

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
FOI/PA  
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET  
FOI/PA# 1422139-000

Total Deleted Page(s) = 1  
Page 49 ~ b7D;

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX  
X Deleted Page(s) X  
X No Duplication Fee X  
X For this Page X  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

# Congress of the United States

House of Representatives

Washington, D.C. 20515

September 17, 1968

Bombing Tucson,

Arizona Area

IT AR - Arson

The Honorable  
J. Edgar Hoover  
Department of Justice  
Federal Bureau of Investigation  
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Hoover:

Over the past 18 months there have been a series of bombings and other evidences of possible organized criminal activities in Tucson, Arizona. Last night there were two more bombings and I have been hearing from citizens concerned with the situation.

Tucson has been free of organized criminal influence or corruption but people are now beginning to wonder to what extent the character of the city may be changing.

I am a close friend of Police Chief Bernard Garmire and consider him an alert and able law enforcement official. He tells me frankly that he is disturbed by the situation. He foresees more and more organized criminal influence not only in Tucson but in the state as a whole. He is genuinely convinced that an effort is underway to make the state "the future criminal playground of America," to use his words.

Tucson and Pima County law enforcement officials have been working closely with the FBI in probing bombings and other activities which could have some connection with nationwide organized criminal activities. The cooperation of the FBI is greatly appreciated, I can inform you without hesitation that, it is felt that more

Tolson ✓  
Mr. DeLoach ✓  
Mr. Mohr ✓  
Mr. Bishop ✓  
Mr. Casper ✓  
Mr. Callahan ✓  
Mr. Tavel ✓  
Mr. Trotter ✓  
Tele. Room ✓  
Miss Holmes ✓  
Miss Gandy ✓

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C

UNREC COPY FILE b6  
b7C

EXP-PROC

SEP 18 1968

166-3918-1  
14 SEP 27 1968

COPY MADE FOR MR. TOLSON

9/20/68  
9/19/68  
Mr. Tolson  
Mr. DeLoach  
Mr. Mohr  
Mr. Bishop  
Mr. Casper  
Mr. Callahan  
Mr. Tavel  
Mr. Trotter  
Tele. Room  
Miss Holmes  
Miss Gandy


94-55369-  
b6  
b7C

Hon. J. Edgar Hoover  
September 17, 1968  
Page two

help by the assignment of additional special agents to work specifically on the organized crime threat would most speedily determine the extent of the problem.

I am, therefore, appealing to you to temporarily assign additional manpower to the Tucson area to give local authorities the extra help they feel is essential at this time.

Sincerely,



Morris K. Udall



b6  
b7C

September 20, 1968

1 - Mr. DeLoach  
1 - Mr. Bishop  
1 - Mr. Gale  
1 - Mr. Rosen  
1 - Mr. Conrad  
1 - Mr. Casper  
1 - Mr. Staffeld  
1 - Mr. McCarthy

b6  
b7C

REC-47

166-

3918

Honorable Morris K. Udall  
House of Representatives  
Washington, D. C. 20515 ST-118

My dear Congressman:

I am in receipt of your letter dated September 17, 1968, regarding recent bombing activity in the Tucson, Arizona, area.

Earlier this year, in view of information developed from intelligence sources revealing an increasing number of racket figures in Tucson, Arizona, our manpower committed to organized crime investigations in that area was increased.

Information relating to the most recent bombings in Tucson, two of which occurred on September 16, 1968, and one each on September 18, and September 19, 1968, has been referred to appropriate Department of Justice officials who have advised that no investigation by the FBI is desired.

We will continue to make available to Tucson law enforcement agencies any pertinent data received regarding the bombing incidents in Tucson. In addition, the facilities of the FBI Laboratory are available to assist these agencies in their investigations of these matters.

19 SEP 23 1968

You may be assured that if the situation in Tucson warrants any further manpower increases, necessary assignments will be made.

✓ Sincerely yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

NOTE: See memorandum J. H. Gale to DeLoach, 9/20/68, captioned "Morris K. Udall (Democrat - Arizona), Letter Requesting Additional Personnel Be Assigned to Tucson, Arizona, Resident Agency, Regarding Series of Bombings,"

Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
DeLoach \_\_\_\_\_  
Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Bishop \_\_\_\_\_  
Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
Gale \_\_\_\_\_  
Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Sullivan \_\_\_\_\_  
Tavel \_\_\_\_\_  
Trotter \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

b6  
b7C

UNREC COPY FILED IN 94-55369-

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

# Memorandum

TO : Mr. DeLoach

DATE: 9/20/68

FROM : J. H. Gale

SUBJECT: MORRIS K. UDALL (DEMOCRAT-ARIZONA),  
LETTER REQUESTING ADDITIONAL PERSONNEL BE  
ASSIGNED TO TUCSON, ARIZONA, RESIDENT AGENCY,  
REGARDING SERIES OF BOMBINGS

Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
DeLoach \_\_\_\_\_  
Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Bishop \_\_\_\_\_  
Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
Gale \_\_\_\_\_  
Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Sullivan \_\_\_\_\_  
Tavel \_\_\_\_\_  
Trotter \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

Bombing Tucson,  
Arizona Area

Area ITAR-Arson

Congressman Morris K. Udall, Democrat-Arizona, by letter dated 9/17/68, requested additional FBI manpower be assigned to the Tucson, Arizona, Resident Agency to assist in the investigation of a recent series of bombings occurring at Tucson, Arizona. These acts of violence have been against the property of known members of organized crime and their associates. No deaths or physical injury has occurred. Regarding the most recent bombing incidents (two on 9/16/68, and one each on 9/18 and 19/68), informants reported that the 9/16 and 19/68, acts were retaliatory measures taken by Salvatore Vincent Bonanno, son of deposed La Cosa Nostra (LCN) Commission member Joseph Bonanno, against those individuals whom he suspected as being involved with prior dynamitings at Joseph Bonanno's residence and of the residence of Bonanno's bodyguard, Peter Joseph Notaro. Informants indicate that the 9/18/68, bombing at residence of retired Arizona State Supreme Court Justice may be threatening move on Bonanno's part to obtain needed political influence in an as yet unidentified business venture in Tucson area. This and previous information developed from informants regarding these bombings has been furnished to Tucson authorities. SAC, Phoenix, has advised that Agent personnel was increased from one to two in Tucson to assist in organized crime investigations during May, 1968. These bombing incidents have been referred to appropriate officials of the Department who have requested no FBI investigation in connection with these bombings. Our attached letter of acknowledgement to Congressman Udall includes information along the above lines.

## BACKGROUND:

During the past three months, a series of dynamitings have occurred in Tucson, Arizona, at the residences of Joseph

Enc. - Sent 9-20-68

- |                 |                  |
|-----------------|------------------|
| 1 - Mr. DeLoach | 1 - Mr. Staffeld |
| 1 - Mr. Bishop  | 1 - Mr. McCarthy |
| 1 - Mr. Gale    |                  |
| 1 - Mr. Rosen   |                  |
| 1 - Mr. Conrad  |                  |
| 1 - Mr. Casper  |                  |

ST-118

14 SEP 27 1968

CONTINUED - OVER

b6  
b7C

55369-  
INREC COPY FILED IN 94-55369-

Memorandum to Mr. DeLoach  
Re: MORRIS K. UDALL

Bonanno, deposed "boss" of a major LCN "family"; Peter Licavoli, a LCN leader formerly of Detroit, Michigan; and Peter Notaro, a LCN member who serves as Bonanno's bodyguard. Two of the most recent dynamitings occurring on 9/16/68, have been at a beauty shop which employs the wife of Charles Battaglia, a veteran member of the Bonanno group, and at the residence of a close associate of another Tucson LCN member, [REDACTED] A follow-up bombing incident at the residence of this latter individual also occurred on 9/19/68.

b6  
b7C

On 9/18/68, a small dynamite blast damaged some shrubbery in the rear yard of the residence of former Arizona Supreme Court Justice Evo DeConcini. Information received from our top echelon criminal informants points to the fact that the underlying cause for these bombings stems from a continuing conflict between that LCN element still loyal to Bonanno and the reorganized leadership of the LCN "family" formerly headed by Bonanno. These sources reported that the two bombings on 9/16/68, and the bombing on 9/19/68 were retaliatory measures taken by Salvatore Vincent Bonanno, son of Joseph Bonanno, against those individuals whom he suspected of being involved in prior dynamitings at the residences of his father and his father's bodyguard. With regarding to the 9/18/68, bombing at the residence of the former Arizona State Supreme Court Justice, these sources indicate that this may well be a threatening move on Bonanno's part to obtain needed political influence in an as yet unidentified business venture in the Tucson area.

Agents of our Phoenix Office have maintained close contact with local Tucson authorities in connection with these incidents and considerable information regarding suspects in these bombings have been promptly furnished to Tucson local authorities.

Increased organized crime activity in the Tucson area was the matter of specific discussion with SAC, Phoenix, earlier this year and since May of 1968, Agent personnel was increased from one to two in the Tucson Resident Agency to handle organized crime investigations. Of course, depending upon the urgency of the situation, other Agents working in this resident agency are available to assist on organized crime matters. In connection with our response to Congressman Udall, and in consideration of resultant press interest, we do not feel that the specific number of Agents so assigned should be mentioned in our acknowledgement. Rather, we are merely informing the Congressman that our manpower committed to organized crime investigations was increased earlier this year.

Memorandum to Mr. DeLoach  
Re: MORRIS K. UDALL

These bombing incidents, particularly the most recent acts of 9/16, 18 and 19/68, have been discussed with Departmental officials of the Civil Rights Division who have advised that the Department desires no FBI investigation in connection with these bombings.

CURRENT DEVELOPMENTS

b6  
b7C

On 9/17/68, Assistant Director Thomas E. Bishop received a call from [redacted] who advised he is an assistant to Congressman Morris K. Udall of Arizona. He stated that the Congressman was sending a letter to the Director asking that additional personnel be assigned to the Tucson Resident Agency, either on a permanent or a temporary basis so that the FBI could intensify its assistance to the local police in connection with a recent series of bombings in Tucson which are suspected of being the work of organized crime members. He wished to know if it would be possible to advise the newspapers that Mr. Hoover advised Congressman Udall's office that "very serious consideration would be given to Congressman Udall's request." [redacted] was advised that until Mr. Hoover receives the letter which Congressman Udall is sending to him, it would not be possible to indicate any comment on the part of Mr. Hoover or the FBI concerning the letter. He was also informed that when the letter is received, that Congressman Udall would receive a prompt reply from the Director.

By letter dated 9/17/68, Congressman Morris K. Udall expressed a concern over a recent series of bombings that have occurred in the Tucson, Arizona, area. The Congressman made particular reference to two bombings which had occurred on 9/16/68, the day before he wrote this letter. Congressman Udall was disturbed about these acts of violence and asked if the FBI could intensify its assistance to the local police since these series of bombings are suspected of being the work of members of organized crime. He requested that we temporarily assign additional manpower at Tucson in connection with this matter.

It is noted that our files reflect we have had generally cordial relations with Congressman Udall.


ACTION:

Attached for approval is a letter of acknowledgement to Congressman Udall advising that we will continue our close cooperation with Tucson authorities in connection with these bombings, including the availability of the FBI Laboratory

Memorandum to Mr. DeLoach  
Re: MORRIS K. UDALL

facilities; that our manpower committed to organized crime investigations in Tucson was increased earlier this year; and that these bombings have been referred to the Department which desires no FBI investigation of the bombings.

SAC, Phoenix, has been instructed to closely follow this situation and to keep the Bureau advised of continuing developments.



b6  
b7C

Tolson ☒  
 DeLoach ☒  
 Mohr ☒  
 Bishop ☒  
 Casper ☒  
 Callahan ☒  
 Conrad ☒  
 Felt ☒  
 Gale ☒  
 Rosen ☒  
 Sullivan ☒  
 Tavel ☒  
 Trotter ☒  
 Tele. Room ☒  
 Holmes ☒  
 Gandy ☒

304A HFR

BOMBS 9/21 NX

ADV FOR AMS MON SEPT. 23

BY [REDACTED]

TUCSON, ARIZ. (UPI)--THIS SOUTHERN ARIZONA RESORT CITY OF SUNSHINE AND EASY LIVING HAS A NEW AND UNWANTED PHENOMENON--SEVEN BOMBINGS IN ABOUT AS MANY WEEKS.

LAW ENFORCEMENT AUTHORITIES FEAR IT MAY BE THE BEGINNING OF AN ALL-OUT WAR AMONG UNDERWORLD FIGURES. TUCSON HAS BEEN A WINTERING SPOT FOR REPUTED MAFIA FIGURES FOR YEARS.

OR THE BLASTS MAY BE AN ELABORATE HOAX. ALL APPEAR PURPOSELY DESIGNED NOT TO CAUSE BODILY HARM.

POLICE CHIEF BERNARD GARMIRE BELIEVES THE SEVEN BOMBINGS AND ONE ATTEMPTED EXPLOSION IN VARIOUS AREAS OF THE CITY ARE CONNECTED WITH A FEUD BETWEEN REPUTED MAFIA LEADER JOSEPH (JOE BANANAS) BONANNO AND MEMBERS OF THE COSA NOSTRA WHO OPPOSE HIS ALLEGED ATTEMPT TO TAKE NATIONAL CONTROL OF THE CRIME ORGANIZATION.

BONANNO DENIES THE CHIEF'S EXPLANATION.

THIS HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH ANY TYPE OF UNDERWORLD AFFAIR," HE SAID. "IT'S JUST A BUNCH OF KIDS."

SO FAR, POLICE HAVE BEEN UNABLE TO GATHER MUCH EVIDENCE. THOSE WHOSE PROPERTY WAS BOMBED ARE OF LITTLE HELP. THEY INSIST THEY WERE VICTIMS OF INDIVIDUAL PRANKSTERS AND THAT THE BOMBINGS ARE NOT RELATED, -

SO FAR, POLICE HAVE BEEN UNABLE TO GATHER MUCH EVIDENCE. THOSE WHOSE PROPERTY WAS BOMBED ARE OF LITTLE HELP. THEY INSIST THEY WERE VICTIMS OF INDIVIDUAL PRANKSTERS AND THAT THE BOMBINGS ARE NOT RELATED.

SOME INVESTIGATORS BELIEVE THE BOMBINGS ARE FOR INTIMIDATION RATHER THAN ATTEMPTS TO MURDER. EACH BOMB APPARENTLY HAS BEEN PLACED AND TIMED SO AS NOT TO CAUSE BODILY HARM BUT RATHER TO DEMONSTRATE THAT A BOMB COULD BE EXPLODED AT A PARTICULAR LOCATION AT ANY TIME.

REC-47

166-3918-3

1 SEP 25 1968

ST-118

*This is an intolerable situation.*

WASHINGTON CAPITAL NEWS SERVICE

b6  
b7CFILED IN 94-55369-  
b6  
b7C

51 OCT 27 8

THE SERIES OF EXPLOSIONS BEGAN JULY 22 WHEN A DYNAMITE BOMB RIPPED THROUGH A GARAGE ON THE GRACE RANCH EAST OF HERE, OWNED BY REPUTED DETROIT GANGSTER PETE LICAVOLI. THE BOMB CAUSED CONSIDERABLE DAMAGE TO THE GARAGE AND SEVERAL VEHICLES INSIDE.

THE NEXT NIGHT A GAPING HOLE WAS TORN IN A REAR PATIO WALL AT THE HOME OF BONANNO. POLICE SAID THE SAME TYPE OF MATERIAL WAS USED IN THAT BOMB.

IT WAS QUIET FOR ABOUT THREE WEEKS. THEN ON AUG. 16, THERE WERE TWO DYNAMITE EXPLOSIONS IN A QUIET NORTHSIDE NEIGHBORHOOD WHERE PETER NOTARO, DESCRIBED BY POLICE AS BONANNO'S BODYGUARD, RESIDES.

THE EXPLOSIONS RIPPED SCREENS AND BROKE WINDOWS IN THE MODEST HOME. NOTARO'S WIFE AND TEEN-AGE DAUGHTER WERE IN THE HOUSE BUT WERE NOT INJURED.

TWO DAYS AFTER THAT, POLICE ARRESTED MICHAEL S. CASALE, A HIGH-RANKING MEMBER OF THE CRIME FAMILY OF NEW YORK'S PAUL SCIACCA, A BONANNO ADVERSARY.

THE BONANNO AND SCIACCA MAFIA FAMILIES HAVE BEEN FEUDING OPENLY THE PAST YEAR, AND BEFORE BONANNO MOVED HERE PERMANENTLY FROM NEW YORK SEVERAL GANG-TYPE KILLINGS IN NEW YORK WERE ATTRIBUTED TO THE DISPUTE, POLICE SAID.

THERE WAS SPECULATION WHEN BONANNO MOVED TO ARIZONA THAT NEW YORK HAD BECOME TOO DANGEROUS FOR HIM.

CASALE LEFT THE DAY AFTER HIS ARREST ON A CHARGE OF FALSE HOTEL REGISTRATION, FORFEITING A \$24 BOND. AGAIN, IT WAS QUIET FOR NEARLY A MONTH.

THAT SILENCE WAS SHATTERED SEPT. 12 WHEN A BOMB MADE OF THREE TO FIVE STICKS OF DYNAMITE TORE THROUGH AN ENTRANCE TO AN AUTO BODY SHOP JUST NORTH OF THE DOWNTOWN AREA. POLICE FOUND ONE OF THE CARS THAT HAD BEEN DAMAGED IN THE GRACE RANCH BOMBING INSIDE THE SHOP FOR REPAIRS.

THREE DAYS LATER THE HOME OF A TUCSON UNDERWORLD FIGURE AND AN EAST SIDE BEAUTY SHOP WERE SHAKEN BY EXPLOSIONS. AGAIN, BOTH WERE TIMED NOT TO CAUSE INJURY.

THE HOME OF [REDACTED] WAS HEAVILY HIT. THE BOMB DEMOLISHED TWO ROOMS AND CAUSED EXTENSIVE DAMAGE TO OTHER PARTS OF THE HOUSE.

THE ONLY CONNECTION POLICE COULD FIND IN THE WIG BEAUTY SHOP BOMBING WAS THAT THE WIFE OF CONVICTED EXTORTIONIST CHARLES (BATTS) BATTAGLIA WORKED THERE AS A SECRETARY.

THE NEXT NIGHT A SMALLER BOMB WENT OFF BEHIND THE HOME OF FORMER ARIZONA SUPREME COURT JUSTICE EVO DE CONCHINI. DE CONCHINI HAD TESTIFIED AS A CHARACTER WITNESS FOR BONANNO 14 YEARS AGO.

THE TESTIMONY CAME IN A TRIAL IN FEDERAL COURT HERE IN WHICH THE GOVERNMENT ATTEMPTED UNSUCCESSFULLY TO REVOKE BONANNO'S CITIZENSHIP.

THE LATEST INCIDENT OCCURED THURSDAY WHEN THE MOTHER OF [REDACTED] WHOSE HOME IS ON THE SAME LOT AS THAT OF HER SON, FOUND A DEFECTIVE BOMB IN HER FIREPLACE.

POLICE SAID THE BOMB CONSISTED OF A LARGE BLEACH BOTTLE FILLED WITH THREE POUNDS OF DYNAMITE AND A PARTLY-BURNED FUSE. EVIDENCE INDICATED THE FUSE HAD BEEN LIGHTED IN THE FIREPLACE, WHILE [REDACTED] WAS ABSENT, BUT HAD GONE OUT.

REP. MORRIS K. UDALL, D-ARIZ., CALLED ON FBI DIRECTOR J. EDGAR HOOVER TO SEND MORE AGENTS TO TUCSON.

MEANWHILE, NERVES REMAIN ON EDGE IN THE CITY OF SUNSHINE.

ADV FOR AMS MON SEPT. 23

MR1258AED

b6  
b7C

9/23/68

Airtel

2

b6  
b7C

To: SAC, Phoenix

PERSONAL ATTENTION

From: Director, FBI

MORRIS K. UDALL

(Democratic - Arizona)

LETTER REQUESTING ADDITIONAL PERSONNEL  
BE ASSIGNED TO TUCSON, ARIZONA, RESIDENT  
AGENCY REGARDING A SERIES OF BOMBINGS

Area

Enclosed for your information is a copy of a letter dated 9/17/68 from Congressman Morris K. Udall of Arizona, and the Bureau's reply thereto, together with a copy of a UPI release dated 9/21/68 relating to the captioned matter.

The attached enclosures are for the personal attention of SAC, Phoenix. The Bureau should be promptly advised under the appropriate substantive caption of any further bombing incidents.

Enc. (3)

NOTE: SAC, Phoenix being provided copy of letter from Congressman Morris K. Udall of Arizona, in which Congressman Udall requested additional Agent personnel be assigned to Tucson, Arizona, Resident Agency, as a result of a series of bombing incidents which have recently occurred in the Tucson area. Copy of Bureau's reply to Congressman Udall together with a copy of a UPI release relating to the series of bombings also included. SAC being advised to keep Bureau advised and to report promptly under appropriate substantive caption of any further bombing incidents.

Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
DeLoach \_\_\_\_\_  
Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Bishop \_\_\_\_\_  
Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
Gale \_\_\_\_\_  
Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Sullivan \_\_\_\_\_  
Tavel \_\_\_\_\_  
Trotter \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

MAILED 7

SEP 24 1968

COMM-FBI

OCT 1 1968

REC-47

166- 3918

ST-118

19 SEP 24 1968

b6  
b7C

MAIL ROOM

TELETYPE UNIT

94-55369-  
UNREC COPY FILED IN

VIA TELETYPE

OCT 2 1968

ENCIPHERED

b6  
b7C

Mr. Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. DeLoach \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Bishop \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Gale \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Sullivan \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Tavel \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Trotter \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

10:57AM URGENT 10-2-68

TO DIRECTOR AND NEW YORK

NEW YORK VIA WASHINGTON

FROM PHOENIX

BOMBING, TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA; ITAR - ARSON; AR.

REBUAIRTEL TO PHOENIX, SEPTEMBER THREE ZERO, NINETEEN SIXTY-EIGHT.

FOR INFORMATION OF THE NEW YORK DIVISION, REAIRTEL ADVISED DEPARTMENT REQUEST BEING INITIATED FOR PURPOSE OF FULL INQUIRY INTO BOMBINGS WHICH HAVE OCCURRED IN TUCSON, ARIZONA, DURING RECENT PAST TO SEE IF FEDERAL VIOLATION PRESENT.

PREVIOUS INVESTIGATION CONCERNING BOMBINGS DISCLOSES FACT THAT SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO AND POSSIBLY [REDACTED] OBSERVED IN VICINITY OF RESIDENCE OF FORMER ARIZONA STATE SUPREME COURT JUDGE EVO DE CONCINI BY GARDENER ABOUT TWO HOURS PRIOR TO BOMBING OF DE CONCINI HOME WHICH OCCURRED ON EVENING OF SEPTEMBER EIGHTEEN, NINETEEN SIXTY-EIGHT. THIS, IN ADDITION TO DATA RECEIVED FROM PHOENIX SOURCES, INDICATES LAST FOUR BOMBINGS AND/OR ATTEMPTED BOMBINGS RETALIATIONS ON PART OF BONANNOS.

b6  
b7C

TELETYPED TO:

REC 821

166-39185

17 OCT 4 1968

b6  
b7C

53 OCT 11 1968

033 110 5 2 780

PAGE TWO

NEW YORK IS REQUESTED TO FURNISH INFORMATION REGARDING  
ANY DATA PROVIDED BY [REDACTED] CONCERNING  
BOMBINGS IN TUCSON. IF [REDACTED] FAILS  
TO MAKE AVAILABLE ANY DATA, INFORMANT, WHO IS BELIEVED IN  
TUCSON AT THIS TIME, WILL BE INTERVIEWED CONCERNING THESE  
MATTERS BY PHOENIX AGENTS, UACB OR UAC BY NEW YORK DIVISION.

b7D

END

RECEIVED: 2-45 PM [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

NA  
10/3  
TS

VIA TELETYPE  
OCT 3 1968  
ENCIPHERED

Mr. Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. DeLoach \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Bishop \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Gale \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Sullivan \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Tavel \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Trotter \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

WA...24

FBI NEW YORK

5-39 PM URGENT 10-3-68 WPK

TO DIRECTOR AND PHOENIX (CODE)

FROM NEW YORK 166- 1P

b6  
b7C

0 BOMBING, TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA, ITAR-ARSON; AR.

REPHOENIX TEL TO DIRECTOR AND NEW YORK, TEN TWO SIXTY EIGHT.

[REDACTED]

DISCLAIMS

b7D

ANY KNOWLEDGE OF BOMBINGS AND DENIES ANY PERSONAL  
PARTICIPATION IN THEM. NEW YORK HAS NO OBJECTION TO  
PHOENIX INTERVIEW OF INFORMANT.

[REDACTED]

END-

WA.. [REDACTED]

FBI WASH DC

EX 110

REC 82

166-3918-6

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED]

RECEIVED-CVTE

25 OCT 7 1968

OCT 3 2 48 PM 1968

5306 [REDACTED] 1968

b6  
b7C

October 8, 1968

SPECIAL INVESTIGATIVE DIVISION

With respect to our investigation of series of bombings in Tucson, Arizona, area and inspired by elements of the Bonanno family of b7D La Cosa Nostra, an informant of our Phoenix Office [redacted]

[redacted]

b6

b7C

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

# Memorandum

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

b6  
b7C

September 26, 1968

Mr. Bishop \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Gale \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Sullivan \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Tavel \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Trotter \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

TO : Director,  
Federal Bureau of Investigation

DATE:

123-66

FROM : Fred M. Vinson, Jr.  
Assistant Attorney General  
Criminal Division

SUBJECT: Recent events concerning Cosa Nostra in Tucson

Reference is made to my memorandum of July 31, 1968, on the captioned subject. In that memorandum I referred to the recent rash of bombings in the Tucson area involving, among others, the premises of Joe Bonanno and Peter Licavoli, prominent Cosa Nostra figures. I also referred to the information concerning shotgun blasts directed at the home of [redacted]

[redacted] In view of the possibility of violations of 18 U.S.C. 1952 it was requested that this Division be furnished whatever reports were available concerning the various bombing incidents in the Tucson area. Since that time the following reports have been received:

1. Letterhead memorandum, dated September 17, 1968, at Phoenix, Arizona, with reference to two separate bombings in Tucson. One at the Wig Salon of Beauty and the other at the residence of [redacted] both occurring on September 16.

2. Letterhead memorandum of September 20, 1968, with respect to the attempted bombing of the temporary residence of [redacted]

3. Letterhead memorandum dated September 19, 1968, with respect to the dynamiting of the back yard of former Arizona Supreme Court Judge Evo DeConcini on September 18. This report reflects that [redacted] Special Investigations, Tucson Police Department, expressed the view that the DeConcini, [redacted] and Wig Salon bombings were all instigated by Salvatore Vincent Bonanno.

37-SEP-26-1968

EX-100-110000-34

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C

airtel to PX  
9-30-68

1cc att

EX 1

66-3918-7  
54  
4 SEP 26 1968

With respect to the bombing at the Wig we have been advised that [redacted] of convicted extortioner Charles Battaglia, is employed there. With respect to [redacted] it is our understanding he is a criminal associate of [redacted] who was allegedly involved in the bomb extortion plot in JP's Lounge in Tucson last Fall. We have also received indications that some persons on the local scene are of the view that these bombings may be the result of a feud between Salvatore "Bill" Bonanno and [redacted] arising from difficulties over the income from illegal activities. There is also, I am advised, an unconfirmed story circulating in Tucson that the earlier rash of bombings was stopped temporarily when a local official went to Cosa Nostra leader Joe Bonanno and requested him to put a stop to the various extortion and bombing activities. Here it should be noted that your letterhead memorandum of September 19 reflects the view of [redacted] that the Wig, [redacted] and DeConcini bombings were all instigated by Salvatore Vincent Bonanno.

b6  
b7c

It would appear from the available facts that the various bombings, or at least most of them, are interrelated and involve various elements of the Cosa Nostra presently residing in the Tucson area. While the evidence is unclear at this juncture, it is conceivable that the Tucson bombings are part of the gang warfare being conducted in New York by and against the Joe Bonanno Family and, if so, will almost of necessity involve the use of some interstate facility. Whatever the purpose of the bombings may be there are various indications that violations of federal statutes, specifically 18 U.S.C. 1952 and 18 U.S.C. 1951, might be involved. Therefore, it is requested that the Bureau initiate a full inquiry into this matter in order to ascertain whether or not violations of federal law have occurred.

9/30/68

AIRTEL

REC 54

To: SAC, Phoenix

From: Director, FBI

BOMBINGS, TUCSON AREA

ITAR - ARSON;

ANTI-RACKETEERING

Enclosed herewith are two Xerox copies of Departmental memorandum dated 9/26/68 from Assistant Attorney General Fred M. Vinson, Jr., Criminal Division.

In view of current developments in the Tucson area, the Phoenix Office will initiate full inquiry into these matters and within twenty days after receipt of this communication, submit a comprehensive report under ITAR - Arson; Anti-Racketeering classifications.

In submission of requested report, Phoenix should appropriately separate the various bombings which have occurred, preferably in chronological order. Set out facts and investigative results in the first and subsequent reports in the same order.

SAC, Phoenix, should evaluate the need for personnel and assign sufficient additional Agents to insure investigation is handled in a thorough, comprehensive manner on an expedite basis. In view of the organized crime aspects to the bombings and the potential for property damage in addition to that already experienced in the area, the possibility of personal injuries must be considered if these activities continue. You must, therefore, make full use of all available facilities, informants and sources and at the same time make certain that no criticism can be directed toward your office by virtue of insufficient manpower being assigned to the investigation.

Enc. (2)

(4)

56 OCT 16 1968

MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C

166-3918

FBI WASH DC

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
COMMUNICATION SECTION

OCT 8 1968

TELETYPE

FBI PHOENIX

431PM URGENT 10-3-68 LEG

TO DIRECTOR (ATTENTION LABORATORY)

FROM PHOENIX (166-293) (2P)

Mr. Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. DeLoach \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Bishop \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Gale \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Sullivan \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Tavel \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Trotter \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

166-3918

BOMBINGS - TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA, ITAR - ARSON; AR. OO PHOENIX

REBUAIRTEL, NINE/THIRTY/LAST.

NINE/NINETEEN/ LAST ABORTIVE ATTEMPT WAS MADE TO BOMB  
TEMPORARY RESIDENCE [REDACTED] TUCSON, ARIZONA.

BOMB, WHICH WAS SUBSEQUENTLY PICKED UP BY THE TUCSON PD,  
CONSISTED OF DYNAMITE PLACED IN CLOROX BOTTLE WITH FUSE  
AND CAP. FUSE BURNED OUT PRIOR TO REACHING CAP AND DISCOVERED  
BY [REDACTED] WIFE. TPD HAD EXAMINATION MADE BY APACHE POWDER  
COMPANY ON NINE/TWENTY-SEVEN/LAST, WHICH DISCLOSED POWDER  
COMMON STANDARD DYNAMITE; NAMELY, FORTY PER CENT SPECIAL  
GELATIN AND NOT MADE BY APACHE BECAUSE OF PRESENCE OF  
BAGASSE AND ZERO POINT SEVEN PERCENT CHALK RATHER THAN  
ONE POINT ZERO PERCENT. MOREOVER, AS MOST ALL EXPLOSIVES  
IN ARIZONA SOLD BY APACHE, ESPECIALLY TUCSON, ARIZONA,  
IT APPEARED THIS POWDER WAS IMPORTED FROM ELSEWHERE.

END PAGE ONE

REC-41

OCT 9 1968

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C

WASH  
10/10/68  
105

8:160P  
10/3/68  
furnish photos of

PAGE TWO

PX 166-293

LABORATORY REQUESTED TO ADVISE WHETHER PHOENIX DIVISION  
SHOULD FORWARD ONE GALLON CLOROX PLASTIC BOTTLE HALF FULL  
OF GELATIN DYNAMITE TO LABORATORY FOR FURTHER ANALYSIS AND  
EXAMINATION. IF SO, ADVISE HOW SAME SHOULD BE FORWARDED.

PENDING.

END

SLB

FBI WASH DC

CALLER: *Lab*

By  Time *7:48pm*

CC: MR. GALE

b6  
b7C

Office

b6  
b7C

10/4/68

PLAINTEXT

TELETYPE

DEFERRED

EX-105

REC-41

TO SAC, PHOENIX (166-293)

FROM DIRECTOR, FBI

166-39188

BOMBINGS - TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA. ITAR - ARSON; AR.

REURTEL OCTOBER THREE, NINETEEN SIXTYEIGHT.

PLACE APPROXIMATELY EIGHT TABLESPOONS OF DYNAMITE IN SEALED METAL CONTAINER OF TYPE USED IN CONNECTION WITH TYPEWRITER RIBBONS. PLACE SEALED METAL CONTAINER CONTAINING DYNAMITE INSIDE WOODEN BOX WITH AT LEAST TWO INCHES OF SAWDUST SEPARATING METAL CONTAINER FROM INSIDE SURFACES OF WOODEN BOX. SHIPMENT MUST BE MADE VIA RAILWAY EXPRESS AND EXTERIOR OF WOODEN BOX MUST CONTAIN NOTATION "EXPLOSIVES - OFFICIAL LAW ENFORCEMENT SHIPMENT FOR LABORATORY EXAMINATION." ADVISE LABORATORY BY AIRTEL DATE SHIPMENT TURNED OVER TO RAILWAY EXPRESS IN ORDER THAT LABORATORY MAY HAVE ADVANCE NOTICE THAT EXPLOSIVES ENROUTE.

NOTE: Above instructions appropriate for shipping of an explosive such as dynamite.

Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
DeLoach \_\_\_\_\_  
Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Bishop \_\_\_\_\_  
Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
Gale \_\_\_\_\_  
Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Sullivan \_\_\_\_\_  
Tavel \_\_\_\_\_  
Trotter \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

(4)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
COMMUNICATION SECTION

OCT 4 1968

b6  
b7C

MAIL ROOM

TELETYPE UNIT

VIA TELETYPE

OCT 9 1968

ENCIPHERED

Mr. Tolson\_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. DeLoach\_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Mohr\_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Bishop\_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Casper\_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Callahan\_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Conrad\_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Felt\_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Gale\_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Rosen\_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Sullivan\_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Tavel\_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Trotter\_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room\_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Holmes\_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Gandy\_\_\_\_\_

1148 AM URGENT 10-9-68 LYH

PARAPHRASE IF DISSEMINATED

TO DIRECTOR

FROM PHOENIX

BOMBINGS, TUCSON, ARIZONA. ITAR - ARSON; ANTI - RACKETEERING  
OO PHOENIX.

RE PHOENIX TELETYPE TO BUREAU OCTOBER SEVEN LAST.

ADVISED INSTANT DATE

END PAGE ONE

REG-35

EX-100

15 OCT 11 1968

51 OCT 18 1968

PAGE TWO

b6  
b7C  
b7D

RECEIVED: 3:10 PM



OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

DEPT. OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

OCT 8 1968

TELETYPE

b6  
b7C

Mr. Tolson ✓  
Mr. DeLoach ✓  
Mr. Mohr ✓  
Mr. Bishop ✓  
Mr. Casper ✓  
Mr. Callahan ✓  
Mr. Conrad ✓  
Mr. Felt ✓  
Mr. Gale ✓  
Mr. Rosen ✓  
Mr. Sullivan ✓  
Mr. Tavel ✓  
Mr. Trotter ✓  
Tele. Room  
Miss Holmes  
Miss Gandy

FBI WASH DC

FBI PHOENIX

937AM URGENT 10-8-68

TO DIRECTOR (166-3918)

FROM PHOENIX (166-293) (1P)

Interstate Transportation in Aid of Racketeering

b6  
b7C

BOMBINGS, TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA. ISTAR - ARSON;

ANTI RACKETEERING  
AR.

OO PHOENIX.

ARIZ.

REPRESENTATIVE OF TIME MAGAZINE, IS IN

TUCSON CONDUCTING INQUIRIES RE CAPTIONED MATTER. HE HAS

CONFERRED WITH SPECIAL

INVESTIGATIONS UNIT, TUCSON PD, AND IS MAKING INQUIRY OF

VARIOUS PERSONS IN TUCSON, ALLEGED ASSOCIATES OF THE BONANNOS.

OCTOBER SIX, LAST, HE WAS TRYING TO GET IN TOUCH WITH SA

AT TUCSON RA, WHO WAS OUT OF THE OFFICE.

UACB

WILL BE ADVISED ONLY THAT THIS BUREAU

CONDUCTING INVESTIGATION AT REQUEST OF DEPARTMENT TO DETERMINE

IF ANY FEDERAL VIOLATIONS INDICATED. REC-68

END

FBI WASH DC

25 OCT 14 1968

b6  
b7C

53 OCT 18 1968

RECD DE UACB


OCT 8 1968

**SPECIAL INVESTIGATIVE DIVISION**

10/8/68

With respect to the recent bombings occurring in Tucson, Arizona, area, Phoenix advises that Time magazine representative has been making inquiry in the area and has attempted to contact our Resident Agent at Tucson.

Phoenix recommends that in the event the representative inquires of our office, that he be advised the FBI is conducting investigation at the request of the Department to determine if a Federal violation is indicated. The Special Investigative and Crime Records Divisions concur in this recommendation.



b6  
b7C

ENCLOSURE


166-3918-10

SPECIAL INVESTIGATIVE DIVISION

9/27/68

Department refers to series of bombings occurring in Tucson, Arizona, and possibility that bombings are result of feud between factions of the Bonanno "family" of La Cosa Nostra. It is noted each bombing has been referred to Civil Rights Division of Department which indicated no further investigation necessary. It is also noted Congressman Udall, by letter 9/17/68, to the Bureau, made reference to these bombings and sought additional FBI investigative assistance in Tucson area. He was advised this done earlier this year and further that information concerning bombings had been presented to Department which advised no investigation by FBI desired.

Criminal Division of Department now indicates a desire for investigation as possible violation of Interstate Transportation in Aid of Racketeering - Arson and/or Hobbs Act violation. On basis of information developed thus far, there has been no information of incendiary device utilized. However, Phoenix will be instructed to conduct appropriate investigation and submit report for transmittal to the Criminal Division of the Department. b6  
b7C



VIA TELETYPE

OCT 7 1968

ENCIPHERED

Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. DeLoach \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Bishop \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Gale \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Sullivan \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Tavel \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Trotter \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

2:40 PM URGENT 10-7-68

TO DIRECTOR

FROM PHOENIX

b6  
b7C

2-1

*Bombing*

Interstate Transportation in Aid of Racketeering

Office of Origin

BOMBINGS, TUCSON, ARIZONA. ~~STAR~~ - ARSON; ANTIRACKETEERING ~~CO~~:

PHOENIX.

*Area*

ON THIS DATE

ADVISED

b6  
b7C  
b7D

END PAGE ONE

REC-65

EX-105

166-3918  
4 OCT 10 1968

b6  
b7C

MR. DELOACH FOR THE DIRECTOR

58 068

PAGE TWO



b7D

THIS MATTER BEING FOLLOWED CLOSELY AND VIGOROUSLY PURSUED. BUREAU  
WILL BE KEPT ADVISED OF ALL PERTINENT INFORMATION.

RECEIVED: 5:54 PM ☐

b6  
b7C

CC: MR. GALE & M. Rosen

F B I

Date: 10/15/68

Transmit the following in \_\_\_\_\_  
(Type in plaintext or code)Via A I R T E L \_\_\_\_\_  
(Priority)

DIRECTOR, FBI (166-3918)

M: SAC, PHOENIX (166-293) (P)

BOMBINGS,  
TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA  
ITAR - ARSON; AR  
OO: PX

On 10/14/68, [REDACTED] advised that [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

As a result of the foregoing, Chief of Police  
BERNARD GARMIRE, Tucson, Arizona PD, was contacted and  
advised that in the opinion of the Bureau the following  
hoodlums should be watched closely by their department,

3 - Bureau (RM)  
3 - Phoenix  
(1 - 66-937)

[REDACTED]  
(6)

REC-40

EX 106

166-3918-12

4 OCT 17 1968

b6  
b7C

C. C. Bishop

22 1968

Approved: \_\_\_\_\_

Special Agent in Charge

Sent \_\_\_\_\_ M Per \_\_\_\_\_

PX 166-293  
[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

which might result in the apprehension and subsequent conviction of person and/or persons involved in bombings in the Tucson, Arizona area both in the past and future:

[REDACTED]  
PETER JOSEPH NOTARO

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED]  
SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO  
[REDACTED]

COP GARMIRE expressed appreciation for this information and indicated that he would see to it that officers of his department followed the activities of the above-mentioned individuals closely.

F B I

Date: 10/18/68

Transmit the following in \_\_\_\_\_  
(Type in plaintext or code)Via A I R T E L AIRMAIL  
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (166-3918)

OM: SAC, PHOENIX (166-293) (P)

SUBJECT: BOMBINGS, TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA  
ITAR - ARSON; AR

OO: PX

Re PX airtel, 10/15/68.

As previously reported, [redacted] advised immediately subsequent to the bombing of The Wig - Salon of Beauty and the residence [redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

On October 15, 1968, [redacted] of [redacted] a known Tucson bomber and associate of [redacted] advised [redacted] also linked to bombings in the Tucson area in the past.

On October 16, 1968, [redacted] Tucson Police Department, advised he formerly worked with [redacted] who claimed to be related to [redacted] and who he advised might be picked up in connection with J. P. Bar bombing which took place earlier this year.

- ③ - Bureau (RM)  
8 - Phoenix  
(1 - 66-937)  
(1 - 92-788)  
(1 - [redacted])  
(1 - [redacted])  
(1 - 92-701)  
(1 - 92-819)

REC-47 166-3918-13  
EX 110

OCT 21 1968

(11)

Approved: [redacted] Special Agent in Charge

Sent \_\_\_\_\_ M Per \_\_\_\_\_

b6  
b7C  
b7Db6  
b7Cb6  
b7C  
b7D  
b7E

PX 166-293  
[redacted]

On October 17, 1968, [redacted] was interviewed and he advised [redacted] and has worked with both he and [redacted] in the past doing carpentry work.

On October 17, 1968 [redacted] advised [redacted] formerly worked at Duval Mines and is a close friend and associate of [redacted]  
[redacted]

On October 18, 1968 [redacted] was contacted and advised he had nothing to say to the FBI on the advice of his attorney, [redacted]

[redacted] is described as follows:

Race	Caucasian
Sex	Male
Date of Birth	[redacted]
Height	5' 10"
Weight	165 pounds
Hair	Brown
Eyes	Brown
Residence	[redacted]
Occupation	Carpenter
Employment	[redacted]

It would appear [redacted] is identical with [redacted] furnished by informant mentioned above.

On October 18, 1968, Chief of Police BERNARD GARMIRE, Tucson, Arizona PD was contacted by SRA [redacted] and informed that in the opinion of the Bureau their department should include the name of [redacted] as one who might be involved in the bombings in the Tucson area in the past and/or future.

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE <b>PHOENIX</b>	OFFICE OF ORIGIN <b>PHOENIX</b>	DATE <b>10/18/68</b>	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD <b>7/8 - 10/15/68</b>
TITLE OF CASE <b>BOMBINGS TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA</b>		REPORT MADE BY <b>SA</b>	TYPED BY
		CHARACTER OF CASE <b>ITAR - ARSON; AR</b>	b6 b7C

## REFERENCES:

Report of SA [redacted] 8/7/68, at Phoenix, captioned [redacted] AR".  
 Report of SA [redacted] 8/6/68, at Phoenix, captioned "PETER LICAVOLI, SR., aka, AR".  
 Report of SA [redacted] 8/6/68, at Phoenix, captioned "JOSEPH BONANNO, SR., aka, AR".  
 Report of SA [redacted] 8/22/68, at Phoenix, captioned "PETER JOSEPH NOTARO, AR".  
 Report of SA [redacted] 9/20/68, at Phoenix, captioned [redacted] AR".  
 Phoenix teletype to Bureau, 9/17/68, captioned "CIP - PHOENIX DIVISION".  
 Phoenix teletypes to Bureau, 9/18/68 and 9/20/68 captioned "UNSUB; Dynamiting of Back Yard of Residence of EVO DE CONCINI, 2806 Villa Rotunda, Tucson, Arizona, 9/18/68, BM - CIP".

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED: None

Case has been [redacted] year ☐ Yes ☒ No; Pending prosecution over six months ☐ Yes ☒ No

APPROVED

SPECIAL AGENT  
IN CHARGE

DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW

COPIES MADE:

3 Bureau (RM) (166-3918)  
 1 SA, Phoenix, Arizona  
 2 New York (RM)  
 3 Phoenix (166-293)

166-3918-14

REC-41

EX 110

15 OCT 21 1968

b6  
b7C

A - COVER PAGE

## Dissemination Record of Attached Report

Notations

Agency	#1-2	CC, AAG	Criminal Division,
Request Recd.	Organized Crime	Room 2524	Intelligence Section
Date Fwd.	Room 2524		
How Fwd.			
67 OCT 30 1968			

10/23/68

STAT.

REFERENCES continued:

Phoenix teletype to Bureau, 9/19/68, captioned  
"UNSUB; Attempted Bombing of Temporary Residence  
of [redacted]  
Avenue, Tucson, Arizona, 9/19/68, BM; CIP".  
Phoenix teletype to Bureau, 9/16/68, captioned  
"CIP - PHOENIX DIVISION".  
Bureau airtel to Phoenix, 9/30/68  
Phoenix teletype to Bureau and New York, 10/2/68.  
Phoenix teletype to Detroit, 10/2/68.  
New York teletype to Bureau and Phoenix, 10/3/68.  
Phoenix teletype to Bureau, 10/3/68.  
Phoenix teletype to New York, 10/3/68.  
Phoenix airtel to Bureau, 10/3/68, captioned  
"UNSUB; PETE LICAVOLI - VICTIM, EXTORTION"  
Bureau teletype to Phoenix, 10/4/68  
New York teletype to Phoenix, 10/4/68.  
Phoenix teletype to Bureau, 10/8/68  
Phoenix letter to Bureau, 10/8/68  
Phoenix teletype to Bureau, 10/9/68.  
Detroit airtel to Phoenix, 10/9/68.  
Phoenix teletypes to Bureau, 10/7/68 and 10/9/68.

- P -

LEADS:

NEW YORK DIVISION

AT FARMINDALE, NEW YORK

Will, through appropriate sources and/or informants,  
determine exact dates when [redacted] in Italy  
and if he could have been in Tucson, Arizona area on or  
about 7/22/68, to either "finger" JOSEPH BONANNO, SR.'s home  
for bombing or participate in same.

PHOENIX DIVISION

AT TUCSON, ARIZONA

1. Will continue contacting the mines in the

PX 166-293  
[redacted]

b6  
b7C

southern Arizona area in an effort to locate information indicating the possibility that one of the Tucson hoodlums might have stolen dynamite from one of the mines or requested an employee of obtain dynamite for him.

2. Will maintain contact with local police and SO authorities in the event their sources obtain any evidentiary data as to who is responsible for the bombings.

3. Will await the Laboratory results of the sample of dynamite forwarded for analysis which was obtained from the unsuccessful attempt to bomb the temporary residence of Tucson hoodlum [redacted] on 9/19/68.

b6  
b7C

4. Will maintain contact with logical informants and/or sources, [redacted] in an effort to further identify where the dynamite is being obtained in addition to any evidentiary data concerning previous or future bombings in the Tucson area.

b7D

ADMINISTRATIVE:

The investigative period was extended to include data obtained in the matter concerning [redacted] on 7/8/68.

b6  
b7C

During the neighborhood investigation connected with the Grace Ranch, which is owned by PETE LICAVOLI, SR. by Buagents, information was obtained from [redacted]

[redacted]  
to the effect that mail of a threatening nature had been received at the ranch which indicated a possible violation of the Extortion Statute. In this regard, the facts surrounding one of the pieces of mail in particular were presented to AUSA [redacted] on 10/3/68, at which time [redacted]

b5  
b6  
b7C

[redacted] This data was forwarded to the Bureau by airtel dated 10/3/68, captioned "UNSUB; PETE LICAVOLI - VICTIM, EXTORTION". The pieces of mail referred to above are set forth verbatim in the details of this report.

C - COVER PAGE

PX 166-293

b6  
b7C

I. SHOTGUN BLASTS AT THE RESIDENCE OF [REDACTED]  
ON EVENING 7/4/68

On 7/8/68, [REDACTED] (Protect Identity by request), [REDACTED] advised that on the evening of 7/4/68, two blasts from a shotgun were fired at the residence of [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Arizona. [REDACTED] stated that one of the blasts went through the front window of the house while the other blast hit the rear window of a car owned by [REDACTED] which had been parked in the carport.

[REDACTED] averred that it was his understanding that the shotgun blasts were fired by two boys who were annoyed with the caretaker over a verbal altercation which occurred between them in the past. Moreover, the boys were reportedly intoxicated and celebrating the Fourth of July.

b6  
b7C  
b7D

On 7/12/68 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] advised that they had failed to receive any information indicating that the shotgun blasts which occurred at the Tucson residence of [REDACTED] on the evening of 7/4/68, had anything to do with gang war activities and were of the opinion that it was strictly a "prank".

II. BOMBING OF GRACE RANCH, TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA ON THE EVENING 7/21/68

On 7/22/68, [REDACTED] advised [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] is responsible for instigating the bombing of the Grace Ranch on the evening of 7/21/68, in hopes that JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. and his oldest son, SALVATORE VINCENT "BILL" BONANNO, might be accused by LICAVOLI as being the responsible persons behind the incident.

b6  
b7C  
b7D

[REDACTED] stated he believes that [REDACTED] known bomber in the Tucson area, did the dynamiting for [REDACTED]

PX 166-293

b6  
b7C

[redacted] went on to explain that [redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted] recalled that [redacted]

[redacted]

On 7/23/68 [redacted] advised that he is almost sure that the dynamiting of the Grace Ranch and the residence of JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. on the evening of 7/22/68, were related and feels that [redacted] was behind both incidents.

b6  
b7C  
b7D

On 7/24/68 [redacted] advised that [redacted]


[redacted]


On 7/29/68 [redacted] advised both the bombing of LICAVOLI's Grace Ranch and the patio at the residence of JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. on 7/21 and 22/68 respectively were instigated by [redacted] and the actual dynamiting was believed to have been handled by [redacted] and/or [redacted] who have done similar bombing tasks for [redacted] in Tucson in the past [redacted] related that [redacted] purpose was to attempt to cause friction between JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. and LICAVOLI, who have not been

PX 166-293



b6  
b7C

on the best of terms subsequent to LICAVOLI's release from  
prison. 



b6  
b7C  
b7D

F - COVER PAGE

PX 166-293

b6  
b7C

III. BOMBING OF PATIO AND GARAGE OF JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. ON  
EVENING 7/22/68

On 7/22/68 [redacted] advised that it was his understanding that [redacted] was responsible for instigating the dynamiting of the Grace Ranch owned by PETER LICAVOLI, SR. on the evening of 7/21/68, in hopes that BONANNO, SR. and his oldest son, SALVATORE VINCENT "BILL" BONANNO, might be accused by LICAVOLI as being <sup>the</sup> responsible persons behind the incident. According to [redacted] he believes that [redacted]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

[redacted] went on to explain that [redacted]

PX 166-293

b6  
b7C

On 7/23/68 [ ] advised that he is sure that the dynamiting of the Grace Ranch and the blasts at the JOSEPH BONANNO SR residence were related and feels that [ ] was behind both incidents.

On 7/24/68, [ ] advised that [ ]


b6  
b7C  
b7D

On 7/29/68 [ ] advised both the bombing of the ranch of PETER LICAVOLI, SR. and the patio and garage of JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. on 7/21 and 22/68, respectively were instigated by [ ] and that the actual dynamiting was believed to have been handled by [ ] and/or [ ] who have done similar bombing tasks for [ ] in Tucson in the past.

[ ] related that [ ] purpose was to attempt to cause friction between BONANNO, SR. and LICAVOLI, SR., who have not been on the best of terms subsequent to LICAVOLI's release from prison [ ]

H - COVER PAGE

PX 166-293




b6  
b7C



b6  
b7C  
b7D

On 7/31/68. PCI  advised that



I - COVER PAGE

PX 166-293

b6  
b7C

On 8/2/68, [redacted] advised that [redacted]



IV. BOMBING OF PATIO OF PETER JOSEPH NOTARO ON EVENING  
8/16/68

On 8/17/68, both [redacted]  
who are conversant with various phases of organized criminal  
activity which includes LCN matters in the greater Tucson  
area, advised that the bombing of PETER JOSEPH NOTARO's  
patio on the evening of 8/16/68, was not connected with  
the bombings of PETER LICAVOLI, SR.'s Grace Ranch or the  
residence of JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. in Tucson on 7/21 and 22/68  
respectively; further, it was the work of pranksters.

b6  
b7C  
b7D

V. BOMBING OF METRIC AUTO PLASTIC COMPANY, 1926 NORTH  
STONE AVENUE, TUCSON, ON THE EVENING 9/12/68

[redacted] who are conversant  
various phases of organized crime activity in the greater  
Tucson area, advised on 9/13/68, that the known bombers  
in Tucson, [redacted]  
were not involved in the bombing of Metric Auto Plastic  
Company, rather it appears to have been done by an unknown  
person and/or persons as a prank to harass the owner,  
[redacted] aka, who is known associate of JOSEPH  
BONANNO, SR. and/or his oldest son, SALVATORE VINCENT "BILL"  
BONANNO.

PX 166-293

b6  
b7C

The Phoenix Division has opened an AR file on [redacted] for investigation in view of his association with the BONANNOS plus [redacted] all prominent Tucson hoodlums.

VI. BOMBING OF THE WIG-SALON OF BEAUTY, 2739 EAST SPEEDWAY BOULEVARD, TUCSON, ON THE EVENING 9/16/68

[redacted] advised on 9/17/68, that [redacted]

[redacted]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

K - COVER PAGE

PX 166-293

b6  
b7C

VII. BOMBING OF THE RESIDENCE OF [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] ARIZONA, ON EVENING  
of 9/16/68

[REDACTED] advised on 9/17/68, that [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

VIII. BOMBING OF RESIDENCE OF EVO DE CONCINI, 2806 VIA  
ROTUNDA, TUCSON, ARIZONA, ON EVENING OF 9/18/68

L - COVER PAGE

PX 166-293

b6  
b7C

[redacted] advised on 9/19/68. that [redacted]

[redacted]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

IX. ATTEMPTED BOMBING OF TEMPORARY RESIDENCE OF [redacted]  
[redacted] TUCSON,  
ARIZONA, ON EVENING 9/19/68

b6  
b7C

Data obtained from various sources and/or informants connected with the attempted bombing of the temporary residence of [redacted] is being set forth in the details of this report.

M - COVER PAGE

PX 166-293

GENERAL DATA

By teletype to the Bureau and New York 10/2/68, a request was made by the Phoenix Division to interview

concerning any knowledge in his possession regarding the recent bombings.

By teletype to the Bureau and Phoenix dated 10/3/68, the New York Division noted that [redacted] disclaimed any knowledge of the bombings and any personal participation in them. Moreover, the New York Division had no objection to the Phoenix Division interviewing this informant regarding the bombings.

By teletype to the New York Division 10/3/68, the Phoenix Division noted contact had been made with JOSEPH BONANNO, JR. on 10/3/68, requesting an interview with his father regarding the bombing of his home on 7/22/68 at which time he advised that his father would speak to the agents only if his New York attorney [redacted] approved of same.

By teletype to Phoenix 10/4/68, New York advised attorney [redacted] had been contacted and would not grant permission for interview of JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. and/or SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO unless he, [redacted] were also present during the interview.

Based on the foregoing, SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO, [redacted] not interviewed.

On 10/7/68, [redacted] advised that [redacted]

PX 166-293

[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED] claimed that [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] noted that [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

[REDACTED] on 10/9/68. made available the  
following [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to: 1 - USA, Phoenix, Arizona

Report of: SA [redacted]  
Date: October 18, 1968

Office: PHOENIX

b6  
b7C

Field Office File #: PX 166-293

Bureau File #:

Title: BOMBINGS  
TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA

Character: INTERSTATE TRANSPORTATION IN AID OF RACKETEERING -  
ARSON; ANTI-RACKETEERING

Synopsis:

Shotgun blasts at residence of [redacted]  
[redacted] on 7/4/68; bombing of Grace Ranch on 7/21/68;  
bombing of residence JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. on 7/22/68;  
bombing of residence PETER JOSEPH NOTARO 8/16/68;  
bombing Metric Auto Plastic Company on 9/12/68;  
bombings of Wig-Salon of Beauty and residence  
[redacted] on 9/16/68; bombing of residence  
EVO DE CONCINI on 9/18/68 and attempted bombing  
temporary residence [redacted] 9/19/68,  
set forth. On 7/26/68, [redacted] Tucson,  
Arizona PD, advised he received word same date  
from New York City, New York PD that MICHAEL  
SALVATORE CASALE, "hit" man for PAUL SCIACCA  
forces opposing JOSEPH BONANNO, SR., given responsi-  
bility of bombing residence of BONANNO and bungled  
assignment. [redacted] advised 9/20/68, gardner for  
EVO DE CONCINI contended two hours prior to  
bombing automobile observed driving through dirt  
driveway on north side, making one pass then  
another. On second pass, individual on driver  
side said hello. Upon interview, gardner positively  
identified individual next to driver's side as  
SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO and driver possibly  
GEORGE MUSTAKES, JR. Subsequently, gardner advised  
Buagents Tucson police officials interviewed him  
and shown series of photographs. Gardner indicated  
he picked out photograph of SALVATORE VINCENT "BILL"  
BONANNO, indicating this strongly resembles passen-  
ger in vehicle. Samples of dynamite obtained at  
attempted bombing temporary residence [redacted]  
[redacted] forwarded FBI Laboratory for analysis.

b6  
b7C

AR12.

- P -

PX 166-293

b6  
b7C

On 10/9/68 [ ] advised that [ ]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

[ ] Apache Powder Company, advised that number three amogel signifies that the stick of dynamite contains 45 per cent powder. [ ] further indicated that on each individual stick of dynamite there should appear a manufacturing date below the word amogel. If this number is available, [ ] advised that it is possible to trace the dynamite from Apache Powder Company, but only when the manufacturing date is known.

b6  
b7C

By teletype to the Bureau dated 10/8/68, information was set forth that one [ ] representative of "Time" magazine was in Tucson conducting inquiries concerning the bombings. Moreover, [ ] had conferred with [ ]

Q - COVER PAGE

b6  
b7C

PX 166-293

[redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] in charge of Special Investigations Unit, Tucson PD, and was making inquiries of various persons in Tucson who were allegedly associates of the BONANNOS. [redacted] was attempting on 10/6/68, to get in touch with SRA [redacted] who was out of the office. The Bureau was advised that if [redacted] made contact with SA [redacted] he would be advised that the Bureau is conducting an investigation at the request of the U. S. Department of Justice to determine if any Federal violations were indicated UACB.

b6  
b7C

On 10/9/68, [redacted] Supervisor in Division Nine, SOG, telephonically advised that the reply to [redacted] of "Times" magazine in Phoenix teletype dated 10/8/68, had been approved and that this should be the only comment made to [redacted]

b6  
b7C

On the evening of 10/14/68, [redacted] advised

[redacted]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

[redacted]

The above data, which was obtained from informants and/or sources or pertaining to informants directly, was set forth in the administrative section rather than the details due to the nature of the information which, if disseminated, could lead to exposure and jeopardize their lives.

R\* - COVER PAGE

Investigation in this case is predicated upon a request from Assistant Attorney General FRED M. VINSON, JR. to determine whether or not a violation of a Federal law had occurred.

b6  
b7C

I. SHOTGUN BLASTS AT THE RESIDENCE OF [REDACTED]  
ON EVENING OF JULY 4, 1968

On July 8, 1968, [REDACTED] Pima County Sheriff's Office, Tucson, Arizona, advised that their investigation of the shooting incident which occurred on the evening of July 4, 1968, at the [REDACTED] residence had failed to note any evidence indicating hoodlum activity and/or warfare. [REDACTED] averred that from their investigation they were unable to ascertain who was responsible, but had different neighbors claim it was an act of pranksters.

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 10/4/68

[redacted] at the residence of [redacted] Arizona, advised that he was the only one home on the evening of July 4, 1968, when two separate shotgun blasts occurred. According to [redacted] one of the blasts went through a large plate glass window in the north part of the house while the other went through the rear window of his personal automobile which was parked in the carport. [redacted] maintained that the blasts took place late on the evening of July 4, 1968, or early in the morning of July 5, 1968. [redacted] stated that he was asleep and awakened by the blasts and hurriedly went to the front of the house where the noise came from only to determine that whoever was responsible had fled. [redacted] said that he failed to hear or observe any automobile drive away.

[redacted] averred that he has no suspect and/or suspects in mind but is of the opinion that the blasts were done by kids as some sort of a prank in view of the fact that it was July 4, 1968.

On 10/2/68 at Tucson, Arizona File # PX 166-293


by SAs [redacted] Date dictated 10/2/68

PX 166-293  
[redacted]

The following investigation was conducted by SAs  
[redacted] at Tucson, Arizona:

On October 2, 1968, the following neighbors were contacted and interviewed and were unable to provide any information concerning the shotgun incident which occurred at the home of [redacted]

[redacted] on the evening of July 4 or morning of July 5, 1968:



b6  
b7C

On October 2, 1968, [redacted] indicated that he was in Chicago, Illinois, at the time this incident occurred and was of the opinion it was a prank by some neighborhood kids. [redacted] noted that [redacted] was at home at the time this incident took place.

On October 2, 1968, [redacted] Pima County Sheriff's Office (SO), Tucson, advised that he was involved in the investigation of shotgun blasts which occurred at the residence of [redacted] on the evening of July 4 or early morning July 5, 1968. According to [redacted] extensive investigation by their office failed to indicate who was responsible for the blasts but speculation is such that those individuals close to the [redacted] residence believe the blasts were done as a prank by kids who had some sort of disagreement with the caretaker and were getting even by committing this act.


PX 166-293



[REDACTED] averred that he had no indication that these blasts were linked with any gang-war type activity.


Set forth hereinafter are photographs made available by the Pima County SO concerning the above incident:




b6  
b7C









PX 166-293.

II. BOMBING OF GRACE RANCH, TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA ON THE  
EVENING OF JULY 21, 1968

On July 22, 1968, [REDACTED]  
Pima County SO, Tucson, advised that their department  
is continuing to investigate two dynamite blasts which  
occurred at the Grace Ranch, which is owned by PETER  
LICAVOLI, SR., on the evening of July 21, 1968. According  
to [REDACTED] two separate blasts took place,  
one causing extensive damage to two automobiles and one truck  
belonging to LICAVOLI for his ranch hands, while the other  
blast demolished a power meter located on a wall at the  
entrance to the ranch, which knocked out the electricity  
for a considerable length of time. [REDACTED]  
noted that they still had two men investigating the matter  
and as far as he was aware, they had failed to come up with  
any suspects or motive for the dynamiting.

The following investigation was conducted by SAs  
[REDACTED] at Tucson, Arizona:

On October 1, 1968, the following individuals  
at the Grace Ranch, Tucson, advised they had no informa-  
tion concerning the explosion which occurred at the ranch  
July 21, 1968:

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] mentioned above advised he has been  
associated with PETER LICAVOLI, SR., owner of the ranch, for  
the past 40 years. He said he has discussed the bombing at  
the ranch as well as other bombings which have occurred in  
the Tucson area with LICAVOLI. He said it was PETE's opinion

PX 166-293  
[redacted]

the bombings were instigated by ultra-right wing groups, b6  
although he has no specific information to bear out this b7C  
theory. He said PETE has made numerous inquiries concerning  
these bombings and has concluded the bombings were not  
instigated by anyone associated with him. [redacted] said  
there has been no hostility between PETE, JOE BONANNO,  
or anyone else connected with the so called "rackets"

[redacted] said just before and after the bombings he received at his residence mail which was of a threatening nature and that mail was as follows:

1. Post card postmarked July 14, 1968, Las Vegas, with a picture of the Hotel Fremont in Las Vegas, addressed to "Master-Pete Vicovoli, C/O Grace's Ranch, Tucson, Arizona". It reads:

"Pete,

"Costra Nostra

"After you leave country immediately never come back to Tucson Rus. They will find you, also members of gang.

"1. Detroit nice city

"2. People our color

"God bless us, Sicily Forvs"

The above postcard was printed in red ink.

2. Envelope postmarked July 26, 1968, Tucson, Arizona, addressed to [redacted] Grace Ranch, Wrighttown, Tucson, Arizona". In the envelope was a post card with a picture of the Family Garden Room, Arizona Mortuary, 7 East Third Street at Stone, Tucson, Arizona. On post card printed in pencil is the following note:

"Licovoli Family, may they rest in peace

"To you and all your family,

"The Boys."

3. Envelope postmarked October 24, 1968, Tucson, Arizona, addressed to, "Mr. Pete Licovoli, C/O Grace Ranch and [redacted] Wrighttown, Tucson, Arizona", with a return address of "De Concini Corp., Tucson, Arizona". At the bottom is a note, "Please forward to Pete".

On the back of the envelope is a note reading:

"Be careful you are watched. Talk to no one. Long live Sicily. We run Tucson. (Bonanno is through). Vote Nixon, our boy for president. Kick in funds for him from Syndicate. Humphrey double crossed us; too old, 60 years, for president. Democrats don't want him."

b6  
b7C

Inside the envelope on white unlined paper printed in blue ink was the following note:

"Pete,

"You will have control of Tucson; your Grace Ranch, other real estate deals should prosper. [redacted] is good man, keeps his mouth shut. We tested him out, Joe Bonanno and Sons Bill Salvatore. All those guys including mortician, strongarm guy, Joe Notaro, singing like canarys to city, state, Federal Crime Commissions. You will be the top banana. Bonanno will get five to ten years in Federal prison soon. Case comes up next week."

On the reverse side the note reads,

"Don't talk to [redacted] they are local punks, stool pigeons, can buy them off for peanuts. Might talk. [redacted] for Sheriff looks like pidgeon. Found he can't be trusted -- We are giving you the right dope information. Your friends in Detroit, Chicago, New York. We're not coppers or FBI rats."

On October 2, 1968, [redacted] Pima County SO, related that he was one of the detectives involved in the investigation of the bombing of the Grace Ranch on the evening of July 21, 1968. [redacted] averred that through extensive investigation they were unable to obtain any significant evidence and/or suspects connected with this bombing and are of the opinion that it was connected in some way with gang warfare within the ranks of the Mafia in Tucson but they are at a loss to understand the exact motive [redacted] stated that he based his thinking on information obtained subsequent to the bombing from various sources to their office who contended that this bombing was definitely connected with Mafia warfare.

[redacted] maintained that they have also failed to obtain any evidence indicating any interstate movement and/or involvement connected with this bombing.

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

b6  
b7CDate 10/9/68

PETER LICAVOLI, 1154 Balfour, Grosse Pointe Park, Michigan, was interviewed at his Michigan residence during which interview LICAVOLI advised that he has no information as to who did the recent bombings in the Tuscon, Arizona area or why. He advised that in his opinion, the bombings were harassments, and he offered conjecture that they could have been done by "the newspapers" so that they would get big headlines and sell more newspapers or by some "vigilanties" trying to get him and JOE BONANNO to move out of the Tuscon area. He also indicated that the bombing at his Tuscon, Arizona ranch on July 21, 1968, could have been done by some disgruntled former tenant; however, he advised that he did not know the identity of any such tenant.

He remarked that he considers these incidents as being harassments inasmuch as if anyone wanted to kill him or JOE BONANNO they would direct their attacks against them and not their property. He advised that he has known BONANNO for years and that there is no hard feelings or disagreements between them; that he has been in Tuscon, Arizona, for 24 years; and that BONANNO was there before him.

LICAVOLI denied knowing the identity of anyone who took over the New York interests of JOE BONANNO.

LICAVOLI stated that in years past he has been involved in gambling, but that now he is a legitimate businessman in the real estate business.


He also advised that he was returning to Tuscon, Arizona, on October 15, or 16, 1968.

b6  
b7C

On 10/8/68 at Grosse Pointe Park, Michigan File # Detroit 92-217

SAs [redacted] and [redacted]  
by [redacted] Date dictated 10/8/68

PX 166-293



b6  
b7C

Set forth hereinafter are Sheriff's Office reports  
and photographs connected with the above bombing:

760  
OFFENSE REPORT  
SHERIFF'S OFFICE — PIMA COUNTY, ARIZONA

PX 166-293

REPORT NO. 53401

CONNECT-UP NOS. b6  
b7C

INCIDENT OR CRIME Explosion TYPE Unknown INVESTIGATORS

COMPLAINANT Grace Ranch FIRST NAME MIDDLE NAME LAST NAME RACE

ADDRESS 8915 E. Wrightstown Rd. PHONE b6  
BUS. ADD. (OR PLACE OF EMPL.) Same BUS. PHONE b7C

WHERE OCCURRED 8915 E. Wrightstown Rd.

WHEN OCCURRED DAY OF WEEK Sunday DATE 7-21-68 HOUR 2215

REPORTED TO Pima County Sheriff's Office DATE 7-21-68 HOUR 2215

REPORTED BY ADDRESS Same as Above PHONE

HOW REPORTED Telephone REPORT TYPED BY (Phone 7-22-68)

PERSONS RESPONSIBLE OR SUSPECTED: (WHEN DESCRIPTION NECESSARY, EMBODY IN DETAILS BELOW)

NAME	ADDRESS	CHARGE	BOOKING NUMBER
1. Unknown			
2.			
3.			
4.			

DETAILS: While on Routine patrol this date at 2215 Hrs., this officer was dispatched to the Grace Ranch, reference Explosion.

Upon arrival at 2220 Hrs. this officer observed several cars parked in a canopy type garage ~~at~~ which appeared to have been in an explosion. This officer contacted the Grace Ranch, who related at approx. 2215 this date he had heard an explosion. Moments later upon investigation he had heard another explosion, but did not see either. Upon checking with the occupants of the residence, this officer checked with and who related the same story as the manager. In the explosion several vehicles were damaged:

Vehicle #1 - 1968 Dodge. Damages approx. \$800.00 to the top, trunk, doors.

Vehicle #2 - 1960 Corvair 4Dr.. Total damage \$500.00.

Vehicle #3 - 1963 Chevrolet S/W, Arizona License LC 5526, total damage approx. \$900.00, registered to Grace Ranch.

Vehicle #4 - 1961 Chevrolet Half Ton Pickup, Arizona License LC 4904, total damages approx. \$750.00, registered to Grace Ranch.

SIGNED OFFICER BADGE DATE 7-21-68 HOUR 0045 b6  
APPROVED BY RANK DATE 7-22-68 HOUR 0830 b7C

WITNESSES: CONTINUED

13  
NAME ADDRESS PHONE  
NAME ADDRESS PHONE  
NAME ADDRESS PHONE  
NAME ADDRESS PHONE

Also, there were damages to the canopy carport and a small storage shed at end, valued at approx. \$1,500.00. It is believed that the unknown type explosion occurred in ~~xxxx~~ the area of the 1961 Chevrolet half ton pickup. This officer also talked to [redacted] the ranch and asked if he had heard any type vehicles in the area prior to the explosion. [redacted] stated that the parking lot is too far from the residence to hear any type vehicle in the area. [redacted] from I.D. was at the scene to take photos. [redacted] were also dispatched to the scene. [redacted] advised that a further investigation would ~~xx~~ be made at this time. (See Supplementary from [redacted] [redacted] Rural Fire Department was requested, and they arrived at scene for standby.

b6  
b7C

No Further Information.

[redacted]

Date 7-21-68

Time 0045 Hrs.

b6  
b7C

# SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT - SHERIFF'S OFFICE

OFFENSE Explosion CASE # 53401  
 COMPLAINANT Grace Ranch  
 ADDRESS 8915 E. Wrightstown Rd.


On Sunday evening 7-21-68 around 11:00 p.m. I received a call at my home from Desk [redacted] who advised me that there had been an explosion at the Grace Ranch on Wrightstown Rd. and that my presence was requested at the scene. Upon my arrival I contacted [redacted] who was already at the scene and then [redacted] arrived just a few minutes after my arrival and the 3 of us made an examination of the scene. Also at the scene was Fire Chief Dean Marshall of the Rural Fire Dept. [redacted] and I made a thorough examination of the damaged truck and it was our determination that the charge of explosive had been placed on the ground beneath the right front corner of the bed of the Pickup truck. Samples of wood from the bed of the truck were taken in evidence and these were taken from the area that we believed were closest to the point of detonation of the explosive. The truck suffered almost total destruction with the bed of the truck being blown completely apart and the right rear wheel of the truck was blown off with part of the axle still fastened to the wheel. The axle had come completely out of the bell housing. The floor of the bed of the Pickup was completely shattered and strips of metal from the bed of the Pickup were imbedded in the boards of the Ramada over the parked automobiles. A section of the roof of the Ramada had been blown off and the area that was missing was the area directly over the bed of the Pickup. The cab of the vehicle was badly damaged and the drive-shaft underneath the vehicle along with the frame was flattened and bent in the vicinity of what we had determined was the point of detonation. The force of the explosion buckled the doors on the vehicle and also damaged the hood of the vehicle. The hood had ballooned up and then torn loose at the front, apparently the force of the explosion came up both sides of the engine, and vented out the hood of the truck. There was a depression in the ground where the explosive had been placed and as this was a sandy area, everything in the immediate vicinity had been sandblasted with fine particles of sand and there had also been several sacks of plaster by the truck and these were blown apart and plaster was imbedded all over the various parts of the vehicle.

The following morning I took the samples from the bed of the Pickup to the Police Laboratory and had [redacted] run tests to determine the type of explosive used and results of the test indicated that they were compatible with a dynamite explosion and from the evidence of the force of the explosion at the scene, it was concluded that 2 or more sticks of dynamite were used. Also the area was searched that morning early by [redacted] who reported no further evidence was available at the scene.


End of Report.



15



[redacted] b6  
 7-23-68 b7C  
 3:00 p.m.



b6  
b7C







III. BOMBING OF PATIO AND GARAGE OF JOSEPH BONANNO, SR.  
ON EVENING OF JULY 22, 1968

On July 22, 1968, [redacted] NA, Special Investigations, Tucson Police Department (PD), Tucson, advised that earlier this same date, at approximately 9:25 p.m., two dynamite blasts occurred at the residence of JOSEPH BONANNO, SR., 1847 East Elm Street, Tucson, destroying the brick barbecue pit and adjacent wall, in addition to a portion of his garage. [redacted] said that there were no injuries reported and the the Uniform Division of their department was still investigating the facts surrounding this incident.

On July 23, 1968, [redacted] advised that the investigation of the bombing at the residence of JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. the evening before had been completed and their department failed to obtain any additional significant evidence and/or information. [redacted] pointed out that because both [redacted] had been suspects in the bombings of various bars in Tucson in the recent past, they would obviously have to consider them as possible suspects in this bombing. ARIZ.

[redacted] recalled that immediately subsequent to the two blasts, JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. was reported by a neighbor as sorting through debris in the barbecue pit area and removing two bags about the size of large bank bags and hurriedly taking them into the house. [redacted] stated that when JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. was interviewed by the investigating officers of their department regarding the bags in particular, he was completely uncooperative denying any knowledge about them. [redacted] claimed that JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., when interviewed by the police, became extremely hostile and said he was going to shoot someone.

On July 26, 1968, [redacted] advised that he had received word that same date from the New York City PD that one MICHAEL SALVATORE CASALE, "hit" man for the PAUL SCIACCA forces who are opposing JOSEPH BONANNO, SR.

PX 166-293  
[redacted]

in the power struggle at this time, had been given the responsibility of bombing the residence of the subject and bungled the assignment [redacted] stated that he and his men were currently in the process of checking all the motel and hotel registrations in Tucson in an effort to determine if CASALE might have been registered in a hotel or motel during the pertinent period.

On July 29, 1968, [redacted] claimed that their department had completed a search of the hotel and motel registrations in the Tucson area in an effort to locate the name of MICHAEL SALVATORE CASALE, which proved negative. [redacted] said that in addition to their failure to locate the name of CASALE, they were unable to obtain data from any of their sources to corroborate the information provided by the New York City PD.

ARIZ.  
ITALY  
On August 16, 1968, [redacted] advised that their department had arrested on this same date MICHAEL SALVATORE CASALE for false hotel registration and furnishing false information to a police officer. According to [redacted] CASALE had been using the name of VINCENT ROMANO and arrived in Tucson on August 7, 1968, from New York via American Airlines and residing in Apartment E5 at the Country Club Apartments, Tucson. [redacted] noted that CASALE was born on February 16, 1914, at Williamsport, Pennsylvania, and was residing at 62 Louis Drive, Farmingdale, New York.

[redacted] contended that CASALE refused to furnish any information as to his purpose in being in Tucson, Arizona, at that time and failed to note his departure plans. [redacted] maintained that their department was of the opinion, based on information received in the past from the New York City PD, that CASALE was possibly in Tucson for purpose of performing a "hit" on JOSEPH BONANNO, SR.

By teletype to the New York Division dated October 3, 1968, the Phoenix Division noted that contact had been made with JOSEPH BONANNO, JR. on October 3, 1968, requesting an

[redacted]  
interview with his father regarding the bombing of his home on July 22, 1968, at which time he advised that his father would speak to agents only if his New York attorney [redacted] approved of same.

By teletype to the Phoenix Division dated October 4, 1968, the New York Division advised that contact had been made with New York attorney [redacted] would not grant permission for an interview of JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. and/or SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO unless he, [redacted] were also present during the interview.

In view of this, neither JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. nor his son, SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO, were interviewed.

The following investigation was conducted by SAs [redacted] at Tucson on October 3, 1968:

Four individuals within the vicinity of 1847 East Elm, the residence of JOSEPH BONANNO, SR., were contacted relative to the bombing of BONANNO's residence on July 22, 1968. Three of the individuals contacted refused to give their names; however, they did advise that they were not in receipt of any information which would be of pertinence to the BONANNO bombing. All three advised that they were home when the bombing occurred; however, they did not see any strange or suspicious persons or vehicles within the area of the BONANNO residence immediately prior to or subsequent to the bombing of the BONANNO residence.

The fourth individual contacted, [redacted] [redacted] advised that both she and her husband were home during the evening of the BONANNO bombing. [redacted] stated that all of the windows on the north side of her residence were broken as a result of the concussion and immediately after the initial shock, both she and her husband looked out the windows; however, they

did not observe anything unusual [redacted] was not able to provide any information relative to any suspicious persons or vehicles within the area of the BONANNO residence immediately prior to or subsequent to the bombing.

On October 9, 1968, [redacted] advised that additional investigation by their department concerning the bombing at the BONANNO residence had failed to disclose any evidence which might identify the person and/or persons responsible. Moreover, they had failed to receive any data which might indicate interstate movement on the part of anyone for purposes of bombing the BONANNO residence.

[redacted] contended that they had originally received information from the New York City PD that MICHAEL SALVATORE CASALE had come to Tucson to bomb the BONANNO home and bungled the assignment. [redacted] said that they later received word that CASALE had been sent to Tucson by the PAUL SCIACCA forces in New York, who are opposing JOSEPH BONANNO, SR., to lay the groundwork for someone to "hit" both JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. and his oldest son, SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO. [redacted] claimed that they later learned that CASALE had been in Sicily, Italy, for a few weeks prior to his arrival in Tucson on August 7, 1968, and doubts that he could have been in Tucson at the time of the bombing

Set forth hereinafter are copies of police reports and photographs connected with the above bombing:

# CASE REPORT

REPORT NO. 458500

b6  
b7c

POLICE DEPARTMENT - TUCSON, ARIZONA

CALLIED

CONNECT-UP  
NOS.

INCIDENT OR CRIME

OTHER OFFENSES

TYPE

OTHER MAJOR

VICTIM'S LAST NAME (OR FIRM NAME)

FIRST NAME

MIDDLE

SEX-ORIGIN

AGE

RESIDENCE OF VICTIM

VICTIM'S PHONE

BONANNO, JOSEPH

1847 E. Elm

Unknown

PLACE OF OCCURRENCE - STREET OR STREET AT OR NUMBER OR INTERSECTION

GRID NO.

FORCE

REPORT TYPED BY

TIME

1847 E. Elm

11

III

0341

DAY

DATE OF OCCURRENCE

TIME OF DAY

DATE REPORT REC'D.

TIME REPORTED

REPORT TAKEN BY

HOW REPORTED

Mon.

22 July 68

2125

22 July 68

2125

DESK

PHONE

REPORTED BY:

ADDRESS OF PERSON REPORTING

PHONE

BUS. ADD. OF VICTIM

BUS. PHONE OF VICTIM

Unknown

Unknown

PERSON ATTACKED - OCCUPATION - NUMBER INVOLVED, ETC.

PROPERTY ATTACKED - TYPE OF PREMISES - PROPERTY USED FOR (MAY BE A VEHICLE)

Wall & house of above address

HOW ATTACKED - HOW COMMITTED - HOW ENTRANCE WAS GAINED

WEAPON OR INSTRUMENT USED - MEANS OF ATTACK

Unknown explosives

Explosives

TRADE MARK

DESCRIPTION OF VEHICLE USED BY SUSPECT

Bombing

None

DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY STOLEN - LOST - FOUND - DAMAGED SERIAL NUMBERS

EST. VALUE

Damage to rear wall and barbecue pit

Damage to 4 windows in the house, 1 door to the house, 2 windows to garage, 1 door to garage of 1847 E. Elm

Total value unknown at this time

TOTAL

\$

DETAILS OF OFFENSE (STATE FULLY ALL OTHER CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS OFFENSE)

At 2127 hours I was dispatched to Elm & Campbell reference bombing. I arrived at the scene, at which time Engine 5, [redacted] had arrived at the scene. Many officers arrived at the scene checked the scene. Above damage was noted, also I went to [redacted] who stated he had 3 large windows and 2 small windows broken from the bomb explosion. It is possible that blinds and drapes were also damaged at [redacted] It is unknown at this time the amount of damage. On checking with other neighbors, could locate no further damage. Scene was secured until detectives arrived. Investigation was then turned over to them. NFI.

PERSONS ARRESTED OR CITED - NAME AND ADDRESS

SEX-ORIGIN

AGE

CHARGE

BOOKING

ARRESTED BY:

SER. NO.

1. SUSPECT OR PERSON WANTED - NAME - ADDRESS - ALIAS

SEX-ORIGIN

AGE

HEIGHT

WEIGHT

EYES

HAIR

COMPLEX

DRESS AND ANY DISTINGUISHING MARKS

2. SUSPECT OR PERSON WANTED - NAME - ADDRESS - ALIAS

SEX-ORIGIN

AGE

HEIGHT

WEIGHT

EYES

HAIR

COMPLEX

DRESS AND ANY DISTINGUISHING MARKS

WITNESSES:

NAME \_\_\_\_\_ AGE \_\_\_\_\_ ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_ PHONE \_\_\_\_\_  
NAME \_\_\_\_\_ AGE \_\_\_\_\_ ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_ PHONE \_\_\_\_\_  
NAME \_\_\_\_\_ AGE \_\_\_\_\_ ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_ PHONE \_\_\_\_\_

DISPATCH TIME 2127 HRS. ARRIVED AT SCENE 2135 CLEARED SCENE 2400 (audio 0353)

INVESTIGATING OFFICER [redacted] SERIAL NO. [redacted] FORCE III DATE 23 July 68 TIME 0125

1214-9-REVISED-65

THIS REPORT IS ~~CONFIDENTIAL~~. FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

ORIGINAL

b6  
b7c

# TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT

DATE OF ORIGINAL REPORT: 22 July 68

REPORT NO. 458500

Type of Crime or Incident <b>OTHER OFFENSES: OTHER MAJOR</b>		Location 1847 E. Elm		Grid 11	
Victim's Name (last, first, middle) <b>BONANNO, JOSEPH</b>		Address 1847 E. Elm		Res. Phone Unknown	Bus. Phone Unknown
Persons Arrested, Cited, or Summoned 1. 2. 3.		Address		Offense	Booking No.
REPORT IS:		UNFOUNDED		RECLASSIFIED	PENDING * CLOSED
REPORT CLEARED BY:		ARREST		SUMMONS	CITATION
		EXCEPTIONAL			
STOLEN	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$
					BICYCLES \$
					MISCELL. \$
RECOVERED	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$
					BICYCLES \$
					MISCELL. \$

## DETAILS OF SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

At 2215 hours on 22 July 68, I was advised by [redacted] to meet with him at the corner of Campbell & Elm. Upon arriving at the scene, he instructed me to stand at the front of the BONANNO residence and secure this area.

b6  
b7C

At the time I was standing in front of the residence, there was very little pedestrian traffic in or out of the home. JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. was in the front yard on my arrival, and frequently throughout the evening until I left the area at 2345 hours, MR. BONANNO was in and out the front door. Also a visitor, [redacted] arrived at the house at 2220 hours, and identified himself to [redacted] at the front door, she allowed him to come in, he left at 2234 hours.

JOSEPH BONANNO, JR. arrived at the residence at 2230 hours. He was in and out of the house frequently throughout the evening, also, until the time I left.

At approximately 2235 hours, JOSEPH BONANNO, JR. [redacted] stood in the front yard a short distance away from my location on the front porch. They were talking. Of the conversation, I could only pick up something about a white house on Copper St. JOSEPH, JR. then came up to my location and advised me that [redacted] would be returning to the residence with a female in a few minutes and that it would be alright to allow the female to enter.

b6  
b7C

At 2257 hours [redacted] returned to the residence, followed at 2259 hours by a female, identified as [redacted] At 2322 hours, [redacted] left the residence again, he did not return as of the time I cleared the scene. At 2325 hours, [redacted] walked out the front door, advised me that she was going to her car to bring in a bag of fruit that was in her back seat, at 2327 hours she approached me with a bag of fruit and took it in the house where presumably it was eaten.

During the time that I was at the residence, a Volkswagen bus, red in color, bearing Virginia [redacted] made approximately 15 to 20 trips, it seemed like, around the residence area. I noticed the vehicle continually driving around the area.

Also a (no make or model available) automobile, bearing Illinois license 337851 '68, pulled in front of the residence just to the West of the BONANNO home. Two people exited the car, walked out of my view, directly across the street to the BONANNO home. A short while later returned to their car, opened the trunk, did something with the trunk of the car, and then drove off. There was no other activity in the front of the house until the time I cleared the scene at 2345 hours.

Officer Reporting [redacted] Ser. No. [redacted] Date 23 July 68 Time 0205  
audio [redacted] 0507 hours/23 July 68 Force 24 III Division Uniform

b6  
b7C

# TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT

DATE OF ORIGINAL REPORT: 22 July 68 REPORT NO. 458500

Type of Crime or Incident <b>OTHER OFFENSES: OTHER MAJOR</b>		Location 1847 E. Elm		Grid 11	
Victim's Name (last, first, middle) <b>BONANNO, JOSEPH</b>		Address 1847 E. Elm		Res. Phone Unknown	
Persons Arrested, Cited, or Summoned 1. 2. 3.		Address		Offense	
REPORT IS:		RECLASSIFIED		PENDING *	
UNFOUNDED				CLOSED	
REPORT CLEARED BY:		SUMMONS		CITATION	
ARREST				EXCEPTIONAL	
STOLEN	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$
					BICYCLES \$
					MISCELL. \$
RECOVERED	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$
					BICYCLES \$
					MISCELL. \$

## DETAILS OF SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Was dispatched at 2127 hours reference a bombing at Elm & Campbell. Arrived at scene, at which time other officers were in the process of protecting the bombed area. This area, 1847 E. Elm, residence of JOSEPH BONANNO.

ENGINE #5, [redacted] was dispatched. Upon my arrival I began investigating directly with [redacted] The rear wall N of the residence had been blown up in the area of the barbecue [redacted] Also, a garage just W of the residence had been-blown-up had a bomb thrown on its roof. Upon talking to the victim, JOSEPH BONANNO, received little cooperation in the investigation.

I was informed by a witness, [redacted] that directly after the explosion had taken place, he had witnessed MR. JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. run out of the house with a knapsack and begin picking up items. It is unknown what the items were. Prior to the police arriving at the scene, MR. BONANNO, SR. took the knapsack into the house. It was not seen in our investigation.

The complete area was diagramed by myself and [redacted] A supplemental diagram has been [redacted] turned in with measurements of the entire back yard and damaged area. I then began checking [redacted] neighbors West of the address. Upon going to [redacted] I learned that he had lost 3 large windows in the rear of his address, and 2 small windows from the blast. It is possible that venetian blinds were also damaged. He stated that he and his wife were watching television. They heard a small blast similar to a gun or firecracker. The wife went to the rear of the house, the husband advised her to come back. About a minute after the small blast 2 large blasts were heard in succession. When asked how far apart, [redacted] stated it was a short blast like a gun (shotgun), about a minute later there was a BOOM-BOOM. One boom directly after the other. Upon receiving this information, I then went to the address [redacted]

He stated there was no damage at his house at this time. He stated he heard a short shot like noise, like a gun or firecracker, approximately a minute later, he heard 2 consecutive large blasts. Upon hearing the short shot like noise, [redacted] went to his back porch, looked out and saw a [redacted] rear end of a dark vehicle, traveling N in an alley directly behind his house. The only description he could give was that the vehicle was dark and that he could see 2 tail lights, 3 to 4 inches, perfectly round, leaving the scene at a very high rate of speed. He states that he also heard some talking, but did not see any subjects, just the vehicle. The area [redacted] was talking about was checked by [redacted] At this time many tire marks were in there brought by the crowd of people who had heard about the blast. NFI.

Officer Reporting [redacted] Ser. No. [redacted] Date 23 July 68 Time 0135  
Force 25 II Division Uniform

CASE #458500  
PAGE TWO

ADD:

[REDACTED] of the Tucson Fire Department arrived at the scene and began his own investigation, along with detectives. NFI.

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED]

audio [REDACTED] 0414 hours/23 July 68

# TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT

DATE OF ORIGINAL REPORT: 22 JULY 68 REPORT NO. 458500

Type of Crime or Incident <b>OTHER OFFENSES: OTHER MAJOR</b>		Location <b>1847 E. ELM</b>		Grid <b>11</b>			
Victim's Name (last, first, middle) <b>BONNANO, JOSEPH</b>		Address <b>1847 E. ELM</b>		Res. Phone <b>UNKNOWN</b>	Bus. Phone <b>UNKNOWN</b>		
Persons Arrested, Cited, or Summoned		Address		Offense	Booking No.		
1.							
2.							
3.							
REPORT IS:		RECLASSIFIED		PENDING XXX	CLOSED		
REPORT CLEARED BY:		SUMMONS		CITATION	EXCEPTIONAL		
ARREST							
STOLEN	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$	BICYCLES \$	MISCELL. \$
RECOVERED	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$	BICYCLES \$	MISCELL. \$

## DETAILS OF SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Relative to the above captioned report during Case #458500 and being Call [redacted] wherein the reporting person, one [redacted] stated that he called this department to report several explosions in the area which to state that on this date, 22 July 68, at 2127 hours, I was dispatched to the Elm and Campbell for explosions in the area. Upon arrival, I observed that the rear wall of 1847 Elm had a large hole that appeared to be caused by explosives device. b6  
b7c

I was assigned to investigate the surrounding area at which time I located one, [redacted] that house a Queen Choice Beauty Salon and numerous apartments. The building located at the northwest corner of Campbell and Chauncey. The building sustained the following damages: the southeast window of the storage room had the top glass pane broken out, measuring three feet by two feet. No damage inside of store room. The Queen Choice Beauty Salon, located south of the building had the south door window pane broken out, measuring two feet by three--window facing south. No damage inside. Apartment #3, that is vacant at present, had a two feet by three inch door window broken out and a two feet by three feet window broken out--both facing south onto Chauncy. [redacted] had two windows broken out, facing south of building, measuring 18" x 4", being occupied [redacted] a white female, 24, [redacted] who stated that she was sitting in her living room when she heard a small explosion. She went to the south door of her apartment that faces directly towards the rear wall of 1847 Elm and looked out, but observed no one. She further stated that the wall was still intact. Upon walking away from the door, she heard two large explosions go off--simultaneously. She dropped to the floor where she remained until she heard people talking outside. She then discovered that an explosion had occurred across the street. She further stated she was not injured. [redacted] a white female, 69, no phone number, who stated that she heard the explosion, but could not say how many she heard, further stating that she was not injured--no damage to her apartment. [redacted] a white female, 56, [redacted] who stated that she heard leaving the area headed north in the alley from Chauncy, then she heard a small explosion, and then after 40 seconds to a minute, she heard two large explosions go off simultaneously. She stated that she was not injured. Damage to the apartment is a two and one half feet by six inch window pane--no damage in inside of apartment. b6  
b7c

Officer Reporting [redacted] 27 [redacted] n 23 JULY 68 2445 b6  
b7c  
Ser. No. [redacted] Date [redacted] Time [redacted]  
Force [redacted] 3 Division UNIFORM

458500

PAGE 2

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED]

The alley located west of Apartment #6. The above property owner, [REDACTED] states that he will secure his building.

[REDACTED]

Audio [REDACTED]  
23 JULY 68/0315

# TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT

DATE OF ORIGINAL REPORT: 22 July 68

REPORT NO. 458500

Type of Crime or Incident <b>OTHER OFFENSES: OTHER MAJOR</b>	Location 1847 E. Elm	Grid 11
Victim's Name (last, first, middle) <b>BONANNO, JOSEPH</b>	Address 1847 E. Elm	Res. Phone Unknown Bus. Phone Unknown
Persons Arrested, Cited, or Summoned 1. 2. 3.	Address	Offense Booking No.

REPORT IS: UNFOUNDED	RECLASSIFIED	PENDING	CLOSED
-------------------------	--------------	---------	--------

REPORT CLEARED BY:		ARREST		SUMMONS		CITATION		EXCEPTIONAL	
STOLEN	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$	BICYCLES \$	MISCELL. \$		
RECOVERED	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$	BICYCLES \$	MISCELL. \$		

## DETAILS OF SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Received a call at 2215 hours from [redacted] who was working the desk. He advised me that b6 we had just had an explosion at the BONANNO home on E. Elm & Campbell, and he requested that I b7C there at this time.

Proceeded to the location. Upon my arrival, I noticed that the rear wall around the back of the house had debris scattered both towards the street and towards the house. It appeared that an explosion of some type had been set off. The majority of the back windows of the BONANNO residence, which are large picture window types, had been blown out. One window on the East side of the house, that would be the NE corner, was still intact. The remainder of the windows on the back of the house were blown out.

It was also discovered that a second charge had been thrown or laid on the roof of the garage at the same location, although it is not used for a garage at this time. It appears that it is used for a storage shed. The blast had been downward, causing damage to the inside of the building. Upon checking the area, small pieces of red colored paper were discovered. These were taken into evidence. Also blood was noted in the SW corner of the enclosure on the back yard; that would be against the back side of the house, away from the barbecue pit. Several spots of blood were noted it appeared to be very fresh. One of these I touched, and it moved, indicating that it had not dried at this time.

We asked MR. BONANNO if he had been hurt, he denied being cut or anything. He stated that no one in the house was hurt. He then had the dog checked. JOE BONANNO, JR. checked the dog and he stated that there were no cuts on the dog. However, upon talking to MR. BONANNO later, I noticed that he had blood around his mouth, and we pointed this out to him, he said, "I don't know how I got it," and he also said at this time that "I cut myself a little bit" and he then showed us a small cut on his hands between the webs of his fingers, a very minor cut. It didn't appear that the amount of blood at the area where we found it would come from a cut this small. However, again I state that he did have blood around his mouth. I do not know how much bleeding he had from this area.

It might also be noted that witnesses indicated that there were 3 separate noises, the first one being a small explosion, like a shotgun or a large firecracker, and then a very short time later, one large blast and 40 seconds to a minute later, another large blast. MR. BONANNO stated that he only heard 1 large explosion.

Officer Reporting [redacted] Ser. No. [redacted] Date 23 July 68 Time 0045 b6  
Force Auto Theft Division Detective b7C

It might be noted that right at the rear of the residence as you come out the back door, a 12 guage shotgun shell was discovered. This had not been fired.

MR. BONANNO was asked if he had any shotguns in the house, and he stated Yes. When he was asked what guage it was, he stated that it was 16. The 12 guage shell was taken into evidence.

A short time later, while checking the garbage cans around the area of the explosion at the barbecue pit, what appeared to be a fuse, a black cord looking device with tarry substance on it, approximately 5" long, was found by myself in a trash can. At this time I called [redacted] b6 and [redacted] from the Fire Department, and asked them to look at it, and they both felt b7C that it might possibly be a fuse. [redacted] took the evidence into custody. b6 b7C

I learned, after talking to [redacted] the Uniform Officer in charge, and from talking to [redacted] and [redacted] who were all present at the scene, that a suspect vehicle had been seen. About the only description is the fact that it had round tail lights. Further information will be contained in the other officer's supplements. It might be noted also, that it was mentioned that immediately after the explosion, and apparently while one of our officers was present, MR. BONANNO appeared to be very upset about something that was in the area of the demolished barbecue pit. He came out and started going through the debris with his hand and recovered what appeared to be 2 leather type containers and immediately took these in the house, with no explanation. The barbecue pit had been covered, the grill part of it, with a piece of plywood, which appeared to be 5/8" or 3/4". The charge appeared to have been placed on the top, or landed on the top, and the explosion downward from this. There were 2 large pieces of plywood left. These were taken into custody as evidence.

Several times during the time that I was at the scene, I attempted to talk to MR. BONANNO, and obtained what information we could about the incident. He was very friendly, very personable, and very uncooperative as far as giving any information. He stated that it upset his wife, and that it was a miracle that she was still alive. We pointed out that we did not think it was kids that had done anything like this, and he said, "Yeah, I donno why they would try to do something like this, though, if they wanted to get the man, why didn't they just get the gun and get him, instead of trying to hurt the family." When he was asked if he had any ideas about who might have placed these charges there, he kind of shrugged his shoulders and stated, "You know how it is." The rest of the time he ignored all questions put to him reference this bombing incident. When he was asked a question, he would immediately answer or ask another question back on an entirely different subject, completely ignoring what you had just asked him. He asked me what was going to happen now, and I told him that he would probably be contacted by a member of the Detective Division, and that as far as we were concerned, the debris scattered around the area could be cleaned up by him anytime after we left, that we would have it all processed by that time.

[redacted] made diagrams of the area of the explosion, the rear fence, the back of the house, with measurements, etc. He also made a diagram of the garage area. [redacted] b6 from ID Section were present and took numerous photographs, and also took several items into b7C custody as evidence.

MR. BONANNO became upset at one point during the investigation, when a reporter from one of the television stations; I believe Channel 4, was inside of the rear yard taking photographs. MR. BONANNO insisted that he not take any photographs, and the reporter was escorted out of the yard by myself.

The area was covered thoroughly by numerous personnel. The area was checked thoroughly for any debris from possible explosives, by myself, [redacted] from the Fire Department. The roof of the garage was checked. The debris was checked thoroughly, numerous small pieces of unknown items were picked up and taken in as evidence. b6 b7C

It is my opinion, and my opinion only, that someone, POSSIBLY MR. BONANNO, either observed someone at the rear of the residence or heard something suspicious, possibly fired off a shot from a shotgun and dropped a shell and didn't realize that it had dropped, and then the dynamite, or whatever it was, exploded; possibly MR. BONANNO was cut slightly at this time in the 2 areas that I previously indicated. However, he states that he was inside of the house at the time of the explosion.

The scene was cleared at approximately 1215 hours by the remaining personnel at the scene. I have no further information at this time. This is an additional information supplement.

[redacted]  
audio [redacted] 0237 hours/23 July 68

b6  
b7C

Утунуу Ут-Онуулоз Ут-он МААЮН Бомбиров

22 July 68


Wall is 6 ft high  
6 in thick (note note  
how thick Ribs by BanBayan  
was)

officer  
23 July 68

b6  
b7C

N ↑ 94mky to 6mky  
4ft east of west wall  
5ft 3in south of north wall

DAMAGE AREA TO WALL  
5'6" wide starting 1ft 7in  
of ground. Fire Pin was  
once there.



**TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT  
SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT**

b6  
b7c

DATE OF ORIGINAL REPORT:

22 JULY 68

REPORT NO. 458500

Type of Crime or Incident <b>OTHER OFFENSES: OTHER MAJOR</b>	Location <b>ELM AND CAMPBELL</b>		Grid <b>11</b>
Victim's Name (last, first, middle) <b>BONANO, JOSEPH</b>	Address <b>1847 E. ELM</b>	Res. Phone	Bus. Phone
Persons Arrested, Cited, or Summoned 1. 2. 3.	Address	Offense	Booking No.

REPORT IS:			
UNFOUNDED	RECLASSIFIED	PENDING <b>XXXXXXXXXX</b>	CLOSED

REPORT CLEARED BY:		SUMMONS		CITATION		EXCEPTIONAL	
ARREST							
STOLEN	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$	BICYCLES \$	MISCELL. \$
RECOVERED	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$	BICYCLES \$	MISCELL. \$

**DETAILS OF SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

ID was dispatched at 2145 hours to Elm and Campbell, scene of an explosion. Upon my arrival, at 2153 hours, I contacted my supervisor [redacted] He informed me [redacted] had requested we just take photos to cover this damage at the scene. I shot sixteen negatives and [redacted] shot eleven negatives.

b6  
b7c

I completed the assignment at the scene at 0001 hours, left en route TPD.

NFI . . .

AUDIO/1542 [redacted]

33

Officer Reporting [redacted] Ser. No. [redacted] Date 23 July 68 Time 1506  
Force 3 Division Service (ID)

b6  
b7c

**TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT  
SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT**

b6  
b7C

DATE OF ORIGINAL REPORT: 22 July 1968

REPORT NO. 458500

Type of Crime or Incident <b>OTHER OFFENSES/OTHER MAJOR</b>	Location <b>1847 East Elm</b>		Grid <b>11</b>
Victim's Name (last, first, middle) <b>BONANNO, JOSEPH</b>	Address <b>1847 East Elm</b>	Res. Phone	Bus. Phone
Persons Arrested, Cited, or Summoned 1. 2. 3.	Address	Offense	Booking No.

REPORT IS:			
UNFOUNDED	RECLASSIFIED	PENDING XXX	CLOSED

REPORT CLEARED BY:		SUMMONS		CITATION		EXCEPTIONAL	
ARREST							
STOLEN	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$	BICYCLES \$	MISCELL. \$
RECOVERED	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$	BICYCLES \$	MISCELL. \$

**DETAILS OF SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

b6  
b7C

The following motels were checked by [redacted] for suspects MIKE CASALE and [redacted] all with negative results: Cliff Manor, El Corral, Statler-Hilton, Executive Inn, Frontier, El Rancho, Tucson Inn, Flamingo, Imperial, Travelodge, Rodeway Inn, Tideland, Desert Inn, Sands, Holiday South, Spanish Trail, Cross Roads, Ramada, Holiday North, Wayward Winds.

Subject CASALE is driving a vehicle with New York plates #1480. [redacted] drives a 1966 Ford sedan [redacted]

No further information.

34

Officer Reporting Lt. Don Lowe Ser. No. 183 Date 30 July 1968 Time 1220 hours

Force 2 Division Admin (SIU) [redacted]

**TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT  
SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT**

b6  
b7C

DATE OF ORIGINAL REPORT: 22 July 1968

REPORT NO. 458500

Type of Crime or Incident <b>OTHER OFFENSES/OTHER MAJOR</b>	Location <b>1847 East Elm</b>	Grid <b>11</b>
Victim's Name (last, first, middle) <b>BONANNO, JOSEPH</b>	Address <b>1847 East Elm</b>	Res. Phone  Bus. Phone 
Persons Arrested, Cited, or Summoned 1. 2. 3.	Address 	Offense  Booking No. 

REPORT IS:			
UNFOUNDED	RECLASSIFIED	PENDING <b>XXX</b>	CLOSED

REPORT CLEARED BY:		SUMMONS		CITATION		EXCEPTIONAL	
ARREST							
STOLEN	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$	BICYCLES \$	MISCELL. \$
RECOVERED	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$	BICYCLES \$	MISCELL. \$

**DETAILS OF SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

I arrived at the explosion scene at approximately 2230 hours. This was about forty-five to fifty minutes after the original call. A great number of people were in attendance. I was briefed by [redacted] and [redacted]

b6  
b7C

Shortly after my arrival, [redacted] came to the scene. I conferred with him and [redacted] from the fire department. I asked [redacted] to assist me in interviewing some of the people with the information we had available. He will make a supplement on his activities.

I talked to a [redacted] HE informed me he has been a neighbor of Bonanno for quite some time, knows him very well. After he heard the initial explosions, he immediately went down to Bonanno's home and observed Joe Bonanno standing in the backyard in, as he phrased it, "bewildered" condition. Shortly thereafter, Bonanno went to the fireplace (barbecue) and along with another neighbor whom he could not remember the name of, started frantically digging through the debri, where he removed two canvas bags, light brown to tan in color. [redacted] described the bags as being approximately eighteen to twenty inches long and six to eight inches high, one a little larger than the other and with some type of handle on top. He said when Bonanno removed these from the debri with the unidentified neighbor helping him, he immediately took both bags into the house. It was evident that something was in the bags. [redacted] said there were other people standing around watching this.

b6  
b7C

I became aware of a photographer having pictures of the incident and this photographer, reportedly seeing Bonanno digging into the debri and removing bags from same. I contacted [redacted] at the University of Arizona photographic service center, basement of the Student Union Building, phone 884-1179. I interviewed him on 23 July 1968 at 1530 hours at his place of business. HE told me he heard the explosions and the first thing that popped into his mind was that the Bonanno's had been bombed. He lives two or three blocks from Bonanno's home. He immediately grabbed his camera, went to the scene, and took a number of photographs. HE said while he was standing there, he observed Joe Bonanno, whom he knows through association with the Ray Manley Studio when they photographed Bonanno's daughter's wedding a number of years ago. Bonanno was in his shorts and a shirt. Two other fellows whom he did not recognize and could

Officer Reporting [redacted] Ser. No. [redacted] Date 24 July 1968 Time 0810 hours  
Force 2 Division Adm (SIU) [redacted]

not identify from pictures as he was not paying that much attention to them, came out of the house area with him. Bonanno evidently went back into the house, got on a pair of slacks, came outside and started digging in the fireplace.

[ ] recorded a series of pictures which he gave me a small print of. One was used on the front page of the Tucson Daily Citizen of 23 July 1968. The other one that he identifies as Bonanno removing a bag from the fireplace, is a bit blurry but it does look as if a bag of some type is in a man's hand. He substantiates what [ ] gave me as far as circumstances and occurrences. The description of the bag is a little different as [ ] says it drew together at the top and had strings for handles. b6 b7c

On originally finding a 12 gauge shotgun shell at the scene, I contacted ID to photograph same. Prior to this instruction, I asked Bonanno if he had a shotgun. He looked surprised and said "16 gauge". I then directed ID to take a picture of the shell found near the door to Bonanno's home on the east side and tagged it as evidence.

A short period of time later, I attempted to talk with Bonanno about the shotgun and he would not speak to me about it. At this time also, I noticed he had dried blood on his mouth. When I tried to question him as to him being hurt, he was surprised and said that he wasn't. He was very uncooperative in answering any of my questions and I ran into the same difficulty that [ ] had on other occasions about his uncooperativeness in this investigation. b6 b7c

On 23 July 1968, [ ] advised me verbally that there was no doubt in his mind that the explosion had been caused by dynamite of some type. There was sufficient primer cord fuse retained as evidence and sufficient dynamite wrappings to substantiate his findings. I asked him to examine the shotgun shell for any ejector markings or indications it had been in a gun. b6 b7c


[ ] called me to the lab and I personally observed five different markings on the edge of the shell ring of a Winchester 12 gauge shotgun shell filled with #2 shot. According to the [ ] for such things, this shell would contain approximately 90 pellets slightly smaller than the regulation size bb. It was [ ] opinion that the shell had been in and someone had attempted to put the shell into a gun. There was no firing pin mark on the shell and no indication that it had misfired. b6 b7c

T-39 called 23 July 1968 and said tht he had been at the scene of the explosion and had been invited into the Bonanno home by Bonanno himself. HE talked with Bonanno for approximately forty-five minutes, when Bonanno indicated to him that he was thinking seriously of moving. The idea of being well known in the neighborhood and being subjected to this type of treatment did not appeal to him.

T-39 called a second time approximately 1605 hours 23 July 1968 and informed me tht he had been granted a five minute exclusive interview with Bonanno. During the interview, Bonanno indicated to him that a demented mind had bombed his home last night, that there was no such thing as a gangland war going on.

In his earlier conversation with me, T-39 indicated that Bonanno told him that Notaro and [ ] were both out of town and Notaro was expected back last night (22 July) but had not returned. T-39 also advised that he had it from reliable sources that the bombing at Licavoli's was perpetrated by a disgruntled miner who had been working a claim near or on some of Licavoli's property and b6 b7c

had had some trouble with the Licavoli crew and in retaliation, had dynamited the buildings. I asked him if this was reliable information or hearsay and he said it was very reliable information and he would attempt to get more. The program carrying the five minute interview with Joe Bonanno reference the bombing is to be heard on KOLD-TV 6 o'clock news on 23 July 1968.

  
Special Investigations Unit  
24 July 1968  
0900 hours

b6  
b7C

**TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT  
SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT**

b6  
b7c

DATE OF ORIGINAL REPORT: 22 July 1968

REPORT NO. 458500

Type of Crime or Incident <b>OTHER OFFENSES/OTHER MAJOR</b>	Location <b>1847 East Elm</b>	Grid <b>11</b>
Victim's Name (last, first, middle) <b>BONANNO, JOSEPH</b>	Address <b>1847 East Elm</b>	Res. Phone Bus. Phone
Persons Arrested, Cited, or Summoned 1. 2. 3.	Address	Offense Booking No.

REPORT IS:			
UNFOUNDED	RECLASSIFIED	PENDING XXX	CLOSED

REPORT CLEARED BY:		SUMMONS		CITATION		EXCEPTIONAL	
ARREST							
STOLEN	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$	BICYCLES \$	MISCELL. \$
RECOVERED	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$	BICYCLES \$	MISCELL. \$

**DETAILS OF SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

At 1310 hours 26 July 1968, [redacted] of the Central Investigation Bureau, b6  
New York City Police Department, called and stated that a reliable source in b7c  
New York told him that MIKE CASALE, white male, date of birth 16 February 1914,  
5'7", 183#, medium build, fair complexion, gray straight hair, good teeth, was  
responsible for the dynamite placed at the home of Joe Bonanno. Subject re-  
sides at 62 Lewis Drive, Farmingdale (Suffolk County), New York.

CASALE was driving a car with New York plates #1480. He has been out of New  
York for the last five or six days (prior to this call) reportedly in the Tuc-  
son, Arizona area. He returned to New York the night of 25 July 1968. He is  
a member of the Paul Sciacca gang and this gang is very unhappy with him because  
he muffed the job on Bonanno.

38

Officer Reporting [redacted]	Ser. No. [redacted]	Date 29 July 1968	Time 1150 hrs. b6
	Force 2	Division Admin (SIU) [redacted]	b7c

Form #P.D. 81

interview Joe Bonanno, he appeared at times to be in a jovial mood, making jokes, and at other times in an agitated condution due to the fact that the explosion may have caused injuries to his wife and other persons who could have been at that location. At one time he stated that "if they want to kill me, they should do it on a man to man basis and not involve innocent children and women". Upon questioning Mr. Bonanno as to whether a shotgun blast had been fired by somebody at the residence, he adroitly avoided an answer.

I cleared the area at 0015 hours. No further information.

[REDACTED]  
Detective Division  
25 July 1968  
0833 hours

b6  
b7C

**TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT  
SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT**

DATE OF ORIGINAL REPORT: 22 July 1968

REPORT NO. 458500

Type of Crime or Incident <b>OTHER OFFENSES/OTHER MAJOR</b>		Location <b>1847 East Elm</b>		Grid <b>11</b>	
Victim's Name (last, first, middle) <b>BONANNO, JOSEPH</b>		Address <b>1847 East Elm</b>		Res. Phone Bus. Phone	
Persons Arrested, Cited, or Summoned 1. 2. 3.		Address		Offense	
REPORT IS:		RECLASSIFIED		PENDING XXX	
UNFOUNDED				CLOSED	
REPORT CLEARED BY:		SUMMONS		CITATION	
ARREST				EXCEPTIONAL	
STOLEN	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$
					BICYCLES \$
					MISCELL. \$
RECOVERED	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$
					BICYCLES \$
					MISCELL. \$

**DETAILS OF SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

At approximately 2215 hours, I arrived at 1847 East Elm after having learned of the bombing at Joe Bonanno's residence. I contacted [redacted] and then proceeded to interview witnesses to the incident. I was contacted by a [redacted] the son of [redacted] stated that he and his father had observed a vehicle exiting from Chauncey Lane at a high rate of speed. The vehicle turned west on Lester, proceeded to the animal hospital located at Martin and Elm, picked up a subject who was hiding in the shadows at that location, and then proceeded south on Martin. The vehicle described by [redacted] allegedly had opal tail lights and may have been a 1957/58 Ford, however, both [redacted] are not sure as to the make of the vehicle. They further stated that they did not see the occupants of the vehicle nor could they see or identify the person picked up at the corner of Lester and Martin.

I then proceeded to the residence of a [redacted] Joe Bonanno, who stated that he had heard a small explosion, describing it as not being very loud. Upon hearing it, he went to his backyard, peering over the wall. He observed what he described as a late model car having opal tail lights two to three inches in diameter, proceeding north on Chauncey Lane at a moderate rate of speed. At that time, he heard a loud blast. The vehicle speeded up and he lost sight of it. [redacted] could give no further description of either car or any occupants, however, he stated that the lights illuminating the rear license plate of the vehicle were not functioning.

The next person contacted was a [redacted] that he heard a small primary explosion followed by a secondary larger explosion. Immediately after hearing the larger explosion, he proceeded to the Bonanno residence and at that time observed Joe Bonanno in a very agitated state and observed Bonanno digging in the rubble of the wall and abstract two canvas bags which he immediately carried into the house. [redacted] could give no further information and stated that he was leaving town for two weeks vacation and could be reached if necessary when he returns to Tucson.

I then assisted [redacted] in examining the damage caused to the wall and barbecue pit and noticed a strong odor of dynamite. In attempting to

Officer Reporting [redacted] Ser. No. [redacted] Date 25 July 1968 Time 0830 hours  
Force 2 Division Det [redacted]

PROPERTY RELEASE  
TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT  
TUCSON, ARIZONA

DATE 31 JULY 68CASE NO. 458-500CRIME OR  
INCIDENT EXPLOSION

TO PROPERTY CUSTODIAN:

You are hereby authorized to release to:

DESTROY  
FULL NAME ADDRESS TELEPHONE NO.

the following described property.

Reason for release: NOT NEEDED

OFFICER AUTHORIZING

Date 31 JULY 68 Time 1630


Owner Notified


Date \_\_\_\_\_ Time \_\_\_\_\_

b6  
b7CDESCRIPTION OF ARTICLES1 PIECE OF PLYWOODNO VALUE DESTROYEDDATE SEP 2 1968REFERRED TO CASE NO. 463758

Released to: (SIGNATURE) \_\_\_\_\_

Released by: \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_ Time \_\_\_\_\_





## IV. BOMBING OF PATIO OF PETER JOSEPH NOTARO ON EVENING OF AUGUST 16, 1968

PETER JOSEPH NOTARO is currently acting as body-guard for JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. and his oldest son, SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO, having arrived at Tucson from New York during the early part of 1968.

On the evening of August 16, 1968, [redacted] [redacted] Supra, advised that the residence of PETER JOSEPH NOTARO, located at 1331 North Rosemont Boulevard, Tucson, was dynamited earlier this same date by an unknown individual and/or individuals. [redacted] maintained that two separate blasts occurred, knocking out portions of the north and west patio walls, causing little damage. [redacted] averred that the blasts were about 30 seconds apart and failed to cause any personal injury to the subject's wife and daughter, who were at home when the dynamiting took place. [redacted] recalled that two windows in NOTARO's house were knocked out as a result of the blasts.

[redacted] related that preliminary investigation by his unit tended to indicate that the bombing was done by a prankster and/or pranksters

On August 17, 1968, [redacted] stated that further investigation of the bombing which occurred on the evening of August 16, 1968, at NOTARO's residence had failed to disclose any significant evidence and/or suspects. [redacted] averred that sources to their department, subsequent to the dynamiting, indicated that there was no evidence to link this bombing with organized criminal activity. Moreover, it was their opinion that it was done as a prank by an unknown individual and/or individuals.

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 10/5/681

[redacted] attorney for PETER JOSEPH NOTARO, advised that he was recently in contact with NOTARO and was informed that he, NOTARO, was not desirous of discussing anything about the bombing of his residence with a representative of the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

b6  
b7COn 10/4/68 at Tucson, Arizona File # PX 166-293by SA [redacted] Date dictated 10/4/68

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

45

The following investigation was conducted by  
SAs [redacted] at Tucson:

On October 2, 1968, the following neighbors were contacted in the general vicinity of the NOTARO residence and were unable to provide any information of an evidentiary nature concerning the bombing of the NOTARO residence on August 16, 1968:



On October 9, 1968, [redacted] advised that subsequent to the bombing which occurred at the NOTARO residence, their department has failed to obtain any evidence as to who was responsible. Moreover, they had failed to obtain any evidence indicating any interstate movement and/or involvement connected with this bombing.

Set forth hereinafter are police reports and photographs connected with the above bombing:

#143

## CASE REPORT

REPORT NO.

461200

b6

b7C

POLICE DEPARTMENT - TUCSON, ARIZONA.

ALLIED

CONNECT-UP  
NOS.

INCIDENT OR CRIME

PX 166-293

TYPE

OTHER OFFENSES

OTHER MAJOR

VICTIM'S LAST NAME (OR FIRM NAME) - FIRST NAME

MIDDLE

SEX-ORIGIN

AGE

RESIDENCE OF VICTIM

VICTIM'S PHONE

NOTARO PETER JOSEPH

M W

52

1331 N. ROSEMONT

795-2932

PLACE OF OCCURRENCE-STREET OR STREET AT OR NUMBER OR INTERSECTION

GRID NO.

FORCE

REPORT TYPED BY

TIME

Same

159

3

B.Hines

0101

DAY DATE OF OCCURRENCE

TIME OF DAY

DATE REPORT REC'D.

TIME REPORTED

REPORT TAKEN BY:

HOW REPORTED

Fri 16 Aug. 68

2215

16 Aug. 68

2215

Desk

Phone

REPORTED BY:

ADDRESS OF PERSON REPORTING

PHONE

BUS. ADD. OF VICTIM

BUS. PHONE OF VICTIM

Same as above

same

none

none

PERSON ATTACKED - OCCUPATION - NUMBER INVOLVED, ETC.

PROPERTY ATTACKED - TYPE OF PREMISES - PROPERTY USED FOR (MAY BE A VEHICLE)

premises of residential house

HOW ATTACKED - HOW COMMITTED - HOW ENTRANCE WAS GAINED

WEAPON OR INSTRUMENT USED - MEANS OF ATTACK

bombing

explosives

TRADE MARK

DESCRIPTION OF VEHICLE USED BY SUSPECT

bombing

Unknown

DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY STOLEN - LOST - FOUND - DAMAGED SERIAL NUMBERS

gate on the north side of the house, two windows on the west side of the house.

EST. VALUE

Unk

TOTAL

S

DETAILS OF OFFENSE (STATE FULLY ALL OTHER CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS OFFENSE)

Received call reference explosion at [redacted] Arrived at scene at which time I talked to [redacted] who stated she was in the living room of their house unpacking and that their [redacted] was in the kitchen. [redacted] advised that she thought she heard noises in the back yard. A few minutes later she heard an explosion. Seconds after the first explosion there was a second explosion. She immediately picked up the phone and called the police. In talking to [redacted] she also states that she heard an explosion and seconds afterwards heard a second explosion at which time she ran out the front door. NFI.....

PERSONS ARRESTED OR CITED - NAME AND ADDRESS

SEX-ORIGIN

AGE

CHARGE

BOOKING

ARRESTED BY:

SER. NO.

1. SUSPECT OR PERSON WANTED - NAME - ADDRESS - ALIAS

SEX-ORIGIN

AGE

HEIGHT

WEIGHT

EYES

HAIR

COMPLEX

DRESS AND ANY DISTINGUISHING MARKS

2. SUSPECT OR PERSON WANTED - NAME - ADDRESS - ALIAS

SEX-ORIGIN

AGE

HEIGHT

WEIGHT

EYES

HAIR

COMPLEX

DRESS AND ANY DISTINGUISHING MARKS

WITNESSES:

NAME ADDRESS PHONE

NAME ADDRESS PHONE

NAME ADDRESS PHONE

DISPATCH TIME 2218 HRS. ARRIVED AT SCENE 2225 CLEARED SCENE 2400 Audio 0114

INVESTIGATING OFFICER [redacted] SERIAL NO. [redacted] FORCE 3 DATE 17 Aug. 68 TIME 0035

1214-9-REVISED-65

THIS REPORT IS ~~CONFIDENTIAL~~. FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

ORIGINAL

# TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT

DATE OF ORIGINAL REPORT: 16 August 68		REPORT NO. 461200	
Type of Crime or Incident <b>OTHER OFFENSES OTHER MAJOR</b>		Location <b>1331 N. ROSEMONT</b>	
Victim's Name (last, first, middle) <b>NOTARO PETER JOSEPH</b>		Address <b>SAME</b>	
Persons Arrested, Cited, or Summoned 1. 2. 3.		Res. Phone <b>795-2932</b>	
		Offense	
		Grid <b>159</b>	
		Bus. Phone <b>None</b>	
		Booking No.	

REPORT IS:		RECLASSIFIED		PENDING XXXXXX		CLOSED	
REPORT CLEARED BY:		SUMMONS		CITATION		EXCEPTIONAL	
ARREST							
STOLEN	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$	BICYCLES \$	MISCELL. \$
RECOVERED	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$	BICYCLES \$	MISCELL. \$

## DETAILS OF SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Received call reference the bombing at 1331 N. Rosemont. Arrived at scene and talked to [redacted] who stated that she and her [redacted] were in the house alone, and that [redacted] PETER JOSEPH, had stated that he was just going to step out for a while, he did not state where he was going. [redacted] states that she heard some noises in the back and then heard an explosion. Seconds after the first explosion she heard a second explosion at which time she immediately dialed the phone for the police. [redacted] upon hearing the first explosion and then seconds later the second explosion, ran out the front door and did not see anything.

Could obtain no information from [redacted] They stated that they just bought the house and had moved in on the 15th of August. They bought the house from a [redacted] unknown on the spelling, whose husband is a miner and she is going to her husband in South Africa.

While talking to [redacted] PETER JOSEPH NOTARO returned home. I began discussing the matter with him and he stated that he had nothing as far as information to give to me. He didn't know who or why and had no idea why the papers were reporting items as they were. He stated he had owned his own trucking company in Bronx, New York and that he was giving up the trucking firm and retiring here in Tucson. I asked him where he had been, he stated he had just gone out to Gus and Andy's for a couple of drinks.

At this time he stated, that's off the record. There is no information obtained by any member of the NOTARO.

While investigating a Cadillac pulled into the NOTARO drive way, license NBD-012, this was at 2320 hours, SALVATOR(PH)(BILL BONAMO) came out of the Cadillac and entered the house and had a few words with PETER. NFI.....

Audio [redacted] 0126

47

Officer Reporting [redacted]	Ser. No. [redacted]	Date 17 Aug. 68	Time 0043
Force 3		Division Uniform	

**TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT  
SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT**

b6  
b7c

DATE OF ORIGINAL REPORT:

16 August 68

REPORT NO. 461200

Type of Crime or Incident <b>OTHER OFFENSES/OTHER MAJOR</b>		Location <b>1331 NORTH ROSEMONT</b>		Grid <b>159</b>			
Victim's Name (last, first, middle) <b>NOTARO, PETER JOSEPH</b>		Address <b>1331 NORTH ROSEMONT</b>		Res. Phone <b>795-2932</b>	Bus. Phone		
Persons Arrested, Cited, or Summoned 1. 2. 3.		Address		Offense	Booking No.		
REPORT IS: UNFOUNDED		RECLASSIFIED		PENDING XX	CLOSED		
REPORT CLEARED BY: ARREST		SUMMONS		CITATION			
EXCEPTIONAL							
STOLEN	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$	BICYCLES \$	MISCELL. \$
RECOVERED	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$	BICYCLES \$	MISCELL. \$

**DETAILS OF SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

At 2243 hours, 16 August 1968, ID was dispatched to 1331 North Rosemont scene of a bombing. Upon my arrival, I contacted [redacted] They requested that I set up my auxiliary lighting and after doing so, they further requested that I take photographs of the damage.

b6  
b7c

Eight photographs were taken at the scene showing the damage to the rear gate, garbage strewn across the lawn away from the gate, screen wiring broke, screen broke in a picnic house or barbecue house, as you want to call it, broken window over the rear door into the house. A hole in the ground on the inside of the gate and the gate from the inside, also.

I helped [redacted] upon his arrival search through the rubble with negative results on my part and I cleared the scene at 0017 hours, 17 August 1968, returned to the ID Section Tucson Police Department. NFI..

b6  
b7c

Audio [redacted] 0308  
17 Aug 68

48

Officer Reporting [redacted] Ser. No. [redacted] Date 17 Aug 68 Time 0105  
Force 1 ID Division Service

b6  
b7c

**TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT  
SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT**

b6  
b7c

DATE OF ORIGINAL REPORT:		16 August 68		REPORT NO. 461200			
Type of Crime or Incident <b>OTHER OFFENSES OTHER MAJOR.</b>		Location <b>1331 N. ROSEMONT</b>		Grid <b>159</b>			
Victim's Name (last, first, middle) <b>UNKNOWN</b>		Address <b>SAME</b>		Res. Phone <b>UNKNWON</b>	Bus. Phone		
Persons Arrested, Cited, or Summoned		Address		Offense	Booking No.		
1.							
2.							
3.							
REPORT IS:		RECLASSIFIED		PENDING XXXX	CLOSED		
REPORT CLEARED BY:		SUMMONS		CITATION	EXCEPTIONAL		
ARREST							
STOLEN	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$	BICYCLES \$	MISCELL. \$
RECOVERED	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$	BICYCLES \$	MISCELL. \$

**DETAILS OF SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

At 2313 hours, this date, while at Galloway Motors, 5200 E. Speedway, I heard two loud explosions, approximately 3 to 4 seconds apart. Started checking the area, heard a call on the radio, 5100 block E. Fairmount explosion, went over into the area and noticed the gate at the rear residence of 1331 N. Rosemont had been explosion blown off with wood across the street.

Started talking to the following people in the area at 2325 hours. All these people were in their house, either in bed or watching TV and heard nothing, but two explosions. #1 is [redacted]

[redacted] stated he came outside immediately following the first blast and saw a red flash and the smoke smelled like burning flares. And also a [redacted]

At approximately 2230 hours I talked to [redacted] who stated that his wife had heard someone running approximately 3 to 4 minutes prior to the explosion and that the car was parked by the house and went west on Rosemont. At this time I went down and talked to [redacted] who stated to me that she heard a vehicle stop at the mailbox by the residence east of her house. She stated she became nosey because she expected possibly her children might be coming home. She stated the vehicle then pulled from the mail box to the drive way east of her residence, she then went outside and turned on the outside lights and the vehicle pulled to the area west of her house passed the telephone pole by the drive way. She stated there was a subject running west on the southside of the street, came across, got into the car. The vehicle does not have a dome light int it and the subject was wearing a white shirt. She said the vehicle was a full size vehicle, either dark blue or dark green in color. The vehicle has taillights all the way across the rear of the car, there are no yellow or red lights on the side of the car.

I was relieved at the [redacted] residence by [redacted] also in talking to [redacted] he stated he has worked around dyanite in his life time and stated this explosion was caused by dyanite, possible one stick each explosion, but less than two sticks. He stated the smell was strong of sulpher smell as that as is emitted by dyanite. I also talked to a person who refused to give his name, he lives on the second east on the north side of the street of Santa Rosa with a green mail box and a cement planter in the front. He stated he did not want to get involved, but while talking to him he stated approximately 9:00 last night a vehicle stopped at the corner letting 3 women out of the car. The vehicle then pulled down the corner west of his house and turned the lights out. He stated then two other vehicles came up and also sat there with their lights out.

Officer Reporting [redacted] Ser. No. [redacted] Date 16 Aug Time 2356  
Force 4 Division Traffic

Case #461200

Page Two

He said they sat there for a while and then they left, one vehicle going south on Santa Rosa, did not turn its lights on until it reached the arc light approximately 200 feet south of the intersection. The other vehicles proceeded north bound. He stated that during the time that these cars were there, he hid behind a tree as he did not know who they were or what they were. He stated also that he has seen suspicious person who came up to him and asked for a cigarette. He described him as a white male approximately 35 to 36, and that he was afraid when the subject talked to him. He thought it might be an FBI man as they dress in this type of way, as he stated.

I advised [redacted] of the information I had obtained. I cleared the scene at 2340 hours. NFI....

b6  
b7c

[redacted]  
Audio [redacted] /0047

**TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT  
SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT**

b6  
b7c

DATE OF ORIGINAL REPORT:

16 August 68

REPORT NO. 461200

Type of Crime or Incident <b>OTHER OFFENSES      OTHER MAJOR</b>	Location <b>1331 N. ROSEMONT</b>		Grid <b>159</b>
Victim's Name (last, first, middle) <b>UNKNOWN</b>	Address <b>SAVE</b>	Res. Phone	Bus. Phone <b>UNKNOWN</b>
Persons Arrested, Cited, or Summoned <b>1. NONE</b> <b>2.</b> <b>3.</b>	Address	Offense	Booking No.

REPORT IS:			
<b>UNFOUNDED</b>	<b>RECLASSIFIED</b>	<b>PENDING    XXXXXX</b>	<b>CLOSED</b>

REPORT CLEARED BY:							
<b>ARREST</b>		<b>SUMMONS</b>		<b>CITATION</b>		<b>EXCEPTIONAL</b>	
<b>STOLEN</b>	<b>MONEY</b> \$	<b>JEWELRY</b> \$	<b>CLOTHING</b> \$	<b>FURS</b> \$	<b>AUTO ACCESS.</b> \$	<b>BICYCLES</b> \$	<b>MISCELL.</b> \$
<b>RECOVERED</b>	<b>MONEY</b> \$	<b>JEWELRY</b> \$	<b>CLOTHING</b> \$	<b>FURS</b> \$	<b>AUTO ACCESS.</b> \$	<b>BICYCLES</b> \$	<b>MISCELL.</b> \$

**DETAILS OF SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**      Upon hearing a loud explosion in the vicinity of North rosemont and also on monitoring the police radio, found that there had apparently been an explosion or two explosions in the vicinity of 1300 N. Rosemont.

I proceeded to the area of the explosion and at 1331 N. Rosemont was able to observe that apparently there had been one at that address. I was detailed to keep pedestrians and on lookers from the crime scene. Prior to that I contacted several people in regards to information or observations made by them.

b6  
b7c

I contacted a [redacted] who lives on the NE corner of the intersction. They stated that they heard the explosion, however, they heard nothing leaving the area in the way of an automobile. Contacted a subject [redacted] and he stated that he had heard two explosions, however, did not see anybody leaving the area nor did he hear anyone leaving the area, and he lives east of the residence.

Next talked with a [redacted] States that she heard a car leave the area going east at a high rate of speed just prior to the explosion. However, she did not see the car nor did she know what type it was.

Contacted a [redacted] directly across from the above, states that she heard a vehicle speed from the area of the explosion just prior to it also was proceeding east. Appears perhaps this was the same vehicle.

After talking with these subjects I took Tucson Gas and Electric call out crew under the foreman of [redacted] They checked the area of the explosion for leaking gas and other items and found everything to be in order. NFI...

Audio [redacted] 0016

b6  
b7c

51

Officer Reporting [redacted]	Ser. No. [redacted]	Date 16 Aug. 68	Time 2350
Force 4		Division Traffic	

**TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT  
SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT**

b6  
b7c

DATE OF ORIGINAL REPORT: 16 AUGUST 68		REPORT NO. 461200	
Type of Crime or Incident <b>OTHER OFFENSES: OTHER MINOR</b>		Location <b>1331 N. ROSEMONT</b>	
Victim's Name (last, first, middle) <b>NOTARO, PETER JOSEPH</b>		Res. Phone <b>795-2932</b>	Grid <b>159</b>
Persons Arrested, Cited, or Summoned		Address <b>1331 N. ROSEMONT</b>	Booking No.
1.		Offense	
2.			
3.			

REPORT IS:			
UNFOUNDED	RECLASSIFIED	PENDING XXXX	CLOSED

REPORT CLEARED BY:		SUMMONS		CITATION		EXCEPTIONAL	
ARREST							
STOLEN	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$	BICYCLES \$	MISCELL. \$
RECOVERED	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$	BICYCLES \$	MISCELL. \$

**DETAILS OF SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

Dispatched shortly after 2213 hours this date with [redacted] reference an explosion in the 1300 block of N. Rosemont. Arrived then, ascertained the residence located on the southwest corner of Fairmount and Rosemont, namely 1331 N. Rosemont had sustained an explosion of the north side of the patio wall at the gate area adjacent to the swimming pool.

b6  
b7c

[redacted] was given the responsibility of investigation along with [redacted]. [redacted] were utilized for collection of information of available witnesses and preservation of the scene. [redacted] was utilized for collection of evidence and information of adjacent residences to which a supplement containing certain vital facts has been submitted by him.

The Identification requested and [redacted] took nine photographs of the entire scene. Scale drawing requested and submitted by [redacted]. Detectives requested at the scene which resulted in the arrival of [redacted] followed later by [redacted] was requested to co-ordinate with the contacts made by [redacted] on the north side of Fairmount at which time he contacted certain witnesses noted in his supplement. [redacted] arrived at 2355 hours on the scene and was told at that time to clear the location and return to off duty status.

b6  
b7c

At my departure time, which was 0005 hours, [redacted] had not arrived on the scene. Message was to be communicated that he was to clear. Subsequent detective investigation and review will take place in the daylight hours of 17 August 68. [redacted] called in the basic case report in this incident. The traffic [redacted] submitted supplements.

b6  
b7c

There was relatively no evidence obtained at the scene other than information gained from certain parties living in the area. The explosion had been from appearances directly on the inner side of the gate scattering garbage and trash through out the yard and causing certain broken windows and damaged screen areas. Little or no tangible evidence was located this date. Requiring a return trip in the daylight hours for further search.

NFI . . . . .

CONTINUED . . . . .

AUDIO/0146 [redacted]

Officer Reporting [redacted]	Ser. No. [redacted]	Date 17 aug 68	Time 0100
Force 3	Division	Uniform	

b6  
b7c

CASE 461200  
PAGE TWO

[ ] was informed to include investigations at the time of my departure. At which time he stated he was going to contact whatever other witnesses may be up at that time in the area and he would secure shortly thereafter. He also indicated he would make communication with the day force the following date to pursue the investigation.

b6  
b7C

NFI . .

AUDIO/0149, [ ]

**TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT  
SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT**

b6  
b7c

DATE OF ORIGINAL REPORT: 16 Aug. 68

REPORT NO. 461200

Type of Crime or Incident <b>OTHER OFF./OTHER MAJOR</b>	Location <b>1331 N. ROSEMONT</b>	Grid <b>159</b>
Victim's Name (last, first, middle) <b>NOTARO, PETER JOSEPH</b>	Address <b>SAME</b>	Res. Phone <b>795-2932</b> Bus. Phone <b>none</b>
Persons Arrested, Cited, or Summoned 1. 2. 3.	Address	Offense
		Booking No.

REPORT IS:			
UNFOUNDED	RECLASSIFIED	PENDING <b>XXXX</b>	CLOSED

REPORT CLEARED BY:							
ARREST		SUMMONS		CITATION		EXCEPTIONAL	
STOLEN	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$	BICYCLES \$	MISCELL. \$
RECOVERED	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$	BICYCLES \$	MISCELL. \$

**DETAILS OF SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

I was called out at 2345hrs on 16 Aug. 68 to assist at the scene. Upon arriving I contacted [redacted] and [redacted]. On arrival I noticed the wooden gate on the north side of the patio to be shattered and numerous bits of trash and garbage scattered about the rear yard of the victim's home. I assisted [redacted] in the investigation and see his supplement for details.

b6  
b7c

It should be noted that while at my residence I heard the explosions at the scene. There were two distinct blasts with the first being louder than the second. The second explosion followed the first by fifteen (15) seconds.

NFI.

NO DD

Officer Reporting [redacted] Ser. No. [redacted] Date **17 Aug. 68** Time **0130**  
 Force **AUTO THEFT** Division **DET**

b6  
b7c

**TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT  
SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT**

b6  
b7c

DATE OF ORIGINAL REPORT: <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">[redacted]</span> Aug 68		REPORT NO. 461200	
Type of Crime or Incident OTHER OFFENSES OTHER MAJOR		Location 1331 N Rosemont	
Victim's Name (last, first, middle) NOTARO, PETER JOSEPH		Address same	Res. Phone 795-2932
Persons Arrested, Cited, or Summoned		Address	Offense
1.			Booking No.
2.			
3.			

REPORT IS:			
UNFOUNDED	RECLASSIFIED	PENDING XXX	CLOSED

REPORT CLEARED BY:							
ARREST		SUMMONS		CITATION		EXCEPTIONAL	
STOLEN	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$	BICYCLES \$	MISCELL. \$
RECOVERED	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$	BICYCLES \$	MISCELL. \$

**DETAILS OF SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

Called out at 2245 hrs 16 Aug 68. Dispatched to 1331 N rosemont. Upon arrival I contacted [redacted] who directed me to where [redacted] had located two witnesses. This was [redacted] and [redacted] no phone, who informed me that they witnessed the following. [redacted] was aroused by lights shining in his bed room window and got up at which time he heard two blasts about 3 seconds apart he thought. He said that he thought the blasts sounded like stale or faulty dynamite rather than fresh sticks. He says that he has had some experience with this.

[redacted] heard the car stop, the one which shined its lights in [redacted] window, and stepped to the front door and saw the car start up with out lights and drive west and stop just past her house, she then heard someone running along the street going from east to west and then get in the car, the dome light did not come on, and the car then left going west. When the car started to leave the head lights were turned on however she is sure that no license plate was on. The car did not makemuch noise when leaving and sounded normal. She says that the tail lights went all the way across the rear of the car. It was not a Mustang and was similar ~~wix~~ to a car owned by a friend, This being a Pontiac Bonneville.

The time lapse between the time of the car leaving and the first blast was about one minute or less. [redacted] says that she saw no sign of sparks or flame. [redacted] says the suspect vehicle was either dark green or blue.

At 2340 I contacted the victim, Mr Notaro, and his family and Salvatore Bonano. Mr Notaro says that he was not at home at the time of occurance.

[redacted] was in the living room and her daughter, [redacted] was in the kitchen. Living room is on the NE corner of the house and the kitchen is on the E of the house. No lights were on outside the house, The kitchen light and a hall light were on in the house. They says that they heard no sound before the two blasts. and niether saw nor heard anything supicious after the blasts.

[redacted] says that they moved into the house yesterday, 15 Agg 68 and had just finished unpacking. Thier car was not at the house at the time as Mr. Notaro had the car downtown, just where he declined to day.

Officer Reporting <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">[redacted]</span>	Ser. No <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">[redacted]</span>	Date 17 Agg 68	Time 0200
Add Info	Force <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">[redacted]</span>	Division Detective	

**TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT  
SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT**

Page 2

DATE OF ORIGINAL REPORT: 16 Aug 68

REPORT NO. 461200

Type of Crime or Incident		Location		Grid			
Victim's Name (last, first, middle)		Address		Res. Phone	Bus. Phone		
Persons Arrested, Cited, or Summoned		Address		Offense	Booking No.		
1.							
2.							
3.							
REPORT IS:							
UNFOUNDED		RECLASSIFIED		PENDING	CLOSED		
REPORT CLEARED BY:							
ARREST		SUMMONS		CITATION	EXCEPTIONAL		
STOLEN	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$	BICYCLES \$	MISCELL. \$
RECOVERED	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$	BICYCLES \$	MISCELL. \$

**DETAILS OF SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

Mr Notaro was asked not to clean up the yard until the detective crew could look over the scene in daylight.

[redacted] took nine (9) photos of the scene. and assisted me in going over the ground inside the north fence of the victims home. Mr Notaro says that there were one box full of trash and one small garbage can inside the wall just west of the gate. The can was bout four feet from the gate and the box was blowen in several pieces and the garbage scattered over a large portion of the back yard. A hole was blown in the ground just inside the gate about 5 or 6 inches deep, and rectangler in shape about 14 or 16 inches long and 6 or 8 inches wide, the longer axis from east to west and deeper on the west. Nothing could be located on the ground which appeared to be connected with the explosive. b6  
b7c

As ~~was~~ we were leaving Mr Notaro asked about the legallity of having a shotgun or pistol in the house and commented that " if they wantto play tic tac toe we will play tic tac toe."

[redacted] advised that uniform had covered most of the homes along the street and had cleared his men from the scene. [redacted] and I cleared at about 0030 hrs.

56

Officer Reporting [redacted]	Ser. No. [redacted]	Date 17 Aug 68.	Time 0200	b6 b7c
Page 2	Force [redacted]	Division	Detective	

Form #P.D. 81

**TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT  
SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT**

b6  
b7c

DATE OF ORIGIN

16 August 68

REPORT NO. 461200

Type of Crime or Incident <b>OTHER OFFENSES: OTHER MAJOR</b>	Location <b>1331 NORTH ROSEMONT</b>		Grid <b>159</b>
Victim's Name (last, first, middle) <b>NOTARO, PETER JOSEPH</b>	Address <b>1331 NORTH ROSEMONT</b>	Res. Phone <b>795-2932</b>	Bus. Phone
Persons Arrested, Cited, or Summoned 1. 2. 3.	Address	Offense	Booking No.

REPORT IS:			
UNFOUNDED	RECLASSIFIED	PENDING <b>XXXX</b>	CLOSED

REPORT CLEARED BY:							
ARREST		SUMMONS		CITATION		EXCEPTIONAL	
STOLEN	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$	BICYCLES \$	MISCELL. \$
RECOVERED	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$	BICYCLES \$	MISCELL. \$

**DETAILS OF SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

At 0830 hours, 17 August 68, dispatched by [redacted] from the Detective Division to 1331 North Rosemont to contact PETER NOTARO and to search the scene at 1331 North Rosemont for any physical evidence resulting from a bombing on Friday, 16 August 68 at approximately 2215 hours. b6  
b7c

Upon arrival contacted PETER NOTARO, the resident, who led [redacted] and myself to the rear yard and in particular a patio wall on the north west corner of the house. There observed a shattered wooden gate which was a walk way into the yard of the home of MR. NOTARO. A thorough search revealed no physical evidence at this site. A further search of the yard revealed that a second blast was set off under a citrus tree to the extreme rear of the west most portion of the patio. This blast left a small indentation in the bermuda lawn and knocked a few leaves from the citrus tree. There was not further damage from this blast. b6  
b7c

In inspecting the house it was observed that a window pane over the rear door had been broken by the explosion and one window pane in a large multi-paned window at the rear of the house had been broken. There appeared to be no other damage to the house. A screened in porch at the side of the swimming pool next to the blast area appeared to have the screen blown from three portions of the patio. The filter and heater for the swimming pool appeared to have sustained slight damage. The wooden gate was completely demolished and a small hole blown in the concrete at this location.

In talking with MR. NOTARO he could furnish no suspects. On each occasion as I asked him who he felt was responsible for the bombing, he stated that he did not know. He stated however, that he felt that the bombing was a result of the newspaper publicity he has received since coming to Tucson. He stated that he has tried on numerous occasions to contact the person at the newspaper office who is writing the editorials and articles about him, however, he has been refused an audience with the person initiating these articles and editorials.

Continued on Page #2

Officer Reporting [redacted] Ser. No. [redacted] Date 17 AUGUST 68 Time 1500 HRS. b6  
b7c  
kd Force BURGLARY Division DETECTIVE



PAGE 2  
CASE #461200

MR. NOTARO stated that he was a respectable businessman retiring in Tucson. However, as a result of the bombings he was immediately going to purchase a pistol and a shotgun stating that he would take care of whoever bombed his wall. However, he stated that he would cooperate with the police in any way possible to apprehend the suspects. He stated however, that if he found the suspects before the police he would handle the matter in his own way.

[REDACTED]  
17 August 68  
1500 hours

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED]



CRIME: OTHER OFFENSES, OTHER MAJOR

PLACE: 1331 N. ROSEMONT

VICTIM: PETER NATARO

TYPE: Bombing

DATE: 16 August 1968


CASE: 461200

b6  
b7C

DRAWN by:  
TAPE READ by:



Fairmont



Crime:

Other Offenses, Other Major

Place:

1331 N. Rosemont

Victim:

Peter Natano

Type:


Bombing


Date:


16 August 1968

Drawn by [redacted]  
Tape read by: [redacted]

b6  
b7C







V. BOMBING OF METRIC AUTO PLASTIC COMPANY, 1926 NORTH  
STONE AVENUE, TUCSON, ON THE EVENING OF SEPTEMBER 12,  
1968

b6  
b7C

[redacted] of Metric Auto Plastic Company is  
[redacted] a resident of Tucson for the  
past nine years who came to Arizona from Warren, Ohio.  
[redacted] was reportedly a close associate with [redacted]  
[redacted] Tucson hoodlum who is currently acting as  
[redacted] for JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. and his oldest son,  
SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO. [redacted] has been repairing  
the automobiles for most all of the hoodlums in Tucson for  
the past several years and has recently been observed  
socializing with [redacted] and the BONANNOS at various  
night clubs in Tucson.

On the evening of September 12, 1968, [redacted]  
[redacted] Supra, advised that earlier that same evening  
a dynamite blast had occurred at the Metric Auto Plastic  
Company, 1926 North Stone Avenue, Tucson, at approximately  
7:45 p m. [redacted] noted that the damage was  
minor, knocking out a door and window of the north section  
of the garage. [redacted] stated that there were no  
injuries as a result of the incident and that their unit  
is continuing to investigate the matter. Moreover, they  
have failed to come up with any suspect and/or suspects.



On September 13, 1968, [redacted] advised  
that subsequent investigation of the bombing incident at  
the Metric Auto Plastic Company had failed to disclose  
any additional evidence and that they still had failed to  
come up with any suspect and/or suspects in connection  
with this matter.

On October 9, 1968 [redacted] maintained  
that extensive investigation by their department had failed  
to obtain any evidentiary data indicating any interstate  
movement and/or involvement connected with the bombing  
of the Metric Auto Plastic Company.

Set forth hereinafter are police reports and  
photographs connected with this bombing.


63a





63c





VI. BOMBING OF THE WIG-SALON OF BEAUTY, 2739 EAST SPEEDWAY BOULEVARD, TUCSON, ON THE EVENING OF SEPTEMBER 16, 1968

On September 16, 1968, [redacted] Supra, advised that on the evening of this same date a dynamite blast occurred at the Wig-Salon of Beauty, 2739 East Speedway Boulevard, Tucson, causing damage at the rear to a glass-sliding door in addition to cracking the northeast section of the ceiling of this building.

[redacted] stated no one was injured and they were continuing their investigation at that time. Moreover, they had no suspects but felt the blast was linked to gang warfare

On September 17, 1968, [redacted] advised that their unit had no additional information in the way of evidence concerning the dynamiting of the Wig-Salon of Beauty or the residence of [redacted] which was also dynamited on the evening of September 16, 1968. However, [redacted] said their unit is aware of the fact that these dynamitings are retaliation by SALVATORE VINCENT "BILL" BONANNO and/or his friends against those individuals whom he, BONANNO, suspects as being involved with prior dynamitings at his father's residence and that of his bodyguard PETER JOSEPH NOTARO.

b6  
b7C

Date 10/11/68

[redacted] was interviewed at the residence of [redacted]

[redacted] stated that [redacted]

[redacted] The Wig Salon currently employs four to six hairdressers and one receptionist whose name is [redacted]

[redacted] advised that she was originally employed as a hairdresser at the Wig Salon but that she terminated her employment there in August, 1968. [redacted] stated that she and her husband were merely not compatible in any type business relation and that they, therefore, parted ways concerning operation of the Wig Salon. [redacted] is currently running the Wig Salon, however, during the daytime he is employed as an electrician with Southern Pacific Railroad in Tucson, Arizona. During the late afternoon when [redacted] gets off work at the Southern Pacific Railroad, he then goes to the Wig Salon to conduct any and all needed business and count up the days receipts for the salon.

[redacted] stated that she met her husband in High School in the Tucson area and that they have been married for approximately eight years.

[redacted] advised that on one occasion only has she ever met or seen BILL BONANNO and she believed this to be at a local cocktail lounge in the Tucson area on that one occasion. [redacted] stated that she and her husband were in the company of [redacted] who introduced them to BILL BONANNO who was sitting at another table. [redacted] has not seen BILL BONANNO or any of his relatives since that one meeting.

[redacted] stated that she is currently employed as a hairdresser at the Headhunters at the corner of 71st and 22nd Streets in Tucson.

[redacted] advised that she did not have any idea

On 10/2/68 at Tucson, Arizona File # PX 166-293

by SA [redacted] and SA [redacted] Date dictated 10/7/68

PX 166-293

[redacted]  
as to why the Wig Salon was bombed and that to the best of her knowledge her husband [redacted] has no enemies or associates who would cause damage to the Wig Salon.

[redacted] did state that since the bombing of the Wig Salon in September, 1968, the business has dropped off severely, perhaps as much as fifty per cent. [redacted] stated that her husband has expressed concern over the bombing but that he has not at this point discussed the matter entirely with her.

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

b6  
b7C

Date 10/11/68

[redacted]  
[redacted] advised as follows:

Approximately one year ago her sister, [redacted] who now lives in [redacted] was living in the Tucson, Arizona area and at that time was affiliated with a German Shepherd Dog Club. Also affiliated with that same club was one [redacted] subsequently became acquainted with [redacted] Through subsequent conversations, [redacted] apparently related to [redacted] that [redacted] were buying a beauty salon in the Tucson area and that it was to be opened in September, 1967.

[redacted] subsequently introduced [redacted] [redacted] then became a regular customer of [redacted] at the Wig Salon in Tucson. A few months later, possibly in February, [redacted] was hired by the Wig Salon as a receptionist and according to information [redacted] has received from [redacted] obtained the job only as a means by which she could account for the money in her possession.

On August 15 or shortly thereafter, [redacted] left the Wig Salon and travelled to Elizabeth, Colorado, where she visited her parents for one week. Upon her return to Arizona, [redacted] then obtained employment with Edward's Headhunters in Tucson. [redacted] apparently did not wish his wife [redacted] to obtain employment at Edward's and as a result thereof he telephoned the owner, one [redacted] in an attempt to persuade him not to hire his wife as a hairdresser.

[redacted] additionally advised that on one occasion during latter August, 1968, [redacted] mentioned to her that [redacted] friends" did not want her to work for [redacted] as a hairdresser. No further information was obtained as to [redacted] friends"; however [redacted] has mentioned to her that [redacted] reports to BILL BONANNO or JOE BONANNO at least once a week. [redacted]

67

On 10/2/68 at Tucson, Arizona File # PX 166-293  
by SA [redacted] SA [redacted] Date dictated 10/7/68

PX 166-293

[redacted]  
is therefore assuming that [redacted] friends" are JOE and BILL BONANNO in Tucson, Arizona.

[redacted] concluded by stating that during the last few weeks [redacted] has not been home during the evenings and that she suspects that he is [redacted] For this reason [redacted]

[redacted] additionally recalled that sometime prior to August, 1968, [redacted] mentioned that [redacted] had informed her that [redacted] and her mother's phone as well as the phone of the Wig Salon had been tapped by local police agencies and that any information discussed on those phones would subsequently get back to [redacted] through her contact, not further specified. [redacted] stated that [redacted] has [redacted] scared to the point that she is hesitant on whether or not to talk with any law enforcement officials.

Shortly after the bombing of the Wig Salon on September 16, 1968, [redacted] went back to reside with her husband [redacted] at [redacted] While there at that location [redacted] on several occasions has received phone calls in the early morning hours from an unknown individual who stated that the bombing would definitely happen again.

[redacted] is aware that [redacted] and his wife [redacted] have received information that he has been told again by persons unknown that the Wig Salon must stay in business at all cost and that [redacted] must remain at the Wig Salon as an employee. [redacted] on one occasion two or three weeks ago was to have a local appointment with a local Tucson detective, however, she failed to keep this appointment due to the pressure having been put on her by [redacted] was of the opinion that [redacted] is cognizant of the possible identity of the individuals responsible for the bombing of the Wig Salon.

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

b6  
b7C

Date 10/11/68

[redacted]  
Tucson was interviewed and he advised that he is the [redacted]  
[redacted] of the Wig Salon of Beauty. The Wig Salon  
is a sole proprietorship which was purchased August 16,  
1967. [redacted] indicated that [redacted]  
[redacted] purchased the shop but that the operating  
license for the Wig Salon is in [redacted] name only. [redacted]

[redacted]

On the evening of September 16, 1968, both he and  
[redacted] were in the Wig Salon of Beauty and at  
approximately 8:40 p.m. the shop was secured and they  
departed. To the best of [redacted] recollection, the  
bombing occurred approximately five to ten minutes after  
their departure. Windowpanes on the northeast corner of  
the shop were damaged and the building structure on the  
northeast corner was damaged to the point that it will  
necessitate extensive repair. [redacted] indicated that  
he knows of no reason as to why his shop should be bombed  
and that to the best of his recollection he has not been  
threatened by any unknown individuals. [redacted] further  
advised that [redacted]  
of CHARLES BATTAGLIA who is currently incarcerated at the  
Federal Prison, Leavenworth, Kansas. He believes that [redacted]  
[redacted] is an associate of BILL BONANNO, however, [redacted]  
himself maintained that he has had very little if any.

69  
On 10/2/68 at Tucson, Arizona File # PX 166-293

by SA [redacted]  
SA [redacted] Date dictated 10/7/68

PX 166-293

[redacted] contact with the BONANNOS or any of their associates.  
[redacted] additionally stated that since the bombing approximately fifty per cent of his clientele have gone elsewhere and that he stands to loose a great deal of money. It was for this reason that should any information concerning the identity of the individual or individuals responsible come to his attention he would most certainly inform the FBI.

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

b6  
b7cDate October 7, 1968

[redacted]  
[redacted] advised she is the [redacted]

[redacted] The Wig beauty salon located at 2739 East Speedway in Tucson. She said she has no specific knowledge concerning the bombing of The Wig beauty salon which occurred on September 16, 1968. Concerning [redacted] and [redacted] she furnished the following information:

She advised [redacted] became married approximately eight years ago when both were in high school. At the time of marriage [redacted] was approximately sixteen years old and [redacted] was seventeen. She said they maintained their marriage secret for approximately three years. She advised approximately one year ago they purchased The Wig beauty salon and have been operating it since that time. At the time they purchased the salon she said she was informed a \$5000 deposit was made. Soon after the beauty salon opened her sister [redacted] became acquainted with [redacted]

[redacted] As a result of the meeting and because [redacted] felt sorry for [redacted] [redacted] was hired as a receptionist at the beauty salon. [redacted] said she was informed by [redacted] that [redacted] had told her she had to have a job as a cover to explain her income. She advised after [redacted] had been working at the beauty salon for several months [redacted] reportedly

[redacted] As a result [redacted] in August, 1968, left the business and returned to Denver, Colorado, for a week or two. She said [redacted] has since returned to Tucson, but has not returned to work at the beauty salon. She said she had not been residing with [redacted] up until the explosion which occurred at the beauty salon. The following day she returned to live at the residence [redacted] said to her belief, although she has not been told this, [redacted] moved back because she was concerned that someone might bomb [redacted] residence. She further advised she recalls that on the night of the bombing she received a call at

On 10/3/68 at Tucson, Arizona File # PX 166-293

by SA [redacted] HFA/sp Date dictated 10/3/68

PX 166-293

[redacted]

at her residence for [redacted] in which [redacted] was told of the bombing at the beauty salon. Immediately after [redacted] had hung up the phone she commented that she knew they were going to do it because they had said so. She said [redacted] did not explain this comment at this time, nor has she since. She further advised that [redacted] had told her that [redacted] had money in the beauty salon, but that [redacted] had denied this to her or at least knowledge of it.

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 10/11/68

[redacted] Edward's Headhunters,,  
7137 East 22nd Street. Tucson, advised that he has known  
[redacted] approximately eight years.  
[redacted] indicated that both he and [redacted] attended  
beauty school together approximately eight years ago and  
that in approximately 1963, [redacted] went to work for him at  
his salon. In 1967, [redacted]  
opened the Wig Salon of Beauty and as a result thereof  
she terminated employment at Edward's Headhunters.  
However, in August, 1968, [redacted] alienated her  
relationship with the Wig Salon and she again sought  
employment with [redacted] at the Headhunters. At this  
time, [redacted] telephoned him requesting that he  
not hire [redacted] as a hairdresser. [redacted] advised [redacted]  
[redacted] that he saw no reason as to why he should not  
hire her and he considered her to be a good operator.  
At this point [redacted] indicated that [redacted]  
was currently working in his salon and that she received  
sixty per cent of everything she brings in.

[redacted] advised that he heard that the Wig  
Salon had been bombed but he could not furnish any  
details thereof stating that he preferred not to ask  
[redacted] any questions and that she has not volunteered any  
information concerning the bombing.

72

---

On 10/3/68 at Tucson, Arizona File # PX 166-293

by SA [redacted]  
SA [redacted] Date dictated 10/7/68

PX 166-293

b6  
b7C

[redacted] On October 9, 1968, [redacted] advised that [redacted] of convicted hoodlum CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, [redacted] is [redacted] at the Wig-Salon of Beauty. [redacted] noted that she has been employed there for the past approximate six months. [redacted] averred that they had received word through sources indicating that the proprietor of the Wig-Salon of Beauty, [redacted] had borrowed an undisclosed amount of money from the hoodlums in town and was bombed because he could not met the payments. [redacted] said that to date they have been unable to corroborate this information and are beginning to doubt the accuracy of this allegation in view of the fact that [redacted] and his wife are separated and this data might be rumor only.

[redacted] said that they have also failed to obtain any evidence indicating any interstate movement and/or involvement connected with this particular bombing.

Set forth hereinafter are police reports and photographs connected with this bombing:

PA

PX 166-293

b6  
b7C

TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT  
SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT

DATE OF ORIGINAL REPORT: 17 September 1968 REPORT NO. 465200

Type of Crime or Incident	Location	Grid
Other Offenses: Other Major	2739 E. Speedway	13

Victim's Name	Address	Bus. Phone
The Wig Beauty Salon	2739 E. Speedway	327-6328

DETAILS OF SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Received a call reference a bombing at 2739 E. Speedway. Arrived at the scene with Chief RICE, Engine 7, Engine 3, Ladder 2 and Engine 5 also arriving at the scene. There was no fire. At the scene was noticed that the two sliding glass doors at the north of the building were blown out. Also, all windows on the east side of the building were blown out. Chandeliers and a large mirror inside the building were damaged. Also, the north wall of the building was cracked at the scene of the wall. Some bottles were broken in the storage room.

On further investigation it was noticed there was an indentation in the cement at the northeast corner of the building where possibly the explosion took place. There were also pry marks on both sliding glass door frames. Some of these pry marks were explained later by the owner to be old pry marks from approximately six weeks ago in a burglary. No evidence of entry could be found at this time.

There was some trouble trying to contact the owner of the business, The Wig. After some time a [redacted] arrived at the scene and stated [redacted] She gave her address as [redacted] Upon interrogation it was found that [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] bought the Wig Beauty Salon approximately one year ago from the Hairstylist Guild, Inc., Phoenix, Arizona. The contract was completed by a [redacted] previous proprietor of The Wig.

PX 166-293

[redacted]

b6  
b7C

In the last six weeks one burglary has been committed and one attempt.

I asked [redacted] where [redacted] was. She stated she did not know. I asked when she had last seen him. She stated, "Earlier this morning", and gave the impression that she had not seen him since he had left for work. Further interrogation along this line revealed that [redacted] has been separated from [redacted] for approximately three weeks. She admitted that she is presently staying at [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] She stated the separation is due to domestic problems which have been occurring for about three to four weeks. During this time she showed little emotion and was rather cool.

I asked if she, herself, worked at The Wig and she stated that she used to manage it but had not worked there for approximately three to four weeks. She knew little about the goings-on of The Wig for the past three or four weeks. Upon asking who was employed there, she stated

[redacted]  
[redacted] (unknown last name) and a [redacted]  
(unknown last name)...

b6  
b7C

I asked if these were new employees and she stated yes. I asked if they were hairdressers and she stated yes. I asked who managed it while her husband was at work at Tucson Gas and Lights and she stated she did not know.

I asked if they had a receptionist and she stated yes. The receptionist is a [redacted] Upon giving me this answer [redacted] hesitated before giving me [redacted] name.

Through the entire interview, many times I asked where the husband was. The subject always answered that she did not know. She finally stated she has not seen her husband since Wednesday. She states she sees him off and on but not very often in the past three or four weeks.

During my whole investigation the subject who later identified himself as [redacted] [redacted] would interrupt and answer questions I was asking [redacted] Upon asking who ran the place [redacted] interrupted and stated that one of the workers did. This was never clarified by [redacted]

Also, with [redacted] was a subject [redacted] These subjects were both very curious in the scene and the interview, but upon questioning them had little information.

[redacted] was never located while I was at the scene. [redacted] was very cold about the explosion and in mentioning the separation from her husband. I asked her why she was so cold and indifferent. She just mentioned that so many things have happened that she is just getting tired of it all. I asked if there would be a final break between her and her husband and she stated that she hoped not and at this time showed a little emotion.

NFI....

[redacted]  
17 September 68 - 0032 hours  
Force III, Uniform Division

audio [redacted]  
0340 hours  
17 Sept. 68.

PX 166-293

b6  
b7C

TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT  
SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT

DATE OF ORIGINAL REPORT: 16 September 68 REPORT NO. 465200

Type of Crime or Incident	Location	Grid
Other Offenses: Other Major	2739 E. Speedway	13

Victim's Name	Address	Res. Phone
Wig Beauty Salon	2739 E. Speedway	327-6328

DETAILS OF SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

I arrived with [redacted] at the scene at approximately 2315 hours. We had talked with [redacted] who was at the scene earlier and would remain there during the investigation. Also at the scene was [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] and [redacted] Both of the females were reluctant to talk much about what had happened and when I questioned [redacted] about the whereabouts then of her husband, she was quite evasive. This was a similar type of conversation that [redacted] had at the scene originally.

At about 2340 hours, [redacted] arrived at the scene with [redacted] He was quite upset about what had happened, however, would give us very little information on the activity. He stated to me he had been in the business at 2030 hours doing some work in the back and he walked back and he said, I was standing right here at 8:30 p.m. We didn't leave here until that particular time. [redacted] substantiated this and I got the impression that she was there with him. I informed him the blast went off about 16 to 17 minutes later and he was lucky he wasn't hurt. He indicated he would be out of business, that the overhead was high, he would have to keep this up and while his shop was being repaired, there would be no money coming in and that would take him out of business.

b6  
b7C

PX 166-293

[redacted]

b6  
b7C

We asked him a number of times if he could shed any light of this. He indicated he could not or would not. He indicated if he was aware of anything, he would be the first one to tell us. However, we got the feeling he was just talking and would not actually come forth with this.

[redacted] at the scene was very uncooperative. She was quite unhappy with the whole thing. Blamed the newspapers and the FBI for the harassment supposedly that she's been going through. She also indicated we thought, meaning the Police Department, that just because she worked there, that's the reason she was bombed. Informed her we had all kinds of theories on this and we were just trying to get to the bottom of it. She was no help at all, very uncooperative and antagonistic in her attitude toward myself and [redacted]

b6  
b7C

We cleared the scene at approximately 2355 hours with no further information. Prior to us arriving at the Wig, this would be at about 2300 hours, we observed "BILL" BONANNO's Lincoln, License JXT-215, parked in front of the Jolly Tamale on East Speedway. This would be directly across from the Economy Station west of Park on Speedway. An arrangement for a uniform officer to stop them when they came out the place of business. Driving or in the car was "BILL" BONANNO. [redacted] PETE NOTARO and a gal by the name of [redacted] who I believe has a residence next to [redacted] in the Imperial Gardens. Interrogation cards were filled out by [redacted] on this and allowed to go on their way.

b6  
b7C

Officer Reporting [redacted] Ser. No. [redacted]

Date 17 Sept 68 Time 0915

PX 166-293

[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT  
SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT

DATE OF ORIGINAL REPORT: 16 September 1968 REPORT NO. 465200

Type of Crime or Incident	Location	Grid
Other Offenses: Other Major	2739 E. Speedway	13

Victim's Name	Address	Res. Phone
The Wig Beauty Salon	2739 E. Speedway	327-6328

DETAILS OF SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

At approximately 2200 hours on the night of 16 September, 1968, I was at The Wig Beauty Salon, 2739 East Speedway in response to a call of a bombing. At The Wig I talked with [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED] who reportedly owns The Wig Beauty Salon. [REDACTED] told me that she knows of no one who would do this type of thing, nor any reason why it would be done. She was not cooperative at all, and in my opinion, knows why the dynamite was placed there, but is not telling. I also spoke with [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] who was also present and she too said she knew nothing of the incident. [REDACTED] told me he suspected what was going on but that he did not want to talk about it either.

The telephone rang at about 2200 hours and when [REDACTED] answered the phone I could tell that the party on the other end would not answer. When she hung up I asked her what was wrong and she said she did not know, however, who had called would not answer her when she said hello. When the second call came in [REDACTED] answered it and a male voice which he described as belonging to a man about 25 - 30, said the word, "Boom " and then hung up. Two more calls came in within this 20 minute span of time, calls number 3 and 4 of which I answered. However, the party at the other end would not say anything. It was obvious that there was somebody on the phone since I could hear the deep breathing. At about 2300 hours [REDACTED] drove up to the front of The Wig Beauty Salon in the company of [REDACTED]. They drove up in a 1966 white Chevy with license number [REDACTED]. It was obvious when they entered that both had been drinking and as

b6  
b7C

PX 166-293

[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

a matter of fact, [REDACTED] appeared to be quite drunk.  
[REDACTED] stored around the building, demanding  
answers to questions very arrogantly and uncooperative and it  
was very difficult to communicate with him at all. He said .  
that he had no idea who did it and if he knew that he would  
take them out and take care of them himself.

[REDACTED]  
Special Investigation Unit  
17 September 1968  
1400 hours

81

# CASE REPORT

POLICE DEPARTMENT - TUCSON, ARIZONA

REPORT NO. 100200  
CONTACTED  
NO. 1

INCIDENT OR OTHER OFFENSE		PX 166 293		TYPE OTHER MAJOR	
LE	SEX-ORIGIN	AGE	ADDRESS OF VICTIM		VICTIM'S PHONE
PLACE OF OCCURRENCE - STREET AT OR NEAR OR INTERSECTION			CRIME NO. 10	BOOKING 3	TIME 0912
DAY Jan. 10	DATE OF OCCURRENCE 10 Sept. 68	TIME OF DAY 1050	DATE REPORT REC'D. 20 Sept. 68	TIME REPORTED 2053	REPORT TAKEN BY: [Redacted]
REPORTED BY: UNKNOWN		ADDRESS OF PERSON REPORTING UNKNOWN		PHONE UNKNOWN	HOW REPORTED Phone
PERSON ATTACKED - OCCUPATION - NUMBER INVOLVED, ETC.		BUS. ADD. OF VICTIM [Redacted]		BUS. PHONE OF VICTIM [Redacted]	

PROPERTY ATTACKED - TYPE OF PREMISES - PROPERTY USED FOR (MAY BE A VEHICLE)	
HOW ATTACKED - HOW COMMITTED - HOW ENTRANCE WAS GAINED	WEAPON OR INSTRUMENT USED - MEANS OF ATTACK
TRADE MARK	DESCRIPTION OF VEHICLE USED BY SUSPECT
DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY STOLEN - LOST - FOUND - DAMAGED SERIAL NUMBERS	
EST. VALUE	
TOTAL	
S	

DETAILS OF OFFENSE (STATE FULLY ALL OTHER CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS OFFENSE)  
 Received call reference bombing at 2739 East Broadway (THE LEO BERRY BLDG). Arrived at the scene about 10:11 AM. CHART RICH, ENGINE 7, [Redacted] there was no fire. Observed the scene and noticed the sliding glass door to the E side of the building broken out and all windows of the E side of the building broken out, also evidence of a mol. which was placed in the NE corner of the building. Talked to the owner of the building, [Redacted] who could give no explanation of the bombing. Investigation was turned over to the Detective Division. HPZ....


PERSONS ARRESTED OR CITED - NAME AND ADDRESS		SEX-ORIGIN	AGE	CHARGE	BOOKING	ARRESTED BY	REV. NO.
1 SUSPECT OR PERSON WANTED - NAME - ADDRESS - ALIAS		SEX-ORIGIN	AGE	HEIGHT	WEIGHT	EYES	HAIR
DRESS AND ANY DISTINGUISHING MARKS							
2 SUSPECT OR PERSON WANTED - NAME - ADDRESS - ALIAS		SEX-ORIGIN	AGE	HEIGHT	WEIGHT	EYES	HAIR
DRESS AND ANY DISTINGUISHING MARKS							

WITNESSES:


NAME	AGE	ADDRESS
NAME	AGE	ADDRESS
NAME	AGE	ADDRESS

DISPATCH TIME [Redacted] IVED AT SCENE [Redacted] III CLEARED SCENE [Redacted]


INVESTIGATING OFFICER [Redacted] SERIAL NO. [Redacted] FORCE [Redacted] DATE [Redacted]





82a











PX 166-293

VII. BOMBING OF THE RESIDENCE OF [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] ON EVENING  
OF SEPTEMBER 16, 1968

[REDACTED] has been a long time associate of [REDACTED] local contractor and hoodlum. [REDACTED] has also been closely associated with La Cosa Nostra (LCN) member [REDACTED] and Tucson hoodlum [REDACTED] along with [REDACTED] have been suspects in various Tucson bombings during the past approximate two years.

[REDACTED] is a carpenter by trade and does little or no work through the Union Hiring Hall, rather he picks up odd jobs through friends and/or referrals.

On September 16, 1968, [REDACTED] Supra, advised that on the evening of this same date a dynamite blast occurred at the residence of [REDACTED] Tucson, causing extensive damage by blowing off a large section of the roof. [REDACTED] noted that there were no injuries and that their investigation was continuing. Additionally, they had no suspects but felt the blast was linked to gangwarfare because of [REDACTED] close association with [REDACTED] known hoodlum who is opposing both JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. and his oldest son, SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO, who are claiming Tucson as their territory.

On September 17, 1968, [REDACTED] advised that their unit had no additional information in the way of evidence concerning the dynamiting of the [REDACTED] residence on the evening of September 16, 1968; however, [REDACTED] said their unit is aware of the fact that both the dynamiting of the Wig-Salon of Beauty and the [REDACTED] residence were retaliatory measures by "BILL" BONANNO and/or his friends against those individuals whom he suspects of being involved with the dynamiting of his father's residence and that of his bodyguard, PETER JOSEPH NOTARO.

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1Date 10/4/63

[redacted] Attorney, 177 North Church Avenue, Tucson, Arizona, telephonically advised that his client, [redacted] had told him that Special Agents [redacted] wanted to talk with him. It was explained to [redacted] that we do want to talk with [redacted] concerning his place having been bombed. [redacted] said he would advise his client that any conversation with him should be in the presence of [redacted] but would contact [redacted] and call back. [redacted] did call back within a short time and said [redacted] said he has no information whatever nor any idea as to the identity of the person responsible for the bombing of his house. [redacted] said that knowing [redacted] as he does, he [redacted] believes [redacted] is telling the truth. [redacted] added that in his opinion if [redacted] did know the identity of the person or persons responsible for the bombing of his residence, that [redacted] would try to take the law into his own hands and personally take retaliatory action against them. [redacted] said of course he could not condone such action on the part of [redacted] or anyone else. [redacted] continued that if he does get any information which would be of help in identifying anyone responsible for any of the bombings in the Tucson area, he will report same to this Bureau.

[redacted] was told that in view of the above Agents will not want to talk with [redacted] in the presence of [redacted]

On 10/3/63 at Tucson, Arizona File # PX 166-293

by SA [redacted] 84 Date dictated 10/3/63

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

b6  
b7C1Date 10/4/68

[redacted] Attorney, 177 N. Church, Tucson, Arizona, while talking about Bureau Agents desiring to talk with his client, [redacted] regarding the bombing of the latter's residence, said it is his opinion that whoever has been responsible for the several bombings in the Tucson area are persons who want to "keep the pot boiling" to keep the attention of the public on the group such as the BONANNOS and LICAVOLIS. He said he does not think that any of the "group" would cause or do the bombings because it focuses too much attention on them by the press; also in each instance the person or persons who pulled these jobs were obviously careful that no one was injured by the blasts. He said he thinks that any of the so-called "hoodlum" group wanting to pull any bombings would make certain they were successful in hitting their target. [redacted] added, however, that he has no information whatever and these are merely his own ideas without anything direct or indirect to support his theory.

[redacted] did mention that someone had been in touch with him with an idea to hire an outside private investigator to investigate the bombings and if they came up with anything specific to turn the information over to this Bureau so Federal prosecutive action could be taken if possible. In answer to my question as to the identity of the person who contacted him, [redacted] declined to comment, however, when I asked him if it was PETE LICAVOLI he said no; then when I asked him if it was someone else in the LICAVOLI family or group, [redacted] said he could not comment. [redacted] then said PETE LICAVOLI had been away from Tucson but has just returned.

On 10/3/68 at Tucson, Arizona File # PX 166-293

by SA [redacted] Date dictated 10/3/68

PX 166-293

[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

The following investigation was conducted by SA  
[REDACTED] at Tucson:

On October 1, 1968, the following neighbors were contacted in the general vicinity of the residence of [REDACTED] and failed to provide any evidentiary information connected with the bombing which occurred on the evening of September 16, 1968:



On October 9, 1968, [REDACTED] averred that subsequent to the bombing of the residence of [REDACTED] their department has failed to obtain any additional evidence to positively identify who did the actual dynamiting. [REDACTED] contended that they have also failed to obtain any evidence indicating any interstate movement and/or involvement connected with this bombing.

Set forth hereinafter are police reports and photographs relating to the above bombing:

## CASE REPORT

REPORT NO.

805050

b6

b7C

POLICE DEPARTMENT - TUCSON, ARIZONA

CONF. CT-UP  
NO.

INCIDENT OR CRIME

CITY OF TUCSON

PX 166-293

TYPE

OTHER MAJOR

DOLE

SEX-ORIGIN

AGE

EDUCATION OF VICTIM

VICTIM'S PHONE

R H

BAC

None

PLACE OF OCCURRENCE-STREET OR STREET AT OR NUMBER OR INTERSECTION

GRID NO.

FOUR

REPORT TYPE

TIME

512 1/2 NORTH AVENUE

141

3

0100

DATE

DATE OF OCCURRENCE

TIME OF DAY

DATE REPORT REC'D.

TIME REPORTED

REPORT TAKEN BY:

HOW REPORTED

16 Sept. 68

2000

16 Sept. 68

2000

Am 30

Police-FFU

REPORTED BY:

Tucson Fire Dept

ADDRESS OF PERSON REPORTING

PHONE

BUS. ADDR. OF VICTIM

BUS. PHONE OF VICTIM

PERSON ATTACKED - OCCUPATION - NUMBER INVOLVED, ETC.

PROPERTY ATTACKED - TYPE OF PREMISES - PROPERTY USED FOR (MAY BE A VEHICLE)

HOW ATTACKED - HOW COMMITTED - HOW ENTRANCE WAS GAINED

WEAPON OR INSTRUMENT USED - MEANS OF ATTACK

TRADE MARK

DESCRIPTION OF VEHICLE USED BY SUSPECT

DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY STOLEN - LOST - FOUND - DAMAGED - SERIAL NUMBERS

One study car, 1968, five doors - 1968

EST. VALUE

\$10,000.00

TOTAL

\$

DETAILS OF OFFENSE (STATE FULLY ALL OTHER CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS OFFENSE)

Investigation of the explosion at 512 1/2 North Ave. on an explosion at 512 1/2 North Ave. Upon arrival at this address the police contacted [redacted] who stated that a car was stolen at this time, but an explosion had occurred at this location. The car was destroyed to the extent the residence was totally out. The explosion occurred in the living room. etc...

PERSONS ARRESTED OR CITED - NAME AND ADDRESS

SEX-ORIGIN

AGE

CHARGE

BOOKING

ARRESTED BY:

SER. NO.

1. SUSPECT OR PERSON WANTED - NAME - ADDRESS - ALIAS

SEX-ORIGIN

AGE

HEIGHT

WEIGHT

EYES

HAIR

COMPLEX

SCARS AND ANY DISTINGUISHING MARKS

2. SUSPECT OR PERSON WANTED - NAME - ADDRESS - ALIAS

SEX-ORIGIN

AGE

HEIGHT

WEIGHT

EYES

HAIR

COMPLEX

SCARS AND ANY DISTINGUISHING MARKS

WITNESSES:

NAME \_\_\_\_\_ AGE \_\_\_\_\_ ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_

NAME \_\_\_\_\_ AGE \_\_\_\_\_ ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_

NAME \_\_\_\_\_ AGE \_\_\_\_\_ ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_

DISPATCH TIME

ARRIVED AT SCENE

CLEARED SCENE

INVESTIGATING OFFICER

SERIAL NO.

FORCE

DATE

1214-9-REVISED-65

THIS REPORT IS CONFIDENTIAL. FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

COUNCIL - DIMENSION COPY

PX 166-293

[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT  
SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT

DATE OF ORIGINAL REPORT: 17 September 1968 REPORT NO. 465050

Type of Crime of Incident	Location	Grid
Other Offenses: Other Major	512 $\frac{1}{2}$ North Iroquois	141

Victim's Name	Address	Res. Phone
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	None

b6  
b7C

DETAILS OF SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Dispatched to [REDACTED] as a fire follow up on an explosion. Upon arrival contacted the Btln. Fire Chief, GARY, who stated that an explosion had occurred at this residence and that he had called for [REDACTED] to investigate. [REDACTED] arrived at the scene along with [REDACTED] and myself. The fire units cleared except for [REDACTED] and the [REDACTED] myself and [REDACTED] stayed at the scene.

b6  
b7C

The explosion occurred at [REDACTED] which is L-shaped, the front door facing the southwest direction. [REDACTED] states that apparently someone threw and explosive through the front window into the living room area, causing damage to same. We proceeded into the building. At the front door facing the west, [REDACTED] found a piece of a burnt fuse right in the doorway entrance. Proceeded into the building. The walls on the west and east side of the living room were slumped out towards the outside. The roof was raised. Also the north and south walls of the living room were exploded out, knocking panel into the kitchen and bedroom areas.

b6  
b7C

88

PX 166-293

[redacted]

b6  
b7C

The owner of the residence was not home at the time the explosion occurred. The explosion PI was approximately 5' from the west wall of the living room and 4' from the north wall dividing the living room and kitchen area. Apparently the explosive went off right close to the sofa, which was completely totalled out. There was a small, approximately 6", hole on the living room cement floor an inch deep.

[redacted] and myself found another piece of burnt fuse near the PI. ID was called out. [redacted] arrived at the scene and took approximately twelve pictures of the residence. The front door was exploded out towards the front of the residence approximately 30', completely demolished. On the lawn near the front door another piece of burnt fuse was found along with a burnt book of matches. I asked [redacted] if he wanted a diagram of the scene. At this time he advised me that this was not necessary at the time. [redacted] advised the Btln. Chief to clear the fire units since the subject might come home and come unwound at the firemen.

b6  
b7C

I talked to [redacted] who lives in front of this residence at [redacted]. She stated at first when I talked to her that she had been up all day and was sitting in the living room when she heard an explosion. She refused to give us any further information. She was asked if she knew if [redacted] had worked today, what time he left, where he had gone, and she stated that she did not know any information that she could help us with. She then closed the door and we went back to the rear of her residence where [redacted] residence is located.

PX 166-293

b6  
b7C

[redacted] and myself checked the back of the residence. All the windows were secured except the glass panes in the window were blown out. There is no entrance to the rear of the residence.

b6  
b7C

[redacted] advised us before we cleared the scene to talk to [redacted] [redacted]'s mother to see if she could give us any more information. Det. Penning, and myself went to the front door to talk to [redacted] who then checked her story and stated that at the time of the explosion she was in bed and that the explosion woke her up.

At this time [redacted] drove up to the residence of his mother's, into the back, parking his vehicle in the back of his mother's home, in front of his residence. He opened the car door and he stated, "What the hell are you doing here." I then advised him that his house had been blown up. He then stated to his wife, "Get the hell out to St. Mary's and Inoué's and call [redacted] up." He then grabbed the flashlight from my hand, proceeded into his residence and stood at the front door shaking his head. [redacted] asked him at this time if he could give us any information on the explosion.

The subject at this time turned to his wife and stated, "So they want to play games." He then proceeded back to his residence and drove to the front, yelling to the corner of St. Mary's and Inoué's, asking if [redacted] had gotten ahead of [redacted] and again he stated, "Get ahead of [redacted] and tell him to get the hell out to St. Mary's." The subject and other personnel were warned and ordered to disperse.

As stated before, no diagram was made on arrival of [redacted]

NFI...

Time: 2020  
Date: 10/17  
Off: 2220

[redacted]  
17 September 68 - 2359 to 0005 hours  
Force III, Uniform Division

audio [redacted]  
0230 hours  
17 Sept. 68

PX 166-293.

[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT  
SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT

DATE OF ORIGINAL REPORT: 17 September 68 REPORT NO. 465050

Type of Crime or Incident	Location	Grid
Other Offenses: Other Major	512 $\frac{1}{2}$ N. Iroquois	141

Victim's Name	Address
[REDACTED]	

[REDACTED]

DETAILS OF SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

I arrived at the scene at approximately 2100 hours. Checked the interior of the house thoroughly and was unable to find anything of interest to the investigation

I waited at the scene for the owner of the home to arrive until 2150 hours and at that time left with [REDACTED] whereabouts were unknown.

b6  
b7C




A few minutes after leaving the scene, [REDACTED] advised that [REDACTED] had returned. [REDACTED] and I immediately returned. As I approached the complainant he stated "If they want to play rough, I will play rough." I asked him who they were, to which he made no reply. I then asked him if BATTIS was responsible. He replied, "Why in the hell don't you ask him". [REDACTED] then turned and walked away refusing to answer any questions. It was very apparent that [REDACTED] would not cooperate with the police in any way.



Officer Reporting [REDACTED] S.I.U. Ser. No. [REDACTED]


Date 17 Sept. 68 Time 2355 Division S.I.U.

2 pages

91







PX 166-293  
[redacted]

VIII. BOMBING OF RESIDENCE OF EVO DE CONCINI, 2806 VIA  
ROTUNDA, TUCSON, ARIZONA, ON EVENING OF SEPTEMBER 18,  
1968

On September 18, 1968, [redacted]  
Supra, advised that on the evening of this same date a  
dynamite charge was denoted in the backyard of former  
Arizona Supreme Court Judge EVO DE CONCINI, which occurred  
at approximately 7:20 p.m., causing minimal damage to the  
oleander bushes situated near the back wall. According to  
[redacted] the dynamite charge was small, estimated to  
be about one or two sticks, and no injuries resulted.  
[redacted] averred that no suspects had been developed  
and their investigation was continuing.

On September 20, 1968, [redacted] advised  
that subsequent investigation by their department concerning  
the DE CONCINI bombing disclosed that the gardner provided  
the following information:

Two hours prior to the actual bombing at the  
DE CONCINI home an automobile was observed driving through  
the dirt driveway on the north side making one pass and  
then another. On the second pass the individual on the  
driver's side said hello and the vehicle then proceeded  
to leave the area. According to [redacted] upon  
interview with the gardner, he positively identified the  
individual next to the driver's side as SALVATORE  
VINCENT BONANNO and driver of the automobile possibly as  
[redacted]

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 10/4/681

EVO DE CONCINI, former Arizona Supreme Court Justice, Valley National Bank Building, Tucson, Arizona, said that he was home with his wife the night of the explosion at the edge of their residential property at 2806 E. Via Rotunda. He said their maid was also there at the time. Judge DE CONCINI said he is aware of the results of the police investigation of the incident and that his gardener had picked the photograph of BILL BONANNO as a passenger in a car observed traveling through the alley prior to the explosion, also that from the license number obtained by the gardener the police determined it was a rental unit which had been rented by one [redacted] Judge DE CONCINI said he knows of no reason that BILL BONANNO would want to do such a thing to the DE CONCINI family. Judge DE CONCINI continued by saying that from many years ago he has been a friend of JOSEPH BONANNO and that a few months ago JOSEPH BONANNO visited him in his office and he, Judge DE CONCINI, has visited in the JOSEPH BONANNO home. He said that he has not been contacted by any of the BONANNO family since this bombing.

b6  
b7C

Judge DE CONCINI advised neither he, his wife, or their maid observed anything unusual prior to or subsequent to the explosion which caused them any alarm. He said that from his conversation with the next door neighbor, [redacted] after the explosion he thought the person who placed the explosive must have walked into the alley because about that time, [redacted] said her pet duck was quacking as if alarmed but [redacted] did not hear any car traveling through the alley at that time. Judge DE CONCINI placed the time of the explosion at about 7:15 p.m. and he said there was no resulting damage. The only outward sign of any results was some leaves blown off of the shrubbery at the spot where the explosion occurred.

b6  
b7C

On 10/2/68 at Tucson, Arizona File # DX 166-293

by SA [redacted] Date dictated 10/3/68

b6  
b7C

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

96

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

b6  
b7C

10/3/68

Date

1

Mrs. EVO DE CONCINI, 2806 Via Rotunda, Tucson, Arizona, advised that both she and her husband were having dinner on the evening of September 18, 1968, when a dynamite blast occurred in the back yard of their residence. According to Mrs. DE CONCINI, her first thought was that one of her neighbors who had contact with fireworks in the past had accidentally set off some sort of fireworks. Mrs. DE CONCINI advised that after they had done some checking around the house they were able to determine that the blast had taken place outside in the yard and that little or no damage had resulted.

Mrs. DE CONCINI stated that in reviewing the incident she had failed to note anything of significance before or after the bombing which might be of assistance in identifying the person or persons responsible. She recalled that their gardener, [redacted] noted two men in an automobile in the driveway to the north of their house about two hours before the bombing took place and these men may or may not have had something to do with this incident. Mrs. DE CONCINI stated that this information has been given to the local police department who have interviewed [redacted]

On 10/2/68 at Tucson, Arizona File # PX 166-293

by SA [redacted] Date dictated 10/2/68

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

97

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 10/14/68

[redacted] was interviewed and he advised that he has been employed as a gardener at the DE CONCINI residence for approximately one and one-half years.

[redacted] indicated that he is at the DE CONCINI residence approximately one to three times a week and that on the day of the DE CONCINI bombing he stated that he was at the residence until approximately 5:00 p.m. On that particular day at approximately 9:10 to 9:15 a.m. he came out of the barbecue area and into an adjacent area next to the alley running east and west behind the DE CONCINI residence when he saw a late model vehicle, light in color, going west in the alley. The vehicle had two male occupants and the passenger appeared to be looking out of the window and into the back yard of the DE CONCINI residence.

At this point, [redacted] indicated that he did not think this to be particularly strange; however, approximately 15 minutes later while he was hauling trash from the DE CONCINI back yard out to a position next to the alley, he again saw this vehicle going down the alley, this time west to east. The vehicle had the same two occupants and again the passenger appeared to be definitely interested in the DE CONCINI residence.

On each occasion, [redacted] advised that the vehicle did not stop, but rather went slowly down the alley. On the second occasion, [redacted] stated that he obtained the license number of the vehicle and he subsequently gave it to Mr. DE CONCINI.

To the best of [redacted] recollection, he described the occupants as follows:

## Driver

Sex	Male
Race	Caucasian
Height	Approximately 6'
Weight	Approximately 170-175

On 10/8/68 at Tucson, Arizona File # PX 166-293

by SAs [redacted] Date dictated 10/14/68

PX 166-293

[redacted]

Age	Middle 40's
Build	Slim to medium
Wearing Apparel	Stripped shirt
Hair	Dark

b6  
b7C

Passenger

Sex	Male
Race	Caucasian
Height	6'
Weight	190 pounds
Build	Stocky
Hair	Dark
Complexion	Dark
Age	Middle 30's
Wearing Apparel	Dark suit with white shirt and tie

[redacted] advised that a day or so later Tucson police officials interviewed him regarding his observation concerning the vehicle and he was shown a series of photographs. [redacted] indicated that he picked out the photograph of BILL BONANNO, indicating to police officials that this strongly resembles the passenger in the vehicle; however, positive identification could not be made unless he were to actually see the individual again in person. [redacted] was not able to effect identification from photographs shown of the driver of the vehicle.

On that day of the DE CONCINI bombing, [redacted] indicated that he had departed the residence at approximately 5:00 p.m. and as such he was not present when the bombing took place.

PX 166-293  
[redacted]

The following investigation was conducted by SA  
[redacted] at Tucson:

On October 2, 1968, both [redacted]  
[redacted] respectively,  
were unable to provide any significant information concerning  
the bombing of the DE CONCINI residence on the evening of  
September 18, 1968.

On October 9, 1968, [redacted] contended  
that despite the fact that they were able to determine  
that "BILL" BONANNO was tentatively identified as being in  
the area of the DE CONCINI home two hours prior to the  
bombing, they have been unable to establish any evidence  
indicating interstate movement and/or involvement connected  
with this bombing. [redacted] recalled that the lack  
of interstate movement and/or involvement is predicated upon  
the fact that even though BONANNO was tentatively identified,  
they doubt seriously that he would participate in a bombing,  
rather he would give the assignment to some other individual  
in Tucson.

Set forth hereinafter are copies of police reports  
and photographs connected with the above bombing:

PX 166-293



b6  
b7C

TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT  
SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT

DATE OF ORIGINAL REPORT: 18 September 1968 REPORT NO. 465237

Type of Crime or Incident	Location	Grid
Other Offenses: Other Major	2806 Via Rotunda	15

Victim's Name	Address	Res. Phone
De Concini, Evo	2806 Via Rotunda	326-1808

DETAILS OF SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

At 2303 hours I received call #151 to go to 2828 East 9th Street. Upon my arrival I spoke with the occupant of this home, Mrs. LORRETTA SMITH, age 71, who stated she left her home approximately 1800 hours, returned approximately 2220 hours and noticed the rear window of her home, the S window in the kitchen, had two window panes completely shattered. She stated she had heard there had been a blast nearby her home and wondered if possibly this could have caused this. I, at that time, called [redacted] to the scene, the officer who had investigated the blast at the DE CONCINI residence, and we found that it was just approximately 100' south of Mrs. SMITH's kitchen window.

b6  
b7C

It is this officer's opinion and the opinion of [redacted] that the blast that took place at the DE CONCINI residence is the cause of the broken window in Mrs. SMITH's home. There was nothing there to substantiate the broken window was caused by anything other than this blast.

b6  
b7C

Mrs. SMITH stated that on her return home there was a blue pickup truck, year and model number unknown, in front of her driveway parked. She stated that she had to back up to get proper position to pull into her driveway. She stated that she noticed there was somebody sitting inside the pickup, however she did not recognize him. She stated when she had gotten home and noticed the window was broken,

101

PX 166-293  
[redacted]

b6  
b7C

she has called her neighbors who came to her home and then she called the Tucson Police Department.

She stated she does not have a better description of the pickup. She was in her home approximately five minutes when the pickup departed from her home.

Officer Reporting [redacted] Ser. No. [redacted]  
Date 19 Sept. 68 Time 0002 Division Uniform Force III

PX 166-293



b6  
b7C

TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT  
SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT

DATE OF ORIGINAL REPORT: 18 September 68 REPORT NO. 465237

Type of Crime or Incident	Location	Grid
Other Offenses: Other Major	2806 Via Rotunda	15

Victim's Name	Address	Res. Phone
De Concini, Evo	2806 Via Rotunda	326-1808

DETAILS OF SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

At approximately 2030 hours, was called from my home to go to 2806 Via Rotunda, reference a bombing. Upon arriving at the scene I met with [redacted] as we were on the detective call out this week. We checked the area of the bombing which was located in the Oleander Hedge, just north of the DE CONCINI residence, bordering the alley. The bomb had stripped the leaves off approximately two Oleander bushes and no evidence could be found at the scene, such as fuses or pieces of the explosion, or what caused the explosion. After we cleared, [redacted] and myself went to the DE CONCINI residence and interviewed DE CONCINI. He stated he had no idea who could have done this or why. He is still in law practice with his son, however, he stated they are not handling any criminal cases, at this time they are merely civil cases and could not give any further information as to who could have done it or why.

b6  
b7C

He thinks possibly it may have been a prank. Also, no one in the area heard anything prior to the blast. They all heard the blast and nobody heard anything after the blast, such as cars leaving this area, etc.

[redacted] and myself, also went out into the garage and checked the vehicles, along with Mr. DE CONCINI. We checked for other possible bombs in the cars. This checked out negative.

b6  
b7C

PX 166-293

[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C


Mr. DE CONCINI stated to [REDACTED] and myself, that he does know JOSEPH BONANNO, however, he has not seen him for a length of time. He would not indicate any further connection with the subject and we did not push him any further into talking about the incident with JOE BONANNO. NFI...

b6  
b7C

Officer Reporting [REDACTED] Ser. No. [REDACTED]

Date 18 September 68 Time 2230 Division Detective-Call Out

PX 166-293



b6  
b7C

TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT  
SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT

DATE OF ORIGINAL REPORT: 18 September 68 REPORT NO. 465237

Type of Crime or Incident	Location	Grid
Other Offenses: Major	2806 Via Rotunda	15

Victim's Name	Address	Res. Phone
De Concini, Evo	2806 Via Rotunda	326-1808

DETAILS OF SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Information supplied by [redacted] indicated that Mr. DE CONCINI had called the station and said that his gardener had information on a car that he had seen in the alley yesterday approximately 1600 hours and he had taken the license number of the car. He gave the license number as [redacted] [redacted] ran a 28 on the car and it came back registered to the American Auto Truck and Rental Company, 801 N. Stone, on a 1967 Chevrolet coupe. Checking the records, the index cards in the S.I.U., this particular car had been leased by [redacted] from the American Auto Rental Car on a 2 year lease. [redacted] driving this in July of 1968.

b6  
b7C

I went to the DE CONCINI home with [redacted] from Internal Revenue and we arrived there and were greeted by Mrs. DE CONCINI. She stated that her gardener is the type of individual who does not say much, he has been their gardener for quite some time. She called him and he introduced himself as [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] He related the following story to me:

He indicated he was trimming, working in the DE CONCINI yard in the back and this was at approximately 1600 hours on Wednesday, 18 September 1968. He noticed a

105

PX 166-293



b6  
b7C

car driving through the back alley. This is unusual as the alley is quite secluded, dog-leg shaped and very few cars use the alley. The only ones that he has ever seen in there are trucks, garbage trucks, etc. He noticed a car driving from east to west, that would be coming in from Stewart and going toward Treat. Didn't think too much about it other than he wondered what they were doing in the alley at that time of the day, and then about 15 or 20 minutes later he was up closer to the fence between the alley and the yard and the same car drove from west to east, that


PX 166-293

b6  
b7C

would be from Trent to Stewart Ave. At this time he noticed again that there were two white males in the car, and as they walked by, one of the white males, the one sitting in the passenger side, said something to him. He did not understand it and he. The man walked into the station and wrote down the license number of the car. [redacted] described it as being a newer car, in a light tan or off-white color; he described it as follows in his own words: the driver's side did not see too well, it was then he saw it to be a rather heavy set man and middle aged. The fellow then was on the passenger side and described as being given a full faced, he could say he was a heavy set man, and with a fairly full head of dark hair. Both of them were in their middle thirties.


b6  
b7C

I took a number of pictures with me. The following pictures were shown to [redacted] witnesses on line:

b6  
b7C

Salvador Espinoza

John Antonio, Jr.



is a female



is a female

b6  
b7C

107

Suppl-ment to 465237

Page three

He looked closely at all of the pictures and picked out the following pictures as being close to the people involved in the case:

Salvatore Spinelli

[REDACTED]

Bill Bonanno

[REDACTED]

I asked [REDACTED] which one of the four, after looking at them very closely, most resembled the person that spoke to him and that was sitting in the passenger's side of the vehicle. After looking at the four mentioned photographs, he picked out the picture of [REDACTED] as being the man that looked almost exactly like the man that he spoke to him and he had seen in the car. He was unable to identify the driver of the car as he could not tell the good of a look at the driver, he was sitting in the car and did not give me a positive ID. on the person driving the vehicle.

I then showed him a picture of [REDACTED] and he said, no, that was not one of the fellows in the car looked like them and. He could give me no further information. At this time [REDACTED] came up and she wanted to know if he had picked out [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] picture of Bonanno and she said [REDACTED] indicated yes. She was asking further, I said nothing further.

The suspect that was taken from the public judge [REDACTED] over to [REDACTED] allegation and he is [REDACTED] that it is [REDACTED] high [REDACTED] and the [REDACTED] in fact that was [REDACTED] this time [REDACTED] taken to [REDACTED] by [REDACTED] [REDACTED] with [REDACTED] [REDACTED] We're fairly certain that this is a [REDACTED] [REDACTED] that was placed in [REDACTED] with [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] from 10 to 15 sticks of high explosive which will be [REDACTED] only to demolish [REDACTED] but probably the [REDACTED] each [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C

Reported to 465237

Page four

There is an indication, both from a description of the gun and also from [redacted] examination of the gun, we have an experience in explosives, that the gun was [redacted] and then cut off in one place. This the cop after it had found its target through. [redacted] other words, what I am saying is, there is an indication that this was not a common type of thing and they never expected it to. [redacted] know better after this when we talk to the people at Apache [redacted] Company, reference the type of cop and whether it's a defective one or what really happened to the cop.

[redacted] were made via Lt. [redacted] to have proper available for surveillance procedure beginning on 19 September 1968. The time will be from 1800 hours [redacted] 0300 hours on this date and from then on it will be from 0300 hours until 0300. I look for this surveillance to go on for at least a week, depending on the circumstances. We hope to, by way of surveillance, to get some idea on what is going on with [redacted] individuals involved. [redacted] is in talking to [redacted] with Scarfor and [redacted] and [redacted] at on 19 September 1968 and [redacted] is to possibly be in a position to approach these fellows as they place their sights of families of various [redacted] around the community.

[redacted]  
19 September 1968  
1645 hours

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C

1009

## CASE REPORT

REPORT NO.

4652

b6

b7C

POLICE DEPARTMENT - TUCSON, ARIZONA

CONNECT-UP  
NO.

INCIDENT OR CRIME

OTHER OFFENSE

PX 166-293

TYPE

OTHER MAJOR

VICTIM'S LAST NAME (OR FIRM)  
DE CALOCHI, ETO

MIDDLE

SEX-ORIGIN

H W

AGE

67

RESIDENCE OF VICTIM

2806 Via Rotunda

VICTIM'S PHONE

323-1200

PLACE OF OCCURRENCE-STREET OR STREET AT OR NUMBER OR INTERSECTION  
2806 VIA ROTUNDA

GRID NO.

15

FORCE

3

TIME

2331

DAY  
Wed.DATE OF OCCURRENCE  
18 Sept. 68TIME OF DAY  
1910DATE REPORT REC'D.  
18 Sept. 68TIME REPORTED  
1914

REPORT TAKEN BY:

HOW REPORTED

Phone

PERSONS INVOLVED  
His wife

ADDRESS OF PERSON REPORTING

PHONE

BUS. ADD. OF VICTIM

BUS. PHONE OF VICTIM

PERSON ATTACKED - OCCUPATION - NUMBER INVOLVED, ETC.

PROPERTY ATTACKED - TYPE OF PREMISES - PROPERTY USED FOR (MAY BE A VEHICLE)

HOW ATTACKED - HOW COMMITTED - HOW ENTRANCE WAS GAINED

WEAPON OR INSTRUMENT USED - MEANS OF ATTACK

TRADE MARK

DESCRIPTION OF VEHICLE USED BY SUSPECT

DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY STOLEN - LOST - FOUND - DAMAGED SERIAL NUMBERS

EST. VALUE

TOTAL

5

DETAILS OF OFFENSE (STATE FULLY ALL OTHER CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS OFFENSE)

Received call at 1800 references a blast in the area. Upon arrival I contacted the complainant who stated that approximately five minutes before my arrival (five to ten minutes) he had heard a blast coming in the area of her house. She stated she did not know exactly where. For approximately 10 to 20 minutes, [redacted]

b6

[redacted] circled the area looking for it. After searching for about 20 minutes, he received a call from [redacted] on the desk stating to check with a man at 2806 Via Rotunda, that he might have information. I went over there and contacted the complainant, Mr. DE CALOCHI, who stated that approximately 45 minutes after the blast he, while searching the outside part of his house, noticed some cedar hedges blown apart. He then called the desk and I was subsequently dispatched. Went over and looked at the cedar hedges and it appeared that they had been blasted from a level of approximately 3' off the ground. It appeared that something larger than a cherry bomb and less than a full stick of dynamite had been thrown into the cedar and had gone off before it hit the ground. The hedge was shredded. There were leaves laying out in

b7C

PERSONS ARRESTED OR CITED - NAME AND ADDRESS

SEX-ORIGIN

AGE

CHARGE

BOOKING

ARRESTED BY:

SER. NO.

1. SUSPECT OR PERSON WANTED - NAME - ADDRESS - ALIAS

SEX-ORIGIN

AGE

HEIGHT

WEIGHT

EYES

HAIR

COMPLEX

DRESS AND ANY DISTINGUISHING MARKS

2. SUSPECT OR PERSON WANTED - NAME - ADDRESS - ALIAS

SEX-ORIGIN

AGE

HEIGHT

WEIGHT

EYES

HAIR

COMPLEX

DRESS AND ANY DISTINGUISHING MARKS

WITNESSES:

NAME \_\_\_\_\_ AGE \_\_\_\_\_ ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_ PHONE \_\_\_\_\_

NAME \_\_\_\_\_ AGE \_\_\_\_\_ ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_ PHONE \_\_\_\_\_

NAME \_\_\_\_\_ AGE \_\_\_\_\_ ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_ PHONE \_\_\_\_\_

DISPATCH TIME \_\_\_\_\_ ARRIVED AT SCENE \_\_\_\_\_ CLEARED SCENE \_\_\_\_\_

INVESTIGATING OFFICER \_\_\_\_\_ SERIAL NO. \_\_\_\_\_ FORCE \_\_\_\_\_ DATE 18 Sept. 68 2121 b6

b7C

Case #465287

Page Two

about a 15' radius from the center of the blast. A couple of the stocks were shredded and broken. They were clipped off by myself and brought in and will be placed in Property.

The blast, according to complainants in the area, rattled windows three to four blocks away. Also, it rattled a screen on the inside of Mr. DE CONCINI's house loose and it fell onto the floor, knocking over a flower vase.

Among the residue of materials at the blast scene there is a very faint smell of explosive.


Mr. DE CONCINI has no idea who might have done this. He seems to think it is a practical joke. The blast itself caused no damage to Mr. DE CONCINI's property, other than the oleander hedge.

ID was dispatched and took approximately six pictures of the hedge and area around it.


No further information.

audio   
2345 hours

b6  
b7C







PX 166-293  
[REDACTED]

IX. ATTEMPTED BOMBING OF TEMPORARY RESIDENCE OF [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
ARIZONA, ON EVENING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 1968

On September 19, 1968, [REDACTED]  
Supra, advised that on the morning of this same date at approximately 3:00 a.m., a fused bleach bottle of dynamite was discovered at the temporary residence of [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] According to [REDACTED]  
the fused bleach bottle was full of dynamite and failed to go off, having burned up to the dynamite cap before fizzling out [REDACTED] maintained that [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] had located the bleach bottle when she had returned to their temporary residence to obtain materials and noted a funny smell and noted the dynamite in the fire place, at which time she immediately called their department

[REDACTED] went on to relate that they had no suspects and that their investigation was continuing. Moreover, the contents of the bleach bottle have been forwarded to the Apache Powder Company in Benson, Arizona, for analysis and would be maintained by demolition technicians at Davis-Monthan Air Force Base, Tucson, after the completion of the examination.

b6  
b7C

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 10/3/68

Tucson, Arizona, [redacted] advised that [redacted] and his family were utilizing this address as a temporary residence when an attempted bombing took place on September 19, 1968. [redacted] stated that when the attempt was made no one was at home. She contended that [redacted] came home and was the one who discovered the bomb which was in a plastic chlorine bottle which had burned up the dynamite cap but had failed to explode.

[redacted] related that she failed to recall anything of significance which might be of assistance in identifying the person and/or persons responsible for the attempted bombing.

On 10/1/88 at Tucson, Arizona File # EX 166-293

by SA [redacted] Date dictated 10/2/68

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

116


PX 166-293

b6  
b7C

The following investigation was conducted by SA [redacted] at Tucson:

On October 1, 1968, the following neighbors were contacted regarding the attempted bombing at the temporary residence of [redacted]

[redacted] and could provide no information of significance concerning this matter:



By Railway Express, samples of dynamite obtained from the attempted bombing of the temporary residence of [redacted] were forwarded to the FBI Laboratory on October 9, 1968, for analysis purposes.

On October 9, 1968, [redacted] averred that subsequent to the attempted bombing of the temporary residence of [redacted] their department had failed to obtain any additional evidence to positively identify who might have been involved in this attempt. Additionally, despite the fact that the Apache Powder Company at Benson had made an analysis of the dynamite obtained during this attempt indicating that the powder had not been made by their company, their department had failed to receive any data which might indicate interstate movement and/or involvement connected with this matter.

Set forth herein after are copies of police reports and photographs, in addition to a laboratory report by the Apache Powder Company concerning this matter

## CASE REPORT

REPORT NO. 465400

b6  
b7C

POLICE DEPARTMENT - TUCSON, ARIZONA

TALLIED 565100  
CONFIDENTIAL  
NO. 1

INCIDENT OR CRIME

TYPE

OTHER OFFENSES

OTHER MAJOR

VMB

VICTIM'S NAME - LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE		SEX-ORIGIN	AGE	RESIDENCE OF VICTIM		VICTIM'S PHONE	
		F W	30			NONE	
PLACE OF OCCURRENCE-STREET OR STREET AT OR NUMBER OR INTERSECTION				GRID NO.	FORCE	REPORT TYPED BY	TIME
				141	1	MIMS	0906
DAY	DATE OF OCCURRENCE	TIME OF DAY	DATE REPORT REC'D.	TIME REPORTED	REPORT TAKEN BY:		HOW REPORTED
THURS.	19 SEPT. 68	0300	19 Sept. 68	0230			PHONE
REPORTED BY:		ADDRESS OF PERSON REPORTING		PHONE	BUS. ADD. OF VICTIM		BUS. PHONE OF VICTIM
PERSON ATTACKED - OCCUPATION - NUMBER INVOLVED, ETC.							

PROPERTY ATTACKED - TYPE OF PREMISES - PROPERTY USED FOR (MAY BE A VEHICLE)

ONE-STORY ADOBE DWELLING

HOW ATTACKED - HOW COMMITTED - HOW ENTRANCE WAS GAINED

BOMB

WEAPON OR INSTRUMENT USED - MEANS OF ATTACK

BOMB

TRADE MARK

NONE

DESCRIPTION OF VEHICLE USED BY SUSPECT

DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY STOLEN - LOST - FOUND - DAMAGED SERIAL NUMBERS

EST. VALUE

TOTAL

\$

DETAILS OF OFFENSE (STATE FULLY ALL OTHER CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS OFFENSE)

While riding with [redacted] received a telephone call at approximately 0245 from [redacted] who advised [redacted] that [redacted] had a Clorox bottle filled with a funny smelling liquid. UPON OUR arrival at the [redacted] residence [redacted] and myself went to the Ramada Inn, room 132 and tried to talk with [redacted] all sn stated then was that she did call us and that there was a Clorox bottle in the fire place of home that had a funny smell. [redacted] and I then went over to [redacted] and entered the residence. We immediately observed a very pungent smell coming from the room. Upon further investigation we found a Clorox bottle sitting in the fire place, coming from the Clorox bottle was, what appeared to be, a fuse. Laying next to the Clorox bottle was a burnt match and near the end of the fuse was a burnt match. On the concrete of the fire place there was a burned spot which indicated that the fuse had been lit. Three photographs were taken of this scene and lieutenant was called, also E.O.D. from Davis Monthan Air Force base was called. The bottle was dusted for finger prints but due to the coarse material the bottle was made out of

PERSONS ARRESTED OR CITED - NAME AND ADDRESS

SEX - ORIGIN

AGE

CHARGE

BOOKING

ARRESTED BY:

SER. NO.

1. SUSPECT OR PERSON WANTED - NAME - ADDRESS - ALIAS

SEX - ORIGIN

AGE

HEIGHT

WEIGHT

EYES

HAIR

COMPLEX

DRESS AND ANY DISTINGUISHING MARKS

2. SUSPECT OR PERSON WANTED - NAME - ADDRESS - ALIAS

SEX - ORIGIN

AGE

HEIGHT

WEIGHT

EYES

HAIR

COMPLEX

DRESS AND ANY DISTINGUISHING MARKS

WITNESSES:

NAME	AGE	ADDRESS	PHONE
NAME	AGE	ADDRESS	PHONE
NAME	AGE	ADDRESS	PHONE

DISPATCH TIME	ARRIVED AT SCENE	CLEARED SCENE	TIME
0300	0305	0630/Arrival 0953	0700

INVESTIGATING OFFICER	SERIAL NO.	FORCE	DATE	TIME
		1	19 Sept. 68	0700

none were obtained. The bottle was approximately a half-gallon container, white plastic with a standard Clorox band around it. In the bottle was an unknown material which filled the bottle to its tapering point which is over half full. When the bottle was removed from the fire place, I looked into the bottle with a flashlight and could see an explosive detonator inside the bottle at the end of the fuse. At approximately this time [redacted] b6  
[redacted] of Davis Monthan Air Force Base, EOD, and [redacted] Davis Monthan Air Force, b7C  
EOD, arrived. The sergeants immediately removed the fuse from the bottle and it did have a blasting cap on the end of it, printed on the blasting cap was Dangerous Explosive Blasting Cap. Also they then cut the fuse to determine whether or not it had burnt to the blasting cap. [redacted]  
[redacted] stated that the fuse had burnt all the way to the blasting cap. He then stated that the fuse was a standard safety fuse. Then [redacted] cut the top of the bottle to see the material in side better, when they cut the top of the bottle, they advised me that the material was in fact dynamite. It had the smell of dynamite and the appearance of dynamite. The material inside was ground up into small chunks so as to fit through the top of the neck of the bottle.

I released the explosive material to [redacted] to retain it at the Air Force Base along b6  
with the container that it was in. The blasting cap and a small amount of explosive material b7C  
were retained and sent to criminal lab. the fuse and matches were taken to property and the photographs turned in to I.D. [redacted] stated that there was approximately three pounds of explosives in the bottle.

It might be noted that [redacted] was very reluctant and not very cooperative in giving us b6  
information. She stated that she had been at the Ramada Inn and that she went back to the b7C  
house to pick up some materials when she noticed the pungent smell and called the department. She also stated she noticed the Clorox bottle in the fire place. NFI

0958 Audio 19 Sept.63 [redacted]

**TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT**  
**Records and Identification Property Control**

Report No. 485-400  
 Connect-up  
 Numbers \_\_\_\_\_

CHECK ONE: ☒ FOUND EVIDENCE    ☐ SAFEKEEPING    ☐ FOUND PROPERTY

Crime  
 Class. Other Major

**FOUND EVIDENCE**

Name of Owner _____	Res. Address _____	Res. Phone _____	b6
	Bus. Address _____	Bus. Phone _____	b7C
Name of Victim _____	Res. Address _____	Res. Phone _____	
	Bus. Address _____	Bus. Phone _____	
Name of Finder _____	Res. Address <u>TPD,</u>	Res. Phone _____	
	Bus. Address _____	Bus. Phone _____	
Name of Arrestee _____	Booking No. _____	Charge _____	
Name of Arrestee _____	Booking No. _____	Charge _____	

**SAFEKEEPING**

Name of Depositor _____	Res. Address _____	Res. Phone _____
Name of Owner _____	Res. Address _____	Res. Phone _____
When to be Released _____	To Whom _____	

**FOUND PROPERTY (Not of Evidential value) (Hold for Owner)**

Name of Finder _____	Res. Address _____	Res. Phone _____
Name of Owner _____	Res. Address _____	Res. Phone _____

Date and Time Property Obtained <u>17 Sept 68 0200</u>	Location of Occurrence _____	b6
Report Written by _____	Emp. No. _____	b7C
Received by (Prop. Officer) _____	Date _____	Time _____

ITEM NO.	QUANTITY	Itemize, describe, list all serial numbers of each listing only one article on a line. Space between articles.	SERIAL NO.
<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>Photos,</u>	
		<u>file w/ case</u>	
		<u>mail 10</u>	

WHITE COPY  
 CANARY COPY  
 PINK COPY  
 GOLDENROD COPY

PROPERTY ENVELOPE  
 PROPERTY ENVELOPE  
 FILE CASE  
 DETECTIVE DIVISION

121

~~This Report Confidential - FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

**TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT  
SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT**

DATE OF ORIGINAL REPORT:

19 Sept. 68

REPORT NO. 465400

Type of Crime or Incident

Location

Grid

141

b6

b7C

OTHER OFFENSES/OTHER MAJOR

Victim's Name (last, first, middle)

Res. Phone

NONE

Bus. Phone

Persons Arrested, Cited, or Summoned

Address

Offense

Booking No.

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

REPORT IS:

UNFOUNDED

RECLASSIFIED

PENDING

CLOSED

REPORT CLEARED BY:

ARREST

SUMMONS

CITATION

EXCEPTIONAL

STOLEN	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$	BICYCLES \$	MISCELL. \$
RECOVERED	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$	BICYCLES \$	MISCELL. \$

b6

b7C

we

**DETAILS OF SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

When [redacted] and myself arrived at the RAMADA INN, prior to going to [redacted] we first contacted [redacted] who acted in a very surprised manner, and stated that she did not call us. Then [redacted] came to the adjoining suite door, and stated, "I called you." "What are you doing here?" "Have you been over to IROQUOIS yet?" We stated we had not. She said, "Why don't you go over there?" "What are you doing here?" She stated, "There is a chlorox bottle with a funny smelling liquid in it." Then she said, "That is all I am going to tell you." "I'm not going to talk about it anymore." And she shut the door in our faces. [redacted] and myself went over to N. IROQUOIS, where we found the chlorox bottle and proceeded with what investigation we could conduct over there.

b6

b7C

Then we came back to RAMADA INN to try to contact [redacted] again. I knocked on the door several times before I got an answer, then [redacted] or a voice from inside, whispered, "Who is it?" I advised her it was the Tucson Police Department, that I needed further information. She said, "I given you all the information I'm going to give you." I told her that she had not given me the information. She stated, "Well I gave it to them downtown." I asked her to please cooperate, as that there were a few questions I wanted to ask her. I asked her where the plastic flowers were located, and the voice inside could not place the plastic flowers. She knew nothing of them. I then asked her if she was in bed at the time, she noticed the funny smell. She stated that she had been to the RAMADA INN and that she had come home, when she opened the door, then is when she noticed the pungent smell. She stated that upon further investigation, she found the bottle. I asked [redacted] what her first name was, she stated, [redacted] I asked her again what her first name was, she replied, [redacted] I then asked her what her age was, there was silence then she said, in a whispering voice, "30." I could hear her crying, or the voice was the sound of sniffles and small whines, as though a person were crying.

b6

b7C

When I first tried to talk to [redacted] through the door, I asked her to come outside to talk to me, she stated that she could not, she told me to go away, she could not talk to me today, that she would talk to me tomorrow or later in the morning. She told me to leave numerous times, before I got what little information, I did, out of her. She said that there were small children inside and that I was waking them up. NFI...

Audio/20 Sept. 68/0623 [redacted]

120

b6

b7C

Officer Reporting [redacted]

Ser. No. [redacted]

Date

20 Sept. 68

Time

0103

Force

1

Division

UNIFORM

PROPERTIES (CONTINUED)

	S A M P L E		A P A C H E	G R A D E
SIZE	1-1/4 x 8			1-1/4 x 8
FUMES				
TOTAL GAS - L/LB				
OXYGEN:	%			
	L/LB			
	L/CTG			
CARBON MONOXIDE:	%			
	L/LB			
	L/CTG			
HYDROGEN SULFIDE:	%			
	L/LB			
	L/CTG			
NITROGEN OXIDES:	%			
	L/LB			
	L/CTG			
TOTAL POISON GASES:	L/LB			
	L/CTG			
	CU. FT. / CTG			
FUME CLASS				

REMARKS: Case # 465400 - #1 - [REDACTED] - 1 blasting cap - [REDACTED]

12:20 PM, September 28, 1968. Reported the results of this analysis to [REDACTED]

- [REDACTED] of Tucson Police Department by phone. Advised him as follows:
1. the powder was a common standard dynamite; namely, 40% Special Gelatin
  2. it was not made by Apache because of (a) the presence of bagasse; (b) the 0.7% chalk rather than 1.0%
  3. Inasmuch as we sell most all explosives in Arizona, and especially in the Tucson area, it appears this powder was imported from elsewhere.
- [REDACTED]

POINTS OF SUPERIORITY OF SAMPLE AND SUGGESTIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF OUR EQUIVALENT GRADES:


Lab Technician


CHEMIST [REDACTED]

CHECKED BY [REDACTED]

DATE REPORTED

9/27/68





PX 166-293



b6  
b7C

GENERAL DATA

b6  
b7C

1  
PX 166-293  
[redacted]

The following investigation was conducted by  
SA [redacted] at Tucson, Arizona:

On October 1, 1968, [redacted] State Bureau of Mines, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona, made available a list of all active mines in the State of Arizona as of April, 1968, which includes those active in Pima County. The active mines in Pima County are listed as follows:

b6  
b7C

2  
PX 166-293  
[redacted]

<u>MINE</u>	<u>APPROX. EMPLOYEES</u>	<u>ORE</u>	<u>OPERATOR AND ADDRESS</u>
Anaconda	1181	Copper	[redacted] New Mines Anaconda Co., P.O. Box 127, Sahuarita
Andrada Marble	5	Marble	[redacted] 4541 E. 13th Street, Tucson
Argenta	2	Silver	[redacted] Tucson
Arizona Portland Cement Quarry & Plant	150	Cement - Limestone	[redacted] [redacted] Arizona Portland Cement Co., Rillito
Chief Pit	3	Silica	[redacted]
CWT	4	Zinc- Copper	[redacted] Continental Materials Corp. Box 11216, Emery Park Station, Tucson
Esperanza & Mill	423	Copper	[redacted] Duval Corp., P. O. Box 38 Sahuarita
Gunsight	Expl		[redacted] C.F. & I. Corp., 7503 E. Osborn, Scottsdale
Indiana - Arizona	4	Lead-zinc - Silver	[redacted] Western Minerals Corp. P.O. Box 161, Marana
Long Shot	3	Silver-lead gold	[redacted]

127

b6  
b7C

3  
PX 166-293  
[REDACTED]

<u>MINE</u>	<u>APPROX. EMPLOYEES</u>	<u>ORE</u>	<u>OPERATOR AND ADDRESS</u>
Mineral Hill	15	Copper	[REDACTED] Banner Mng. Co., P. O. Box 5605, Tucson
Mission Unit & San Xavier Unit	575	Copper	[REDACTED] A.S. & R. Co., P. O. Box 111, Sahuarita
New Cornelia Mill & Smelter	1300	Copper	[REDACTED] New Cornelia Branch, Phelps Dodge Corp., Ajo
Pima & Mill	714	Copper	[REDACTED] Pima Mining, P. O. Box 7187, Tucson
Sierrita	200	Copper- molybdenum	[REDACTED] Duval Corp., P. O. Box 125, Sahuarita
Silver Bell & Mill	320	Copper	[REDACTED] A.S. & R. Co., Silver Bell
Silver Ray	2	Silver	[REDACTED]

4  
PX 166-293  
[redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] advised all of the above-listed mines maintain high explosives. He further advised there are numerous individual prospectors who have explosives in their possession. He said anyone can purchase explosives in the State of Arizona without a permit. He advised in the Tucson area he believes Ronstadt's Hardware is the only one handling explosives for sale.

On October 1, 1968, [redacted] Ronstadt's Hardware, Tucson, Arizona, advised his company has never handled explosives. He said the Holderness Company, 3502 East 34th Street, Tucson, might handle explosives.

On October 1, 1968, [redacted] Ed Holderness Company, Tucson, Arizona, advised his company does not handle any explosives. He said the Apache Power Company in Benson, Arizona, is the only manufacturer of explosives in Arizona.

The following investigation was conducted by  
SA [redacted]

AT BENSON, ARIZONA

On October 1, 1968, [redacted] of Research and Development, Apache Power Company, advised his company is the only company in the State of Arizona that manufactures explosives. He said in addition to his company the following companies in the United States also manufacture explosives:

American Cyanamid Company  
Wayne, New Jersey

Atlas Chemical Industries, Inc.  
Wilmington, Delaware

Austin Powder Company  
Cleveland, Ohio

E. I. Du Pont De Nemours and Company, Inc.  
Wilmington, Delaware

PX 166-293  
[redacted]

Hercules, Inc.  
Wilmington, Delaware

National Powder Company  
Eltred, Pennsylvania

Trojan Powder Company  
Allentown, Pennsylvania

b6  
b7C

[redacted] advised his company also sells explosives to private individuals. He said [redacted] is the only representative of his company selling explosives in Pima County:

AT TUCSON, ARIZONA

On October 1, 1968, [redacted] advised he is the only recognized explosive representative in Pima County. He said he only handles Apache Powder Company explosives. He added, however, he has been informed there are other individuals in the Tucson area selling explosives at a rate which indicates to him that the explosives are stolen. He said explosives are easily obtained and that anyone working in any of the mines can pick up quantities of explosives without any problem. He said the mines do not keep a close inventory on the explosives handled and, therefore, large quantities are stolen by the employees. He said he has not heard of anyone through his contacts who may have been responsible for the recent explosions in the Tucson area.

On October 8, 1968, the following active mine operations in the Pima County area were contacted to determine whether or not thefts of dynamite had occurred in the recent past. Contacts made by SA [redacted]

Arizona Portland Cement Company  
Tucson

Continental Materials Corporation  
Tucson

44-3886-293  
[redacted]

Fuval Corporation  
Sahuarita, Arizona

Monsanto Chemical Company  
Tucson

Pima Mining Company  
Tucson

b6  
b7C

With the exception of the Pima Mining Company, there was no reported theft of any dynamite. In each contact; however, it was noted that dynamite in Arizona is easily obtainable and that there are any number of individual prospectors and farmers who have dynamite in their possession. It was also generally agreed miners are known to slip dynamite sticks in their pockets without any loss being detected by the mine operators. Photographs of "BILL" BONANNO were not recognized by any operators.

In connection with the Pima Mining Company, Tucson, [redacted] advised there have been at least three reported thefts of dynamite from his company. He said these were thefts reported to the Pima County Sheriff's Office.

On October 8, 1966 [redacted] Pima County SO, made available the attached theft report from the Pima Mining Company. [redacted] advised dynamite is readily available to anyone in Arizona and that the people who have worked in the mines have obtained supplies of dynamite from the mines which they use individually for prospecting and agriculture.

OFFENSE REPORT  
SHERIFF'S OFFICE — PIMA COUNTY, ARIZONA

REPORT NO. 42289

CONNECT-UP NOS. b6  
b7C

INCIDENT / CRIME FOUND PROPERTY TYPE DYNAMITE INVESTIGATORS [REDACTED]

COMPLAINANT [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] W.A.F.

ADDRESS [REDACTED] PHONE [REDACTED]

BUS. ADD. (OR PLACE OF ENPL.) [REDACTED]

WHERE OCCURRED RIVER ROAD EAST OF FIRST AVE.

WHEN OCCURRED, DAY OF WEEK TUESDAY DATE 9/13/66 HOUR 9AM

REPORTED TO PIMA COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE DATE 9/13/66 HOUR 10AM

REPORTED BY COMPLAINANT ADDRESS [REDACTED] PHONE [REDACTED]

HOW REPORTED PHONE REPORT TYPED BY [REDACTED]

PERSONS RESPONSIBLE OR SUSPECTED: (WHEN DESCRIPTION NECESSARY, EMBODY IN DETAILS BELOW)

NAME	ADDRESS	CHARGE	BOOKING NUMBER
UNKNOWN			

DETAILS:

While on patrol at 10:05AM, this officer was dispatched to [REDACTED] reference found property. b6  
b7C

Upon arrival at 10:20AM, the complainant was contacted and she directed this officer to 1951 E. River Road, where the property had been placed. This officer talked to [REDACTED] who stated that he had found the dynamite at the shack belonging to Catalina Foothills Estates. The shack is on River Road near First Ave. He stated that the dynamite does not belong to the Estates and does not know how it got there.

The dynamite is No. 4, 40% Amogel, 1 1/8 x 8 fume class. (1), 81 sticks, made Dec. 1964 by Apache.

This officer was advised via radio at 11AM that D.M.A.F.B. would pick up the dynamite.

Dynamite turned over to D.M.A.F.B. at 1400 hours.

SIGNED OFFICER [REDACTED] BADGE [REDACTED] DATE 9/13/66 HOUR 4PM

PROVED BY [REDACTED] RANK [REDACTED] DATE " HOUR "

WITNESSES:

132

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE
ME		
ME		
ME		
ME		

OFFENSE REPORT  
SHERIFF'S OFFICE — PIMA COUNTY, ARIZONA

REPORT NO. 50765

CONNECT-UP  
NOS.

INCIDENT OR CRIME BURGLARY TYPE FORCE INVESTIGATORS

COMPLAINANT PIMA MINE COMPANY FIRST NAME MIDDLE NAME LAST NAME RACE

ADDRESS P.O. BOX 7187, TUCSON, ARIZONA PHONE 792-1200  
BUS. ADD. (OR PLACE OF EMPL.) PIMA MINE CORP. BUS. PHONE 792-1200

WHERE OCCURRED ABOVE LOCATION

WHEN OCCURRED DAY OF WEEK WEDNESDAY DATE 3/6/68 HOUR 000-0150

REPORTED TO PIMA COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE DATE 3/6/68 HOUR 0430

REPORTED BY [REDACTED] ADDRESS PIMA MINE GUARD PHONE

HOW REPORTED PHONE REPORT TYPED BY [REDACTED]

PERSONS RESPONSIBLE OR SUSPECTED: (WHEN DESCRIPTION NECESSARY, EMBODY IN DETAILS BELOW)

NAME	ADDRESS	CHARGE	BOOKING NUMBER
------	---------	--------	----------------

DETAILS:

While on routine patrol 3/6/68 at 0430, I was dispatched to Pima Mine reference burglary.

Upon arrival at 0500, I contacted [REDACTED] who stated that he was the blasting and drilling foreman for Pima Mine and that sometime between hours of midnight and 0150, someone broke into two storage sheds and took at least 20 to 30 sticks of 40% Dynamite from one shed and other shed, approximately 100 yards away, person or persons unknown took 10 or 12 pieces of black blasting fuses which already had crimped blasting cap attached, each fuse length approximately 5'.

Only tracks available were shoes with ribbed bottoms which looked like ones [REDACTED] was wearing and he said he thought they were his tracks. No vehicle tire marks to be found. Also surface which could be fingerprinted was dynamite box which [REDACTED] said was cut open by his own men, and missing dynamite taken from same box.

Two master padlocks had been sawed or cut, no other damage.

Value over all, \$10.

Secured at 0520.

SIGNED, OFFICER [REDACTED] BADGE [REDACTED] DATE 3/6/68 HOUR 12:50

APPROVED BY [REDACTED] RANK [REDACTED] DATE 3-6-68 HOUR 1530

WITNESSES:

133

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE
NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE
NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE
NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT - SHERIFF'S OFFICE

OFFENSE THEFT CASE # 50765  
COMPLAINANT PIMA MINING CO.  
ADDRESS P.O. BOX 7187

3/6/68  
1030 hours [redacted] drilling and blasting foreman at Pima Mining Co. called this department and related the following information; after taking an inventory of the explosives stolen (case #50765 investigated by [redacted] 3/6/68 0700 hours). the following items is the total amount of explosives stolen: b6 b7c

350 sticks of dynamite  
50 caps and fuses  
250 prime cord delays (5 boxes)

Value, approximately \$135.

No further information.

1000  
1000

OFFENSE REPORT  
SHERIFF'S OFFICE—PIMA COUNTY, ARIZONA

50181

REPORT NO. \_\_\_\_\_

CONNECT-UP  
NOS. \_\_\_\_\_

INCIDENT OR CRIME BURGLARY TYPE WITH FORCE INVESTIGATORS

[REDACTED] b6  
b7C

COMPLAINANT PIMA MINE COMPANY  
FIRST NAME \_\_\_\_\_ MIDDLE NAME \_\_\_\_\_ LAST NAME \_\_\_\_\_ RACE \_\_\_\_\_

ADDRESS PIMA MINE ROAD PHONE 792-1200  
BUS. ADD. (OR PLACE OF EMPL.) SAME BUS. PHONE SAME

WHERE OCCURRED SAME

WHEN OCCURRED, DAY OF WEEK MONDAY DATE 2-4/5-68 HOUR NIGHT

REPORTED TO PIMA COUNTY SHERIFFS OFFICE DATE 2-5-68 HOUR 1000

REPORTED BY [REDACTED] ADDRESS [REDACTED] PHONE [REDACTED]

HOW REPORTED PHONE REPORT TYPED BY [REDACTED]

PERSONS RESPONSIBLE OR SUSPECTED: (WHEN DESCRIPTION NECESSARY, EMBODY IN DETAILS BELOW)

NAME	ADDRESS	CHARGE	BOOKING NUMBER
------	---------	--------	----------------

1. \_\_\_\_\_
  2. \_\_\_\_\_
  3. \_\_\_\_\_
  4. \_\_\_\_\_
- b6  
b7C

DETAILS: At 0955 hours, 2-5-68, dispatched to Pima Mine General Office: regarding a burglary.

On arrival at 1045 hours, contacted Mine Superintendant, [REDACTED] who stated that the dynamite storage shed had been broken into between 6 PM, 2-4-68 and 8 AM 2-5-68, and approximately a case or 75 sticks, of dynamite had been taken, also possibly, a small amount of instantaneous fuse had been taken. Also believed that a case (60) of Thermo Primer was taken from the shed.

No tracks of either vehicle or footprints found at shed door (hard surface). [REDACTED] estimated loss at approximately \$10.00.

Padlock on door had been removed by breaking hasp with some sharp object, like heavy pliers or bolt cutters.

Complainant also advised that a report had been given to Sheriff's Office, 2-4-68 on another burglary similar to this one.

No further information.

b6  
b7C

SIGNED, OFFICER [REDACTED] BADGE [REDACTED] DATE 2-5-68 HOUR 1100  
APPROVED BY [REDACTED] RANK [REDACTED] DATE 2-6-68 HOUR 0.00

WITNESSES:

135

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE
NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE
NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE
NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE

OFFENSE REPORT  
SHERIFF'S OFFICE — PIMA COUNTY, ARIZONA

REPORT NO. 50148

CONNECT-UP  
NOS.

INCIDENT  
OR CRIME BURGLARY

TYPE FORCIBLE ENTRY

INVESTIGATORS

COMPLAINANT PIMA MINING COMPANY

FIRST NAME

MIDDLE NAME

LAST NAME

RACE

ADDRESS 20 MI. SOUTH OF TUCSON

PHONE 792-1200

BUS. ADD. (OR PLACE OF EMPL.)

BUS. PHONE

WHERE OCCURRED PIMA MINE COMPANY

WHEN OCCURRED, DAY OF WEEK SUNDAY

DATE 2/3/68

HOUR UNK.

REPORTED TO PIMA COUNTY SHERIFFS OFFICE

DATE 2/4/68

HOUR 1628hrs.

REPORTED BY PIMA MINE SECURITY

ADDRESS

PHONE

HOW REPORTED VIA PHONE

REPORT TYPED BY

(COPY) 2/5/68

PERSONS RESPONSIBLE OR SUSPECTED: (WHEN DESCRIPTION NECESSARY, EMBODY IN DETAILS BELOW)

NAME

ADDRESS

CHARGE

BOOKING NUMBER

1. UNKNOWN

2.

3.

4.

DETAILS: AT 1628 hrs., on 2/4/68, dispatched to Pima Mine Company in reference to a theft.

Upon arrival at 1650 hrs., contacted Security Guard, [redacted] who stated to this officer the following. That the Mine Superintendent had told him to notify the department that the following explosives had been stolen from a shack that is located on the West side of the mine. Explosives are as follows: 2 boxes of MITLIGEBAND delays, 1 box of SPITTERS and possibly FUSES AND CAPS, all mentioned items are used for blasting in the mine pit and could explode very easily if not handled right.

This officer examined the shack where the explosives were kept and saw that the lock hasp had been broken off with a large rock to gain entry. [redacted] could not give this officer any further details as to the exact amount of explosives, had been stolen from a shack, and that each box contained; the brand or value.

He had no information as to who discovered the theft. No contact could be made by this officer with any foreman who knew about the theft. [redacted] was advised that a detective would call the Mine and inquire about the details. I.D. was not summoned to the scene.

NO FURTHER INFORMATION. Scene secured at 1718 hrs.

SIGNED, OFFICER

BADGE

DATE 2/4/68

APPROVED BY

RANK

DATE 2-5-68

WITNESSES:

NAME

136

PHONE

NAME

ADDRESS

PHONE

NAME

ADDRESS

PHONE

NAME

ADDRESS

PHONE

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

b6  
b7CDate 10/14/68

[redacted] was interviewed and he advised that he is currently enrolled as a night student at the University of Arizona (UA), Tucson, Arizona.

On September 18, 1968, [redacted] advised that he was attending classes at the UA and that he had parked his car in a parking lot between the Physics, Mathematics and Meteorology Building and the Law Building at the University.

Sometime between 9:15 and 9:30 p.m., [redacted] came out to his vehicle and at that time he observed an unknown male standing next to his vehicle. When [redacted] approached the vehicle, the individual called him by name and inquired if he was still working with explosives.

[redacted] indicated that he was currently working with explosives whereupon this unidentified male indicated that he was interested in purchasing a small amount of dynamite. [redacted] informed this individual that he could not obtain any explosives for him and that if he wanted to purchase a small quantity of dynamite, it would have to be done through a legitimate source.

[redacted] at this point indicated that he was slightly concerned in view of the fact that this individual was definitely interested in purchasing dynamite through him and as a result thereon, he immediately entered his vehicle, backed out of his parking space and left the area.

[redacted] has never seen this individual prior to the evening of September 18, 1968, nor has he ever seen him since

[redacted] described this individual as follows:

Sex	Male
Race	Caucasian
Height	6' - 6' 1"
Weight	160-170 pounds
Build	Medium

137

On 10/8/68 at Tucson, Arizona File # PX 166-293

by SAs [redacted] Date dictated 10/14/68

PX 166-293



b6  
b7C

Age	25-35 years
Hair	Dark brown, combed straight back
Complexion	Clear
Wearing Apparel	Dark suit, white shirt and tie

To the best of [redacted] recollection, this individual was driving a 1966 to 1968 Lincoln Continental, four-door, light blue in color.

b6  
b7C

[redacted] was shown the following photographs:

JOSEPH BONANNO, JR.

[redacted]  
PETER NOTARO

b6  
b7C

[redacted]  
JOSEPH BONANNO, SR.  
SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO



[redacted] advised that after carefully reviewing the above photographs, he could not effect an identification of any of these individuals as being identical with the unknown male who approached him at the UA on the evening of September 18, 1968.

b6  
b7C

F B I

Date: 10/22/68

Transmit the following in \_\_\_\_\_  
(Type in plaintext or code)Via A I R T E L AIRMAIL  
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (166-3918)

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (166-293) (P)

SUBJECT: BOMBINGS,  
TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA  
ITAR - ARSON; AR

OO: PX

Remyairtel, 10/18/68.

On the evening of October 21, 1968, [ ] was contacted and interviewed at his residence concerning his impressions regarding the recent bombings which have occurred in Tucson, Arizona. According to [ ] he was of the opinion that the last eight bombings and the attempt were instigated by SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO. [ ] averred that he was also of the opinion that there were two factions fighting among each other in Tucson at this time, these being the one of the BONANNOS opposing [ ] which has resulted in and/or caused the bombings.

[ ] went on to relate that he had obtained information recently from [ ] to the effect that the next bombing in Tucson, Arizona would be that of the businesses of [ ] or his residence, located in the Casas Adobes area of Tucson, Arizona.

③ - Bureau (RM)

7 - Phoenix

(1 - 92-172)

(1 - [ ])

(1 - 92-788)

(1 - 92-594)

(1 - 66-937)

REC-38

EX-100

166-3918-15  
OCT 24 1968

Approved: \_\_\_\_\_

Special Agent in Charge

Sent \_\_\_\_\_

M

Per \_\_\_\_\_

56 OCT 24 1968

b6  
b7Cb6  
b7C

b7E

b6  
b7C

PX 166-293

b6  
b7C

[redacted] failed to elaborate and/or expound on this information other than to say, "This will be the next target." [redacted] recalled that he had no information as to when this bombing would occur but stated he would contact the Tucson Resident Agency when he obtained any definite information.

In regard to the above information, Chief of Police BERNARD GARMIRE (NA) was contacted on October 22, 1968, at which time he was advised of the aforementioned information concerning the next bombing target; however, he was not afforded the name of the source of this information.

F B I

Date: 10/8/68

Transmit the following in plain

(Type in plain text or code)

Via airtel

(Priority or Method of Mailing)

b6  
b7C

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (ATTENTION FBI LAB.)  
 FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (166-293) (P)  
 SUBJECT: BOMBINGS - TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA.  
 ITAR-ARSON; AR.  
 OO: PX

PC-B 2355

Re Phoenix teletype to the Bureau 10/3/68; Bureau teletype to Phoenix 10/4/68.

Enclosed herewith is one typewriter ribbon metal container containing eight tablespoons of dynamite which was removed from the temporary residence of [redacted] on 9/19/68, by the Tucson police department.

Instant dynamite will be turned over to the REA of Tucson, Arizona on 10/9/68, for shipment to the FBI Laboratory.

The Laboratory is requested to analyse this dynamite and determine if possible the manufacturer.

- 3 - Bureau (AM)  
 (1 - package copy)  
 2 - Phoenix (166-293)

(5)

[redacted]

EX 109

REC-52

166-3918-16

OCT 10 1968

D. C. Bishop

Approved: [redacted]

Special Agent in Charge

Sent

M

Per [redacted]

INDEX FILES

10-22-68  
 Copy & specs retained in Lab  
 for Lab action & report

Lab report  
 11-2-68

b6  
b7Cb6  
b7C

REC'D  
FBI-LABORATORY

OCT 10 12 45 PM '68

RECEIVED

OCT 22 3 40 PM '68

PHYSICS & CHEMISTRY  
FBI  
LABORATORY

REC'D  
FBI-LABORATORY

OCT 28 12 23 PM '68



1 - Office, 7133

**FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535**

b6  
b7C

To: FBI, Phoenix (166-293)

Date: November 7, 1968

Re: BOMBINGS - TUCSON, ARIZONA  
AREA;  
ITAR-ARSON; AR

*J. Edgar Hoover*  
EX 110  
REC-123

John Edgar Hoover, Director

FBI File No. 166-3918 - 16  
Lab. No. PC-B2355 CF

Examination requested by: Phoenix

Reference: Airtel 10/8/68

Examination requested: Instrumental

MAILED 5

Remarks:

NOV 7 - 1968

COMM-FBI

It appears that the results of the analyses conducted by the Apache Powder Company set forth in Phoenix teletype of October 3, 1968, may be misleading. For example, no begasse (a ground-up sugar cane pulp) was found in the instant sample. The major carbonaceous fuel of specimen Q1 was similar to a nut meal.

It is pointed out that one of the reactive ingredients of dynamite is a carbonaceous fuel such as begasse, nut meal, wood chips, etc. Manufacturers are guided in the selection of such a fuel by the economics and availabilities of the markets. For example, sometimes ground-up walnut shells may be the cheapest, most available product in a particular area and such would be used. It is doubtful, therefore, that dynamite can be specifically identified on the basis of the carbonaceous fuel.

b6  
b7C

Apache Powder Company also reports that the instant dynamite contained 0.7 per cent chalk (calcium carbonate) rather than 1 percent. One of the major dynamite manufacturers advises that allammonia gelatin dynamite must, by law, contain at least 1 percent of an antacid such as calcium carbonate. It has also been the Laboratory's experience that the inherent poor sampling properties of such a dynamite could account for the finding of 0.7 percent chalk instead of 1 percent or more originally compounded in the mixture.

b6  
b7C

Specimen Q1 was consumed during the course of the examination.

Enclosures (2) (2 Lab report)

MAIL ROOM ☒TELETYPE UNIT ☐

ADMINISTRATIVE PAGE

Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
DeLoach \_\_\_\_\_  
Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Bishop \_\_\_\_\_  
Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
Gale \_\_\_\_\_  
Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Sullivan \_\_\_\_\_  
Tavel \_\_\_\_\_  
Trotter \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

RECORDED  
10-24-68

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE



b6  
b7C

Laboratory Work Sheet

Re: BOMBINGS - TUCSON, ARIZONA  
AREA.  
ITAR-ARSON; AR

File # 166-3918-16  
Lab. # PC-B2355 CF ~~LP~~

E X P E D I T E

Examination requested by: FBI, Phoenix (166-293) Atl. 10-8

Examination requested: ~~Explosives (Comp. Res.)~~  
Instrumental

Date received: 10-22

Result of Examination:

Examination by:



Specimens submitted for examination

Q1 Dynamite which was removed from temporary residence of  
[redacted] on September 19, 1968

Q1. Dark brown dynamite.  
Ether extract yields EGDN.  
Acetone " " Nitrocellulose film  
CS<sub>2</sub> " " Sulfur  
H<sub>2</sub>O " " NaNO<sub>3</sub> + NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>  
Residue → CaCO<sub>3</sub> + brown organic pulp.  
46% Ammonia gelatin dynamite  
Appears to be a nut shell  
meal, no bagasse / per Flack.  
OVER.

b6  
b7C



b6

b7C

Phoenix Teletype 10/3/68

Advised Tucson P.D. Took a sample of Q1  
to APACHE PWD Co. who advised not  
there because of the presence of bagasse  
and 0.7% chalk instead of 1%.

[redacted] of Hercules - advised all Amm. Gel.  
Dynamite must by law contain at least  
1% of An antacid.

Also doubt that Q1 could be identified by fuel.

Q1 Consumed.

# REPORT of the



## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535

To: FBI, Phoenix

Date:

November 7, 1968

FBI File No.

166-3918

 Re: BOMBINGS - TUCSON, ARIZONA  
 AREA;  
 ITAR-ARSON; AR

Lab. No.

PC-B2355 CF

b6

b7C

Specimens received 10/22/68

Q1 Dynamite which was removed from temporary residence of  
 [redacted] on September 19, 1968

### Results of examination:

Specimen Q1 was found to be an ammonia gelatin dynamite,  
 the manufacturer of which is not known. Quite frequently this type  
 of dynamite is referred to as a special gelatin dynamite.

[redacted] (4)

b6

b7C

Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
 DeLoach \_\_\_\_\_  
 Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
 Bishop \_\_\_\_\_  
 Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
 Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
 Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
 Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
 Gale \_\_\_\_\_  
 Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
 Sullivan \_\_\_\_\_  
 Tavel \_\_\_\_\_  
 Trotter \_\_\_\_\_  
 Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
 Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
 Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE <b>PHOENIX</b>	OFFICE OF ORIGIN <b>PHOENIX</b>	DATE <b>11/21/68</b>	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD <b>10/14/68-11/17/68</b>
TITLE OF CASE <b>BOMBINGS - TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA</b>		REPORT MADE BY <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 150px; height: 20px;"></div>	TYPED BY <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 50px; height: 20px;"></div>
		CHARACTER OF CASE  <b>ITAR - ARSON; AR</b>	

b6  
b7Cb6  
b7C

REFERENCE: Phoenix airtel to Bureau dated 10/15/68.  
 Phoenix airtel to Bureau dated 10/18/68.  
 Report SA  dated 10/18/68, at Phoenix.  
 Phoenix airtel to Bureau dated 10/22/68.

- P -

## LEADS

## NEW YORK DIVISION: (INFORMATION)

An information copy of this report is being made available to the New York Division due to the outstanding lead to be developed in that area.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED						NONE	ACQUIT-TALS	CASE HAS BEEN:
CONVIC.	AUTO.	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES			
								PENDING OVER ONE YEAR <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO
APPROVED						SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE		
COPIES MADE:						DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW		
③ - (166-3918) (RM) 1 - SA, Phoenix, Arizona 1 - New York (Info) (RM) 3 - Phoenix (166-293)  A - COVER PAGE						<div style="font-size: 2em; font-family: cursive;">166-3918-17</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; text-align: center;">10 NOV 25 1968</div> <div style="float: right; text-align: right;"> <b>REC 4</b>  <b>EX-101</b> </div>		
Dissemination Record of Attached Report						Notations		
Agency	2 CC, AAG, Criminal Division, Organized Crime & Racketeering Section					<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 10px; text-align: center;">STAT.</div>		
Request Recd.	Room 2527							
Date Fwd.	12/2/68							
How Fwd.								
By	56 DEC 4 1968 367							

PX 166-293  
[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

PHOENIX DIVISION

AT TUCSON, ARIZONA

1. Will continue to contact the mines in the Greater Southern Arizona area in an effort to obtain information indicating the possibility that one of the Tucson hoodlums might be obtaining dynamite from this source.

2. Will maintain contact with local police and sheriff's office authorities in the event that they or any of their sources may obtain evidentiary data as to the persons responsible for the bombings.

3. Will maintain contact with logical informants and/or sources, particularly [REDACTED] in an effort to establish the source of the dynamite and the persons responsible for the bombings, both past and future.

b7D

ADMINISTRATIVE

I. SHOTGUN BLASTS AT THE RESIDENCE OF [REDACTED]  
ON EVENING 7/4/68

b6  
b7C

Sources and/or informants contacted previously concerning this matter indicated that they had no new data to report at this time regarding the aforementioned incident.

II. BOMBING OF GRACE RANCH, TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA ON THE  
EVENING 7/21/68

Sources and/or informants contacted previously concerning this bombing indicated that they had no new data to report at this time regarding the aforementioned incident.

III. BOMBING OF PATIO AND GARAGE OF JOSEPH BONANNO, SR., ON  
EVENING 7/22/68.

Sources and/or informants contacted previously concerning this bombing indicated that they had no new data to report at this time regarding the aforementioned incident.

PX 166-293  
[REDACTED]

IV. BOMBING OF PATIO OF PETER JOSEPH NOTARO ON EVENING 8/16/68.

Sources and/or informants contacted previously concerning this bombing indicated that they had no new data to report at this time regarding the aforementioned incident.

V. BOMBING OF METRIC AUTO PLASTIC COMPANY, 1926 N. STONE AVENUE, TUCSON, ARIZONA, ON EVENING 9/12/68.

Sources and/or informants contacted previously concerning this bombing indicated that they had no new data to report at this time regarding the aforementioned incident.

VI. BOMBING OF THE WIG SALON OF BEAUTY, 2739 E. SPEEDWAY BOULEVARD, TUCSON, ARIZONA ON EVENING 9/16/68

Sources and/or informants contacted previously concerning this bombing indicated that they had no new data to report at this time regarding the aforementioned incident.

VII. BOMBING OF THE RESIDENCE OF [REDACTED] ON EVENING 9/16/68

Sources and/or informants contacted previously concerning this bombing indicated that they had no new data to report at this time regarding the aforementioned incident.

VIII. BOMBING OF RESIDENCE OF EVO DE CONCINI, 2806 VIA ROTUNDA, TUCSON, ARIZONA, ON EVENING 9/18/68

Sources and/or informants contacted previously concerning this bombing indicated that they had no new data to report at this time regarding the aforementioned incident.

IX. ATTEMPTED BOMBING OF TEMPORARY RESIDENCE OF [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] ON EVENING 9/19/68

Sources and/or informants contacted previously concerning this attempted bombing indicated that they had no new data to report at this time regarding the aforementioned incident.

PX 166-293

GENERAL DATA

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C  
b7D

As a result of the foregoing information, in addition to subsequent data concerning the bombings, Chief of Police BERNARD GARMIRE, Tucson, Arizona Police Department, was contacted and afforded the names of the following hoodlums whom this Bureau felt his department should watch closely, which might result in the apprehension and subsequent conviction of person and/or persons involved in the bombings in the Tucson, Arizona area in the past and future:

PETER JOSEPH NOTARO

SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO

b6  
b7C

On 10/22/68, Chief of Police GARMIRE was again contacted at which time he was advised that the businesses of [redacted] or his residence might be the next target of a bombing in Tucson, Arizona. According to Chief of Police GARMIRE, he would alert men so that the appropriate precautions might be made in connection with this matter.

b6  
b7C  
b7D

PX 166-293

[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

b7D

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION**

b6  
b7C

Copy to: 1 - USA, Phoenix, Arizona

Report of: [REDACTED]

Office: PHOENIX

Date: 11/21/68

Field Office File #: PX 166-293

Bureau File #: 166-3918

Title: BOMBINGS - TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA

Character: INTERSTATE TRANSPORTATION IN AID OF RACKETEERING -  
ARSON; ANTI - RACKETEERING

Synopsis:

Subsequent to 10/15/68, up to 11/17/68, law enforcement officials Tucson, Arizona unable to provide any additional data regarding incidents, bombings and/or attempted bombings. Moreover, no evidence to indicate interstate movement. FBI Laboratory findings on dynamite removed from temporary residence [REDACTED] 9/19/68, found to be ammonia gelatin dynamite, manufacturer not known. On 10/16/68, [REDACTED] formerly married to [REDACTED] said she met [REDACTED] 1950 in California and married him same year. [REDACTED] advised while [REDACTED] was contractor, one of his employees was [REDACTED]. She stated [REDACTED] always had numerous acquaintances in rackets in Tucson. She said from various comments overheard between [REDACTED] is certain both are knowledgeable who was responsible for bombings. She advised [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] both worked in mines and feels are three familiar with dynamite. On 10/21/68, [REDACTED] denied participation or personal knowledge of bombings which occurred in Tucson area. [REDACTED] said [REDACTED] told him [REDACTED] BILL BONANNO on outs and BONANNO planning to bomb [REDACTED] at home or place of business. [REDACTED] said in his opinion there are currently two factions opposing one another, one headed by BILL BONANNO and the other headed by [REDACTED] and bombings which occurred recently connected in some manner with conflict between [REDACTED] and BONANNO. On 11/14/68, [REDACTED] claimed has heard nothing additional about any future bombings.

- P -

**DETAILS:**

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

PX 166-293  
[redacted]

**I. SHOTGUN BLASTS AT THE RESIDENCE OF [redacted]  
ON EVENING OF JULY 4, 1968**

---

Subsequent to October 15, 1968, and up to November 17, 1968, [redacted] Pima County Sheriff's Office, Tucson, Arizona, has been contacted on numerous occasions concerning the above incident and has been unable to provide any additional data either through investigation or sources available to their agency regarding this matter. Moreover, there is no evidence to indicate any interstate movement whatsoever in connection with the above occurrence.

**II. BOMBING OF GRACE RANCH, TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA ON THE  
EVENING OF JULY 21, 1968**

---

Subsequent to October 15, 1968, and up to November 17, 1968, [redacted] Pima County Sheriff's Office, Tucson, Arizona, has been contacted on numerous occasions concerning the above bombing and has been unable to provide any additional data either through investigation or sources available to their agency regarding this matter. Moreover, there is no evidence to indicate any interstate movement whatsoever in connection with the above occurrence.

**III. BOMBING OF PATIO AND GARAGE OF JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. ON  
EVENING OF JULY 22, 1968**

---

Subsequent to October 15, 1968 and up to November 17, 1968, [redacted] Special Investigations, Tucson Police Department, has been contacted on numerous occasions concerning the above bombing and has been unable to provide any additional data either through investigation or sources available to their agency regarding this matter. Additionally, [redacted] claimed that they had no evidence to indicate any positive interstate movement in connection with this occurrence.

**IV. BOMBING OF PATIO OF PETER JOSEPH NOTARO ON EVENING OF  
AUGUST 16, 1968**

---

Subsequent to October 15, 1968, and up to November 17, 1968, [redacted], Special Investigations, Tucson Police Department, Tucson, Arizona, has been contacted on numerous occasions concerning the above bombing and has been unable to provide any additional data either through investigation

PX 166-293  
[REDACTED]

or sources of information available to their agency regarding this matter. Moreover, there is no evidence to indicate any interstate movement whatsoever in connection with the above occurrence.

V. BOMBING OF METRIC AUTO PLASTIC COMPANY, 1926 N. STONE AVENUE, TUCSON, ARIZONA ON EVENING OF SEPTEMBER 12, 1968

Subsequent to October 15, 1968, and up to November 17, 1968, [REDACTED] Special Investigations, Tucson Police Department, Tucson, Arizona, has been contacted on numerous occasions concerning the above bombing and has been unable to provide any additional data either through investigation or sources available to their agency regarding this matter. Moreover, there is no evidence to indicate any interstate movement whatsoever in connection with the above occurrence.

VI. BOMBING OF THE WIG-SALON OF BEAUTY, 2739 E. SPEEDWAY BOULEVARD, TUCSON, ARIZONA, ON EVENING OF SEPTEMBER 16, 1968

Subsequent to October 15, 1968 and up to November 17, 1968, [REDACTED] Special Investigations, Tucson Police Department, Tucson, Arizona, has been contacted on numerous occasions concerning the above bombing and has been unable to provide any additional data either through investigation or sources available to their agency regarding this matter. Moreover, there is no evidence to indicate any interstate movement whatsoever in connection with the above occurrence.

VII. BOMBING OF THE RESIDENCE OF [REDACTED] TUCSON, ARIZONA, ON EVENING SEPTEMBER 16, 1968

Subsequent to October 15, 1968 and up to November 17, 1968, [REDACTED] Special Investigations, Tucson Police Department, Tucson, Arizona, has been contacted on numerous occasions concerning the above bombing and has been unable to provide any additional data either through investigation or sources available to their agency regarding this matter. Moreover, there is no evidence to indicate any interstate movement whatsoever in connection with the above occurrence.

PX 166-293  
[redacted]

VIII. BOMBING OF RESIDENCE OF EVO DE CONCINI, 2806 VIA  
ROTUNDA, TUCSON, ARIZONA, ON EVENING OF SEPTEMBER 18, 1968

Subsequent to October 15, 1968, and up to November 17, 1968, [redacted] Special Investigations, Tucson Police Department, Tucson, Arizona, has been contacted on numerous occasions concerning the above bombing and has been unable to provide any additional data either through investigation or sources available to their agency regarding this matter. Moreover, there is no evidence to indicate that there is any interstate movement whatsoever in connection with the above occurrence.

IX. ATTEMPTED BOMBING OF TEMPORARY RESIDENCE OF [redacted]  
[redacted] TUCSON, ARIZONA  
ON EVENING SEPTEMBER 19, 1968

As previously reported, on September 19, 1968, a fused bleach bottle full of dynamite, plus a cap was located in connection with this matter by the wife of [redacted] [redacted] having burned up to the cap before fizzling out.

By railway express, samples of the dynamite obtained from this attempting bombing were forwarded to the FBI Laboratory on October 9, 1968, for analysis purposes.

The results of the FBI Laboratory findings in a report dated November 7, 1968, are set forth as follows:

Q1 Dynamite which was removed from temporary residence of [redacted] on September 19, 1968.

Results of examination:

Specimen Q1 was found to be an ammonia gelatin dynamite, the manufacturer of which is not known. Quite frequently this type of dynamite is referred to as a special gelatin dynamite.

The following investigation was conducted at Tucson, Arizona by SA [REDACTED]

On October 15, 1968, [REDACTED] Special Investigations Unit, Tucson, Arizona Police Department, advised that the following two instances which their department was involved in might be of assistance concerning the bombings in Tucson, Arizona. According to [REDACTED] a 1962 Chevrolet bearing Arizona license [REDACTED] had been observed parked at the residence of [REDACTED] on the evening of October 11, 1968. Moreover, this vehicle was registered to one [REDACTED] who is employed as a foreman for the Duval Mines. [REDACTED] averred that they had no additional information concerning [REDACTED] but felt that it was interesting in that [REDACTED] was employed by the mines in the Tucson, Arizona area, having access to dynamite and might possibly be a friend of [REDACTED] who has been a suspect in the bombings.

[REDACTED] went on to relate that on the early morning of October 14, 1968, while Detectives from their department were surveilling the departure of PETER JOSEPH NOTARO, who boarded American Airlines Flight 108 for New York, they identified one [REDACTED] boarding the same flight and conversing with NOTARO as if they were friends. [REDACTED] contended that further investigation determined that [REDACTED] was a mining engineer for the Duval Corporation of Tucson, Arizona. [REDACTED] maintained that here again is a case wherein an individual such as [REDACTED] is employed by the Duval Corporation in Tucson, Arizona, having access to dynamite and/or explosives and could in fact be furnishing same to various hoodlums in Tucson.

The following investigation was conducted at Tucson, Arizona by SA [REDACTED]

On November 1, 1968, [REDACTED] advised that he has two daughters who are employed for [REDACTED]. According

to [ ] one is employed for [ ] at his Beauty Salon which is run by [ ] while the other one does house work at the [ ] residence. [ ] maintained that both of his girls will cease their employment with [ ] sometime during the middle or latter part of November of 1968.

[ ] stated that he has never met [ ] personally and would not know him if he saw him. [ ] said that he is acquainted with the bombings which have occurred in Tucson, Arizona during the past approximate four months, having read about them in the local newspaper. He noted that in most of the accounts in the newspapers, dynamite had been used. [ ] stated that he personally has access to dynamite in connection with his job with the Duval Mines, but has never taken any, claiming he has no use for it. [ ] contended that he has heard rumors from time to time that dynamite was easy to obtain and that several of the employees could and have taken dynamite from the various mines in their lunch buckets.

[ ] concluded by stating that he has no information which might be of assistance in locating anyone who might be providing dynamite to some of the local hoodlums in Tucson, Arizona.

On November 1, 1968 [ ] who resides at [ ] advised that he is employed as a mining engineer for the Duval Corporation located at 4715 East Ft. Lowell Road, Tucson. [ ] contended that he has never been acquainted with any of the hoodlums in Tucson, Arizona including PETER JOSEPH NOTARO and that the last time he made an airplane trip was in April of 1968.

[ ] related that he has heard about the bombings in Tucson, Arizona during the recent past through the news media and the fact that dynamite was believed to have been used in most of the cases. [ ] stated that he personally has access to dynamite through his employment as a mining engineer with the Duval Corporation,

PX 166-293

[REDACTED]

3

b6

b7C

but has never had a need for same.

[REDACTED] concluded by relating that he had no information to assist this Bureau in determining who might be providing dynamite and/or explosives to any of the hoodlums in Tucson, Arizona.

PX 166-293

b6  
b7C

On October 16, 1968, [redacted]

[redacted] advised she was formerly married to [redacted]. She said she met [redacted] in 1950, while he was attending Compton Junior College in California and married him the same year. In 1959, she and [redacted] moved to Tucson, Arizona from California because their oldest daughter had a health problem. During the period from 1959 - 1965, [redacted] was self-employed as a building contractor. He was not doing well financially and as a result she and [redacted] had marital difficulties which resulted in a divorce in September of 1968. Following the divorce [redacted] married his present wife, [redacted] with whom he is currently residing at [redacted].

[redacted] advised that while [redacted] was a contractor one of his employees was [redacted] with whom he has since become a close friend and associate. She stated [redacted] had always had numerous acquaintances in the rackets in the Tucson area, among whom are BILL BONANNO, JOE BONANNO, [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] PETE LICAVOLI [redacted] and others. She said [redacted] met these people through [redacted]. In connection with the recent bombings in the Tucson area she said from various comments she had overheard between [redacted] she is certain that both are knowledgeable on who was responsible for the bombings. She advised [redacted] as well as [redacted] [redacted] have both worked in the mines in the Tucson area and have both worked for [redacted] in the past. She advised [redacted] was formerly the owner of a mine in the Tucson area and she feels that all three are familiar with dynamite.

On October 17, 1968, [redacted]

[redacted] advised her sister is married to [redacted]. She advised [redacted] is currently employed by the Paddock Construction Company as a carpenter and was formerly employed, up until recently, at the Duval Mines in Tucson. She advised [redacted] are close friends of [redacted] and have worked with him in the past. She said she does not have any information concerning the recent bombings in the Tucson area. She said [redacted]

b6  
b7C

On October 18, 1968, [redacted] was contacted by SA [redacted] and SA [redacted]

b6  
b7C

PX 166-293

[redacted] advised he did not wish to have any discussion with the FBI and requested that his attorney [redacted] be contacted.

On October 21, 1968, [redacted] was contacted by SA [redacted] and SA [redacted]. [redacted] said during the past several years through his contact with [redacted] he has become acquainted with [redacted] JOE BONANNO, [redacted] PETER NOTARO, [redacted] and others. [redacted] said he is not in sympathy with any of the above individuals and considers them leeches upon society. He said he does recall, however, having a conversation with [redacted] just prior to the bombing of the Wig Beauty Salon in which [redacted] mentioned that the Wig was going to be hit. That same night, the Wig was bombed. [redacted] said more recently [redacted] told him that [redacted] and BILL BONANNO are currently on the outs with one another and as a result BILL BONANNO is planning to bomb [redacted] at his home or place of business. [redacted] said in his opinion there are currently two factions opposing one another, one headed by BILL BONANNO and the other headed by [redacted] and that in his opinion the bombings which have occurred recently are connected in some manner with the conflict between [redacted] and BONANNO. [redacted] said he does not think [redacted] is personally involved in any of the bombings. He further advised that he is familiar with dynamite and that dynamite is easily accessible to anyone who desires to purchase it.

PX 166-293

1

The following investigation was conducted by  
SA [redacted] and SA [redacted]

b6  
b7C

AT TUCSON, ARIZONA

On October 17, 1968, [redacted]  
[redacted] Tucson Police Department, caused a  
search of the Tucson Police Department records regarding  
[redacted] and thereafter advised the files of that  
department reflect one [redacted] Caucasian  
male, 165 pounds, 5 feet 10, brown hair, brown eyes,  
born [redacted] had been issued two traffic citations  
by the Tucson Police Department.

Citation Number [redacted] was issued on July 3, 1967  
at which time [redacted] possessing Arizona driver's license  
[redacted] residing at [redacted]  
was driving a 1967 Chevrolet two door bearing Arizona  
license [redacted] It was noted the owner of the  
vehicle was one [redacted] was cited for  
"Expired license plate."

Citation Number [redacted] dated December 31, 1967  
was issued to [redacted] possessing Arizona driver's  
license [redacted]  
employed Damron Construction. [redacted] was driving a  
1957 Chevrolet pickup bearing Arizona license [redacted]  
and was cited for "speeding."

PX 166-293  
[redacted]

The following investigation was conducted by  
SA [redacted] at Tucson, Arizona:

On November 14, 1968, [redacted]

[redacted] advised that he has  
failed to receive any additional data concerning any  
future bombings in the Tucson, Arizona area, since he was  
last interviewed by Bureau Agents in late October, 1968.

12/12

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

DEC 12 1968

TELETYPE

Mr. Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. DeLoach \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Bishop \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Gale \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Sullivan \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Tavel \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Trotter \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

FBI WASH DC

FBI PHOENIX

327 PM URGENT 12-12-68 MSC

TO DIRECTOR, FBI (166-3918)

FROM SAC, PHOENIX (166-293)

BOMBINGS-TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA; ITAR-ARSON; ANTI-RACKETEERING.

REREP SA [REDACTED]

NOVEMBER TWENTY-ONE LAST.

ON THIS DATE [REDACTED]

SPECIAL

INVESTIGATIONS UNIT, TUCSON PD, ADVISED INDICTMENT RETURNED  
AGAINST [REDACTED] INSTANT DATE, ON TESTIMONY TWO  
SOURCES OF TUCSON PD WHO APPEARED BEFORE SUPERIOR COURT  
JUDGE RICHARD ROYLSTON, WHO WAS ACTING AS ONE MAN GRAND JURY  
ON DECEMBER FOUR AND FIVE LAST, CHARGING HIM WITH DEPOSITING  
OR EXPLODING EXPLOSIVES WITH INTENT TO INJURE PROPERTY AND  
ATTEMPTED GRAND THEFT IN CONNECTION WITH BOMBING OF THE  
WIG-SALON OF BEAUTY, TWO SEVEN THREE NINE EAST SPEEDWAY  
BOULEVARD, TUCSON, ON EVENING OF SEPTEMBER SIXTEEN LAST.

[REDACTED] CLAIMED [REDACTED]

OF THE WIG

AND BELIEVED TO HAVE CAUSED BOMBING TO COLLECT INSURANCE

MONEY. [REDACTED] AVERRED THAT THEY WERE IN PROCESS OF OBTAINING

END PAGE ONE

69  
88 DEC 13 1968

b6  
b7C

EX-101

REC 54

12 DEC 13 1968

166-3918-18

PX 166-293

b6  
b7C

PAGE TWO

COMPLAINT TO MAKE ARREST OF [REDACTED] SOMETIME LATER THIS  
DATE. [REDACTED] STATED [REDACTED] LONG TIME RESIDENT OF  
TUCSON, ARIZONA.

AS THE BUREAU IS AWARE, [REDACTED] OF  
CONVICTED EXTORTIONIST CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, JR., WAS  
EMPLOYED DURING THE TIME OF THE BOMBING AND WAS SUSPECTED  
OF BEING [REDACTED]

THIS MATTER WILL CONTINUE TO BE FOLLOWED CLOSELY  
AND THE BUREAU KEPT ADVISED OF DEVELOPMENTS.

END

[REDACTED]  
FBI WASH DC

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

b6  
b7c

REPORTING OFFICE <b>PHOENIX</b>	OFFICE OF ORIGIN <b>PHOENIX</b>	DATE <b>1/2/69</b>	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD <b>10/18/68-12/23/68</b>
TITLE OF CASE  <b>BOMBINGS - TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA</b>		REPORT MADE BY  [REDACTED]	TYPED BY  [REDACTED]
		CHARACTER OF CASE  <b>ITAR - ARSON; ANTI-RACKETEERING</b>	

REFERENCE: Report SA [REDACTED] dated 11/21/68, at Phoenix, Arizona.  
New York letter to Phoenix dated 11/27/68;  
Phoenix teletype to Bureau dated 12/12/68.

- P -

## LEADS

NEW YORK DIVISION: (INFORMATION)

An information copy of this report is being made available to the New York Division due to the outstanding lead to be developed in their area.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED <b>NONE</b>						ACQUIT-TALS	CASE HAS BEEN: PENDING OVER ONE YEAR <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO
CONVIC.	AUTO.	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES		
APPROVED [REDACTED]						SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	
COPIES MADE: 3 - [REDACTED] (166-3918) (RM) 1 - USA, Phoenix, Arizona 1 - New York (166-2202) (Info) (RM) 3 - Phoenix (166-293)  A - COVER PAGE						DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW  <b>166-3918-19</b> REC-21  <b>6 JAN 6 1969</b>	
Dissemination Record of Attached Report						Notations	
Agency	Request Recd.					Date Fwd.	
Request Recd.	How Fwd.					By	
Date Fwd.	By					[REDACTED]	

61 JAN 1 1969

PX 166-293

PHOENIX DIVISION

AT TUCSON, ARIZONA

1. Will maintain liaison with the mines in the Greater Southern Arizona area in an effort to obtain information indicating the possibility that one of the Tucson hoodlums might be obtaining dynamite through this source.

2. Will maintain contact with local police and Sheriff's Office authorities in the event they or any of their sources may obtain evidentiary data as to the persons responsible for the bombings.

3. Will maintain contact with logical informants and/or sources in an effort to establish any data as to the source of the dynamite and the persons responsible for the bombings, both past and future.

ADMINISTRATIVE

I. SHOTGUN BLASTS AT THE RESIDENCE OF [REDACTED] ON EVENING 7/4/68

Sources and/or informants contacted previously concerning this matter indicated that they had no new data to report regarding the aforementioned incident.

II. BOMBING OF GRACE RANCH, TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA ON THE EVENING 7/21/68.

Sources and/or informants contacted previously concerning this bombing indicated that they had no new data to report regarding the aforementioned bombing.

III. BOMBING OF PATIO AND GARAGE OF JOSEPH BONANNO, SR., ON EVENING 7/22/68.

On 10/18/68, [REDACTED] (conceal), advised that to his knowledge, based on information available, [REDACTED]

PX 166-293  
[REDACTED]

As previously reported, CASALE was suspected of having been in Tucson, Arizona by the local PD on or before the evening of 7/22/68, causing the dynamiting of the patio and garage of JOSEPH BONANNO, Sr.

IV. BOMBING OF PATIO OF PETER JOSEPH NOTARO ON EVENING 8/16/68

Sources and/or informants contacted previously concerning this bombing indicated that they had no additional data to report at this time regarding the aforementioned bombing.

V. BOMBING OF METRIC AUTO PLASTIC COMPANY, 1926 N. STONE AVENUE, TUCSON, ARIZONA, ON EVENING 9/12/68

Sources and/or informants contacted previously concerning this bombing indicated that they had no new information to report at this time regarding the aforementioned bombing.

VI. BOMBING OF THE WIG SALON OF BEAUTY, 2739 E. Speedway Boulevard, Tucson, Arizona, on evening 9/16/68

New information concerning this bombing was obtained from the Tucson PD on 12/12/68, which is set forth in the details of this report. There was, however, no new data available from Bureau sources and/or informants concerning this bombing.

VII. BOMBING OF THE RESIDENCE OF [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] ON EVENING 9/16/68

Sources and/or informants contacted previously concerning this bombing indicated that they had no new data to report at this time concerning the aforementioned bombing.

VIII. BOMBING OF RESIDENCE OF EVO DE CONCINI, [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] ON EVENING 9/18/68

Sources and/or informants contacted previously concerning this bombing indicated that they had no new information to report at this time regarding the aforementioned incident.

PX 166-293  
[REDACTED]

IX. ATTEMPTED BOMBING OF TEMPORARY RESIDENCE OF [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] ON EVENING 9/19/68

Sources and/or informants contacted previously concerning this attempted bombing indicated that they had no new data to report at this time concerning the aforementioned incident.

GENERAL DATA

There is no new data available on the general nature, to report in this section subsequent to rerep.

The investigative period of this report was extended to include data furnished by the New York Division concerning investigation conducted on 10/18/68.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to: 1 - USA, Phoenix, Arizona

b6  
b7C

Report of: [REDACTED]

Office: PHOENIX

Date: 1/2/69

Field Office File #: PX 166-293

Bureau File #: 166-3918

Title: BOMBINGS - TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA

Character: INTERSTATE TRANSPORTATION IN AID OF RACKETEERING -  
ARSON; ANTI - RACKETEERING

## Synopsis:

Subsequent to 11/18/68, up to 12/23/68, law enforcement officials Arizona and New York unable to provide any additional data regarding incidents, bombings and/or attempted bombings except for following: [REDACTED] Suffolk County PD, Hauppauge, New York, advised 11/4/68 sources acquainted with MICHAEL SALVATORE CASALE unable to determine during pertinent period of 7/22/68, as to whether CASALE in Tucson or if CASALE travelled to Italy. On 12/12/68, [REDACTED] Tucson PD, advised indictment returned against [REDACTED] 12/12/68, on testimony two sources of Tucson PD who appeared before Superior Court Judge RICHARD ROYLSTON, acting as One-man Grand Jury, 12/4 and 5/68, charging him with depositing or exploding explosives with intent to injure property and attempted Grand Theft in connection with bombing of Wig-Salon of Beauty, 2739 E. Speedway Boulevard, Tucson, Arizona, on the evening 9/16/68. [REDACTED] claimed [REDACTED] of Wig and believed to have caused bombing to collect insurance money.

- P -

## DETAILS:

I. SHOTGUN BLASTS AT THE RESIDENCE OF [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] ON EVENING OF JULY 4, 1968

Subsequent to November 18, 1968, and up to December 23, 1968, [REDACTED] Pima County Sheriff's Office, Tucson, Arizona, has been contacted on numerous occasions concerning the above incident and has been unable to provide any additional data either through investigation

PX 166-293  
[redacted]

or sources available through their agency regarding this matter. Moreover, there is no evidence to indicate any interstate movement whatsoever in connection with the above incident.

b6  
b7C

II. BOMBING OF GRACE RANCH, TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA ON THE EVENING OF JULY 21, 1968.

Subsequent to November 18, 1968 and up to December 23, 1968, [redacted] Pima County Sheriff's Office, Tucson, Arizona, has been contacted on several occasions concerning the above bombing and has been unable to provide any data either through investigation or sources available to that agency regarding this incident. Moreover, he has been unable to make available any evidence to indicate any interstate movement whatsoever in connection with the above occurrence.

III. BOMBING OF PATIO AND GARAGE OF JOSEPH BONANNO, SR., ON EVENING OF JULY 22, 1968

[redacted] Suffolk County Police Department, Hauppauge, New York, advised on 11/4/68, that from sources of that department who are acquainted with MICHAEL SALVATORE CASALE, he was unable to determine during the pertinent period of July 22, 1968, as to whether or not CASALE was in Tucson, Arizona or if in fact CASALE actually travelled to Italy. [redacted] stated that CASALE was to have travelled to Bermuda for a two-week period when he was picked up in Tucson, Arizona in August, 1968. [redacted] continued that CASALE is not known to do any extensive travelling and rarely leaves his residence and only then to "frequent his usual haunts".

As previously reported, the Tucson Police Department, Tucson, Arizona, suspected that CASALE was in Tucson, Arizona on or before July 22, 1968 and was responsible for the bombing which occurred at the residence of JOSEPH BONANNO, Sr., 1847 E. Elm Street, Tucson, Arizona, on the evening of July 22, 1968.

IV. BOMBING OF PATIO OF PETER JOSEPH NOTARO ON EVENING OF AUGUST 16, 1968

Subsequent to November 18, 1968, and up to December 23, 1968, [redacted] (NA), Special Investigations Unit, Tucson Police Department, Tucson, Arizona, has been contacted on several

PX 166-293  
[redacted]

b6  
b7C

occasions concerning the above bombing and has been unable to provide any additional data either through investigation or sources of information available to that agency regarding this matter. Moreover, there is no evidence to indicate any interstate movement in connection with the above occurrence.

V. BOMBING OF METRIC AUTO PLASTIC COMPANY, 1926 N. STONE AVENUE, TUCSON, ARIZONA, ON EVENING OF SEPTEMBER 12, 1968

Subsequent to November 18, 1968 and up to December 23, 1968, [redacted] (NA), Special Investigations Unit, Tucson Police Department, Tucson, Arizona, has been contacted on several occasions concerning the above bombing and has been unable to provide any additional information either through investigation or sources available to that agency regarding this incident. Moreover, there is no evidence to indicate any interstate movement whatsoever in connection with the above occurrence.

VI. BOMBING OF THE WIG-SALON OF BEAUTY, 2739 E. SPEEDWAY BOULEVARD, TUCSON, ARIZONA, ON EVENING OF SEPTEMBER 16, 1968

On December 12, 1968, [redacted] (NA), Special Investigations Unit, Tucson, Arizona Police Department, advised that an indictment was returned against [redacted] on December 12, 1968, on the testimony of two sources of the Tucson Police Department, who appeared before Superior Court Judge RICHARD ROYLSTON, who was acting as a one-man Grand Jury on December 4 and 5, 1968, charging him with depositing or exploding explosives with intent to injure property and attempted grand theft in connection with the bombing of the Wig-Salon of Beauty, 2739 E. Speedway Boulevard, Tucson, Arizona, on the evening of September 16, 1968.

[redacted] claimed that [redacted] of the Wig and believed to have caused the bombing to collect the insurance money. [redacted] stated that [redacted] was a long-time resident of Tucson, Arizona. Moreover, [redacted] of convicted extortionist CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, Jr., was employed during the time of the bombing and was a suspected [redacted]

VII. BOMBING OF THE RESIDENCE OF [redacted] ON THE EVENING OF SEPTEMBER 16, 1968

PX 166-293  
[REDACTED]

Subsequent to November 18, 1968 and up to December 23, 1968, [REDACTED] (NA), Special Investigations Unit, Tucson Police Department, Tucson, Arizona, has been contacted on several occasions concerning the above bombing and has been unable to provide any additional data either through investigation or sources available to their agency regarding this matter. Moreover, there is no evidence to indicate any interstate movement whatsoever in connection with the above occurrence.

VIII. BOMBING OF RESIDENCE OF EVO DE CONCINI, 2806 VIA  
ROTUNDA, TUCSON, ARIZONA, ON EVENING OF SEPTEMBER 18, 1968

Subsequent to November 18, 1968 and up to December 23, 1968, [REDACTED] (NA), Special Investigations Unit, Tucson Police Department, has been contacted on several occasions concerning the above bombing and has been unable to provide any additional data either through investigation or sources available to that agency regarding this matter. Moreover, there is no evidence to indicate that there is any interstate movement whatsoever in connection with the above incident.

IX. ATTEMPTED BOMBING OF TEMPORARY RESIDENCE OF [REDACTED]

ON EVENING SEPTEMBER 19, 1968

Subsequent to November 18, 1968, and up to December 23, 1968, [REDACTED] (NA), Special Investigations Unit, Tucson, Arizona Police Department, has been contacted on several different occasions concerning the above attempted bombing and has been unable to provide any significant data either through investigation or sources available to that agency regarding this incident. Moreover, he has been unable to make available any evidence to indicate that there was any interstate movement whatsoever in connection with the above occurrence.

F B I

Date: 1/22/69

b6  
b7CTransmit the following in \_\_\_\_\_  
(Type in plaintext or code)Via AIRTEL \_\_\_\_\_  
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (166-3918)

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (166-293) (P)

BOMBINGS - TUCSON, ARIZONA

AREA

ITAR - ARSON; AR

OO: PX

Re PX tel to Bureau 12/12/68.

On 1/22/69, [redacted] (NA), Special Investigations Unit, Tucson Police Department, Tucson, Arizona, advised that Judge RICHARD ROYLSTON dismissed the charges against [redacted] of planting an explosive to do physical harm and attempted grand theft in connection with the bombing of the Wig-Salon of Beauty on 9/16/68. [redacted] noted these charges were dismissed against [redacted] of the Wig-Salon of Beauty, on 1/21/69.

For the information of the Bureau, the Wig-Salon of Beauty was sold by [redacted] recently and is now known as Salon de Paris.

3 - Bureau  
2 - Phoenix

[redacted]  
(5)

REC- 89

C. C. Bishop

JAN 24 1969

Approved: 57 JAN 31 1969

Special Agent in Charge

Sent \_\_\_\_\_ M Per \_\_\_\_\_

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE PHOENIX	OFFICE OF ORIGIN PHOENIX	DATE 2/13/69	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 12/26/68 - 2/4/69
TITLE OF CASE  BOMBINGS - TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA		REPORT MADE BY SA <span style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 100px; height: 1.2em; vertical-align: middle;"></span>	TYPED BY <span style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 30px; height: 1.2em; vertical-align: middle;"></span>
		CHARACTER OF CASE  ITAR - ARSON; AR	

REFERENCES: Report of SA  dated 1/2/69 at Phoenix.  
Phoenix airtel to Bureau, dated 1/22/69.  
New York letter to Phoenix, dated 1/29/69.  
Phoenix letter to New York, dated 2/13/69.

-C-

## ADMINISTRATIVE:

An information copy of this report is being made available to the New York Division due to their interest in this matter.

Inasmuch as investigation of this matter has failed to denote a violation of the ITAR - ARSON; AR statute, this case is being placed in a closed status by the Phoenix Division, UACB.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NONE	ACQUIT- TALS	CASE HAS BEEN:  PENDING OVER ONE YEAR <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO
CONVIC.	AUTO.	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES		

APPROVED  COPIES MADE:	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW	
3 - Bureau (166-3918) (RM) 1 - USA, Phoenix, Arizona 1 - New York (166-2202) (Info) (RM) 1 - Phoenix (166-293)  A - COVER PAGE		166-3918 21 REC 101	
		FEB 17 1969	

Dissemination Record of Attached Report				Notations  STAT.
Agency				
Request Recd.	CC, AAG, Criminal Division			
Date Fwd.	Organized Crime & Racketeering Section			
How Fwd.	Room 352			
By				

51 FEB 26 1969

COVER PAGE

ADMINISTRATIVE:

By letter, dated 1/29/69, the New York Division indicated that they had failed to locate and interview MICHAEL SALVATORE CASALE regarding his possible involvements with the bombing of the patio and garage of JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. on the evening of 7/22/68.

I. SHOTGUN BLASTS AT THE RESIDENCE OF [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] ON EVENING 7/4/68

Sources and/or informants contacted previously concerning this matter indicated that they had no new data to report regarding the aforementioned incident.

II. BOMBING OF GRACE RANCH, TUCSON, ARIZONA, ON  
EVENING 7/21/68

Sources and/or informants contacted previously concerning this bombing indicated that they had no new data to report regarding the aforementioned bombing.

III. BOMBING OF PATIO AND GARAGE OF JOSEPH BONANNO, SR.  
ON EVENING 7/22/68

Sources and/or informants contacted previously concerning this bombing indicated that they had no new data to report regarding the aforementioned incident.

IV. BOMBING OF PATIO OF PETER JOSEPH NOTARO ON  
EVENING 8/16/68

Sources and/or informants contacted previously concerning this bombing indicated that they had no new additional data to report at this time regarding the aforementioned matter.

V. BOMBING OF METRIC AUTO PLASTIC COMPANY, 1926 NORTH  
STONE AVENUE, TUCSON, ARIZONA, ON EVENING 9/12/68

Sources and/or informants contacted previously concerning this bombing indicated that they had no new information to report at this time regarding this matter.

ADMINISTRATIVE:

b6  
b7C

- VI. BOMBING OF THE WIG SALON OF BEAUTY, 2739 EAST SPEEDWAY BOULEVARD, TUCSON, ARIZONA, ON EVENING 9/16/68

Sources and/or informants contacted previously concerning this bombing indicated that they had no additional data to report regarding the aforementioned incident.

- VII. BOMBING OF THE RESIDENCE OF [REDACTED] ON EVENING 9/16/68

Sources and/or informants contacted previously concerning this bombing indicated that they had no new data to report at this time concerning the above mentioned incident.

- VIII. BOMBING OF RESIDENCE OF EVO DE CONCINI, 2806 VIA ROTUNDA, TUCSON, ARIZONA, ON EVENING 9/18/68

Sources and/or informants contacted previously concerning this bombing indicated that they had no new information to report at this time regarding this incident.

- IX. ATTEMPTED BOMBING OF TEMPORARY RESIDENCE OF [REDACTED] ON EVENING 9/19/68

Sources and/or informants contacted previously concerning this attempted bombing indicated that they had no new data to report at this time concerning the aforementioned incident.

GENERAL DATA

There is no new data available on a general nature to report in this section subsequent to referenced report.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONb6  
b7C

Copy to: 1 - USA, Phoenix, Arizona

Report of: SA [REDACTED]  
Date: 2/13/69

Office: PHOENIX

Field Office File #: PX 166-293

Bureau File #: 166-3918

Title: BOMBINGS - TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA

Character: INTERSTATE TRANSPORTATION IN AID OF RACKETEERING -  
ARSON; ANTI - RACKETEERING

Synopsis: Subsequent to 12/26/68 up to 2/4/69, law enforcement officials Arizona and New York unable to provide any additional data regarding incidents, bombings, and/or attempted bombings except for following: On 2/4/69, [REDACTED] Tucson, Arizona PD, advised careful review of bombing of patio and garage residence of JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. on evening 7/22/68 determined no evidence available to definitely establish MICHAEL SALVATORE CASALE responsible for dynamiting. [REDACTED] contended he had received information from New York City PD who has a source who believes CASALE had been in Tucson on date in question and implicated in bombing. [REDACTED] said their department unable to establish this allegation and have gone so far as to check all motels, hotels and apartments in greater Tucson, Arizona area in effort to obtain information CASALE had been registered before, during or immediately after pertinent period which met with negative results. [REDACTED] advised Judge RICHARD ROYLSTON dismissed charges against [REDACTED] of planting explosive to do physical harm and attempted grand theft in connection with bombing of Wig Salon of Beauty on 9/16/68. [REDACTED] noted charges dismissed on 1/21/69.

-C-

## DETAILS:

I. SHOTGUN BLASTS AT THE RESIDENCE OF [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] ON EVENING OF JULY 4, 1968

Subsequent to December 26, 1968 and up to February 4, 1969, [REDACTED] Pima County Sheriff's Office, Tucson, Arizona, has been contacted on numerous occasions concerning the above incident and has been unable to provide any

additional data either through investigation or sources available to their agency regarding this matter. Moreover, there is no evidence whatsoever to indicate any interstate movement in connection with this incident.

II. BOMBING OF GRACE RANCH, TUCSON, ARIZONA, ON  
THE EVENING OF JULY 21, 1968

Subsequent to December 26, 1968 and up to February 4, 1969, [redacted] Pima County Sheriff's Office, Tucson, Arizona, has been contacted on numerous occasions concerning the above bombing and has been unable to provide any data either through investigation or sources available to that agency regarding this bombing. [redacted] has stated that he is unable to provide any evidence to indicate interstate movement in connection with the aforementioned matter.

III. BOMBING OF PATIO AND GARAGE OF JOSEPH BONANNO,  
SR. ON THE EVENING OF JULY 22, 1968

On February 4, 1969, [redacted] Special Investigations Unit, Tucson, Arizona Police Department, advised that a careful review of the bombing of the patio and garage of the residence of JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. on the evening of July 22, 1968 determined that there is no evidence available to definitely establish that MICHAEL SALVATORE CASALE was responsible for the dynamiting.

[redacted] contended that he had received information from the New York City Police Department who has a source who believes CASALE had been in Tucson, Arizona on the date in question and was implicated in the bombing. [redacted]

[redacted] said that their department has been unable to establish this allegation to date and have gone so far as to check all of the motels, hotels and apartments in the greater Tucson, Arizona area in an effort to obtain information that CASALE had been registered before, during or immediately after the pertinent period which met with negative results.

IV. BOMBING OF PATIO OF PETER JOSEPH NOTARO ON  
THE EVENING OF AUGUST 16, 1968

Subsequent to December 26, 1968 and up to February 4,

1969, [REDACTED] Special Investigations Unit, Tucson, Arizona Police Department, has been contacted on numerous occasions concerning the above bombing and has been unable to provide any additional data either through investigation or sources of information available to their department regarding this matter. Moreover, there is no evidence to indicate any interstate movement in connection with the above occurrence.

V. BOMBING OF METRIC AUTO PLASTIC COMPANY,  
1926 NORTH STONE AVENUE, TUCSON, ARIZONA,  
ON THE EVENING OF SEPTEMBER 12, 1968

Subsequent to December 26, 1968 and up to February 4, 1969, [REDACTED] Special Investigations Unit, Tucson, Arizona Police Department, has been contacted on several occasions concerning the above bombing and has been unable to provide any additional information either through investigation or sources available to their department regarding this incident. Moreover, there is no evidence to indicate any interstate movement whatsoever in connection with the above bombing.

VI. BOMBING OF THE WIG-SALON OF BEAUTY, 2739  
EAST SPEEDWAY BOULEVARD, TUCSON, ARIZONA,  
ON THE EVENING OF SEPTEMBER 16, 1968

On January 22, 1969, [REDACTED] Special Investigations Unit, Tucson, Arizona Police Department, advised that Judge RICHARD ROYLSTON dismissed the charges against [REDACTED] of planting an explosive to do physical harm and attempting grand theft in connection with the bombing of the Wig-Salon of Beauty on September 16, 1968. [REDACTED] noted that these charges were dismissed against [REDACTED] of the Wig-Salon of Beauty, on January 21, 1969.

VII. BOMBING OF THE RESIDENCE OF [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] ON THE EVENING OF SEPTEMBER 16, 1968

Subsequent to December 26, 1968 and up to February 4, 1969, [REDACTED] Special Investigations Unit, Tucson, Arizona Police Department, has been contacted

on numerous occasions concerning the above bombing and has been unable to provide any additional data either through investigation or sources available to their agency regarding this matter. Moreover, there is no evidence to indicate any interstate movement whatsoever in connection with the above occurrence.

b6  
b7C

VIII. BOMBING OF RESIDENCE OF EVO DE CONCINI,  
2806 VIA ROTUNDA, TUCSON, ARIZONA; ON  
THE EVENING OF SEPTEMBER 18, 1968

Subsequent to December 26, 1968 and up to February 4, 1969, [REDACTED] Special Investigations Unit, Tucson, Arizona Police Department, has been contacted concerning the above bombing and has been unable to provide any additional information either through investigation or sources available to their department regarding this matter. Moreover, there is no evidence to indicate that there is any interstate movement whatsoever in connection with this incident.

IX. ATTEMPTED BOMBING OF TEMPORARY RESIDENCE  
OF [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] ON THE EVENING OF  
SEPTEMBER 19, 1968

Subsequent to December 26, 1968 and up to February 4, 1969, [REDACTED] Special Investigations Unit, Tucson, Arizona Police Department, has been contacted on different occasions concerning the above attempted bombing and has been unable to provide any additional significant data obtained through investigation or sources known to their department regarding this incident. Moreover, there is no evidence to indicate that there is any interstate movement whatsoever in connection with the above attempted bombing.

Date 7/25/69

To:

☒ Director

166-3918

PX 166-293

Att.: \_\_\_\_\_

☐ SAC \_\_\_\_\_

☐ ASAC \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Supv. \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Agent \_\_\_\_\_

☐ SE \_\_\_\_\_

☐ IC \_\_\_\_\_

☐ CC \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Steno \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Clerk \_\_\_\_\_

Title

BOMBINGS, TUCSON,  
ARIZONA AREA  
ITAR - ARSON; AR

OO: PX

RE: PX airtel, 7/24/69.

☐ Rotor #: \_\_\_\_\_

ACTION DESIRED

b6

b7C

☐ Acknowledge

☐ Assign \_\_\_\_\_ Reassign \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Bring file

☐ Call me

☐ Correct

☐ Deadline \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Deadline passed

☐ Delinquent

☐ Discontinue

☐ Expedite

☐ File

☐ For information

☐ Handle

☐ Initial & return

☐ Leads need attention

☐ Return with explanation or notation as to action taken.

☐ Open Case

☐ Prepare lead cards

☐ Prepare tickler

☐ Return assignment card

☐ Return file

☐ Search and return

☐ See me

☐ Serial # \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Post ☐ Recharge ☐ Return

☐ Send to \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Submit new charge out

☐ Submit report by \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Type

Please correct Paragraph 2, Line 6  
Word 2 to 'Charges', rather than "Charles".  
Phoenix copies corrected.

SAC

JOHN P. MULL, JR.

See reverse side

Office PHOENIX

INCONS JUL 31 1969

*act Cons. 8/11/69*

10

JUL 29 '69

FBI

U S DEPT OF JUSTICE

JUL 29 9 36 AM '69

RECEIVED  
SPECIAL INVESTIGATIVE  
DIVISION

F B I

Date: 7/24/69

Transmit the following in \_\_\_\_\_  
(Type in plaintext or code)Via A I R T E L AIRMAIL  
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (166-3918)

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (166-293) (P)

SUBJECT: BOMBINGS, TUCSON,  
ARIZONA AREA  
ITAR - ARSON; AR

OO: PX

Re PX tel to Bureau, 7/24/69.

The following is investigation by the Criminal Investigation Section, Arizona Department of Public Safety, with subsequent assistance by the Tucson Police Department:

Testimony was heard by Superior Court Judge JACK MARKS in Tucson acting as magistrate and warrants were issued on July 21, 1969, charging [REDACTED] with state felony "placing or depositing explosives with intent to injure persons or property." ~~CHARGES~~ involve both subjects in one count of bombing residence of JOSEPH BONANNO, SR., 1847 East Elm Street, July 22, 1968, and [REDACTED] only charged in a second count with bombing of the residence of PETER NOTARO, 1331 North Rosemont, August 16, 1968, both Tucson. Investigation predicated on information furnished by one [REDACTED]

- ② - Bureau (RM) 1 - cc [REDACTED]  
9 - Phoenix  
(1 - 92-28) (1 - 92-806)  
(1 - 92-594) (1 - 92-524)  
(1 - 92-511) (1 - 92-889)  
(1 - [REDACTED])

ST-106

JUL 26 1969

Approved: [REDACTED]

Sent [REDACTED]

Special Agent in Charge

55 AUG 1 1969

b6  
b7Cb6  
b7Cb6  
b7Cb6  
b7C  
b7Db6  
b7C

PX 166-293

[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

Pima County Attorney [REDACTED] furnished  
from his file copies of investigators' reports. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Tucson interim Chief of Police WILLIAM J. GILKINSON (NA), said they have no prior information regarding background of [REDACTED] except one arrest for [REDACTED] in March, 1969. GILKINSON also said that State investigators only very recently apprised that department of the investigation.

b6  
b7C

There is no information currently available as to identity of person [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] The Pima County Attorney and the Tucson Police Department have developed no information as to person [REDACTED]

The only Bureau [REDACTED]

PX 166-293

[redacted]  
Agent who works on organized criminal and/or bombing matters [redacted]  
SA [redacted] has advised that [redacted]  
are unknown to him and never mentioned previously by informants.

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C  
b7D

[redacted]  
Both [redacted] have been arrested and a preliminary hearing is scheduled before a Superior Court Judge at Tucson, Arizona, on July 29, 1969, at which time Pima County Attorney [redacted] anticipates that [redacted] will testify.

b6  
b7C

Investigation being conducted to obtain background information on [redacted]

b6  
b7C

This matter is being followed closely and the Bureau will be kept advised of all pertinent developments.

F B I

Date: 7/25/69

Transmit the following in \_\_\_\_\_  
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL \_\_\_\_\_  
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (166-3918)  
FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (166-293) (P)  
SUBJECT: BOMBINGS,  
TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA  
ITAR - ARSON; AR  
OO: PX

b6  
b7C

Remyteletype and airtel, 7/24/69.

Background data on suspects \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ plus \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ as obtained by investigation on 7/24/69, set  
forth as follows:

b6  
b7C

Records of the Tucson PD disclosed that suspect  
\_\_\_\_\_ arrested 9/8/63 for \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ last arrest was

b6  
b7C

on 7/22/69 as a suspect in the bombing of the residences  
of JOSEPH BONANNOS, SR. and PETER JOSEPH NOTARO at  
Tucson, which bombings occurred in July and August of  
1968, respectively.

Motor Vehicle Division (MVD) records revealed  
the following physical description of \_\_\_\_\_

b6  
b7C

Name \_\_\_\_\_  
Residence \_\_\_\_\_

2 - Bureau (RAM)  
2 - Phoenix

(4)

301

REC-42

166-3918-23 G.F.


12 JUL 28 1969

Approved: \_\_\_\_\_  
Special Agent in Charge

Sent \_\_\_\_\_ M Per \_\_\_\_\_

PX 166-293

b6  
b7C



b6  
b7C

For the additional information of the Bureau, investigation set forth by state authorities noted that




b6  
b7C




State authorities also report that [redacted] [redacted] has purchased several vehicles in the past approximately year and has been doing a considerable amount of racing on the west coast.

b6  
b7C

State authorities during their interrogation



b6  
b7C  
b7D



County Attorney [redacted] advised that in his opinion they believe [redacted] could be behind [redacted] and the bombings.

PX 166-293

[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

Race	White
Sex	Male
Born	[REDACTED]
Hair	Brown
Eyes	Blue
Height	5 feet 9
Weight	150
Occupation	[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

These same records disclose [REDACTED] Arizona driver's license has been suspended for two months (7-23-69 to 9-23-69) for numerous traffic citations.

Records of the Tucson PD disclose that the only arrest for [REDACTED] was that of being suspect in the bombing of the JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. home in July, 1968.

b6  
b7C

Records of the MVD describe [REDACTED] as follows:

Name	[REDACTED]
Address	[REDACTED]
Race	White
Sex	Male
Born	[REDACTED]
Height	6 feet 1
Weight	160
Hair	Blond
Eyes	Blue
Occupation	[REDACTED]

Records of the Tucson PD disclose [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

PX 166-293

[redacted] and  
advised on 7/25/69 that suspect [redacted]

Moreover, [redacted] was fired earlier this year by the former general manager and has been racing cars on the west coast since this time.

Informant went on to relate that he was personally shocked to learn that [redacted] has been picked up as a suspect in the bombings. Informant noted that the other individual who was arrested as a suspect in assisting [redacted] by the name of [redacted]

Informant said that both of these arrests caught him by complete surprise and he never received any indication [redacted] were involved in any bombings and if he had he would have advised the contacting Agent immediately. Informant also categorically denied having anything to do with any bombings in the Tucson, Arizona area.

Investigation continuing to obtain more background data on [redacted] Bureau will be kept advised of further developments.

b6  
b7C  
b7D  
7/25/69  
**SPECIAL INVESTIGATIVE DIVISION**

Local authorities in Arizona have charged two individuals with 1968 bombings which occurred in Tucson, Arizona. [redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted] SA [redacted] advises he does not know either subject or [redacted]

Matter being followed by Phoenix Office in view of possibility reference to [redacted] may come up during preliminary hearing and if so allegation will be promptly refuted.

[redacted]

SAC MUEL  
So must have time  
7/24

FBI WASH DC

FBI PHOENIX

1XXX-220XXXXXX 229 PM URGENT 7-24-69

TO DIRECTOR 166-3918

FROM PHOENIX 166-293 3 PGS

Interstate Transportation in Aid of Racketeering

Anti-racketeering

BOMBINGS - TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA. ~~ITAR~~ - ARSON; AR.

[REDACTED] WERE  
INDICTED JULY TWENTY-TWO LAST, PIMA COUNTY, ON BOMBINGS OF  
RESIDENCES OF JOSEPH BONANNO, JULY TWENTY-TWO, NINETEEN  
SIXTY EIGHT, AND PETER NOTARO, AUGUST SIXTEEN, NINETEEN  
SIXTY-EIGHT, FOLLOWING INVESTIGATION BY STATE OFFICIALS.  
INITIALLY, AND RECENTLY BY TUCSON, ARIZONA POLICE DEPARTMENT  
ON INFORMATION FURNISHED STATE OFFICERS BY [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] PIMA COUNTY ATTORNEY [REDACTED] HAS

FURNISHED COPIES OF STATE OFFICERS REPORTS IN WHICH [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

END P 1

5 5 AUG 13 1969

MR. DELOACH FOR THE DIRECTOR

Sullivan  
Mr. Tavel  
Mr. Trotter  
Tele. Room  
Miss Holmes  
Miss Gandy

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C  
b7D

b6  
b7C  
b7D

b6  
b7C

10 1969

WIT

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] COUNTY ATTORNEY [REDACTED] ADVISED HE ASSUMED,  
AS DID STATE INVESTIGATING OFFICERS, THEY TALKING ABOUT  
SA [REDACTED] BUT HAVE NO IDEA AS TO POSSIBLE IDENTITY  
OF [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

[REDACTED]  
PRELIMINARY HEARING SCHEDULED BEFORE SUPERIOR COURT  
JUDGE, TUCSON, ARIZONA, JULY TWENTY-NINE NEXT, WHICH WILL  
BE PUBLIC, AT WHICH TIME [REDACTED] WILL TESTIFY, AND ALLEGED  
CONNECTION OF FBI AGENTS [REDACTED] WILL BE BROUGHT OUT  
AS AN ADMISSION AGAINST INTEREST BY DEFENDANT [REDACTED]  
TUCSON NEWS MEDIA WILL, NO DOUBT, BE PRESENT AT PH. *Preliminary Hearing*

b6  
b7C

FOR INFORMATION OF BUREAU, SA [REDACTED] SAID  
DEFENDANTS AND [REDACTED] ARE UNKNOWN TO HIM.  
COUNTY ATTORNEY [REDACTED] HAS TALKED WITH <sup>United States Attorney</sup> ~~USA~~ RICHARD K.

b6  
b7C

BURKE [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

b5  
b6  
b7C

END P 2

PX 166-193

P 3

[REDACTED]

INVESTIGATION BEING CONDUCTED TO OBTAIN BACKGROUND OF  
DEFENDANTS AND [REDACTED] PHOENIX  
INDICES NEGATIVE. AIRTEL BEING SUBMITTED WITH MORE DETAILS.  
BUREAU WILL BE KEPT ADVISED.

PENDING.

END



FBI WASH DC

P

CC: MR. GALE

b5

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
FOI/PA  
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET  
FOI/PA# 1422139-000

Total Deleted Page(s) = 33

Page 19 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 20 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 22 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 32 ~ b5; b6; b7C;  
Page 33 ~ b5; b6; b7C;  
Page 48 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 65 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 66 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 67 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 68 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 85 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 86 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 87 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 88 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 89 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 90 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 91 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 92 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 93 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 94 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 95 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 96 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 97 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 98 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 99 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 100 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 101 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 102 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 103 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 104 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 105 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 106 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 107 ~ b6; b7C;

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX  
X Deleted Page(s) X  
X No Duplication Fee X  
X For this Page X  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

F B I

Date: 7/29/69

Transmit the following in \_\_\_\_\_  
(Type in plaintext or code)Via AIRTEL \_\_\_\_\_  
(Priority)b6  
b7C

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (166-3918)  
FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (166-293) (P)  
SUBJECT: BOMBINGS  
TUCSON, ARIZONA  
AREA  
ITAR - ARSON; AR

OO: PHOENIX

RePXairtel to Bureau dated 7/25/69.

County Attorney [redacted], advised  
SA [redacted] today that preliminary hearing for [redacted]  
and [redacted] was continued on motion of the state until  
8/12/69. He said the reason the prosecution asked for a con-  
tinuance was the absence of JOSEPH BONANNO, who did not appear.

b6  
b7C

REC-31

166-3918-25

5 JUL 31 1969



2 - Bureau  
2 - Phoenix

(1 - 92-28)  
(1 - 92-806)

(6)

b6  
b7C

Approved: 56 AUG 6 1969  
Special Agent in Charge

Sent \_\_\_\_\_ M Per \_\_\_\_\_

PLAINTEXT

8-7-69

TELETYPE

URGENT

COMMUNICATIONS SECTION  
FBI

AUG 7 1969

TELETYPE

TO SAC, PHOENIX (166-293)  
FROM DIRECTOR, FBI (166-3918)

b6  
b7C

BOMBINGS, TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA, ITAR - ARSON; AR

REBUTEL AUGUST FOUR LAST.

SUTEL DATE AND TITLE OF COMMUNICATION CONTAINING RESULTS  
OF REVIEW OF INTERVIEW TAPES IN THIS MATTER AND YOUR INTENDED  
COURSE OF ACTION.

NOTE: In connection with a series of bombings in Tucson, Arizona, local authorities have interviewed three individuals and have in their possession tape recordings of these interviews. Since these interviews allegedly contain information implicating [REDACTED] the Phoenix office was previously advised to review these tapes and furnish Bureau results. This teletype is being forwarded to accelerate their response to the Bureau if it has not already been sent.

b6  
b7C

REC 67

EX-10

166-3918-26  
19 AUG 7 1969

b6  
b7C

301  
Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
DeLoach \_\_\_\_\_  
Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Bishop \_\_\_\_\_  
Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
Gale \_\_\_\_\_  
Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Sullivan \_\_\_\_\_  
Tavel \_\_\_\_\_  
Trotter \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

MAIL ROOM ☐

TELETYPE UNIT ☒

**SPECIAL INVESTIGATIVE DIVISION**

**August 13, 1969**

# Preliminary hearing held yesterday for

in connection with local arrest for Tucson, Arizona, bombing. Hoodlum Salvatore Vincent Bonanno granted immunity and testified that on night of bombing of his father's residence he saw two individuals toss package over patio wall and then flee. He fired shotgun and thought he hit one of the subjects. He is unable to identify individuals or car they were using. [redacted] testified of conversations had with [redacted] in which they admitted participation in Tucson bombings and both stated to her that reason for bombings was that "an FBI friend wanted to cause fight in the Mafia." [redacted] also indicated to [redacted] that he had stored dynamite in a building at his residence. Hospital records, Tucson, indicated [redacted] received treatment on night of Bonanno's residence bombing for shotgun wounds. He was also seen at the hospital by a Tucson Police Officer who testified to this effect. [redacted] were bound over for trial on the Bonanno residence bombing. Tucson area news media carried this story but made no mention of the FBI.

b6

b7C

FBI WASH DC

COMMUNICATIONS SECTION  
FBI

FBI PHOENIX

AUG 13 1969

850PM 8/12/69 URGENT

TELETYPE

Mr. Cooper  
Mr. Callahan  
Mr. Conrad

Mr. Sullivan  
Mr. Tavel  
Mr. Trotter  
Tele. Room  
Miss Holmes  
Miss Gandy

TO DIRECTOR

ATTN: SPECIAL INVESTIGATIVE DIVISION

(166-3918)

FROM INSPECTOR

(PX 166-293) (FOUR PAGES)

Interstate Transportation in Aid of Racketeering Anti-racketeering  
BOMBINGS, TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA. ~~RETER~~ - ARSON; ~~AR~~ PHOENIX. Office of Origin

© BOMBINGS TUCSON ARIZONA AREA  
NR.

REMYTEL AUGUST ELEVEN LAST.

PRELIMINARY HEARING HELD PIMA COUNTY COURT, TUCSON

AUGUST TWELVE INSTANT FOR

ON LOCAL CHARGES OF BOMBING BONANNO RESIDENCE; ALSO  
CHARGES AGAINST ~~ALONE~~ FOR BOMBING OF NOTARO RESIDENCE.

SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO, ~~AKA~~ <sup>also known as</sup> BILL,

AND PETER JOSEPH NOTARO SWORN AS STATE WITNESSES AT OUTSET  
OF HEARING.

BONANNO, AFTER REQUESTING AND BEING GRANTED IMMUNITY,  
FOR TESTIMONY INVOLVING ANY ACTION HE TOOK NIGHT OF BOMBING,

TESTIFIED HE WAS DOING GUARD DUTY AT FATHER'S HOME DUE TO  
RECENT BOMBINGS AND VIOLENCE IN TUCSON AREA. DURING EVENING  
HE OBSERVED PACKAGE THROWN OVER PATIO WALL TOWARDS BARBECUE  
PIT. HE RUSHED TO ELEVATED PLATFORM WHICH PERMITTED HIM TO  
OBSERVE OVER PATIO WALL.

END PAGE ONE

5 5 AUG 28 1969 MR. DELOACH FOR THE DIRECTOR

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C

PAGE TWO

HE SAW TWO FIGURES FLEEING ON STREET AND FIRED SHOTGUN AT ONE AND SAW THIS FIGURE STUMBLE BUT REGAIN BALANCE. HE ALSO OBSERVED AUTO WITH LIGHTS OFF TURN INTO ALLEY AND STOP; ASSUMES THIS INDIVIDUAL HE SHOT AT FLED IN CAR. UNABLE TO STATE WHAT HAPPENED TO SECOND FIGURE. BONANNO WAS UNABLE TO GIVE ANY DESCRIPTION WHATEVER OF EITHER PERSON OR OF CAR.

[REDACTED] TESTIFIED [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] CAME TO RESIDENCE DURING THIS

PERIOD AND REMOVED TWO METAL BOXES FROM HIS AUTO AND PLACED IN SHED. HE WARNED [REDACTED] NOT TO ENTER SHED AND NOT TO PERMIT ANYONE ELSE AS DYNAMITE WAS STORED THERE. [REDACTED]

TESTIFIED [REDACTED] WHY BONANNO'S PLACE BOMBED AND

[REDACTED] REPLIED AN FBI FRIEND ASKED THEM TO BOMB BONANNO TO CAUSE TROUBLE IN MAFIA.

END PAGE TWO

b6  
b7C

PAGE THREE

[ ] TESTIFIED SEVERAL MONTHS LATER SHE [ ]

[ ] AT WHICH TIME HE ADMITTED BEING SHOT WHILE  
BOMBING BONANNO'S PLACE. [ ] RELATED SAME INFORMATION  
ABOUT BOMBING AS [ ] HAD. [ ] ALSO STATED REASON FOR  
BOMBING WAS AN FBI FRIEND WANTED TO CAUSE FIGHT IN MAFIA.

b6  
b7C

[ ] FURTHER TESTIFIED THAT ABOUT MARCH SIXTYNINE

[ ] DISCUSSED BONANNO BOMBING AGAIN WHEN [ ]

HAD BEEN DRINKING. IN ADDITION TO OTHER DETAILS ABOUT  
BOMBING, [ ] CLAIMED THEY BOMBED BONANNO'S QUOTE FOR  
[ ] HIS FBI FRIEND UNQUOTE. AT ST. MARY'S HOSPITAL RECORD  
SHOWING [ ] WAS RECEIVED AT HOSPITAL NIGHT OF JULY  
TWENTYTWO, SIXTYEIGHT AND TREATED FOR SHOTGUN WOUNDS WAS  
ENTERED IN EVIDENCE.

TUCSON POLICE OFFICER [ ] TESTIFIED HE WAS AT  
ST. MARY'S HOSPITAL ON THAT EVENING FOR PERSONAL APPOINTMENT  
AND OBSERVED [ ] LYING ON BED AND BEING TREATED FOR  
SHOTGUN WOUNDS. [ ] HAS KNOWN [ ] FOR SIX YEARS;

b6  
b7C

[ ] WAS EVASIVE RE DETAILS OF SHOOTING AND FINALLY CLAIMED  
HE HAD BEEN ACCIDENTALLY SHOT BY A FRIEND. [ ] TOOK NO

END PAGE THREE

PAGE FOUR

FURTHER ACTION AND MADE NO OFFICIAL REPORT (HOSPITAL IS IN COUNTY JURISDICTION).

THIS CONCLUDED TESTIMONY OF WITNESSES OFFERED BY STATE AND COUNTY ATTORNEY [REDACTED] THEN PETITIONED COURT TO DISMISS COUNT TWO OF COMPLAINT AGAINST [REDACTED] WHICH CHARGED HIM WITH NOTARO BOMBING, STATING THERE WAS A LACK OF EVIDENCE. THIS PETITION WAS GRANTED AND THIS COUNT WAS DISMISSED.

[REDACTED] SUBSEQUENTLY ADVISED INSPECTOR THAT HE TOOK THIS ACTION BECAUSE OF THE INFORMATION FROM TWO DIFFERENT SOURCES, ALLEGING TWO DIFFERENT SUBJECTS ([REDACTED]) [REDACTED] STATED AT THIS TIME THERE IS NO OTHER EVIDENCE TO LINK EITHER WITH CRIME AND HE FELT BEST COURSE WAS SIMPLY TO REQUEST DISMISSAL.

[REDACTED] WERE BOTH BOUND OVER FOR TRIAL ON THE BONANNO CHARGE.

LAST EDITION OF EVENING PAPER CARRIES STORY WITHOUT ANY MENTION OF FBI. NEWS COVERAGE ON RADIO AND TV THROUGH SEVEN PM, MST, LIKEWISE MADE NO MENTION FBI.

BUREAU WILL BE KEPT ADVISED OF FURTHER DEVELOPMENTS.

END

[REDACTED]  
FBI WASH DC  
CC-MR. ROSEN  
P CC: MR. GALE

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C  
b7D

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C


August 15, 1969, b6

SPECIAL INVESTIGATIVE DIVISION b7C


Tucson Police Department office b7D  
have reinterviewed [redacted]

[redacted] former Bureau informant,  
in connection with the Tucson  
bombings. These officers claim that  
[redacted] has furnished some addition-  
al information clarifying points of  
discrepancy in information previously  
given by him but gave no additional  
information of assistance to local  
prosecution.

Phoenix Office, having been  
instructed to discontinue, is  
preparing a report and requests  
instructions as whether or not a b6  
copy of this report should be b7C  
furnished to U. S. Attorney, Phoenix.  
Copies of previous reports in this  
matter have been furnished to U.S.  
Attorney, Phoenix, and Phoenix Office  
will be instructed to submit to him  
a copy of report now being prepared.



*[Handwritten signature]*



COMMUNICATIONS SECTION  
FBI

AUG 14 1969

TELETYPE

BI WASH DC

09PM URGENT 8-14-69

TO DIRECTOR (166-3918)

FROM PHOENIX (166-293) (2P)

Mr. Tolson	
Mr. Belmont	
Mr. Mohr	
Mr. DeLoach	
Mr. Casper	
Mr. Callahan	
Mr. Conrad	
Mr. Felt	
Mr. Gale	
Mr. Rosen	
Mr. Sullivan	
Mr. Tavel	
Mr. Trotter	
Tele. Room	
Miss Holmes	
Miss Gandy	

ATTENTION SPECIAL INVESTIGATIVE DIVISION.

~~Interstate Transportation in Aid of Racketeering~~

~~BOMBINGS, TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA.~~ ARSON; ~~Anti-racketeering~~

Office of Origin

PHOENIX.

REFERENCE

~~TELETYPE~~ FROM INSPECTOR [REDACTED] TO DIRECTOR

AUGUST THIRTEEN LAST.

Police Department

ON AUGUST THIRTEEN LAST, TUCSON OFFICERS [REDACTED]

(NATIONAL ACADEMY)

AND [REDACTED]

ADVISED THEY HAD THAT

EVENING REINTERVIEWED [REDACTED] AT WHICH TIME

HE FURNISHED THEM SOME DETAILS WHICH HE CLAIMS HAD NOT

PREVIOUSLY COME TO HIS MIND AND CLARIFIED FOR THEM SOME

POINTS OF DISCREPANCIES BETWEEN INFORMATION GIVEN BY HIM

TO THE LOCAL AUTHORITIES PREVIOUSLY, AND THAT GIVEN TO BUREAU

REPRESENTATIVES ON THE INTERVIEW WITH HIM ON AUGUST SEVEN LAST.

BOTH OFFICERS ADVISED BUAGENTS THAT [REDACTED] HAD NO ADDITIONAL

INFORMATION WHICH WOULD BE OF ASSISTANCE TO THE LOCAL

END PAGE ONE

REC-49 166-3918-28

AUG 21 1969

70 AUG 29 1969

AGE TWO

PX 166-293

INVESTIGATION OF A CORROBORATIVE OR EVIDENTIARY NATURE.

[REDACTED] ON AUGUST THIRTEEN LAST ALSO FURNISHED COPIES  
OF THE CASE REPORT INVOLVING ARSON WHEREIN ON AUGUST SEVEN  
SIXTYEIGHT A CAR BELONGING TO [REDACTED] WAS PARTIALLY  
BURNED IN THE GARAGE WHERE IT WAS PARKED AT [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED] THIS INCIDENT HAD BEEN RELATED TO THE  
Police Department  
IN ONE OF THE MAY INTERVIEWS HAD WITH [REDACTED] HOWEVER  
Police Department  
THE [REDACTED] HAD BEEN UNABLE PREVIOUSLY TO LOCATE THE CASE REPORT.

b6  
b7C  
b7D

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

REPORT BEING PREPARED AND BUREAU REQUESTED TO ADVISE  
United States Attorney  
WHETHER A COPY SHOULD BE FURNISHED TO [REDACTED], PHOENIX.

IN VIEW OF BUREAU INSTRUCTIONS TO DISCONTINUE INVESTIGATION,  
Unless Advised to Contrary by Bureau  
DAILY TELETYPE SUMMARY BEING DISCONTINUED, [REDACTED], AND BUREAU  
WILL BE IMMEDIATELY ADVISED ANY PERTINENT DEVELOPMENTS.

END

[REDACTED]

FBI WASH DC

P

b6  
b7C



**FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535**

1 - Mr. Gale

(Attn: )

Room 1541)

1 - Mr. Conrad

1 -

1 -

To: **FBI, Phoenix (166-293)**

Date: **August 20, 1969**

Re: **BOMBINGS  
TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA  
ITAR - ARSON, AR  
OO: PHOENIX**

*J. Edgar Hoover*  
John Edgar Hoover, Director

FBI File No. **166-3918 - 33**  
Lab. No. **E-811-MB**

Examination requested by: **FBI, Phoenix**

Reference: **Airtel 8/10/69**

Examination requested: **Engineering**


Remarks:

For the information of Phoenix, the FBI Laboratory does not normally make tape recording transcriptions, inasmuch as investigative personnel more familiar with names, dates, facts, and other matters pertinent to the investigation are ordinarily better able to resolve questionable portions of the tape.

The distortions on Q1 were created at the time of the recording and are therefore not filterable. Other interfering signals were filtered to increase intelligibility.

Enclosures (2) (2 Lab Reports)

- 1 - New York (info) (1 Lab Report)
- 1 - San Francisco (info) (1 Lab Report)



**SEP 2 1969**

ADMINISTRATIVE PAGE

MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C

# REPORT of the



## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535

1 - Mr. Gale

(Attn; )

Room 1541)

1 - 1 - 1 - 

To: FBI, Phoenix (166-293)

Date: August 20, 1969

FBI File No. 166-3918

Lab. No. E-811-MB

Re: BOMBINGS  
TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA  
ITAR - ARSON; AR  
OO: PHOENIX

Specimens received 8/13/69


Q1 One 5-inch reel of 1/4-inch magnetic tape which is a recording of an interview conducted by Tucson PD with Salvatore William Bonanno.

b6  
b7C

### Results of Examination:

A half-track, monaural, filtered copy of Q1 was made at a speed of 3 3/4 inches per second.

Q1, and a single reel filtered copy of Q1, are being forwarded to you under separate cover via registered mail.

b6  
b7C

(9)

Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
DeLoach \_\_\_\_\_  
Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Bishop \_\_\_\_\_  
Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
Gale \_\_\_\_\_  
Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Sullivan \_\_\_\_\_  
Tavel \_\_\_\_\_  
Trotter \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

RECORDED  
8/14/69

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

NO LAB FILE

b6  
b7C

Laboratory Work Sheet

Re: BOMBINGS  
TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA  
ITAR - ARSON; AR  
OO: PHOENIX

File # 166-3918 - 33  
Lab. # E-811-MB

Examination requested by: SAC, Phoenix (166-293) (Atl 8/10/69)

Examination requested: Engineering

Date received: 8/13/69

Result of Examination:

Examination by:

5" reel (Q1) was marked with 3 serials  
on side 1 & 2 of the reel below the mark  
Faded copy made of Q1 1/2 track monaural  
side one + part of side two at 3 3/4 ips. on a  
7" reel 1/4" magnetic tape.

Specimens submitted for examination

Q1 Tape reporting interview conducted by Tucson PD with  
Salvatore William Bonanno

Lab Report to,  
FBO, Phoenix

8/18/69

b6  
b7C

F B I

Date: 8/10/69

Transmit the following in \_\_\_\_\_  
(Type in plaintext or code)Via AIRTEL \_\_\_\_\_  
(Priority)TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (166-3918)  
ATTN: FBI LABORATORY

FROM: PHOENIX (166-293) (P)

BOMBINGSTUCSON, ARIZONA AREA

ITAR - ARSON; AR

OO: PHOENIX

Enclosed is tape reporting interview conducted by  
Tucson PD with SALVATORE WILLIAM BONANNO. *am*Laboratory is requested to clean the tape, transcribe  
it, and furnish Phoenix, New York and San Francisco with  
copy as soon as possible.*coordinated with Mr. Felt,  
Div. 10. & Cannon, Div. 9.*

- 3 - Bureau (Enc 1) (REGISTERED AIRMAIL)  
 1 - New York (Info)  
 1 - San Francisco (Info)  
 2 - Phoenix

(7)

*1cc Radio Eng.  
Lab Report to  
FBI Phoenix**8/19/69*

Approved: \_\_\_\_\_

Special Agent in Charge

Sent \_\_\_\_\_ M Per \_\_\_\_\_

REC-15

166-3918-33

NOT RECORDED

14 AUG 12 1969

AUG 13 15 44 PM '69

FBI - PHOENIX  
REC'Db6  
b7cb6  
b7c

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535

DATE: 8-21-69

TO: SAC PHOENIX (166-293)

Re: BOMBINGS  
TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA  
ITAR-ARSON; AR  
OO: PHOENIX

Invoice of Contents

Q1 TAPE REPORTING INTERVIEW CONDUCTED BY TUCSON PD WITH SALVATORE WILLIAM BONANNO

☐ Crypt.-Trans.

☐ Document

☐ [Redacted]  
☐ [Redacted]  
☐ [Redacted]

b6  
Agineeri b7C

EVIDENCE

EVIDENCE  
716980

MAILED 7  
AUG 21 1969  
COMM-FBI

EVIDENCE

[Redacted] EVIDENCE

Special Instructions:

Mail Room: Show shipment date and registry number.  
Shipping Room: Show shipment date; bill of lading number;  
initial invoice; return to Section checked in block; after  
initialing in block, invoice to be placed in administrative file.

FBI File No.

166-3918

301  
57 AUG 25 1969  
REGISTERED MAIL

FBI WASH DC

FBI PHOENIX

1152PM 8/7/69 URGENT

COMMUNICATIONS SECTION  
FBI  
AUG 8  
TELETYPE

b6  
b7C  
Mr. Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. DeLoach ☒ \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Bishop \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Callahan ☒ \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Gale \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Sullivan \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Tavel \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Trotter \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

TO DIRECTOR (166-3918)

FROM PHOENIX (166-293) (3-P)

Interstate Transportation in Aid of Racketeering  
BOMBINGS, TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA, ITAR - ARSON; AR

Anti-racketeering

RE PHOENIX TEL TO BUREAU INSTANT DATE.

[REDACTED] INTERVIEWED UNDER OATH IN DETAIL AT PHOENIX  
OFFICE THIS NIGHT AND ALL ALLEGATIONS MADE BY [REDACTED]  
DISCUSSED. [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

[REDACTED] WHICH ALLEGATIONS DENIED BY  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

END PAGE ONE  
59 SEP 18 1969

PAGE TWO

b6  
b7C  
b7D

INVESTIGATION CONTINUING TUCSON IN EFFORT TO INTERVIEW  
ALL PERSONS MENTIONED IN REPORTS RECEIVED BY TUCSON PD  
IN CONNECTION WITH BOMBINGS AND TO OBTAIN STATEMENT  
PREVIOUSLY FURNISHED PD BY SALVATORE WILLIAM BONANNO  
WHICH IS NOT YET OBTAINABLE. ATTEMPTS ALSO BEING MADE TO  
INTERVIEW [REDACTED] WHICH MAY BE NECESSARY TO  
DO IN PRESENCE OF THEIR ATTORNEYS AT SUGGESTION OF USA  
PHOENIX. SA [REDACTED] STILL OF OPINION THROUGH CONTACT  
WITH INFORMANTS THAT [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C  
b7D

END PAGE TWO



F B I

Date: 8/5/69

Transmit the following in \_\_\_\_\_  
(Type in plaintext or code)Via A I R T E L   R E G I S T E R E D   A I R   M A I L  
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (166-3918)

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (166-293) P

BOMBINGS  
TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA  
ITAR - ARSON; AR

OO: Phoenix

Re Phoenix airtel to Bureau, 8/1/69; Bureau tel to Phoenix, 8/4/69; and Phoenix airtel to Bureau, 8/4/69, Xerox copies of which are enclosed for San Francisco, for background information in connection with contemplated future interview with SALVATORE WILLIAM BONANNO.

For the information of the Bureau, approximately eight hours of tapes on interviews with [redacted] were reviewed in detail on 8/4 and 5/69

These interviews were conducted by [redacted] Arizona State Department of Public Safety, and Tucson, Arizona, Police Department, on 7/31/69, at Tucson, Arizona. A major portion of the interview with [redacted] however, is unreadable, and inquiry at Arizona State Department of Public Safety who have the originals has revealed that these originals are also unreadable. It is further noted that specific dates are not mentioned during the interviews with these individuals.

- 1-CCD + re
- 2 - Bureau (RAM)
  - 2 - New York City (RAM)
  - 1 - San Francisco - Enc. (3) (RAM)
  - 9 - Phoenix

EX-104

REC-65

166-3918-442

107

(1 - PX 92-715)  
(1 - PX 92-788)

(1 - PX 92-172)  
(1 - PX 92-787)

(14)

9-3  
AUG 7 1969

59 SEP 19 1969

Approved: \_\_\_\_\_

Special Agent in Charge

Sent \_\_\_\_\_

M

Per \_\_\_\_\_

PX 166-293

b6  
b7C

[redacted]  
The portions of these tapes that are readable are in wide variance with the information allegedly attributed to [redacted] as furnished the Bureau in Phoenix airtel dated 8/1/69.

b6  
b7C  
b7D

[redacted] states that [redacted]  
[redacted]

In connection with the bombing of the EVO DE CONCINI residence and the first bombing of the Wig Shop at Tucson.

[redacted] states that [redacted]  
[redacted]  
[redacted]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

\_\_\_\_\_

In one other portion of the tape.

It is further noted that

In the interview with [REDACTED] he states

also indicates that

further states

During the interview of

No further details

It is noted that there are no tapes available on the reported interview with SALVATORE WILLIAM BONANNO by the Tucson Police Department; however, details of this interview are being obtained and a lead will be set out to San Francisco to interview BONANNO in detail regarding any allegations made by him.

For the further information of the Bureau, on 8/3/69  
advised [REDACTED]

b7D

PX 166-293

b6  
b7C

Informant stated that [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

For the further information of the Bureau, [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] Pima County Attorney, Tucson, Arizona, was personally  
contacted by SRA [REDACTED] on 8/4/69, and stated that he  
had no intentions or plans of presenting this matter to a Grand  
Jury, as previously indicated to the Bureau in Phoenix airtel of  
8/1/69.

b6  
b7C

From the above it would appear that [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

Investigation has been instituted by Phoenix to locate  
and interview [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

b6  
b7C

8/4/69


PLAINTEXT

TELETYPE

URGENT

TO SAC, PHOENIX (166-293)

FROM DIRECTOR, FBI (166-3918)



BOMBINGS, TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA, ITAR - ARSON: AR

THIS MATTER SHOULD BE VIGOROUSLY PURSUED AND ALLEGATIONS COMPLETELY AND PROMPTLY RESOLVED. IN THIS CONNECTION SECURE AFFIDAVIT FROM [REDACTED] AND SUBMIT YOUR VIEWS [REDACTED]

REGARDING DIRECT INTERVIEW OF [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] AND SALVATORE WILLIAM BONANNO.

UPON RECEIPT OF INTERVIEW TAPES FROM LOCAL AUTHORITIES IN THIS MATTER, THEY SHOULD BE IMMEDIATELY REVIEWED IN DETAIL AND THEREAFTER FURNISH BUREAU YOUR OBSERVATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING THE ALLEGATIONS AS WELL AS THE COURSE OF ACTION YOU INTEND TO FOLLOW.

NOTE: In connection with series of bombings in Tucson, Arizona, local authorities have interviewed three individuals and have in their possession tape recordings of these interviews. These interviews allegedly contain information implicating [REDACTED] in these bombings. Local authorities plan to furnish FBI, Phoenix, with tape recordings and Phoenix being instructed to vigorously pursue this matter and completely resolve all allegations.

Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
DeLoach \_\_\_\_\_  
Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Bishop \_\_\_\_\_  
Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
Gale \_\_\_\_\_  
Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Sullivan \_\_\_\_\_  
Tavel \_\_\_\_\_  
Trotter \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☒

REC-65

SEP 3 1969

SEP 11 1969

EX-104

F B I

Date: 8/4/69

Transmit the following in \_\_\_\_\_  
(Type in plaintext or code)Via Airtel Registered Air Mail  
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (166-3918)

FROM: PHOENIX (166-293) P

BOMBING  
TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA  
ITAR - ARSON; AR

OO: Phoenix

ReButel to Phoenix dated 8/4/69.

Enclosed is an affidavit furnished by [redacted]  
[redacted] in connection with the allegations previously described  
in Phoenix airtel, 8/1/69.

The interview tapes were received from local authorities  
on the afternoon of 8/4/69, are quite lengthy, and are in the  
process of being completely reviewed. Following a review of these  
tapes, it is believed that interviews with [redacted] and  
BONANNO should be attempted.

The Bureau will be further advised concerning  
information contained in the tapes and informed as to the  
course of action Phoenix intends to follow.

THE AFFIDAVIT WAS  
ATTACHED TO MY MEMO 8-13-69  
CAPTIONED "BOMBINGS, TUCSON, ARIZ.  
AREA. ITAR - ARSON - ANTI-RACIST TROOPS"

ENCLOSURE

REC-65

166-3918-44  
93  
AUG 8 1969

2 - Bureau - Enc. (1) (RAM)  
2 - Phoenix

EX-104

(4)

Approved: \_\_\_\_\_

Special Agent in Charge

Sent \_\_\_\_\_

M

Per \_\_\_\_\_

**SPECIAL INVESTIGATIVE DIVISION**

August 4, 1969. b6  
b7C

In connection with [redacted] series of bombing b7D incidents in Tucson, Arizona, local authorities recently arrested two individuals based on statements made by one [redacted]

[redacted]  
[redacted]  
Local authorities state they have recordings of recent interviews of three individuals, one a former Bureau informant, one a Bureau informant under development, and the third b6 being a hoodlum, Salvatore William Bonanno. b7C In these interviews, it is alleged that [redacted] b7D

[redacted] is involved as a participant and instigator in these bombings. It is noted that another Bureau informant advised [redacted]

[redacted]  
[redacted] SAC, Phoenix, is of opinion no credence could be placed on information secured from three above-mentioned individuals and it is a possible attempt to discredit the FBI and

[redacted]  
Copies of the interview tapes are being obtained by the Phoenix office for detailed review, following which Phoenix instructed to furnish Bureau observations and recommendations as to the allegations. Phoenix office also being instructed to vigorously pursue this matter to completely resolve all allegations. b6  
b7C

FBI

Date: 8/1/69

b6  
b7C

Mr. Tolson	
Mr. DeLoach	✓
Mr. Mohr	
Mr. Bishop	
Mr. Casper	
Mr. Callahan	
Mr. [redacted]	
Mr. [redacted]	
Mr. [redacted]	
Mr. [redacted]	
Mr. [redacted]	
Mr. [redacted]	
Mr. [redacted]	
Tele. Room	
Miss Holmes	
Miss Gandy	

Transmit the following in \_\_\_\_\_  
(Type in plaintext, or code)

Via Airtel Registered Air Mail  
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (166-3918)  
SAC, PHOENIX (166-293) P

BOMBINGS  
TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA  
ITAR - ARSON; AR - Anti-racketeering  
Interstate Transportation in Aid of Racketeering  
OO: Phoenix  
Office of Origin

Re Phoenix tel to Bureau, 7/24/69; and Phoenix airtels  
Bureau, 7/24, 25 and 29, 1969.

On 8/1/69, representatives of the Arizona State  
Department of Safety and the Tucson Police Department personally  
called at the Phoenix Office and advised [redacted]

[redacted] and SALVATORE WILLIAM BONANNO [redacted]  
which they agreed to reproduce as soon as possible and make  
available to the Phoenix Office, probably by Monday, 8/4/69.

[redacted] is alleged to have stated that SA [redacted]

- 2 - Bureau (RAM)
- 2 - New York City (RAM)
- 6 - Phoenix

(1 - PX 92-172)

(10)

EX-104  
REC-65

166-3918-45

AUG 4 1969

59 SEP 26 1969

Approved: \_\_\_\_\_ Sent \_\_\_\_\_ M Per \_\_\_\_\_

Special Agent in Charge

b6  
b7C  
b7D  
b7E

b6  
b7C  
b7D

b6  
b7C

PX 166-293

[redacted] is alleged to have stated [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] It is here noted that [redacted] has been charged and arrested by local authorities at Tucson in connection with the NOTARO bombing. For the additional information of the Bureau, which has previously been reported, Tucson police officials, subsequent to investigation of the DE CONCINO bombing, obtained positive identification of SALVATORE WILLIAM BONANNO being identified by the gardener at the DE CONCINO residence two hours prior to said bombing, driving in a vehicle which was rented by [redacted]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

[redacted] is also alleged to have stated that [redacted]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

BONANNO is alleged to have stated that he observed and obtained the license number from a Bureau car on nights when dynamite was tossed over the wall into the yard at the home of his father, JOSEPH BONANNO, and when dynamite was left in a package beneath his car at JOSEPH BONANNO's home. These charges allegedly were not detonated and BONANNO allegedly claimed he destroyed the dynamite.

For the information of the Bureau, [redacted] advised

b6  
b7C  
b7D

The investigators who called at the Phoenix Office advised that [redacted] Pima County Attorney, Tucson, Arizona, was considering presentation of this matter to a Grand Jury, but desired that the SAC contact him by telephone following the conclusion of their visit. The investigators

b6  
b7C

PX 166-293  
[redacted]

b6  
b7C

were informed that it was the belief of the Phoenix Office that no credence could be placed in information which they had received, and that it was possibly instituted by SALVATORE WILLIAM BONANNO in an attempt to discredit the FBI and SA [redacted]

On 8/1/69, SAC MULL telephoned [redacted] and expressed the opinion that BONANNO is behind this matter and that no credence should be placed in the information furnished by [redacted] or BONANNO. [redacted] was reminded of the fact that [redacted] has already been charged with the NOTARO bombing, which bombing [redacted] accuses [redacted] of handling. [redacted] was informed that the tapes obtained would be studied in detail by the Phoenix Office following receipt of such tapes from the Department of Safety, and that he would be contacted at a later date in this connection.

b6  
b7C  
b7D

The Bureau will be subsequently furnished more detailed information as to the allegations as soon as these tapes are made available to Phoenix.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
FOI/PA  
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET  
FOI/PA# 1422139-000

Total Deleted Page(s) = 116

Page 14 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 15 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 16 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 17 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 18 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 19 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 20 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 21 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 22 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 23 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 24 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 30 ~ Duplicate;  
Page 31 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 32 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 33 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 34 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 35 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 36 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 37 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 38 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 39 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 40 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 41 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 42 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 43 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 44 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 45 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 46 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 47 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 48 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 49 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 50 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 56 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 57 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 58 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 60 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 64 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 65 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 66 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 67 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 68 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 69 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 70 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 71 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 72 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 73 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 74 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 75 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 76 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 77 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 78 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 79 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 80 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 87 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 88 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 89 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 90 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 91 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 92 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 93 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 94 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 95 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 96 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 97 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 98 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 99 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 153 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;

Page 154 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 155 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 156 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 157 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 158 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 162 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 165 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 166 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 167 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 168 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 169 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 170 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 171 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 172 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 173 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 174 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 175 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 176 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 177 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 178 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 179 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 180 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 181 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 182 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 183 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 184 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 185 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 186 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 187 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 216 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 217 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 218 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 219 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 220 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 221 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 222 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 223 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 224 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 225 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 226 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 227 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 228 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 229 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 230 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 231 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 232 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 233 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 234 ~ b6; b7C;  
Page 235 ~ b6; b7C;

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX  
X Deleted Page(s) X  
X No Duplication Fee X  
X For this Page X  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE <b>PHOENIX</b>	OFFICE OF ORIGIN <b>PHOENIX</b>	DATE <b>8/22/69</b>	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD <b>7/18 - 8/14/69</b>	b3 b7E
TITLE OF CASE <b>BOMBINGS, TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA</b>		REPORT MADE BY SA <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 0 20px;"> </span>	TYPED BY <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 0 20px;"> </span>	
		CHARACTER OF CASE <b>ITAR - ARSON; AR</b>	b6 b7C	

REFERENCE: Phoenix teletype to the Bureau, 7/24/69.

## ADMINISTRATIVE:

Investigation in this case was discontinued 8/14/69  
on instructions from the Bureau.

The information contained on the following  
identified pages in the details of this report have been  
disseminated to the Tucson PD by separate communications

Pages 116 through 120;  
Pages 146 through 197;  
Pages 212 through 223;  
Pages 227 through 253;  
Pages 257 through 259.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NONE	ACQUIT- TALS	CASE HAS BEEN:
CONVIC.	AUTO.	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES		PENDING OVER ONE YEAR <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO

APPROVED

SPECIAL AGENT  
IN CHARGE

COPIES MADE:

- ③ - Bureau (166-3918) (RAM)  
1 - USA, Phoenix, Arizona  
3 - Phoenix (166-293)

DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW

REC- 73

166-3918-67  
AUG 25 1969  
REC 55  
EX 104

## Dissemination Record of Attached Report

Agency			
Request Recd.			
Date fwd.			
How			
By			

Notations

Ho  
Ry

1969

PAGE

SEP 16 1969

UNRECORDED COPY FILED IN

PX 166-293  
[redacted]

Appropriate dissemination is continuing based on a review of Phoenix files. Also, information that has been voluntarily furnished by other agencies and individuals is being disseminated.

Information has been received from Assistant U. S. Attorney [redacted] and [redacted] Tucson Police Department, that Pima County Attorney [redacted] has conferred with U. S. Attorney Dr. RICHARD K. BURKE, Phoenix, in an effort to have the government proceed in Federal court against the persons involved, including [redacted] possibly on a conspiracy to violate Section 241, Title 18, U. S. Code, specifically as regards the BONANNO and LICAVOLI bombings. [redacted] apparently feels he does not have evidence or corroboration of the information involving [redacted] except that which has been furnished by accomplices, which in his opinion is not sufficient for prosecutive action under Arizona statutes.

Since the outset of this investigation, Tucson Chief of Police WILLIAM J. GILKINSON (NA), and [redacted] have maintained close liaison, almost on a daily basis, with SA [redacted] and SA [redacted] when he has been in Tucson in connection with this matter. Both have been extremely cooperative in furnishing all information coming to their attention and have indicated a desire to continue close cooperative association with this Bureau.

Chief of Police GILKINSON and [redacted] have both advised that in their opinion SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO was not actually present at his father's residence the night of 7/22/68 and he in all probability furnished perjured testimony.

The following FD-302s and statements relating to this investigation are being set forth here in view of the extensive administrative data referred to therein.

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1

August 11, 1969

158-146  
[redacted] was interviewed at [redacted]b6  
b7C  
b7D

At the outset, [redacted] declined to be placed under oath or sign any statement relating to this interview. He explained that he was personally frightened and fearful of his life; therefore, he did not want to affix his signature to any document relating to the interview and he requested that the information furnished by him be maintained in the strictest of confidence.

b6  
b7C  
b7D

C - COVER PAGE

On 8/10/69

at [redacted]

File #

PHOENIX 166-293

b6  
b7C  
b7D

by SA [redacted] and SA [redacted]



Date dictated

8/10/69

BY 166-293



4



b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C  
b7D

b6  
b7C  
b7D

PX 166-293

[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED]

.b6  
b7C  
b7D

[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

b6  
b7C

PX 166-293

4

b6  
b7C  
b7D

b6  
b7C  
b7D

PX 166-293

b6  
b7C

5

b6  
b7C  
b7D

b6  
b7C  
b7D

b6  
b7C  
b7D

PX 166-293

b6  
b7C

6

b6  
b7C  
b7D

b6  
b7C  
b7D

H - COVER PAGE

PX 166-293

[REDACTED]

7

b6  
b7C



b6  
b7C  
b7D



b6  
b7C  
b7D



b6  
b7C  
b7D

DX 166-293

8

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C  
b7D

b6  
b7C  
b7D

PX 166-293

b6  
b7C

9

b6  
b7C  
b7D

PX 166-293

[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

LEAD:

PHOENIX DIVISION

AT TUCSON, ARIZONA

Will follow and report developments in connection  
with local prosecutive action as to [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

W\* - COVER PAGE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONCopy to: 1 - U. S. Attorney  
Phoenix, ArizonaReport of: SA [REDACTED]  
Date: August 22, 1969

Office: PHOENIX

b6  
b7C

Field Office File #: PX 166-293

Bureau File #: 166-3918

Title: BOMBINGS,  
TUCSON, ARIZONA AREACharacter: INTERSTATE TRANSPORTATION IN AID OF RACKETEERING -  
ARSON; ANTI-RACKETEERINGSynopsis: Information received from Pima County Attorney, Tucson,  
Arizona, regarding contemplated arrest of [REDACTED]b6  
b7C

[REDACTED] and [REDACTED] in connection with  
bombing of JOSEPH BONANNO residence, 7/22/68, and  
bombing of PETER NOTARO residence, 8/17/68. both  
Tucson. The information involving [REDACTED]  
in these bombings reportedly emanated from one [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] State officers, Tucson PD, and  
County Attorney, Tucson, received allegations from  
[REDACTED] implicating  
[REDACTED] with [REDACTED] and  
[REDACTED] arrested by local authorities, 7/21 - 22/69,  
and charged with above bombings. Preliminary hearing  
held, Superior Court, Tucson, 8/12/69. Charges re  
NOTARO bombing dismissed, but both were bound over  
for trial regarding BONANNO bombing. Investigation  
developed no corroborative or direct evidence to  
establish complicity of [REDACTED] except  
information furnished by alleged accomplices.

b6  
b7C  
b7Db6  
b7C

-P-

DETAILS:



b6  
b7C

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
1. Initial Information Resulting in Allegations that [redacted] was Involved in Bombings and Related Actions in Tucson, Arizona . . . . .	1
2. State Prosecutive Action against [redacted] . . . . .	53
3. Interviews with [redacted] by FBI and Local Authorities . . . . .	57
4. Incidents in which [redacted] Allegedly Involved . . . . .	135
5. Investigation Regarding Allegations Concerning [redacted] Alleged Association with [redacted] . . . . .	145
6. Investigation at Hospital Regarding Treatment of [redacted] for a Gunshot Wound, July 22, 1968 . . . . .	211
7. Investigation Conducted Regarding [redacted] Whereabouts on Pertinent Dates . . . . .	226
8. Background Data Regarding [redacted] . . . . .	254

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C  
b7D

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C

PX 166-293

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED]

INITIAL INFORMATION RESULTING IN DC, UVAH  
ALLEGATIONS THAT [REDACTED] WAS INVOLVED VA  
IN BOMBINGS AND RELATED ACTIONS IN TUCSON, ARIZONA

---

PX 166-293

[redacted]

On July 18, 1969, [redacted] Pima County Attorney, Tucson, Arizona, advised SA [redacted] that he had received information from [redacted] of the Arizona Department of Public Safety and from the Tucson Police Department that certain bombings which had occurred previously in Tucson were committed by one [redacted]

and [redacted] Also that [redacted]

[redacted] said that at that time, as of July 18, 1969, he had only the information from the investigators and the information from [redacted] which is set out herein after. He said also [redacted] information indicated that [redacted] had been injured in the bombing of the JOSEPH BONANNO house.

[redacted] said the investigators had found that on the night of the bombing of the JOSEPH BONANNO residence, July 22, 1968, the [redacted] was admitted to St. Mary's Hospital with gunshot wounds, claiming he was the victim of an accidental shooting from a shotgun in the hands of [redacted] which shooting occurred at the [redacted] residence in the Tucson Mountains.

[redacted] said the interview conducted by the state investigators with [redacted] was recorded; however, as of July 18, 1969, he had received only the first twenty pages and the balance of this recorded interview was not yet transcribed. He said upon receipt of the transcription of the remainder of this statement from the state officers, he would make same available. [redacted] statement is set out as follows:

PX 166-293



b6  
b7C

The following is an offense report furnished by [redacted] which is a report made by the Pima County Sheriff's Office on the admission of [redacted] to St. Mary's Hospital with gunshot wounds on the night of July 22, 1968. This report is set out as follows:

OFFENSE REPORT  
SHERIFF'S OFFICE — PIMA COUNTY, ARIZONA

REPORT NO. 53429

CONNECT-UP  
NOS.

b6

b7C

INCIDENT OR CRIME Fire Arms Accident TYPE Home INVESTIGATOR WAM 23

COMPLAINANT [REDACTED] MIDDLE NAME [REDACTED] LAST NAME [REDACTED] RACE [REDACTED]

ADDRESS [REDACTED] PHONE [REDACTED]

BUS. ADD. (OR PLACE OF BUSINESS) unknown BUS. PHONE [REDACTED]

WHERE OCCURRED [REDACTED]

WHEN OCCURRED, DAY OF WEEK Monday DATE 7-22-68 HOUR [REDACTED]

REPORTED TO Pima Co. Sheriff's Dept. DATE 7-22-68 HOUR 2230

REPORTED BY St. Marys ADDRESS [REDACTED] PHONE [REDACTED]

HOW REPORTED Phone REPORT TYPED BY [REDACTED]

PERSONS RESPONSIBLE OR SUSPECTED: (WHEN DESCRIPTION NECESSARY, EMBODY IN DETAILS BELOW)

	NAME	ADDRESS	CHARGE	BOOKING NUMBER
1.	[REDACTED]	Residence unknown, [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	b6
2.	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	b7C
3.	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	
4.	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	

DETAILS:

While on routine patrol 7-22-68 at 2250 hours, I was dispatched to St. Marys Hospital, reference Gun Shot Victim. Upon arrival at 2255 hours, I contacted the Complainant [REDACTED] who stated at approx. 2130 hours he received a blast on his right side from a shotgun that [REDACTED] (address unknown) was sighting in. The accident occurred at the residence in the [REDACTED]. No further information was available at this time from the compl. as an anesthetic was taking effect. Subject was being readied for surgery.

No further information was available at this time as no witnesses were present at the hospital. This case should be followed up later for details.

Secured at 2315 hours 7-22-68

SIGNED, OFFICER [REDACTED] BADGE [REDACTED] DATE 7-22-68 HOUR 0700

APPROVED BY [REDACTED] RANK [REDACTED] DATE 7-23-68 HOUR 1100

WITNESSES:

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE
NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE
NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE
NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE

"SUPPLEMENT"

18 July 1969

Investigating officer contacted [redacted] who is in charge of medical records at St. Mary's Hospital. [redacted] allowed this officer to examine the medical record of [redacted]

b6  
b7C

These records reveal that [redacted] was admitted to the hospital at 2200 hours on 22 July 1968. His doctor in this case was [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] He was admitted for treatment of a gun shot wound, which he reported as accidental. A report in the file, made by [redacted]

[redacted] indicates that [redacted] was struck in the right arm, side, buttocks, and back by what [redacted] describes as "bird shot" in his report. This same document reveals that [redacted] still has many of these pellets present in various parts of his anatomy. It should be noted that [redacted] and according to [redacted] would still have x-ray plates available showing the "bird shot" in [redacted] body.

There was nothing in the records to indicate any of the shot being recovered.

[redacted] was released from the hospital on 3 August 1968.

b6  
b7C

Due to the apparent wide pattern of the "shot" in [redacted] body, it is this officer's opinion he was at least fifty (50) feet, and perhaps further away from the person who fired the weapon that wounded him.

Neither [redacted] have been contacted to date.

CASE PENDING.

b6  
b7C

[redacted]

County Attorney [redacted] advised that the facts in his possession as of July 18, 1969, concerning the allegations were being presented that date to Superior Court Judge JACK MARKS, sitting as a magistrate (Rule 1, proceedings under Arizona statutes, commonly referred to in Arizona as a one-man grand jury), and that Judge MARKS would no doubt complete the taking of this testimony the next day after July 19, 1969, after which no doubt warrants would be issued for [redacted] and then a few days thereafter they would be afforded a preliminary hearing before another Superior Court judge to determine whether they should be bound over for trial.

On July 22, 1969, County Attorney [redacted] advised SA [redacted] that Superior Court Judge JACK MARKS had completed taking testimony on July 21, 1969, in the matter. [redacted] said the witnesses appearing before Judge MARKS were: [redacted] Arizona Department of Public Safety, [redacted] and Tucson Police Department [redacted] said [redacted] testified first relative to receiving the facts of [redacted] statement; [redacted] testified as to statements made by [redacted] and [redacted] testified as to the facts of the bombing of the JOSEPH BONANNO residence on July 22, 1968, and the PETER J. NOTARO patio bombing on August 16, 1968.

[redacted] said Judge MARKS, on July 21, 1969, ordered warrants issued for [redacted] on the BONANNO bombing and a second charge against [redacted] on the NOTARO bombing and recommended \$10,000 bond for each.

[redacted] on July 22, 1968, said he had received two typed reports from [redacted] concerning this matter and [redacted] made available these reports, which are as follows:

# ARIZONA HIGHWAY PATROL

7 July 1969

J.J. HEGARTY  
SUPERINTENDENT

## INTELLIGENCE REPORT

TO:

[Redacted]

Criminal Investigations

b6

b7C

SUBJECT:

Interview Reference Tucson Bombings

[Large Redacted Area]

b6

b7C

b7D

TO: [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

SUBJECT: Tucson Bombings

DATE: 13 July 1969

b6  
b7C

1. Information has been developed indicating that the below named subjects are responsible for five of the recent Tucson bombings:

SUSPECT #1 [REDACTED]

SUSPECT #2 [REDACTED]

The five bombings which officers feel these subjects are responsible for are:

#1 - Home of Joe Bonanno, 1847 E. Elm, Tucson, Arizona, Occurred 22 July 1968.

#2 - Business of [REDACTED] 2739 East Speedway, Tucson, Arizona (Salon de-Paris). Occurred 3 May 1969.

b6  
b7C

#3 - Business of [REDACTED] 4648 East Speedway, Tucson, Arizona (Italian Bakery). Occurred 3 May 1969.

#4 - Home of Peter Notaro, 1331 North Rosemont, Tucson, Arizona. Occurred 16 August 1968.

*Process*  
#5 - Vehicle of [REDACTED] Occurred 4 June 1969.

b6  
b7C

2. Information comes from a confidential informant [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

3. The informant claims [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

b6  
b7C  
b7D

10. Investigating Officers checked medical records at St. Mary's Hospital and determined that [redacted] was admitted on 22 July 1968, at 2200 hours. He told hospital authorities and Pima County Deputy Sheriff that he had been wounded by an accidental discharge of a shotgun at the home of [redacted] A report #53429 was made by [redacted] Pima County Sheriff's Office.
11. Officers checked records at the Tucson Police Department and found that bombing #1 occurred at approximately 2125 hours, on 22 July 1969. Tucson Police Department intelligence reports also indicate that at least one round was fired from a 12 guage shotgun by someone in the Bonanno home at the time of the bombing. This is information that had never been made available to the public or new media, and only someone preset at the scene could have known a shotgun was fired. These same intelligence reports also contain a witness description of a suspect vehicle which is basically that of an older compact Ford automobile.
12. Officers, at this point, have also verified that [redacted] purchased a 1969 Jaguar on 4 April 1969, which he paid for by check. The check was as payment in full and was made out for an amount in excess of six thousand four hundred dollars. Purchase of the other vehicles are unverified at this time.
13. [redacted] U.S.M.C.R., was contacted at Reserve Headquarters in Tucson. He and other reservists present said that [redacted] was a member of the local unit. They said he was

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C

presently assigned in Supply, however, had served two and one-half years on active duty with the 2nd Marines as a Combat Engineer. Part of his duties as a Combat Engineer would entail the use of dynamite and other explosives.

Through another source, officers learned that [redacted] is presently employed at Hughes, in the Phoenix Missile Project. He is considered an expert in his field, and according to the same source, definitely has the ability to build and install most types of bugging devices. (See previous report).

b6  
b7C

14. As stated in a previous report, it is this officer's opinion that the informant is sincere [redacted]

[redacted] At this point in the investigation, officers have been unable to disprove any information furnished by the informant.

b6  
b7C  
b7D

15. Case pending.

[redacted]

[redacted]

b6  
b7C

NOTE: Other information concerning different subjects and events will be contained in separate reports.

PX 166-293

[redacted] advised that the state investigators as well as the officers of the Tucson Police Department who were called into the investigation after it was started by the state authorities, assumed that [redacted]

[redacted] said with respect to the reference made by [redacted] [redacted] had received no information from either the state investigators or the Tucson Police Department as to the possible identity of this individual.

On July 22, 1969, Acting Chief of Police WILLIAM J. GILKINSON and [redacted] of the Tucson Police Department, both advised SA [redacted] that as of that time they had no information whatever concerning the background of [redacted]

[redacted] They said they were conducting an investigation in the matter in an effort to obtain this information as well as in an attempt to obtain some corroboration if possible of the story told by [redacted] Chief GILKINSON said that they had no previous knowledge or report from the Pima County Sheriff's Office until the state investigators obtained same with reference to [redacted] admission to St. Mary's Hospital with gunshot wounds the night of the BONANNO bombing. Chief GILKINSON said at the time officers of that department were called to the scene of the BONANNO bombing on the night of July 22, 1968, they did pick up an empty shotgun shell and had reports from persons in the neighborhood that there had been heard three distinct blasts, one of which was of lesser volume than the other two. Chief GILKINSON said he has advised officers of that department connected with this investigation to make full disclosure to SA [redacted] or Bureau Agents of information developed in this matter.

On August 4, 1969, Pima County Attorney [redacted] advised SA [redacted] that he had received from the state investigators the typed transcript of the remainder of the recorded interview with [redacted] a copy of which he made available and which is set out hereinafter.

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C  
b7D

b6  
b7C  
b7D

b6  
b7C  
b7D

PX 166-293



b6  
b7C

STATE PROSECUTIVE ACTION AGAINST



b6  
b7C

PX 166-293

b6  
b7C

On July 22, 1969, Pima County Attorney [redacted] advised that [redacted] was taken into custody on July 21, 1969, and on July 22, 1969, appeared before the judge represented by Attorney [redacted] of Tucson. [redacted] said the court granted a motion by the defendant for a reduction of bond from \$10,000 to \$1,500, after hearing testimony on behalf of the defendant, by [redacted]

b6  
b7C

was released on \$1,500 bond for a subsequent appearance at a preliminary hearing set for July 29, 1969. *WILLIAM J. GILKINSON*  
*BILL JACQUIN*

Tucson Chief of Police WILLIAM J. GILKINSON said on July 22, 1969, that [redacted] had not been taken into custody but that they had information he had left Tucson and they were checking to determine his whereabouts.

b6  
b7C

On July 23, 1969, [redacted] advised SA [redacted] [redacted] had been taken into custody, having been found during the night in a trailer camp at San Carlos Lake in Gila County, Arizona. With [redacted] at the time was a [redacted] of Tucson. [redacted] was brought to Tucson, where he was incarcerated in jail in default of \$10,000 bond, which was subsequently raised and he was released on bond to appear for preliminary hearing on July 29, 1969.

On July 29, 1969, County Attorney [redacted] advised the preliminary hearing for [redacted] was continued until August 12, 1969.

b6  
b7C

The preliminary hearing was held on August 12, 1969, before Superior Court Judge MARY ANN RICHEY, Tucson, Arizona, for [redacted] on the local charges of the bombings at the BONANNO and NOTARO residences, July 22, 1968, and August 16, 1968, respectively.

b6  
b7C

Attorney [redacted] represented [redacted] and Attorney [redacted] substituting for Attorney [redacted] who was ill, represented [redacted] County Attorney [redacted] moved for dismissal of Count II, which charged [redacted] with the NOTARO residence bombing,

PX 166-293

informing the court there was inadequate evidence at this time to sustain probable cause. The court found probable cause and both [redacted] were bound over for trial for the BONANNO bombing.

Witnesses testifying at the preliminary hearing were: [redacted] ~~BILLY BONANNO~~ ~~SALVATORE BONANNO~~ as "BILL", and Tucson Police [redacted] ~~CALIF~~ ~~RAIC~~ ~~RAIC~~

[redacted] attorney from New York representing BONANNO, was present at the preliminary hearing. [redacted] testified

[redacted] concerning the involvement of these two individuals in the BONANNO bombing [redacted] She testified she had not determined the last name of [redacted]

On August 13, 1969, County Attorney [redacted] advised that he was asking the Tucson Police Department to immediately re-interview [redacted] extensively to challenge him on discrepancies that appear in statements [redacted] made to the FBI and statements he made to state [redacted] and local law enforcement officers, as well as discrepancies appearing in other statements of [redacted] as compared to the information given previously by [redacted]

[redacted] said that [redacted] had indicated that [redacted]

[redacted]  
On August 13, 1969, [redacted] Chief of Detectives, Tucson Police Department, said he, too, desired a re-interview of [redacted] to be held as soon as possible because [redacted] in talking to police officers on various occasions subsequent to his initial interview, had indicated to the Tucson police that [redacted]

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C  
b7D

On August 13, 1969, County Attorney [redacted] also advised, as he had done previously, that the only evidence he had against any of the persons allegedly involved at this point are statements of accomplices. He said under the provisions of Arizona law, no successful prosecution can ensue solely upon statements of accomplices to a criminal act without additional corroborative evidence. He said the only such evidence they have at this time are the admissions against interest allegedly made [redacted] by defendants [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] also advised that he had at that point, in view of the above reasons, no intention at this time to try to obtain any warrants for any other persons allegedly involved in this matter.

b6  
b7C

On August 13, 1969, Tucson Police Department [redacted] who had participated with officers from the Arizona Department of Public Safety in the interviews of [redacted] said it was true that no warning was given at the outset of the interviews and promises were made.

b6  
b7C  
b7D

PX 166-293



b6  
b7C

INTERVIEWS



b6  
b7C  
b7D

BY FBI AND LOCAL AUTHORITIES



PX 166-293

[redacted]

On August 1, 1969, SA [redacted] was called to the Tucson Police Department in the early morning hours by [redacted] where [redacted] and [redacted] of the Arizona Department of Public Safety, [redacted] and [redacted] of the Tucson Police Department advised they had just finished interviewing two persons who furnished a considerable amount of detailed information implicating [redacted] in some of the bombings and other incidents in Tucson, Arizona. They said the interviews had been recorded on a tape recorder, the transcripts of which would be made at a later date and made available to this Bureau. [redacted] said that Pima County Attorney [redacted] had been with them during part of the interviews and he instructed them to reveal only to Special Agent in Charge JOHN P. MULL, JR., of Phoenix, the names of the two persons and to make available to Mr. MULL the results of the interviews with these individuals. The above officers and Criminal Investigator [redacted] Arizona Department of Public Safety, and [redacted] Director, Arizona Department of Public Safety, came to the Phoenix Office of the FBI on August 1, 1969, where they talked with Special Agent in Charge JOHN P. MULL, JR., and Special Agents [redacted] and [redacted]. They identified the two individuals who furnished the detailed information as [redacted] both of whom they said will tell their story to Mr. MULL. These officers, on August 1, 1969, told the above representatives of the FBI that they would make copies of the tapes on which were recorded the interviews with [redacted] which copies should be available by Monday, August 4, 1969. It should be noted that these copies of the tapes were made available to the Phoenix Office of the FBI on August 4, 1969; however, the quality of the recording was such that a considerable part was not readable.

Subsequently, [redacted] of the Tucson Police Department advised SA [redacted] that they were having the originals of the taped interviews cleaned up so that they would be readable, from which they would transcribe and make copies available to this Bureau. Subsequently, on August 8, 1969, [redacted] furnished the typed transcripts of the recorded interviews of [redacted] which are set out as follows:

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date August 7, 1969

[redacted] was interviewed at the Phoenix Office of the FBI from 4:24 p.m. until 6:11 p.m. SA [redacted] identified himself and SAC JOHN P. MULL, JR., and furnished [redacted] a Warning and Waiver Form, which [redacted] read, stated he understood, but declined to sign. He further declined to be placed under oath or furnish a signed statement, and advised that he would not testify to any of the information furnished by him, [redacted]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

[redacted] and further informed that the furnishing of false information to a Government agency constitutes violation of Federal law.

[redacted] stated that he could not remember specific dates in connection with the information he would furnish, but would attempt to do so to the best of his ability.

b6  
b7C  
b7D

On 8/5/69 at Phoenix, Arizona File # Phoenix 166-293


SAC [redacted] and [redacted]  
by SA [redacted] Date dictated 8/7/69

b6  
b7C

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.


2

PX 166-293




b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C  
b7D



b6  
b7C  
b7D




b6  
b7C  
b7D

3


PX 166-293




b6  
b7C



b6  
b7C  
b7D



b6  
b7C  
b7D




b6  
b7C  
b7D

4


PX 166-293



b6  
b7C



b6  
b7C  
b7D



b6  
b7C  
b7D




b6  
b7C  
b7D

5

PX 166-293

b6  
b7C



b6  
b7C  
b7D





b6  
b7C  
b7D



b6  
b7C  
b7D

6

PX 166-293



b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C  
b7D

b6  
b7C  
b7D

b6  
b7C  
b7D


b6  
b7C  
b7D

7


PX 166-293



b6  
b7C



b6  
b7C  
b7D



b6  
b7C  
b7D

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date August 7, 1969

[redacted] was interviewed at the FBI Office from 2:10 p.m. to 3:18 p.m. SA [redacted] identified himself and SAC JOHN P. MULL, JR., and furnished [redacted] a warning and waiver form which he read, stated that he understood, but declined to sign. He further declined to be placed under oath or to furnish a signed statement, and advised that he would not testify to any of the information furnished although it would be true. He was informed by SA [redacted] that the furnishing of false information to a Government agency would constitute violation of a Federal law and he said he understood this.


b6  
b7C  
b7Db6  
b7C  
b7DOn 8/7/69 at Phoenix, Arizona File # Phoenix 166-293by SAC JOHN P. MULL, JR. and [redacted] Date dictated 8/7/69b6  
b7C

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

b6  
b7C

2


PX 166-293



b6  
b7C  
b7D



b6  
b7C  
b7D



b6  
b7C  
b7D

3

PX 166-293

[Redacted]

b6  
b7C

[Redacted]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

[Redacted]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

[Redacted]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

4

PX 166-293



b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C  
b7D

b6  
b7C  
b7D


b6  
b7C  
b7D

5

PX 166-293




b6  
b7C



b6  
b7C  
b7D




b6  
b7C  
b7D



6


PX 166-293




b6  
b7C



b6  
b7C  
b7D



b6  
b7C  
b7D




b6  
b7C  
b7D

PX 166-293



b6  
b7C



b6  
b7C  
b7D

NEG. #

## CASE REPORT

POLICE DEPARTMENT - TUCSON, ARIZONA

REPORT NO. 460429 <sup>40</sup> b6  
CONNECT-UP b7C

INCIDENT OR C

ARSON

ARSON

VICTIM'S LAST NAME (OR FIRM NAME)		FIRST NAME		MIDDLE	SEX-ORIGIN	AGE	RESIDENCE OF VICTIM		VICTIM'S PHONE	
[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]			M W	34	[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	
PLACE OF OCCURRENCE-STREET OR STREET AND NUMBER OR INTERSECTION							GRID NO.	FORCE	REPORT TYPED BY	TIME
[REDACTED]							45	3	[REDACTED]	0846
DAY	DATE OF OCCURRENCE	TIME OF DAY	DATE REPORT REC'D.		TIME REPORTED		REPORT TAKEN BY:		HOW REPORTED	
TUES.	7 AUG. '68	2125	7 AUG. 68		2136		TUCSON FIRE DEPT.		[REDACTED]	
REPORTED BY:			ADDRESS OF PERSON REPORTING			PHONE		BUS. ADD. OF VICTIM		BUS. PHONE OF VICTIM
VICTIM			[REDACTED]			[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]
PERSON ATTACKED - OCCUPATION - NUMBER INVOLVED, ETC.										

PROPERTY INTERESTS MADE OF ORANGE'S PROPERTY USED FOR MAIN OF A NEWSPAPER

1966 PONTIAC STATION WAGON

1966 PONTIAC STATION WAGON,

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

BY BREAKING REAR WINDOW AND SETTING ON FIRE

LARGE ROCK AND 7-UP BOTTLE

DT BREAKING NEAR WINDON FIRE SETTING ON FIRE		2/20/68 RICH
TRACE NAME	DESCRIPTION OF VEHICLE USED BY SUSPECT	

OLD DARK SEDAN

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/ldm00001

1966 PONTIAC STATION WAGON

[illegible]

UNKNOWN

**TOTAL**

UNCLASSIFIED

.....

While on routine patrol, a fire follow-up was dispatched at [redacted] We were in front of this 20 at this time, proceeded to the rear of this address. At this time a vehicle in the garage to the rear of this residence was on fire. The vehicle's rear compartment was completely engulfed in flames, also flames extending to the front of the inside of the vehicle. At this time radio was advised of the situation and Engine #1 and [redacted] arrived at the scene. The fire was extinguished at which time [redacted] arrived on the scene. After the flames were extinguished, a large rock and remains of the 7-up bottle were found in the rear compartment of the vehicle. The victim states they were not in the vehicle at the time it was parked. It was parked at approximately 1930 hours, 7 August 1968

Checking the neighborhood, we received information from a person/<sup>who refused</sup> to give their name or address that they heard a loud explosion and a car door slam. At this time they saw a dark, old sedan

PERSONS ARRESTED OR CITED- NAME AND ADDRESS	SEX - ORIGIN	AGE	CHARGE	BOOKING	ARRESTED BY:	SER. NO.

1. SUSPECT OR PERSON WANTED-NAME-ADDRESS-ALIAS	SEX-ORIGIN	AGE	HEIGHT	WEIGHT	EYES	HAIR	COMPLEX
--	------------	-----	--------	--------	------	------	---------

**COPIES AND ANY DISTINGUISHING MARKS**

SUSPECT OR PERSON WANTED-NAME-ADDRESS-ALIAS	SEX-ORIGIN	AGE	HEIGHT	WEIGHT	EYES	HAIR	COMPLEX

TEGS AND ANY DISTINGUISHING MARKS

WITNESSES: REFUSED REFUSED REFUSED REFUSED

NAME \_\_\_\_\_ AGE \_\_\_\_\_ ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_ PHONE \_\_\_\_\_

NAME \_\_\_\_\_ AGE \_\_\_\_\_ ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_ PHONE \_\_\_\_\_  
PATCH TIME 2136 HRS. \_\_\_\_\_ ARRIVED AT SCENE 2136 CLEARED SCENE 2223

ESTIGATING OFFICER \_\_\_\_\_ SERIAL N \_\_\_\_\_ FORCE 3 DATE 7 AUG, 68 TIME 2325

THIS REPORT IS CONFIDENTIAL: FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

~~THIS REPORT IS CONFIDENTIAL: FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

ORIGINAL

460429

PAGE 2

going north in the alley from the garage at a high rate of speed. They were unable to give an exact color of the car as to a make or a year. They were unable to give information as to how many persons were in the vehicle.

On further investigation of the neighborhood, I was unable to locate anyone who had further information.

Tucson Fire Department's [redacted] arrived on the scene to initiate his investigation of the arson. He will also take into custody any evidence from the vehicle. b6 b7C

Talking to the victim, it was established that he has been [redacted]

[redacted] has no idea as to the persons who might have committed this act.

While investigating at the scene [redacted] arrived at the scene, at that time stating information to a possible suspect for arson in this particular area. This arson report was made the first part of July. Classification Arson from Grid 23. The suspect lives in the 800 block of N. 3rd and is a white male, 14, 5'6", 120 pounds, blond hair, and blue eyes. The suspect in this arson report had set fire to some trash containers but previously had set fire to a house--unable to give the time of this incident. b6 b7C

I.D. was dispatched to the scene where 6 pictures were taken, then the vehicle was taken to Shoemaker's, 25 E. 6th, for storage. No further information at this time.

[redacted]  
Audio [redacted]  
8 AUG. 68/0909 b6 b7C

TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT  
SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT

DATE OF ORIGINAL REPORT:

7 August 68

REPORT NO.

460429

Type of Crime or Incident ARSON		Location REAR		Grid 45		b6	
Victim's Name (last, first, middle)		Address		Res. Phone		Bus. Phone b7C	
Persons Arrested, Cited, or Summoned 1. 2. 3.		Address		Offense		Booking No.	
REPORT IS:		RECLASSIFIED		PENDING XX		CLOSED	
REPORT CLEARED BY:		SUMMONS		CITATION		EXCEPTIONAL	
ARREST		SUMMONS		CITATION		EXCEPTIONAL	
STOLEN	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$	BICYCLES \$	MISCELL. \$
RECOVERED	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$	BICYCLES \$	MISCELL. \$

DETAILS OF SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

ID was dispatched at 2202 hours, 7 August 1968, to the rear of [redacted] scene of an arson. Upon my arrival, I contacted [redacted] and Tucson Fire Department [redacted] who requested that I take photographs of 1966 Pontiac, Arizona [redacted] Said vehicle had been burned, a rock had been thrown through the rear window and some inflammatory material had been thrown into the rear of the stationwagon. b6 b7C

Seven photographs were taken; showing a rock in the rear area of the vehicle and a photo of parts of a seven-up bottle, which had broken and melted and which I was informed possibly contained the inflammatory material. Photographs were taken of the license plate of the vehicle. The burn damage to the front of the vehicle to show that the fire had started in the rear. Also, photograph was taken showing the carport area and where the vehicle was parked in conjunction with the other vehicles.

Cleared the scene 2237 hours and returned to the ID section TPD. NFI..

Audio [redacted] / 0302  
8 Aug 68

Officer Reporting [redacted] Ser. No. [redacted] Date 7 Aug 68 Time 2300  
Force 3 ID Division Service b6 b7C

Form #P.D. 81

TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT  
SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT

b6  
b7C

DATE OF ORIGINAL R

7 Aug. 68

REPORT NO. 460429.

Type of Crime or Incident  
ARSON

Grid  
45

Res. Phone

unlisted.

Bus. Phone

Persons Arrested, Cited, or Summoned

Address

Offense

Booking No.

REPORT IS:

UNFOUNDED

RECLASSIFIED

PENDING XX

CLOSED XX

REPORT CLEARED BY:

ARREST

SUMMONS

CITATION

EXCEPTIONAL

STOLEN	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$	BICYCLES \$	MISCELL. \$
RECOVERED	MONEY \$	JEWELRY \$	CLOTHING \$	FURS \$	AUTO ACCESS. \$	BICYCLES \$	MISCELL. \$

DETAILS OF SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Upon receiving the original report, numerous attempts were made to contact the owner at his apartment and was unable to do so. I contacted [redacted] of the Fire Dept. who stated that it was definitely arson to a 1966 Pontiac, by breaking a window and setting fire, possibly with some type fuel in a 7-up bottle had been thrown in the rear of the 1966 Pontiac Station Wagon. The witnesses refused to give their names who had seen a vehicle leave the area. The area was checked with negative results as anyone having any information to who might have set the vehicle on fire.

b6  
b7C

There are no suspects at this time.

RECOMMEND THIS CASE BE CLOSED PENDING FUTHER DEVELOPMENTS.

30 Aug. 68

Ser. No.

Date

19 Aug. 68

1530.

Force

Auto theft

Division

b6  
b7C

[redacted]  
On the night of August 13, 1969, [redacted]  
[redacted] Tucson Police Department,  
advised SAs [redacted] they  
had just completed another interview with [redacted] which  
would be typed up at a later date and a copy furnished to  
this Bureau. They said they received no additional information  
from [redacted] on which they could conduct logical investiga-  
tion with a view to obtaining any additional corroboration  
of the information received previously by their office  
[redacted] They said, however,  
that in connection with information given previously by  
[redacted]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

[redacted] said that they had searched their  
files in the police department in an effort to locate a  
report of this incident with negative results and apparently  
the matter was never reported to the Police Department by  
[redacted]  
and therefore the police department has no corroboration  
as to whether this incident actually ever took place.

b6  
b7C

[redacted] said that the rest of the interview  
that date, [redacted]  
[redacted]


b6  
b7C  
b7D

It should be noted that the police department  
has not as yet made available a typed report of this  
interview.

PX 166-293



b6  
b7C

INCIDENTS IN WHICH  
  
ALLEGEDLY INVOLVED

b6  
b7C

PX 166-293

Shotgun Blast at Residence of

[REDACTED]  
Tucson, Arizona  
Evening of July 4, 1968

On the above date, there were two blasts from a shotgun fired at the [REDACTED] residence. One reportedly went through the front window of the home and the other hit an automobile owned by the caretaker which was parked in the carport.

[REDACTED] when interviewed by the FBI.

b6  
b7C  
b7D

[REDACTED] in an interview with the Police. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] is the son-in-law of [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] of Chicago, Illinois.

~~PETE LICAVOLI~~  
BOMBING at Residence of  
~~PETER LICAVOLI, SR.,~~  
Grace Ranch,  
Tucson, Arizona  
July 21, 1968

On the evening of July 21, 1968, the Pima County Sheriff's Office, Tucson, Arizona responded to a dynamiting

PX 166-293

b6  
b7C

report at the above-captioned location. It was reported there were two separate blasts, one causing extensive damage to two automobiles and one truck belonging to LICAVOLI or his ranchhands. The other blast demolished the power meter at the ranch, disconnecting electricity.

[redacted] in an interview by the FBI,

b6  
b7C  
b7D

[redacted] when interviewed by the FBI on

b6  
b7C  
b7D

PX 166-293

[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED] in his interview with the Tucson Police  
Department [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

Bombing at Residence of  
JOSEPH BONANNO, SR.,  
749 Elm Street,  
Tucson, Arizona  
July 22, 1968

---

At approximately 9:25 PM on the above date, a  
double dynamiting occurred at BONANNO's residence. These  
dynamitings destroyed a barbeque pit and an adjacent wall  
in addition to a portion of the garage.

[REDACTED] when interviewed by the FBI [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

PX 166-293

[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

[REDACTED] when interviewed by the Police on

b6  
b7C  
b7D

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] claimed that [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

[REDACTED]

PX 166-293

b6  
b7C

Fire Bombing of 1966 Pontiac Stationwagon

b6  
b7C

August 7, 1968

At approximately 9:25 PM on the above date, a routine patrol unit of the Tucson Police Department proceeded to the rear of the above address upon observing what appeared to be a fire. A vehicle in the garage was observed on fire. The rear compartment of the vehicle was completely engulfed in flames and a Fire Department unit was discharged to extinguish the blaze. After the flames were extinguished, a search of the vehicle resulted in finding a large rock and the remains of a 7-Up bottle in the rear compartment of the car. [redacted] stated these items were not in the vehicle when he parked same at approximately 7:30 PM that same evening. Neighborhood inquiry by the Police Department resulted in information from persons who declined to furnish their names that an old sedan had been observed going north in the alley from the garage in question at a high rate of speed. Further neighborhood investigation was negative.

b6  
b7C

[redacted]  
Tucson Police Department, advised that in one of his interviews with [redacted] subsequent to the initial interview, [redacted] stated [redacted]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

b6  
b7C

PX 166-293

[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

Bombing at Residence of  
PETER JOSEPH NOTARO,  
1331 North Rosemont Boulevard,  
Tucson, Arizona  
August 17, 1968

---

On the early evening of the above date, a bomb exploded at the Notaro residence destroying the north and west patio walls, but causing no injuries.

[REDACTED] when interviewed by the FBI on

b6  
b7C  
b7D

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] in his interview with the Tucson Police  
Department [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] when interviewed by the FBI on

b6  
b7C  
b7D

PX 166-293

[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

Bombing of Midas Muffler Shops,  
4646 East Speedway Boulevard,  
Tucson, Arizona  
May 4, 1969

---

At approximately 9:25 PM on the above date, a bombing occurred at the Midas Muffler Shop, which resulted in property damage consisting of broken windows only. It is to be noted that the Midas Muffler Shop is located next door to Sciortino's Italian Bakery.

[REDACTED]

in his statement to the FBI on

[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

[REDACTED]

Bombing of the Salon de Paris,  
2739 East Speedway Boulevard,  
Tucson, Arizona,  
May 4, 1969

---

At approximately 9:45 PM on the above date, a bombing

PX 166-293  
[redacted]

b6  
b7C

occurred at the above establishment, breaking all windows in addition to causing extensive damage inside amounting to about \$5,000.

Reference to the FBI interview with [redacted]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

[redacted]  
furnished the following information.

b6  
b7C  
b7D

[redacted] when interviewed [redacted] by  
the Tucson Police Department, [redacted]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

[redacted]  
Reported Fire Bombing at the  
Metric Auto Plastic Company,  
1926 North Stone Avenue,  
Tucson, Arizona  
Date Unknown

[redacted] when interviewed by the FBI on  
[redacted]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

PX 166-293

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C  
b7D

Concerning the above, it should be noted that on September 12, 1968, there was a "bombing" at Metric Auto which caused damage to a door and the shattering of windows on the north section of the garage. Based on the information furnished by [redacted] the September 12, 1968 incident would not appear to relate to the incident referred to as above by [redacted]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

On August 13, 1969, [redacted] Tucson Police Department, advised that a thorough check of their records failed to reveal any reference to an incident of the type as referred to above by [redacted]

b6  
b7C  
b7D

144

PX 166-293



b6  
b7C

INVESTIGATION REGARDING ALLEGATIONS CONCERNING  
[REDACTED] ALLEGED ASSOCIATION  
WITH [REDACTED]

---

b6  
b7C

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1

Date August 10, 1969

[redacted] was interviewed. She stated [redacted]

[redacted] also said she knows [redacted] and that since [redacted] she is aware that [redacted] and [redacted] are living together as man and wife.

[redacted] said she had at no time ever heard [redacted] in any of his conversations mention anything about his being involved in any bombings, and she added that she personally does not believe him capable of such acts. [redacted] said she did recall that [redacted] told her once that [redacted] said he knew all about [redacted] movements and activities because a friend of his, whose first name was [redacted] was either following her or having her followed. [redacted] said also that from what [redacted] said, this [redacted]

b6  
b7Cb6  
b7C

[redacted] She added that this conversation with [redacted] would have taken place sometime around September of 1968 or just prior thereto. She added that [redacted] has never seen this man called [redacted] as far as she [redacted] knows [redacted] also said she never heard either [redacted] mention anything about any other persons other than the man named [redacted] She also added that she is acquainted with [redacted] she had met [redacted] only one occasion, this being a party around last Christmas. She also said that she knew [redacted] had dated [redacted] at one time.

126

[redacted] said [redacted] shared her apartment with her at this address from about the second week of June, 1969, for approximately the following three weeks. The stay was not quite one month, according to [redacted] During this

b6  
b7COn 8/7/69 at Tucson, Arizona File # PHOENIX 166-293by SA [redacted] SA [redacted] Date dictated 8/10/69b6  
b7C

146

period. she said she never heard [redacted] mention anything about [redacted] or anyone else in that respect.

[redacted] advised the interviewing Agents that she is expecting the birth of a baby and the baby was due at the time of this interview. She said she did not feel, therefore, like prolonging the conversation nor did she desire to furnish a written statement and did not see any reason why she should be placed under oath. She said, however, that all the information she had furnished was true and correct to the best of her recollection. She added that she had previously been interviewed by other law enforcement agencies in Tucson. She said she does not know where [redacted] is at this time but that [redacted] had left this apartment with a woman whom she believed was with the Arizona Highway Patrol and she recalled that the day of [redacted] having left was to the best of her recollection on the same day that [redacted] in Tucson.

On the next day following [redacted] departure [redacted] apartment, [redacted] had to leave for a short time and upon her return found a note from [redacted] who had obviously returned [redacted] All the note said was, "I'll write as soon as possible." She said she has never heard anything from [redacted] since that time and has no idea as to her present whereabouts.

b6  
b7C  
b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1

8/10/69

Date

[redacted] Arizona, advised that she resides at this address with [redacted] She is employed by [redacted] in Tucson and her home telephone is [redacted]

b6  
b7C


[redacted] stated [redacted] sometime around January, 1969, [redacted] until approximately one month prior to his recent arrest in connection with a bombing. She advised she has known [redacted] and could not believe [redacted] could be involved in a bombing.

b6  
b7C

She stated she never met any of [redacted] associates as they usually went out alone.

b6  
b7C

The following listed photos were exhibited to [redacted]


b6  
b7C

She was unable to recognize the photos of [redacted] as anyone she knows or has ever seen.

She thought she had seen [redacted] before but not with any of the other individuals shown.

b6  
b7C

She recognized the photos of [redacted]



On 8/9/69 at Tucson, Arizona

File # Phoenix 166-293

by SA's [redacted]

Date dictated 8/9/69

b6  
b7C

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the [redacted] party of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1

August 11, 1969

Date

b6  
b7C

[redacted] was interviewed at [redacted]. She was first advised by SA [redacted] of the identity of the interviewing Agents and further advised that the interview concerned a matter of official interest to the U. S. Government. [redacted] was placed under oath by SA [redacted] and she stated that she would answer any question truthfully; however, she did not desire to furnish a written statement.

[redacted] said she resides at this address with [redacted]

She was born on [redacted] at [redacted] and has resided in Tucson, Arizona for approximately 17 years.

b6  
b7C

[redacted] almost every night since May 2, 1969. She recalls the date she first met him because the following day they went to the auto races in Phoenix, Arizona.

She advised that on or about July 21, 1969, she went down to the Municipal Court in Tucson, Arizona to pay off a \$45 traffic fine for [redacted]. While she was there, the clerk told her there were two other tickets and that a warrant had been issued for [redacted]. She returned home as she and [redacted] were going to San Carlos Lake to stay at her father's trailer. Before they left Tucson, [redacted] of the Tucson, Arizona Police Department came to her father's house, and she told [redacted] that she did not know where [redacted] was, although he was in the house at the time. [redacted] had told her to do this if the police came, because he feared he would either be subpoenaed to testify against his father in a divorce proceeding being brought by his mother, or be arrested on the traffic warrants which were outstanding against him. She said [redacted] did not say he had a warrant for [redacted].

b6  
b7C

That day she and [redacted] drove up to the trailer at [redacted]

b6  
b7C

On 8/10/69 at Tucson, Arizona

File # PX 166-293

by SA [redacted]  
SA [redacted]

Date dictated 8/11/69

b6  
b7C

149

PX 166-293

2

San Carlos Lake, where they spent the night. The following morning, she drove to Globe, Arizona to buy supplies, and, while en route, she heard a news cast over the car radio that an All Points Bulletin had been issued for [redacted] in connection with some bombings that have occurred in Tucson. She said she was "stunned" but continued into Globe, where she called her mother. Her mother said that the Tucson [redacted] [redacted] had returned and told her a warrant had been issued for [redacted]. Her mother advised her not to run.

When she returned to San Carlos Lake, she called [redacted] out of the trailer and asked him to listen to the radio because another news program was being broadcast again reporting that [redacted] was being sought. [redacted] said, "My God!" She asked if he had any connection with the bombings, and he said, "No, I don't." She believed him because he seemed hurt that she would entertain the thought. [redacted] then tried from approximately 3:00 PM until 7:00 PM to use the telephone at the store run by the San Carlos Indians to call a lawyer in Tucson, but being a party line, the phone was tied up and he could never get the line. He never contacted the lawyer.

That same night, [redacted] was arrested by police officers who came to the trailer at San Carlos Lake. She rode back to Tucson in a car with [redacted] while [redacted] was brought back in another car. She learned upon their return to Tucson that her father had called the police and told them where they were because he did not want them to fall into the hands of the Mafia. She stated that she and [redacted] had really planned to return to Tucson and surrender the following day. En route to Tucson, [redacted] of the Tucson Police Department said something to her which indicated that someone had made an allegation against [redacted] advised she believes that the person responsible for this is [redacted].

She stated that [redacted]

[redacted]

PX 166-293

[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED] stated that all of this information regarding [REDACTED] came from [REDACTED] and is not known personally to [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

She advised that [REDACTED] was living with a [REDACTED] until recently, when she suddenly left. Her present whereabouts is unknown.

b6  
b7C

As to [REDACTED] advised she first met him at the Ramada Inn on May 2, 1969, the same evening she met [REDACTED] was with [REDACTED] at the time. She learned that first evening that [REDACTED] had shot [REDACTED] last year because she kidded [REDACTED] about the funny way he was clapping his hands. [REDACTED] reprimanded her and [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

PX 166-293

[redacted] told her that he was trying to get a re-loaded shell out of a shotgun when it went off and hit [redacted]. He even described how [redacted] first said, "I think I'm hit" and then, "I know I'm hit." They described how they tried to stop the bleeding with a tourniquet and said that the shooting occurred at [redacted] allegedly took [redacted] to St. Mary's Hospital at Tucson for treatment.

The following day, without knowing of the shooting, [redacted] came to the house [redacted] and when she saw blood around the house she called Tucson Police [redacted] to see if he knew what had happened. [redacted] apparently could tell her nothing.

[redacted] said she had met [redacted] on two occasions. On one occasion she and [redacted] had dinner with [redacted] at the restaurant "The Most" in Tucson. This was in May or June, 1969. The second occasion was at [redacted] went by to pick up a check or some paper. [redacted] came out and spoke to [redacted] at the car. She has seen him several times since then but only waved. She said [redacted] had worked for [redacted] at one time.

She advised that she knows [redacted] through her sister, [redacted] who works for him [redacted] in Tucson. [redacted] worked for [redacted] one night when her sister was unavailable and [redacted] still owes her a few dollars for this.

[redacted] advised that during her association with [redacted] she has never heard him refer to anyone by the name of [redacted]. She was shown a photograph of [redacted] and stated she has never seen this individual.

She advised that on Saturday afternoon, August 9, 1969, [redacted] came over to her house looking for [redacted]. She advised he said, "I had some visitors - a father and son team." When she asked who, he said it was JOE BONANNO, JR. and JOE BONANNO, SR. They told [redacted] to have [redacted] stop by and see them.

5

PX 166-293

b6  
b7C

On Monday night, July 28, 1969, [redacted] received a call from JOE BONANNO, JR. and she was go meet JOE at Sambo's Restaurant in Tacson. When she got there BILL BONANNO was there; not JOE, and he told [redacted] he wanted to talk with [redacted]. He said he would not hurt him but only wanted to know who was behind the bombings. When [redacted] was advised, he appeared worried but said, "I am not too worried about that." He consulted with his attorney, who advised him not to talk with the BONANNOS. [redacted] said she suggested he talk with the BONANNOS but [redacted] said for her to be patient, that the BONANNOS wanted to know the same thing as the police. He said, "I won't have to worry about the BONANNOS when they find out who is behind the bombings." By this she understood [redacted] to mean that the intensive investigation now being conducted would result in the solving of the bombings and also in the dismissal of the charges against [redacted].

b6  
b7C

[redacted] was asked if she ever saw any dynamite or explosives in [redacted] possession. She said she had seen four or five flares, red in color, in his garage at [redacted] on one occasion. She kidded him, saying that he had dynamite in the garage. [redacted] then offered to light one of the flares for her and she insisted that she knows what a flare looks like and that the items she saw were flares and not sticks of dynamite.

b6  
b7C

[redacted] advised that last night she met [redacted]

b6  
b7C

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date August 12, 1969

[redacted] was contacted at her residence. [redacted] She resides at this address with [redacted] She explained she is presently self-employed [redacted] but during the period from January to March of 1969 she was employed [redacted] at the Cabaret Lounge in Tucson, Arizona.


[redacted] was shown photographs of the following individuals:

JOE BONANNO, SR.

BILL BONANNO



JOE BONANNO, JR.



[redacted] stated that the only photographs that she recognized were those of [redacted] and JOE BONANNO, JR.

She stated that about two or three weeks ago she received a phone call from JOE BONANNO, JR. requesting that she meet him at Sambo's Restaurant located at Speedway and Craycroft. She stated that she had known JOE, JR. for many years, although not intimately. She stated she met JOE as requested around 8:00 PM, at which time BILL BONANNO was present, whom

On 8/12/69 at Tucson, ArizonaFile # PX 166-293by SA [redacted]  
SA [redacted]Date dictated 8/12/69

EX 100-293

[REDACTED]

she stated she had seen in the past. It was pointed out to her that one of the pictures she had seen was a photograph of BILL EDWARDS and upon re-examining same she stated, "Well, it must have been an old picture," because she definitely knows the BILL EDWARDS is.

She stated that at this meeting BILL EDWARDS stated that he wanted to talk to [REDACTED] concerning his having been arrested for certain bombings in the Tucson area. She said BILL inferred that he did not feel that [REDACTED] actually had any ill feeling toward the EDWARDSs and that possibly he had become involved in the bombing of the EDWARDS residence as a sort of a prank or possibly he had been put up to it by someone else. BILL EDWARDS did not further discuss the possibility of someone else being involved. She stated that BILL EDWARDS made it plain that he was concerned about the EDWARDS residence being bombed, referring to the fact that his family was around the premises. She said he indicated that he was going to get to the bottom of this situation and get it straightened out. She said that if she would happen to see [REDACTED] she would ask him if he had anything to say and would see that [REDACTED] received an answer.

[REDACTED] stated that she was reluctant to become involved in anything of this nature but apparently the EDWARDSs must have known that [REDACTED] had been staying at the residence at [REDACTED] and she speculated that the EDWARDSs probably did not want to contact [REDACTED] directly and while they were desirous of talking with [REDACTED] they preferred that he come to them.

[REDACTED] stated that she did, in fact, have an opportunity to speak to [REDACTED] subsequent to the above conversation with BILL EDWARDS and [REDACTED] response was that he did not have anything to say to the EDWARDSs. In this regard JOE, JR. (LITTLE JOE as she referred to him) called her again at her residence wanting to see her, obviously in regards to the above conversation. She stated that she stalled him and actually she did have plans to be out of the city. LITTLE JOE called again on Friday, August 3, 1939. At this

PX 166-293  
[redacted]b6  
b7C

time she stated that she was going to be in Phoenix for the weekend but could see him Monday evening, August 11. She stated that she did meet LITTLE JOE at Sambo's at about 8:00 PM. At this time she told LITTLE JOE that

[redacted] had stated that he had nothing to say to the BONANNOS. She said JOE did not appear to be upset particularly but he did indicate that they were very much interested in talking to [redacted]. At this time LITTLE JOE told her that they had contacted a man by the name of [redacted] at the Key Corvette several days ago and that they were a little upset with him because he had claimed he did not know [redacted] when in fact they knew better. He stated that they did not appreciate people who did not play straight with them.

b6  
b7C

She said that at this time LITTLE JOE stated that should she receive any information relating to [redacted] and the BONANNOS she could refer same to [redacted] attorney, a [redacted]. She stated she does not know the significance of this statement but thought it unusual that LITTLE JOE should be referring people to [redacted] attorney.

b6  
b7C

In conclusion, [redacted] stated that she has no information concerning any of the bombings in the Tucson area, including the bombing of the BONANNO residence, beyond what she has read in the papers and of course the fact that [redacted] and another man have been arrested concerning the BONANNO bombings.

b6  
b7C

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1

Date 8/13/69

[redacted] was contacted at his place of employment, [redacted]

[redacted] He stated he has been employed here in this capacity for about eight years. [redacted]  
Presently he is residing with [redacted]

[redacted] explained that he is, of course, acquainted with [redacted]. He pointed out, however, that he has no knowledge concerning [redacted] associates or business activities stating that the man has never discussed these things with him.

[redacted] was shown photographs of the following individuals:

[redacted]  
JOE BONANNO, JR.

[redacted]  
JOE BONANNO, SR.

BILL BONANNO  
[redacted]

[redacted] stated that the only individual he knows is [redacted]. He said that he knows that [redacted] was recently arrested in connection with some bombings in Tucson and that he was arrested with another young man but he does not recall his name.

On 8/12/69 at Tucson, Arizona File # Phoenix 166-293

by [redacted] Date dictated 8/12/69

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

PX 166-293

2

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that he is aware of [redacted]  
difficulties between [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that he is acquainted with one [redacted]

b6  
b7C

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1Date 8/15/69

[redacted]  
telephone [redacted] telephonically advised that around noon, August 13, 1969, he received a telephone call from [redacted]. At this time [redacted] requested that he [redacted] get in touch with [redacted] and influence [redacted] to get in touch with her. [redacted] stated that he inferred from this conversation that [redacted] had in mind attempting to influence [redacted] to talk to the state or local authorities regarding the bombing situation in Tucson.

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated that it is definitely not his desire to become involved and he merely indicated to [redacted] that he would pass the message on.

On 8/14/69 at Tucson, Arizona File # PX 166-293

by SA [redacted] Date dictated 8/15/69

b6  
b7C

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1

Date August 10, 1969

[redacted] telephone [redacted]  
[redacted] was interviewed at her place of employment, the [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] She advised she has been a resident of Tucson, Arizona, since about 1964.

[redacted] stated that prior to her present employment, she was employed as [redacted] the Iron Mask Restaurant, 2564 East Grant Road, Tucson, during the approximate period of July, 1968, to July, 1969.

b6  
b7C

At this point, the following photographs of individuals were made available for [redacted] viewing:

[redacted] Tucson Police Department [redacted]  
[redacted] Tucson Police Department [redacted]  
[redacted] Tucson Police Department [redacted]  
[redacted] copied from Arizona driver's license  
[redacted] copied from Arizona driver's license

b6  
b7C

[redacted] identified the photograph of [redacted]

b6  
b7C

She also stated that [redacted] was previously known to her as a good patron of the Iron Mask Restaurant. She recalled that [redacted] would visit this restaurant on a regular basis of about two or three times each month during her employment there.

[redacted] identified the photograph of [redacted] as being a person who has been in the company of [redacted] on several occasions at the restaurant. She could not recall the dates of these occurrences, but she did remember both greeting [redacted] and [redacted] together, then seating them, as well as observing them already seated in the lounge conversing together over their meal. [redacted] did not know [redacted] by name or occupation, thus she had no knowledge of the nature of the association between [redacted] and [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] identified [redacted] by photograph,

b6  
b7C

On 8/7/69 at Tucson, Arizona File # PHOENIX 166-239

by SA [redacted] Date dictated 8/9/69

b6  
b7C

[redacted] as a person who has made at least two appearances at the restaurant on unknown dates in the past few months. She advised her recollection of [redacted] was based on the fact she considered him to be a very tasteful dresser, one who wore his hair in good style and a handsome person.

On one of the above-mentioned occasions, [redacted] stated that [redacted] appeared at the restaurant in the company of [redacted]. All three were seated by her at a table. She could not remember anything further regarding this event.

On the other occasion, [redacted] recalled, but not with complete certainty, that [redacted] entered the restaurant and took a seat at the table of [redacted]. This event possibly took place on a Sunday or Monday night, but again the date or month could not be recalled. She could not remember any other details regarding this occurrence. [redacted] also had no knowledge whatsoever as to the nature of [redacted] contact with [redacted] and [redacted].

[redacted] could not identify the photographs of [redacted] as persons with whom she has had any known contact in the past. She did remember a young man appearing at the Iron Mask Restaurant wearing an arm cast. This was a vague recollection on her part; therefore, she could not recall the time of the occurrence or anything about the person in question.

[redacted] concluded that she preferred not to furnish a signed statement or would she be agreeable to being placed under oath regarding information above. She explained that she had related the truth as to the best of her recollection and felt no necessity to commit herself to a signed statement under oath.

PX 166-293

I

"/s/

"August 7, 1969  
Tucson, Arizona

"I, [redacted] a resident of [redacted] Arizona, having been duly sworn by SA [redacted] freely furnish the following information to SAs [redacted] and [redacted] both of whom I know to be Special Agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. I have been informed by SA [redacted] that this represents a matter of interest to the United States Government.

"I have been [redacted] employed at the Iron Mask Restaurant, 2564 East Grant Road, Tucson, during a period of approximately the past four years.

"I have been shown a group of photographs of [redacted] and [redacted]

"I recognize the photographs of [redacted] as men whom I have seen as customers in the Iron Mask Restaurant. I recall having seen [redacted] having dinner together in the lounge on several occasions during the past three or four months.

"I further recall having seen on one occasion, at least, [redacted] having dinner with [redacted] and [redacted] I know that [redacted] was connected with an Auto Parts company in Tucson. I also know that [redacted] worked for [redacted] as I saw him once when I was in the Complete Auto Parts Store located on North Stone Avenue in Tucson. I do not know what [redacted] occupation was, nor did I then know [redacted] name. Further, I was not then aware of [redacted] name, but I did know the name of [redacted]

PX 166-293

2

"Other than the above, I can not furnish any further information concerning these three me \_.

"/s/ [redacted]

b6  
b7C

"Subscribed to and sworn to on August 7, 1969, before  
Special Agents:

"/s/ [redacted] Special Agent, FBI, Tucson, Ariz. 8/7/69  
[redacted] Special Agent, FBI, Tucson, Ariz. 8/7/69"

Best Copy  
Available

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

August 12, 1962

[redacted] was interviewed at the Cabaret Lounge, 3333 East Speedway, by SAs [redacted] and [redacted] who identified themselves as Special Agents of the FBI. At the outset of the interview he was asked if he would be willing to be interviewed under oath; when he said he would be so willing he was placed under oath by SA [redacted]. [redacted] furnished the following information of his own volition:

He is [redacted] of age, born [redacted] at [redacted]. He resides at [redacted] and is single. Since about April 15, 1962, he has worked on the Cabaret Lounge [redacted] helping [redacted] operate the place for [redacted]. He has known [redacted] for over ten years, and has known [redacted] for about one year, having met him through [redacted]. He said he met [redacted] about five or six months ago at the Iron Mask restaurant in Tucson through either [redacted] he cannot recall which. Sometime after he met [redacted] he had dinner at the Iron Mask restaurant with [redacted] where they discussed the taking over of the Cabaret Lounge by [redacted] and himself. He said he does not know whether [redacted] has any financial interest in the Cabaret Lounge, but he has the impression [redacted] had an interest of some kind in the place as he appeared to be assisting [redacted] in the matter of their takeover of the place.

During the past several months he has had dinner at the Iron Mask on three or four evenings with [redacted]. On all these occasions [redacted] paid for their dinners. [redacted] said their discussions on these occasions were mostly about operation of the Cabaret Lounge. They did not at any time discuss any bombings in Tucson.

[redacted] said [redacted] was introduced to him as a [redacted] since he first met [redacted] at the Iron Mask [redacted] was dropped by the Cabaret Lounge about once every two weeks, usually around noon, and stays for a short while. He usually asked if [redacted] have had any problems, such as strong-arm attempts or threats of any kind. He said he called [redacted] on one occasion after he had received a call from Sergeant [redacted] of the Tucson Police Department.

8/3/62

Tucson, Arizona

TUCSON 100-500

On [redacted]

File #

by

Date dictated

8/3/62

PK 100-290

2

[redacted] (he did not know her).  
[redacted] said the reason he called [redacted] was that [redacted] had told him and  
[redacted] to call him concerning any unusual happenings at the  
Cabaret Lounge. He does not recall [redacted] response to his call  
but said the conversation was very brief. This was the only  
time he called [redacted] said.

[redacted] said he is acquainted with a [redacted]  
having met him through [redacted] when [redacted] worked for  
[redacted] at Complete Auto Supply in Tucson. He does not recall  
when this was. About a year ago he met a [redacted] through  
[redacted] at the Pioneer Hotel in Tucson. Both [redacted]  
[redacted] have been in the Cabaret Lounge on several occasions  
during the past several months, and came in together recently  
after they were arrested on a bombing charge. They did not  
discuss the matter at all on that occasion.

[redacted] said he does not know if [redacted] knows  
either [redacted] and has never seen them together.

b6  
b7C

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date August 10, 1969

1

[redacted] was interviewed at the Cabaret Lounge, 3650 East Speedway Boulevard, by [redacted] and [redacted] who identified themselves to him as Special Agents of the FBI. At the outset of the interview, [redacted] was asked if he was willing to be interviewed under oath. [redacted] advised that he was so willing and was placed under oath by SA [redacted] furnished the following information of his own volition.

b6  
b7C

He is a white male, [redacted] and resides at [redacted] [redacted] Arizona. He is presently employed as the [redacted] of the Cabaret Lounge, [redacted] since on or about April 25, 1969. He said that he has no money invested in the Cabaret Lounge but that he understands that [redacted] is the person who has the money invested in this business. He said that he was approached by [redacted] about one or two months previous to the time he took over the management of the Cabaret Lounge, and asked to take over this business and try to make some money out of it. He said that prior to the time he took this business over it was in the name of one [redacted] and was being run by a person named [redacted]. He said that he does not know [redacted] and only knew [redacted] through brief contacts he had with him when he, [redacted] first took over the Cabaret.

b6  
b7C

[redacted] advised that he grew up in Tucson and that he has known [redacted] for a number of years and formerly worked for [redacted]. He said that following his discharge from the Army in September, 1968, he renewed his acquaintance with [redacted] with whom he met at his, [redacted] home on a number of occasions.

b6  
b7C

[redacted] said that he first met [redacted] at the Iron Mask Restaurant about five or six months previously. He said he was introduced to [redacted] Later on in the interview, he amended this previous remark, saying that he first met [redacted] at [redacted] home sometime in December, 1968. He said that after the first of the year he began to meet more frequently with [redacted] and [redacted]. He said that most of these meetings took place at the Iron Mask Restaurant. He said that in March he had dinner with [redacted] at the Cabaret Lounge and that it

b6  
b7C

On 8/9/69 at Tucson, Arizona File # PHOENIX 166-293

by SA [redacted]  
SA [redacted] Date dictated 8/9/69

b6  
b7C

PX 166-293

2

was at this meeting that they asked him to become the manager of this lounge. [redacted] said that he gathered from the way [redacted] talked that he [redacted] had an interest of some kind in this business but he did not know if it was a financial interest.

[redacted] said that following the time that he took over the Cabaret Lounge, [redacted] would come by about once every two weeks usually just before he, [redacted] opened the place. He said that he was usually alone although on one or two occasions he was accompanied by [redacted]. He said that [redacted] would ask him about business on these occasions. He said that he also called [redacted] at his office on a few occasions.

[redacted] advised that he also saw [redacted] having dinner on a number of occasions at the Iron Mask Restaurant. He said that he understood that they were all interested in a "high-rise" project that [redacted] was building on East Broadway on land owned by [redacted].

[redacted] advised that he knows [redacted] whom he met about a year ago through [redacted]. He said that about two months previous he was in the Iron Mask Restaurant sitting at the bar, when he saw [redacted] sitting together in a booth in the back of the same room where the bar is located. He said that they appeared that they were having dinner together. He said that he waved to them from the bar but did not join them. He said that he was waiting for a young lady and that when she came in he left with her. He said that he was in the bar for about twenty minutes to an hour and that when he left they were still in the restaurant. He said that there was one other person with them, a man, whom he, [redacted] did not know. He said that this was the only time that he saw [redacted] said that it was his impression that [redacted] were acquainted because [redacted] on occasion would stop in at the Cabaret Lounge and he would ask [redacted] how [redacted] were, to which [redacted] would reply that they were O.K.

[redacted] also advised that he knows [redacted] very well and that they went to the same high school in Tucson. [redacted] stated that he had no knowledge that [redacted] was acquainted with [redacted] and that he never saw [redacted] in the company of [redacted]. He said that he often talked with [redacted] never mentioned [redacted] name.

px 166-293

13

was shown photographs of

b6

b7C

in that order. He

correctly identified and

and stated he did not know the rest.

The following description of was obtained  
by interview and observation:

b6

b7C

Name

Sex

Race

Date of birth

Place of birth

Height

Weight

Hair

Eyes

Complexion

Build

Scars and marks

Education

Military Service

Arrest Record

168

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 8/11/69

[redacted]  
[redacted] was interviewed after being placed under oath by Special Agent [redacted]

[redacted] advised she began work [redacted] at the Iron Mask Restaurant, 2564 East Grant Road, Tucson, at the time it opened, February 2, 1965. She said that she has worked at the Iron Mask Restaurant continually since it opened with the exception of vacation times. She said she was on vacation this year from June 8, 1969, to June 23, 1969, and is now off, inasmuch as the restaurant is now closed and will not reopen until some time next September, 1969. She said the owners of the Iron Mask are [redacted] who are presently on vacation in England.

[redacted] was then shown photographs of [redacted] Tucson Police Department Number [redacted] Tucson Police Department Number [redacted] and [redacted] Tucson Police Department Number [redacted]

[redacted] advised she recognized the picture of [redacted]. She said she first met [redacted] when she was working as a waitress at the Coat of Arms Restaurant, Casa Adobes Shopping Center in Tucson, Arizona, in 1963. She pointed out that the Coat of Arms Restaurant was also owned at that time by [redacted] the present owners of the Iron Mask. She added that after the [redacted] sold the Coat of Arms Restaurant, they operated the Biltmore Restaurant on Miracle Mile in Tucson for a short time prior to their opening the Iron Mask Restaurant. She said she also recalls waiting on [redacted] at the Biltmore Restaurant. She said she has heard that [redacted] operates the Complete Auto Parts Company located on Stone Avenue in Tucson.

[redacted] stated at this time that she has no recollection of ever having seen either [redacted]

[redacted] stated she recognized the photograph of [redacted]

On 8/11/69 at Tucson, Arizona File # PX 166-293

by SA [redacted]  
SA [redacted] Date dictated 8/11/69

PX 166-293

[redacted] also as a man she has waited on at the Iron Mask Restaurant; and furthermore, she recalled [redacted] as coming into this restaurant on the average of once about every two weeks since she has been employed as a waitress there. She said she had never known [redacted] name but is aware he worked at least at one time for [redacted]

[redacted] inasmuch as she saw him there once when she went there to price some tires.

[redacted] also advised she recognized the photograph of [redacted] as a man who used to have dinner with [redacted] frequently at the Iron Mask Restaurant. She said she only heard this man's first name and it was [redacted]. She said she had heard he was an attorney but she did not recall who told her this. She believed that she first saw this [redacted] with [redacted] in around November of 1968. [redacted] said she does not recall ever having seen [redacted]

[redacted] having dinner together, but she does recall that [redacted] joined this [redacted] on occasion when [redacted] would be dining there. She said she gathered that [redacted] were the ones who were acquainted and who were obviously friends and she did not gather that [redacted] were friends.

[redacted] also said that [redacted] used to come into the Iron Mask Restaurant with his wife and their four- or five-year-old boy, but she heard most recently that they have been separated and during the last few occasions when she has seen [redacted] in the restaurant, he has been accompanied by two different girls.

[redacted] also said that she recalls having waited on [redacted] at which time he had as dinner guests [redacted] wife and child, and [redacted] mother and father. She said that [redacted] was not present at those times.

[redacted] also said that on occasion and she did not recall how many times, she overheard [redacted] and [redacted] discussing the erection of a new thirteen-story office building in Tucson.

[redacted] said she has never seen [redacted] and [redacted] in the Iron Mask Restaurant or anywhere else by themselves. She never saw [redacted] either enter the restaurant together nor leave together.

3

PX 166-293

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] also recalled that on at least three occasions, she has waited on [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] when they were dining together at the Iron Mask Restaurant. She said she learned [REDACTED] name inasmuch as he charged the dinner on a credit card which she believed was an American Express credit card.

b6  
b7C

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1

8/14/69

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

[redacted] was contacted at his place of employment, [redacted]

[redacted] advised that [redacted]

[redacted] He explained that he returned to [redacted] about two months ago, after having been away for approximately two years, serving in the Armed Forces. He acknowledged that he is aware of the fact that [redacted] had recently been arrested in connection with bombings in the Tucson, Arizona area. He stated that he knows nothing concerning same other than what he has read in the papers.

[redacted] advised he would contact [redacted] to determine if she would be willing to discuss [redacted] and his difficulties. [redacted] left the presence of the Agent for a short time and made a telephone call. Upon returning he suggested that [redacted] be contacted at her residence at about 3:30 p.m. August 13, 1969.

On 8/13/69 at Tucson, Arizona File # PX 166-293

by SAs [redacted] Date dictated 8/14/69

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

179

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1Date 8/14/69

[redacted] was contacted at her residence, [redacted]  
[redacted] stated that she was unable to be  
interviewed at this time and that any requests in this  
regard should be handled through her attorney, [redacted]  
[redacted]

b6  
b7C

On 8/13/69 at Tucson, Arizona File # PX 166-293

by SAs [redacted] Date dictated 8/14/69

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

b6  
b7C

180

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

AVOID VERBAL MESSAGES

CITY OF TUCSON

C. 381-B

TO [REDACTED] FROM [REDACTED]  
SUBJECT INTERVIEW WITH SALVATORE "BILL" BONANNO DATE 29 JULY 1969

On 28 July 1969, an interview was held in Chief Gilkinson's office with the above subject. This had been arranged by [REDACTED] Present at the meeting was Chief Gilkinson, [REDACTED] Bill Bonanno, and myself. Interview began at approximately 1515 hours and ended at approximately 1830 hours. Following is a resume of what was discussed.

Bonanno indicated that he had been instructed to come to Tucson by his father, Joe Bonanno, Sr. The father wanted him here to check into a few things and also to assist him in the court appearance that Bonanno, Sr. has been subpoenaed to attend on 29 July 1969 reference [REDACTED] in the bombing of the Bonanno and Notaro homes in 1968. Bonanno indicated that [REDACTED] was coming in also and that a decision would be made on whether Bonanno, Sr. would appear and testify in this matter.

General discussion was talked about reference Bonanno's active role. Bonanno indicated that his father was in the house at the time of the explosion, but was in the bedroom area, and that someone else had indeed shot a shotgun blast at individuals climbing the wall. Bonanno indicated that the person shooting the shotgun would not be able to positively identify the people responsible for the bombing, but would be able to verify there was, in fact, a shotgun blast and in fact, three people involved - one fellow helping the wounded man from the scene and the third man driving. He described the car as going north in the alley to the east of the apartments directly across the street from the Bonanno backyard.

Bonanno went on to say that on at least two other occasions, there had been packages of dynamite placed near his home prior to the explosion. On one occasion, he was standing guard in the front yard area of his home when he noticed a car coming east on Elm from Martin, turn out the lights, and when the car got in front of their home directly next to a blue Mustang that the Bonanno's were using at that time (registered to [REDACTED] - we have observed this vehicle many times at the Bonanno residence. Bonanno was reportedly using this vehicle while [REDACTED] was out of the country on vacation). Bonanno had a shotgun in his hand. He says he put the shotgun down and returned to the car. The car immediately sped away. Bonanno was able to get the license number. He said the numbers were [REDACTED] and he had written down the license number which he had at home and would give to us at a later date. He said there were at least two people in the car, possibly more. He went back into the house and a few minutes later, a neighbor came to the door and told him there was a package on the ground behind the blue Mustang. Bonanno went out and retrieved the package, consisting of six sticks of dynamite with about a five-foot fuse attached. Bonanno indicated he got ahold of someone, had them bury the package in the desert. This was not reported to the police. On another occasion, someone in a car threw a package over the wall. They were able to get a license number - the numbers were [REDACTED] He also has this number written down at home and will make it available to us. At this time, a package was thrown over the wall containing five sticks of dynamite that failed to go off. This was not reported to the police department.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

PAGE TWO (INTERVIEW WITH SALVATORE "BILL" BONANNO)

On an earlier occasion, the department sent out [redacted] to investigate a shot in the alley of the Bonanno home. I remember this incident and I discussed this with [redacted]. At the time that [redacted] did the investigation, he observed a small crack in the window. He relayed this to Bonanno and they indicated a stone had broken the window, but in fact, a shotgun blast had pierced the curtains and the window and peppered the wall. Because of these incidents and also the actual bombings, the Bonanno's have arranged to have a continued surveillance on their backyard. Bonanno indicated that one of their trusted friends pulls a shift, that they are armed, and will shoot anybody that comes into the yard. The people participating in this, as Bill indicated, are Pete Notaro, [redacted], [redacted]. [redacted] Bonanno indicated that he would vouch for only three people in this community with his life - [redacted] and his father.)

Some discussion was entered into about the Judge DeConcino bombing. Bonanno indicated it would be a good idea if we looked at other homes in the area as this was the main target. Discussion followed and Bill told us that a couple of days prior to DeConcino's bombing, [redacted] called him and asked him for his assistance. [redacted] indicated someone had thrown a package over his back patio wall and when they examined it, it contained five sticks of dynamite and a fuse. Bonanno had this buried. According to Bonanno, [redacted] lives [redacted]. Bonanno acknowledged that he had been in the alley between DeConcino's [redacted] home a day or so later looking over the situation. He acknowledged he had borrowed [redacted] because he didn't want to be recognized. He acknowledged that the fellow with him that we had known as [redacted] who had been here on previous occasions. Bonanno indicated that [redacted] has a record and he wanted to be introduced by another name. Bonanno indicated the reason he was in the alley in back of the DeConcino home was to observe the scene and determine how anyone could throw a package of dynamite [redacted].

When asked what the theory on the bombings were, Bonanno indicated that there had been a "division of affections" between him and certain people, resulting in their "back East problem" and this split has manifested itself here in Tucson. Bonanno stated that he is very friendly with [redacted].

Bonanno was surprised when he was informed that [redacted] had been driving Bill's Lincoln around Tucson after he had turned it in. Bonanno indicated that Pete Notaro drove it back from California, turned it in, and Bill flew home to San Jose. Members of this unit have logged [redacted] driving Bill's ex-Lincoln vehicle and we have checked with American Auto Rental to see who the vehicle was listed to, and this came back registered to [redacted]. Bonanno indicated "I wonder how many people in Tucson figured [redacted] was working for me, seeing he was driving my car around".

Bonanno indicated that he and Charles Battaglia had had their differences but they had not had a split in their relationship and Bonanno felt that if Charlie was on the street, that things wouldn't have gone as far as they have at this point. Bonanno denied having any financial arrangements with [redacted] and denied this has been the reason for some of the bombings.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

PAGE THREE (INTERVIEW WITH SALVATORE "BILL" BONANNO)

Bonanno indicated they were not particularly interested in the two punks we have in custody at this time for the bombings, but they wanted to know who had hired them and the reason behind it. He stated that he would assist us to some degree, but he felt that they should handle their own problems. Chief Gilkinson emphatically stated to Bonanno that he did not want them interfering into official police investigation and he did not want Bonanno to take the law into his own hands in this matter.

Bonanno was extremely interested [redacted] association [redacted] b6  
[redacted] He indicated he knew nothing that could tie them together, but he b7C  
would be checking on it. I advised him it is a well known fact that [redacted]  
had worked [redacted] for a period of time and were good friends. This  
interested Bonanno immensely and as he indicated, "this opens up a whole new  
area of thought".

We supplied Bonanno with the names of [redacted]  
[redacted] and asked him if there was any connection with these people,  
indicating that possibly Joe Bonanno, Jr. would be able to assist in this.  
Bonanno said, "the old man has told me not to involve the kid (Joe, Jr.) in  
anything as he has the tendency to get into trouble".

In relationship to the two vehicles that Bonanno had reported on two various occasions when dynamite had been thrown or placed near his home, he indicated that he called Phoenix to get a registration check and both numbers came back registered to DeLuxe Imports out of Phoenix, with two different addresses. Bonanno stated he went personally to Phoenix and checked out both addresses. One of them was a vacant lot and the other one was on top of Camelback Mountain. He indicated he has no idea who was driving the cars or who owns same.

Discussion was entered into about the Mafia, locally and also on the Eastern scale. Bonanno stated he has learned a lot in the last few years and considers himself retired - all he wants to do is live peaceably with his wife and family in the San Jose area. He indicated he has moved within the last two weeks and he wanted to spend his time golfing or taking it easy. He has had enough of this. He further indicated that certain well known businessman in town had purposely perpetuated this Mafia influence in town for their own ends. He indicated a number of bankers and real estate people were close friends of theirs even though they publicly admitted they should not be dealing with the Bonanno group, they were in fact, working hand and glove with them.

When asked if there was a bad cop in our department or a leak, Bill was hesitant, beat around the bush, but finally said that as far as he personally was concerned, he did not think so, but up until a couple of years ago, they did have a pretty good source within the department. He would not elaborate on this and we couldn't pin him down on names, rank, or other information, but he indicated he would have to say that as far as he is concerned, he does not have a contact now within the police department. He was evasive when asked-- what any of his people? No firm answer was forthcoming on that question.

Bill went on to state his relationship and his activity in [redacted] b6  
[redacted] He indicated a "friend" came to him and told him that [redacted] wanted b7C  
someone to make a contact in California. [redacted] was on the Board of Direc-  
tors of the Union Bank and at that time, Bill had a large sum of money tied up

GIA OK 102304  
74010 LEBEVT 0026022

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

PAGE FOUR (INTERVIEW WITH SALVATORE "BILL" BONANNO)

under Federal lien in the Union Bank. He stated the money is still tied up in this bank. The proposition was if Bonanno would assist [redacted] in finding his two girls, [redacted] would do everything he could to see that this money was released. [redacted] was the one who was supposed to know where the girls were. Bonanno contacted Joe Bonanno, Jr., who in turn contacted [redacted] and arranged a meeting. [redacted] and Bill discussed this meeting [redacted] and Bill instructed [redacted] to go to California in an effort to find [redacted]. This is when one of them got picked up by the police and [redacted] as a kook. He said he received \$500 in an envelope stamped, Union Bank, for his expenses in this deal, nothing more.

Bill asked if a girl by the name [redacted] who supposedly was a friend of a guy by the name [redacted] was involved in these current bombing arrests. When asked why, Bill said he had heard that [redacted] had been good friends or had been associated in some way or another. Bill was told that to our knowledge, [redacted] was not involved in this. (I believe [redacted] known to this unit as being [redacted])

Bill indicated that he would be here for an undetermined length of time, as long as it is going to take to clear this thing up. He stated he has packed a suitcase and he is staying with his father. He is driving Joe, Jr's. car at the present time.

Bonanno stated [redacted] and his family had been good friends of his for a long period of time, indicating he had done business with them back in Wisconsin and [redacted] was one of the "arms length friends" he has. He indicated [redacted] had been involved with the high-rise building at Broadway and Treat and that the Madison Trust Company had in reality been their (Bonanno's) outfit. He indicated this could be told as it was out now.

[redacted]  
Special Investigations Unit  
29 July 1969  
1240 hours

200701

0111

10

1000

0111 01 140201  
YABO JABOY, JABOY

AVOID VERBAL MESSAGES  
CITY OF TUCSON

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

C. 33

TO [REDACTED] FROM [REDACTED]  
SUBJECT: DESTRUCTION OF PACKAGE OF DYNAMITE DATE: 7 AUGUST 1969

On 7 August 1969 at 0430 hours, I met with [REDACTED] of Emergency Ordinance Demolition, Davis-Monthan. At this time we proceeded to River Road and Campbell, where I parked my vehicle and we waited for daylight.

At approximately 0510 hours 7 August 1969, we proceeded to [REDACTED] where we had information and a map (which is attached to this report) of the location of a package of dynamite. We went on the premises of [REDACTED] and walked directly to the location of the package. The package was photographed prior to any disturbance. [REDACTED] wearing rubber gloves, examined the package and the surrounding area for a possible booby trap. On being satisfied that it was clear of any other obstructions, the package containing the dynamite was removed and taken approximately 200 feet northeast of the location in an arroyo. [REDACTED] advised that we disarm the package without further delay.

The dynamite was taken out of the paper sack. It was taped with black plastic tape. The package contained five sticks. The fuse came out of the end of the package and was underneath the tape holding the dynamite. The cap could not be pulled from the package. [REDACTED] myself, [REDACTED] cut the tape, opening up the sticks of dynamite. One stick contained the cap was cut lengthwise, following the fuse down to where the cap was bedded in the dynamite. The cap was found to be approximately four inches into the stick. After splitting the stick down to the top of the cap, it was removed, disarming the bomb. The dynamite was in an advanced state of deterioration. The outside of the sticks of dynamite were coated with white crystals, indicating a leakage.

At this time, the dynamite and the cap was taken to the E.O.D. transportation unit, secured, and moved to the city jail annex on Silverbell Road where it was unloaded and taken to the police range. At this time, I processed the paper bag containing the five sticks of dynamite and also took three additional photographs of the material. The paper bag was processed with iodine fumes with negative results. I carefully removed the five sticks of dynamite from the tape, placing the tape on a piece of cardboard for further processing for fingerprints. I examined each stick of dynamite in the box. All five sticks were made by Apache Powder Company on 20 February 1967. On three of the sticks, the type could not be read. The other two were Amoco #3.

After the processing was finished, the dynamite was taken out further to the police range area and destroyed by myself by fire. The paper bag containing the five sticks of dynamite and the tape surrounding the dynamite was taken to the police crime laboratory and given to [REDACTED] for further processing. I personally took these items to [REDACTED]. A total of five photographs were taken with a Graphlex 4x5 camera by myself, two at the scene where the package was found and three at the police academy. These were taken between 0515 hours and 0845 hours on 7 August 1969.

b6  
b7C  
b7D

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~  
C. 38

PAGE TWO

The location of the package of dynamite was provided by [REDACTED]

No further information.

[REDACTED]  
Special Investigations Unit  
7 August 1969  
1445 hours

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C  
b7D

AVOID VERBAL MESSAGES  
CITY OF TUCSON


To  FROM  b6  
b7C  
SUBJECT RESULTS OF TESTS OF DYNAMITE MATERIAL DATE 8 AUGUST 1969

On 8 August 1969, I received the results of the laboratory tests of the paper bag and also the tape that was used for the package of dynamite. The tape was in Identification and was processed three ways: iodine fuming, silver nitrate, and magna-brush. Results were negative.

processed the paper bag that the dynamite was in and his results were also negative to any fingerprints. The tape, paper bag, the dynamite cap and fuses are all stored in evidence at the powder room at the police academy.

Special Investigations Unit  
8 August 1969  
0915 hours

PX 166-293



b6  
b7C

INVESTIGATION AT HOSPITAL REGARDING  
TREATMENT OF [REDACTED] FOR  
A GUNSHOT WOUND, JULY 22, 1968

---

b6  
b7C

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date August 10, 1969

[redacted] advised she is a [redacted] in the Medical Records Department of St. Mary's Hospital, and she resides at [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] obtained a record of the admittance of [redacted] to St. Mary's on July 22, 1968, and read the following information from this record:

[redacted] white, male, [redacted] was admitted to the Emergency Room at St. Mary's Hospital at 10:00 PM, July 22, 1968, and upon admittance he was found to be suffering from multiple gunshot wounds. The record did not state who accompanied [redacted] to the hospital. The Emergency Room nurse who first observed [redacted] was [redacted]. The record shows [redacted] address as [redacted] and next of kin to be [redacted] parents, no address given. The record states [redacted] received multiple gunshot wounds in right forearm, right upper arm, right leg and buttock, including injury to radial and ulnar arteries in the right arm. There was considerable oozing of blood from all gunshot wound areas.

b6  
b7C

[redacted] was taken to surgery at 11:30 PM, July 22, 1968, which surgery was performed by [redacted] from 12:15 AM to 4:50 AM, July 23, 1968. Consent to perform this surgery was signed by [redacted] at 12:15 AM.

b6  
b7C

Surgeon's notes state, "Patient was accidentally shot by a gun - rifle, from a distance of about 10 feet prior to admission on July 22, 1968. He had inability to move his right hand or feel any sensation and complained of a pain in his left hip. There was very marked swelling on his right forearm, a general oozing of blood from wounds and lacerations of arteries."

[redacted] was then taken to the Intensive Care Section of the hospital at 5:00 AM, July 23, 1968. Nurse's notes state [redacted] possessions were sent home by parents. At 8:00 AM to 9:00 AM, July 23, 1968, a friend came in to visit [redacted] the notes do not identify this person.

b6  
b7C

On 8/9/69 at St. Mary's Hospital, Tucson, Arizona File # PHOENIX 166-293

by SA [redacted] Date dictated 8/10/69

b6  
b7C

EX 166-293

2

On July 24, 1968, he was transferred to room 445, a private room, and was still in the care of [redacted] On August 3, 1968, [redacted] was discharged and the record does not state who received [redacted] upon his discharge.

The record also contains an X-Ray report of [redacted] made out by [redacted] when [redacted] was admitted, which states as a conclusion, "millions of metallic foreign bodies resembling buckshot were found in soft tissues with fragmentation of those about the right forearm where there is apparently impaction with micro fractures."

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1Date August 10, 1969

[redacted] advised she is a [redacted] assigned to the Emergency Room of St. Mary's Hospital and she resides at [redacted]

[redacted] advised, after searching her recollection, that she recalled the admittance of one [redacted] to St. Mary's Hospital approximately one year ago, although she said she could not recall the exact date. She said she recalled it was at about 10:00 to 10:30 PM during the evening and that [redacted] was suffering from a gunshot wound which appeared to have been caused by a shotgun. [redacted] advised that to her recollection [redacted] was walking when he came in but she could not recall who accompanied [redacted] to the hospital. She said that there was a City policeman in the Emergency Room when [redacted] was admitted, who told her that he knew [redacted]. She said this patrolman was named [redacted]. [redacted] advised that [redacted] wounds were cleaned and bandaged and he was prepared for surgery and that was the last contact she had with him.

b6  
b7C

On 8/9/69 at St. Mary's Hospital, Tucson, Arizona File # PHOENIX 100-292  
by SA [redacted] Date dictated 8/10/69

b6  
b7C

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 8/12/69

[redacted] St. Mary's Hospital, Tucson, Arizona, was re-interviewed at 12:14 AM, at the hospital for any additional information she had recalled concerning the shotgun blast victim brought into the emergency room of that hospital on the night of July 22, 1968, when she was on emergency duty.

She recalled the victim was either blond or had light hair, and after looking over the photographs of the persons whose names are listed hereinafter, she picked that of [redacted] as possibly identical with the victim.

The following photographs were displayed to [redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted] could not make a positive identification of [redacted] picture as the person who was brought into the emergency room. She is vague in her recollection as to who might have been with the victim and does not remember any descriptive data but realized, of course, someone had to be with him because he could not have driven in by himself. She said the patient was rational, but she does not recall his having made any explanation to her as to the cause of the wounds but it was obvious they were gunshot wounds;

On 8/12/69 at Tucson, Arizona

File# TX 166-293

by SA [redacted]  
SA [redacted]

Date dictated 8/12/69

2

PX 166-293

[redacted]  
however, there were no serious lacerations but she could see blood and could see the wounds were small.

[redacted] Tucson Police  
Department Officer [redacted] also known as [redacted]  
was there at the time waiting for her to go off duty and  
he knew the victim and talked with him. [redacted]  
said he was going to visit the victim the next day but  
[redacted] does not know if he did come to the hospital.

[redacted] said there would have been two  
other registered nurses in the emergency room at that time,  
both of whom are still working in the hospital, namely,  
[redacted]

[redacted] had no additional information.

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

August 12, 1969

Date

[redacted] was interviewed at St. Mary's Hospital, Tucson, Arizona. She advised she is in charge of the patient accounts in the Credit Department of St. Mary's Hospital.

b6  
b7C

[redacted] reviewed cashier's file under Patient [redacted] regarding the admission and confinement of [redacted] at St. Mary's Hospital, which file contained the admission record.

[redacted] was asked to comment regarding the signature of [redacted] on this document. She stated that this record was not signed by anyone when [redacted] was admitted and that this record came across her desk because it was marked "self pay." She said this would indicate the patient had no hospitalization. She said she noted this record was not signed and that she made the notation on the top of the document [redacted] sign ledger. He is responsible party -- [redacted] She also noted she made the handwritten statement "Will pay on dismissal."

b6  
b7C

[redacted] was asked about the handprinted statement [redacted] stated he would be responsible for bill. His address [redacted] She said that this was made by [redacted] who is one of the admissions clerks who works at night at the hospital. She said [redacted] was on duty in the Emergency Room when [redacted] was admitted.

[redacted] stated she wrote the statement on the Admission Record "7/31 bill to date sent to [redacted]"

She said she was also the one who made the handwritten statement "8/3 send balance to [redacted]"

b6  
b7C

She also stated she made the handwritten statement "Conv of bill to Fireman's Fund, P.O. 12662 per ok by [redacted]"

From her examination of this record and the statements

On 8/11/69 at Tucson, Arizona File # PX 166-293

by SA [redacted] Date dictated 8/12/69

b6  
b7C

2

PX 166-293

[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

made thereon she concluded that this bill was paid in cash  
by [REDACTED] who signed the Admission Record on  
August 3, 1968, the date that [REDACTED] was discharged.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date August 12, 1969

[redacted] was interviewed at St. Mary's Hospital, Tucson, Arizona. She advised that she is the Head Cashier at the hospital. [redacted] made available her complete cashier's file concerning the admission and confinement of [redacted] at St. Mary's Hospital from July 22 to August 3, 1968. This file included the Admission Record, a review of which document revealed the following information:

b6  
b7C

Patient's name  
Patient's address

Patient's date of birth  
Admitted

Billing Name

Type of treatment  
Admitting diagnosis  
Doctor's last name  
Patient dismissed

[redacted]

This document is signed in red ink [redacted] and bears the notation written in red ink following signature, [redacted] paid \$1,300 cash, 8/3/68."

This document bears a handprinted statement [redacted] stated that he would be responsible for bill. His address [redacted] This document bears a handwritten statement in black ink just below the above statement [redacted] At the top of this document there is a handwritten statement in black ink "Have [redacted] sign ledger. He is responsible party -- [redacted] On the back of the document there is a handwritten statement in green ink, "7/31 bills to date sent to [redacted]"

b6  
b7C

Below this is the handwritten statement in red ink

On 8/11/69 at Tucson, Arizona File # PX 166-293

by SA [redacted] Date dictated 8/12/69

b6  
b7C

2

PX 166-293

b6  
b7C

"8/3 send balance to [redacted] at [redacted]  
[redacted]

Below this statement is the handwritten statement in black ink "Copy of bill to Fireman's Fund, P.O. 12662 per ok by [redacted]

[redacted] advised that the total bill for [redacted] confinement at the hospital was \$1,298.46 and that the hospital made out a check, number 3722, for \$1.54, which represented a refund of the difference between the amount paid and the actual bill. She said this check was made out to [redacted] and sent to [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] was asked to comment on the fact that this document was signed by [redacted] and she replied that this meant to her that this was the individual who accompanied [redacted] to the hospital.

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1

Date August 13, 1969

[redacted] St. Mary's Hospital, West St. Mary's Road, advised she is the emergency admitting secretary and relief cashier and telephone operator during the 3:30 P. M. to midnight shift at St. Mary's hospital in Tucson. She resides at [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] advised that she was on duty at the hospital between the hours of 3:30 P. M. and midnight during the evening of July 22, 1968. [redacted] said during this period of time she was in a small office in the emergency room of the hospital.

[redacted] was shown a copy of an admission record for the admission of [redacted] at St. Mary's at about 10:00 P. M. on July 22, 1968. [redacted] advised that the black printing on this document was made by her, including the statement. [redacted] stated he would be responsible for bill. His address [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] advised she could not clearly recall the admission of [redacted] to the hospital or who might have accompanied him on this occasion.

[redacted] advised that she recalls a number of similar incidents of young people having been admitted to St. Mary's with gunshot wounds and she could not recall [redacted] admission clearly. She advised she recalled an incident in which a young man in his early 20s with light colored hair, was brought into the hospital suffering from gunshot wounds and supported by a young man of the same age with dark colored hair. She said she recalls the young man with the dark hair left immediately and shortly thereafter another man, with not too much hair, in his 50s, came up and said he was [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] was shown photographs of [redacted]  
[redacted]  
[redacted] She picked the photograph of [redacted] as the person she recalls who looked somewhat like the person who represented himself as [redacted]. However, she noted the photograph of [redacted] shows a person wearing glasses and she could not recall this person having glasses.

b6  
b7C

On 8/11/69 at Tucson, Arizona File# Phoenix 166-293

by [redacted]

Date dictated 8/13/69b6  
b7C

PX 166-293

2

[redacted] advised the person who carried the patient in said the patient's name was [redacted]. She said she thought he was barefooted and noted he was bleeding and there was blood on his clothes. She recalled that the patient's parents came to the hospital somewhat later, approximately midnight of that evening and that his mother signed the admitting paper and received his clothes. [redacted] advised she could not recall anything further regarding this incident.

b6  
b7C

PX 166-293

- 1 -

On August 13, 1969, [redacted] St. Mary's Hospital, West St. Mary's Road, Tucson, Arizona, telephonically advised Special Agent [redacted] that records of this hospital concerning charges made to [redacted] while he was a patient at the hospital indicated that on August 1, 1968, a \$1.25 telephone charge was made for a call from [redacted] room to telephone number [redacted] Flagstaff, Arizona, which call was to be charged to the home telephone of [redacted]. She stated that on August 2, 1968, another telephone call was made from [redacted] room to the same telephone number in Flagstaff with a charge of \$1.11.

On August 13, 1969, Special Agent [redacted] determined that the records of the Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Company, Flagstaff, Arizona, revealed that telephone number [redacted] was listed from March, 1968, through November, 1968, to [redacted] Arizona. Her occupation was listed as a student at Northern Arizona University, and a cocktail hostess at the Holiday Inn. Her parent was listed as [redacted]. Inquiry at the office of campus security, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, revealed that [redacted] was not presently a student at Northern Arizona University; however, she had been registered as a first session summer student, which term ended June 22, 1969. Her address was listed as [redacted] Arizona.

Inquiry in the vicinity of [redacted] Arizona, revealed that [redacted] is still residing at this address, but was not at home and not available for interview.

AVOID VERBAL MESSAGES

CITY OF TUCSON

LI. DON LOWE

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

b6  
b7C

To: [redacted] 12 AUGUST 1969

SUBJECT: [redacted] DATE: [redacted]

It had been determined [redacted] that [redacted]

On 12 August 1969, I contacted [redacted] by phone. I asked him if he knew [redacted]. He indicated yes, that he has known him for approximate six years. [redacted]

[redacted] The night of 22 July 1968, [redacted] was at St. Mary's Hospital talking with a girl whom he was dating at that time. The girl is an emergency room nurse at St. Mary's named [redacted]

As [redacted] was sitting there, he observed a car drive up and saw two people in the vehicle. He recognized [redacted] as one of the people. He did not recognize the other man and he thinks the car might have been a Dart or a Valiant, dark in color, but he is not sure of this. He says this was some time after 9 o'clock at night and maybe as late as the early morning hours, but he is not sure of this either. When they brought [redacted] in, they gave a different name than what he had known [redacted] from and he thought this was funny, as he was sure it was [redacted]. He attempted to question the man who brought [redacted] in but this man disclaimed any knowledge of what had happened. [redacted] talked with [redacted] briefly. [redacted] told him he was involved in a shotgun accident somewhere in the Tucson Mountains and that evidently, he had been trying to unload a gun that had gone off. He said [redacted] was quite vague about what had happened.

On 12 August 1969, [redacted] went to [redacted] stated that he had talked to [redacted] on the evening of the shooting and to the best of [redacted] recollection, he thinks that he called [redacted] to tell her of the accident. He stated that [redacted] was extremely evasive about what had happened.


At the time [redacted] was brought in, he arrived in private transportation and [redacted] was not certain whether he was in the emergency room at the time [redacted] was brought in or whether he just walked in later. An older man supposedly brought him in.

Photographs of [redacted] were shown [redacted] and at first, [redacted] could not identify [redacted] as the man with whom he had spoken, however, later in the conversation, [redacted] stated that he thought [redacted] was the man he had talked to on the night of the shooting. He stated that he had known [redacted] for about six years, but had not really seen him for approximately the last two, and that he had met [redacted]. He stated that he doesn't know who [redacted] associates with at the present time. In describing [redacted] he stated that he would have to call him eccentric and quite a bit of a show-

PX 166-293



b6  
b7C

INVESTIGATION CONDUCTED REGARDING  
 WHEREABOUTS  
ON PERTINENT DATES

---

b6  
b7C

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1

Date 8/10/69

[redacted] was interviewed at the offices of Budget Rent-A-Car, 2707 E. Valencia Road, where he is employed as [redacted] of the Tucson branch of this company.

b6  
b7C

[redacted] advised he is well acquainted with [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] He said he has had numerous contacts with [redacted] over the past several years. He said that he believed his first contact with [redacted] occurred when [redacted] contacted him concerning JOSEPH BONANNO. At that time [redacted] said he was residing in an apartment owned by BONANNO in Tucson. He also said the former site of his car rental company office in Tucson was located on land owned by JOSEPH BONANNO, which land was subsequently sold by BONANNO for the erection of a building. This site is located at the near entrance of the Tucson Airport.

[redacted] said all his records of car rentals in 1968 are temporarily stored at his residence and he therefore accompanied the interviewing agents to his home at [redacted] [redacted] was specifically asked if he had any record of cars having been loaned to or rented by [redacted] in August and September, 1968.

b6  
b7C

After reviewing all his records, no record of [redacted] having rented or being loaned cars during these period could be located.

b6  
b7C

[redacted] stated he did recall that on at least one or two occasions [redacted] asked him and his wife for the loan of cars and said he did not want the usual rental agreement to be drawn up and he wanted nobody else in the car rental office to know that he was getting these cars. [redacted] said [redacted] did not tell him the reason for this and he did not question him.

[redacted] said he recalled that on one of these occasions [redacted] said someone else would pick up the car they were loaning him; however, he did not see this person who

b6  
b7C

On 8/8/69 at Tucson, Arizona File # Phoenix 166-293

by [redacted] Date dictated 8/9/69

b6  
b7C

PX 166-293

[REDACTED]

2

came and picked it up.

[REDACTED] was then shown photographs of the following persons:



[REDACTED] was unable to identify any of these photographs of persons being known to him.

[REDACTED] stated all the information he furnished in this interview was true and correct to the best of his recollection; however, he did not feel it necessary to be sworn nor to furnish a written statement.

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 8/10/69

1

[redacted] was interviewed at the office of Budget Rent-A-Car, 2707 E. Valencia Road. She advised she is [redacted] and is also actively employed in this business. She resides at [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] advised she is well acquainted with [redacted] and has had numerous contacts with him over the past several years. [redacted] stated that she and her [redacted] have loaned cars belonging to Budget Rent-A-Car to [redacted] on several occasions. She specifically recalled, however, that during the fall of 1968 [redacted] asked both of them on at least two occasions to lend him a car and that he did not want them to write up the usual rental contract in these cases. [redacted] also said [redacted] told them he wanted no one else in the Budget office to be aware of this matter. She said they did lend [redacted] cars on at least these two occasions under these conditions and [redacted] never told them why he wanted the cars under these conditions. She said to the best of her recollection [redacted] only kept these cars overnight.

b6  
b7C

[redacted] said also that on one of the above described occasions [redacted] told her he would be sending someone else to pick up the car. She again was unable to place these dates but stated they would have had to be either in the spring or fall as it was not yet hot. She stated, however, she was certain it was in 1968.

b6  
b7C

[redacted] was then shown a group of photographs consisting of the following persons:

b6  
b7C

On 8/8/69 at Tucson, Arizona File # PHOENIX 166-293

by SA's [redacted] Date dictated 8/9/69

b6  
b7C

After viewing the above listed photographs, [redacted] stated that the only person who looked at all familiar to her was the photograph of [redacted]. She stated she recalls this because this photograph of [redacted] resembles the man who picked up the car which they had loaned to [redacted]. She said the reason she recalls this is because the man who came to pick up the car had such a youthful appearance that she questioned whether or not he was yet 25 years of age, which is the required minimum age for the rental of their cars. She said the man produced an Arizona driver's license which reflected he was over 25 but she does not recall his name. She stated his build and height resembles that of the photograph of [redacted] and furthermore, he was well dressed and quite neat. She said he seemed to be in quite a hurry and after she gave him the keys to the car he drove away quite rapidly. She further stated she cannot now recall the make of the cars which [redacted] obtained on these aforementioned occasions and would have no record of these incidents. She said she believed [redacted] returned the aforementioned cars himself.

b6  
b7C

[redacted] also said that [redacted] had borrowed cars from them on other occasions and she would search through her old records, which are presently being temporarily stored at her residence in Tucson. She said that either she or [redacted] would contact the interviewing Agents if she discovers any record of cars loaned to [redacted] concerning which contracts were written.

b6  
b7C

[redacted] advised that she did not feel she wanted to be placed under oath in this matter nor did she desire to furnish a written statement; however, all of the information which she furnished was true and correct to the best of her recollection.

b6  
b7C

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1

Date 8/10/69

[redacted] was recontacted at his residence, [redacted] Arizona, after SA [redacted] received a phone call from [redacted] on this date, stating she had located a record in their files, Budget Rent-A-Car, which showed that [redacted] had executed a rental contract for two of their cars.

b6  
b7C

[redacted] turned over to SA [redacted] the original of a Budget Rent-A-Car of Tucson Rental Agreement [redacted] dated July 17, 1968. This Rental Agreement reflects that on July 17, 1968 [redacted] rented their unit #8002. Time of rental was listed as 8:31 AM, July 17, 1968 and [redacted] described this car as a 1968 Buick Wildcat, four door sedan, brown body and avocado vinyl top. The agreement showed that [redacted] returned this car at 8:14 AM on July 19, 1968. The mileage out was 3350 and when it was returned the mileage was 3544 miles. The car bore Arizona 1968 license NAX-901.

b6  
b7C

This same rental agreement reflects that when [redacted] returned the above described car he obtained another car, a Camaro, which was listed on this same Rental Agreement. He took it out also on July 19, 1968 and returned it on August 22, 1968. [redacted] said this was a blue 1967 Camaro and [redacted] was considering buying it at that time. He stated no charge was made to [redacted] for the use of either of these two cars. No mileage figures were shown for the use of the Camaro. [redacted] said this was because the car was to be sold anyway and the mileage was not necessary.

b6  
b7C

It was also noted on this Rental Agreement that [redacted]

[redacted] also stated that the reference listed on the Agreement, [redacted] is their office manager in Phoenix and he listed this name himself just to complete the form and [redacted] did not ask him to do this. Under "Renter's Agreement" there appears the signature [redacted] Under this appears the signature [redacted]

b6  
b7C

On 8/9/69 at Tucson, Arizona File # Phoenix 166-293

by SA's [redacted] Date dictated 8/9/69

b6  
b7C

231

PX 166-293

[REDACTED]

2

b6  
b7C

of the authorized Budget representative, which signature [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] said was his. He also said [REDACTED] did not purchase this  
Camaro.

PX 166-293

b6  
b7C

The following investigation was conducted at Tucson, Arizona, by SA [redacted] and SA [redacted]

On August 9, 1969, photographs of [redacted]

[redacted] were exhibited to [redacted] and [redacted] [redacted] Cliff House Motel, 5900 North Oracle Road, Tucson, Arizona. Neither [redacted] nor [redacted] was able to identify any of the above individuals as having been in the Cliff House Motel. Neither recognized any of the individuals with the exception of [redacted] who knows [redacted] from other places where she has worked in Tucson, Arizona.

b6  
b7C

PX 166-293

[REDACTED]

The following investigation was conducted at Tucson, Arizona, by SAs [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] on August 13, 1969:

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED] were contacted at the Cliff Manor Motel. [REDACTED] stated she has been employed here as [REDACTED] for about 10 years and [REDACTED] related she has been working here as [REDACTED] for about nine or ten years. Both were shown pictures of the following individuals; however, they did not recognize any of these individuals as being identical with anyone they have seen in or around the Cliff Manor Motel:

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED]  
JOE BONANNO, Jr.

[REDACTED]

Later the same day, August 13, 1969, [REDACTED] Cliff Manor Motel, advised SA [REDACTED] upon viewing the above-mentioned photographs, that the only individual he recognizes is the photograph of [REDACTED]. He stated that he has seen [REDACTED] at the Cliff Manor in the bar but has no information as to whether he has ever stayed at the motel.


b6  
b7C

PX 166-293



b6  
b7C

BACKGROUND DATA REGARDING



b6  
b7C

PX 166-293

b6  
b7C

The following information was obtained July 24, 1969, from the records section of the Tucson Police Department and the Motor Vehicle Division of the Arizona Highway Department, Phoenix, Arizona:

Records of the Tucson Police Department disclosed that [redacted] was arrested [redacted]

b6  
b7C

[redacted] last arrest was on July 22, 1969, as a suspect in the bombing of the residences of JOSEPH BONANNO, SR., and PETER JOSEPH NOTARO at Tucson, which bombings occurred in July and August of 1968, respectively.

Motor Vehicle Division records revealed the following physical description of [redacted]

Name  
Residence  
  
Race  
Sex  
Born  
Hair  
Eyes  
Height  
Weight  
Occupation

b6  
b7C

These same records disclose [redacted] Arizona driver's license has been suspended for two months, July 23, 1969, to September 23, 1969, for numerous traffic citations.

b6  
b7C

Records of the Tucson Police Department disclose that the only arrest for [redacted] was that of being suspect in the bombing of the JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. home in July, 1968.

Records of the Motor Vehicle Division describe [redacted] as follows:

Name  
Address  
  
Race  
Sex

b6  
b7C

PX 166-293



Born  
Height  
Weight  
Hair  
Eyes  
Occupation



b6  
b7C

Records of the Tucson Police Department disclose



b6  
b7C




according to the records of the  
Motor Vehicle Division, is described as follows:



Name  
Residence

Race  
Sex  
Born  
Height  
Weight  
Hair  
Eyes  
Occupation



b6  
b7C

SI 166-855

1

b6  
b7C

The following investigation was conducted by  
SA [redacted] on August 7, 1969:

At Rock Island, Illinois

b6  
b7C

[redacted] Rock  
Island County Sheriff's Office, advised that his files  
reflect the following information:

b6  
b7C

[redacted] further advised that his files failed  
to reflect any records identifiable with [redacted]

[redacted]  
[redacted] Rock Island Police  
Department, advised that [redacted]

b6  
b7C

SI 166-855

2

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] further advised that her records failed to  
reflect any information identifiable with [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

At Moline, Illinois

[REDACTED] Records Division, Moline  
Police Department, advised that [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED] further advised that his records failed to  
reflect any information identifiable with [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

b6  
b7C

SI 166-855

3

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] further advised that her files failed  
to reflect any information identifiable with [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

[REDACTED]