

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOI/PA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET
FOI/PA# 1214555-0

Total Deleted Page(s) = 2
Page 14 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;
Page 15 ~ b7D;

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X Deleted Page(s) X
X No Duplication Fee X
X For this Page X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

Field File No. 44A-LA-119954-K-1a3

Serial # of Originating Document _____

OO and File No. _____

Date Received 5-5-92

From _____
(Name of Contributor)

(Address of Contributor)

(City and State)

By _____
(Name of Special Agent)

To Be Returned ☐ Yes ☒ No Receipt Given ☐ Yes ☒ No

Grand Jury Material - Disseminate Only Pursuant to Rule 6(e), Federal Rules
of Criminal Procedure ☐ Yes ☒ No

Title: _____

Reference: _____
(Communication Enclosing Material)

Description: ☒ Original notes re interview of

7-8-92 ✓

b6
b7C

44A-CA110954-K-1a3

5-5-92

Footfill - 181 Pers. Complaint rec'd
King - Supr. took info.
King - prelim investig based on complaint by [redacted]

Went to King { TV Ch 5 ran Tape on TV
1/2 hr. before tape on TV IV^{sta.} Notified LAD
IAD began

IAD → 30 hrs. conducted investig.

~~1~~ 1/2 days after occurrence - R-H-Div
became involved.

Admin
IAD gave RHD info (IAD - gave RHDIV pot shot)
Blood / Urine / Crime Rept / Witnesses

Criminal RHD - intr'd King in jail - met DA
Photos / Witness / Neighborhood / Tried to intr. FBI
Hospital - Nurses DA
News

met with Non-cooperation

After 2-3 days . got enough to
Present to DA -
DA took case over.

3-6-91

R-H-DIV
No tape Rec.
per Crim / Co.
Atty of King

b6
b7C

Searched ^{warrants} ofcs lockers

Q- Verity issue

Wind - Atty. represented they would not waive L's rights

White wanted each ofr at scene intr'd - Advised of Miranda Rights.
Each ofr. had a League Rep. Available
all declined

IAD - has intr'd each ^{ofc.} in presence of Rep.
Representatives spoke amongst one another
1 Div. - Unit
Employee Rep. Unit
- 24 ofcs. -

[] Department Advocate
(Prosecutor in Dept) [] issues

IAD [] at Trial []
Will be at Bd of Rts Hearing

All at scene - } exposed to compelled statements
bgt's log
Supervisors }

[] Hearing - ~~duplicate~~ Copy of investigation to subject

b6
b7C

Officer makes ☐ response :
Penalty recommendation

Board of Rights. accept penalty

Hearing to examine ex
witnesses
Recommendation

☐ → Iss. w/ recomm

LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT



Robbery Homicide Division
Major Crime Section

150 N. Los Angeles Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012 (213) 485-2129



LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT

150 N. LOS ANGELES ST.
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

(213) 485-2129

Field File No. 44A-LA-119954-K-1a7

Serial # of Originating Document _____

OO and File No. Los Angeles

Date Received 5/12/87

From
(Name of Contributor)

8 USC/LAC Med. Center
(Address of Contributor)

Los Angeles, Ca.
(City and State)

By
(Name of Special Agent)

To Be Returned ☐ Yes ☐ No Receipt Given ☐ Yes ☐ No

Grand Jury Material - Disseminate Only Pursuant to Rule 6(e), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure ☐ Yes ☐ No

Title:
LAPD officers
Rodney G. King - Victim

Reference: _____
(Communication Enclosing Material)

Description: ☒ Original notes re interview of

b6
b7C

7-8-92m

44A-119954-K1a7

44A-119954

b6
b7C

Run 250

5/2/92

[redacted] told him that he may be called in a witness
need background info from him.

Emergency

here or at office

Please describe when [redacted] contacted King

6:00 Am 3/4/92

nurse informs that a new patient 2 Jerd.
said him: examination 30 min.
nothing after exam.

Passed on to [redacted]

HR recalled as incident is same as previous testimony
[redacted] report before testimony
his notes were complete

b6
b7C

next time for lead about it.

5th. became [redacted] had called.

a few days later.

Reads L.A. Times - not even 3-4 days.

doesn't watch news regularly.

did he keep tabs during months of investigation?

no.

~~never knew~~

saw part of trial. saw part of Koon

was of Powell

Some of Brian on news.

doesn't know if he saw info regarding police warrants.

PCP (didn't see him)

didn't read

[redacted]

reports. -

nothing in his memory to show his judgment

altered his account of the events.

no

b6
b7C

Field File No. 44A-LA-119954-K-1a11

Serial # of Originating Document _____

OO and File No. L.A.

Date Received 5/12/92

From _____

By _____

Special Agent)

To Be Returned ☐ Yes ☐ No Receipt Given ☐ Yes ☐ No

Grand Jury Material - Disseminate Only Pursuant to Rule 6(e), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure ☐ Yes ☐ No

Title:

Rodney King - Video

Reference: _____

(Communication Enclosing Material)

Description: ☒ Original notes re interview of

7-8-92

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

5/12/92

44A-1A19954-K-111



6th fl.

P. 12 C-6

b6
b7C



12p. next to elevator?
frown down, brown hair


DOB



Social Security

Home Address?


Home #



(Home in
port)

incident (in her apartment, heard sirens
on balcony, watched more of incident
saw Boston - 25 ft away
(50-125) away from bridge side.
Hmdai
Saw car drive up and stop (w/ glasses.)

Watched the video on T.V.
followed the hearing on T.V.
didn't read News accounts of incident
1 article about her (1-3 weeks prior to trial)
night news (sometimes)



reports? No!

updated when subpoenaed (never testified)

Subpoenaed for trial (never testified)


watched Kean testimony

"

Powell (bits + pieces)

"

Bliss (bits + pieces)



Winkler

b6
b7C

knew of defense? from again students or attorneys?

prior to trial, knew nothing of defense.

Clear memory of what happened? No! some things?

she heard them talk to King. they hurried to get out of car.

She was crying.

She thinks the force was excessive

he appeared to be complying with officers
taken out of the car. heard their laughter

anything at trial, change her opinion? No!

nothing before trial altered her perception

she feels Dr. King's death she didn't remember exactly

Field File No. 44A-LA-119954-K-1a62

Serial # of Originating Document _____

OO and File No. _____

Date Received 5-12-92

From _____

(Name of Contributor)

(Address of Contributor)

(City and State)

By _____

To Be Returned ☐ Yes ☒ No Receipt Given ☐ Yes ☒ No

Grand Jury Material - Disseminate Only Pursuant to Rule 6(e), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure ☐ Yes ☒ No

Title:

Reference: _____
(Communication Enclosing Material)

Description: ☒ Original notes re interview of

b7D

b6

b7C

9-8-92

Field File No. 44A-LA-119954-K-1a13

Serial # of Originating Document _____

OO and File No. Los Angeles

Date Received 5/13/92

From _____

By _____ Agent)

To Be Returned ☐ Yes ☐ No Receipt Given ☐ Yes ☐ No

Grand Jury Material - Disseminate Only Pursuant to Rule 6(e); Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure ☐ Yes ☐ No

Title:

Robbery King - Victim

Reference: _____
(Communication Enclosing Material)

Description: ☒ Original notes re interview of

7-8-92

b6
b7c

44ALA-119954k-1a13

b6
b7C

2/13/92

G-11

POB

USA

at birth place

Employed: un

lived here

on 3/3/91

was here

Head Chopper 12⁰⁰ pm. awake.

walked to parking lot

30 yards?

watching from parking lot.

police hitting him, on the ground

Saw the video (he saw 2 persons, 1/2 into the video

saw ambulance. he was hogtied.

hear anything? - no.

saw video 2 nights later. someone else had video

didn't follow the investigation - regular

2-3 weeks La Tuna.

Christopher Comm: No

watched trial

Saw officer testify (most of it.)

and other could be doing to me!

?

didn't know officer side of the story

CAP took other subject away from scene. taken out of van.

Released them.

b6
b7C

ampl he back or heard about his opinion



b6
b7C

ampl he back or heard about his opinion No.



his opinion - hogues, Watan "Seemed like a game"

so that effort to get his help

... life's work

... of the ...

... of the ...

... of the ...

... of the ...

... of the ...

... of the ...

... of the ...

... of the ...

... of the ...

... of the ...

... of the ...

... of the ...

... of the ...

... of the ...

... of the ...



b6
b7C

... of the ...

... of the ...

... of the ...

... of the ...

Field File No. 44A-LA-119954-K-1a15

Serial # of Originating Document _____

OO and File No. Los Angeles

Date Received 5/13/92

From _____

By _____

(Name of Special Agent)

To Be Returned ☐ Yes ☐ No Receipt Given ☐ Yes ☐ No

Grand Jury Material - Disseminate Only Pursuant to Rule 6(e), Federal Rules
of Criminal Procedure ☐ Yes ☐ No

Title:

Rodney King - Victim

Reference: _____
(Communication Enclosing Material)

Description: ☒ Original _____

b6
b7C

7-8-92

44A-1A-110954-1a15

b6
b7C

[Redacted]

5/15

Don

[Redacted]

at the apt.

[Redacted]

3/19/91

entered

[Redacted]

to foothill, low lights + helicopter

b6
b7C

Saw King Ben had

King out of car at time
Ben beaten

HR impression: wasn't trying to couple; just tried to stop beating
didn't hear anything like laughing or Racist things
Stayed until ambulance came

force exercised with 4 or 5 officers + King on the ground.

in Paper: don't read LA Times + News Daily news.

on T.V. mostly without news regular

Read Christopher commission report in paper

didn't read Rodney King part.

Watched trial

Saw all the defendants testify

Re Briseno: thought the Briseno defense.

Knew defendants defense from his own conclusion: not from news articles.

because of getting made
by him to stop it!
from experience of
defendant's defense

Field File No. 44A-LA-119954 - K-1a17

Serial # of Originating Document _____

OO and File No. Los Angeles

Date Received 5/13/92

From _____

By _____
(Name of Special Agent)

To Be Returned ☐ Yes ☐ No Receipt Given ☐ Yes ☐ No

Grand Jury Material - Disseminate Only Pursuant to Rule 6(e), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure ☐ Yes ☐ No

Title:

Rodney King - Victim

Reference: _____
(Communication Enclosing Material)

Description: ☒ Original notes re interview of _____

7-8-92

b6
b7C

5/13/92

2/12/92

pg. 6 B-4

b6
b7C

employment

Hospital (Pacifica)

ER

Background

DOB

SSN

3/3/ ER Nurse

Contact with King

30-45 min.

1st person to see him (been asked in)
after this night, at mother's hearing only
after he left hospital, didn't see him

Reads LA time, irregular

water night nurse and Elmer's
2 visit past Charlotte Community Report

44A-110054-K-1a19

(2)

Saw except as first

Saw: like to Powell

" " Koon

and a commander.

was as witness.

Before trial, did he know of Officer's defense

No!

a clear memory of the events. yes.

anything learned about his memory. NO.

Did Officer's story change his mind? NO.