FILE DESCRIPTION BUREAU FILE

SUBJECT HELEN SOBELL

FILE NO. 100-404849

SECTION NO. ENGLOSURE

SERIALS 70

LIDANDIES CONTROLLES C

TO THE PRESIDENT ON BEHALF OF MORTON SOBEL

President Dwight D. Eisenhower The White House August 1984 Washington, D. C.

Whenever human beings are involved in matters of judgment and justice, there does exist the possibility of human error. Most particularly, when a trial reflects the national tensions of the day, the verdict may suffer from prejudice or unreason, too difficult, to dismiss? Every, Canadian who thinks about his own freedoms cannot help but concern himself when the question of this kind of an injustice is raised.

It is impressive therefore, that throughout his imprisonment (Morton) Sobell has proclaimed his innocence? Moreover, thirty years tis, a flitetime sentence that, the mother, wife and children of Morton Sobell share with him

It is the quality offmercy that insists that we who feel the conscience of Canada as a particular responsibility address you. Mr President to ask that you use your great office to urge a new trial for Morton Sobelle or grant him clemency by commutation of his sentence.

I wish to add my name-

to the appeal to the President in behalf of Morton Sobell.

Address Address State

REVEREND PAUL W. Reseda, California; DR. D. R. SHARPE Pasadena, California

THE CLERGYMEN in the Unit States initiating this appeal inc

REVEREND GROSS W. AL EXANDER
Lucing Valley, California
REVEREND HAROL DJ. BASS PH
Tacoma, Washington
RABBI BEN ZION BERGMAN
Sherman Ooks, California
REVEREND DONAL D.K. BLACKIE
Los Angeles, California
RABBI MARCUS BREGER
Tucson, Arizona
REVEREND RICHARD E. BROWN
Fresno, California
REVEREND JOHN W. CAUGHLAN
Seattle, Washington
REVEREND JOHN RUSKIN CLARK
Son Diego, California
REVEREND JOHN RUSKIN CLARK
Son Diego, California
REVEREND CARL LAWSON CRAIN
Long Beach, California
REVEREND FRANK CRANE
Los Angeles, California
REVEREND GEORGE H. DUNNE, S.J.
Santo Clara; California
REVEREND STEPHEN H. FRITCHMAN
Glendole, California
REVEREND ROBERT
REVEREND RUDOL PH W. GLBERT
Spokane, Washington
DR; JOHN HERRING
Claremon, California
REVEREND RUDOL PH W. GLBERT
Spokane, Washington
DR; JOHN HERRING
Claremon, California

Claremon, Catifornia
REVEREND CLARENCE D. HERRIOT
Berkeley, California
REVEREND MARK HOGUE
Los Angeles, California
REVEREND J. STUART INNERST
Pasadena, California
RABBI WOLLI KAELTER
Long Beach, California
REVEREND KELLEY KEY
Los Angeles, California
REVEREND DONALD S. LAMKA
Seattle, Washington
REVEREND T. GORDON LUKE
Berkeley, California
RABBI HERSCHEL LYMON
Culver City, California
REVEREND PETER McCORMACK
San Francisco, California

REVEREND ARCHIEMA 301M
Montebello, California
REVEREND DREYDEN L. PHELP
Berkeley, California
REVEREND ERNEST PIPES, Jr.
Santo Manica, California
REVEREND RAY G. ROBERTS,
Seattle, Washington
RABBI MICHAEL ROBINSON
Pomona, California
REVEREND PAUL W. SAWYER
Reseda, California
DR. D. R. SHARPE
Pasadena, California

Pasadena, California
REVEREND ROBERT B. SHAW
Seattle, Washington
REVEREND HARLAN WAITE
Los Angeles, California
REVEREND GUYSA, WHITE
Oakland, California

REVEREND J. RAYMOND COPE Berkeley, California
REVEREND CARL LAWSON CRAIN
Long Beach, California

REVEREND GEORGE H. DUNNE, S.J. Santa Clara, California MR. DOUGLAS FRAZIER Los Angoles, California

REVEREND FRANK CRANE Los Angeles; California

suffers from prejudice or unreason, too difficult to dismiss. Every Canadian who thinks about his own freedoms cannot help but concern himself when the question of this kind of an injustice is raised.

It is impressive, therefore, that throughout his imprisonment, Morton Sobell has proclaimed his innocence. Moreover, thirty years is a lifetime, sentence, that the mother wife and children of Morton Sobell share with him.

It is the quality of mercy that insists that we, who feel the conscience of Canada as a particular responsibility, address you, Mr. President, to ask that you use your great office to urge a new trial for Morton Sobell, or grant him clemency by commutation of his sentence:

to the appeal to the President in behalf of

Morton Sobell.

,		
	-	

Sobell, or grant him clemency by commutation of his sentence:

Very Respectfully Yours,

REVE Berkel
RABBI
Culver
REVEI

REVEREND STEPHEN H. FRITCHMAN
Glandale, California
REVEREND ERWIN A. GAEDE
Los Angeles, California
REVEREND HARMON M. GEHR, REVEREND HARMON M. GEHR, REVEREND RUDOLPH W. GILBERT
Spokane, Washington
DR. JOHN HERRING
Claremon, California
REVEREND GLARENCE D. HERRIOTT
Berkeley, California
REVEREND J. STUART INNERST
Pasadena, California
REVEREND J. STUART INNERST
Pasadena, California
REVEREND KELLEY KEY
Los Angeles, California
REVEREND T. GORDON LUKE
Berkeley, California
REVEREND T. GORDON LUKE
Berkeley, California
REVEREND PETER McCORMACK
San Francisco, California
REVEREND PETER McCORMACK
San Francisco, California
REVEREND ARCHIE MATSON
Montebella, California
REVEREND REYDEN L. PHELPS
Berkeley, California
REVEREND RENEST PIPES, Jr.
Santa Monica, California
REVEREND RAY C. ROBERTS
Seattle, Washington
REVEREND RAY C. ROBERTS
Seattle, Washington
REVEREND PAUL W. SAWYER
RESEAG, California
REVEREND PAUL W. SAWYER
RESEAG, California
REVEREND ROBERT B. SHAW
Seattle, Washington
REVEREND ROBERT B. SHAW
Seattle, Washington

request that my signature not be made public.

REVEREND HARLAN WAITE Los Angeles, Colifornia (REVEREND GUY A. WHITE Oakland, California



THE INTERCOLLEGIAN IS PUBLISHED BY THE NATIONAL STUDENT COUNCIL OF THE YMCA & YWCA

the sixth Assembly o the Student YMCA-YW

second report issue

Issue of the month

The case of Morton Sobel

ssembly, both Dr. Paul Lehmann and Dr. Gardner Murphy called attention to the Morton Sobell trial and Student Christian Associations should study the record of this case. Wherever a possible serious male of justice in any society exists, that society cannot go long without examing its behavior and practice following statement has been made by a group of distinguished citizens. We commend it to the attention Christian Association.

THE LUNDERSIGNED are American citizens, who believe that the sentence of Morton Sobell should now be consmitted. Sobell was convicted of conspiring with others to. transmit to the Soviet Union writings and information re-lating to the national defense of the United States and was sentenced on April 1, 1951 to serve thirty years in

Morion Sobell was tried with Julius and Ethel Rosenberg, who were convicted of espionage in connection with the atomic bomb and who were sentenced to death and later executed. Sobell, however, was never implicated in any way with involvement in the atomic espionage for which the Rosenbergs were convicted. This fact was confirmed by the judge who sentenced Sobell.

This statement is not concerned with the Rosenberg conviction. The undersigned are and consistently have been convinced anti-Communists. None are associated with any organization, committee or group of any kind concerned either with the case of the Rosenbergs or that of Sobell, nor are any of the undersigned connected in any way with Morton Sobell or any members of his family. After study of the case, we believe that the following con-

way with Morton Sobell or any members of his family?
After study of the case, we believe that the following considerations warrant a commutation of the very heavy sentence Sobell is now serving.

18 Sobell was never implicated with atomic espionage for which the Rosenbergs were convicted.

2. The charges against Sobell, supported mainly by the testimony of one man, Max Elitcher, were that he had solicited informations and had on one occasion taken something which looked like a can of film to julius. Rosenberg. No specific item of information supposed to have been secured by him or transmitted by him to any have been secured by him or transmitted by him to any-one else is specified in the record. The case against him, therefore, is vague in content and slender in proof. The possibility that a trip to Mexico which he and his family

took should be construed as flight cannot be ignored though it is subject to various interpretations.

3. Whether or not the judge and prosecution made sufficient efforts to provide a fair trial, we ought to recognize that the emotions surrounding the trial of the Rosen. bergs and the fact that Sobell's case was tried with theirs made it difficult to separate the two cases and to recognize

the very different character of the two charges and evidence introduced to support them respectively.

4. Sobell has already served, with good condinearly eight years in prison, six of them in Alcatraz.

5. As Americans we are fully aware of the threat communism to our freedom, and way of life. Any all on that freedom from without or within must be resis Nevertheless, one of the factors which makes that the dom so precious is its capacity to practice a discip and humane administration of justice precisely in cases where emotions are aroused which make it dif-to remain objective about the ments of a specific case believe a commutation of the Sobell sentence would onstrate our national faith in that freedom.

REINHOLD NIEBU: IR
Vice-President and Professor of
Ethics and Theology
Union Theological Seminary
Nation Theological Seminary

New York City

JOHN C BENNETT

Dean and Professor of Ethics

GERHARD O. W. MUELLER

EDMOND CAHN New York University

DANIEL DAY WILLIAMS Professor of System Union Theological Se

PAUL RAMSEY Princeton University

JEROME NATHANSON Administrative Leader New York Society for Ethical Culture

(Titles are forcation only.) ...

WHAT YOU CAN DO:

1. Malcolm I. Sharp's book Was Justice Done? (The Mi Review Press. \$3.50) is a very good point of departure. He law professor at the University of Chicago. The foreword Dr. Harold Urey: 1:

2. A three page statement by Dr. Paul Lehmann is avoid upon request. This is an enlargement of the remarks he methe Assembly on the Sobiell case.

3. Other sources Oliver Pilat Atom Spice John Wildgement of Inthis and Ethel Rosenberg. William Reube Atom Spy Hoax. Also refer to U. of Chicago Law Review, 1957. Vol. 24, No. 3; p. 588; Yale Law Journal, Jan. 1956. 7; No. 3; p. 528; Wayne Law Review. Winter 1956. Vol. 1; p. 85.

4. Form a group of faculty and students to talk this this seeking to bring the intelligence, information and convicting group to bear on this concrete issue of justice.

reprinted as a public service by

The Committee to Secure Justice for Morton Sobell 940 Broadway, N. Y. C.



THE INTERCOLLEGIAN

IS PUBLISHED BY THE NATIONAL

STUDENT COUNCIL OF THE YMCA & YWCA

the sixth Assembly the Student YMCA-YV

Issue of the month

second report issue

The case of Morton Sobell

noth Dr. Paul Lehmann and Dr. Gardner Murphy called attention to the Morton Sobell trial and Christian Associations should study the record of this case Wherever a possible serious main any society exists, that society cannot go long without examing its behavior and practice statement has been made by a group of distinguished citizens. We commend it to the attention

THE UNDERSIONED are American citizens who believe that the sentence of Morton Sobell should now be commuted. Sobell was convicted of conspiring with others to transmit to the Soviet Union writings and information relating to the national defense of the United States and was sentenced on April 1: 1951 to serve thirty years in Federal Prison &

was tried with Julius and Ethel Rosenberg, who were convicted of espionage in connection with the atomic bomb and who were sentenced to death and later executed. Sobell, however, was never implicated in any way with involvement in the atomic espionage for which the Rosenbergs were convicted. This fact was confirmed by the judge who sentenced Sobell.

This statement is not concerned with the Rosenberg conviction. The undersigned are and consistently have been convinced unti-Communists. None are associated with any organization, committee or group of any kind concerned either with the case of the Rosenbergs or that of Sobell, nor are any of the undersigned connected in any way with Morton Sobell or any members of his family. After study of the case, we believe that the following considerations was read a commutation of the very broave and siderations warrant a commutation of the very heavy sen-

tence Sobell is now serving.

1. Sobell was never implicated with atomic espionage for which the Rosenbergs were convicted.

2. The charges against Sobell, supported mainly by the testimony of one man, Max Elitcher, were that he had solicited information, and had on one occasion taken something which looked like a can of film to Julius Rosenberg. No specific item of information supposed to have been secured by him or transmitted by him to anyone else is specified in the record. The case against him, therefore, is vague in content and slender in proof. The possibility that a trip to Mexico which he and his family

possibility that a trip to Mexico which he and his family took should be construed as flight cannot be ignored, though it is subject to various interpretations.

3. Whether or not the judge and prosecution made sufficient efforts to provide a fair trial, we ought to recognize that the emotions surrounding the trial of the Rosenbergs and the fact that Sobell's case was tried with theirs made it difficult to separate the two cases and to recognize

the very different character of the two charges and a evidence introduced to support them respectively.

4. Sobell, has already served, with good conduction of the conduction of the character of the character of the confinument to our freedom and way of life. Any alterior that freedom from without or within must be continued. on that freedom from without or within must be resist Nevertheless, one of the factors which makes that I dom so precious is its capacity to practice a disciplinand humane administration of justice precisely in the cases where emotions are aroused which make it diffi to remain objective about the merits of a specific case believe a commutation of the Sobell sentence would a onstrate our national faith in that freedom.

REINHOLD NIEBU:IR

Vice-President and Professor of
Ethics and Theology

Ethics and Theology Union Theological Seminary New York City

JOHN C. BENNETT and Theology Seminary

GERHARD O. W. MUELLER Associate Professor of Law New York University EDMOND, CAHN Professor of Caw New York University

DANIEL DAY WILLIAMS
Professor of Systematic Ti
Union Theological Seminary

PAUL RAMSEY Professor of Religion Princeton University

JEROME NATHANSON Administrative Leader New York Society for Ethical Culture

WHAT YOU CAN DOUT

WHAT YOU CAN DOL.

1. Malcolm T. Sharp's book Was Justice Done? (The Mor Review Press, \$3.50) is a very good point of departure. He law professor at the University of Chicago. The foreword i Dr. Harold Urey.

2. A three page statement by Dr. Paul Lehmann is avail upon request. This is an enlargement of the remarks he mad the Assembly on the Sobell case.

3. Other sources: Oliver Pilat Atom Spies, John We Judgement of Villian and Ethel Rosenberg, William Reuben Atom Spy Houx. Also refer to U. of Chicago Law Review Sections.

3 Other sources: Oliver Pilat, Atom Spies, John W. Juligement of Julius and Ethal Rosenberg: William Reuber Atom Spy Hoax. Also refer to U. of Chicago Law Review. S 1957; Vol. 24. No. 3, p. 588; Yale Law Igurnal, Jan. 1958, 67, No. 3, p. 528; Wayne Law Review. Winter 1956, Vol. 3, p. 85.
4. Form a group of faculty and students to talk this threeking to bring the intelligence, information and conviction the group to bear on this concrete issue of justice.

The Committee to Secure Justice for Morton Sobell 940 Broadway, N. Y. C. 4 AL 4-9983



THE INTERCOLLEG IS PUBLISHED BY THE NATIONAL STUDENT COUNCIL OF THE YMCA & YWCA

the sixth Assembly c the Student YMCA-YV

Issue of the month

second report issue

ne case of Morton Sobell

At the Assembly, both Dr. Paul Lehmann and Dr. Gardner Murphy called attention to the Morton Sobell trial and sentence. Student Christian Associations should study the record of this case. Wherever a possible serious malfessance of justice in any society exists, that society cannot go long without examing its behavior and practice. The following statement has been made by a group of distinguished citizens. We commend it to the attention

THE UNDERSIGNED are American citizens who believe that the sentence of Morton Sobell should now be commuted. Sobell was convicted of conspiring with others to transmit to the Soviet Union writings and information relating to the national defense of the United States and was sentenced on April 1, 1951 to serve thirty years in Federal Prison

Federal Prison.

Morton Sobell was tried with Julius and Ethel Rosenberg, who were convicted of espionage in connection with the atomic bomb and who were sentenced to death and later executed. Sobell, however, was never implicated in any way with involvement in the atomic espionage for which the Rosenbergs were convicted. This fact was confirmed by the judge who sentenced Sobell.

firmed by the judge who sentenced Sobell.

This statement is not concerned with the Rosenberg conviction. The undersigned are and consistently have been convinced anti-Communists. None are associated with any organization, committee or group of any kind concerned either with the case of the Rosenbergs or that of Sobell, nor are any of the undersigned connected in any way with Morton Sobell or any members of his family. After study of the case, we believe that the following considerations warrant a commutation of the very heavy sentence Sobell is now serving.

tence Sobell is now serving.

1. Sobell was never implicated with atomic espionage for which the Rosenbergs were convicted.

2. The charges against Sobell, supported mainly by the testimony of one man, Max Elitcher, were that he had solicited information, and had on one occasion taken something, which looked like, a can of film to Julius Rosenberg. No specific item of information supposed to have been secured by him or transmitted by him to a one else is specified in the record. The case against him, therefore, is vague in content and slender in proof. The possibility that a trip to Mexico which he and his family took should be construed as flight cannot be ignored,

though it is subject to various interpretations.

3. Whether or not the judge and prosecution made sufficient efforts to provide a fair trial, we ought to recognize that the emotions surrounding the trial of the Rosenbergs and the fact that Sobell's case was tried with theirs made it difficult to separate the two cases and to recognize

the very different character of the two charges an evidence introduced to support them respectively.

4. Sobell has already served, with good connearly eight years in prison, six of them in Alcatraz.

5. As Americans we are fully aware of the three communism to our freedom and way of life. Any

eedom from without or within must be re Nevertheless, one of the factors which makes that dom so precious is its capacity to practice a discip and humane administration of justice precisely in cases where emotions are aroused which make it dif to remain objective about the merits of a specific case believe a commutation of the Sobell sentence would onstrate our national faith in that freedom.

REINHOLD NIEBU:R Vice-President and Professor of Ethics and Theology Union Theological Seminary New York City

JOHN C. BENNETT

and Theology Union Theological Sen

GERHARD O. W. MUELLER Associate Professor of Law New York University

EDMOND CAHN Professor of Law New York University

DANIEL DAY WILLIAMS Professor of Systematic 1 Union Theological Semina

PAUL RAMSEY Professor of Religion Princeton University

JEROME NATHANSON Administrative Leader New York Society for Ethical Culture

Hitles are for identification only.)

AN DO

Review Press. \$3.50) is a very good point of departure. H law professor at the University of Chicago. The foreword Dr. Harold Urey.

2. A three page statement by Dr. Paul Lehmann is ave upon request. This is an enlargement of the remarks he mit the Assembly on the Sobell case.

3. Other sources: Oliver Pilat, Atom Spice.

Judgement of Julius and Ethel B.

Judgement of Julius and Ethel Rosenberg: William Reube Atom Spy Houx. Also refer to U. of Chicago Law Review. 1957, Vol. 24, No. 3, p. 588; Yule Law Journal, Jan. 1956, No. 3, p. 528; Wayne Law Review, Winter 1956, Vol. 1, p. 85. 1.

4. Form a group of faculty and students to talk this this seeking to bring the intelligence, information and convicting the group to bear on this concrete issue of justice.

The Committee to Secure Justice for Morton Sobell