond Buded due to unavailability of individuals and records.

APPROVED	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW	(X)
COPIES MADE: ② - Bureau 2 - San Francisco (161B-HQ-23908)			
CC DESTROYED			
DISSEMINATION RECORD OF ATTACHED REPORT		Notations	
Agency			
Request Recd.			
Date Fwd.			
How Fwd.			
By			

161B-HQ-23908
WEM/aan

LEAD

SAN FRANCISCO

AT SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA: Will report results of efforts to locate court records pertaining to Apple law suit.

If records cannot be located, contact should be made with the legal counsel for Apple Computer to obtain a synopsis of the basis for the law suit and whether the Appointee was being sued personally.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Federal Bureau of Investigation

Copy to:

Report of: SA [redacted] Office: SAN FRANCISCO
Date: April 1, 1991
Field Office File #: 161B-HQ-23908 Bureau File #: b6
Title: STEVEN PAUL JOBS b7C

Character: SPIN (B)

Synopsis: [redacted] of Apple Computer Company
interviewed regarding Appointee's separation from the company.
Associates recommended.

- P -

DETAILS:

The following investigation was conducted by SA [redacted]
[redacted]:

b6
b7C

AT SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA

EMPLOYMENT

Attempts to contact [redacted] of the Apple Computer Company, 20525 Mariani Avenue, Cupertino, California have met with negative results since his secretary was first contacted on March 11, 1991. She advised on that date that he would not be available for interview until March 18, 1991 and that he would return the call on Tuesday or Wednesday of that week, however, he has not done so and repeated calls on March 21, 22 and 25th have not produced any response from [redacted]. A call was also made on March 26, 1991 for [redacted], however, no response has been received.

On March 26, 1991, [redacted] contacted the San Jose Resident Agency of the FBI in response to a message which had been left at his employment. He stated he has known the Appointee since [redacted] when he first went to work at Apple Computers. He described the Appointee as an individual of excellent moral character and integrity and knows of nothing which would reflect upon him in a negative way, to include drug usage or alcohol abuse. Further, he believed that the Appointee always lived within his means and associated with reputable people. He added that he never once observed the Appointee indicate in any way that he was either biased or prejudiced in any way toward anyone for any reason. He concluded by saying he would recommend the Appointee for a position of trust and confidence with the Government.

b6
b7C

[redacted] stated he is the [redacted] [redacted] for Sun Microsystems located at 2550 Garcia Avenue, Mountain View, California.

The following investigation was conducted by SA [redacted]
[redacted]:

AT CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA

b6
b7C

On March 27, 1991, [redacted]
[redacted] for Apple Computers, Incorporated,
20525 Mariani Avenue, Cupertino, California was contacted at his
employment and he voluntarily advised as follows. He stated he has
known the Appointee for about [redacted] years, having met when he [redacted]
first went to work at Apple when the Appointee was Chairman of the
Board.

He characterized the Appointee as an individual of good
character and integrity and knew of nothing which would reflect
upon him in a negative way, to include drug usage and alcohol abuse.
He also stated that as far as he knew the Appointee always conducted
his business dealings in a reputable manner and that the Appointee
had been sued, however, by Apple when he resigned from the Company
for having taken rights of technology or proprietary information
with him when the Appointee departed Apple. The Appointee also took
key technological personnel with him when he left which was also a
basis of the law suit against the Appointee which has since been
resolved.

He also stated that he has never observed the Appointee
express any prejudice or bias toward anyone for any reasons racial
or otherwise. He added that the Appointee was not terminated from
Apple but left voluntarily, that is he resigned. He advised that
as far as he knew, the Appointee lives within his means financially
and associates with reputable people.

He concluded by saying that he would recommend the Appointee
for a position of trust and confidence with the Government

On instant date, [redacted]
[redacted] of VENT, Incorporated located at 110 Pioneer Street
in Mountain View, California was also contacted and he advised as
follows.

b6
b7C

[redacted]

161B-HQ-23908
RAW/bw

[REDACTED]

He stated the Appointee had undergone a change in philosophy by participating in eastern and/or Indian mysticism and religion. This change apparently influenced the Appointee's personal life for the better.

b6
b7C

He advised that the Appointee lives within his means financially, however, based upon his newfound religious beliefs, the Appointee lives more of a spartanlike and at times even monastic existence. He added, however, the Appointee still associates with reputable people. He also advised that although the Appointee is not an engineer in the real sense, he understands base technology and technical jargon to the extent that he is an innovative force within the technical community, in terms of the contributions he has made.

He concluded by saying he would recommend the Appointee for a position of trust and confidence.

[redacted]: The following investigation was conducted by SA [redacted]

b6
b7C

AT MOUNTAIN VIEW, CALIFORNIA

ASSOCIATES

On March 19, 1991, [redacted]
[redacted] for SUN MICROSYSTEMS, 2750 Coast Avenue, was contacted at his employment and he advised as follows. He stated he has known the Appointee for the [redacted] years on a professional basis and considers him to be an individual of excellent character and integrity. He knew of absolutely nothing which would reflect upon the Appointee in a negative way, to include drug or alcohol abuse. He added that he believed the Appointee lives within his means financially, albeit he is a man of considerable means and that he also associates with reputable people. He added that although the Appointee is not a deeply technical individual, he understands the technical field sufficiently well to engender new ideas in technology which are exciting to the computer field. He added that the Appointee based upon this ingenuity, is just the type of individual needed to participate in national science and technology forums. He therefore recommended the Appointee highly for a position of trust and confidence with the Government.

On instant date, [redacted]
[redacted] for Apple Computers, Inc., 20525 Mariani Avenue, Cupertino, California was contacted at his employment and he advised as follows. He stated he has known the Appointee since [redacted] however, became better acquainted with him when he came to work at Apple in [redacted]. He characterized the Appointee as an individual of good character and integrity, without any known problems such as drug and/or alcohol abuse. He added that he had never observed the Appointee exhibit any bias or prejudice toward anyone for any reason, racial or otherwise. He also stated that the Appointee is extremely competent in the field of computers and a bright and energetic worker who is also opinionated. He added that the Appointee lives within his means financially and associates with reputable people. He recommended him highly for a position of trust and confidence.

b6
b7C

On instant date, [redacted]
[redacted] at Apple Computers, Inc., 1101 West Maude Avenue in Sunnyvale, California was contacted and advised as follows. She stated she was [redacted]
[redacted] while he was employed at Apple. She characterized him as an individual of good character and reputation and knew of nothing which would reflect upon him in a negative way, to include drug or alcohol abuse. She added that he is a loyal U.S. citizen and is a very respected member of the high technology community. She added that the Appointee always appeared to live within his means and associated with an eclectic group of people, most of whom are famous. He has never indicated bias or prejudice toward anyone and she would highly recommend him for a position of trust and confidence.

b6
b7C

On March 19, 1991, contact with the secretary for [redacted] SUN MICROSYSTEMS, 2550 Garcia Avenue, Mountain View, California revealed that [redacted] would not be available for interview until Monday, March 25, 1991.

b6
b7C

On March 20, 1991, messages were left for [redacted] who lives at [redacted] California to contact the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI). It will be noted that in a previous interview with [redacted] who resides at [redacted], California, that [redacted] would be reluctant to speak to the FBI based upon her past relationship with the Appointee, in which she had a baby born out of wedlock and the Appointee's initial lack of support for them. [redacted] stated when interviewed on March 14, 1991, he would also contact [redacted] and see if she would contact the FBI regarding this matter. To date, no response has been received by [redacted].

b6
b7C

On March 22, 1991, the secretary for [redacted], [redacted] for Apple Computer, Inc., 20525 Mariani Avenue in Cupertino, California was contacted. This was a followup to several other messages left for [redacted] to contact the FBI regarding the Appointee. When [redacted] Office was first contacted on March 11, 1991, the secretary indicated he would not be available until March 18, 1991, however, when she was recontacted on March 18, 1991, she stated he would return the call on Tuesday or Wednesday of the week of March 18. To date, no return calls have been made by [redacted] regarding the Appointee, in terms of setting up an appointment to interview [redacted].

b6
b7C

RECEIVED
TELETYPE
UNIT

27 MAR 91 11:52

FEDERAL BUREAU
OF INVESTIGATION

Dep. Dir.	_____
ADD Adm.	_____
ADD Inv.	_____
Asst. Dir.:	
Adm. Servs.	_____
Crim. Inv.	_____
Ident.	_____
Insp.	_____
Intell.	_____
Lab.	_____
Legal Coun.	_____
Off. Cong. & Public Affs.	_____
Telephone Rm.	_____
Director's Sec'y	_____

0202 MRI 00885

PP RUCNFB

DE FBIAT #0011 0861338

ZNR UUUUU

P 271337Z MAR 91

FM FBI ATLANTA (161B-HQ-23908) (RUC)

TO DIRECTOR FBI/PRIORITY/

BT

UNCLAS

CITE: //3040:SQ 8//

PASS: ROOM 4371.

b6
b7C

SP-5 FBI
TULSA-5 ROOM 4383

SUBJECT: STEVEN PAUL JOBS; SPIN (B); BUDED: 3/7/91.

RE SAN FRANCISCO TELETYPE TO THE DIRECTOR, ET AL, DATED 3/15/91, CAPTIONED AS ABOVE, AND AT TELCALL TO FBIHQ ON 3/21/91.

FOR INFORMATION OF THE BUREAU, MESSAGES AND FOLLOW UP TELEPHONE CALLS TO THE SECRETARY OF THE PRESIDENT OF GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY (GEORGIA TECH), MR. PAT CRECINE, TO INTERVIEW HIM CONCERNING APPOINTEE, HAVE NOT BEEN ACKNOWLEDGED.

~~CC DESTROYED~~

[Handwritten signature]

PAGE TWO DE FBIAT 0011 UNCLAS

PER BUREAU INSTRUCTIONS TO ATLANTA RELATED IN REFERENCED
AT TELCALL TO THE BUREAU, NO FURTHER EFFORTS ARE BEING MADE TO
CONTACT CRECINE, AND ATLANTA CONSIDERS THIS MATTER RUC'D.

ALL ATLANTA INDICES, INCLUDING ASSET AND ELSUR INDICES,
WERE SEARCHED REGARDING APPOINTEE AND REFERENCE. ALL SEARCHES
WERE NEGATIVE.

BT

#0011

NNNN

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION



REPORTING OFFICE San Francisco	OFFICE OF ORIGIN Bureau	DATE 3/21/91	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 3/19/91 - 3/20/91
TITLE OF CASE STEVEN PAUL JOBS		REPORT MADE BY SA 	TYPED BY: jtg
		CHARACTER OF CASE SPIN (B) b6 b7c	

REFERENCE: San Francisco report from SA dated 3/20/91.

-P-

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Where appropriate, Privacy Act (e)(3), data was furnished to persons interviewed. Express promises of confidentiality, both limited and unlimited, have been noted where granted.

APPROVED 	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW			
COPIES MADE: ② - Bureau 2 - San Francisco (161B-HQ-23908)					
CC DESTROYED					
DISSEMINATION RECORD OF ATTACHED REPORT		Notations			
Agency					
Request Recd.					
Date Fwd.					
How Fwd.					
By					

161B-HQ-23908
WEM IV:jtg

LEADS:

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

AT SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Will report results of investigation unavailable for
inclusion in this report.

COVER PAGE

B*

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Federal Bureau of Investigation

Copy to:

Report of: SA Office: San Francisco
Date: March 20, 1991 b6
Field Office File #: 161B-HQ-23908 b7C Bureau File #: 161B-HQ-23908
Title: STEVEN PAUL JOBS

Character: SPIN (B)

Synopsis: Employment verified. U.S. Attorney's office records checked with negative results.

-P-

DETAILS:

161B-HQ-23908

CTM/ctm

1

The following investigation was conducted by
SA [redacted]:

EMPLOYMENT

PIXAR
1001 West Cutting Boulevard
Richmond, California

On March 20, 1991, [redacted] (protect identity by request) [redacted] advised that he has known appointee approximately [redacted] years, originally meeting appointee when appointee was with Apple Computer [redacted]

[redacted] stated that in 1986, appointee purchased from Lucas Films, the graphic and color technology which PIXAR uses to produce computer graphic software used in computer generated pictures, commercials and which is used to color cartoon motion pictures. PIXAR sells the graphic and coloring software and generates computer commercials. [redacted] stated that PIXAR is owned by appointee, was incorporated in California on February 3, 1986, and appointee owns 100 percent of the stock. There is no Board of Directors other than appointee. [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D

[redacted] stated that his relationship with appointee is primarily a professional one; however, he has been to appointee's home on occasion.

[redacted] described appointee as an extremely intelligent individual, a true leader, who has made a difference in the computer industry and given the opportunity will make a positive contribution on the National scene. [redacted] stated that appointee is not an individual who can be intimidated; however, fits in and can talk with anyone. [redacted] further described appointee as an extraordinary person, who is an excellent business negotiator and recruiter of talent. [redacted] added that appointee is a demanding individual, expecting a lot from himself and others. [redacted] felt that most people including himself, believe appointee to be an extraordinary person with a good reputation, but when it comes to business there are frequently disagreements and sometimes hard feelings. [redacted] added that some people have a great respect for appointee and others dislike him. Appointee has the ability to listen to the suggestions and ideas of others and will adopt them; however, an individual dealing with appointee must know what he is talking about and

b6
b7C
b7D

161B-HQ-23908

CTM/ctm

2

present a strong case or appointee will disregard the discussion and sometimes the individual. [redacted] added that appointee is very truthful and straightforward with people and usually says exactly what he is thinking.

b6
b7C
b7D

[redacted] considered appointee to be of good moral character, to be a loyal American and to maintain reputable associates. [redacted] stated that appointee is very health conscious and had no suspicions or personal knowledge of illegal narcotic use on the part of appointee and did not know appointee to abuse alcohol. [redacted] considered appointee to have a lifestyle and spending habits consistent with his financial means and [redacted] has never known appointee to express or show any bias or prejudice against any individual or group. [redacted] concluded by stating that he would highly recommend appointee for a position of trust and confidence with the United States Government.

161B-23908
RAP

The following investigation was conducted by
IA [REDACTED]:

AT SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

b6
b7C

U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

On March 19, 1991, [REDACTED],
U.S. Attorney's Office, searched civil and criminal dockets
without locating any record for STEVEN PAUL JOBS.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE San Francisco	OFFICE OF ORIGIN Bureau	DATE 3/20/91	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 3/1/91 - 3/19/91
TITLE OF CASE STEVEN PAUL JOBS		REPORT MADE BY SA [REDACTED]	TYPED BY: jtg
		CHARACTER OF CASE SPIN (B)	b6 b7C

REFERENCE: Butel to San Francisco dated 2/21/91.

-P-

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Where appropriate, Privacy Act (e)(3), data was furnished to persons interviewed. Express promises of confidentiality, both limited and unlimited, have been noted where granted.

Investigation extends beyond Buded due to unavailability of Appointee and others for interview.

On 3/1/91, [REDACTED] checked ELSUR indices with negative results.

b6
b7C

Ⓟ

APPROVED <i>[Signature]</i>	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW			
COPIES MADE: (2) - Bureau 2 - San Francisco (161B-HQ-23908)					
CC DESTROYED					
DISSEMINATION RECORD OF ATTACHED REPORT		Notations <i>[Signature]</i>			
Agency					
Request Recd.					
Date Fwd.					
How Fwd.					
By					

161B-HQ-23908
WEM IV:jtg

On 2/26/91, [] checked Confidential indices with negative results.

b6
b7C

On 2/22/91, [] checked San Francisco General indices and located a 192 reference to the Appointee dating back to 2/11/85, when the Appointee was the victim in a bomb threat, at Apple Computer. Several references were located pertaining to a []. One reference was located in storage in Pocatello, Idaho, and the other references were missing from the files. Efforts would be made to locate and interview the missing references.

On 3/19/91, [] checked San Francisco indices regarding [] date of birth, [], with negative results.

b6
b7C

LEADS:

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

AT SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Will report results of investigation unavailable for inclusion in this report.

COVER PAGE
B*

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Federal Bureau of Investigation

Copy to:

Report of: SA Office: San Francisco
Date: March 20, 1991 b6
Field Office File #: 161B-HQ-23908 b7C
Bureau File #: 161B-HQ-23908
Title: STEVEN PAUL JOBS

Character: SPIN (B)

Synopsis: Appointee interviewed. Check of Bureau of Vital Statistics failed to revealed a record of Appointee's birth. Education verified. Employments substantiated and comments secured from employees. Residences verified and neighbors interviewed. Associates recommended. Roommate interviewed. Superior Court and U.S. District Court records checked and showed a dismissal of all but one case. Law Enforcement Agency checks conducted. Better Business Bureau checked.

-P-

DETAILS:

161B-23908
RAP

The following investigation was conducted by
IA [REDACTED];

AT SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

BIRTH

On March 7, 1991, records at the San Francisco County Bureau of Vital Statistics contained no birth listing for STEVEN PAUL JOBS.

On March 18, 1991, [REDACTED], San Francisco County Social Services Adoption Unit, could not verify the birth of STEVEN PAUL JOBS on February 24, 1955. He advised that information could be obtained through Adoptions Branch, Public Information Number, State Department of Social Services, Sacramento, 916/324-8044. That department has records of all adoptions in the state. b6 b7C

Contact with [REDACTED], Adoptions Branch, State Department of Social Services, at the above number, determined requests for adoption information have to be in writing, directed to State Social Services, Adoptions Branch, 744 "P" Street, Mail Station 1931, Sacramento, California.

1
161B-HQ-23908
SDM/sdm

The following investigation was conducted by IA [redacted]
[redacted]:

AT CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA

EDUCATION

On March 1, 1991, contact with Homestead High School Registrar revealed records dating back more than ten years are located in their district office.

On the same date, [redacted], Fremont Union High School District, provided a copy of the transcript for STEVEN P. JOBS. A review of this file disclosed STEVEN P. JOBS, born February 24, 1955, enrolled at Homestead High School on September 10, 1968. JOBS attended Homestead High School until June 15, 1972, at which time he graduated. He earned an overall grade point average of 2.65 on a 4.0 scale.

b6
b7c

161B-HQ-23908
WEM IV:jtg

The following investigation was conducted by Special Agent (SA) [REDACTED]:

AT REDWOOD CITY, CALIFORNIA

EMPLOYMENT

NeXT COMPUTER INC.
September 1985 to March 1991

On March 13, 1991, [REDACTED] [REDACTED] NeXT Computer Inc., 900 Chesapeake Drive, advised that she had known the Appointee since [REDACTED] when they were employed at Apple Computer. Her contact had been professional and social. In September of 1985, the Appointee and five other individuals [REDACTED] [REDACTED] formed NeXT Computer Inc. NeXT was a private California corporation, whose Board of Directors was made up of the Appointee, [REDACTED] PAT CRECINE, and [REDACTED]

b6
b7c

[REDACTED] She considered the Appointee to be a person of high integrity who was ethical and honest. She had never seen reason to question his character, associates, reputation or loyalty. She had found him to be discreet and a superb manager. She had never witnessed any bias or prejudice and the Appointee was against any type of discrimination. The Appointee was an advocate of equal opportunity and was very employee oriented. She had never seen him use any kind of drugs although he had admitted to using drugs in his youth. The Appointee drinks occasionally, but only small amounts of wine. The Appointee was well off and had more money that he could spend in a lifetime and his chief concern was how that wealth would be used after he was gone. The Appointee liked brainstorming and was good at mediating. The Appointee understood the Japanese culture and had a great deal of contact in dealing with companies in the Orient. She was aware of nothing that would preclude him for being considered for a position of trust and responsibility and highly recommended him.

161B-HQ-23908
WEM IV:jtg

On March 14, 1991, [redacted]
[redacted], NeXT Computer Inc., 900 Chesapeake Drive,
telephonically contacted the writer in response to an effort to
locate and interview him at NeXT Computer Inc., on March 13,
1991. He advised that the Appointee was the President of NeXT
Computer Inc., [redacted] the Appointee founded NeXT in 1985, as
a private corporation. Their relationship went back to [redacted] when
he worked [redacted] at Apple Computer. He was
professionally and socially acquainted with the Appointee and
characterized the Appointee as an upfront individual who was work
oriented. The Appointee had spent most of his adult life working
in and around the Computer Industry and was not politically
active. He felt, however that the Appointee had a great deal of
skill in dealing with overseas companies in Asia and Europe, and
would be an asset to the Government. The Appointee had always
conducted his business above board and took pride in his
companies. The Appointee took personal interest in the employees
of the companies and was sympathetic to problems and needs of
others. He had found the Appointee to be adaptable to the
situations at hand and felt he would make an excellent addition
to any Board or Council. The Appointee had no prejudices or bias
against any race, religion or sex and was very much for equality
and competition. He felt the Appointee was personally
responsible and there was nothing in the Appointee's lifestyle
that was not in keeping with the Appointee's means. As near as
he knew the Appointee had investments in NeXT and PIXAR, which
were the two companies the Appointee was involved in. He had no
knowledge of current drug usage or alcohol abuse on the part of
the Appointee. He could think of nothing that would be
embarrassing to the Appointee, and pointed that the Appointee had
a daughter out of wedlock and had admitted to using drugs in the
past, but these were things that were common knowledge and there
was nothing he was aware of that was not public with regard to
the Appointee's activities or associations. He had never been
given reason to question the Appointee's character, associates,
reputation or loyalty and considered him a suitable candidate for
the appointment.

b6
b7c

161B-HQ-23908
WEM IV:jtg

On March 13, 1991, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED], NeXT Computer Inc., 900 Chesapeake Drive, advised she had worked for the Appointee for approximately [REDACTED]. She found him to be a fair and demanding employer. She liked the fact that the Appointee was a motivator who was interested in employee growth. She found him to be ethical and forthright. He had no biases against any race, religion or sex and was an advocate of equal opportunity. The Appointee was a vegetarian and did not smoke or drink to her knowledge. She had never seen any indications of drug usage and he appeared to be in excellent health. She considered him to be of the highest character, associates, reputation and loyalty. She was aware of nothing that would preclude him from being considered for a position of trust and responsibility and highly recommended the Appointee.

b6
b7c

On March 14, 1991, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] NeXT Computer Inc., 900 Chesapeake Drive, telephonically contacted the writer and advised that she had to leave prior to the writer's arrival at NeXT on March 13, 1991. She advised she had worked for the Appointee approximately [REDACTED] and had found him to be very open and upfront. The Appointee had extremely high standards and was demanding of his employees. However, he treated people fairly and was considerate of others problems and needs. She had seen no personal habits that were questionable and she considered him to be honest, loyal and ethical. He had never exhibited any prejudices or biases against any race, religion or sex and she had found him to be fair and against any discrimination. The Appointee was very responsible in all areas of his life and appeared to live well within his means. There was nothing she was aware of that would preclude him from being considered for a position of trust and responsibility and she highly recommended him.

The following investigation was conducted by SA [REDACTED]

AT CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA

EMPLOYMENT

b6
b7C

On March 4, 1991, [REDACTED], [REDACTED], Apple Computer, Inc., 20525 Mariani Road verified that the Appointee worked there from January 1, 1977 until September 20, 1985 as the Chairman and Founder and no other information was available.

On instant date, the secretary for [REDACTED] for Apple Computer, Inc. 20525 Mariani Road stated that [REDACTED] was out of town for the next two weeks and would not be available for interview before March 18, 1991. She added, however, that [REDACTED] for the Company knew the Appointee quite well and would be able to comment on him.

On instant date, [REDACTED] for Apple Computer, Inc. was contacted and advised that the Appointee had originally hired him [REDACTED] years ago to work at Apple and he had nothing but the highest praise for the Appointee who he considered to be an individual of the highest character and integrity. He added that he knew of nothing which would reflect upon the Appointee in a negative manner, to include drug or alcohol abuse, which would be out of character for the Appointee. He added, however, that the Appointee when he was much younger may have experimented with drugs during the late sixties and early seventies, having come from that generation. In addition, he has never personally observed the Appointee indicate that he is either biased or prejudiced in any way. He also associates with reputable people. He recommended him highly for a position of trust and confidence.

b6
b7C

On instant date, [REDACTED] Apple Computer, Inc. was also contacted at his employment and he stated he knew the Appointee quite well and described him as an individual of excellent character and integrity. He also stated that the reason the Appointee was terminated from employment there was because of management style differences which the Appointee had with [REDACTED]. He knew of nothing which would reflect upon the Appointee adversely, such as drug or alcohol abuse. He also stated he had never observed the Appointee indicate that he was biased or prejudiced in any way and the Appointee associates with reputable people. He recommended him for a position of trust and confidence.

b6
b7C

On instant date, [REDACTED] Apple Computer, Inc. was contacted at her employment and she verified that the Appointee had worked there during the time in question. She

characterized the Appointee as an excellent person and a brilliant computer scientist. She added that the Appointee enjoyed a good reputation and she knew of nothing which would reflect upon him in a negative manner, to include drug or alcohol abuse. She stated that the Appointee had been terminated from the Company due to differences in management philosophies with [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] She also stated she had never seen the Appointee exhibit in any way bias or prejudice toward anyone for any reason, racial or other. She added the Appointee associates with reputable people. She recommended him highly for a position of trust and confidence with the Government.

b6
b7c

On March 5, 1991, [REDACTED] Apple Computer, Inc. was contacted at his employment and he stated the Appointee had worked there during the time period noted above. He described the Appointee as an individual of good moral character and integrity, without any known problems such as drug or alcohol abuse. He also stated he had never observed the Appointee exhibit any type of bias or prejudice toward anyone. He also stated that the Appointee associates with reputable people. He stated he had no reason not to recommend the Appointee for a position of trust and confidence. He added that the Appointee left Apple because of philosophical differences in management style with [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] He recommended the Appointee for any position involving trust and confidence.

REFERENCE

On March 7, 1991, [REDACTED] was contacted at his job at NEXT Inc. located at 900 Chesapeake Drive, Redwood City, California. [REDACTED] stated he has known the Appointee for the past [REDACTED] years

b6
b7c

[REDACTED] He characterized the Appointee as an individual of excellent character and reputation, without any known problems such as drug or alcohol abuse, however, the Appointee may have experimented with drugs in the late sixties or early seventies. He added that he had never observed the Appointee exhibit any form of bias or prejudice toward anyone and that the Appointee enjoys a comfortable lifestyle and associates with reputable people. He recommended him for a position of trust and confidence with the Government.

RESIDENCE

[REDACTED]

From July, 1990 - Present

On March 4, 1991, [REDACTED] was contacted at her residence located at [REDACTED] and she verified that the Appointee was her next door neighbor. She described him as a quiet and unassuming individual who had never caused any problems as a neighbor and who visited her last week to ensure that some landscaping he was having done would not cause any problems with her and her husband. She also stated that he appeared to live a relatively spartan existence in his home and she was unaware of any problems he might have with illicit drugs or alcohol abuse. She added that the neighbor on the other side of the Appointee, [REDACTED], had invited the Appointee over recently for a social gathering and may be able to comment on him, as well. She recommended him for a position of trust and confidence.

b6
b7C

On instant date, [REDACTED] was contacted at her home located at [REDACTED] and she verified that the Appointee had moved in about a year ago. She did not, however, know the Appointee well enough to comment as to his suitability for employment with the Government, although he seemed to be a nice enough person.

On instant date [REDACTED] was also contacted at his home at [REDACTED] and he stated that although he was aware of the Appointee having moved into the neighborhood, he did not know him at all and therefore could not comment as to his suitability for employment.

On March 4, 1991, attempts were made to contact [REDACTED] supra; however, [REDACTED] stated [REDACTED] was unavailable for interview and that she herself along with her husband were tenants in [REDACTED] home and were visiting from England. She did not know the Appointee sufficiently as to comment regarding his suitability for employment with the Government.

On March 4, 1991, [REDACTED] was contacted at his residence at [REDACTED] and he stated he did not know the Appointee but knew he had moved into the neighborhood. He stated he could not comment as to his suitability for employment.

b6
b7C

On March 7, 1991, [REDACTED] who resides at [REDACTED] [REDACTED], contacted the San Jose Resident Agency of the Federal Bureau of Investigation; responding to a message left for her and she verified that the Appointee resided across the street from her. She stated that he appeared to be a reputable individual although she did not know him well and that she knew of nothing which would reflect upon him in a negative way, including drug or alcohol abuse. She stated she had no reason not to recommend him for a position of trust and confidence.

161B-HQ-23908
WEM/aan

The following investigation was conducted by SA [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]:
AT WOODSIDE, CALIFORNIA

RESIDENCE

460 Mountain Home Road,
November, 1984 to July, 1990

On March 13, 1991, [REDACTED] advised that the Appointee formerly resided at 460 Mountain Home Road and that she used to get his mail as well as people looking for his residence. Since the Appointee's residence was not located directly on Mountain Home Road, most people were not able to locate it without directions. She added that the dates November, 1984 to July, 1990 sounded plausible and that he did in fact live there. She did not have any personal contact and could not comment as to his suitability for a presidential appointment.

On March 13, 1991, efforts to locate anyone at 485 and 475 Mountain Home Road met with negative results.

On March 13, 1991, [REDACTED] advised that she did not know the Appointee as a neighbor, even though he had lived in the area but that her husband knew the Appointee through his computer business. She added that her husband was out of town and would contact the writer upon his return.

On March 13, 1991, [REDACTED], [REDACTED] telephonically located the writer in response to a note that was left at his residence and advised that he knew the Appointee as a former resident at 416 Mountain Home Road. The Appointee was a good person and a good neighbor. He advised that the period from 1984 to 1990 sounded correct and that he was aware of nothing that would preclude the Appointee from being considered for a position of trust and responsibility. The Appointee was a very public figure and there had been much written about the Appointee but he had never seen anything personally in the way of personal habits, activities or associations that would be of a negative nature. He was happy to recommend the Appointee.

On March 14, 1991, [redacted] [redacted] [redacted] advised that the Appointee formerly lived at 460 Mountain Home Road from 1984 to 1990 when he moved to Palo Alto to be close to his daughter. She and her husband had met the Appointee through their son-in-law, [redacted]. They had had the Appointee over for dinner and he didn't appear to drink or smoke. He was a vegetarian and did a great deal of jogging. They never saw as much of the Appointee as they liked since he was a very intense worker and spent a great deal of time with his work. She considered him to be a wonderful individual and was aware of nothing that would preclude him from being considered for a position of trust and responsibility. The Appointee was well off and didn't appear to be a big spender. The Appointee had kept the house at 460 Mountain Home Road and planned to build something on that property at a later date. She considered him to be of the highest character, associates, reputation and loyalty and he had never seen any indication of drug usage, prejudice, or unethical behavior on his part. She highly recommended him.

b6
b7C

On March 16, 1991, [redacted] [redacted] telephonically advised that he knew the Applicant as a former resident at 460 Mountain Home Road from approximately 1984 to 1990. He had also met with the Appointee a couple of times in his position as president and CEO of MIPS Computer Systems Inc., Sunnyvale, California. He was aware of nothing negative with regard to the Applicant's residency in Woodside, California, and had never had any unfavorable dealings with the Appointee. As far as he knew the Applicant enjoyed a good reputation and was a person of good character, reputation and associates. He had never seen any indication of drug usage or alcoholic abuse and he was aware of nothing in the Appointee's lifestyle that would not be commensurate with the Appointee's means. He could think of nothing that would preclude the Appointee from being considered for a position of trust and responsibility.

b6
b7C

The following investigation was conducted by SA [REDACTED]

AT LOS GATOS, CALIFORNIA

ASSOCIATES

On March 11, 1991, [REDACTED] was contacted at his employment at [REDACTED] located at [REDACTED] and he was advised as to the nature of this investigation and advised as follows. He did not request confidentiality.

[REDACTED] He described the Appointee as an individual who was not totally forthright and honest and has a tendency to distort reality in order to achieve his goals. He offered a comparison in that he [REDACTED] has high ethical standards and does business with people using this standard, however, the Appointee will twist the truth in order to achieve whatever goal he has set for himself. He therefore considered the Appointee to be a deceptive person.

b6
b7c

He provided an example of this deceptive characteristic of the Appointee. [REDACTED]

He also advised [REDACTED] he had heard reports from mutual friends and also an admission by the Appointee that he freely used illicit drugs during his college tenure, to include marijuana and LSD. He never actually observed the Appointee use any drugs or consume alcohol to excess.

He also stated that the Appointee while living with his high school girlfriend had a daughter out of wedlock. This woman's name is [REDACTED] and their daughter who was probably [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] now was called [REDACTED]. He advised that during their early relationship, the Appointee mistreated both [REDACTED] and her daughter, by not supporting them; however, he understands that he has, of late, been more supportive of his daughter and her mother.

b6
b7c

He added that along these same lines, an individual named [redacted] would also be able to shed more light on the Appointee and this relationship he had with [redacted]

[redacted] He also provided names of others who would know the Appointee well as follows: [redacted] at [redacted] for Apple Computers, Inc.; [redacted] who was instrumental [redacted] and can be reached at [redacted]; [redacted] for MacINTOSH products and can be still reached at Apple Computers; [redacted] who is also at Apple still; and [redacted] who is also at Apple.

b6
b7c

He concluded by saying that although he does not consider the Appointee to be a personal friend; he believed the Appointee has what it takes to assume a high level political position within the Government, which in his opinion, honesty and integrity are not prerequisites to assume such a position. He therefore recommended the Appointee for a position of trust and confidence for the Government.

On March 13, 1991, [redacted], [redacted] General Magic, Inc. located at 444 Castro Street in Mountain View, California, was contacted and has known the Appointee since [redacted] and met him while they were employed at Apple and worked closely with the Appointee from [redacted] when he left Apple. He stated the Appointee left Apple in September, 1985 under less than desirable circumstances. He explained that he understood that the Appointee was fired by [redacted]; however, since he had already left the Company, he only knew what he had heard and was reported in the media. He added that he has remained friends with the Appointee and described him as an individual who was extremely strongwilled and stubborn. He added that from a positive perspective, the Appointee is also a very hardworking and driven individual and is successful as a result of these qualities but these same qualities act to his detriment in other respects such as in his personal relationships. He has never personally observed the Appointee use illicit drugs or abuse alcohol. He believed that other than what he noted above, the Appointee is an individual of good character and integrity; however, he qualified the Appointee's integrity that as long as the Appointee gets his way. He added that the Appointee lives within his means financially, however, his means are considerable and the Appointee is worth at least one hundred million dollars. He also believed the Appointee associates with reputable people and recommended him for a position of trust and confidence with the Government.

b6
b7c

On instant date, [redacted] of General Magic, Inc., 444 Castro Street, Mountain View, California was contacted at his employment and he stated he has known the Appointee for the past [redacted] years [redacted]

[redacted] He stated that the Appointee is an individual of good character and reputation and that he also is a man of integrity, providing he gets his way. He knows of nothing which would reflect

b6
b7c

upon the Appointee in a negative way, to include drug and/or alcohol abuse. He added that he has never observed the Appointee express any prejudicial or racist sentiments and considers him to be fairly open minded. He added that the Appointee lives within his considerable means, financially and associates with reputable people. He recommends the Appointee for a position of trust and confidence with the Government.

On March 14, 1991, [redacted] was contacted at his employment at Apple Computers, where he works as a [redacted]. He stated he has known the Appointee since [redacted]

[redacted] He characterized the Appointee as an individual of good character and integrity and knows of nothing which would reflect upon the Appointee in a negative way, to include drug or alcohol abuse. He added that the Appointee is a loyal U.S. citizen and has never expressed any bias or prejudice toward anyone for whatever reason, racial or other. He stated the Appointee lives within his means and associates with reputable people. He recommended the Appointee for a position of trust and confidence with the Government.

On instant date, [redacted] was contacted at his residence at [redacted] Palo Alto, California. [redacted] stated he was [redacted] is in the process of starting up a company. He stated he has known the Appointee since [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted] He stated he was a good friend of the Appointee then. He added that although the Appointee is basically an honest and trustworthy person, he is a very complex individual and his moral character is suspect. He stated he is no longer friends with the Appointee and feels bitter toward him and somewhat alienated, [redacted]. He explained that he [redacted] did not receive any stock as a result, which would obviously have made him quite wealthy. He stated the Appointee alienated a large number of people at Apple, as a result of his ambition. He added that the Appointee is technically oriented but is in the opinion of many, not an engineer, since he never actually got an engineering degree from College and has been successful in business by delegating tasks to people. In this respect, he considers the Appointee a successful individual and has what it takes to succeed in politics, to include governmental politics.

He advised that the Appointee, [redacted]

[redacted] He stated the Appointee had met [redacted] in High School and they were high school sweethearts. He added that she and the Appointee had a baby girl out of wedlock, whose name was [redacted]. She is about [redacted] years old now and was born in [redacted] or [redacted]. He added that [redacted] has been mentioned in many books which have been written about the Appointee but has always used assumed names so as to protect her daughter from the possibility of being kidnapped for ransom. He stated she would be reluctant to speak to the FBI, based upon her negative experiences with the Appointee, in which the Appointee basically abandoned her

b6
b7C

and her daughter; however, lately, the Appointee has been more supportive of both of them and has even purchased a home near where the Appointee resides, for them to be close to him.

He stated that although he does not consider the Appointee a friend now, he believes the Appointee could succeed in any political position, based upon his method of operation. He added that he has never observed the Appointee use illicit drugs or abuse alcohol and that he associates with reputable people. He added that he had never observed the Appointee express any racist sentiments and would recommend him for a position of trust and confidence with the Government.

On instant date, [REDACTED] b6
[REDACTED] ADOBE Systems, Inc. 1585 Charleston Road, Mountain View, b7C
California, was contacted at his employment. He stated he has known the Appointee since [REDACTED] and the Appointee had an interest in the Company. He described the Appointee as an individual of good character and integrity. He stated he knows personally of nothing which would reflect upon the Appointee in a negative way, to include drug or alcohol abuse. He added, however, that it is well documented in books concerning the Appointee, that he used drugs freely while in College and in the early days at Apple. He considers the Appointee to be a loyal citizen and a competent individual. He added that he had never observed the Appointee to be in any way biased or prejudiced toward anyone for any reason, racial or otherwise. He recommended him for a position of trust and confidence with the Government.

161B-HQ-23908
WEM/aan

The following investigation was conducted by SA [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]:

b6
b7c

AT SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

ASSOCIATE

On March 18, 1991, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED], 1755 Embarcadero Road, Palo Alto,
California, telephonically contacted the writer and advised that
he had been an associate of the Appointee's since [REDACTED]. He was
in the marketing and consulting business and was active in doing
work for the Appointee when Apple Computer was formed. He
described the Appointee as a very genuine person who was
extremely creative. He had never been given reason to question
the Appointee's character, associates, reputation or loyalty. He
had been in the Appointee's home and had had the Appointee in his
home and had never encountered any drug usage on the Appointee's
part and the Appointee's consumption of alcohol had always been
minimal. The Appointee was wealthy and lived well within his
means. He had never seen any prejudice or bias on the
Appointee's part against any race, religion or sex. He felt the
Appointee would make a good representative and was very
knowledgeable in the area of computers. He was personally aware
of nothing that would reflect unfavorably on the Appointee with
regard to the Appointee's activities or associations and he was
happy to recommend the Appointee.

161B-HQ-23908
WEM/aan

The following investigation was conducted by SA [redacted]
[redacted]:

b6
b7C

AT PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA

[redacted]

On March 14, 1991, [redacted], [redacted]
advised that she had met the Appointee in [redacted] at

[redacted]

She described the Appointee as a person of great character who associated with high integrity people and all of his associates she had met, were reputable. The Appointee drank only a little wine and did not use any kind of illegal drugs. She felt he was very responsible in the area of his finances and she could think of nothing in his activities or associations that would be considered questionable. She had never seen any evidence of prejudice or bias on his part against any race, religion or sex and felt he was for equality and against discrimination. She had never been given reason to question his character, reputation or loyalty. She felt he would make an excellent representative for the country and highly recommended him.

b6
b7C

[redacted].

161B-HQ-23908

/sjb

The following investigation was conducted by IA [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

[REDACTED]:
AT REDWOOD CITY, CALIFORNIA

SUPERIOR COURT RECORDS

On March 14, 1991, [REDACTED], Civil Records, Superior Court for San Mateo County provided the file regarding STEVEN PAUL JOBS, number 319154. A review of this file revealed on May 29, 1987 a complaint was filed by Woodside Design Associates, Inc., et al vs. Apple Computers, Inc., et al, for declaratory relief, bad faith denial of existence of contract, breach of contract, fraudulent misrepresentation, negligent misrepresentation, conspiracy, interference with contract, interference with prospective economic advantage, and punitive damages. JOBS was named as an Officer of Apple Computer. A dismissal was filed on September 6, 1988, with prejudice (cannot file again) of entire action.

b6
b7C

1
161B-HQ-23908
SDM/sdm

The following investigation was conducted by IA [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

[REDACTED]:
AT SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA

COURT RECORDS

On March 18, 1991, the records of the Santa Clara County Superior Court were reviewed and the following information obtained:

Case Number 584898
Apple Computer, Inc.
vs.
Steven P. Jobs & [REDACTED]

The original complaint in this matter was filed September 23, 1985, alleging that Defendants secretly planned the formation of an enterprise to compete with Apple Computer, lured top executives away from Apple Computer to head up the new enterprise, sold Apple stock under false pretenses in order to finance new enterprise, and planned to use Apple technology which would be a misappropriation of confidential and proprietary information. On January 21, 1986, after an out of court settlement was reached, case was dismissed without prejudice.

Case Number 641354
[REDACTED]
vs.
Steven Jobs/NeXT, Inc.

b6
b7C

Original complaint was filed in this matter on October 23, 1987, by [REDACTED], alleging she left [REDACTED] Apple Computer to go with JOBS/NeXT, Inc. at \$80,000 a year, plus a large stock option. She stated she had the impression that the job would be long term in nature. [REDACTED] alleged this was breach of implied contract.

JOBS' reply to the allegation was that he offered her the job with NeXT, Inc.; however, he did not make her leave Apple. She accepted the position in September, 1985, but had great difficulty getting people to work for her. Other positions were offered to her at the same salary, but none of them were acceptable to her.

2
161B-HQ-23908
SDM/sdm

On November 15, 1989, a judgment was filed against [redacted] in the amount of \$11,698.19. An appeal was filed by [redacted] but has since been dismissed.

b6
b7c

On March 15, 1991, records of U.S. District Court, San Jose, California, were reviewed with regard to file C84-20148 RPA. This file consisted of ten volumes, only five of which could be located. Volume one containing the original complaint and details of the matter was one of the unavailable volumes. As a result, a copy of the docket was obtained, as it was the only document available which gives a history of the case. It is noted that STEVEN P. JOBS is mentioned as a defendant in the case, and the case is still pending. The copy of the docket is attached hereto and considered a part of this communication.

~~PLAINTIFFS~~ PLAINTIFFS DEFENDANTS RPA

In re APPLE SECURITIES LITIGATION

CONSOLIDATED:
 C-84-20158 RPA
 C-84-20149 RPA
 C-84-20148 RPA

REOPENED

JS-5 Prep 3-7-90
 JS-6 Prep 3-7-90
 Assgmt Card 3-7-90
 Closed _____

CAUSE
 (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH THE CASE IS FILED AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE)
Further Bookending on CIVIL

MILBERG WEISS BERSHAD SPECTHRIE & LERACH (Co-Lead Counsel)
 2000 Central Savings Tower
 225 Broadway
 San Diego, CA 92101
 (619) 231-1058

HELLER, EHRMAN, WHITE & MCAULIFFE
 44 Montgomery Street, 31 Floor
 San Francisco, CA 94104
 (415) 772-6000
 FOR:

BERGER & MONTAGUE (CO-Lead Counsel)
 1622 Locust Street
 Philadelphia, PA 19103
 (215) 875-3000

PETTIT & MARTOM
 Michael F. Perlis
 Alvin L. Fishman
 Pamela J. Roberts
 101 California St, 35th Floor
 San Francisco, CA 94111
 (415) 434-4000
 FOR: Arthur Rock, Philip S. Schlein, Peter O. Crisp, Henry E. Singleton

BARRACK, RODOS & BACINE
 Suite 2100
 1845 Walnut Street
 Philadelphia, PA 19103
 (215) 963-0600

HELLER, EHRMAN, WHITE & MCAULIFFE
 333 Bush Street
 San Francisco, CA 94104-287
 (415) 772-6000

COTCHETT & ILLSTON
 California-First-Bank-Building
 4-West-Forth-Ave-7-Suite-500
 San-Mateo, CA-94402
 (415)-342-9000--

KOHN, SAVETT, MARION & GRAF
 1214 IVB Building
 1700 Market Street
 Philadelphia, PA 19103
 (215)-665-9900

<input type="checkbox"/> CHECK HERE IF CASE WAS FILED IN FORMA PAUPERIS	FILING FEES PAID			STATISTICAL CARDS	
	DATE	RECEIPT NUMBER	C.D. NUMBER	CARD	DATE MAI
					152/17/87

In re APPLE SECURITIES

PROCEEDING

C 84-20148 (A) RPA JW

1984
May

Page 10

Date 11

- 1 PRETRIAL ORDER No. 1: Order of consolidation with C-84-20148 RPA, C-84-20149 RPA & C-84-20158 RPA; all subsequently related actions to be consolidated, if no objections are filed
- 2 STIPULATED AND ORDER: Protective order re: confidential information produced by 3rd party deponent Dataquest (see original document for details)
- 3 Plaintiff's letter to clerk re: Court dates
- 4 Defendants Arthur Rock, P. S. Schlein, P. O. Crisp & H. E. Singleton's notice of motion for summary judgment; hearing 3-29-85 at 9
- memo of points & authorities in support of #4
 - declaration of Alvin L. Fishman
 - declaration of Arthur Rock
 - declaration of Philip S. Schlein
 - declaration of Henry E. Singleton
 - declaration of Peter O. Crisp
- RECEIVED: Proposed order & judgment
- 11 Defendants A. Rock, P. Schlein, P. Crisp & H. Singleton's declaration of Philip S. Schlein
- 12 STIPULATION AND ORDER: Protective order re: material confidential information to be designated "Confidential Material" by counsel & place under seal (see original document for details)
- 13 Party John L. Roller's certificate re: confidentiality
- 14 Plaintiff's amended notice of 3rd party deposition & request for production of documents of Venrock Associates on 3-1-85-issued
- 15 Defendant's memo of points & authorities in opposition to motion to dismiss the counterclaim; 3-29-85 at 9
- 16 Plaintiff's memo of points & authorities in opposition to motion for summary judgment; 3-29-85 at 9, UNDER SEAL, Shelf _____
- 17 Defendants Venrock Associates's (3RD Party) notice of deposition & requests for production of documents
- 18 Plaintiff's notice of 3rd party deposition of Morris Decision Systems & requests for production of documents
- 19 Defendants A. Rock, P. Schlein, P. O. Crisp & Dr. Singleton's reply memo in support of motion for summary judgment; 3-29-85 at 9
- 15* 20 Defendant's reply memo of points & authorities in support of motion for partial summary judgment; 3-29-85 at 9

111A
v. 1/75)

CIVIL DOCKET CONTINUATION SHEET

PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	C-84-20148 (A)
IN RE: APPLE SECURITIES		DOCKET NO. RPA JW
		PAGE ___ OF ___ PAGES

DATE	NR.	PROCEEDINGS	
		<u>PLAINTIFFS</u>	<u>DEFENDANTS</u>
		Alvin J. Ivers Suite 700, 4 Penn Center Plaza 16th & JFK Blvd. Philadelphia, PA 19103 (215) 977-8899	
		COTCHETT & ILLSTON Susan Illston San Francisco Airport Office Center 840 Malcolm Rd., Ste 200 Burlingame, CA. 94101 (415) 697-6000	
		LOVITT & HANNAN, INC. 900 Front St., Ste 300 San Francisco, CA 94111 (415)362-8769	

DC 111A
(Rev. 1/75)

SHEET "A"

CIVIL DOCKET CONTINUATION SHEET

JW

PLAINTIFF		DEFENDANT	C-84-20148 (A) RE DOCKET NO. _____ PAGE ____ OF ____ PAGES
In re APPLE SECURITIES			
DATE	NR.	PROCEEDINGS	
<u>1985</u>			
Mar 15	21	Defendant's declaration of Meryl Macklin in support of #20	
	22	Plaintiff's reply memo in support of motion to dismiss counterclaim 3-29-85 at 10	
	23	Plaintiff's reply memo in support of #22	
	24	MINUTES: (C/R Lavonne Fraboni) Plaintiff's motion for class certification, granted; motion to dismiss counterclaim & motion for summary judgment, denied; pretrial 5-12-86 at 2; 6-16-86 at 9 for trial & 9-12-85 at 1:30 for Rule 16 conference; discovery close 4-1-86 RPA	
Apr 19	25	Defendant's 2nd set of contention interrogatories	
	26	ORDER: The motion of defendants A. Rock, P.S. Schlein, P.O. Crisp & H.E. Singleton for summary judgment is denied in its entirety RPA	
	27	ORDER: Defendant's motion for summary judgment as to the Cohns & those similarly situated, is denied RPA	
May 13	28	ORDER: Plaintiffs William Schneider, Charles & Jeanne Cohn & Estelle Ellis are hereby certified to represent a plaintiff class & this action shall proceed as a plaintiff class action; The attorneys of record for the named plaintiff are hereby appointed as counsel RPA	
	29	Plaintiff's proof of service re: #28	
Jul 31	30	Plaintiff's amended response to 2nd set of interrogatories	
Sep 6	31	Plaintiff's discovery conference statement	
	32	Defendants P.S. Schlein, A. Rock & P.O. Crisp's statement for Rule 16 conference; 9-12-85 at 1:30	
	33	- supplemental statement re: Rule 16 conference; 9-12-85 at 1:30	
	34	ORDER: Rule 16 conference agenda RPA	
	35	MINUTES: (C/R R.Pastorino) Rule 16 discovery conference held & order submitted RPA	
	36	Plaintiff's notice of change of address re: Alvin J. Ivers	
<u>1986</u>			
Jan 6	37	ORDER re class notice and Rule 16 update. Plaintiffs shall prepare proposed class notice and submit it to defendants for their comments no later than 1-13-86. A joint statement shall be filed no later than 1-31-86. RPA	

(OVER)

PLAINTIFF		DEFENDANT	DOCKET NO. ...
IN RE: APPLE SECURITIES			PAGE ... OF ... PA
DATE	NR.	PROCEEDINGS	
1986			
Jan 10	38	Plaintiffs' interrogatories, set no. 2	
Feb 4	39	Parties joint supplemental Rule 16 statement	
10	40	Defendants' responses to 2nd set of interrogatories	
	41	Plaintiff's affidavit of Jeanne A. Markey in support of motion to extend discovery cut-off and trial dates	
	42	Defendants' proof of service re # 40	
		RECEIVED: Defendants' motion to extend discovery cut-off and trial dates	
14	43	Plaintiffs' notice of hearing that they will move the court on 3-14-86 at 9 am to extend discovery cut-off and trial dates	
28	44	Defendant's memo in opposition to motion to extend discovery cut-off and trial dates; 3-14-86, 9 am	
		RECEIVED: Proposed order	
Mar 3	45	Plaintiffs' notice of depositions as to Richard Jordan, 3-4-86, 9:30 am; Randall Battat, 3-6-86, 9:30 am; Lawrence Tesler, 3-12 and 13, 1986, 9:30 am and Howard Furer, 3-19-86, 9:30 am	
7	46	Plaintiff's reply memo in further support of motion to extend discovery cut-off and trial dates; 3-14-86, 9 am	
13	47	Parties stipulation re entry of findings and order directing class notice	
14	48	MINUTES: (3-14-86) (CR: Jan Hammerman); Plaintiff's motion to extend discovery cut-off and trial dates granted. Case continued to 2-9-87 at 2 pm for pretrial; 2-16-87, 9 am for trial; discovery cut-off 12-31-86; Defendants to submit certain answers to interrogatories 9-30-86	
	49	ORDER AND FINDINGS DIRECTING CLASS NOTICE	
19	50	Plaintiffs' notice of depositions all at 9:30 am as to David Bown on 4-4-86, Wilfred Houde, 4-9 and 10, 1986, Robert Erickson, 4-16 and 17, 1986 and David Craft, 4-22-86.	

(SEE SHEET "B")

PLAINTIFF		DEFENDANT	DOCKET NO. _____
IN RE: APPLE COMPUTER			PAGE ____ OF ____ PAGES

DATE	NR.	PROCEEDINGS
<u>1986</u>		
Mar 24	51	Plaintiffs' certificate of service re findings and order directing class notice executed 3-21-86
Apr 21	52	- notice of depositions as to Elizabeth L. Shannon, 5-8-86, 9:30am; Joseph P. Roebuck, 5-14-86 and 5-15-86, 9:30 am; Barry H. Smith; 5-21-86 and 5-22-85, 9:30 am and Stan DeVaughn, 5-29-86 and 5-30-86, 9:30 am
	53	- amended notice of deposition of Robert Erickson on 4-29-86 and 4-30-86 at 9:30 am
May 28	54	EXCLUSION REQUEST by Margot G. Charles (part of Notice of Certification of plaintiff class form)
	30	55 EXCLUSION REQUEST by Claire N. Mangasarian
		56 EXCLUSION REQUEST by Herbert Lecovin
Jun 3	57	EXCLUSION REQUEST by Karin Peterson
	4	58 DEPOSITION of Richard Jordan, 3-4-86
	5	59 Plaintiff's notice of depositions as to David Craft, 6-11-86, 9:30 am; Joseph Roebuck, 6-16 and 6-17, 9:30am; Peter W. Cressman, 6-27-86, 9:30 am
		60 EXCLUSION REQUEST by John Wilcoxson
	16	61 EXCLUSION REQUEST by Michael E. Terek
	24	RECEIVED: Exclusion request of Averal Brady and John Brady
	26	62 Defendants' notice of change of address of counsel
Jul 7		RECEIVED: EXCLUSION REQUEST by Jean Lombard
	9	63 Plaintiff's notice of deposition as to Wayne Rosing, 7-29-86 and 7-30 and 7-31 (no time specified)
	17	64 - notice of deposition of Paul Dali on 7-16-86, 9:30 am RECEIVED: Exclusion request, Marion Waddell
	23	RECEIVED: Letter of 7-16-86 by James Parente to Sherrie Savett with cc to USDC
	24	65 Plaintiff's notice of depositions as to Deme Clainos, Aug. 26-27, '86 9:30 am and Gene Carter, September 4-5, 1986, 9:30 am

(OVER)

PLAINTIFF		DEFENDANT	DOCKET NO.
IN RE APPLE COMPUTER			PAGE ... OF ...
DATE	NR.	PROCEEDINGS	
<u>1986</u>			
Aug 1	25 ⁶⁶	Plaintiff's notice of change of address and telephone no.	
8	67	- notice of depositions of Timothy Hawkins, 8-15-1986, 9:30 am John Couch, 8-20 and 21, 1986, 9:30 am	
13	68	- amended notice of depositions as to Timothy Hawkins, 8-15-86, 9 am and John Couch, 8-20, 21 and 22, 1986, 9:30 am	
25		RECEIVED: Exclusion request of Geneva Redmon	
Sep 8	69	ORDER: Defendants' Apple Computer, Inc., , Sculley, Yocam, M Houde, Couch, Carter, Zerbe, Markkula and Vennard may withdraw t Counterclaim asserted in their Amended Answer without prejudice	
17	70	ORDER: Re Length of memorandum	RPA
	71	Defendants' memo in support of motion for summary judgment 10/17 9:00am	
*15	72	Defendants' Apple Computer Statement of Material facts not in di 10/17/86, 9:00am	
	73	Defendants' Apple Computer Notice of Motion for summary judgment 10/17/86, 9:00am	
	74	- Declaration of J. P. Hayden in support of #73 - Exhibits to #74 - Appendix of exhibits to #74	
	75	- Declaration of K. R. Zerbe in support of #73	
	76	- Declaration of J. Sculley in support of #73	
	77	- Declaration of W. Rosing in support of #73	
	78	- Declaration of M. Muller in support of #73	
	79	- Declaration of A. C. Markkula, Jr., in support of #73	
	80	- Declaration of W. J. Houde in support of #73	
	81	- Declaration of S. P. Jobs in support of #73	
	82	- Declaration of J. D. Couch in support of #73	
	83	- Declaration of G. P. Carter in support of #73	
	84	- Declaration of R. Battat in support of #73	

SEE SHEET "C"

CIVIL DOCKET CONTINUATION SHEET

PLAINTIFF		DEFENDANT	DOCKET NO. _____
IN RE APPLE COMPUTER SECURITIES LITIGATION			PAGE ____ OF ____ PAGES
DATE	NR.	PROCEEDINGS	
<u>1986</u>			
Sep 15	85	Defendants' Apple Computer declaration of J. Vennard in support of motion for summary judgment	
	86	- Declaration of D. W. Yocam in support of #73	
24		RECEIVED: Notice of certification of a plaintiff class action (Glady Rhein)	
29	87	Proof of service re stipulation and order setting briefing schedule executed 9-29-86	
		--RECEIVED stipulation and order	
Oct 1	88	ORDER AND STIPULATION: Setting Schedule as follows: Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment due Nov. 3, 1986; Defendants' Reply due by Nov. 21, 1986; Hearing date for Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment is Dec. 5, 1986 at 10:30 am. RPA	
	89	Plaintiffs' Second Supplemental Response to Defendants' Contention Interrogatories (FILED UNDER SEAL)	
Oct 7	90	Plaintiffs' Notice of Taking Depositions of the following: Frederick M. Hoar on Oct. 8, 9 & 10, 1986 at 9:30 am. John Vennard on Oct. 15, 16 & 17, 1986 at 9:30 am. Joe Graziano on Oct. 29 - 30, 1986 at 9:30 am. Delbert W. Yocam on Oct. 30 - 31, 1986 at 9:30 am. E. Floyd Kvanne on Nov. 6 - 7, 1986 at 9:30 am. John Sculley on Nov. 18 - 19, 1986 at 9:30 am. Peter O. Crisp on Nov. 20 - 21, 1986 at 9:30 am. No Subpoenas Issued.	
Oct 20	91	Defendants Rock, Schlein, Crisp and Singleton's Memorandum in Support of Defendants' MOTION for Summary Judgment.	
	20	RECEIVED: Proposed Order by Defendants re: MOTION for Summary Judgment.	
	92	Defendants Rock, Schlein, Crisp and Singleton's Proof of Service of #91.	
	93	Defendants Rock, Schlein, Crisp and Singleton's Amended Proof of Service of #91.	
Oct 31	94	Plaintiffs' Notice of Taking Depositions of: Kenneth R. Zerbe on Nov. 5 - 6, 1986 at 9:30 am. A.C. Markkula, Jr. on Nov. 17, Dec. 1 Dec. 8, 1986 Michael Muller on Nov. 25 -26, 1986. Philip S. Schlein on Dec. 2 - 3, 1986 Steven P. Jobs Dec. 2 - 4, 1986 Dr. Henry E. Singleton on Dec. 10 -11, 1986 and Arthur Rock on Dec 15 - 16, 1986. No Subpoenas issued.	

(Continued)

CIVIL DOCKET CONTINUATION SHEET

PLAINTIFF		DEFENDANT		DOCKET NO. _____
In re:		APPLE COMPUTER SECURITIES LITIGATION		PAGE ____ OF ____ PAG
DATE	NR.	PROCEEDINGS		
<u>1986</u>				
Nov 7	95	Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel's LETTER dated Nov. 6, 1986 to Judge Aguilar re: filing of Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment and noting that they are filing a corrected copy of Plaintiffs' Second Supplemental Response to Defendants' Contention Interrogatories.		
Nov 7	96	Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Support of MOTION to Strike Defendants' Declarations in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment.		
	7	97 -Second Supplemental Response to Defendants' Contention Interrogatories. (CORRECTED) (FILED UNDER SEAL)		
	7	98 -Memorandum in Opposition to Apple Computer and the Officer Defendants' MOTION for Summary Judgment (FILED UNDER SEAL)		
	12	99 Plaintiffs' Declaration of Frederic F. Nagel, III in Opposition to Defendants' MOTION for Summary Judgment, Vol. 1 with Exhibits 1 - 40 attached. (FILED UNDER SEAL)		
	12	100 -Declaration of Frederic F. Nagel, III in Opposition to Defendants' MOTION for Summary Judgment, Vol. 2 with Exhibits 41 - 90 attached (FILED UNDER SEAL)		
	12	101 -Declaration of Frederic F. Nagel, III in Opposition to Defendants' MOTION for Summary Judgment, Vol. 3 with Exhibits 90A - 148 attached. (FILED UNDER SEAL)		
	12	102 -Declaration of Frederic F. Nagel, III in Opposition to Defendants' MOTION for Summary Judgment, Vol. 4 with Exhibits 149 - 181 attached. (FILED UNDER SEAL)		
Nov 10*	103	Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application for Leave to File Memorandum Exceeding 25 pages. Dec. 5, 1986 at 9:00 am.		
	10	104 -Memorandum in Opposition to the Outside Director Defendants' MOTION for Summary Judgment. (FILED UNDER SEAL)		
Nov 13	105	Defendant Peter Crisp's Amended Responses to Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories.		
Nov 12*		RECEIVED: Letter from Frederic F. Nagel, III dated Nov. 10, 1986 noting that Exhibit 172 of the Declaration of Frederic Nagel filed in Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment was actually submitted as Exhibit 115. Attached to the letter is a one page notation to be inserted in the Declaration which directs one to look at Exhibit 115 for Exhibit 172.		
Nov 14	106	Plaintiffs' Declaration of John B. Torkelsen in Support of Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment. (Continued)		

DC 111A
(Rev. 1/75)

CIVIL DOCKET CONTINUATION SHEET

PLAINTIFF	IN RE:	DEFENDANT	
	APPLE COMPUTER SECURITIES LITIGATION		DOCKET NO. C-84-20148 RPA PAGE ___ OF ___ PAGES

DATE	NR.	PROCEEDINGS
<u>1986</u>		
Nov 21	107	Defendants Rock, Crisp, Schlein and Singleton's Reply Memorandum in Support of Outside Directors' MOTION for Summary Judgment.
	21	108 Defendants' Memorandum in Opposition to Plaintiffs' MOTION to Strike Defendants' Declarations.
	21	109 - Application for Leave to File Reply Memorandum in Excess of 25 pages.
	21	RECEIVED: Defendants' Proposed Order re: filing of Memorandum in Excess of 25 pages.
	21	RECEIVED: Defendants' Memorandum in Excess of 25 pages, in support of Motion for Summary Judgment.
	21	110 Defendants' Declaration of A.C. Markkula, Jr. in Support of MOTION for Summary Judgment with Exhibits 1 - 6.
	21	111 - Declaration of Christopher M. Patti in Support of MOTION for Summary Judgment with Exhibits 1 - 39.
	21	112 - Proof of Service re: 108, 109, 110 and 111.
Nov 21	113	Plaintiffs' Declaration of John B. Torkelsen in Support of Memorandum in Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment, with corrected Exhibit B, Exhibits A - B attached.
	26	114 Defendant Rock's Amended Responses to Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories.
	26	115 Defendant Singleton's Amended Responses to Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories.
	26	116 Defendant Schlein's Amended Responses to Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories.
	26	117 Plaintiffs' First Request for Production of Objects for Inspection, Examination and testing directed to Defendants.
	26	118 - First Request for Admissions Propounded upon all Defendants.
	26	119 - Interrogatories, Set number Three, directed to Defendant Apple Computer and to Individual Defendants with Exhibit A.
Nov 20	120	Defendants Outside Directors' (Rock, Schlein, Crisp and Singleton) Interrogatories directed to Plaintiffs.
	28	121 Plaintiffs' Exhibit Errata Sheet in Support of Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Opposition to Apple Computer and Officer Defendants' MOTION for Summary Judgment. FILED UNDER SEAL

(Continued)

SHEET "D"

C-84-20148(A) RPA

DC 111A
(Rev. 1/75)

CIVIL DOCKET CONTINUATION SHEET

PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	C-84-20148(A)
IN RE: APPLE COMPUTER SECURITIES LITIGATION		DOCKET NO.
		PAGE OF PAGE

DATE	NR.	PROCEEDINGS
<u>1986</u>		
Dec 1	122	Plaintiffs' Rebuttal Memorandum in Opposition to Defendants' MOTION for Summary Judgment.
1	123	- Memorandum in Response to Defendants' Memorandum in Opposition to Plaintiffs' MOTION to Strike Defendants' Declaration.
1	124	- Supplemental Video Tape Interrogatory to all Defendants.
Dec 4	125	ORDER: Limiting the Plaintiff's brief in Opposition to Defendant Motion for Summary Judgment to a strict 90 page maximum. Denying Defendants' Application to file an oversized reply brief of 71 pages; allowing them to file an oversized brief of 45 page maximum. Plaintiffs' Brief in Opposition to Defendants' MOTION for Summary Judgment is due by Dec. 26, 1986 by 5:00 pm. Defendants reply brief by Jan. 2, 1987 by 5:00 pm. Oral argument is set for Jan. 9, 1987 at 9:00 am. RPA
Dec 9	126	Plaintiff Elsie Wagner Letter dated Dec. 1, 1986 requesting information on the status of the case.
Dec 23		RECEIVED: Exclusion Request of Alfred Laurence dated Dec. 10, 1986
Dec 29	127	Plaintiffs' Amended Declaration of John B. Torkelsen in Support of Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment.
Dec 29	128	- Memorandum in Opposition to Apple and Officer Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment. (FILED UNDER SEAL)
30	129	Plaintiffs' Supplemental Declaration of Frederic F. Nagel, III in Opposition to Apple and Officer Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (FILED UNDER SEAL)
<u>1987</u>		
Jan 2	130	Defendants Apple and Officers' Notice of Motion to Strike Portions of Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Opposition to Summary Judgment Motion. (hearing date Jan. 9, 1987)
2	131	- Memorandum in Support of Motion to Strike Portions of Plaintiff Memorandum in Opposition to Summary Judgment Motion.
2	132	- Declaration of Pual W. Sugarman in Support of Motion to Strike
2	133	- Reply Memorandum in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment.
2	134	- Supplemental Declaration of Christopher M. Patti in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment.
6	135	Defendants Outside Directors' Response to Plaintiff's Interrogatories addressed to Apple and to individual Defendants - Set # 3.

(Continued)

CIVIL DOCKET CONTINUATION SHEET

FPI-MAR

PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	JW C 84-20148(A) R7 DOCKET NO.
IN RE: APPLE COMPUTER SECURITIES LITIGATION		PAGE ___ OF ___ PAGES

DATE	NR.	PROCEEDINGS
<u>1987</u>		
Jan 6	136	Defendants Outside Directors' Response to Plaintiff's First Request for Admissions directed to all Defendants.
	6	137 - Response to Plaintiff's Request for Production of Objects.
	7	138 Defendants Apple and Directors' Response to Plaintiffs' First Request for Production of Objects.
	7	139 - Responses to Plaintiffs' Interrogatories No. 8 - 10 directed to Defendant Apple and to the Individual Defendants - Set # 3.
	7	140 - Response to Plaintiffs' First Request for Admissions.
	7	141 Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Opposition to Defendants Apple and Officers' Motion to Strike Portions of Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Opposition to Defendants' Summary Judgment Motion.
	7	RECEIVED: Proposed Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Strike Portions of Plaintiffs' Brief.
	8	142 Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Opposition to Defendants Apple and Officers' Motion to Strike Portions of Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Opposition to Defendants' Summary Judgment Motion with Attachment
Jan 9	143	MINTUES: (1/9/87) Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment and to Strike heard/Motion to Strike -Denied, Motion for Summary Judgment submitted. Order to be prepared by Court. Trial and Pretrial Conference dates are vacated, Court will set trial setting, conference dates in its Order ruling on Motions. C/R: Jo Ann Bryce RPA
Jan 13	144	Defendants Apple and Officers' Responses to Plaintiffs' Supplemental Videotape Interrogatory to all Defendants.
	13	145 - Responses to Plaintiffs' Interrogatories No. 1 - 7 and 12 - 14, Set No. 3.
Jan 14		Clerk forwarded Exclusion Request of Alfred Laurence to Plaintiffs counsel Milberg, Weiss, Bershad this date. CLERK
Jan 21	146	Plaintiffs' objections to outside Directors' interrogatories to re: Peter O. Crisp
Feb 17	147	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT of 1/9/87
	27	148 Defendants' response to plaintiffs' interrogatory no. 11 and supplemental responses to interrogatories no.2 and 12 addressed to defendants' apple computer, and to the individual defendants - set 3

SEE OVER

CIVIL DOCKET CONTINUATION SHEET

FPI-MAR

PLAINTIFF		DEFENDANT	DOCKET NO. _____
IN RE APPLE COMPUTER SECURITIES LITIGATION			PAGE ___ OF ___ PAGES
DATE	NR.	PROCEEDINGS	
1987			
Apr 30	149	ORDER: Defendants' motion for summary judgment on the issue of scienter is DENIED.	RPA
May 8	150	Letter from John E. Grasberger to clerk re: publication	
19	151	STIPULATION & ORDER: Plaintiffs' may file a motion for partial reconsideration of the Courts' order granting in large part defendants' motion for summary judgment to 5/26/87.	RPA
20	152	Plaintiffs' ex-parte application for leave to file brief in excess of 25 pages	
21	153	ORDER: Plaintiffs' may file a memo in excess of 40 pages.	
27	154	Plaintiffs' memo in support of motion for reconsideration of order partially granting motion for summary judgment (FILED UNDER SEAL)	
	155	- Declaration of Jeffifer Imes in support of motion for reconsideration of order partially granting motion for summary judgment 7/10/87, 9:00am (FILED UNDER SEALED)	
	156	Plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration of order partially granting motion for summary judgment 7/10/87, 9:00am (FILED UNDER SEALED)	
	157	- Appendix of cases	
Jun 12	158	Plaintiffs' Notice of Motion & Motion for partial summary judgment 7/10/87, 9:00am	
	159	Plaintiffs' statement of material facts not in dispute (FILED UNDER SEALED)	
	160	Plaintiffs' memo in support of #158 (UNDER SEALED)	
	161	- Declaration of Frederic F. Nagel III in support of #158 (UNDER SEALED)	
19	162	ORDER: That Apple Computer, and officer defendants may file a single brief not more than 50 pages respond to motion for reconsideration of 4/29/87 summary judgment order & for partial summary judgment	
26	163	Defendants' Outside director memo in opposition to motions for reconsideration & for partial summary judgment 7/10/87, 9:00am	

SEE SHEET "F"

DC 111A
(Rev. 1/75)

SEE SHEET "F"

84 20148 ~~RPA~~(A) JW

CIVIL DOCKET CONTINUATION SHEET

PLAINTIFF		DEFENDANT	DOCKET NO. _____
IN RE APPLE COMPUTER			PAGE ____ OF ____ PAGES
DATE	NR.	PROCEEDINGS	
<u>1987</u>			
Jun 26	164	Defendants' responses of to purported statement of material facts not in dispute & to their proposed Order	
	165	- Declaration of Cynthia Zollinger	
	166	- Declaration of David S. Schwartz in opposition to motion for partial summary judgment	
	167	- Declaration of Marie Amoruso in opposition to motion for partial summary judgment 7/10/87, 9:00am	
	168	- Memo in opposition to motions for reconsideration & for partial summary judgment	
Jul 6	169	Plaintiffs' supplemental declaration of Frederic F. Magel III in support of motion for reconsideration & for partial summary judgment (FILED UNDER SEALED)	
	170	- reply memo in support of motion for summary judgment (FILED UNDER SEALED)	
	171	- reply re: statement of material facts not in dispute (FILED UNDER SEALED)	
7	172	Plaintiffs' supplemental declaration of John B. Torkelsen in support of motions for reconsideration & for partial summary judgment 7/24/87 9:00am	
9	173	Defendants' supplemental memo in opposition to motion for reconsideration & for partial summary judgment	
24	174	MINUTES: (7/24/87)(C/R Unreported) Plaintiffs' motion for partial summary judgment, motion for reconsideration off calendar submitted on the papers. RPA	
31	175	ORDER: The Outside Directors' motion for summary judgment is GRANTED. Plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration of Court's order of 4/30/87 is DENIED. Plaintiffs' motion for partial summary judgment is DENIED.RP/	
Sep 21	176	Plaintiffs' Notice of Motion & Motion for leave to amend complaint 10/23/87, 9:00am	
	177	- Memo in support of #176	
	178	- Declaration of F. F. Nagel III in support of #176	
		RECEIVED: Proposed order	

CIVIL DOCKET CONTINUATION SHEET

PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	DOCKET NO. _____
IN RE APPLE COMPUTER		PAGE ___ OF ___ PA

DATE	NR.	PROCEEDINGS
<u>1987</u>		
Oct 2	179	Defendants' Notice of Motion for summary judgment 10/30/87, 9:00a
	180	- Memo in support of #179
	181	- Declaration in support of #179
	182	- Statement of material facts not in dispute
		RECEIVED: Proposed Order
19	183	ORDER: Defendants' motion for summary judgment on the issue of materiality with respect to all plaintiffs' case excerpt statements #5 and #10 are GRANTED. Defendants' motion for summary judgment on the issue of scienter is DENIED. RP
20	184	STIPULATION & ORDER: The hearings on both motions shall be continued to 12/4/87, 9:00am, opposition filed by 11/9/87, reply filed by 11/24/87. RPA
Nov 9	185	Defendants' memo in opposition to motion for leave to amend complaint; 12/4/87, 9:00am
	186	- Declaration of M. Macklin in opposition to motion for leave amend complaint, 12/4/87, 9:00am
	187	Plaintiffs' memo in opposition to motion for summary judgment 12/4/87, 9:00am
	188	- response to statement of material facts not in dispute
24	189	Defendants' reply memo in support of motion for summary judgment 12/4/87, 9:00am
25	190	Plaintiffs' reply memo in support of motion to amend complaint 12/4/87, 9:00am
Dec 14	191	ORDER: Defendants' motion for summary judgment is GRANTED. Plaintiffs' motion to amend the complaint is DENIED. ENTERED: 12/14/87, copies mailed to counsel. RP R
	192	JUDGMENT: Judgment is Entered against plaintiff & judgment is entered in favor of defendants'. ENTERED: 12/14/87, copies mailed to counsel. RPA

CONTINUATION SHEET "G"

CIVIL DOCKET CONTINUATION SHEET

PLAINTIFF		DEFENDANT	DOCKET NO. _____
IN RE APPLE COMPUTER SECURITIES		LITIGATION	PAGE ____ OF ____ PAGES
DATE	NR.	PROCEEDINGS	
<u>1987</u>			
Dec 18	193	ORDER OF CORRECTION: in the order dated 12/14/87. RPA	
	22	Defendants' BILL OF COSTS	
	23	Defendants' A. Rock, P. S. Schlein, P. O. Crisp & H. E. Singleton Declaration of Philip F. Atkins-Pattenson in support #194	
	196	Defendants' Arthur Rock, Philip S. Schlein, Peter O. Crisp & Henry Singleton COST BILL	
30	197	Copy of #192 mailed to Kohn, Savett, Marion returned from Post Office undelivable	
<u>1988</u>			
Jan 6	198	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF proceedings 12/11/87	
	8	Plaintiffs' NOTICE OF APPEAL as to Defendants' Apple Computer, Inc., G. P. Carter, J. D. Couch, W. J. Houde, S. P. Jobs, A. C. Markkula, Jr., M. Muller, J. Sculley, J. Vennard, D. W. Yocam, K. R. Zerbe ONLY. -fee paid, #88-1617	
		Docket fee payment notification form mailed to USCA	
13	200	Costs taxed in the amount of \$33,692.95 in favor of the outside director defendants Arthur Rock, Philip S. Schlein, Peter O. Crisp and Henry E. Singleton.	
	201	Costs taxed in the amount of \$66,199.58 in favor of defendants Apple Computer, Inc., Gene P. Carter, John D. Couch, Wilfrid J. Houde, Steven P. Jobs, A. C. Markkula, Jr., Michael Muller, John Sculley, John Vennard, Delbert W. Yocam, & Kenneth R. Zerbe.	
29	202	Appellate's Transcript Designation & Ordering Form of dates 1/9/87, 12/11/87	
Feb 10		Mailed certificate of record to USCA & counsel.	
	11	Mailed Reporter's Transcript to record on appeal to USCA.	
	22	203 Acknowledgment receipt of transcripts	
	23	204 Copy of order mailed to Kohn, Savett, Marion returned undelivable from Post Office	
		205 Copy of order mailed to A. J. Ivers returned undelivable from Post Office	

CIVIL DOCKET CONTINUATION SHEET

PLAINTIFF		DEFENDANT	DOCKET NO. _____
IN RE APPLE SECURITIES LITIGATION			PAGE ____ OF ____ PA.
DATE	NR.	PROCEEDINGS	
<u>1988</u>			
Mar 1	206	Acknowledgment Receipt of transcript	
Aug 25	207	<p>STIPULATION & ORDER: The parties agree the pending appeal the "under seal" designation of the following filings in this Court may be removed, and that such documents now on file in this Court may be removed, and that such documents now on file in this Court may be treated by the Court as though they were not filed under seal; (a) all documents included by either party in the excerpt of record filed with the ninth circuit; and (b) all documents which ninth circuit personnel request be transmitted to that court</p> <p style="text-align: right;">RP.</p>	
Mar 7	208	<p>USCA ORDER: the judgment of the said District Court in this caus is affirmed in part, reversed in part, and remanded. Each party shall bear its own costs on appeal.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">USCA</p>	
	21	209	<p>Clerk's notice of status conference on 4-20-90 at 10:30 a.m., parties are to submit to the Court no later than 4-13-90 a statu conference statement.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Cle</p>
<u>1990</u>			
Apr 2		210	Clerk's notice of status conference mailed to Kohn Savett Marion & Graf returned by Post Office - undeliverable
	3	211	- Clerk's notice of continuance, the status conference scheduled on calendar for 4-20-90 has been continued to 4-24-90 at 10:30 a.m., before Judge Aguilar
	12		Letter of change of address
	24	212	<p>MINUTES: (4-24-90); (c/r: Unreported); status conference off calendar reset to 4-27-90 at 10:30 a.m.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">RPA</p>
	25	213	Plaintiff's joint status conference statement
	27	214	<p>MINUTES: (4-27-90); (c/r: Unreported); status conference held; case continued to 11-12-90 at 2:00 p.m. for pretrial conference. case continued to 11-26-90 at 9:00 a.m. for trial, jury trial. Expert discovery cutoff 8-31-90</p> <p style="text-align: right;">RPA</p>
		215	<p>STIPULATION & ORDER: parties stipulate to extend the expert discovery cut-off, currently set at 8/31/90, to 9/18/90. This extention is to prepare for trial, set to commence on 11/26/90.</p>

C O N T I N U E D

CIVIL DOCKET CONTINUATION SHEET

PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	DOCKET NO. _____
IN RE APPLE SECURITIES LITIGATION		PAGE ____ OF ____ PAGES

DATE	NR.	PROCEEDINGS
<u>1990</u>		
Sep 28	216	Letter from Paul Sugarman to Judge Ingram re trial
Oct 18	217	ORDER: all in limine motions shall be filed by 1/28/91. Memorandum in opposition to in limine motions shall be filed by 2/14/91. Reply memoranda in support of in limine motions filed by 2/25/91. Oral argument on in limine motions heard on 3/8/91 at 9:00 a.m. Trial briefs and pre-trial statements filed by 3/13/91. Pretrial conference on 3/18/91 at 2:00 p.m. Trial on 3/25/91 at 9:00 a.m. WAI
Nov 9		REASSIGNED MESHART TO ORDER OF THE ASSIGNMENT COMMITTEE
20	218	Plaintiff's notice of motion and motion to modify class definition
	219	- memorandum in support of #218
		RECEIVED: (proposed) order modifying class definition
23	220	Order filed 11/9/90 mailed to the firm of Kohn, Savett, Marion & Graf returned by Post Office, unknown
Dec 7	221	Defendant's memorandum in opposition to #218
	222	- notice of cross-motion for partial summary judgment
	223	- statement of material facts not in dispute
	224	- notice of deposition and request for production of documents
	225	- declaration of Douglas M. Schwab in opposition to #218
	226	- declaration of service mail served #221 thru #225 to all parties of record, executed 12/7/90.
20	227	STIPULATION AND ORDER continuing hearing date and setting briefing schedule. Hearing on Plaintiffs' motion to modify class definition and definition Defendants' cross motion for partial summary judgment currently set for 12/21/90 is now scheduled for 1/18/91 at 9:00 am. Plaintiffs' reply to defendants' opposition and opposition to defendants' cross motion shall be filed by 12/28/90, and defendants' reply to plaintiff's opposition shall be filed by 1/11/91 JW
28	228	Plaintiff's memorandum in support of #218 and in opposition to #222

C O N T I N U E D

DC 111A
(Rev. 1-75)

SHEET "H"

C84-20148(a)

CIVIL DOCKET CONTINUATION SHEET

PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	DOCKET NO. _____
In re Apple Securities Litigation		PAGE ___ OF ___ PA

DATE	NR.	PROCEEDINGS
1990 Dec 28	229	Plaintiff's declaration of Dennis A. Gilardi, Sr. <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 2em; font-weight: bold; margin-top: 20px;"> Further Docketing on CIVIL </div>

1
161B-HQ-23908
/sjb

The following investigation was conducted by IA [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]:

b6
b7c

AT REDWOOD CITY, CALIFORNIA

LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY

On March 1, 1991, [REDACTED], Records Clerk, San Mateo County Sheriff's Office, which covers all San Mateo County advised no identifiable criminal record could be located regarding STEVEN PAUL JOBS, born February 24, 1955.

1
T61B-HQ-23908
SDM/sdm

The following investigation was conducted by IA

b6
b7c

AT SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA

LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY

On March 1, 1991, the records of the Santa Clara County Criminal Justice Information Control System, which covers the entire county, were reviewed and found to contain no arrest record identifiable with STEVEN PAUL JOBS.

1
161B-HQ-23908

/sjb

The following investigation was conducted by IA [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

[REDACTED]:
AT SAN MATEO, CALIFORNIA

BETTER BUSINESS BUREAU OF
SAN MATEO COUNTY

On March 20, 1991, [REDACTED], Better Business Bureau of San Mateo County, 20 North San Mateo Drive, advised no complaints have been filed against STEVEN PAUL JOBS, or Next Incorporated, Redwood City, California.

b6
b7C

AT REDWOOD CITY, CALIFORNIA

CONSUMER FRAUD OF DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S
OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

On March 20, 1991, [REDACTED], Consumer Fraud of District Attorney's, San Mateo County, 401 Marshall, advised no complaints have been filed against STEVEN PAUL JOBS or Next Incorporated, Redwood City, California.

b6
b7C

161B-HQ-23908
SDM:jtg

The following investigation was conducted by IA [redacted]
[redacted]:

b6
b7C

AT SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA

BETTER BUSINESS BUREAU

On March 15, 1991, the records of the Better Business Bureau were searched regarding STEVEN PAUL JOBS and Apple Computer, Inc. This search revealed no record regarding JOBS. It did, however, reveal several complaints with regard to Apple Computer, as with any large firm in the Silicon Valley. It was noted that Apple has always been responsive to all complaints filed, and its reputation is considered outstanding.

TELETYPE UNIT

0595 MRI 02173

PP RUCNFB FBISC

DE FBISF #0021 0782351

ZNR UUUUU

P 192255Z MAR 91

FM FBI SAN FRANCISCO (161B-HQ-23908) (P) (SQ 4)

TO DIRECTOR FBI/PRIORITY/

FBI SACRAMENTO/PRIORITY/

BT

UNCLAS

CITE: //3790//

SUBJECT: STEVEN PAUL JOBS; SPIN(B); BUDED: 3/7/91.

REFERENCE BUFAK TO SACRAMENTO, 2/21/91.

ON 3/11/91, IA [REDACTED] CHECKED THE SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY BUREAU OF VITAL STATISTICS WITH NEGATIVE RESULTS REGARDING THE BIRTH OF STEVEN PAUL JOBS. NO JOBS WERE LISTED.

b6
b7C

DURING INTERVIEW OF APPOINTEE, HE ADVISED THAT HE WAS ADOPTED.

ON 3/18/91, [REDACTED] SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY SOCIAL SERVICES ADOPTION UNIT, ADVISED THAT HE COULD LOCATE NO RECORD.

Dep. Dir.	
ADD Adm.	
ADD Inv.	
Asst. Dir.:	
Adm. Servs.	
Crim. Inv.	
Ident.	
Insp.	
Intell.	
Lab.	
Legal Coun.	
Rec. Mgnt.	
Tech. Servs.	
Training	
Cong. Affs. Off.	
Off. of EEO	
Off. Liaison & Int. Affs.	
Off. of Public Affs.	
Telephone Rm.	
Director's Sec'y	

SPIN(B) TUBE 15 ROOM 4383

CC DESTROYED

[Handwritten signature]

PAGE TWO DE FBISF 0021 UNCLAS

OF STEVEN PAUL JOBS WITH A BIRTHDATE OF 2/24/55. HE ADVISED THAT INFORMATION COULD BE OBTAINED THROUGH THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES, ADOPTIONS BRANCH, THAT MAINTAINED RECORDS OF ALL ADOPTIONS IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. HE PROVIDED A TELEPHONE NUMBER OF 916-324-8044.

ON 3/18/91, IA [REDACTED] CONTACTED [REDACTED] AT THE ABOVE NUMBER WHO ADVISED THAT SHE COULD NOT PROVIDE THAT INFORMATION AND THAT THE REQUEST WOULD HAVE TO BE IN WRITING DIRECTED TO THE STATE SOCIAL SERVICES, ADOPTIONS BRANCH, 744 P STREET, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814.

b6
b7c

SACRAMENTO. AT SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA: IN AN EFFORT TO EXPEDITE THIS MATTER, SACRAMENTO IS REQUESTED TO CONTACT THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES, ADOPTIONS BRANCH, 744 P STREET, AND ATTEMPT TO VERIFY THE APPOINTEE'S BIRTH.

BT

#0021

NNNN

WMFO RECORD CHECK ROUTING SLIP

FROM: SAC, WMFO (161B-HQ-23908) (A-1) (RUC) DATE: 3/20/91

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
(ATTENTION: SPIN UNIT, FBIHQ, ROOM 4371)

SUBJECT: Steven Paul Jobs
SPECIAL INQUIRY
OO: BUREAU

BUDED: 3/7/91

REFERENCE COMMUNICATION DATED: _____

IN ACCORDANCE WITH REQUEST CONTAINED IN REFERENCED COMMUNICATION,
THE FOLLOWING IS SUBMITTED:

ELECTRONIC SEARCHED	<u>3/13/91</u>	BY CLERK	
GENERAL SEARCHED	<u>3/19/91</u>	BY CLERK	
ELSUR SEARCHED	<u>3/19/91</u>	BY CLERK	
CFR SEARCHED	<u>3/18/91</u>	BY CLERK	

b6
b7c

A REVIEW OF WMFO ELECTRONIC, GENERAL, ELSUR, AND CFR INDICES
FAILED TO REVEAL ANY REPORTABLE INFORMATION IDENTIFIABLE WITH
CAPTIONED INDIVIDUAL, RELATIVES, REFERENCES, ASSOCIATES, OR
ROOMMATES, AS APPLICABLE, IN THE WMFO AREA, EXCEPT WITH REGARD TO
OTHER APPLICANT MATTERS UNLESS NOTED BELOW.

1-BUREAU (161B-23908)) (ATTN: PSS)
1-WMFO (161B-23908))

[Handwritten signature]

Memorandum



To : DIRECTOR, FBI Date 3/19/91
 (ATTENTION: SPIN UNIT, FBIHQ, ROOM 4371)

From : SAC, WMFO 161B-HQ-23908 *[Signature]*

Subject: STEVEN PAUL JOBS

SPIN
 BUDED: 3/7/91 RE: WMFO R/S dated 3/19

A review of WMFO's electronic, general, ELSUR, and CFR indices revealed no reportable information on captioned individual, other family members or roommates (as applicable) in the WMFO area, except for this investigation or other applicant matters.

① - FBIHQ () (ATTN: PSS)
 1 - WMFO ()

[Signature]

WMFO 161B-HQ-23908
GFF:gff

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
450 5th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C.

On March 12, 1991, [REDACTED], OFFICE OF ENFORCEMENT,
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION (SEC), advised Investigative
Assistant (IA) [REDACTED] that their files contained no
derogatory information concerning STEVEN PAUL JOBS.

[REDACTED] advised SEC records contained no
derogatory information for the companies of which JOBS is
associated.

b6
b7c

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE DALLAS	OFFICE OF ORIGIN BUREAU	DATE 3/19/91	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 3/18/91
TITLE OF CASE STEVEN PAUL JOBS		REPORT MADE BY SA 	TYPED BY: lcs
		CHARACTER OF CASE SPIN (B)	

b6
b7C

BUDED: 3/7/91 (Past)

REFERENCE: San Francisco teletype to Bureau, Dallas, et al, dated 3/15/91.

- RUC -

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Persons interviewed appraised of Privacy Act.

Dallas Indices (General, Confidential, and Elsur) were not found to contain any reference known to be identical to appointee.

It is to be noted that Dallas, of course, was unable to investigate this matter in the time of the Bureau deadline inasmuch as the lead to conduct investigation was not received until after the Bureau deadline.

APPROVED <i>TEB/MP</i>	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW			
COPIES MADE: ②-Bureau (161B-23908) (ATTN: SPIN UNIT, RM. 4371, FBIHQ) 1-Dallas (161B-HQ-23908)					
CC DESTROYED					
DISSEMINATION RECORD OF ATTACHED REPORT		Notations			
Agency					<i>[Signature]</i>
Request Recd.					
Date Fwd.					
How Fwd.					
By					

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Federal Bureau of Investigation

Copy to:

Report of:
Date:

[redacted]
March 19, 1991

Office: DALLAS

Field Office File #: 161B-HQ-23908

Bureau File #: 161B-23908

Title: STEVEN PAUL JOBS

b6
b7C

Character: SPECIAL INQUIRY

Synopsis:

[redacted] interviewed at [redacted] advised he has known the appointee for approximately [redacted] years, [redacted]
[redacted] He feels the appointee is a very qualified individual and recommends candidate for a position of trust and confidence with the U.S. Government.

- RUC -

DETAILS:

161B-HQ-23908

The following investigation was conducted by SA [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

b6
b7c

INTERVIEW OF A BUSINESS OFFICIAL

AT DALLAS, TEXAS

On 3/18/91, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] advised SA [REDACTED] with the following
information having to do with his knowledge of the appointee,
STEVEN PAUL JOBS:

He has known the appointee for about [REDACTED] years.
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] continued that his knowledge of the appointee
is based strictly on his knowledge of the appointee in a business
situation. He stated that he feels that the appointee is well
thought of and has done an excellent job as a member of the
COMPUTER INDUSTRY in the United States.

He also noted that he recalled that the appointee was
recently married, and that his wife had something to do with
Stanford Business School. He described the appointee as being a
"genius" in the area of computers.

He stated that he is not personally well acquainted
with the appointee with the exception of his meetings [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]. He stated that he knows nothing of a
derogatory nature regarding applicant's moral character,
associates, or loyalty. Neither does he have any personal
information with regard to any drug use, prescription medicine or
alcohol abuse, personal financial problems, or prejudices that he
is aware of.

b6
b7c

He also noted that it is his understanding that the
appointee previously invented the personalized type computers
produced by the APPLE COMPUTER COMPANY, and he is under the
understanding that the appointee actually invented the APPLE
COMPUTER.

He has been told that the appointee actually did not
graduate from college but was able to conduct such an invention
due to his extreme intelligence and willing to work. He also
noted that apparently many articles and possibly books regarding
the appointee have been previously been written because of the
appointee's invention of the above-described APPLE COMPUTER
CORPORATION.

161B-HQ-23908

[redacted] noted that he recommends the appointee for a position of trust and confidence with the U.S. Government, particularly in anything having to do with computerized information.

b6
b7C

NEW ROCHELLE RESIDENT AGENCY
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

TO: DIRECTOR FBI


ATTN: [Redacted] DATE: 3/15/91

FROM: SA [Redacted]

b6
b7c

SUBJECT: STEVEN PAUL JOBS; SPIN; BUDEA 3/15

COMMENTS: _____



[Handwritten signature]

NUMBER OF PAGES (INCLUDING COVER SHEET) _____

FD-36 (Rev. 5-22-78)

FBI

TELETYPE

PRIORITY

UNCLAS

3/15/91

/PRIORITY/

NEW YORK (161-HQ-23908) (RUC) (A-4)

/PRIORITY/

DIRECTOR FBI ()

BT

UNCLAS

CITE: //3540:3649//

- 1 - New York
- 1 - Supervisor (A-6)

GMM:nbl037D.074
(2)

Approved: _____ Transmitted _____ Per _____

NOTE: AFTER APPROVAL, PLEASE ROUTE THIS DOCUMENT BACK TO THE WORD PROCESSING SUPERVISOR, NOT TO THE TELETYPE ROOM.

WP Initials: _____

SUBJECT: STEVEN PAUL JOBS; SPECIAL INQUIRY; BUDED: 3/15/91.

RESAN FRANCISCO TELETYPE TO NEW YORK, DATED 3/15/91.

REFERENCE:

b6
b7c

ON MARCH 15, 1991,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

, IBM CORPORATION, OLD ORCHARD ROAD, ARMONK, NEW YORK, ADVISED HE HAS KNOWN THE APPOINTEE APPROXIMATELY [REDACTED] YEARS.

HE EXPLAINED HE INITIALLY MET JOBS THROUGH MUTUAL BUSINESS ASSOCIATES AND THAT FRIENDSHIP CONTINUES TILL TODAY. HE STATED THE APPOINTEE HAS ALWAYS BEEN AN IMPRESSIVE INDIVIDUAL FROM EVERY ADVANTAGE POINT AND HE WAS UNAWARE OF ANY INFORMATION CONCERNING THE

APPOINTEE WHICH MIGHT BE TERMED DEROGATORY. HE NOTED HE HAS BEEN WITH JOBS IN SOCIAL SETTINGS BUT HAS NEVER WITNESSED ANY ILLEGAL DRUG USAGE OR ALCOHOL ABUSE BY THE APPOINTEE. HE NOTED THE APPOINTEE SEEMINGLY LIVES WITHIN HIS FINANCIAL MEANS AND HE HAS NEVER WITNESSED ANY EXAMPLES OF AN EXTRAVAGANT LIFESTYLE HAVING BEEN PRACTICED BY JOBS. HE NOTED THE APPOINTEE IS AN INTELLIGENT, CHEERFUL INDIVIDUAL TO BE WITH AND NOTED HE HAS NEVER WITNESSED ANY RASH OR FRIVOLOUS BEHAVIOR BY THE APPOINTEE. HE STATED THE APPOINTEE IS A MAN OF GOOD SOLID JUDGEMENT AND NOTED HE SEEMS TO BE A MORALLY UPRIGHT INDIVIDUAL. HE FELT THE APPOINTEE HAS THE ABILITY

TO GET ALONG WELL WITH ALL TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS AND HE HAS NEVER HEARD JOBS UTTER ANY COMMENTS WHICH MIGHT BE CONSTRUED OR PREJUDICED TOWARDS ANY INDIVIDUAL OR GROUPS OF INDIVIDUALS BECAUSE OF RACE, COLOR, CREED, OR ETHNIC BACKGROUND. HE FELT THE APPOINTEE HAS DONE AN OUTSTANDING JOB IN THE COMPUTER INDUSTRY AND BASED ON PAST OBSERVATIONS WOULD HAVE NO HESITANCY IN RECOMMENDING HIM FOR A WHITE HOUSE APPOINTMENT.

ON MARCH 15, 1991, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED], IBM CORPORATION, OLD ORCHARD ROAD, ARMONK, NEW YORK, ADVISED HE HAS KNOWN THE APPOINTEE APPROXIMATELY [REDACTED] YEARS. HE

b6
b7c

EXPLAINED HE MET JOBS THROUGH MUTUAL BUSINESS ASSOCIATES AND THAT FREINDSHIP CONTINUES TO TODAY. HE STATED HE KNOWS THE APPOINTEE ON A PROFESSIONAL BASIS BUT HAS ALSO SEEN HIM IN SOCIAL SETTINGS AS WELL. HE NOTED THE APPOINTEE HAS A LIVELY PERSONALITY AND SEEMINGLY GETS ALONG WITH ALL TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS HE COMES IN CONTACT WITH. HE FELT THE APPOINTEE IS AN HONEST, TRUSTWORTHY INDIVIDUAL, ONE WHOSE BEHAVIOR HAS ALWAYS BEEN ABOVE REPROACH. HE FELT THE APPOINTEE WAS NOT GIVEN TO ANY ILLEGAL DRUG USAGE NOR WAS HE AWARE OF ANY ALCOHOL ABUSE EVER HAVING BEEN SHOWN BY JOBS. HE NOTED THE APPOINTEE HAS NEVER EXHIBITED AN EXTRAVAGANT OR FLASHY LIFESTYLE AND

TO THE BEST OF HIS KNOWLEDGE FELT THE APPOINTEE LIVED WITHIN HIS FINANCIAL MEANS. HE NOTED THE APPOINTEE SEEMS TO BE A HARDWORKING INDUSTRIOUS INDIVIDUAL, ONE WHO IS LOW KEYED AND ASSURED AT ALL TIMES. HE STATED THE APPOINTEE ENJOYS A HIGH DEGREE OF RESPECT IN THE BUSINESS COMMUNITY AND NOTED HE HAS ACHIEVED MUCH IN HIS LIFE. HE BELIEVES THE APPOINTEE IS A STABLE IMPRESSIVE INDIVIDUAL AND NOTED HE IS ENDOWED WITH MUCH COMMON SENSE. HE FELT THE APPOINTEE IS NOT GIVEN TO ANY IMPULSIVE OR FLIGHTY DECISIONS AND NOTED HE HAS NEVER HEARD JOBS UTTER ANY COMMENTS CONCERNING ANY INDIVIDUAL OR GROUPS OF INDIVIDUALS BECAUSE OF RACE, COLOR, CREED OR ETHNIC

BACKGROUND. HE FELT THE APPOINTEE IS AN IMPRESSIVE, OUTSTANDING
INDIVIDUAL AND KNEW OF NO REASON NOT TO RECOMMEND HIM FOR A WHITE
HOUSE APPOINTMENT.

TELETYPE UNIT

0061 MRI 00112

15 MAR 91 06 21z

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
PP RUCNFB FBIAT FBIDL

DE FBISF #0006 0740105

ZNR UUUUU

P 150104Z MAR 91

FM FBI SAN FRANCISCO (161B-HQ-23908) (P) (SQUAD 4)

TO DIRECTOR FBI/PRIORITY/

FBI ATLANTA/PRIORITY/

FBI DALLAS/PRIORITY/

FBI NEW YORK/PRIORITY/

BT

UNCLAS

CITE: //3790//

SUBJECT: STEVEN PAUL JOBS; SPIN (B); BUDED: 3/7/91.

REFERENCE BUTEL TO SAN FRANCISCO 2/21/91.

FOR INFORMATION OF RECEIVING OFFICES MR JOBS IS BEING CONSIDERED FOR A PRESIDENTIAL APPOINTMENT TO THE PRESIDENT'S EXPORT COUNCIL.

APPOINTEE IS CURRENTLY PRESIDENT OF NEXT COMPUTER, INC, REDWOOD CITY, CALIFORNIA. APPOINTEE FORMERLY CHAIRMAN OF APPLE COMPUTER.

APPOINTEE INTERVIEWED 3/13/91. THROUGH INTERVIEW OF APPOINTEE

CC DESTROYED

TELETYPE

PAGE TWO DE FBISF 0006 UNCLAS IN 212

AND OTHERS AT NEXT COMPUTER, INC. THE FOLLOWING INDIVIDUALS WERE IDENTIFIED AS PEOPLE WHO WOULD KNOW THE APPOINTEE AND COULD COMMENT ON HIS SUITABILITY FOR THE APPOINTMENT.

PAT CRECINE, PRESIDENT, GEORGIA TECH, ATLANTA, GEORGIA, TELEPHONE NUMBER (404) 894-5051, IS A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF NEXT COMPUTER, INC.

[REDACTED] DALLAS, TEXAS,
TELEPHONE NUMBER [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] OF NEXT COMPUTER INC.

b6
b7C

[REDACTED], 1585 CHARLESTON ROAD, MOUNTAIN VIEW,
CALIFORNIA, TELEPHONE NUMBER [REDACTED]

[REDACTED], APPLE COMPUTER,
CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA, TELEPHONE NUMBER [REDACTED] OR HOME NUMBER

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] AT
APPLE COMPUTER, CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA, TELEPHONE NUMBER [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] IBM, OLD ORCHARD ROAD,
ARMONK, NEW YORK, TELEPHONE NUMBER [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] IBM, OLD ORCHARD ROAD,
ARMONK, NEW YORK, TELEPHONE NUMBER [REDACTED]

EM

6/11/78

[REDACTED] SUN MICROSYSTEMS,
2550 GARCIA AVENUE, MOUNTAIN VIEW, CALIFORNIA, TELEPHONE NUMBER [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

b6
b7C

[REDACTED] SUN MICROSYSTEMS, 2550 GARCIA
AVENUE, MOUNTAIN VIEW, CALIFORNIA, TELEPHONE NUMBER [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] PIXAR, SOFTWARE COMPANY, 1001 WEST CUTTING
BOULEVARD, RICHMOND, CALIFORNIA, TELEPHONE NUMBER [REDACTED]

LEADS

ATLANTA

AT ATLANTA, GEORGIA: INTERVIEW PAT CRECINE.

DALLAS

AT DALLAS, TEXAS: INTERVIEW [REDACTED]

NEW ROCHELLE

AT ARMONK, NEW YORK: INTERVIEW [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] IBM.

b6
b7C

SAN FRANCISCO

AT SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA: INTERVIEW [REDACTED] AND [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] AT SUN MICROSYSTEMS. [REDACTED] AND [REDACTED] AT

APPLE COMPUTER. INTERVIEW [REDACTED] AT ADOBE SYSTEMS.

SAN FRANCISCO

PAGE FOUR DE FBISF 0006 UNCLAS

AT CONCORD, CALIFORNIA: INTERVIEW AT PIXAR

b6
b7C

SOFTWARE COMPANY WHICH IS OWNED BY THE APPOINTEE.

BT

#0006

NNNN

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE WMFO	OFFICE OF ORIGIN BUREAU	DATE 3/7/91	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 2/21-3/7/91
TITLE OF CASE STEVEN PAUL JOBS		REPORT MADE BY PSS [redacted]	TYPED BY: jlb
		CHARACTER OF CASE SPIN B	

REFERENCE: Bureau airtel to WMFO dated 2/21/91 and [redacted] [redacted] telcall on 3/6/91.

b6
b7C

-P-

ADMINISTRATIVE: Where appropriate, all persons interviewed were advised of the provisions of the Privacy Act (e) (3), and promises of confidentiality were made where noted.

LEAD: Outstanding are indices and an SEC check.

APPROVED <i>[Signature]</i> SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW		
COPIES MADE: 2-BUREAU (161B-HQ-23908) Attention: [redacted] 1-WMFO (161B-HQ-23908)			
CC DESTROYED <i>[Signature]</i>			
DISSEMINATION RECORD OF ATTACHED REPORT			
Agency			Notations <i>[Signature]</i>
Request Recd.			
Date Fwd.			
How Fwd.			
By			

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Federal Bureau of Investigation

Copy to:

Report of: PSS [redacted]
Date: March 7, 1991

Office: WMFO

b6
b7C

Field Office File #: 161B-HQ-23908

Bureau File #161B-HQ-23908

Title: STEVEN PAUL JOBS

Character: SPIN B

Synopsis: White House Office satisfactory. PIS/DOJ negative.
USSS checks negative.

WMFO 161-B-HQ-23908
MDS/mds

1

THE WHITE HOUSE OFFICE (WHO)

The Executive Clerk's Office
Old Executive Office Building
17th and Pennsylvania Avenue N.W.
Washington, D.C.

The following investigation was conducted by SA
 on 2/27/91 regarding STEVEN PAUL JOBS.

b6
b7c

No record of appointment or nomination by the President
of the United States could be located in this office.

161B-HQ-23908
DFS:dfs

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

PUBLIC INTEGRITY SECTION
Criminal Division
1400 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C.

On February 25, 1991, Investigative Assistant (IA) [redacted] caused a search to be made of the files of the U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE (DOJ), Public Integrity Section, Criminal Division, N.W., Washington, D.C., concerning STEVEN PAUL JOBS.

On March 5, 1991, [redacted] Public Integrity Section, DOJ, advised IA [redacted] that a search of the files concerning STEVEN PAUL JOBS was met with negative results.

b6
b7C

WMFO 161B-HQ-23908
JLB:

MISCELLANEOUS

IA caused a search to be made of the files of the United States Secret Service, Department of the Treasury, and was advised on 2/28/91, that no record was located concerning the appointee.

b6
b7c

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE SACRAMENTO	OFFICE OF ORIGIN BUREAU	DATE 3/6/91	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 3/6/91
TITLE OF CASE STEVEN PAUL JOBS		REPORT MADE BY IA 	TYPED BY mcn
		CHARACTER OF CASE SPIN (B)	

REFERENCE

Bureau airtel 2/21/91.

- RUC -

ADMINISTRATIVE

Sacramento general, special, ELSUR and FOIMS indices negative.

APPROVED COPIES MADE: 2 - Bureau (161B-HQ-R-23908) 1 - Sacramento (161B-HQ-R-23908) CC DESTROYED	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW Notations 			
Dissemination Record of Attached Report					
Agency					
Request Recd.					
Date Fwd.					
How Fwd.					
By					

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Federal Bureau of Investigation

Copy to:

Report of: IA [redacted]
Date: MARCH 6, 1991

Office: SACRAMENTO

Field Office File #: SC 161B-HQ-R-23908

Bureau File #:

Title: STEVEN PAUL JOBS

b6
b7C

Character: SPECIAL INQUIRY

Synopsis: Appointee's birth verified.

- RUC -

Details: At Sacramento, California

On March 6, 1991, [redacted] Bureau of Vital Statistics advised appointee was born February 24, 1955, at San Francisco, California, to [redacted] and CLARA HAGAPIAN. Appointee has birth certificate number 55-075100.

On March 6, 1991, contact with the California Attorney General's Office, Public Inquiry Unit, determined they have received no complaints regarding PIXAR or NEXT, INC. They advised they file complaints regarding companies only.

- 1*-

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE CINCINNATI	OFFICE OF ORIGIN BUREAU	DATE 3/6/91	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 2/25/91
TITLE OF CASE STEVEN PAUL JOBS		REPORT MADE BY IA 	TYPED BY: JSG
		CHARACTER OF CASE SPECIAL INQUIRY (B)	

REFERENCE:

Director airtel to Cincinnati, 2/21/91.

-RUC-

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Where appropriate, Privacy Act (e)(3) data was furnished to persons interviewed. Express promises of confidentiality, both limited and unlimited, have been noted where granted.

Cincinnati Office General Indices, Elsur Index and Confidential Indices were negative regarding the appointee.

APPROVED <i>AKT/als</i>	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW		
COPIES MADE: ② - Bureau (161B-23908) (Attn:) Unit, Room 4372				
1 - Cincinnati (161-CI-C58081-38)				
CC DESTROYED				
DISSEMINATION RECORD OF ATTACHED REPORT		Notations		
Agency				<i>[Signature]</i>
Request Recd.				
Date Fwd.				
How Fwd.				
By				

COVER PAGE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Federal Bureau of Investigation

Copy to:

Report of: IA [redacted]
Date: March 6, 1991

Office: CINCINNATI

Field Office File #: 161-CI-C58081-38

Bureau File #: 161B-23908

b6
b7C

Title: STEVEN PAUL JOBS

Character: SPECIAL INQUIRY

Synopsis: Records, DEFENSE INDUSTRIAL SECURITY CLEARANCE OFFICE (DISCO), Columbus, Ohio, reflect appointee has a terminated Top Secret clearance.

-RUC-

DETAILS:

DEFENSE INDUSTRIAL SECURITY CLEARANCE OFFICE (DISCO)

On February 25, 1991, [redacted] Security Clerk, Status and Inquiry Branch, DISCO, Columbus, Ohio, was personally contacted and she advised she located the following security clearance in their files identifiable with the appointee, STEVEN PAUL JOBS, SSAN: 549-94-3295:

b6
b7C

Top Secret clearance dated November 3, 1988, based on a Background Investigation by the Defense Investigative Service dated August 30, 1988. This clearance terminated July 31, 1990, and the employing agency is:

PIXAR
San Rafael, California

RECEIVED
TELETYPE
UNIT

5 MAR 91 06 43
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Dep. Dir.	
ADD Adm.	
ADD Inv.	
Asst. Dir.:	
Adm. Servs.	
Crim. Inv.	
Ident.	
Insp.	
Intell.	
Lab.	
Legal Coun.	
Rec. Mgnt.	
Tech. Servs.	
Training	
Cong. Affs. Off.	
Off. of EEO	
Off. Liaison & Int. Affs.	
Off. of Public Affs.	
Telephone Rm.	
Director's Sec'y	

0238 MRI 00370

PP RUCNFB

DE FBISD #0001 0640444

ZNR UUUUU

P 050444Z MAR 91

FM FBI SAN DIEGO (161B-HQ-R-23908) (RUC)

TO DIRECTOR FBI/PRIORITY/

BT

UNCLAS

CITE: //3780//

PASS: HQ FOR [REDACTED] SPIN UNIT, ROOM 4371.

SK [initials]
TUBE 5 ROOM 4383

b6
b7C

SUBJECT: STEVEN PAUL JOBS; SPIN; BUDED: MARCH 9, 1991.

RE BU FACSIMILE TO SAN DIEGO FEBRUARY 27, 1991.

REVIEW OF SAN DIEGO REFERENCE SD 183B-870-36-56 REVEALED THAT BY LETTER DATED JUNE 1, 1989, [REDACTED], LAW OFFICES OF TROY AND GOULD, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION, 1801 CENTURY PARK EAST, 16TH FLOOR, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067, FURNISHED A COPY OF VARIOUS NEWSPAPER ARTICLES STORED IN NEXIS AND OTHER COMMERCIAL DATA BASES, REGARDING [REDACTED].

b6
b7C

A REVIEW OF INFORMATION FURNISHED BY [REDACTED] REVEALED THAT

CC DESTROYED

[Handwritten signature]

PAGE TWO DE FBISD 0001 UNCLAS

[REDACTED] (DESCRIBED AS A FORMER "HIPPIE"), HAD AT ONE TIME RUN AN APPLE ORCHARD IN PORTLAND, OREGON, IN PARTNERSHIP WITH STEVEN JOBS. JOBS LATER CO-FOUNDED APPLE COMPUTER, INC. INFORMATION SET FORTH THAT IN APPROXIMATELY THE EARLY 1970'S [REDACTED] [REDACTED] WITH STEVEN P. JOBS, DESCRIBED AS

[REDACTED] AFTER LEAVING THE APPLE ORCHARD PARTNERSHIP, JOBS STARTED THE APPLES COMPUTER BUSINESS.

THE REFERENCE ALSO SET FORTH INFORMATION THAT JOBS WAS AT ONE TIME PART OWNER [REDACTED] OF TIMBERLAND IN OREGON, WHICH INCLUDED A MINE.

NO FURTHER INFORMATION WAS CONTAINED IN ABOVE REFERENCE, AND NO FURTHER DESCRIPTIVE DATA, OR DEROGATORY INFORMATION WAS NOTED.

SAN DIEGO GENERAL INDICES (BY IA [REDACTED], MARCH 4, 1991); CONFIDENTIAL INDICES (BY VI [REDACTED], MARCH 4, 1991) AND ELSUR INDICES (BY [REDACTED], MARCH 5, 1991) ALL NEGATIVE RE STEVEN PAUL JOBS.

BT

#0001

NNNN

b6
b7c

b6
b7c

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE NEW YORK	OFFICE OF ORIGIN BUREAU	DATE 3/1/91	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 2/28/91-3/1/91
TITLE OF CASE Steven Paul Jobs		REPORT MADE BY SA [redacted] b6 b7C	TYPED BY: tcn
		CHARACTER OF CASE SPIN (B)	

REFERENCE

BuFax to New York on 2/21/91.

ADMINISTRATIVE

RUC

All individuals were advised of the provisions of the Privacy Act of 1974 and none requested confidentiality.

On February 25, 1991, support employee [redacted] conducted a search of the NYO CIFU indices and found no positively identifiable information regarding the candidates, candidate's family or associates.

On February 25, 1991, support employee [redacted] conducted a search of the NYO ELSUR indices and found no positively identifiable information regarding the candidate, candidate's family or associates.

On February 25, 1991, support employee [redacted] conducted a search of the NYO GENERAL indices and found no positively identifiable information regarding the candidate, candidate's family or associates.

b6
b7C

APPROVED <i>[Signature]</i>	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW		
COPIES MADE: 3-Bureau (161B-HQ-23908) Attention: [redacted] (SPIN UNIT) 1-New York (161B-HQ-23908)		b6 b7C		
2 CC DESTROYED				
DISSEMINATION RECORD OF ATTACHED REPORT		Notations <i>[Signature]</i>		
Agency				
Request Recd.				
Date Fwd.				
How Fwd.				
By				

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Federal Bureau of Investigation

Copy to:

Report of:

SA

b6
b7C

Office: NEW YORK

Date:

March 1, 1991

Field Office File #:

161B-HQ-23908

Bureau File #:

161B-HQ-23908

Title:

Steven Paul Jobs

Character:

SPIN(B)

Synopsis:

Candidate's listed ownership of apartment verified. Candidate has not lived in the apartment yet because it is undergoing extensive renovation therefore there were no tenants familiar with the candidate.

RUC

161E-HQ-23908

NEIGHBORHOOD

146 Central Park West
New York, New York 10023
no dates given

b6
b7c

On March 1, 1991, [redacted] contacted [redacted]
[redacted] the San Remo Apartments, 146 Central Park West,
New York, New York, 10023.

[redacted] verified that the candidate was the owner of an apartment in the San Remo. He also stated that the candidate has never occupied the apartment because upon the purchase of the apartment, the candidate proceeded to completely renovate the apartment. He further indicated that there was nobody who would be familiar with the candidate. He stated that he did not know the candidate personally but the fact that the candidate was allowed to buy an apartment in the San Remo is an indication that he is of good character. He was then unable to provide any other information about the candidate.

REQUEST FOR CREDIT CHECK

RECEIVED FEB 26 1991

DATE 02/22/91

TO: CONTRACTOR
FROM: SPECIAL INQUIRY UNIT (SPIN), CID

ATTENTION: [REDACTED] ROOM 4371 EXT. 2587

b6
b7C

RESPONSE CRITERIA
5 WORK DAYS

TYPE OF REQUEST
SUITABILITY

SUBJECT'S NAME: JOBS, STEVEN PAUL MAIDEN:

DATE OF BIRTH (DOB): 02/24/55 PLACE OF BIRTH (POB): SAN FRANCISCO, CA

SEX: M SOCIAL SECURITY ACCOUNT NUMBER (SSAN): 549-94-3295

SPOUSE'S NAME: MAIDEN:

SUBJECT'S CURRENT ADDRESS: [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

SUBJECT'S ADDRESS(ES) FOR LAST SEVEN (7) YEARS:
[REDACTED]
460 MOUNTAIN HOME RD, WOODSIDE, CA 94062
15900 WEST RD, LOS GATOS, CA 95030

ENCLOSURE - RELEASE FORM TO BE ATTACHED

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Carefully read this authorization to release information about you, then sign and date it in ink.

AUTHORITY FOR RELEASE OF INFORMATION


I Authorize any duly accredited representative of the Federal Government, including those from the U.S. Office of Personnel Management, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and the Department of Defense, to obtain any information relating to my activities from schools, residential management agents, employers, criminal justice agencies, financial or lending institutions, credit bureaus, consumer reporting agencies, retail business establishments, medical institutions, hospitals or other repositories of medical records, or individuals. This information may include, but is not limited to, my academic, residential, achievement, performance, attendance, personal history, disciplinary, criminal history record, arrest, conviction, medical, psychiatric/psychological, and financial and credit information.

I Further Authorize the U.S. Office of Personnel Management, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Department of Defense, and any other authorized agency, to request criminal history record information about me from criminal justice agencies for the purpose of determining my eligibility for access to classified information, or assignment to, or retention in, sensitive national security duties, in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 9101.

I Direct You To Release such information upon request of the duly accredited representative of any authorized agency regardless of any agreement I may have made with you previously to the contrary.

I Understand that the information you release is for official use by the Federal Government, and that these users may redisclose the information you release as authorized by law.

I Release any individual, including records custodians, from all liability for damages that may result to me on account of compliance or any attempts to comply with this authorization. This release is binding, now and in the future, on my heirs, assigns, associates, and personal representative(s) of any nature. Copies of this authorization that show my signature are as valid as the original release signed by me.

Signature (Sign in ink) 		Full Name (Typed) Steven P. Jobs	
Other Names Used		Social Security Number 549 - 94 - 3295	
[Redacted Area]			
Date 2/6/97	Parent/Guardian Signature (If Required)		

b6
b7c

SPIN 5 DAYS E



JOBS STEVEN PAUL



VND: T R W PAGE 1
BUR: TRW CREDIT DATA
1065 EAST HILLSDALE BOULEVARD
FOSTER CITY CA 94404
(415) 571-6085

SUBJECTS NAME MS DEP DOB SSN
JOBS STE P 549943295
ALSO KNOWN AS SPOUSE NAME SPOUSE SSN

CURRENT ADDRESS
460 MOUNTAIN HOME RD WOODS ,
FORMER ADDRESS

CURRENT EMPLOYER
APPLE COMPUTER 10495 BANDLEY DR CA
OCCUPATION

FORMER EMPLOYER

FORMER OCCUPATION

b6
b7c

***** TRADE *****

SUBR NAME	ECO A TYPE	ACCOUNT NUMBER	MOP HIST	PAYMENT	REMARKS
SUBR CODE	DLR DO DLA	HIGH TERM BAL	PAST	PATTERN	
				30 60 90	
B OF A 3200699	1 18 07-87 1-81	[REDACTED] 01-91 0010000	000000	11 24 NNNNNNNNNNNN NNNNNNNNNNNN 0 0 0	CURR ACCT
B OF A 3201276	1 18 01-91 01-84	[REDACTED] 01-91 0025000	005749	11 24 CCCCCCCCCCCC CCCCCCCCCCCC 0 0 0	CURR ACCT

***** DECODE *****

3200699 BANK OF AMERICA (415) 622-5776
BOX 37114 RINCON ANN, SAN FRANCISCO CA 94137
3201276 BANK OF AMERICA (415) 953-6359
ONE SOUTH VAN NESS, SAN FRANCISCO CA 94103

***** END OF REPORT *****

TO: FACILITY ACCESS & SECURITY UNIT
ROOM 4370
ATTN: [REDACTED]

February 19, 1991

b6
b7c

FROM: SPECIAL INQUIRY UNIT
ROOM 4371

ATTN: [REDACTED] X 4773

BUDED: N/A

*** NOTICE OF PERSONNEL INVESTIGATIONS ***

TYPE OF APPOINTMENT XXXXXXXXXXXX DATE OF APPOINTMENT XX/XX/XXXX

POSITION SENSITIVITY: 3 (1=NS, 2=NCS, 3=CS, 4=SS)

INVESTIGATING AGENCY: SOI - DJ90

INVESTIGATION TYPE: 30 (11=PRI, 15=MBI, 20=LBI, 25=BI, 30=SBI, 39=OTHER)

DATE INVESTIGATION INITIATED: N/A

AGENCY FILE #: N/A

AGENCY FILE LOCATION: SOI - DJ90

OR IF NOT AT SOI, ENTER LOCATION BELOW:

AGENCY NAME: XXXXXXXXXXXX

AGENCY LOCATION: XXXXXXXXXX

*** SUBJECT IDENTIFICATION ***

NAME: JOBS STEVEN PAUL
(LAST) (FIRST) (MIDDLE) (SUFFIX)

SSN: 549-94-3295 DOB: 02/24/55__

POB: SAN FRANCISCO _____ CA
(CITY) (COUNTRY) (STATE)

_____ (COUNTRY IF OTHER THAN U.S.)

AKA: LAST FIRST MIDDLE SUFF FROM TO NEE

- 1 _____
- 2 _____
- 3 _____
- 4 _____
- 5 _____

SII SEARCH REQUESTED? Y SII FILE RELEASE REQUESTED? Y

*UP
2/19/91
MS
FASA*

NOTICE OF INVESTIGATION COMPLETED - NO SII RECORD FOR SSN 549-94-3295

FUNCTION: _____ ***** NOTICE OF PERSONNEL INVESTIGATION *****

TYPE OF APPOINTMENT: _____ DATE OF APPOINTMENT: ___ / ___ / _____

POSITION SENSITIVITY: ___ (1=NS, 2=NCS, 3=CS, 4=SS)

INVESTIGATING AGENCY: SOI - _____

INVESTIGATION TYPE: ___ (11=PRI, 15=MBI, 20=LBI, 25=BI, 30=SBI, 39=OTHER)

DATE INVESTIGATION INITIATED: ___ / ___ / _____

AGENCY FILE #: _____

AGENCY FILE LOCATION: SOI - _____
OR, IF NOT AT SOI, ENTER LOCATION BELOW:

AGENCY NAME: _____

ADDRESS: _____

(A) AGENCY MENU (E) END

4B*

G2

~~SECRET~~

DATE: 12-13-2011
CLASSIFIED BY UC 60322 LP/PJ/SZ
REASON: 1.4 (C)
DECLASSIFY ON: 12-13-2036
PER OGA LETTER DATED 11/22/2011

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED EXCEPT
WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE

FAX

From: Director, FBI (161B-23908) 2/21/91

To: SACs, Cincinnati - (SF-86 Enclosed)
New York - (SF-86 Enclosed)
Sacramento - (SF-86 Enclosed)
San Francisco - (SF-86 Enclosed)
Washington Field Office - (SF-86 Enclosed)

*McCurd
3-1-91*

STEVEN PAUL JOBS
SPIN (B)
BUDED: 3/7/91


Bureau has been requested to conduct a Level III background investigation of Mr. Jobs for a Presidential appointment to the President's Export Council, which does not require Senate confirmation.

Field Offices are reminded that the investigative status of a background investigation (BI), all deadlines and the position for which the appointee is being considered shall not be disclosed to any interviewees. If during the course of the investigation, interviewees provide the nature of the position, that information is not to be further divulged outside the FBI. Inquiries by interviewees concerning the nature of the position are to be handled as set forth in MIOG, Part II, Section 17-5 (5). Appointees making inquiries regarding the status of their BI are to be referred to the client agency.

(S)

Conduct investigation in accordance with guidelines set forth in airtel to all offices dated 11/29/90, captioned "Changes in Special Inquiry (SPIN) Background Investigations (BI) and Procedures".

161-23908-




b1

Appointee is described as born on February 24, 1955, in San Francisco, California; has SSAN 549-94-3295; resides at [redacted] and is currently president of NEXT, Incorporated, Redwood City, California.

b6
b7C

~~SECRET~~



STEVEN PAUL JOBS

Appointee will be required to make decisions concerning policy and personnel matters; therefore, during interviews, determine if appointee has expressed or manifested any bias or prejudice against any individual or group based upon sex, race, color, religion, national origin, handicap or age. Determine if appointee lives within his financial means. Also determine if appointee uses illegal drugs or abuses alcohol.

Investigation should receive immediate attention and receiving offices should telephonically advise FBIHQ of any derogatory information developed, confirm by teletype, and record pertinent interviews relating to derogatory information on FD-302s.

Receiving offices note that the last ten years of appointee's life must be accounted for and, if during the course of investigation unexplained or unaccounted for gaps are identified, leads should be directed to the field office covering appointee's current employment to have appointee contacted and to have his activities during specific periods identified.

As this is a Presidential appointment, field offices are expected to meet deadline.

LEADS:

(S)

San Francisco: Immediately interview appointee in accordance with MIOG, Part II, Section 17-5.6 and will report results on an FD-302. At an absolute minimum, the FD-302 should clearly set forth the appointee's responses to those questions labeled a-m under Section 17-5.6 cited above. Determine date and place of birth of daughter, [redacted] Also, determine who her mother is and interview her or set lead. [redacted]

b6
b7C

b1

Obtain comments from Mr. Jobs concerning any past/current drug use and his termination from Apple Computers.

San Francisco: Insure at least fifteen persons knowledgeable concerning appointee are interviewed. Check Better Business Bureau concerning any complaints that may have been lodged against him or his companies. Through records and interviews, determine reason for termination from Apple Computers. Obtain details of listed lawsuits through appointee and court records, Determine dispositions and if appointee was ever personally named as a defendant. Contact the United States Attorneys' Offices wherever appointee has lived or worked as an adult to determine if any indication appointee has been involved in a matter handled by the U. S. Attorney's Office (either criminal or civil, in closed or current files).

~~SECRET~~

STEVEN PAUL JOBS

Cincinnati: Check DISCO.

Sacramento: Verify date and place of birth through Bureau of Vital Statistics. Check State Attorney General's office for any complaints/grievances against appointee or companies.

WMFO check White House, United States Secret Service, and Public Integrity Section, Department of Justice.

Submit results of completed investigation to reach FBIHQ no later than COB BUDED; Use express mail or overnight delivery service if necessary.

Direct results to the attention of [REDACTED] Unit, Room 4371, Extension 2587.

b6
SPIN b7C

3*

~~SECRET~~

IDENT CHECK ROOM 1177B TUBE J1, ATTN: [REDACTED]

FROM: SPECIAL INQUIRY UNIT, DIV 6, RM 4371, TL114
EXT: 2587 ATTN: PSS [REDACTED]

b6
b7c

SUBJECT: STEVEN PAUL JOBS BUDED: 03/07/91

THE BUREAU HAS BEEN REQUESTED TO CONDUCT AN EXPEDITE BACKGROUND INVESTIGATION OF THE ABOVE-CAPTIONED SUBJECT, WHO IS BEING CONSIDERED FOR PRESIDENTIAL APPOINTMENT. YOU ARE REQUESTED TO CHECK APPROPRIATE INDICES BASED UPON AVAILABLE INFORMATION CONCERNING SUBJECT, EMPLOYMENT, AND ALL CLOSE RELATIVES. IT IS REQUESTED THAT THE RESULTS OF YOUR CHECK, WHETHER POSITIVE OR NEGATIVE, BE INDICATED IN THE SPACES PROVIDED BELOW, AND RELAYED TO THE SPECIAL INQUIRY UNIT, RM 4371, VIA ROUTING SLIP MARKED 'URGENT'.

SUBJECT IS DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

*No avail
AD 3/1
3/28*

RESULT NAME: *STEVEN PAUL JOBS

DOB: *02/24/55

POB: SAN FRANCISCO, CA

SSAN: 549-94-3295

CURRENT ADDRESS: [REDACTED]

EMPLOYMENT: NEXT, INC
900 CHESAPEAKE DR REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063

CLOSE RELATIVES

RESULT	NAME	DOB	RESIDENCE
* *	AD [REDACTED]	---	-----
* *	[REDACTED]	---	-----
* *	[REDACTED]	---	-----
* *	[REDACTED]	---	-----

b6
b7c

CHECK CONDUCTED BY: _____, ON _____

* BASED UPON INFORMATION FURNISHED, A SEARCH OF THE IDENTIFICATION DIVISION FILES FAILED TO DISCLOSE ANY IDENTIFIABLE INFORMATION. MAR 1 '91

* UNABLE TO IDENTIFY WITH ARREST RECORD. ON BASIS OF INFORMATION FURNISHED. FBI IDENTIFICATION DIVISION. MAR 1 '91

[Handwritten signature]

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

No Duplication Fees are charged for Deleted Page Information Sheet(s).

Total Deleted Page(s) ~ 17

Page 26 ~ b1, b7E

Page 193 ~ b1

Page 194 ~ b1

Page 195 ~ b1

Page 196 ~ b1

Page 197 ~ b1

Page 198 ~ b1

Page 199 ~ b1

Page 200 ~ b1

Page 201 ~ b1

Page 204 ~ b6, b7C

Page 208 ~ b6, b7C, b7E

Page 209 ~ b7E

Page 210 ~ b6, b7C, b7E

Page 211 ~ b6, b7C, b7E

Page 212 ~ b6, b7C, b7E

Page 214 ~ b6, b7C

(Title) _____

(File No.) 192 B-196

Item	Date Filed	To be returned		Disposition
		Yes	No	
1	5-14-86		X	Miscellaneous notes on 2/7/85 visitation at Apple
2	5-14-86		X	Latent FP impressions public telephone 877-9566; Core 9, Level 5 Storage, SFIA
3	5-14-86		X	Original notes re interview of

b6
b7C



192 B-196-Sub A

SEARCHED _____	INDEXED _____
SERIALIZED <u>af</u>	FILED <u>af</u>
MAY 14 1986	
FBI - SAN FRANCISCO	
<u>MS/af</u>	

b6
b7C

Field File No. SF 192 B-196-1A-1

OO and File No. _____

Date Received 2/7/85

From SA
(Name of Contributor)

FBI
(Address of Contributor)

SS, CA

By SA Agent)

To Be Returned Yes
 No
 Yes
 No

Receipt Given Yes
 No

Grand Jury Material - Disseminate
Only Pursuant to Rules 6(e),
Federal Rules of Criminal
Procedure

Description:

*Misc. notes
on 2/7 extortion at apple*

192 B-196-1A-1

from



2/2/05

SF Intl,

(415)

877-9566


new garage

Send team to
Gen. + plane to
Call

Send team in
area of
2 teams
level 5

~~Handwritten scribbles~~

in area
ck
in



b6
b7C

3 calls
20 K

resid

3 bombers in
position 4 to

part train

NO

b6
b7c

IA

last call
12:02

X 28 9 11:40

Call

& had a beeper

11:49



[redacted] thinks it's a
hoax & told Allen he
wasn't going to

b6
b7c

(415) 877-9566
Car 6 level 5




no one in area of phone
is proper
will dust for prints

Go Apt. Hill.
into east
turn L there is
a candy machine + table
instrs w/ taped under

taped
look for inst.

J



① Rust (415) 877-9566

② Rice

tell of convers

b6
b7C

Appears to be A.P. Filton
found candy machine + a
table in service table
no note



(?)

~~30~~

Per



Cover
ST-Intnl Hilton
till 4 pm

b6
b7C

1:29/30

Shj. Called back, put on hold by
~~secretary & got cut off with~~ hung
up - ~~waiting for~~
Call back



X369





has latents off
garage phone
who to route to?

3:24 - CP

Team standing by at
site (from city)

^{SF}
Field File No. 192B-196-1A-2

OO and File No. SF 192B-

Date Received 2/7/85

From

(NAME OF CONTRIBUTOR)

b6

Smco 50

b7C

(ADDRESS OF CONTRIBUTOR)

Redwood City, Ca

(CITY AND STATE)

By

(NAME OF SPECIAL AGENT)

To Be Returned **Yes**

No

Yes

No

Receipt Given **Yes**

No

**Grand Jury Material-
Disseminate Only
Pursuant to Rules
6(e), Federal Rules
of Criminal Procedure**

Description:

*Latent FP
impressions pub
tel 877-9566:
Core 6, Level 5
Garage, SFIA
192B-196-1A-2*

b6

b7C

S-8

Case No. _____ Offense _____

Complainant _____


Address SFIA. _____

Processed by Star No. _____

Date 2-7-85 Time _____

Print from (object) Telephone Holder _____

Button (□) Airport Level 5
Cone G # 415-877-9566



S-8

b6

b7C

Case No. _____ Offense _____


Complainant _____


Address SFIA _____

Processed by  Star No. _____

Date 2-7-85 Time _____

Print from (object) Mid Portion of Telephone

Receiver  Airport Level 5
Core G #415-577-9566



S-8


Case No. _____ Offense _____

Complainant SFIA _____


Address _____

Processed by Star No. _____

Date 2-7-85 Time _____

Print from (object) TOP PORTION of Telephone
Receiver  AIRANT level 5
CALL G #415-877-9566

b6
b7C



Field File No. SF 192B-196-1A-3
OO and File No. SF 192B-196
Date Received 2/7/85
From FBI

(NAME OF CONTRIBUTOR)

(ADDRESS OF CONTRIBUTOR)

San Jose, Calif

By

(NAME OF SPECIAL AGENT)

b6
b7C

To Be Returned Yes
 No
 Yes
 No

Receipt Given Yes
 No

Grand Jury Material-
Disseminate Only
Pursuant to Rules
6(e), Federal Rules
of Criminal Procedure

Description:

Original notes re interview of

b6
b7C

192B-196-1A-3

2/7/85

[Redacted]



b6
b7C

[Redacted]

Apple Computer, Inc
10201 No. Deans
Blvd, Cupertino

1. [Redacted]
[Redacted]

415-877-9566

~~10-11 AM~~

He said

2) Called back - "Hello" please "Shirley"
to herself as [Redacted] "m. to a"

Personal family matter, [Redacted] Would not want it to know about.

ad - [Redacted] in meeting all day - matter important - Have to give him some
idea of it "Tell him about devices that [Redacted] of let tell
him not to be upset. will call back 15 min [Redacted] matter

put [Redacted] ask for his let name

3) Called back - put Hank in say mentioned [Redacted] name

4) wanted [Redacted] unmarked & indexed -
a 4-employee which would go off of [Redacted] Polaris field

5) No devices - we have scanners
wanted delivery on part

[Redacted]

get [Redacted] put [Redacted] then -

b6
b7C

[Redacted]

1) 10:00A m - Mr. Gollen - In he available - [redacted]

said wanted to talk to [redacted]

2) - ✓ Family emergency - [redacted] left tel # which
[redacted] gave to [redacted]

6) This [redacted] on Topak to [redacted]

b6
b7C

S.F. Hilton who note is under table
next to Candy machine in lobby by 2:30 p.m.
if not 1st but see off at 3:00 p.m.

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:

- Teletype
- Facsimile
- _____

PRECEDENCE:

- Immediate
- Priority
- Routine

CLASSIFICATION:

- TOP SECRET
- SECRET
- CONFIDENTIAL
- UNCLAS E F T O
- UNCLAS

Date 2/08/85

FM SAN FRANCISCO (9A-NEW) (P) (SJRA)

TO DIRECTOR (IMMEDIATE)

BT

U N C L A S

UNSUB, AKA [redacted] STEVEN JOBS; [redacted] [redacted]
 HOBS ACT - COMMERCIAL INSTITUTION.
 VICTIMS; EXTORTION; OO: SAN FRANCISCO.

b6
b7C

DURING MORNING HOURS OF THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 7, 1985, AN UNIDENTIFIED MALE CALLER MADE A SERIES OF TELEPHONE CALLS TO [redacted] [redacted] OF APPLE COMPUTER, INC., 10201 NORTH DE ANZA BOULEVARD, CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA, AND ADVISED THAT "DEVICES" HAD BEEN PLACED IN HOMES OF CAPTIONED INDIVIDUALS, [redacted] [redacted] AND ONE MILLION DOLLARS MUST BE PAID. CALLER ALSO STATED THAT A FOURTH BOMB HAD BEEN PLACED, BUT REFUSED TO GIVE LOCATION AND STATED THIS ONE WOULD GO OFF IF AUTHORITIES NOTIFIED.

DURING ONE CALL, UNSUB FIRST STATED THAT [redacted] SHOULD TAKE A BART TRAIN, BUT [redacted] REFUSED BEFORE UNSUB COULD ELABORATE.

DURING SUBSEQUENT CALL, UNSUB STATED THAT [redacted] SHOULD GO TO

TPW/bjb
(1)

Handwritten: 0/9A-192B-196-1
 A [redacted] [redacted]

b6
b7C

Approved: *[Signature]*

Transmitted

14
(Number)

11:25
(Time)

Per

AK

Searched _____
 Serialized _____
 Indexed _____
 Filed _____

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:

- Teletype
- Facsimile
- _____

PRECEDENCE:

- Immediate
- Priority
- Routine

CLASSIFICATION:

- TOP SECRET
- SECRET
- CONFIDENTIAL
- UNCLAS E F T O
- UNCLAS

Date _____

PAGE TWO

SF 9A-NEW

U N C L A S

THE SAN FRANCISCO HILTON HOTEL WHERE A TABLE IS LOCATED NEXT TO A CANDY MACHINE, AND UNDER THIS TABLE THERE WOULD BE A NOTE WITH FURTHER INSTRUCTIONS. CALLER STATED THIS MUST BE DONE BY 2:30 P.M. ON FEBRUARY 7, 1985, OR BOMBS WOULD GO OFF.

FIRST TWO CALLS MADE BY UNSUB FOR [REDACTED] WERE TAKEN BY STAFF PEOPLE. DURING FIRST CALL, NO THREATS MADE AND UNSUB IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS [REDACTED], AND STATED [REDACTED] SHOULD CALL HIM AT (415)877-9566 BECAUSE THERE WAS A FAMILY EMERGENCY.

[REDACTED], [REDACTED], SUBSEQUENTLY TELEPHONED THIS NUMBER AND CONVERSED WITH UNSUB, WHO IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS [REDACTED]. UNSUB INFORMED THAT [REDACTED] IN MEETINGS ALL DAY AND UNAVAILABLE. UNSUB STATED THIS WAS PERSONAL FAMILY MATTER. [REDACTED] TOLD UNSUB SHE NEEDED SOME IDEA OF PROBLEM IN ORDER TO INFORM [REDACTED], AND UNSUB STATED TO TELL HIM THAT THERE ARE "DEVICES" THAT CAN GO OFF AND THAT HE WILL CALL [REDACTED] BACK IN FIFTEEN MINUTES.

b6
b7C

UNSUB SUBSEQUENTLY TALKED TO [REDACTED] ABOUT DEMANDS PREVIOUSLY SET OUT IN THIS COMMUNICATION.

[REDACTED] REFUSED TO COMPLY WITH UNSUB'S DEMANDS AND INFORMED HIM THAT HE FELT THREAT NOT A REAL ONE. CONSEQUENTLY, NO ATTEMPT

Approved: _____ Transmitted _____ Per _____
(Number) (Time)

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:

- Teletype
- Facsimile
- _____

PRECEDENCE:

- Immediate
- Priority
- Routine

CLASSIFICATION:

- TOP SECRET
- SECRET
- CONFIDENTIAL
- UNCLAS E F T O
- UNCLAS

Date _____

PAGE THREE

SF 9A-NEW

U N C L A S

MADE BY [] OR FBI TO FOLLOW INSTRUCTIONS.

TELEPHONE NUMBER (415)877-9566 DETERMINED TO BELONG TO PUBLIC TELEPHONE BOOTH IN PARKING GARAGE AT SAN FRANCISCO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT.

IMMEDIATE COVERAGE AFFORDED SAN FRANCISCO HILTON AND TELEPHONE BOOTH WITH NEGATIVE RESULTS. NO NOTE LOCATED UNDER TABLE AS DESCRIBED BY UNSUB.

b6
b7C

TELEPHONE TRAP PLACED BY TELEPHONE COMPANY ON VICTIM'S OFFICE TELEPHONE IN THE EVENT FURTHER CALLS RECEIVED, HOWEVER, NO FURTHER CALLS MADE TO DATE BY UNSUB.

SANTA CLARA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA SHERIFF'S OFFICE CANINE UNIT MADE SWEEP OF VICTIMS' RESIDENCES AND AUTOS, AS WELL AS BUILDING HOUSING OFFICES OF [] AND NO DEVICES LOCATED.

[] VOLUNTARILY FURNISHED INFORMATION TO LOCAL NEWSPAPER AFTER MEDIA INQUIRED OF UNUSUAL ACTIVITY.

SAN FRANCISCO MAINTAINING CONTACT WITH APPLE COMPUTER IN EVENT ADDITIONAL INFORMATION DEVELOPS.

BT

Approved: _____ Transmitted _____ Per _____
(Number) (Time)

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:

- Teletype
- Facsimile
- _____

PRECEDENCE:

- Immediate
- Priority
- Routine

CLASSIFICATION:

- TOP SECRET
- SECRET
- CONFIDENTIAL
- UNCLAS E F T O
- UNCLAS

Date 2/12/85

FM SAN FRANCISCO (192B-196) (P) (SJRA)

TO DIRECTOR (ROUTINE)

BT

U N C L A S

TITLE CHANGED: UNSUB; [REDACTED] APPLE COMPUTER, INC; 10201 NORTH DE ANZA BOULEVARD, CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA - VICTIM; [REDACTED] - VICTIM; STEVEN JOBS - VICTIM; [REDACTED] - VICTIM; FEBRUARY 8, 1985; HOBBS ACT - COMMERCIAL INSTITUTIONS; OO: SAN FRANCISCO

RE SAN FRANCISCO TELETYPE TO ^{DIRECTOR} ~~DIRECTOR~~ FEBRUARY 8, 1985, CAPTIONED, "UNSUB, AKA [REDACTED]; STEVEN JOBS; [REDACTED] [REDACTED] - VICTIMS; EXTORTION; OO: SAN FRANCISCO (9A-NEW)."

TITLE MARKED CHANGED TO REFLECT CORRECT TITLE AND CLASSIFICATION.

BT

[REDACTED]

Copy

JRH/lal
(1)

Approved: [Signature]

Transmitted

33
(Number)

10:21
(Time)

Per [Signature]

Searched _____
 Serialized [Signature]
 Indexed _____
 Filed [Signature]

192B-196-2

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

San Mateo County Sheriff's Office
 FORENSIC LABORATORY
 Technician Section **
 #31 Tower Rd.
 San Mateo , CA. 94402

MOBILE REPORT

SO Area	SFIA
SO Number	
Lab Number	
Other FBI (NO NUMBER) Number	
Date	2-7-85

COMPLAINANT OR VICTIM					Type of Case	Extortion
LOCATION OF SCENE	SFIA GARAGE Level 5 Core "G"				Time Rec'd	1330
VEHICLE	License	State	VIN		Time Arr'vd	1400
	Make	Year	Color	Model	Time left scene	1430
POE						
PHOTOS	Yes	No XX	By Whom	Other Photo Info		
LATENT PRINTS	Usable XX	Non-Usable	Palmprints	Other Latent Print Info	Latents turn over to FBI	
PHYSICAL EVIDENCE OBTAINED	Yes	No	Weather Conditions Rain			
LAB PERSONNEL AT SCENE	[]				OFFICER IN CHARGE	Agent []

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Requested to respond to the above location to process a Telephone for possible latent prints. The Telephone (415) 877-9566 was dusted for prints and three latent lifts were obtained and released to the above FBI Agent.

b6
b7C

REPORT BY	[]	DATE	2-7-85	APPROVED BY	[]	DATE	[]	COPIES TO:	[]
-----------	-----	------	--------	-------------	-----	------	-----	------------	-----

192B-196-3 4125

Memorandum



To : SAC, SAN FRANCISCO (192B-NEW) (P)

Date 4/8/85

From : SA [redacted]

Subject : UNSUB: aka [redacted]

[redacted]
Apple Computer Corporation - Victim
Extortion;
OO: San Francisco

b6
b7C

On 2/7/85, a physical surveillance and search of the San Francisco Airport Hilton Hotel was conducted to locate captioned Unsub and written instructions allegedly secreted by the Unsub under a table at the hotel. Also, Deputy [redacted] of the San Mateo County Sheriff's office, Forensic Laboratory, responded to the SFIA parking garage, Level 5, Core G, to lift latent fingerprints from a pay phone allegedly used by the Unsub to make his verbal threats against Apple Computer. A copy of Deputy [redacted]'s report is attached to this memo.

① - San Francisco (192B-NEW)
1 - SFIA RA
HRW/er
(2) *er*

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED <i>gt</i>	FILED <i>gt</i>
AUG 29 1985	
FBI - SAN FRANCISCO	

W

192B-196-4

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

MEMORANDUM

DATE: 8/9/85

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM : SAC, SAN FRANCISCO (192B-196) (C)

SUBJECT : UNSUB;
aka [REDACTED];
STEVEN JOBS;
[REDACTED];
[REDACTED] - VICTIMS;
HOBBS ACT - COMMERCIAL INSTITUTIONS
OO: SAN FRANCISCO

b6
b7C

Reference SF teletype to the Bureau 2/8/85.

Contact has been maintained by the SF Division with APPLE COMPUTER, Cupertino, California, and no additional threatening calls received by unsub and no new information has been developed regarding this matter.

In view of the fact that no further investigation is logical at this time regarding captioned matter, it is being placed in a closed status by the SF Division.



2 - Bureau
1 - San Francisco
TPW/dlg
(3)

Searched.....
Serialized.....
Indexed.....
Filed.....

192B-196-5

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

No Duplication Fees are charged for Deleted Page Information Sheet(s).

Total Deleted Page(s) ~ 1
Page 11 ~ b6, b7C