FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOI/PA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET
FOI/PA# 1335162-0

Total Deleted Page(s) = 22
Page 16 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 17 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 21 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 23 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 48 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 49 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 50 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 51 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 68 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 69 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 70 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 95 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;
Page 96 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;
Page 97 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 98 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 112 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 113 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 114 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 115 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 116 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 136 ~ Duplicate;
Page 137 ~ Duplicate;

```
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X   Deleted Page(s)    X
X   No Duplication Fee X
X   For this Page      X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
```

FD-302 (REV. 3-10-82)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 4/6/87

[redacted] was interviewed at the RADISSON HOTEL, Interstate 75 and Howell Mill Road, Atlanta, Georgia. Present during the interview were ATLANTA POLICE DEPARTMENT personnel Captain [redacted] and Police Officers [redacted] and [redacted]

b6
b7C

After an initial introduction of [redacted] to Special Agents (SAs) [redacted], and [redacted] she advised that she received a telephone call approximately fifteen minutes prior to being picked up by Police Officer [redacted] stated that she knew the identity of the caller but at that time declined to furnish his name. She stated that she wanted to first determine if the caller was making the call on behalf of [redacted] who in turn was trying to [redacted] appeared to be concerned about the telephone call, although she did not specifically state that fact.

b6
b7C

[redacted] JULIAN, had been introduced to [redacted] in turn introduced JULIAN to [redacted] JULIAN [redacted] advised that [redacted] has a very heavy cocaine habit, [redacted] She first became aware of [redacted], who had seen [redacted] in the company of [redacted] JULIAN. [redacted] had learned that [redacted] She further stated that [redacted] did not know their names. According to [redacted], since [redacted] began using cocaine heavily, he has [redacted] She further stated that [redacted] was currently [redacted] She did not know if JULIAN was [redacted]

b6
b7C

Investigation on 3/25/87 at Atlanta, Georgia File # Atlanta 72-192-3

by SA [redacted] SA JJS:dhp Date dictated 3/31/87

b6
b7C

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

GPO : 1986 O - 499-243

buying cocaine from [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted] advised that two men worked for [redacted] selling drugs. One of these men was [redacted] who worked for a [redacted] had formerly been a [redacted] and was also selling drugs at that time. [redacted] The other individual who sold drugs for [redacted]

[redacted] recently observed [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted] advised that [redacted] when he is out of town, and he regularly introduces her to people he meets. She believed that [redacted] advised that she observed [redacted]

[redacted] advised that she has seen [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted] advised that she furnished the above information previously to members of the ATLANTA POLICE DEPARTMENT, Narcotics Bureau, once approximately one and a half years ago to a Police Officer, [redacted] and more recently to

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

an officer named [redacted] who she believed was white. [redacted]

When asked about any knowledge she may have regarding corruption of public officials in Atlanta, [redacted] stated that she wanted to know specifically what the FBI was looking for. When she was told that the FBI's interest was in any violation of the law by public officials, [redacted] stated that she needed some time to think the matter over.

b6
b7C

The interview was concluded, and [redacted] agreed to recontact the FBI in several days.

Memorandum

To : SAC, Atlanta (72-192)

Date 4/14/87

From : SA [redacted] #10

b6
b7C

Subject [redacted]
ATLANTA, GA.
OOJ

Attached is a copy of the executed subpoena signed by [redacted] (See FD302, 4/14/87).

b3
b6
b7C

72-192-4

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED ____ FILED ____
APR 14 1987
FBI — ATLANTA

AO 110 (Rev. 10/82)

SUBPOENA TO TESTIFY BEFORE GRAND JURY

United States District Court	DISTRICT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
TO: [redacted] [redacted]	SUBPOENA FOR ☒ Person ☐ Document or Object

b3
b6
b7C

YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED to appear in the United States District Court at the location, date, and time specified below to testify before the Grand Jury in the above entitled case.

PLACE	COURTROOM
United States Courthouse Richard B. Russell Building 75 Spring St., S.W. Atlanta, Georgia	Grand Jury [redacted]
	DATE AND TIME [redacted]

YOU ARE ALSO COMMANDED to bring with you the following document(s) or object(s):[1]

☐ *Please see additional information on reverse*

This subpoena shall remain in effect until you are granted leave to depart by the court or by an officer acting on behalf of the court.

CLERK	DATE
Patricia R. Thomas	April 13, 1987
(BY) DEPUTY CLERK	

This subpoena is issued on application of the United States of America by:	NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER OF ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY
U.S. Attorney	AUSA [redacted] 1800 U.S. Courthouse 75 Spring St., S.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30335 [redacted] FBI/ [redacted] 87- 00708

b6
b7C

1) If not applicable, enter "none."

RETURN OF SERVICE[2]

RECEIVED BY SERVER	DATE 4/13/87	
SERVED	DATE 4/14/87 N/A	

SER

b3
b6
b7C

SE

TITLE SA, FBI

STATEMENT OF SERVICE FEES

TRAVEL	SERVICES	TOTAL

DECLARATION OF SERVER[3]

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information contained in the Return of Service and Statement of Service Fees is true and correct.

Executed on _____ *Date*

Signature of Server

Address of Server

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

2) As to who may serve a subpoena and the manner of its service see Rule 17(d), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, or Rule 45(c), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

3) "Fees and mileage need not be tendered to the witness upon service of a subpoena issued on behalf of the United States or an officer or agency thereof (Rule 45(c), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; Rule 17(d), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure) or on behalf of certain indigent parties and criminal defendants who are unable to pay such costs (28 USC 1825, Rule 17(b) Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure)"

Memorandum

To : SAC, ATLANTA (72-192) (SQ 10) ~~(P)~~ Date 4/16/87

From : SSA [redacted] b6 b7C

Subject : [redacted]
ATLANTA, GEORGIA
OOJ

(OO: ATLANTA)

On 4/7/87, ATLANTA POLICE DEPARTMENT (APD) Officer [redacted] Narcotics Unit, APD, advised that a fellow APD Officer, [redacted] telephone number [redacted] has advised him that approximately two years ago he (Officer [redacted] had received allegations of possible violations of the law against [redacted] and that Officer [redacted] was prohibited from pursuing an investigation of the matter. Officer [redacted] stated that Officer [redacted] has stated he would like to talk with the FBI about that matter. b6 b7C

On 4/13/87, Officer [redacted] advised that he had learned from Officer [redacted] currently assigned to [redacted] home telephone number [redacted] that he had received information regarding JULIAN BOND and [redacted] and was conducting an investigation approximately one and a half years ago. [redacted] is interested in furnishing details of this investigation and has alleged that the information he had uncovered had been stolen from him and furnished to the targets of the investigation. b6 b7C

(2) - 72-192
JLC:dhp
(2) dhp

72-192-5

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED ____ FILED ____
APR 16 1987
FBI - ATLANTA

Atlanta lead assigned to [redacted]

[redacted] b6 b7C

FD-36 (Rev. 8-26-82)

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:
☒ Teletype
☐ Facsimile
☐ _____

PRECEDENCE:
☐ Immediate
☒ Priority
☐ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:
☐ TOP SECRET
☐ SECRET
☐ CONFIDENTIAL
☐ UNCLAS E F T O
☒ UNCLAS
Date [redacted]

106/00135

CES 1/P

FM ATLANTA (72-192) ~~(P)~~ (SQAUD 10)

TO DIRECTOR PRIORITY

ATTN: SSA [redacted] PUBLIC CORRUPTION UNIT, WCC SECTION

BT

UNCLAS

[redacted] ATLANTA, GEORGIA; OOJ OO:ATLANTA

RE ATLANTA TELETYPES TO BUREAU, APRIL 13, 1987, APRIL 15, 1987, AND ATLANTA TELEPHONE CALLS TO BUREAU, APRIL 14, 1987 AND APRIL 16, 1987.

ON THE MORNING OF APRIL 14, 1987, FORMER STATE SENATOR JULIAN BOND, ISSUED A BRIEF STATEMENT TO THE MEDIA IN ATLANTA DURING WHICH HE STATED THE INFORMATION BEING REPORTED ABOUT THE CONTROVERSY BETWEEN HIMSELF AND [redacted] AND IT IS THEIR BUSINESS AND NOT THE BUSINESS OF THE MEDIA. HE FURTHER STATED THAT HE HAS NOT COMMITTED OR BEEN

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

1 - Atlanta
O.G.H: CES
(1)

Approved: [signature] Transmitted 0043 (Number) (Time) Per CES

OUT-GOING
SEARCHED
SERIALIZED
INDEXED
FILED

☆ U.S. Government Printing Office: 1984—431-613/6249

72-192-6

CHARGED WITH ANY CRIME.

AT 11:00 A.M., APRIL 14, 1987, BOND WAS INTERVIEWED ON ATLANTA RADIO STATION, WGST FOR APPROXIMATELY ONE HOUR. HE DENIED THAT HE HAS EVER USED COCAINE BUT MAINTAINS THAT HE NEVER WILL TAKE A DRUG TEST "AS LONG AS I LIVE." HE ADMITTED KNOWING [redacted] BUT DECLINED TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT HER, SAYING "THAT'S A PERSONAL MATTER." BOND ALSO DENIED THAT HE HAS SPOKEN TO [redacted] OR ANYONE ELSE AT CITY HALL ABOUT THE MATTER. BOND WAS ASKED IF HE HAD TALKED WITH [redacted] ABOUT HER ALLEGATIONS AND HE RESPONDED THAT HE TALKS TO HER ON A REGULAR BASIS, AND WHAT THEY TALK ABOUT IS THEIR BUSINESS. BOND SAID HE HAD NOT ASKED ANYONE TO SPEAK TO [redacted] ON HIS BEHALF ABOUT THE ALLEGATIONS SHE MADE AND HAD NOT ASKED ANYONE TO INTERCEDE FOR HIM. BOND NOTED THAT [redacted] HAS TOLD THE ATLANTA NEWSPAPERS THAT THE CHARGES SHE HAD MADE WERE ISSUED IN ANGER AND HURT AND THAT SHE HAS REPUDIATED THEM. BOND SAID HE KNEW NOTHING OF ANY POLITICAL INTERFERENCE IN THE POLICE INVESTIGATION.

b6
b7C

ON THE AFTERNOON OF [redacted] TURNED HERSELF INTO DEKALB COUNTY, GEORGIA AUTHORTIES ON THE [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted] POSTED [redacted] BOND AND WAS RELEASED. SHE IS SCHEDULED TO APPEAR IN FULTON COUNTY MAGISTRATE COURT, ATLANTA, FOR A PRELIMINARY HEARING ON [redacted] b6 b7C

ON APRIL 15, 1987, BUAGENTS INTERVIEWED [redacted], CONCERNING HIS CONTACTS WITH [redacted] SAID HE FIRST MET [redacted] AT HER REQUEST SOMETIME BEFORE MARCH 18, 1987. [redacted] TOLD [redacted] THAT [redacted] AND ALL OF THE INFORMATION [redacted] FURNISHED TO [redacted] WAS TURNED OVER BY HIM THE NEXT DAY TO THE METRO DRUG TASK FORCE. [redacted] SAID HER MAIN INTEREST IN COMING TO [redacted] WAS TO [redacted] b6 b7C SHE THOUGHT THAT IF [redacted] SAID THAT HE DID NOT KNOW UNTIL SEVERAL WEEKS LATER THAT [redacted] HAD GONE TO ATLANTA POLICE OFFICIALS TWO DAYS LATER AND BASICALLY FURNISHED THEM WITH THE SAME INFORMATION SHE HAD GIVEN TO HIM.

ON APRIL 16, 1987, ATLANTA MAYOR ANDREW YOUNG, HELD A PRESS CONFERENCE ~~CONFRESS~~ AT 1:00 P.M. YOUNG SAID IN A STATEMENT THAT HE HAD HEARD THE BOND'S WERE HAVING TROUBLE SOMETIME IN MID-MARCH, BETWEEN MARCH 16-20, AND SEVERAL FRIENDS SUGGESTED THAT YOUNG CONTACT THE BONDS AS A FRIEND. YOUNG MADE SEVERAL ATTEMPTS TO SCHEDULE A MEETING WITH JULIAN BOND BUT BECAUSE OF CONFLICTING SCHEDULES THEY NEVER DID MEET. PRIOR TO MARCH 25, 1987, YOUNG WENT TO ATLANTA POLICE CHIEF MORRIS REDDING, TO INQUIRE WHETHER HE HAD ANY INFORMATION CONCERNING THE CONTROVERSY ABOUT THE BONDS, AND REDDING SAID THAT HE DIDN'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT IT BUT WOULD GET BACK TO HIM. ON MARCH 24, 1987, REDDING MET WITH YOUNG AND TOLD HIM THAT A LOT OF ALLEGATIONS HAD BEEN MADE, BUT REDDING'S INFORMATION CAME ONLY FROM A VERBAL BRIEFING AND HE HAD NOT YET READ THE WRITTEN REPORTS. REDDING TOLD YOUNG THAT ALLEGATIONS OF CRIMINAL CONDUCT WERE MADE AGAINST PUBLIC OFFICIALS BUT HE DID NOT TELL YOUNG WHO THESE PERSONS WERE. ON MARCH 25, 1987, YOUNG TELEPHONED [redacted] OUT OF CONCERN FOR HER. THE CONVERSATION WAS SHORT BECAUSE SOMEONE WAS AT THE DOOR. [redacted] INDICATED THAT SHE WAS ON THE WAY TO TALK WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT AUTHORITIES. SHE ANSWERED THE DOOR BELL AND WHEN SHE

b6
b7C

RETURNED TO THE PHONE SHE SAID SHE WAS ON THE WAY OUT. YOUNG SUGGESTED THAT SHE SHOULD GET ADVICE AND NOT DO ANYTHING OUT OF A [redacted] THEN SAID THAT MAYBE SHE SHOULD NOT GO, BUT YOUNG SAID SHE SHOULD GO IF SHE HAD A GOOD, FIRM EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT HER ALLEGATIONS.

SINCE THE FOREGOING TELEPHONE CONVERSATIONS, YOUNG HAS HEARD ABOUT [redacted] RECEIVING THREATENING TELEPHONE CALLS AND CALLS EXPRESSING CONCERN ABOUT THE HARM SHE COULD CAUSE TO THE BLACK LEADERSHIP IN ATLANTA. YOUNG SAID THAT THESE WERE NOT HIS CONCERNS AT THE TIME HE MADE HIS CALL TO HER. YOUNG ALSO SAID HE WAS NOT INVOLVED AT ALL WITH THE TRANSFER OF THE TWO ATLANTA INVESTIGATORS WHO INTERVIEWED [redacted] YOUNG STRESSED THAT HE AND HIS ADMINISTRATION HAVE ALWAYS COOPERATED WITH DEA AND FBI IN CRIMINAL MATTERS AND HE DESCRIBED A PERSONAL HISTORY OF A TOTAL AVERSION TO THE USE OF ANY TYPE OF DRUGS OR BEING AROUND PEOPLE WHO USE DRUGS. HE ALSO SAID THAT HIS ADMINISTRATION WOULD NOT TOLERATE ANY PUBLIC OFFICIALS WHO USED DRUGS.

[redacted] TESTIFIED BEFORE THE FEDERAL GRAND JURY, NDGA, [redacted]

b6
b7C

b3

[redacted] b3 b6 b7C

U.S. CONGRESSMAN JOHN LEWIS, HAS AGREED TO MAKE HIMSELF AVAILABLE FOR AN INTERVIEW AT ATLANTA, GEORGIA, ON APRIL 17, OR APRIL 18, 1987. INTERVIEWS OF THE TWO ATLANTA POLICE OFFICERS WHO CONDUCTED THE TAPE RECORDED INTERVIEWS OF [redacted] ARE SCHEDULED FOR APRIL 17, 1987. OTHER INTERVIEWS WILL BE CONDUCTED AS INFORMATION IS DEVELOPED. b6 b7C

BT Bureau will be kept advised of developments.

Indices Search Slip
FD-160 (Rev. 9-24-82)

☑ General Indices
☐ OCIS
☐ Confidential Indices
☐ ISIS
☐ ELSUR Indices
☐ IIS

TO: SUPPORT SERVICES SUPERVISOR

Date 4/14/87

Subject JULIAN BOND

Social Security Account #

Aliases

Address	Birth Date	Birthplace	Race	Sex
266 SUNSET AVE., ATLANTA			B	☒ Male ☐ Female

☐ Exact Spelling
☑ All References
☐ Main Subversive Case Files Only
☐ Subversive References Only
☐ Main Criminal Case Files Only
☐ Criminal References Only
☐ Main Subversive (If no Main, list all Subversive References)
☐ Main Criminal (If no Main, list all Criminal References)
☐ Restrict Locality of

File & Serial Number	Remarks	File & Serial Number	Remarks
62-0-13266	10/83	66-1954-859	7/69
9-2927*	9/82	100-301-470	3/69
183-0-59	6/81	489 p.	4,5,8
66-1861-570	5/81	535 p.	5
100-6252*	2/79	100-6488-3137	1/68
62-2861*	7/75	100-5889-7441	5/72
100-7852-84	3/74	100-6597-16 p.3	8/69
100-301-620	5/74	157-806-3154	6/70
62-2856-1	5/75	100-6956-1	6/66
62-2763-23	3/76	100-7142-352	12/69
100-5776-92	6/75	114	
100-0-7595	5/67	100-7431-144 p.1	4/70
7596	"		
9-0-1198	7/81		
157-3150-541	12/74		

Requested by [redacted]

Squad 70

Extension

File No. 72-192-7

b6
b7C

Searched by

(date)

Consolidated by

(date)

Reviewed by

(date)

SEARCHED ___ INDEXED ___
SERIALIZED ___ FILED ___
APR 14 1987

File Review Symbols

I - Identical
NI - Not identical
? - Not identifiable
U - Unavailable reference

FBI/DOJ

Memorandum

To : SAC, ATLANTA (72-192) (SQ 10) ~~(P)~~ Date 4/17/87

From : SSA [redacted] b6 b7C

Subject : [redacted]
ATLANTA, GEORGIA
OOJ

(OO: ATLANTA)

A subfile to this file is being opened for the purpose of filing newspaper articles concerning captioned matter.

1 - 72-192 (MAIN)
2 - 72-192 (SF 1)
JLC:dhp
(3) dhp

72-192-8

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED ____ FILED ____
APR 17 1987
FBI — ATLANTA

[redacted] b6 b7C

U.S. Department of Justice
United States Attorney
Northern District of Georgia
75 Spring Street, S.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30335

Official Business
Penalty for Private Use $300

ATLANTA, GA 303
PM
10 APR
1987

U.S. MAIL

Postage and Fees Paid
U.S. Department
of Justice
JUS-431

Mr. [redacted] SA
Federal Bureau of Investigation
P. O. Box 1683
Atlanta, GA 30370

b6
b7C

FD-302 (REV. 3-10-82)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 4/14/87

[redacted] was personally served with a subpoena to testify before the Federal Grand Jury (FGJ), Northern District of Georgia (NDGA), Atlanta, Georgia, on [redacted] b3 b6 b7C

The below Agents, prior to serving the subpoena, knocked on the door of [redacted] identified themselves, and waited for a response. A woman called out from a window on the first floor and said she [redacted] did not want to talk to anyone. Special Agent (SA) [redacted] said that he did not want to come in but only to talk to her for a minute. [redacted] replied that the Agents should contact [redacted] SA [redacted] asked [redacted] After several more knocks at the door and over a period of about fifteen minutes, [redacted] opened the door several inches and handed SA [redacted] a business card of [redacted] at the same time handed [redacted] the foregoing subpoena. b3 b6 b7C

Investigation on 4/14/87 at Atlanta, Georgia File # Atlanta 194C-569

by SA [redacted] SA OGH:dhp Date dictated 4/14/87 b6 b7C

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

10

Memorandum

To : SAC, ATLANTA (72-192) ~~(P)~~ Date 4/15/87

From : SA [redacted]

Subject : [redacted]

OO: J

OO: AT

b6
b7C

ON 4/15/87 SA'S [redacted] contacted [redacted] DeKalb County, GA. [redacted] re the above captioned matter. [redacted] PROVIDED COPIES of A 12/9/86 DeKalb County Police Supplemental Report concerning the search of the RESIDENCE of [redacted] ALSO PROVIDED WAS A COPY of A SEARCH WARRANT / AFFIDAVIT concerning the [redacted] CASE.

[redacted] ALSO PROVIDED XEROX COPIES of THREE envelopes addressed to [redacted] on Julian Bond stationary, ONE SCRAP piece of paper with the notations [redacted] addressed to [redacted] AND A letter SIGNED [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted] advised his office is maintaining these original documents. Should these documents be needed [redacted] advised either he OR his investigator [redacted] should be contacted.

The documents provided by [redacted] are attached.

The State Senate
JULIAN BOND
District 39
361 Westview Drive, S.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30310



b6
b7C

The State Senate
JULIAN BOND
District 39
361 Westview Drive, S.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30310

FM
20 OCT
1986

b6
b7C

The State Senate
JULIAN BOND
District 39
361 Westview Drive, S.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30310

PRECINCT

☐ ORIGINAL REPORT ☒ SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT

DEKALB COUNTY PUBLIC SAFETY DEPARTMENT
POLICE SERVICES
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT

CASE NUMBER

b6
b7C

INCIDENT:
1. VIOLATION OF THE GOERGIA CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE ACT
   - Trafficking in Cocaine: 16 - 13 - 31.
2. VIOLATION OF THE GEORGIA CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE ACT
   - Possession of Cocaine: 16 - 13 - 30.
3. VIOLATION OF THE GEORGIA CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE ACT
   - Possession of Valium: 16 - 13 - 30.

DATE: November 21, 1986
TIME: 21:00 Hours

LOCATION:

DEFENDANTS:

1.

PHONE: (Home)
PHONE: (Work)

CHARGE: V.G.C.S.A. - Trafficking in Cocaine (16-13-31).

2.

NO HOME OR WORK PHONE

b6
b7C

CHARGES: V.G.C.S.A. - Trafficking in Cocaine (16-13-31), and Possession of Cocaine (16-13-30).

3.

NO WORK OR HOME PHONE

CHARGE: V.G.C.S.A. - Trafficking in Cocaine (16-13-31).

4.

PHONE: (Home)
UNKNOWN WORK PHONE

CHARGE: V.G.C.S.A. - Trafficking in Cocaine (16-13-31).

5.

REPORT DATE 12 - 09 - 86

b6
b7C

CASE STATUS: ☐ ACTIVE ☒ CLEARED BY ARREST ☐ EX CLEARED ☐ UNFOUNDED

PROPERTY VALUE REC.

REPORTING OFFICER DETECTIVE | NUMBER | APPROVING OFFICER

COURT COPY

PRECINCT

☐ ORIGINAL REPORT ☒ SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT

DEKALB COUNTY PUBLIC SAFETY DEPARTMENT
POLICE SERVICES
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT

CASE NUMBER

DEFENDANTS CONTINUED:

5. PHONE: (Home)
(Work)

CHARGES: V.G.C.S.A. - Trafficking in Cocaine (16-13-31)
Possession of Cocaine (16-13-30)
Possession of Valium (16-13-30)*
* No Warrant taken at time of arrest.

b6
b7C

WITNESSES & TESTIMONY:

1. Detective
D.K.P.D. Narcotics Unit
PHONE:

Detective can testify to being the affiant to Search Warrant to executing that warrant, to filling out the Return, to advising all of the defendants of their Miranda Rights and to being the writer of this report.

2. Detective
D.K.P.D. Narcotics Unit
PHONE:

b6
b7C

Detective can testify to helping to execute the search warrant, to finding some of the contraband and to booking in the defendants.

3. Officer
D.K.P.D. Narcotics Unit
PHONE:

can testify to helping to execute the search warrant and to helping to book in the defendants.

b6
b7C

4. Detective
D.K.P.D. Narcotics Unit
PHONE:

Detective can testify to locating some of the contraband.

5. Detective
D.K.P.D. Narcotics Unit
PHONE:

b6
b7C

Detective can testify to locating some of the contraband.

EXHIBITS:

A. Copy of Affidavit and Search Warrant
B. Copy of All Arrest Warrants.
C. Copy of All the Waiver of Rights Forms.
D. Copy of the Original Arrest Report.
E. Copy of the Jail Tickets.

REPORT DATE 12-09-86

CASE STATUS: ☐ ACTIVE ☒ CLEARED BY ARREST ☐ EX CLEARED ☐ UNFOUNDED

PROPERTY VALUE REC.

REPORTING OFFICER DETECTIVE NUMBER

APPROVING OFFICER NUMBER

PRECINCT

DEKALB COUNTY PUBLIC SAFETY DEPARTMENT
POLICE SERVICES
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT

CASE NUMBER

☐ ORIGINAL REPORT ☒ SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT

b6
b7C

NARRATIVE: In the case of the State of Georgia versus each charged as described above: the following facts and events were found to have occurred:

During the week of November 17, 1986, I received information from a confidential informant who stated that named (no last name known at this time), was distributing large amounts of cocaine from the residence. The informant b6 b7C b7D The informant said that The informant also said that cocaine sales business was being financed by State Senator Julian Bond. He could give me no further information other than Mr. Bond was not supposed to be directly involved in the cocaine operation, he was only a financial backer. The informant also stated that there was an

I set up a surveillance a short time later so that I could see the residence. Within thirty (30) minutes, a female came from the house carrying a plastic bag and she placed the bag on the curb next to the driveway. She then went back to the house. After dark, I took the bag of garbage and searched through it. In the garbage was a home made pipe, made from an empty tube from a roll of toilet tissue and aluminum foil. In the foil bowl was a small amount of marijuana residue. Also found in the garbage were several plastic sandwich bags with the bottom corners of the bags cut out. Several marijuana seeds were also found in the garbage. Using this information in an Affidavit, I was able to obtain Search Warrant For reasons more in line with curiosity rather than legality, several nights later, I again took two (2) bags of garbage from the curb in front of the same residence. In the two (2) bags was additional marijuana residue, several large (pound) plastic bags with white powder residue and several envelopes hand addressed to from the office of State Senator Julian Bond. The white powder residue

b6
b7C

REPORT DATE 12-09-86

CASE STATUS: ☐ ACTIVE ☒ CLEARED BY ARREST ☐ EX CLEARED ☐ UNFOUNDED

PROPERTY VALUE REC.

REPORTING OFFICER DETECTIVE NUMBER APPROVING OFFICER NUMBER

b6
b7C

failed to test positive for cocaine or methamphetamine. Also several other personal notes were found signed J.B. and Julian.

On November 21, 1986, at approximately 21:00 hours, the Narcotics Squad executed the search warrant. Officer [redacted] knocked on the back door and when it was opened by [redacted] we all entered the residence identifying ourselves as Police Officers. Officer [redacted] and Detective [redacted] were the first two detectives through the door. They both secured [redacted] in the kitchen. I was next into the house and I ran past the females into a den area off of the kitchen. This den had been converted into an additional bedroom which contained a queen size bed with a dresser and night tables. Sitting on the bed as I entered the room were Defendants [redacted] [redacted] was holding in his hand a spring loaded Stilletto style knife. He dropped the knife when I identified myself. Defendant [redacted] was standing in one corner of the room next to the dresser. The people in the room were secured by the other members of the Narcotics Squad. In the middle of the bed was a spoon with white powder residue and a strainer. Within eighteen (18) inches of where [redacted] was standing was a partially opened dresser drawer, protruding from that drawer was a pound size, clear plastic bag containing approximately one hundred eleven (111) grams of white powder. The powder field tested positive for cocaine. Another one hundred eight-six (186) grams of high quality cocaine was found in a plastic box on the hearth in the same room. Traces of cocaine was found in several other areas of the room.

b6
b7C

Found in [redacted] purse was a gram of white powder which field tested positive for cocaine and a prescription vial to her for Xanax. In the vial was ½ of a Xanax, 1½ 10 mg. Valium, a 500 mg. Motrin and 1 Syntex #274. Valium was the only Scheduled Drug (#IV) of those in the vial and it was an oversight that no warrant was secured at the time of her arrest for that Felony charge. Defendant [redacted] also had a gram bag of cocaine in his pocket. Both [redacted] were charged with Possession in addition to Trafficking.

b6
b7C

All of the defendants were advised of their Miranda Rights by myself and Detective

b6
b7C

PRECINCT

DEKALB COUNTY PUBLIC SAFETY DEPARTMENT
POLICE SERVICES
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT

CASE NUMBER

☐ ORIGINAL REPORT ☒ SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT

b6
b7C

While in the process of searching the house, all of the defendants except denied knowledge of the cocaine. Even denied knowledge of the grams that they had on their persons. made no comment at all except that she insisted on using the telephone immediately. She was denied access to the telephone. Detective struck up a conversation with who told her that had

b6
b7C

made no comment as to why she was there. admitted that she

As the search continued, numerous documents and photographs were found that indicated that Julian Bond was at least a social acquaintance. Photographs of Mr. Bond and were found along with cards and letters from Mr. Bond. There was no evidence that Mr. Bond was involved in the cocaine trafficking.

b6
b7C

The defendants were transported to Criminal Investigation Division, where Detective and Officer completed the book-in paper work. The defendants declined to make any further statements at headquarters and were then given the opportunity for a preliminary hearing. All of the defendants had their hearing reset initially for and reset by the State for The defendants were then lodged into Jail.

b6
b7C

The following Monday, November 24, 1986, I interviewed all of the defendants again, for a second time, except for by Judge Fuller and had been released). All of the defendants refused to make any statement without their attorneys presence. They were asked no more questions.

The suspected drugs were taken to the State Crime Lab by this detective on November 26, 1986, at approximately 16:45 hours. Technician received the drugs.

REPORT DATE 12-09-86

CASE STATUS: ☐ ACTIVE ☒ CLEARED BY ARREST ☐ EX CLEARED ☐ UNFOUNDED

PROPERTY VALUE REC.

b6
b7C

REPORTING OFFICER DETECTIVE NUMBER

APPROVING OFFICER NUMBER

PRECINCT

DEKALB COUNTY PUBLIC SAFETY DEPARTMENT
POLICE SERVICES
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT

CASE NUMBER

☐ ORIGINAL REPORT ☒ SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT

b6
b7C

This case is closed pending court action.

REPORT DATE 12-09-86

CASE STATUS: ☐ ACTIVE ☒ CLEARED BY ARREST ☐ EX CLEARED ☐ UNFOUNDED

PROPERTY VALUE REC.

REPORTING OFFICER DETECTIVE NUMBER

APPROVING OFFICER NUMBER

b6
b7C

COURT COPY

SEARCH WARRANT

[redacted] b6 b7C

208/346NC

GEORGIA, DEKALB

TO ANY LAWFUL OFFICER TO EXECUTE AND RETURN:

AFFIDAVIT HAVING BEEN MADE BEFORE ME BY: Det. [redacted]

that he (has reason to believe) (~~is positive~~) that on the person of

[redacted]

and any other persons on the premises who might reasonably be involved in the below listed violations of the laws of Georgia

and that on the premises known as;

[redacted] b6 b7C

there is now being Concealed certain property, namely

Marijuana

which are In violation of the criminal laws of the State of Ga.

and as I am satisfied that there is probable cause to believe that the property so described is being concealed on the person and premises above described and that the foregoing grounds for application for issuance of the Search Warrant exist. You are hereby commanded to search forthwith the person and premises named for the property specified, and making the search (in the day time) (at any time in the day of night) and if the property be found there to seize it, leaving a copy of this warrant and a receipt for the property taken, and prepare a written inventory of the property seized and return this warrant and bring the property before me within ten days of this date, as required by law.

This 19 day of NOV, 19 86.

JUDGE MAGISTRATE COURT
OF
DEKALB COUNTY, GEORGIA

JUDGE

AFFIDAVIT FOR SEARCH WARRANT [redacted] b6 b7C

208/346 NC

GEORGIA, DEKALB COUNTY

The undersigned being duly sworn deposes and says:

That he (has reason to believe) (~~is positive~~) that on the person of [redacted]

and any other persons on the premises who might reasonably be involved in the below listed violations of the laws of Georgia

on the premises known as [redacted]

there is now being concealed certain property, namely

Marijuana

which are in violation of the criminal laws of the State of Georgia. b6 b7C

And that the facts tending to establish the foregoing grounds for issuance of Search Warrant are as follows:

On 11-17-86, this detective was on surveillance at the above address. This surveillance was as a result of information obtained that the occupants of this house, particularly [redacted] was selling illegal drugs from the house. There was a moderate amount of vehicular traffic to the residence and in the afternoon hours I observed a female come from the residence and place a plastic garbage bag next to the curb for garbage pick up. When darkness came, I took the bag. Inside the bag was a homemade pipe with a small amount of marijuana in the bowl. Also there was found several "roaches", Handrolled Marijuana cigarette butts.

Deponent shows that based on the above and foregoing facts and information he has probable cause to believe that the aforesaid property is concealed upon the aforesaid (premises) (person) (vehicle) and is subject to seizure and makes the Affidavit so that a Search Warrant may issue for the aforesaid (premises) (persons) (vehicle). [redacted] b6 b7C

SIGNATURE OF OFFICER
INVESTIGATOR,
TITLE OF OFFICER

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 17 day of November, 19 86, at 1145 P.M./A.M.

JUDGE, MAGISTRATE COURT OF DEKALB COUNTY, GEORGIA

JUDGE, RECORDERS COURT OF DEKALB COUNTY
MAGISTRATE DIVISION

I received the attached Search Warrant on 11-19, 19 86 and have executed it as follows:

On 11-21, 19 86, at 20:15 O'clock P, M, I searched the person and the premise described in the warrant and I left a copy of the warrant with [redacted] together with a receipt for the items seized.

The following is an inventory of property taken pursuant to the warrant;

#1. Living Room, off Kitchen (Den) M.A.B.

b6
b7C

A. on Dresser, Triple Beam scales with Residue, (magazine) with white powder on it, Funnel

B. In Top Right Hand Drawer clear plastic Bag with white powder, Residue from bottom of Drawer.

C. In pocket of [redacted] Bag of white powder; from wallet, envelope to [redacted]

(N.A.B) D. [redacted] Purse. White powder in Bag, Tort straw, Vial, prescription bottle containing two 800 mg. motrin, 1 Synt Ex #274, 1½ 10 mg valium, ½ of a pink capsule.

(M.A.B) E. In Black plastic container, on hearth of old fireplace, 3 Bags of white powder

(M.A.B) F. on Nightstand, 38 cal Revolver "Titan [redacted]", citibank receipt. From wallet, $50 cash. $44 cash.

G. on Floor, P.V.C. pipe with white powder & chunks.

H. From Bed, Spoon with Residue and strainer

b6
b7C

(L.G.) #2. A. Middle Front Bedroom, glasses case with seeds & M.J. Residue.
Two guns: 1. Shotgun, Winchester pump, [redacted]
2. .22 Rifle, Marlin #39A, [redacted]

This inventory was made in the presence of Det. [redacted] and I swear that this inventory is a true and detailed account of all the property taken by me on the warrant [redacted]

Subscribed and sworn to and returned before me this 21 Day of Nov 19 86.

b6
b7C

C Bridges 10:13 pm
JUDGE Magistrate Court

OUTBOX.1 (#463)

TO: HQI @ EMH1, WF @ EMH1, CV @ EMH2

FROM: AT @ EMH1

SUBJECT: 105/0003 IMMEDIATE

DATE: 15 APR 87 18:54:19 GMT

CC:

TEXT:

VZCZCAT0003

OO HQ WF MM CV

DE AT #0003 1051957

ZNR UUUUU

O 1518552 APR 87

FM ATLANTA (72-192) ~~(P)~~ (SQUAD 10)

TO DIRECTOR IMMEDIATE

WFO IMMEDIATE

MIAMI IMMEDIATE

CLEVELAND IMMEDIATE

BT

UNCLAS

[redacted] ATLANTA, GEORGIA; OOJ; OO: ATLANTA

REFERENCE ATLANTA TELTYPE TO BUREAU, APRIL 14, 1987.

FOR THE INFORMATION OF RECEIVING OFFICES, [redacted] [redacted] FORMER GEORGIA STATE SENATOR JULIAN BOND, RECENTLY MADE ALLEGATIONS TO THE ATLANTA POLICE DEPARTMENT (APD) THAT [redacted] IS A VERY HEAVY COCAINE USER. [redacted] [redacted] SUBSEQUENTLY, [redacted] WAS

OUT-GOING
SEARCHED
SERIALIZED
INDEXED

b6
b7C

72-192-12

CONTACTED BY [redacted] AND OTHER UNKNOWN LOCAL POLITICAL FIGURES WHO SUGGESTED TO [redacted] THAT SHE NOT COOPERATE WITH FEDERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT AUTHORITIES [redacted] b3 b6 b7C

ON APRIL 14, 1987, JULIAN BOND WAS INTERVIEWED LIVE FOR ONE HOUR ON ATLANTA RADIO STATION WGST. HE EMPHATICALLY DENIED HAVING EVER USED COCAINE. ATLANTA IS IN RECEIPT OF INFORMATION THAT BOND IS IN FACT A COCAINE USER AND THAT A NUMBER OF PROMINENT LOCAL POLITICAL OFFICIALS ARE ALSO COCAINE USERS WITH A MOTIVE TO KEEP [redacted] FROM COOPERATING WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT AUTHORITIES.

ON SEPTEMBER 8, 1986, [redacted] (PROTECT IDENTITY), [redacted] ADVISED SPECIAL AGENT (SA) [redacted] THAT AN INDIVIDUAL NAMED [redacted] b6 b7C b7D [redacted] JULIAN BOND WHO WAS SUPPOSEDLY ARRESTED FOR COCAINE POSSESSION IN 1984. [redacted] JOHN LEWIS, PRESENTLY A UNITED STATES CONGRESSMAN FROM ATLANTA, GEORGIA, WHO WAS THEN RUNNING FOR THAT OFFICE

AGAINST JULIAN BOND IN THE DEMOCRATIC PRIMARY. [redacted]

THIS INFORMATION FROM [redacted] JULIAN BOND ON THE GEORGIA STATE LEGISLATURE. THIS ALLEGED ARREST WAS SOMEHOW KEPT FROM THE MEDIA, BUT NO ADDITIONAL DETAILS ARE KNOWN. ATLANTA HAS ALSO HEARD WHAT CAN ONLY BE CATEGORIZED AS RUMOR THAT BOND WAS ALSO ARRESTED SOMETIME SEVERAL YEARS AGO IN MIAMI, FLORIDA, FOR DRUG POSSESSION, AND AGAIN THE MEDIA WAS NOT ALERTED TO THIS.

b6
b7C
b7D

SOMETIME AROUND DECEMBER, 1986, IT IS ALSO ALLEGED THAT BOND WAS IN THE CLEVELAND, OHIO, AIRPORT WHEN [redacted] WAS STOPED AND POSSIBLY ARRESTED BY DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION (DEA) BECAUSE SHE FIT A DRUG COURIER PROFILE. BOND IS SUPPOSED TO HAVE WALKED AWAY WHEN SHE WAS STOPPED.

b6
b7C

WFO AND MIAMI DIVISIONS: WILL ATTEMPT TO OBTAIN ANY ARREST RECORD FOR JULIAN BOND, AKA HORACE JULIAN BOND, BLACK MALE, DATE OF BIRTH (DOB) JANUARY 14, 1940, PLACE OF BIRTH (POB) NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE, SIX FEET, 140 POUNDS. IF THERE IS AN ARREST RECORD, IT IS POSSIBLE THAT IT IS UNDER SEAL. IF A SUBPOENA IS NEEDED TO OBTAIN THE RECORD, ATLANTA SHOULD BE NOTIFIED IMMEDIATELY SO ONE

CAN BE OBTAINED.

CLEVELAND DIVISION AT CLEVELAND, OHIO: WILL CONTACT DEA AND DETERMINE WHETHER THEY HAVE A RECORD OF A STOP OR ARREST FOR

[redacted]

b6
b7C

RESULTS OF INVESTIGATION SHOULD BE SUTELED IMMEDIATELY.

FBIHQ WILL RECEIVE A SUMMARY TELETYPE OF INVESTIGATION TO DATE, COB APRIL 17, 1987.

BT

#0003

NNNN

FORMS.TEXT HAS 2 DOCUMENTS

INBOX.1 (#517)

TO: HQ1 @ EMH1, AT @ EMH1

FROM: WFO @ EMH1

SUBJECT: 106/0021 IMMEDIATE

DATE: 16 APR 87 21:33:42 GMT

CC:

TEXT:

VZCZCWF0021

OO HQ AT

DE WF #0021 1062055

ZNR UUUUU

O 162056Z APR 87

FM FBI WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE (72-306)(RUC)(SQUAD C-2)

TO DIRECTOR, FBI (IMMEDIATE)

FBI, ATLANTA (72-192)(IMMEDIATE)

BT

UNCLAS

[redacted] ATLANTA, GEORGIA; OOJ; OO:ATLANTA b6 b7C

RE ATLANTA TELETYPE TO DIRECTOR, APRIL 15, 1987.

INVESTIGATION AT WASHINGTON FIELD FAILS TO LOCATE AN ARREST RECORD IDENTIFIABLE FOR JULIAN BOND, AKA HORACE JULIAN BOND, BLACK/MALE, DOB JANUARY 14, 1940; POB NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE. INQUIRIES WERE CONDUCTED AT THE WASHINGTON, D.C. METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT, IDENTIFICATIONS AND RECORDS DIVISION, CENTRAL RECORDS BRANCH WASHINGTON, D.C.; U.S. PARK POLICE, RECORDS SECTIONS, CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION BRANCH, USPP, WASHINGTON, D.C.; AND THRU THE PROSECUTOR'S MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM (PROMIS), USAO/WDC.

72-192-13

APR 16 1987

[redacted] b6 b7C

No Immediate Action 4-16-87

CHIEF OF SPECIAL PROCEEDINGS SECTION, UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, WDC, WAS CONTACTED REGARDING THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH AN ARREST RECORD BEING UNDER SEAL. [redacted] b6 b7C SPS/USAO ADVISES THAT IF SUCH ACTION HAD TAKEN PLACE IT WOULD HAVE BEEN REFLECTED IN THE INQUIRY MADE THROUGH THE PROMIS SYSTEM WHICH ADDITIONALLY QUIRIES ALL PRE-TRIAL SERVICES AGENCIES AND RECORDS TO INCLUDE MPD JAIL RECORDS NOT MAINTAINED BY THE CENTRAL RECORDS BRANCH OF THE MPD.

DUE TO THE FACT NO FURTHER INVESTIGATION IS OUTSTANDING IN THE WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE, WFO WILL CONSIDER THIS MATTER RUC'D.

BT

#0021

NNNN

OUTBOX.1 (#566)

TO: CI @ EMH2

FROM: AT @ EMH1

SUBJECT: 107/0013S PRIORITY

DATE: 18 APR 87 00:09:52 GMT

CC:

TEXT:

VZCZCAT0013

PP CI

DE AT #0013 1070011

ZNR UUUUU

P 170008Z APR 87

FM ATLANTA (72-192)(SQ 10)

TO CINCINNATI (245E-76) ROUTINE

BT

UNCLAS

[redacted] ATLANTA, GA., OOJ; OO:AT

REFERENCE ATLANTA TELEPHONE CALL TO SSA [redacted]

COLUMBUS, OHIO, RA.

FOR INFORMATION OF CINCINNATI, CAPTIONED INVESTIGATION WAS INIATED AS A RESULT OF INFORMATION FURNISHED BY [redacted] FORMER GEORGIA STATE SENATOR JULIAN BOND. [redacted] ALLEGED THAT [redacted] IS STRONGLY ADDICTED TO COCAINE, [redacted] SUPPLIER OF COCAINE IS A [redacted]

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

OUT GOING
SEARCHED
SERIALIZED
INDEXED
FILED

1 copy made for
AT file 245C-154
4/27/87

72-192-14

A REVIEW OF ATLANTA INDICES DETERMINED THAT [redacted] IS IDENTICAL WITH THE [redacted] INVOLVED IN CINCINNATI CASE CAPTIONED AS FOLLOWS: [redacted] AKA; [redacted] AKA; ET AL; NARCOTICS- OCDE TASK FORCE 00: CI (CI FILE 245E-76). b6 b7C

IT WAS NOTED THAT [redacted] HAD BEEN SUBPOENED TO COLUMBUS, OHIO [redacted] b6 b7C

CAPTIONED ATLANTA INVESTIGATION HAS DETERMINED THAT [redacted] A [redacted] BOND, IS ALSO [redacted] b6 b7C ADDITIONALY, FULTON COUNTY, GEORGIA, STATE COURT, HAS A [redacted] FOR [redacted] ATLANTA IS CONSIDERING OBTAINING UFAP PROCESS ON [redacted]

ATLANTA IS OF THE OPINION THAT [redacted] IS [redacted]

ATLANTA IS INTERESTED IN KNOWING THE STATUS OF CINCINNATI INVESTIGATION, IN REGARDS TO [redacted] AND ALSO THE STATUS OF [redacted]

b6
b7C

CINCINNATI AT COLUMBUS OHIO: (1) REVIEW CINCINNATI FILE 245-76, AND PROVIDE ATLANTA WITH ANY INFORMATION REGARDING JULIAN BOND, [redacted] OR ANY OTHER ATLANTA POLITICAL FIGURES.

(2) ADVISE ATLANTA OF PROSECUTIVE STATUS OF [redacted] AND [redacted]

BT

#0013

NNNN

FD-36 (Rev. 8-26-82)

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:
- [x] Teletype
- [ ] Facsimile
- [ ] _____

PRECEDENCE:
- [ ] Immediate
- [x] Priority
- [ ] Routine

CLASSIFICATION:
- [ ] TOP SECRET
- [ ] SECRET
- [ ] CONFIDENTIAL
- [ ] UNCLAS E F T O
- [x] UNCLAS

Date 4/17/87

107/00145.

TAJB/P

FM ATLANTA (72-192)~~(P)~~(SQ 10)

TO JACKSON PRIORITY

BT

UNCLAS

[redacted] ATLANTA, GEORGIA; OOJ; OO:ATLANTA

b6
b7C

REFERENCE ATLANTA TELEPHONE CALL TO JACKSON, 4/17/87.

FOR THE INFORMATION OF RECEIVING OFFICE~~S~~, [redacted] [redacted] FORMER GEORGIA STATE SENATOR JULIAN BOND, RECENTLY MADE ALLEGATIONS TO THE ATLANTA POLICE DEPARTMENT (APD) THAT [redacted] IS A VERY HEAVY COCAINE USER. [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted] SUBSEQUENTLY, [redacted] WAS CONTACTED BY [redacted] AND OTHER UNKNOWN LOCAL POLITICAL FIGURES WHO SUGGESTED TO [redacted] THAT SHE NOT COOPERATE WITH FEDERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT AUTHORITIES. AS A RESULT, [redacted]

(1) ATLANTA
JC/JS
(1)

Approved: JC/in Transmitted OUT-GOING 0016 Per CES
(Number) (Time)

SERIALIZED
INDEXED
FILED

U.S. Government Printing Office: 1984—431-813/8248

72-192-15

[redacted]

ON APRIL 14, 1987, JULIAN BOND WAS INTERVIEWED LIVE FOR ONE HOUR ON ATLANTA RADIO STATION WGST. HE EMPHATICALLY DENIED HAVING EVER USED COCAINE. ATLANTA IS IN RECEIPT OF INFORMATION THAT BOND IS IN FACT A COCAINE USER AND THAT A NUMBER OF PROMINENT LOCAL POLITICAL OFFICIALS ARE ALSO COAINE USERS WITH A MOTIVE TO KEEP [redacted] FROM COOPERATING WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT AUTHORITIES.

b3
b6
b7C

ON APRIL 16, 1987, YOUNG HELD A PRESS RELEASE IN ATLANTA AND STATED HE HAS NEVER USED COCAINE AND HAS NEVER SEEN COCAINE EXCEPT IN MOVIES.

ON APRIL 17, 1987, AUSA, ATLANTA, ADVISED INFORMATION HAS BEEN RECEIVED FROM AN IRS AGENT IN ATLANTA THAT [redacted] JACKSON'S [redacted] WERE FROM ATLANTA. DURING INTERVIEW [redacted] [redacted] REPORTEDLY MADE REPRESENTATIONS THAT [redacted] IN ATLANTA AND MENTIONED [redacted] SPECIFICALLY.

b6
b7C
b7D
b7E

DURING REFERENCED TELEPHONE CALL SSA [redacted] ADVISED HE RECALLED THAT [redacted] HAD MADE SOME STATEMENT ABOUT [redacted] DURING INTERVIEW BUT HE DID NOT RECALL SPECIFICALLY WHAT WAS SAID.

REVIEW OF ATLANTA'S FILE REFERENCE [redacted] FAILED TO LOCATE ANY REPORTS OF INTERVIEWS OF [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D
b7E

JACKSON IS REQUESTED TO EXPEDITIOUSLY REVIEW THE [redacted] FILE AND PROMPTLY PROVIDE ATLANTA WITH COPIES OF ANY FD-302'S OR OTHER DOCUMENTS REPORTING RESULTS OF INTERVIEW WITH [redacted] AND [redacted] IN ATLANTA ARE MENTIONED. ALSO PROVIDE INFORMATION CONCERNING SENTENCES RECEIVED BY [redacted] AND THEIR CURRENT LOCATION, IF KNOWN.

JACKSON IS FURTHER REQUESTED TO REVIEW ITS' INDICES RE [redacted], [redacted], TO DETERMINE IF HER NAME CAME UP DURING THE [redacted] INVESTIGATION. [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7E

BT

Memorandum

To : SAC, ATLANTA (72-192) (SQ 10) ~~(P)~~ Date 4/20/87

From : SSA [redacted]

Subject : [redacted]
ATLANTA, GEORGIA
OOJ

(OO: ATLANTA)

b6
b7C
b7D

On 4/13/87, [redacted] contacted the writer telephonically from [redacted]

[redacted] stated he has read the newspaper articles concerning the JULIAN BOND matter and the leaking of information from the ATLANTA POLICE DEPARTMENT (APD). [redacted] said he has information concerning the [redacted] whose name has been mentioned in the articles about BOND, and said that [redacted] has been leaking information for years to people engaged in the gambling and rackets business in Atlanta.

[redacted] stated he has some papers stored in the basement of [redacted] house in [redacted] that will substantiate his information. He said he would request [redacted] locate those papers and provide them to the FBI.

b6
b7C
b7D

[redacted] requested that an FBI Agent come to see him so he can provide the information he has, which he claims to be pertinent to the BOND matter.

[redacted] said he had provided the information to IRS Agent [redacted], telephone number [redacted] (IRS or ATF Agent), telephone number [redacted]

[redacted] stated he has a [redacted] but said he is not requesting anything from the FBI for the information he can provide. [redacted] He said he may

b6
b7C
b7D

72-192-16

(3) - 72-192
1 - 182-420
JLC:dhp
(4) dhp

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED ____ FILED ____
APR 20 1987
FBI — ATLANTA

have to ask the FBI for assistance in the future [redacted] but that he is not asking for any promises at this time. He again stated he feels he has information pertinent to the FBI regarding the BOND case and requested an Agent come to see him without any promise from the FBI. b6 b7C b7D

On 4/17/87, at 10 a.m., [redacted] again contacted the writer telephonically and said [redacted] has been unable to locate the papers that [redacted] has stored in the basement of [redacted] house. [redacted] again said he has pertinent information he wants to provide to the FBI but does not want to provide that information over the telephone. [redacted] and [redacted] and APD official [redacted] had told him then that [redacted] said he can provide the FBI with some pertinent information concerning the BOND matter. b6 b7C b7D

[redacted] was advised the FBI cannot make him any promises in exchange for the information he provides. He said he understands that fully and also said he is not looking for anything from the FBI now. He said he may have to ask the FBI for help down the road, and he was told the only thing that the writer could tell him at this time is that the FBI would make known the extent of his cooperation and the value of the information he provided. [redacted] said he understands fully, that he wants to provide the information to the FBI, and that he is not seeking anything from the FBI at this time.

[redacted] was told that an Agent would be sent to interview him during the early part of the week of 4/20/87, if at all possible. b6 b7C b7D

SA [redacted] is requested to interview [redacted] as soon as possible to obtain any information he has pertinent to captioned matter.

Memorandum

To : SAC, ATLANTA (72-192)

Date 4/21/87

From : SA [redacted]

Subject : [redacted]

On 4/21/87 AUSA [redacted] advised that Det. [redacted] Collier Park PD previously worked an informant named [redacted] series of [redacted] telephone number [redacted] FBI** is [redacted] is reported to [redacted] and is apparently knowledgeable about [redacted] drug activity.

b6
b7C

b6
b7C
b7D

b6
b7C
b7D

It is recommended that Det. [redacted] be contacted about [redacted] and that [redacted] be located and interviewed.

72-192-80

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED ____ FILED ____
APR 21 1987
FBI-ATLANTA

Memorandum

To : SAC, Atlanta (72-192)

Date 21 April 1987

From : SA [redacted]

Subject : [redacted]

OOJ.

The memo of Inpu [redacted]

4/20/87.

Pursuant to referenced memorandum, SA [redacted] GBI SA [redacted] explained that source mentioned in referenced memo was [redacted] received from GBI agents. He provided confidential information described as [redacted] has not heard from the source for about a year [illegible] the publicity surrounding captioned matter.

[redacted] will make source available for interview and turn source over to the FBI if so desired.

It is recommended an SA [redacted] assigned this lead.

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

72-192-21

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED ____ FILED ____
APR 21 1987
FBI-ATLANTA

[redacted]

b6
b7C

atlanta - lead assigned so

FORMS.TEXT HAS 2 DOCUMENTS

INBOX.1 (#756)

TO: AT @ EMH1

FROM: CI @ EMH2

SUBJECT: 113/0001 ROUTINE

DATE: 23 APR 87 21:23:50 GMT

CC:

TEXT:

CI00001 1132123Z

RR AT

DE CI

R 232123Z APR 87

FM CINCINNATI (245F-76) ~~(P)~~

TO ATLANTA (72-192) (SQD 10) ROUTINE

BT

UNCLAS

[redacted] ATLANTA, GEORGIA, OOJ,

OO:ATLANTA

FOR INFORMATION OF ATLANTA, CINCINNATI'S OCDE TASK FORCE CASE 245F-76 HAS BEEN, UP UNTIL EARLY THIS MONTH, LANGUISHING DUE TO THE FACT THAT NO AUSA HAS BEEN ASSIGNED TO THE MATTER AND DUE TO THE DEPARTURE OF AUSA [redacted] MORE THAN A YEAR AND A HALF AGO. ACCORDINGLY NO NEW DEVELOPMENTS HAVE OCCURRED AND THE MATTER WAITS FOR THE NEWLY APPOINTED OCDE TASK FORCE ATTORNEY IN COLUMBUS, TO DECIDE A COURSE OF ACTION. AT THIS POINT HE HAS PREPARED A PROPOSED INDICTMENT AGAINST [redacted] CHARGING HIM WITH [redacted] INVOLVING THE

b6
b7C

72-192-22
SEARCHED ___ INDEXED ___
SERIALIZED DMC FILED DMC
APR 23 1987
FBI — ATLANTA

Received at Rotor 4/27/87 DMC

[redacted] ATLANTA DIVISION ARRESTED [redacted] ON THE BASIS OF A CRIMINAL COMPLAINT FILED IN [redacted]

b6
b7C

THIS CHARGE WAS [redacted]

b6
b7C

CINCINNATI INTENDS TO APPROACH [redacted] AND SEE IF HE IS WILLING TO COOPERATE WITH THE BUREAU AS A COOPERATING DEFENDANT (CD). THE ONLY WAY CINCINNATI WILL CONSIDER CUTTING A DEAL WITH [redacted] IS THAT HE AGREES TO TESTIFY ABOUT ALL ASPECTS OF HIS [redacted] 72-192-22 DM DMC

b6
b7C

THE CASE AGENT BELIEVES THAT THE PROSPECTS OF [redacted] COOPERATING ARE NOT THAT PROMISING AND THE ONLY HOPE FOR AN AGREEMENT IS THAT [redacted] HAS [redacted]

b6
b7C

Recommendation: [illegible]

CINCINNATI IS NOW CONSIDERING INDICTING HIM FOR THE

[redacted]

AS ATLANTA IS AWARE, [redacted] WAS [redacted] HE HAD A PERSONAL AND BUSINESS RELATIONSHIP WITH [redacted] CINCINNATI HAS NO INFORMATION ABOUT HER INVOLVEMENT WITH LOCAL ATLANTA POLITICIANS NOR DOES CINCINNATI HAVE ANY CURRENT INFORMATION AS TO HER WHEREABOUTS.

b6
b7C

[redacted] AS FAR AS CINCINNATI KNOWS, REMAINS AS A GOOD POTENTIAL WITNESS IN THE CINCINNATI COCAINE CASE.

b6
b7C

NO OVERALL INDICTMENTS OF THE PRINCIPALS IN THIS CASE IS ANTICIPATED BY CINCINNATI IN THE NEAR FUTURE FOR REASONS SET FORTH ABOVE. IF [redacted] REFUSES TO CONSIDER COOPERATION, CINCINNATI WILL DISCUSS THE OPTIONS WITH OCDE TASK FORCE AUSA IN [redacted]

b6
b7C

ATLANTA SHOULD NOTE THAT [redacted] HAD SOME MAJOR BUSINESS VENTURES IN THE ATLANTA AREA IN

THE PAST AND IT IS BELIEVED THAT HE HAD ASSETS HIDDEN

IN THAT CITY IN THE FORM OF [redacted] b6 b7C

[redacted]

BT

-->

FILES.INCOMING.DAY24-31.26 (#819)

TO: AT @ EMH1

FROM: JN @ EMH1

SUBJECT: 115/0001 ROUTINE

DATE: 25 APR 87 05:32:46 GMT

CC:

TEXT:

JN00001 1150532Z

RR AT

DE JN

R 250530Z APR 87

FM JACKSON (72-58) ~~P~~

TO ATLANTA (72-192) (SQ. 10) ROUTINE

BT

UNCLAS

[redacted] ATLANTA, GEORGIA, OOJ OO: ATLANTA b6 b7C

RE ATTEL TO JACKSON OF APRIL 17, 1987, JNTELCALL TO ATLANTA APRIL 22, 1987 AND JNTELCALL TO ATLANTA APRIL 24, 1987.

BY REFERENCED TELCALLS, THE ATLANTA OFFICE WAS ADVISED OF THE FOLLOWING:

IN THE JACKSON DIVISION CASE ENTITLED [redacted] (JN 245B-39) INVESTIGATION AND SUBSEQUENT TESTIMONY IN FEDERAL COURT DETERMINED THAT ATLANTA RESIDENT [redacted] WAS SUPPLYING COCAINE TO [redacted] WHO IN TURN WAS FURNISHING IT TO COURIERS FROM THE [redacted] AREA. THIS COCAINE WAS EVENTUALLY BROUGHT TO THE MAIN TARGET

b6 b7C b7D b7E

72-192-23

SEARCHED SERIALIZED

APR 25 1987

Received at ROT on 4/27/87 DMC

OF THE JACKSON INVESTIGATION, [redacted]

[redacted], SUBSEQUENTLY [redacted]

AND WAS LAST KNOWN TO BE RESIDING IN [redacted] ON [redacted] AT TELEPHONE NUMBER [redacted] OFFICE NUMBER [redacted] WHICH IS THE OFFICE PHONE NUMBER OF [redacted] SUBSEQUENTLY [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D

[redacted], DURING A PROFFER INTERVIEW, [redacted] WAS QUESTIONED [redacted] WITH MUCH RELUCTANCE, [redacted] STATED [redacted] PRESENT AT THE TIME OF THIS STATEMENT WAS [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D

THIS STATEMENT WAS [redacted]

NOT REDUCED TO A 302.

[redacted] DESCRIBED ABOVE, WAS [redacted]

WAS A FREQUENT VISITOR IN THE ATLANTA AREA AND [redacted] IN THAT AREA. ALTHOUGH [redacted] WAS NEVER INTERVIEWED AS TO HIS RELATIONSHIP WITH [redacted] ETC., INFORMATION HAS BEEN RECEIVED FROM OTHER PARTIES THAT [redacted] WAS A FREQUENT VISITOR WITH [redacted] AND OTHERS IN THE ATLANTA AREA. [redacted] AS POSSIBLE THE JACKSON FIELD OFFICE IN VARIOUS INVESTIGATIONS. IT IS FELT THAT IF [redacted] WERE CONTACTED REGARDING CAPTIONED MATTER, HE MAY BE OF ASSISTANCE IN THIS INVESTIGATION.

b6
b7C
b7D

ON APRIL 24, 1987, [redacted], HOME TELEPHONE NUMBER [redacted] AND OFFICE TELEPHONE NUMBER [redacted] WAS CONTACTED BY JACKSON CASE AGENT RELATIVE TO ANY INFORMATION HE MAY HAVE REGARDING CAPTIONED MATTER. [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D
b7E

[redacted], AND WITH WHOM [redacted]
ATLANTA, GEORGIA, WAS DIRECTLY INVOLVED IN FURNISHING COCAINE
TO CAPTIONED DEFENDANT OR CAPTIONED DEFENDANT'S [redacted] b6 b7C b7D
[redacted] STATED THAT [redacted] MAY BE ABLE TO SHED ADDITIONAL
LIGHT ON THIS MATTER. [redacted] FURTHER ADVISED THAT ONCE [redacted]
[redacted] CAME ON THE SCENE WORKING FOR [redacted] THAT
[redacted] THEREAFTER TO FURNISH COCAINE TO [redacted]
[redacted]

FOR INFORMATION OF ATLANTA, [redacted]
[redacted] FOR WHICH [redacted] HAD
[redacted]
[redacted] STATED THAT HE WOULD BE WILLING TO b6 b7C b7D
ASSIST THE FBI IN ANY WAY IN THIS INVESTIGATION AND STATED
THAT HE WOULD ATTEMPT TO CONTACT ATLANTA CASE AGENT TO FURNISH
THIS ASSISTANCE.

A REVIEW OF THE JACKSON INDICES REGARDING [redacted]
[redacted] IS NEGATIVE. b6 b7C

JACKSON IS LEAVING LEADS IN THIS MATTER TO THE DESCRETION

Memorandum

To : SAC ATLANTA (29B-NEW) Date 4/27/87

From : SA [redacted]
Unknown aka

Sub [redacted]

Anchor Savings Bank - Victim
ATLANTA, GA.
BFHE (B)
OO: AT

b6
b7C

Information has been obtained alleging unknown aka [redacted] b6 b7C [redacted]. The time [redacted] has disappeared. [redacted] gave the same address, [redacted] b6 b7C atlanta, Ga., as [redacted] who is currently being investigated in an OOS matter AT 72-192.

SSA [redacted] has requested this matter be opened as a 29B case and assigned to SA [redacted] due to the tie in to the 72 case. Due to this fact it is requested this case be OF A'd to SA [redacted]

b6
b7C

72-192-24
SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED ____ FILED ____
APR 2 7 1987
FBI — ATLANTA

[redacted]

b6
b7C

2 - 29B -
1 - 72-192

4/27/87

Memorandum

To: SAC, ATLANTA (72-192) ~~-P-~~ Date: 4/27/87

From: SA [redacted]

Subject: [redacted]

OOJ
OO:AT

b6
b7C

On this date, the writer provided a signed statement to Sgt. [redacted] currently attached to the Atlanta Bureau of Police Services, Internal Affairs Division. A copy of that statement is attached as part of this memo.

ADMINISTRATIVE:

While at Internal Affairs, the writer was shown at least the front page of the signed statement provided in this matter by APD Officer [redacted]. In his memo, Officer [redacted] reflects he was provided information by [redacted] about Julian Bond's cocaine use, and that his source lived at [redacted] and that he and detective [redacted] pursued separate investigations of the information. [redacted] also states there were no attempts to obstruct his investigation of Bond. There were additional deaails in his memo regarding the information provided [redacted] which had not been provided the writer previously.

b6
b7C

At the time the information was provided the writer, it was indicated Bond packed a grand a week up his nose, his source was [redacted] Bond, [redacted] get their cocaine together.

b6
b7C

(1)

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY
INTERNAL INVESTIGATIONS UNIT
OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS
CITY OF ATLANTA, GEORGIA

COMPLAINT #
TODAY'S DATE 4-27-87
BEGINNING TIME

STATEMENT OF: SPECIAL AGENT [redacted] RACE W SEX M DOB
BADGE NUMBER
RES: ADDRESS: ATLANTA FBI OFFICE APT. CITY STATE
ZIP
BUS: ADDRESS: CITY STATE
ZIP
RES: PHONE: BUS: PHONE: [redacted] OTHER PHONE:

b6
b7C

Q. DID YOU RECEIVE INFORMATION FROM INVESTIGATOR [redacted] OF THE ATLANTA POLICE NARCOTICS SQUAD CONCERNING THE ALLEGED DRUG INVOLVMENT OF JULIAN BOND IN 1985.

A. YES

TYPED BY: COMPLETION TIME:

I HAVE READ/HAD READ TO ME THE ABOVE STATEMENT AND I SWEAR/AFFIRM, THAT IT IS TRUE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF.

[redacted]

b6
b7C

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME THIS 27TH DAY OF APRIL, 19 87.

[redacted]

NOTARY PUBLIC

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES OCT 5, 1990

Memorandum

To : SAC, ATLANTA (72-192) (SQ 10) ~~(P)~~ Date 4/29/87

From : SSA [redacted] b6 b7C

Subject : [redacted]
ATLANTA, GEORGIA
OOJ

(OO: ATLANTA)

On 4/13/87, SA [redacted] advised that he had been telephonically contacted by [redacted] and [redacted] claimed to have some pertinent information concerning JULIAN BOND and [redacted] advised that [redacted] was [redacted] and was scheduled to [redacted] and that AUSA [redacted] was the prosecutor. SA [redacted] stated [redacted] was looking for assistance in connection with his [redacted] and offered to provide the information he has. b6 b7C b7D

On that date, AUSA [redacted] was advised of the foregoing; and he stated he would contact AUSA [redacted] who is handling the captioned matter. AUSA [redacted] advised that [redacted] was to [redacted] b6 b7C b7D

On 4/14/87, SA [redacted] advised he had again been contacted by [redacted] telephonically; and SA [redacted] asked the writer to contact [redacted] was telephonically contacted at telephone number [redacted] and he advised that he knows [redacted] well, that she [redacted], and that he can provide information concerning illegal drug activity on the part of [redacted] and JULIAN BOND. [redacted] was in [redacted] and that [redacted] and JULIAN BOND were also there; and they were altogether with [redacted] indicated also uses drugs. [redacted] stated that he has information he believes to be pertinent to the Government and will provide that information. He stated that he is [redacted] Atlanta, and would appreciate any assistance that could be given to him [redacted] b6 b7C b7D

72-192-26

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED DMC FILED ____
APR 29 1987
ATLANTA

[redacted] b6 b7C b7D

(3) - 72-192
JLC:dhp
(3) dhp

lead to interview assigned to

AT 72-192

[redacted] was advised that the AUSA who is handling captioned matter would be advised of the fact that he desired to provide the information to the Government and that the writer would request that AUSA to contact AUSA [redacted] b6 b7C b7D

SA [redacted] advised the writer on 4/13/87 and [redacted] informed the writer on 4/14/87 that he did not desire that his attorney, [redacted] know of his desire to cooperate with the Government. b6 b7C b7D

SA [redacted] has advised that [redacted] and that [redacted] is currently [redacted] SA [redacted] advised that AUSA [redacted] has advised him that [redacted] claims to have information pertinent to captioned case and wants to talk with the FBI. SA [redacted] further advised that AUSA [redacted] stated that [redacted] attorney, [redacted] has requested that he be present during the interview of [redacted] by the FBI. b6 b7C b7D

LEAD

ATLANTA

At Atlanta, Georgia:

Will contact Attorney [redacted] and arrange for interview of [redacted] at the [redacted] and obtain any information he has pertinent to captioned matter and ascertain whether or not the information [redacted] has can be substantiated through documentation or other witnesses. b6 b7C b7D

0-9 (Rev. 8-16-79)

TRANSMIT VIA: AIRTEL

CLASSIFICATION:

DATE: 4/27/87

FROM: Director, FBI

TO: SAC, Atlanta (72-192) (SQ. 10)

[redacted]
ATLANTA, GEORGIA;
OOJ;
OO: ATLANTA (AT)

b6
b7C

Re Atlanta teletype to the Director, dated 4/15/87.

Enclosed for Atlanta is a three-page Identification Division Record for [redacted] and a two-page record for Julian Bond.

Enclosures

72-192-27

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED ____ FILED ____
APR 30 1987
ATLANTA

[redacted]

b6
b7C

FBI/DOJ

FPI COM 6-30417 10/80

MASTER
1-4 (Rev. 7-19-77)

MASTER

4-24-87

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
IDENTIFICATION DIVISION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20537

Use of the following FBI record, NUMBER 923 257 Y4 , is REGULATED BY LAW. It is furnished FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY and should ONLY BE USED FOR PURPOSE REQUESTED. When further explanation of arrest charge or disposition is needed, communicate directly with the agency that contributed the fingerprints.

CONTRIBUTOR OF FINGERPRINTS	NAME AND NUMBER	ARRESTED OR RECEIVED	CHARGE	DISPOSITION
PD Atlanta GA	Horace Julian Bond 195461	3-15-60	Misd Refusal To Leave Premises	
			[illegible] RINTS NOW [illegible] ER WHICH IS [illegible] ACCOMPANIED [illegible] CANNOT [illegible] ANY MANNER THAT THE [illegible] CONCERNS THE INDIVIDUAL IN WHOM YOU ARE INTERE TED.	

72-92-29

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED ____ FILED ____

[redacted] 987

b6
b7C

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE : 1986 O - 154-580

MASTER
-1-40 (Rev. 7-19-77)

MASTER

MASTER

2

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
IDENTIFICATION DIVISION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20537

4-24-87

Use of the following information from FBI record, NUMBER 923 257 Y4, is REGULATED BY LAW. It is furnished FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY and should ONLY BE USED FOR PURPOSE REQUESTED.

Description and Related Data:

Race: Unknown

Sex: Male

Height: 6-0

Weight: 140

Hair: Black

Eyes: Maroon

Date and Place of Birth: 1-14-40 Nashville, GA

Scars and Marks: Unknown

Address: 672 Beckwith St SW Atlanta GA (1960)

Occupation: Student (In 1960)

SINCE NEITHER FINGERPRINTS NOR AN IDENTIFYING NUMBER WHICH IS INDEXED [illegible] ACCOMPANIED YOUR [illegible] I CANNOT GUARANTEE I AM MAKING THAT THIS MATERIAL CONCERNS THE INDIVIDUAL IN WHOM YOU ARE INTERESTED.

Social Security Number: Unknown

Memorandum

To : SAC, ATLANTA (72-192)

Date 5/1/87

From : SA [redacted]

Subject : [redacted]

b6
b7C

1,2

On 4/24/87, USA Robert Barr telephonically contacted SA [redacted] at his residence on 4/24/87 to advise that he had received a telephone call from [redacted] same date. [redacted] told Barr she had information about Julian Bond but furnished no details. Barr called SA [redacted] to contact her, and SA [redacted] told Barr he would set up an interview was scheduled after determining what [redacted] had to offer, a teletype setting forth a lead for Detroit would be the normal procedure that would be followed.

b6
b7C

SA [redacted] called [redacted] same date at telephone number [redacted] said she [redacted] in Atlanta [redacted] and JULIAN BOND for [redacted]

b6
b7C

She never met Julian Bond or [redacted] but she once called Bond at his office, 688-7136, and Bond told her he "forgot her name."

b6
b7C

72-192-30

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED ____ FILED ____
MAY 01 1987
FBI — ATLANTA

[redacted] b6 b7C

[redacted] Julian Bond, at the [redacted]

[redacted] accused FBI SA's [redacted] b6 b7C

[redacted] of making her

leave Atlanta on one occasion,

[redacted] had no information concerning

captioned investigation.

An indices check conducted by [redacted]

[redacted] was negative.

[redacted] b6 b7C

FD-302 (REV. 3-10-82)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 4/23/87

Atlanta Police Lieutenant [redacted] was interviewed at the Atlanta Office of the FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION (FBI), where he voluntarily appeared. He was shown the identification of the interviewing Agents, advised of the purpose of the interview, and he thereafter furnished the following information: b6 b7C

[redacted] resides at [redacted] [redacted] telephone number [redacted] His work telephone number is [redacted] b6 b7C

[redacted] has been employed by the ATLANTA POLICE DEPARTMENT (APD) since [redacted] Prior to that he worked as a [redacted] b6 b7C

On March 19, 1987, [redacted] Major HERMAN GRINER and Captain [redacted] were [redacted] was on his way to the Narcotics Enforcement Unit some time after 2:00 p.m. to discuss a dog shooting incident with Officer [redacted] needed to know the details of this incident because it involved the discharge of a firearm and he had to report this to the Chief of Police. As [redacted] walked into the narcotics area, an unknown black female also walked in at the same time. As the woman walked into the narcotics area, she said, "I want to talk with a detective." This comment was made to no one in particular. Sergeant [redacted] was standing by her desk and [redacted] and Detective [redacted] were sitting at their adjoining desks. [redacted] responded to the woman's request by telling [redacted] to take care of her. b6 b7C

The woman walked over to [redacted] desk and immediately said, "I want to report [redacted] JULIAN BOND, for using drugs and [redacted] for giving drugs to him." She added that she [redacted] The woman then [redacted] b6 b7C

Investigation on 4/22/87 at Atlanta, Georgia File # AT 72-192 -31

by SA [redacted] SA [redacted] :cms Date dictated 4/22/87 b6 b7C

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

GPO : 1986 O - 499-243

[redacted] asked the woman who she was, at this point, and the woman replied that her name was [redacted] [redacted] asked who he was, and he told her his name was Lieutenant [redacted] never saw [redacted] before that he can recall, although it is possible he has seen her at [redacted] never spoke with her before this occasion, though. [redacted] continued to [redacted] to everyone, and [redacted] told her that he was familiar with the names [redacted] and [redacted] that she had mentioned. [redacted] said the name [redacted] had come to his attention in February, 1987, and he had one of his investigators check with DEKALB COUNTY and DECATUR POLICE DEPARTMENTS for a record on her. He had received information from an informant that [redacted] had arrest records in those jurisdictions. [redacted] efforts met with negative results because he did not know at the time that [redacted]

b6
b7C

At about this point in the conversation, [redacted] suggested that [redacted] accompany him to a conference room where they could have privacy. [redacted] he had to go to a staff meeting at the Chief's office; it was about 2:40 p.m. at this time, and he asked [redacted] if she would wait until he returned at approximately 4:30 p.m. [redacted] replied that she would wait. [redacted] noticed only [redacted] go into the conference room with [redacted] does not remember whether he saw [redacted] go with [redacted] or not into the conference room. [redacted] told [redacted] he wanted to get a detailed statement from her. At this point, [redacted] left and when he went to his police car, he radioed one of the detectives assigned [redacted] He told [redacted] over the air to meet him at police headquarters. [redacted] met him at the headquarters parking lot and [redacted] told him that [redacted] was at [redacted] Office. He asked [redacted] to go directly there and to sit in on the interview of [redacted] said he would.

b6
b7C

[redacted] went to Chief MORRISON REDDING's office and asked the receptionist if he could speak with the Chief privately. REDDING called [redacted] in and they spoke for several minutes concerning [redacted] appearing at [redacted] Office to give a statement about [redacted] and other drug information. [redacted] told REDDING what he had heard from [redacted] before he departed for headquarters, and that [redacted] had said she was trying to get help for JULIAN. REDDING asked who was interviewing [redacted] and [redacted] told him [redacted] without also naming [redacted] REDDING was surprised and asked how [redacted] happened to be the person talking with her. [redacted] explained to REDDING that [redacted] was only one of three officers present

b6
b7C

at the time [redacted] walked in, and that [redacted] had told [redacted] to talk with her. [redacted] further explained to REDDING how his unit had been looking into some of [redacted] allegations, and that his own sources had told him that JULIAN was a coke user, as well as [redacted] and [redacted] REDDING commented that he thought [redacted] had quit using coke, but [redacted] said according to his sources, [redacted] had not quit. [redacted] said his sources were usually very accurate. REDDING asked if [redacted] heard anything about [redacted] and [redacted] said he had not. REDDING's next response was, "Don't let anything hurt [redacted]" REDDING told [redacted] to keep him informed on the matter and to tell [redacted] to give him (the Chief) a full report.

b6
b7C

During this meeting with REDDING, [redacted] also told him that according to his sources [redacted] was supplying cocaine to JULIAN BOND, [redacted] related that they all hung out at the LIVING ROOM LOUNGE, located at 1349 Mason Turner Road, Atlanta (a former bowling alley), and that the source of this information was 99 percent accurate on information he provided in the past.

b6
b7C

After the staff meeting with Chief REDDING, [redacted] returned to his office at about 4:45 p.m. [redacted] was in the outer office [redacted], and [redacted] asked where [redacted] said she had gone and that [redacted] and Lieutenant [redacted] would not let him sit in on the meeting. [redacted] immediately went to talk to [redacted] and [redacted] told him that [redacted] did not want to talk with him. [redacted] that [redacted] had told him earlier that she would wait for him to come back, but [redacted] replied that she did not want to talk with him.

b6
b7C

[redacted] was very angry at this point, and the next morning he asked [redacted] why he had not kept [redacted] there even though she had said she would stay. [redacted] replied that she did not want to talk with any black officers. [redacted] asked [redacted] for a report of what [redacted] said, and [redacted] responded that [redacted] ordered him not to talk about it with anyone. [redacted] continued that he did not have a problem talking with [redacted], but he did not want to violate [redacted] order. [redacted] also said he had talked with Captain [redacted] about the interview, and that [redacted] had developed a plan, but [redacted] did not explain the plan to [redacted] did not ask about it.

b6
b7C

[redacted] next went to [redacted] and told him he wanted a full report from [redacted] Inasmuch as [redacted] was still [redacted] because GRINER [redacted] had not returned to work yet, he was the one to make this request since Chief REDDING had left the same instructions with him. [redacted] said that [redacted] was working on the report now, but to this day, [redacted] said he has never seen it. [redacted] explained that [redacted] it was his responsibility to report [redacted] presence at the police department and the information she furnished to the Chief because of its sensitive nature. Since March 20, 1987 [redacted] has had no further contacts with [redacted] He had very little contact with [redacted] during this episode because [redacted] is a very quiet person and [redacted] was the one who was the out-front person. [redacted] has also not talked to [redacted] since at least March 20, 1987.

b6
b7C

On March 20, 1987, the same day [redacted] for the report, [redacted] told [redacted] that he [redacted] would probably get transferred over the [redacted] case. [redacted] did not say why and [redacted] did not ask. [redacted] continued that he did not mind taking the heat, that he had been transferred for no reason before, and [redacted] only commented that he was familiar with the political situation. [redacted] went on that [redacted] had a good reputation, that he was an outstanding police officer, and he did not need to have his career marred by this. [redacted] suggested, "Let us handle it", and that Captain [redacted] now had a plan which they would handle. [redacted] asked to see [redacted] file on [redacted] and [redacted] and [redacted] gave it to him. The file was not very thick because [redacted]s unit had received only bits and pieces of information on these individuals. [redacted] had not had much time to work on the case because of all his collateral duties with [redacted] and a [redacted] in addition to his police duties, and with his officers had to speak on about three to four occasions a week to different groups.

b6
b7C

[redacted] a few photographs contained in the folder, which [redacted] had requested, and he also gave him a printout of [redacted] had planned to turn over what little information he had to the Narcotics Enforcement Unit (NEU), but he had hoped to develop some additional information first.

b6
b7C

[redacted] only knows JULIAN BOND from political circles, but they have never spoken. [redacted] bring him to a lot of political functions where many prominent political people are present, but he has no recollection of ever actually having spoken with JULIAN BOND. b6 b7C

After the meeting that [redacted] had with REDDING, and during the same meeting with [redacted] where he requested [redacted] to obtain a report from [redacted] he had already called REDDING to advise him about [redacted] [redacted] learned the next day that this was not true because Major GRINER's secretary asked [redacted] if he had seen [redacted] and [redacted] said no. The secretary replied that the Chief was looking for him in response to a message [redacted] left on March 19, 1987, for the Chief to call him. b6 b7C

[redacted] never knew or was informed that [redacted] and [redacted] were to meet with [redacted] and FBI Agents on March 25, 1987. The first time he learned of this was through the news media. [redacted] was aware that Captain [redacted] had requested a transfer several months before April 1 because he was not happy with is present assignment [redacted] He was also aware that [redacted] had previously asked Chief REDDING to transfer Sergeant [redacted] because she was not getting along with anyone in the unit and did not seem to know what she was doing. [redacted] violated departmental procedures when they had bypassed Major GRINER and not advising him that they intended to meet with the FBI Agents on March 25, 1987. b6 b7C

On March 20, 1987, [redacted] was at the CIVIC CENTER for an event where he ran into Commissioner GEORGE NAPPER. [redacted] NAPPER about [redacted] appearing at police headquarters the day before, and NAPPER appeared to be very surprised, as if he had no knowledge of this before. He asked [redacted] what she wanted, and [redacted] very briefly told him. The conversation lasted about three to four minutes. [redacted] did not tell NAPPER he had gone to Chief REDDING the day before, and NAPPER did not ask him if he had spoken to the Chief about it. b6 b7C

In January, 1981, [redacted] was [redacted] had previously met YOUNG at [redacted] YOUNG was to be a speaker during his campaign for mayor. [redacted] YOUNG as the speaker for this occasion. Former Commissioner LEE BROWN appointed [redacted] b6 b7C

[redacted] When BROWN left Atlanta some time in 1982 or 1983, NAPPER made changes and [redacted] was [redacted] b6 b7C

Some time in 1984, [redacted] was in charge of the [redacted] for the City of Atlanta. His predecessor was [redacted] There were news media reports about hidden contracts concerning the airport and suspicion was drawn to [redacted] that they had destroyed records. One day during this controversy, a fire occurred on the fourth floor of City Hall. The arson investigator (name unrecalled) told [redacted] it was arson. [redacted] interviewed everyone there except [redacted], [redacted] (Last Name Unknown) (LNU). The [redacted] took the month off and claimed [redacted] took a polygraph examination and failed. [redacted] reported these results to Mayor ANDREW YOUNG. The Mayor said he did not think the polygraph was accurate. [redacted] suggested bringing [redacted] in for a polygraph, but YOUNG had no reply. [redacted] next contacted [redacted] to offer him the polygraph, and [redacted] contacted [redacted] the [redacted] She contacted [redacted] and accused him of being on a witch hunt. [redacted] said he had to be careful that it did not appear he was trying to lead the investigation since this was not one of his functions, but since the arson was at City Hall, he did have a legitimate interest in the matter. [redacted] never did take the polygraph. As a result of this investigation, [redacted] has never since spoken with [redacted] b6 b7C

Shortly after the foregoing arson investigation began, someone unrecalled at City Hall called [redacted] and told him to shut the investigation down. [redacted] did not have the authority to close the case since it was an arson investigation, but [redacted] noted that the arson squad stopped investigating the matter at about the same time. Very shortly after this incident, [redacted] was transferred. He contacted Chief REDDING to ask him why, and REDDING told him it was a routine transfer. [redacted] asked if he had done anything wrong, and REDDING assured him that he had done a fantastic job [redacted]. REDDING gave [redacted] permission to see Commissioner NAPPER, and [redacted] did so. NAPPER said that he and REDDING had been discussing [redacted] transfer for some time and that it was routine. b6 b7C

[redacted] never contacted anyone other than those individuals named during the interview concerning [redacted] appearance at [redacted] on March 19, 1987. The first he b6 b7C

learned that her appearance had been disclosed outside the APD was when he heard through the news media that Chief REDDING had talked with Mayor YOUNG about it.

Memorandum

To : SAC, ATLANTA (72-192) ~~(P)~~

Date 4/27/87

From : SA [redacted]

b6
b7C

Subject : [redacted]
ATLANTA, GEORGIA
OOJ
OO:AT

On 4/24/87, the writer was telephonically contacted by Captain [redacted] who is conducting the Internal Investigation regarding the various facets of the JULIAN BOND investigation for the Atlanta Bureau of Police services. [redacted] office is located at [redacted] telephone [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted] inquired into the status ofthe duplication of the original [redacted] tape recording and the transcript of the recording. He indicated that he had spoken with someone else in the FBI connected with the investigation and thought it had been the writer. [redacted] was advised that the writer had not previously spoken with him and that he should contact the case agent, [redacted] to obtain a copy of the recording and the transcript.

b6
b7C

After conferring with ASAC [redacted] the writer responded to [redacted] inquiry regarding the circumstances wherein Officer [redacted] telenhonically contactedthe writer on 3/19/87. [redacted] was advised that [redacted] did telephonically contact the writer while [redacted] was still in the Narcotics Office and that [redacted] had furnished earlier information to the writer regarding the alleged cocaine habit of JULIAN BOND. No other information regarding the source of [redacted] information was furnished to Captain [redacted] and no other questions were asked by the Captain.

b6
b7C

72-192-32

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED ____ FILED ____

APR 27 1987

FBI — ATLANTA

[redacted]

b6
b7C

JJK:jjk
(2)

FD-302 (REV. 3-10-82)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1

Date of transcription 4/27/87

Congressman JOHN LEWIS voluntarily appeared at the Atlanta Office of the FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION (FBI). LEWIS was shown the identification of the interviewing Agents, advised of the purpose of the interview, and he, thereafter, furnished the following information:

LEWIS first met JULIAN BOND sometime around April, 1960, on the campus of SHAW UNIVERSITY, Raleigh, North Carolina. A group of civil rights activists met to form THE TEMPORARY NON-VIOLENT COORDINATING COMMITTEE which became the STUDENT NON-VIOLENT COORDINATING COMMITTE (SNCC) in October, 1960. From this point on, LEWIS and BOND became good friends.

In late 1977, LEWIS was appointed by Pesident JIMMY CARTER to become the Associate Director of the ACTION AGENCY. He held this title between May, 1977, through January, 1980. BOND and CARTER were not political allies and this appointment caused LEWIS and BOND to grow somewhat apart. BOND held no positions in the CARTER administration.

By October, 1985, LEWIS had decided to run for Congress in the seat occupied by then Congreeman WYCHE FOWLER. He heard rumors that BOND was also interested in running for this seat, so during the same month he called BOND and suggested they have lunch together. They met at the old MARRIOTT HOTEL in downtown Atlanta where each advised the other that he intended to run for the same seat in Congress. Since that date, the two have not had a serious conversation. BOND has ignored LEWIS at almost every political and public occasion where they have met, and to this day, BOND will have nothing to do with LEWIS despite efforts by LEWIS to reach out to BOND and patch up their differences.

During the primary campaign, MILDRED GLOVER, a former Georgia State Senator raised the issue that the candidates should take a drug urinealysis test. LEWIS does not know if her suggestion came as a result of President RONALD REAGAN and Vice-President GEORGE BUSH declaring that all public officials should take a drug test or whether she suspected that BOND or LEWIS used drugs.

Investigation on 4/20/87 at Atlanta, Georgia File # Atlanta (AT) 72-192-38

by SA [redacted] tbs Date dictated 4/20/87

b6
b7C

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

GPO : 1986 O - 499-243

Sometime between August 12 through 29, 1986, BOND and LEWIS debated at the office of the Atlanta Journal and Constitution. [redacted] with the newspaper, suggested the candidates take a urinealysis. LEWIS agreed, but BOND refused. The sixth debate between the candidates was held during August, 1986, in the City Hall chambers. The moderators were [redacted] from Atlanta radio station WGST. [redacted] raised the issue of a possible conflict of interest that LEWIS had because LEWIS took a campaign contribution from a lawyer who represented a cable television company. LEWIS thinks [redacted] brought this up because of LEWIS' record as a City Councilman favoring an ethics bill and frequently bringing up the subject of public officials having conflicts of interest. LEWIS took the opportunity to suggest that the candidates take a drug test. Again BOND rejected the idea.

b6
b7C

LEWIS said rumors had been circulating for four to five years that BOND was a drug user and therefore would flunk a urinealysis. LEWIS also heard from different people that BOND had a physical problem that would prevent him from withstanding the riggors of a campaign, and he noticed that BOND had lost a lot of weight. At a parade in the west end section of Atlanta, LEWIS marched the several mile route while BOND had to ride in a vehicle. A similar event occurred in the Buckhead section of Atlanta during the campaign.

LEWIS' [redacted] LEWIS learned after the fact that [redacted] flew up to Washington, D.C., sometime during the campaign in an attempt to find an arrest record for BOND that involved drug possession. LEWIS is not sure who told him that [redacted] had actually made the trip, but he thinks he may have actually learned it from someone in the BOND camp. LEWIS cannot be certain, but it may have been BOND's [redacted] who told him. LEWIS said he would have been told by someone in the opposition about the trip as a way of letting him know that they knew what people in the LEWIS campaign were up to. LEWIS and BOND had no agreements whatsoever during the campaign not to say certain things about each other or not to raise any sensitive issues or questions about their respective private lives.

b6
b7C

The last conversation LEWIS had with Mayor ANDREW YOUNG was sometime in January or February, 1987. On this occasion, LEWIS went by the Mayor's office to see YOUNG about an interview that YOUNG gave to a magazine called Georgia Trend. LEWIS was upset about the tone of YOUNG's comments in the article and he reminded YOUNG that he was now a United States Congressman and would be for at least two years which called for more respect. LEWIS and YOUNG ended the conversation on a good note, and YOUNG commented that he

was concerned about BOND's future, but he did not say why.

LEWIS knows [redacted] and went to him for [redacted] approximately eight to ten years ago. He sees [redacted] only seldom, and when he does, it is usually at a public gathering. The last time LEWIS saw [redacted] was on Thanksgiving Day, 1986, at the CIVIC CENTER where both were helping to serve meals to the poor.

b6
b7C

Memorandum

To : SAC, ATLANTA (72-192)(SQ 10)~~(P)~~ Date 4/28/87

From : SSA [redacted] b6 b7C

Subject : [redacted]
ATLANTA, GEORGIA
OOJ

(OO: ATLANTA)

During past interviews with [redacted] advised he is acquainted with [redacted] and had seen cocaine in [redacted] house. As of 11/4/86, [redacted] was residing at the foregoing address. [redacted] was previously interviewed in connection with Atlanta file 245E-154 captioned [redacted] aka; ET AL; NARCOTICS - OCDE TASK FORCE; OO: CINCINNATI." b6 b7C

By teletype dated 4/23/87, Cincinnati advised that its case has been languishing due to the fact that no AUSA has been assigned to the matter and due to the departure of the previous AUSA more than 18 months ago. That teletype reported that [redacted] aka [redacted], as far as Cincinnati knows, remains as a good potential witness in the Cincinnati cocaine case. b6 b7C

LEAD

ATLANTA

At Atlanta, Georgia:

Will interview [redacted] and determine if he has had any dealings with [redacted] since November, 1986, and if he has any information concerning her narcotics activity. Also determine if [redacted] has any information regarding JULIAN BOND or any Atlanta area government officials being involved in illegal drug activity. b6 b7C

(3) - 72-192
1 - 245C-154
JLC:dhp
(4) dhp

Case assigned to [redacted]

Atlanta lead to 4/28/87

72-192-34

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED DMC FILED ____
APR 28 1987
[redacted] ATLANTA CGT

b6 b7C

FD-302 (REV. 3-10-82)

1,2,3

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 4/20/87

[redacted] was interviewed at the Atlanta Office of the FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION (FBI) where he voluntarily appeared. [redacted] is the [redacted] and his office is located at [redacted] Atlanta, Georgia 30303, telephone number [redacted] [redacted] resides at [redacted] Georgia, telephone number [redacted]. b6 b7C

[redacted] has known [redacted] JULIAN BOND, since [redacted] JULIAN BOND was up for re-election to the GEORGIA STATE SENATE. The two political candidates frequently met on the campaign circuit at such functions as dinners, fund raisers, speeches, etc. [redacted] on these occasions. b6 b7C

[redacted] JULIAN BOND when [redacted] moved to Atlanta, Georgia, [redacted]. The acquaintance began in PASQUAL'S RESTAURANT, a very well-known political hangout located on Martin Luther King Drive, Atlanta. [redacted] and BOND were never tight friends, but they have seen each other from time to time over the years at such places as THE MOUNT MARIAH CHURCH, Ashby and Fayette Streets, Atlanta, Georgia, where BOND was an occasional speaker before different church groups, such as the Men's Club. [redacted] His relationship with BOND never expanded during this ten year period except for those aforementioned occasional contacts. Between 1984 and 1987, [redacted] saw a little bit more of [redacted] only because they were at the same political functions more frequently since [redacted] b6 b7C

Some time before March 18, 1987, probably March 17, 1987, [redacted] was on the way back to his office when his secretary received a telephone call some time in the morning from [redacted] asked the secretary not to tell anyone she had called or to reveal her telephone number to anyone other than [redacted] and to destroy the message when [redacted] came in. When [redacted] showed up at his b6 b7C

Investigation on 4/15/87 at Atlanta, Georgia File # AT 72-192

by SA [redacted] and SA OGH:cmm Date dictated 4/16/87 b6 b7C

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

office at approximately 10:30 a.m., he immediately called [redacted] He asked her what was up and she sounded desperate to talk with him, but she would not do so over the telephone. She also declined to come to [redacted] office, and agreed to pick him up at 1:00 p.m. in front of the FULTON COUNTY COURTHOUSE. [redacted] she trusted him because she had never seen him in any of the wrong circles around town, and also because [redacted] picked [redacted] up at 1:00 p.m. and in the back seat of the car was another woman [redacted] did not know. He also did not remember her name, even though [redacted] introduced her to him. [redacted] described this woman as a black or white female, in [redacted] The three rode in the car down Pryor Street and parked at the end of the street near JOYLAND PARK.

b6 b7C

[redacted] seemed distressed and said she was very concerned and did not know what she was going to do. She [redacted] JULIAN, and she said that [redacted] and this was the first that [redacted] heard about this event. [redacted] continued that JULIAN was [redacted] and she felt sure that [redacted] was supplying JULIAN with drugs. At this time, the name [redacted] did not mean anything to [redacted] said BOND was an [redacted] JULIAN met [redacted] did not know who introduced them. [redacted] but she did not say, [redacted] could remember, how she knew this.

b6 b7C

[redacted] lived, and she said [redacted] namely supplying cocaine to him. According to [redacted] JULIAN now, and she said she felt certain that [redacted] did not say why she felt certain about this. [redacted] primary concern in meeting with [redacted] if he could help figure out a way to get JULIAN

b6 b7C

b6
b7C

there. She suggested the possibility of [redacted] [redacted] explained he could not do this because JULIAN was an adult and would have to make the decision on his own to seek help.

[redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted] told her that she had seen JULIAN with [redacted] but [redacted] did not say how she learned of [redacted] name.

[redacted] the circle of people JULIAN was involved with and named the following individuals that [redacted] could recall:

b6
b7C

[redacted]

At a date and place not mentioned in the conversation, [redacted] that she was present at a party where [redacted] was insistent that she try cocaine. [redacted] did not say whether she saw [redacted] give JULIAN drugs that night. JULIAN spent a lot of time after [redacted] house, and almost every time JULIAN [redacted] he appeared high. Sometimes [redacted] was present at [redacted] JULIAN [redacted] JULIAN [redacted] JULIAN became very hostile. [redacted] that he was a grown man and would do what he wanted to do.

b6
b7C

[redacted] also said that [redacted]

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

[redacted] had a party at her house and only the foregoing people and other select individuals would be invited. [redacted] did not say whether she ever saw [redacted] JULIAN use drugs together.

When BOND ran in the primaries for the UNITED STATES CONGRESS seat during the summer and fall of 1986, [redacted] JULIAN would take cocaine at his campaign headquarters on Courtland Street. The two would go into BOND's campaign office and lock the door, and when they came out, [redacted] noticed they would have a glazed look about them. [redacted] JULIAN [redacted] take cocaine in a car [redacted] but [redacted] does not know whose car it was or who was driving.

b6
b7C

[redacted] described [redacted] as always being in the center of the circle of these cocaine users. [redacted] on one occasion, saw [redacted] JULIAN sitting in a car together in the parking area of JULIAN's campaign headquarters on Courtland Street. [redacted] immediately called [redacted] to tell him that in the law enforcement grapevine, [redacted] had a very bad reputation as being a drug dealer. He suggested to [redacted] that this did not look good for JULIAN to be sitting with him in a car. [redacted] responded, "Okay, Okay, I didn't know that." [redacted] did not know that [redacted] was a cocaine user at this time.)

b6
b7C

[redacted] as a supplier of cocaine at the Georgia State Capital, but she did not say how she knew this or who [redacted] supplied.

b6
b7C

In December, 1986, [redacted] JULIAN was with [redacted] BOND walked away when this happened, but [redacted] did not say how she knew this.

At one point during the conversation between [redacted] the female in the car with them said she knew a person who told her that the coke BOND was using was rotgut and could cause JULIAN to keel over. According to the woman in the car, this unnamed person had sampled some of the stuff JULIAN was using, and she described it as being very powerful and not either stepped on or cut.

b6
b7C

[redacted] said she was afraid about all that she had said because she did not know who to go to, and the people she mentioned had to be protected. She emphasized [redacted] assured her that

he would not jeopardize her safety, but that he could not do anything if BOND, himself, did not want help. He then suggested to her that [redacted] might be the person to talk to since he has known BOND for many, many years. [redacted] replied that [redacted], off cocaine, and that [redacted] is also an addict who uses coke about every two hours. [redacted] said he would be willing to talk with JULIAN if JULIAN was willing, but he explained that he did not know if he had that kind of influence with him [redacted]

b6
b7C

Before [redacted] and the other woman in the car dropped [redacted] off at his office, [redacted] drove to [redacted] a back street that parallels the house, which affords a view to [redacted]

b6
b7C

The foregoing meeting ended at approximately 3:15 p.m., when they drove back to the FULTON COUNTY COURTHOUSE and dropped [redacted] off.

The day after [redacted] and the other unknown lady, he telephone [redacted] a law enforcement officer assigned to METRO DRUG AND VICE. METRO DRUG AND VICE conducts all drug investigations for the FULTON COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE because the latter does not have a squad that investigates drug violations. [redacted] told [redacted] that he had met with [redacted] the day before and gave [redacted] all the details and names that [redacted] had furnished to him. [redacted] said he first wanted to work on the [redacted] house and make a drug case. [redacted] that since [redacted] never said JULIAN was a drug dealer, [redacted] JULIAN's source of cocaine. [redacted] to go to JULIAN and persuade him to seek help.

b6
b7C

About two to three days after the initial conversation with [redacted] made a personal visit to [redacted] office to tell him that [redacted] house [redacted], and that his investigators would try [redacted]

b6
b7C

About a week and one-half went by and [redacted] had no further contact with [redacted] then went out of town some time during the first week of [redacted]

b6
b7C

On Friday, April 10, 1987, at about 1:00 p.m., [redacted] at her home to find out what was going on and how she was doing. [redacted] had already seen the television report by this time. [redacted] sounded

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

[redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted] said he definitely did not call [redacted] at anytime to advise her not to talk with the police or FBI and only spoke with her on the occasions as stated during this interview.

On Friday evening, April 10, 1987, [redacted] was

[redacted]

b6
b7C

After this last telephone conversation with [redacted] ended, [redacted] told him that [redacted] had called. [redacted] returned the call and [redacted] proceeded to tell [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted] and that the cocaine story was not true. [redacted] ended his part of the conversation by saying that he was through with the whole thing, and [redacted] replied that he called only to get his point across. This conversation lasted about five to six minutes.

[redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted] has had no conversations whatsoever with [redacted] since before he met with [redacted] on the first occasion.

Memorandum

To : SAC, ATLANTA (72-192)

Date 4/21/87

From : SA [redacted]

Subject [redacted]

b6
b7C

On 4/21/87, ADA [redacted] Fulton County DA's Office, telephone number [redacted] advised as follows:

[redacted] on [redacted]

b6
b7C

and [redacted] defaulted after the [redacted]

[redacted]

b6
b7C

It is recommended that an SA contact [redacted] in detail and attempt to identify [redacted]

72-192-38

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED ____ FILED ____

APR 27 1987

FBI-ATLANTA

CC: 3 72-192
1-29B-4820

Memorandum

To : SAC, ATLANTA (72-192)

Date 4/23/87

From : SA [redacted]

b6
b7C

Subject : [redacted]

OO 3

On [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D

72-192-39

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED ____ FILED ____
APR 23 1987
FBI — ATLANTA

2-72-192

FD-302 (REV. 3-10-82)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1

Date of transcription 4/21/87

Atlanta Police Officer [redacted] was interviewed at the Atlanta office of the FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION (FBI). He was advised of the purpose of the interview and he thereafter furnished the following information: b6 b7C

[redacted] resides at [redacted] Georgia, [redacted]

[redacted] became an Atlanta police officer in [redacted] Sometime around [redacted] b6 b7C

When [redacted] he was told that the assignment was generally for 90 days, but Captain [redacted] and Deputy Chief [redacted] told him that it could be extended depending on his production, particularly the number of arrest he made. [redacted] said the chain of command for [redacted] is as follows: Sergeant [redacted] Lieutenant [redacted] Captain [redacted] Major HERMAN GRINER, Chief MORRIS REDDING, and Commissioner of Public Safety GEORGE NAPPER. b6 b7C

Between his initial drug assignment and March 19, 1987, [redacted] and his partner [redacted] were working on a number of big drug cases that included preparation to take down a major drug manufacturing laboratory in west Georgia and an investigation involving distribution of drugs to minors. The latter investigation was being handled by the United States Attorney's Office, Northern District of Georgia, with Assistant United States Attorney (AUSA) [redacted] assigned to the case. [redacted] made about 60 arrests during his assignment [redacted] but admittedly many of these were for small cases like possession of a controlled substance, public drunkenness, etcetera. [redacted] b6 b7C

On March 19, 1987, [redacted] were in their office at 2001 Martin Luther King Drive, Atlanta, working a 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. shift. Located in this building

Investigation on 4/17/87 at Atlanta, Georgia File # Atlanta (AT) 72-192 — 40

by SA [redacted] SA [redacted] tbs Date dictated 4/17/87 b6 b7C

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

GPO : 1986 O - 499-243

b6
b7C

in addition to the Narcotics Unit is the Vice Squad, Organized Crime Squad, and Intelligence Unit. At approximately 2:00 p.m., an unknown black female, unaccompanied, walked into the Narcotics Area and stood there looking around. Only [redacted] and Sergeant [redacted] were present. [redacted] was on his way to the men's room at the time and asked her if he could help her. The woman said she wanted to report someone using narcotics and cocaine. [redacted] brought her over to [redacted] where there was a chair next to [redacted] desk. [redacted] did not know who the woman was at this time and did not ask her who she was in order to put her at ease. The woman appeared to be somewhat apprehensive because of the unfamiliar surroundings. The woman told [redacted] that she had [redacted] when the woman started to talk about narcotics, [redacted] told her that she should go to the Narcotics Unit at 2001 Martin Luther King Drive.

b6
b7C

[redacted] began to debrief the woman about what she knew and [redacted] returned shortly from the mens room to join in the interview. Sergeant [redacted] desk was alongside [redacted] but it did not appear that she was paying attention to the interview because of work she was engaged in.

b6
b7C

About three to five minutes into the interview, Lieutenant [redacted] walked by the area. On this particular day, [redacted] was [redacted] because everyone else above him was off. [redacted] was looking over a partition and talking to [redacted] about a dog shooting the day before when he noticed the woman sitting there. He looked at her and asked, "Don't I know you?", and the woman replied, "I don't think so." Their recognition was less than friendly and seemed very tense. [redacted] then called [redacted] over to him and the woman looked a little startled. The woman said for anyone who could hear, "I don't like this," and expressed concern that there was talk about her.

b6
b7C

[redacted] asked [redacted] if he knew who the woman was and [redacted] said he did not. [redacted] then told him it was [redacted] replied, "So." [redacted] said it was [redacted] acknowledged this and [redacted] then said he had to go to the Chief's staff meeting, that he would be

b6
b7C

back at approximately 4:00 p.m. to 4:30 p.m. and that [redacted] should wait so [redacted] could talk with her when he returned. [redacted] then told [redacted] that [redacted] were working on [redacted] told [redacted] he preferred to continue the interview and that he [redacted] would get with Lieutenant [redacted] about any conflict. [redacted] told [redacted] that [redacted] seemed willing to talk to him. [redacted] walked over to the cubicle and asked [redacted] if she would talk to him and [redacted] said no. [redacted] said he could help her, but [redacted] replied that [redacted] knew some of the people she was talking about. [redacted] smiled and said [redacted] shook her head and said yes. [redacted] told [redacted] to make sure they got together when he came back from his meeting, and [redacted] then left. (A few days later, [redacted] went to [redacted] office to ask what information he had on [redacted] and et al. [redacted] never mentioned he tape recorded the interview with [redacted] asked what names were involved and [redacted] told him some of the names. [redacted] would not let [redacted] see the file and did not say why he would not. He told [redacted] his unit was going to work the case involving some of the named individuals a while longer and then give it to [redacted] responded that it would be political suicide for [redacted] to work such a case and [redacted] agreed.)

b6
b7C

[redacted] immediately went to Lieutenant [redacted] office after [redacted] left and while [redacted] sat there. He told [redacted] about his conversation with [redacted] and [redacted] told [redacted] to take [redacted] into the conference room, lock the door, and tape record the interview. He also said he would make sure that no one bothered them. [redacted] then took [redacted] to the conference room and in her presence, [redacted] telephoned Special Agent (SA) [redacted] of the FBI on a secure telephone. He told [redacted] that [redacted] was present furnishing information on drug activity and possible public corruption. [redacted] invited [redacted] to come to the office to participate in the interview, but [redacted] could not come at that time.

b6
b7C

As soon as [redacted] hung up the telephone, there was a knock at the door and when [redacted] opened it, Detective [redacted] was there and asked, "What do you have going, [redacted] told him he had an interview going and [redacted] asked if he could join. [redacted] said no and shut the door behind him with [redacted] and him standing outside the conference room. [redacted] then walked over to Lieutenant [redacted] office

b6
b7C

to tell him it was getting ridiculous with other people trying to steal his witness. [redacted] told [redacted] to do the interview, tape it, and that he would ensure there would be no more interruptions. All of [redacted] comments were made in front of [redacted] walked off after the conversation was over. During all of this time, [redacted] was with [redacted] alone in the conference room. b6 b7C

[redacted] went back into the conference room with [redacted] and turned the tape recorder on. [redacted] told [redacted] that she did not have to talk with anyone else and that he would ensure no more interruptions occurred. The only people who are heard on the tape are [redacted] b6 b7C

At approximately 4:00 p.m., the interview ended. [redacted] had parked her [redacted] in the parking lot and [redacted] accompanied her to her car. [redacted] realized she left her keys on [redacted] desk when they arrived at her car, so [redacted] asked a Detective who happened to be in the parking area himself to radio [redacted] to get the keys. [redacted] and [redacted] talked unrecorded for about 15 minutes more while they waited for the keys to be brought down. [redacted] and [redacted] walked out of the building together and [redacted] walked over to [redacted] and asked her if he could talk with her. [redacted] said no and [redacted] became pushy and insisted that she talk with him. [redacted] covered herself by saying that the other detectives could not help her so she doubted that [redacted] could help her to. [redacted] drove off and [redacted] walked back to the building. [redacted] asked [redacted] what [redacted] had told him and [redacted] indicated he also had some information. [redacted] did not ask him any questions, and just told [redacted] that [redacted] was not any help. [redacted] said that this was a lie. [redacted] later learned from [redacted] when he returned to the office that [redacted] had been looking out the window towards the parking area and saw Detective (FIRST NAME UNKNOWN) (FNU) [redacted] write [redacted] tag number down as she drove off. [redacted] did not witness this himself. b6 b7C

After [redacted] went back to his office, he and [redacted] talked about the interview. [redacted] then gave the tape recording to [redacted] made copies in front of him. [redacted] thinks [redacted] made four copies, but he is not sure, and [redacted] later told [redacted] he only made three. The tapes were duplicated one at a time and the process took about 20 minutes. [redacted] gave [redacted] the original tape which [redacted] marked for evidence, a copy went to [redacted] b6 b7C

kept the remaining two copies of which one he would designate for Captain [redacted] said he was going to take his tape home and listen to it that night. [redacted] called [redacted] at home that night to ask him what he thought of it and [redacted] said he did not want anyone in the department or the Chief to hear about the tape because of [redacted] manner of questioning, referring probably to [redacted] showing the police photo album to [redacted] said though if he was ordered to give up the tape he would have to. [redacted] said the only people who knew of the tape recording on March 19, 1987, were him, [redacted] Sergeant [redacted] [redacted] and possibly Lieutenant [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted] had agreed with [redacted] to get back with her on Monday, March 23, 1987. The two could not meet her any sooner because of the Garcia labor restriction concerning the number of hours a government employee can work without compensation. [redacted] does not know for certain, but he thinks that [redacted] indicated to him that both he and Lieutenant [redacted] had called Chief REDDING that day to advise him of the interview.

b6
b7C

On Friday, March 20, 1987, [redacted] tried calling [redacted] all day, but he either got a recording or someone, a male and sometimes a female, who would say she was out each time. [redacted] also called [redacted] after getting this telephone number from [redacted] identifying himself as [redacted] and expressing the urgent need to talk with [redacted] to be sure she was okay. The female said she would tell [redacted] never did get back with him that day and he also met with negative results on Saturday and Sunday when he tried calling. The people who answered [redacted] phone kept saying she was out and would not indicate how she was doing or provide any other information.

b6
b7C

On Monday, March 23, 1987, Major GRINER called [redacted] into his office. Lieutenant [redacted] was also present. GRINER asked what had happened in the week that GRINER had been off, and [redacted] kidded with him about killing the dog. GRINER said he was referring to [redacted] told him that [redacted] had come in and given names about cocaine traffickers and users. GRINER asked who she named and [redacted] furnished some of the names he could remember: [redacted] [redacted] JULIAN BOND, [redacted] but he could not really remember all the names that [redacted] had given to him. GRINER told [redacted] that the ATLANTA POLICE DEPARTMENT (APD) did

b6
b7C

not work cases involving public officials, and that these matters were handled by the Fulton County District Attorney's Office. [redacted] responded that they were not looking at public officials directly, and he suggested that GRINER should talk with Captain [redacted] about the case. [redacted] later told [redacted] that GRINER never did discuss this matter with him. [redacted] also later on asked [redacted] about APD not working cases involving public officials, and [redacted] told him there was a directive concerning this. When [redacted] asked to see it, [redacted] said it was confidential. Captain [redacted] told [redacted] he was unaware of such a policy or directive.

b6
b7C

On Tuesday morning, March 24, 1987, [redacted] spoke with [redacted] over the telephone who [redacted] thinks may have been [redacted] The female said [redacted] had left the house to catch up with [redacted] who took [redacted] out to [redacted] [redacted] asked if [redacted] was with [redacted] and she said she did not know. The female said JULIAN had just [redacted]

b6
b7C

On Tuesday evening, March 24, 1987, [redacted] told [redacted] that he should go to [redacted] in the undercover taxi cab. [redacted] went there at about 10:30 p.m. after [redacted] made one last call and received a response that [redacted] was at the store, but would be back in 15 minutes. When [redacted] drove by [redacted] saw [redacted] parked in the driveway. They did a surveillance for about 15 minutes, and then [redacted] went to the door while [redacted] waited in the car for safety purposes. When [redacted] knocked on the door, [redacted] people looked out the window. [redacted] called [redacted] to join him after about six to seven minutes because [redacted] was there. [redacted] admitted she [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted] explained that they were concerned for her and he asked if she would meet with two good friends of his without referring to them at this point as being FBI Agents. [redacted] agreed to meet with [redacted] and his two friends at 11:00 a.m. the next day. He told [redacted] after a while that the other two persons were FBI Special Agents. [redacted] would pick her up in the taxi and they would go to a hotel room for the interview. Before [redacted] and [redacted] left, [redacted] said that JULIAN had [redacted] JULIAN indicated [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted] did not appear to be upset though when she said this. She described JULIAN as real quiet and withdrawn. JULIAN never did come out and say what he really wanted. According to [redacted] this was JULIAN's [redacted] At about 10:45 p.m., [redacted] went home. [redacted] called the FBI switchboard and left a message for Supervisor [redacted] and SA [redacted] about the 11:00 a.m. meeting the following day.

b6
b7C

On Wednesday, March 25, 1987, [redacted] telephoned [redacted] between 9:30 a.m. and 9:45 a.m. [redacted] answered the telephone and [redacted] said they were running a little late and asked her if they could meet later on. [redacted] said she was glad because she needed time to dry her hair. [redacted] suggested 1:00 p.m. and [redacted] said this would be fine. He told her that [redacted] would pick her up in the cab. Captain [redacted] was present during this telephone call. After this brief conversation, [redacted] and Captain [redacted] came to the FBI office. After a conference with FBI Agents, [redacted] went back to his office for some unknown reason. He ran into [redacted] around 12:25 p.m. to 12:30 p.m. [redacted] asked [redacted] where he was going and what he was doing. According to [redacted] he told [redacted] about meeting with the FBI and picking [redacted] up. [redacted] seemed displeased, but did not say anything further. SA [redacted] and Captain [redacted] at the RADISON HOTEL on Howell Mill Road, Atlanta, and SA [redacted] joined them a little later. [redacted] went onto pick [redacted] up. [redacted] and Captain [redacted] waited in the car for a radio call from [redacted] that they were on the way, and when this was confirmed at a little after 1:00 p.m., [redacted] and Captain [redacted] went to the room in the RADISON where SAs [redacted] were waiting.

b6
b7C

When [redacted] arrived, [redacted] told [redacted] he needed to talk with him "now." [redacted] had already started a conversation with [redacted] when [redacted] made this interruption, and [redacted] ignored [redacted] so [redacted] pulled SA [redacted] aside. When they returned, SA [redacted] said to [redacted] that he understood [redacted] had just received a telephone call. [redacted] refused to identify the caller who she described as a personal friend. The caller told [redacted] that she should not talk with law enforcement officials because it would blow the lid off the black political structure, and that the FBI was not interested in drugs, but in public corruption. The caller also said talking would hurt her more than anyone else. [redacted] was disturbed that the caller knew she was coming to see the FBI and the police. She said she wanted to find out if JULIAN asked the caller to contact her or

b6
b7C

if the person called on his own. At about 3:30 p.m., [redacted] took [redacted] home and [redacted] and Captain [redacted] went back to the office.

b6
b7C

At about 6:00 to 6:30 p.m., [redacted] called the office and [redacted] answered. [redacted] told [redacted] she had received a bunch of telephone calls, that she was through with it, and that the callers told her the police were using her. The conversation was short and [redacted] hung up.

Captain [redacted] told [redacted] that they should not contact [redacted] anymore because they had enough information to begin an investigation on the names she furnished. [redacted] and [redacted] had been keeping notes on a legal pad locked in their desks. They had not reduced anything to writing yet, because they were afraid the investigation would leak out.

b6
b7C

On the morning of Tuesday, March 31, 1987, [redacted] told [redacted] that Lieutenant [redacted] told him to tell [redacted] to write a report. About an hour later, [redacted] told [redacted] in person that Major GRINER wanted a report as soon as possible for the chief. [redacted] asked if he should write it through the chain of command and [redacted] said no-write it directly to the Chief. [redacted] compiled a brief synopsis from his and [redacted] notes and [redacted] wrote the intelligence report which was attached. At about 12:00 p.m., Major GRINER came over and watched [redacted] type it. GRINER offered to have his secretary type it, but [redacted] declined because he does all of his own typing. He told GRINER he would have the report finished in about ten minutes and would leave a copy of the report with him. GRINER said fine. At about 12:30 p.m., [redacted] finished the report and gave the original to [redacted] to review, initial, and make copies for Captain [redacted] GRINER, and one each for himself and [redacted] When [redacted] returned with the copies, [redacted] marked them with a red stamp to identify the documents as confidential, ATLANTA POLICE DEPARTMENT. [redacted] left a copy with Lieutenant [redacted] personally, put one in Captain [redacted] box, and left a copy on Major GRINER's desk. He had the original in his hand and met Major GRINER on the elevator as he was leaving the building to go to police headquarters to give Chief MORRIS REDDING the original of the report. He told Major GRINER that he had left a copy on GRINER's desk for him. When [redacted] arrived at ATLANTA POLICE headquarters, he gave the report to Chief REDDING's secretary.

b6
b7C

About one to two hours later, [redacted] pager beeped. It was [redacted] and he said that Major GRINER was complaining that he had not received his copy of the report, and that GRINER was supposed to carry the original to the Chief personally. [redacted] told [redacted] he left GRINER's report on GRINER's desk and had taken the original to the Chief himself. Approximately 15 minutes later, [redacted] was beeped again. It was [redacted] who told [redacted] to call Major GRINER. [redacted] called him, but Lieutenant [redacted] answered the telephone. [redacted] asked where GRINER's report was and [redacted] said it should be on his desk. GRINER then came to the telephone and complained that [redacted] was supposed to have given him the original so he could take it to the Chief and he asked where his copy of the report was. [redacted] ended up making a copy for GRINER, and to this date, [redacted] does not know what happened to the report he left on GRINER's desk.

b6
b7C

On Wednesday, April 1, 1987, [redacted] ran into Major GRINER's secretary in the narcotics building and she told [redacted] she heard he was "going back." This was understood to mean going back to uniform patrol. [redacted] was surprised and told [redacted] immediately. The two went directly to Captain [redacted] told Captain [redacted] what GRINER's secretary had just told him. Captain [redacted] and [redacted] went to Major GRINER's office and when they arrived, GRINER was on the telephone. [redacted] left because he knew he could get very emotional over this. Major GRINER told [redacted] that [redacted] had been transferred. At this point, according to [redacted] Major GRINER and Captain [redacted] got into a shouting match so [redacted] left. [redacted] did not see [redacted] for about one and one-half to two hours after, and when Captain [redacted] went to [redacted] desks, he confirmed that not only they had been transferred, but that he also had been transferred.

b6
b7C

[redacted] was the administrative aid to Deputy Chief [redacted] Within a week of April 1, 1987, [redacted] called [redacted] from the narcotics office to find out what was going on. [redacted] did not know [redacted] well, but they had talked on occasion and an individual name [redacted] suggested to [redacted] that he talk with [redacted] about the transfers. [redacted] asked where he was going and told [redacted] he had not received his orders yet. [redacted] responded that the orders were in front of him and that they were being transferred because they were about to embarrass many people, and that it was getting too political. At this point, [redacted] turned on a type recorder and asked [redacted] the same questions.

b6
b7C

The next day [redacted] called [redacted] back in the presence of [redacted] Captain [redacted] and Sergeant [redacted] who works for [redacted] and who just happened to walk in. [redacted] told [redacted] he still had not received his orders and asked if [redacted] was sure he was being transferred. [redacted] said he was, that the orders were in front of him. [redacted] restated that [redacted] had not pissed people off, but that it was political. [redacted] asked if he was speculating and [redacted] said no, that he overheard it. [redacted] did not ask who he heard it from. This conversation was also recorded on the same tape as the previous day, and the tape was turned over to SA [redacted]

b6
b7C

Lieutenant [redacted] took a copy of [redacted] tape to Fulton County Assistant District Attorney [redacted] somewhere around the eighth or tenth of April, 1987. [redacted] saw [redacted] at the courthouse and [redacted] told him about [redacted] giving him a copy of the tape. [redacted] then handed [redacted] a copy of the memorandum he had prepared. [redacted] does not know why [redacted] gave a copy of the tape to [redacted] but he knows that [redacted] has a lot of respect for [redacted] Shortly thereafter, possibly the same day or the next, [redacted] came to court to find [redacted] He told [redacted] that the Mayor wanted [redacted] copy of the [redacted] tape. [redacted] was instructed to give the tape to Major GRINER. [redacted] went to a nearby telephone and in the presence of Assistant District Attorney [redacted] called Major GRINER. GRINER asked [redacted] if he had a copy of the tape and [redacted] asked which tape. GRINER replied the [redacted] tape and [redacted] said he did not have a copy of it. He told GRINER that he had given all the tapes to the FBI and after a pause, GRINER said okay.

b6
b7C

After this telephone call, [redacted] went to [redacted] office and asked [redacted] if he had a copy of the tape. [redacted] said he had had a copy, but within the hour [redacted] had come to get the tape to get a copy, but he did not say for whom. [redacted] does not know if [redacted] ever returned the tape to [redacted] On the same day, Assistant District Attorney [redacted] was prosecuting one of [redacted] cases. [redacted] told [redacted] that [redacted] should not have given the tape to [redacted] since the tape was in state custody. On April 1 or 2, 1987, [redacted] called [redacted] of Administrative Services Division. [redacted] asked [redacted] about his transfer. [redacted] said he had inquired about it and had been told by the Chief that it was a routine transfer. According to [redacted] that was all he knew about it.

b6
b7C

Sometime after [redacted] received his transfer, he was approached by Detective [redacted] partner. [redacted] asked [redacted] for a copy of the [redacted] tape for his collection. [redacted] did not get a good feeling about this request, so he told [redacted] he did not have a copy. [redacted] said he could not believe [redacted] would do this to him and seemed insulted that [redacted] would not give him a copy. [redacted] thinks it is possible that Major GRINER had [redacted] ask [redacted] for a copy of the tape.)

b6
b7C

FD-302 (REV. 3-10-82)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1

Date of transcription 4/27/87

Atlanta Police Lieutenant [redacted] voluntarily appeared at the Atlanta Office of the FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION (FBI). He was shown the identification of the interviewing Agents, advised of the purpose of the interview, and he, thereafter, furnished the following information:

b6
b7C

[redacted] is assigned to [redacted] His office telephone number is [redacted] He resides at [redacted]

[redacted] joined the ATLANTA POLICE DEPARTMENT (APD) on [redacted] He began his assignment with [redacted] in [redacted] and was transferred to his current assignment in [redacted]

b6
b7C

Police Officer [redacted] transferred into [redacted] in [redacted] and Police Officer [redacted] came to the unit sometime before that, around [redacted]

On March 19, 1987, sometime in the afternoon, [redacted] stepped from his office [redacted] approached him immediately and asked him if he knew who [redacted] was. [redacted] said no and [redacted] told him it was [redacted] asked why she was there and [redacted] replied to furnish information on people who are [redacted] [redacted] gave [redacted] a quick briefing on the information [redacted] was furnishing, which included [redacted] telling the interviewing officers about [redacted] instructed [redacted] to interview her and find out what she had to say. [redacted] was in the conference room with [redacted] at this time and this was the first he actually learned of her presence.

b6
b7C

[redacted] further instructed [redacted] to tape the interview, and [redacted] then went to his office to get a tape recorder. When he returned to the conference room with a machine to give to [redacted] he noticed [redacted] on the telephone. He asked him who he was talking to and [redacted] said the FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION (FBI). [redacted] told [redacted] to find out

b6
b7C

Investigation on 4/23/87 at Atlanta, Georgia File # Atlanta (AT) 72-192

by SA [redacted] SA [redacted] tbs Date dictated 4/23/87

b6
b7C

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

GPO : 1986 O - 499-243

what [redacted] had to say first before calling everyone in the world. He then left the area and [redacted] went into the conference room to do the interview. b6 b7C

Sometime during the beginning of the interview, [redacted] received a telephone call from Lieutenant [redacted] said he wanted to interview [redacted] when he returned from Headquarters. [redacted] told him the interview just started and that she would probably still be there when he returned. [redacted] had no problem with [redacted] talking to [redacted]

During the interview, [redacted] came out twice to ask [redacted] questions. The first time [redacted] told him that [redacted] had taken a [redacted] b6 b7C

[redacted] left the conference room and called Fulton County Assistant District Attorney [redacted] who may not have been in at that time, but by the next day [redacted] talked to him and [redacted] said he would contact the Sheriff's Office to have them [redacted] has known [redacted] for over ten years and they have worked closely together on many cases during this period. [redacted] was the logical person to call because [redacted] b6 b7C

[redacted] noticed at one point during the interview [redacted] was talking with Detective [redacted] but he did not hear what they were saying. [redacted] came over to [redacted] and told him [redacted] did not want talk with anyone else, so [redacted] instructed [redacted] to finish the interview and that [redacted] could talk with her when they were through if she wanted to talk with him. b6 b7C

Another interruption occurred when [redacted] left the conference room to talk to [redacted] about a disagreement he was having with [redacted] concerning whether they should do a [redacted] He asked her if

b6
b7C

[redacted]

[redacted] did not see [redacted] leave the office, but he saw [redacted] in the parking lot from the window in his office. Detective [redacted] was walking alongside [redacted] as she was going to her car in an attempt to talk to her. [redacted] also saw Detective [redacted] in the parking lot, and it looked like [redacted] was coming from an area of parked cars to the office. [redacted] did not walk over to [redacted] did not see [redacted] write down any license plates or otherwise take special notice of [redacted] or her vehicle. [redacted] did not know that [redacted] had forgotten her car keys and had been waiting in the parking lot for [redacted] to bring them down. He only noticed her walking to the car as stated.

b6
b7C

Sometime during the interview or thereafter, [redacted] called Chieff MORRIS REDDING directly to brief him on [redacted] presence and what she had said. REDDING was in a meeting so [redacted] left a message. [redacted] did not tell anyone that he tried to call the Chief. Lieutenant [redacted] was in the staff meeting with REDDING at the time [redacted] tried to call. When [redacted] returned from the staff meeting he asked [redacted] if [redacted] was still there and [redacted] said he thought she was gone. Based on what [redacted] told [redacted], [redacted] told [redacted] did not want to talk with anyone else. [redacted] told [redacted] that Detectives [redacted] were working on [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted] thinks [redacted] expressed reluctance to talk with [redacted] because she had seen him before. [redacted] is on [redacted] [redacted] explained to [redacted] that this was [redacted] job, and [redacted] said that she had no information about [redacted]

b6
b7C

When [redacted] returned to the office from the parking lot, he gave the tape recorder back to [redacted] broke the tabs on the tape to prevent the tape from being erased, and [redacted] told him he needed to transcribe the tape. [redacted] then got a duplication machine and made three copies of the tape. [redacted] was in

b6
b7C

and out during this time as the tapes were high speed duplicated, and [redacted] said he is positive that only three copies were made. He gave [redacted] the original and one copy, and the other two copies were locked in [redacted] desk. [redacted] explained that the original tape usually stays with the case file and the duplicate is used as a work copy.

That evening [redacted] called [redacted] to ask him if he had listened to the tape. [redacted] said he had and told [redacted] that he did not think [redacted] gave them a whole lot to work with. He also told [redacted] that [redacted] should not have told [redacted] not to talk with anyone else. Also during the evening, [redacted] beeped Captain [redacted] to inform him of what had happened, and when [redacted] returned the call he advised [redacted] that [redacted] had already briefed him and given him the original tape. [redacted] expressed his opinion to to [redacted] that he did not think there was anything concrete on the politicans except their use of cocaine. [redacted] instructed [redacted] to direct all inquiries on the case to him, that he would handle it, and that [redacted] would target [redacted] The conversation ended after [redacted] said he would get a copy of the tape from [redacted]

b6
b7C

On March 20, 1987, [redacted] saw [redacted] and gave him the copy of the tape, and [redacted] told [redacted] he had given the original to the FBI. [redacted] did not say why he gave the tape to the FBI and [redacted] did not ask.

b6
b7C

Sometime after [redacted] left or the next day, [redacted] asked [redacted] what he had with regard to the information she provided. [redacted] said he was going to get five statements from people [redacted] mentioned: a signed statement from [redacted] herself, [redacted] was then going to arrest JULIAN BOND, and he said it was going to be a "historical" case. [redacted] said he would not arrest JULIAN BOND without physical evidence with the basis of the arrest being the statements of five biased individuals. [redacted] disagreed and [redacted] could tell he was upset.

When [redacted] later talked with [redacted] about what [redacted] wanted to do, [redacted] also said it would be a historical case. [redacted] told [redacted] he felt they should have physical evidence, and while [redacted] agreed with this, he also said they could make a case with the statements alone. [redacted] had no further discussion with [redacted] after the foregoing conversaton. Based on [redacted] telling [redacted] he would handle everything from now on, [redacted] had nothing more to do

b6
b7C

with the case.

On March 20, 1987, Chief REDDING called [redacted] to return [redacted] call from the previous day. [redacted] briefed him concerning [redacted] statement to police concerning [redacted] and "several other politicians." [redacted] did not name these politicians and Chief REDDING did not ask. [redacted] said the statements against these people did not amount to enough to make any arrests, and that [redacted] He told the Chief they were going to investigate the allegations. REDDING just said to keep him informed, and he did not indicate at all that he may have already been briefed on the matter. b6 b7C

On March 23, 1987, [redacted] met in Major HERMAN GRINER's office with [redacted] does not remember how he was contacted to be there. GRINER had returned to work after being absent for several days and he wanted an update on the [redacted] investigation. [redacted] has no recollection that GRINER said that the APD does not work public corruption cases. GRINER did say that cases involving public officials would normally go to the District Attorney's Office first. According to [redacted] there is no APD policy that he is aware of prohibiting the police from investigating public officials. [redacted] never told [redacted] that there is such a policy of not working public officials and he is not aware of a confidential memorandum of such nature. b6 b7C

On the morning of March 25, 1987, [redacted] was out of the office picking up the payroll checks for [redacted] He knew that [redacted] and [redacted] were out of the office too. At some point that morning when [redacted] returned, [redacted] told him he was going to pick [redacted] up to meet with [redacted] just assumed that the FBI would also be there because [redacted] had previously given the FBI the tape. When [redacted] left the building, [redacted] heard [redacted] get on the radio and call [redacted] asked [redacted] if he had picked [redacted] up yet and [redacted] said he was on the way. [redacted] then gave detailed directions to [redacted] over the air on how to get to the motel and where the interview would be held. [redacted] was concerned that this sensitive information was being broadcast to anyone who could pick it up over the air waves. b6 b7C

[redacted] did not learn anything that day about the interview and [redacted] does not recall seeing [redacted] anytime after they met with [redacted] did not brief [redacted] on the events either.

Between March 26 through 31, 1987, [redacted] that [redacted] had received a telephone call from someone which caused [redacted] not to want to talk with anyone anymore. [redacted] had no reaction since he was not involved in the case, and [redacted] would tell him if he needed to know something about it. b6 b7C

On March 31, 1987, Major GRINER called [redacted] to ask where [redacted] was. [redacted] said he did not know, but that he was not in the office. This occurred at about 10:00 a.m. GRINER told [redacted] to have [redacted] prepare a report so he could brief the Chief. As soon as [redacted] hung up the phone, he walked out to where [redacted] were sitting and said, "[redacted] do a report so the Major can brief the Chief on your investigation." Sometime around 3:00 to 4:00 p.m., [redacted] walked into [redacted] office as he was leaving work for the day to go to school. He handed [redacted] a copy of the report, but he did not make one for Sergeant [redacted] told [redacted] he had already delivered the report to the Chief's office. [redacted] response was complete surprise that [redacted] just took it straight to the Chief's office and bypassed him and GRINER. [redacted] said he put the report in an envelope and left it with [redacted] b6 b7C

[redacted] told [redacted] he made copies of the report for [redacted] and himself. [redacted] thinks he sarcastically commented that the Major might want to see the report too, and [redacted] said he would give him a copy or words to that effect. [redacted] had used a stamp that read, "Confidential ATLANTA POLICE BUREAU" which is not an official APD stamp. [redacted] checked all the official stamps the APD uses to be sure that the one [redacted] used was not in fact official. All the copies of the report were marked with this stamp in red ink. [redacted] put his copy in his file drawer with only a glance at the first page. b6 b7C

Sometime after [redacted] left, GRINER called him and asked if [redacted] was there. [redacted] said [redacted] had gone and that [redacted] should leave a copy of the report with him so he could brief the Chief. GRINER said he had not gotten his copy. [redacted] immediately told [redacted] to beep [redacted] and he then walked to GRINER's office. He told GRINER that [redacted] had given him a copy, that [redacted] said he was going to give GRINER a copy too, and that [redacted] had brought the report directly to the Chief. GRINER was angry he had been bypassed.

[redacted] called [redacted] on the communications line in b6 b7C

GRINER's office to say that [redacted] told him he left the report on the middle of GRINER's desk. GRINER said it was not there and [redacted] asked [redacted] who was still on the telephone, where it was. [redacted] then asked [redacted] to ask [redacted] who was present when he left the report on GRINER's desk and [redacted] said nobody. In [redacted] presence, GRINER then called [redacted] and asked her if she saw the report, and she said no. [redacted] immediately made a copy of his report and gave the one with the red stamp to GRINER and kept the new copy for himself. [redacted] filed his copy away.

b6
b7C

[redacted] described [redacted] and [redacted] as being well below the [redacted] average in making arrests. Sergeant [redacted] had previuosly complained about [redacted] performance concerning the excessive amount of time [redacted] spent on little cases, that he did not make enough arrests, and that he would not participate on search warrants with other people in the unit. [redacted] had once told [redacted] that [redacted] did not want to jeopardize his integrity by participating in other officer's search warrants. [redacted] said he could count on one hand the number of warrants [redacted] had assisted on. [redacted] and [redacted] also did not work on the lead sheets based on citizen complaints. This latter assignment was required of every detective and plain clothes officer (PCO) assigned to the unit.

b6
b7C

Sometime in December, 1986, or January, 1987, [redacted] submitted a memorandum to Major GRINER requesting that all PCOs be made a detective. [redacted] argued with [redacted] that he thought this would prevent him from getting rid of dead wood and problem PCOs. [redacted] did not show [redacted] a copy of the memorandum, but he saw a copy later because [redacted] had made one available to all the PCOs. This plan was never approved.

b6
b7C

On about April 1, 1987, in a meeting in GRINER's office, [redacted] selected five persons to be transferred to [redacted] He also chose five PCOs presently assigned to [redacted] that he wanted to retain based on their performance. [redacted] had the lowest production of all the PCOs in the unit, and this production included street details and warrant assists. On March 30, 1987, [redacted] had already been told he was under transfer, and [redacted] told [redacted] he was happy about it. [redacted] had been very unhappy with his assignment in [redacted] and this unhappiness included his intense dislike for Major GRINER.

b6
b7C

GRINER honored [redacted] request completely and he sent the list up to Deputy Chief [redacted] for approval before going to Chief REDDING for the final approval. [redacted] did not tell anyone about the transfers on April 1, 1987, because they were not

final at this point.

b6
b7C

On April 2, 1987, GRINER gave [redacted] a copy of the written order which showed [redacted] going out. This was the first time that [redacted] learned that Sergeant [redacted] had also been transferred. [redacted] had not requested [redacted] transfer, but he said that [redacted] had trouble dealing with people. Overall, [redacted] felt that [redacted] did what she was supposed to do and reported back to him as required. [redacted] had next to nothing to do with the [redacted] investigation and her only contact with the case was during the first few moments when [redacted] appeared at [redacted] on March 19, 1987.

[redacted] was at an inservice during the week that [redacted] received the transfer letter from GRINER, so he could not notify her about her transfer and those of [redacted] He notified the other Sergeants to tell the people under them about their respective transfers. Before [redacted] could tell [redacted] about their transfers, [redacted] came into his office to complain that he had been transferred. [redacted] held a copy of the [redacted] tape in his hand and said that the investigation would not end here and could get out. [redacted] told him it probably should not. This was the extent of the conversation.

b6
b7C

On April 8, 1987, [redacted] was in the office of Fulton County Assistant District Attorney [redacted] This was during a trial that [redacted] was involved in. [redacted] had already given [redacted] a copy of the confidential memorandum according to what [redacted] told [redacted] [redacted] asked [redacted] if he could have a copy of the tape so [redacted] gave him his copy without making an extra copy for himself to keep.

b6
b7C

On April 9, 1987, [redacted] was in the area of GRINER's office, and GRINER asked him if he had a copy of the tape. [redacted] said that he had given his only copy to [redacted] GRINER asked if [redacted] could get a copy for him and [redacted] said yes. GRINER asked for two copies, and GRINER did not tell [redacted] why he wanted them and [redacted] did not ask.

b6
b7C

On April 10, 1987, [redacted] made the two copies of the tape after borrowing it from [redacted] He gave the two copies to GRINER and returned the other tape to [redacted] From one of the two copies he had given GRINER, he made a third copy for GRINER at GRINER's request, which GRINER said was for Internal Affairs.

b6
b7C

[redacted] did not discuss the [redacted] investigation with anyone outside of the police department and only discussed it with those individuals stated in this interview. He does not know who advised the mayor about the investigation and he did not know who [redacted] (phonetic) was until this case broke in the news media.

FORMS.TEXT HAS 1 DOCUMENT

INBOX.1 (#347)

TEXT:

VZCZCWF0036

RR HQ AT

DE WF #0036 1250004

ZNR UUUUU

R 050110Z MAY 87

FM FBI WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE (72-306) ~~(P)~~ (C-2)

TO DIRECTOR FBI ROUTINE

FBI ATLANTA (72-192) ROUTINE

BT

UNCLAS

[redacted] ATLANTA, GEORGIA; OOJ; OO:ATLANTA. b6 b7C

RE ATLANTA TELETYPE TO DIRECTOR, DATED 4/15/87; WFO TELETYPE TO DIRECTOR, DATED 4/16/87; AND ATLANTA TELETYPE TO WFO, DATED 5/5/87.

INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN WAS FURNISHED BY A WFO SYMBOL SOURCE WHO HAS PROVIDED EXTREMELY RELIABLE INFORMATION [redacted] [redacted] DISCLOSURE OF THIS INFORMATION WOULD RESULT IN IDENTIFYING THE SOURCE, INASMUCH AS IT IS VERY SINGULAR IN NATURE, AND SHOULD BE HANDLED ACCORDINGLY.

[redacted] SOURCE ADVISED THAT [redacted] b6 b7C b7D

72-192-43

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED OM FILED OMC
MAY 1987
ANTA

[redacted] b6 b7C

No Action
5/5/87
GRO

[redacted]

WFO BELIEVES THAT [redacted] COMMENTS TO THE SOURCE WERE PROMPTED BY NEWSPAPER REPORTS REGARDING CAPTIONED MATTER WHICH WERE PRINTED IN THE WASHINGTON, D. C., AREA ON 4/16-17/87.

b6
b7C
b7D

INVESTIGATION CONTINUING.

BT

#0036

NNNN

FD-36 (Rev. 8-26-82)

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:
- [x] Teletype
- [ ] Facsimile
- [ ] _____

PRECEDENCE:
- [ ] Immediate
- [ ] Priority
- [x] Routine

CLASSIFICATION:
- [ ] TOP SECRET
- [ ] SECRET
- [ ] CONFIDENTIAL
- [ ] UNCLAS E F T O
- [x] UNCLAS

Date 5/5/87

125/00025

cms 2/P

FM ATLANTA (72-192) ~~(P)~~ (SQUAD 10) [redacted] b6 b7C

TO JACKSONVILLE ROUTINE

WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE (SQUAD C-2) 1900 Corrected cms 5/5/87

BT JACKSON (INFO)

UNCLAS

[redacted] ATLANTA, GEORGIA; OOJ; OO: ATLANTA

REFERENCE ATLANTA TELETYPE TO WFO, APRIL 14, 1987, AND WFO TELETYPE TO ATLANTA, APRIL 16, 1987. b6 b7C

[redacted] FURNISHED INFORMATION TO THE ATLANTA POLICE DEPARTMENT THAT [redacted] WAS A COCAINE USER. [redacted]

ON MARCH 25, 1987, [redacted] WAS TO MEET WITH FBI AGENTS TO DISCUSS PUBLIC CORRUPTION ALLEGATIONS, BUT MOMENTS BEFORE THE b6 b7C

1-Atlanta
OJH/cms

Approved: WJK/jle Transmitted See ahv (Number) (Time) Per MB 72-192-44

OUT-GOING

SEARCHED

SERIALIZED DMC

INDEXED

FILED DMC

U.S. Government Printing Office: 1984—431 613/6249

MEETING, SHE RECEIVED A TELEPHONE CALL, WHICH [redacted] ADMITS MAKING. [redacted] b6 b7C

INVESTIGATION CONDUCTED BY THE ATLANTA DIVISION IS FOCUSING ON THIS PARTICULAR TELEPHONE CONVERSATION AND WHO TIPPED OFF [redacted] WAS PLANNING TO MEET WITH FBI AGENTS ON MARCH 25, 1987. IN ORDER TO DEMONSTRATE THE OBSTRUCTION, IT WOULD BE NECESSARY TO SHOW THAT [redacted] AND ANYONE ELSE INVOLVED HAD A MOTIVE TO PREVENT [redacted] FROM MEETING WITH FBI AGENTS. THE PROBABLE MOTIVE WAS THE CONCERN OF [redacted] AND OTHERS THAT [redacted] HAD INFORMATION INVOLVING DRUG USE OF PUBLIC OFFICIALS AND POSSIBLY OTHER INFORMATION INVOLVING CORRUPTION. b6 b7C

A FORMER SOURCE OF THE JACKSON DIVISION HAS FURNISHED INFORMATION CONCERNING [redacted] THE BELOW LEADS ARE SET FORTH FOR RECEIVING OFFICES TO INTERVIEW THE NAMED INDIVIDUALS, AND THE INTERVIEW SHOULD FOCUS UPON THE FOLLOWING: FIRST HAND KNOWLEDGE THAT THE INTERVIEWEE WAS PERSONALLY INVOLVED WITH [redacted] OR OTHER PUBLIC OFFICIALS b6 b7C b7D

IN THE USE OR SALE OF COCAINE; THE NAMES OF INDIVIDUALS WHO THE INTERVIEWEES WERE INVOLVED WITH; IF INVOLVEMENT WAS NOT FIRSTHAND, HOW DOES THE INTERVIEWEE KNOW THAT [redacted] OR OTHER POLITICAL OFFICIALS USED OR DISTRIBUTED COCAINE OR OTHER NARCOTICS. IT IS IMPERATIVE TO FOCUS UPON THE KNOWLEDGE OF THE INTERVIEWEE AS TO HOW HE KNOWS OF ANY DRUG USE OR INVOLVEMENT. b6 b7C

IN THE EVENT DIFFICULTY IS ENCOUNTERED IN LOCATING THE INTERVIEWEES, SA [redacted] OF THE JACKSON DIVISION SHOULD BE CONTACTED SINCE HE IS SUPPOSED TO HAVE UP-TO-DATE LOCATIONS ON THESE INDIVIDUALS.

JACKSONVILLE WILL LOCATE AND INTERVIEW [redacted]

WFO WILL LOCATE AND INTERVIEW [redacted] b6 b7C b7D

^PAGE FOUR AT (72-192) UNCLAS

[redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D

BT JACKSONVILLE AND WFO ARE REQUESTED TO ADVISE ATLANTA BY TELETYPE OF RESULTS OF INTERVIEW FOLLOWED BY APPROPRIATE FD-302S.

Memorandum

To : SAC ATLANTA 72-192 Date 5/6/87

From : SA [redacted]

Subject : [redacted]
OOJ
OO:AT

b6
b7C

Due to Agents pending transfer, it is requested that leads to interview [redacted] be reassigned.

Due to an official declination in this case by USA ROBERT BARR on 6/17/87, no further action will be taken.

2-AT

72-192-45

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED OMY FILED ____
MAY 06 1987
ATLANTA

Reassign leads to [redacted] 5/6/87

b6
b7C

FD-36 (Rev. 8-29-85)

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:
- ☐ Teletype
- ☐ Facsimile
- ☐ AIRTEL

PRECEDENCE:
- ☐ Immediate
- ☐ Priority
- ☐ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:
- ☐ TOP SECRET
- ☐ SECRET
- ☐ CONFIDENTIAL
- ☐ UNCLAS E F T O
- ☐ UNCLAS

Date 4/15/87

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
(ATTN: WHITE COLLAR CRIME SECTION, PUBLIC CORRUPTION UNIT, SSA [redacted]

FROM: SAC, ATLANTA (72-192) (SQ 10) ~~(P)~~

b6
b7C

SUBJECT: [redacted]
ATLANTA, GEORGIA
OOJ

(OO: ATLANTA)

Re Atlanta teletype to Bureau, 4/13/87.

Enclosed for the Bureau for information are one copy each of ten articles appearing in Atlanta newspapers during the period 4/11-14/87 concerning captioned matter.

The Bureau will continue to be kept advised of developments.

3 - Bureau (Enc. 10)
(2) - Atlanta
JLC:dhp
(5) dhp

Approved: _____ Transmitted _____ (Number) (Time) Per 72-192 4/6

OUT-GOING
SEARCHED
SERIALIZED DMC
INDEXED
FILED

Memorandum

To : SAC, ATLANTA (72-192)(SQ 10) ~~(P)~~ Date 4/22/87

From : SA [redacted]

Subject : [redacted]
ATLANTA, GEORGIA
OOJ

b6
b7C

(OO: ATLANTA)

The attached memo was provided by Lt. [redacted] of the FULTON COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE reflecting conversation between [redacted] and Attorney [redacted] representing [redacted]

(2)- 72-192
RDS:dhp
(2) dhp

72-192-47

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED CHK FILED DMC
APR 2 2 1987
FBI - ATLANTA

[redacted]

b6
b7C

Received at Lotus 4/27/87 MMC

Page 1 Pkg

SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

OFFICE MEMO

TELEPHONE: 572-2821

FROM THE DESK OF H. [redacted]

TO Whom it May Concern

4/17/87 (phone conversation)

1:50 p.m. - atty. [redacted] who represents [redacted] He told me that she was out of State visiting relatives and he would try to contact her. Sheriff Lankford spoke with him and told him to contact her and have her in his office on Mon, 4/20/87 at 10 A.M. or he would have a Federal warrant issued for her.

2:35 p.m. - Atty. [redacted] called and informed me that he had been in touch with [redacted]

b6
b7C

Page 2

SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

OFFICE MEMO

TELEPHONE: 572-2821

FROM THE DESK OF (Con't)

TO

And to inform the Sheriff that [redacted] would be in his office at 10:00 A.M. on Mon. 4/20/87.

H. [redacted]

b6
b7C

Sheriff Lankford

4/17/87

Memorandum

Subject	Date
Citizens Panel Involving the Julian Bond Matter	April 28, 1987

To

[redacted] FBI
DEA
[redacted]
[redacted] Criminal Division
[redacted]
[redacted] Civil Division
[redacted] Task Force
[redacted] Task Force
[redacted] AUSA

From

Robert L. Barr, Jr.
United States Attorney

b6
b7C

Even though this may be self-apparent, given the importance and complexity of this case and any spinoffs, I wish to emphasize that the Citizens Panel appointed recently by Mayor Andrew Young to review or investigate matters relating to the Julian Bond affair, is not to affect in any way any federal investigation or other involvement by the federal government in this or any related matter. Given [redacted] involvement with the Panel, I am confident the Panel will not interfere in our efforts. However, I wish to emphasize that the Panel shall have no access to federal information or evidence whatsoever and any inquiries or approaches in behalf of the Citizens Panel, directly or indirectly, are to be brought to my personal attention immediately.

b6
b7C

72-192-48

SEARCHED INDEXED
SERIALIZED FILED
MAY 6 1987

[redacted]

b6
b7C

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOI/PA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET
FOI/PA# 1335162-0

Total Deleted Page(s) = 110
Page 2 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 3 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 4 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 5 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 6 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 7 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 8 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 9 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 10 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 11 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 12 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 13 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 14 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 15 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 16 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 17 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 18 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 19 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 20 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 21 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 22 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 23 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 24 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 25 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 26 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 27 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 28 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 29 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 30 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 31 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 32 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 33 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 34 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 35 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 36 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 37 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 38 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 39 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 40 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 41 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 42 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 43 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 44 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 45 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 46 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 47 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 48 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 49 ~ b6; b7C;

Page 50 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 51 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 52 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 53 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 54 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 55 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 56 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 57 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 58 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 59 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 60 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 61 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 62 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 63 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 64 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 65 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 66 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 67 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 68 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 69 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 70 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 71 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 72 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 73 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 74 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 75 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 76 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 77 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 78 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 79 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 80 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 81 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 82 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 83 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 84 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 85 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 86 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 87 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 88 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 89 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 90 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 91 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 92 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 93 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 94 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 95 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 96 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 97 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 98 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 99 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 100 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 101 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 102 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 103 ~ b6; b7C;

Page 104 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 105 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 106 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 110 ~ Duplicate;
Page 144 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;
Page 145 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;
Page 149 ~ Duplicate;
Page 159 ~ Duplicate;

```
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X   Deleted Page(s)    X
X   No Duplication Fee X
X   For this Page      X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
```

FD-302 (REV. 3-10-82)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 3/20/87

A tape-recorded interview of [redacted] was conducted at the ATLANTA POLICE DEPARTMENT, Narcotics Squad, 2001 Martin Luther King Drive, Southwest, on March 19, 1987. A transcript of the tape recording of that interview was prepared and is attached hereto. b6 b7C

Investigation on 3/19/87 at Atlanta, Georgia File # Atlanta 72-192

by SA [redacted] dhp Date dictated 3/19/87 b6 b7C

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

FD-515 (Rev. 6-6-85)
ACCOMPLISHMENT REPORT
(Effective 10/1/85)
(Submit within 30 days from date of accomplishment)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM: SAC, ATLANTA
SUBJECT: [redacted]

ATLANTA, GEORGIA
OOJ

(OO: ATLANTA)

Bureau File Number: [redacted]
Field Office File Number: 72-192
Squad or RA Number: 10
Agent's Social Security No.: [redacted]

Date 5/19/87

Investigative Assistance or Technique Used

Were any of the investigative assistance or techniques listed below used in connection with accomplishment being claimed? ☐ No ☐ Yes - If Yes, rate each used as follows
1 = Used, but did not help 3 = Helped, substantially
2 = Helped, but only minimally 4 = Absolutely essential

b6
b7C
b7E

1. Acctg Tech Assistance Rating	8. Eng Sect. Tape Exams Rating	15. Photographic Coverage Rating	22. Telephone Toll Recs
2. Aircraft Assistance	9. Hypnosis Assistance	16. Polygraph Assistance	23. UCO Group I
3. Computer Assistance	10. Ident Div Assistance	17. Search Warrants Executed	24. UCO Group II
4. Consensual Monitoring	11. Informant Information	18. Show Money Usage	25. UC Other
5. ELSUR - FISC	12. Lab Div Exams	19. Surveil. Sqd. (SOG) Asst	26. NCAVC/ VI-CAP
6. ELSUR - Title III	13. Lab Div Field Support	20. SWAT Team Action	27. Visual Invest - Analysis (VIA)
7. Eng Sect. Field Support	14. Pen Registers	21. Tech. Agt. or Tech Equip	

A. Preliminary Judicial Process (Number of subjects)

Complaints	Informations	Indictments

B. Arrests, Locates, Summonses or Subpoenas Served (No. of Subj.)

	Subject Priority* A	B	C
FBI Arrests -			
FBI Locates -			
Local Arrests -			

Subpoenas Served 1
Criminal Summons
Local Crim. Summons

FBI Subj Resisted ____ ; Armed ____

C. Release of Hostages or Children Located: (Number of Hostages or Children Located)

Hostages Held By Terrorists ____ ; All Other Hostage Situations ____

Missing or Kidnaped Children Located ____

D. Recoveries, Restitutions, or Potential Economic Loss Prevented (PELP) (Explain valuation in remarks)

Property Type Code*	Recoveries	Restitutions	PELP Type Code*	Potential Economic Loss Prevented
	$	$		$
	$	$		$
	$	$		$
	$	$		$
	$	$		$

E. Civil Matters

	Government Defendant	Government Plaintiff
Amount of Suit	$	$
Settlement or Award	$	$ Enter AFA Payment Here

F. Final Judicial Process: Judicial District ____ District ____ State ____ Conviction or Pretrial Div. Date ____ Sentence Date ____ No. of Subjects ____ Acquitted ____ Dismissed

Subject 1 Subject Description Code* —

☐ Felony / ☐ Misdemeanor	Conviction: Title	Section	Counts	Combined Sentence: In-Jail Yrs	Mos	Suspended Yrs	Mos	Probation Yrs	Mos

☐ Plea ☐ Trial ☐ Pretrial Diversion

Total Fines $
Add consecutive sentences together.
Enter longest single concurrent sentence
Do not add concurrent sentences together.
Sentence 10 yrs.-8 yrs. susp. = 2 yrs. In-Jail.

Subject 2 Subject Description Code* —

☐ Felony / ☐ Misdemeanor	Conviction: Title	Section	Counts	Combined Sentence: In-Jail Yrs	Mos	Suspended Yrs	Mos	Probation Yrs	Mos

☐ Plea ☐ Trial ☐ Pretrial Diversion

Total Fines $
Add consecutive sentences together.
Enter longest single concurrent sentence
Do not add concurrent sentences together.
Sentence 10 yrs.-8 yrs. susp. = 2 yrs. In-Jail

Subject 3 Subject Description Code* —

☐ Felony / ☐ Misdemeanor	Conviction: Title	Section	Counts	Combined Sentence: In-Jail Yrs	Mos	Suspended Yrs	Mos	Probation Yrs	Mos

☐ Plea ☐ Trial ☐ Pretrial Diversion

Total Fines $
Add consecutive sentences together.
Enter longest single concurrent sentence.
Do not add concurrent sentences together.
Sentence 10 yrs.-8 yrs. susp. = 2 yrs. In-Jail

Subject 4 Subject Description Code* —

☐ Felony / ☐ Misdemeanor	Conviction: Title	Section	Counts	Combined Sentence: In-Jail Yrs	Mos	Suspended Yrs	Mos	Probation Yrs	Mos

☐ Plea ☐ Trial ☐ Pretrial Diversion

Total Fines $
Add consecutive sentences together.
Enter longest single concurrent sentence
Do not add concurrent sentences together.
Sentence 10 yrs.-8 yrs. susp. = 2 yrs. In-Jail

Attach additional forms if reporting final judicial process on more than four subjects, and submit a final disposition for (R-84) for each subject.

Remarks (For every subject reported in Sections A, B or F above, provide name, DOB, race*, sex, and POB and SSAN if available.)

Personal service of a Federal Grand Jury subpoena was made on [redacted] on 4/14/87. [redacted]

b3
b6
b7C

[redacted] 72-192

OUT-GOING

SEARCHED ____
SERIALIZED DMC
INDEXED DMC
FILED DMC

2 - Bureau
(4) - Field Office (1) - 72-192) (1 - 66F-685-SF 1) (1 - 66F-2352) (1 - AT [redacted])
*See codes on reverse side. OGH:dhp (6)
dhp

Property Type Codes*

Code No	Description
1	Cash (U.S. and foreign currency)
2	Stock, Bonds or Negotiable Instruments (checks, travelers checks, money orders, certificates of deposit, etc)
3	General Retail Merchandise (clothing, food, liquor, cigarettes, TVs, etc)
4	Vehicles (autos, trucks, tractors, trailers, campers, motorcycles, etc)
5	Heavy Machinery & Equipment (heavy equipment, computers, etc)
6	Bulk Materials (grain, fuel, raw materials, metals, wire, etc)
7	Jewelry (including unset precious and semiprecious stones)
8	Precious Metals (gold, silver, silverware, platinum, etc)
9	Art, Antiques or Rare Collections
11	Weapons or Explosives
20	All Other Recoveries (not falling in any category above)

Potential Economic Loss Prevented (PELP) Type Codes*

Code No	Description
22	Counterfeit Stocks, Bonds, Currency or Negotiable Instruments
23	Counterfeit or Pirated Sound Recordings or Motion Pictures
24	Bank Theft Scheme Aborted
25	Ransom, Extortion or Bribe Demand Aborted
26	Theft from, or Fraud Against, Government Scheme Aborted
27	Commercial or Industrial Theft Scheme Aborted
30	All Other Potential Economic Loss Prevented (not falling in any category above)

*Except for cash, the Remarks section must contain an explanation of the computation of the recovery value or loss prevented. An explanation airtel must accompany this report if the recovery is $1 million or more, or if the PELP is $5 million or more.

Subject Description Codes*

- Enter Description Code Only When Reporting a Conviction -

Organized Crime Subjects:
- 1A Boss, Underboss or Consigliere
- 1B Capodecina or Soldier
- 1C Possible LCN Member or Associate
- 1D OC Subject Other Than LCN

Known Criminals (Other Than OC Members):
- 2A Top Ten or I.O. Fugitive
- 2B Top Thief
- 2C Top Con Man

Foreign Nationals:
- 3A Legal Alien
- 3B Illegal Alien
- 3C Foreign Official Without Diplomatic Immunity
- 3D U.N. Employee Without Diplomatic Immunity
- 3E Foreign Students
- 3F All Others

Terrorists:
- 4A Known Member of a Terrorist Organization
- 4B Possible Terrorist Member or Sympathizer

Union Members:
- 5A International or National Officer
- 5B Local Officer
- 5C Union Employee

Government Officials Or Employees:

Federal	State	Local
6A Presidential Appointee	6J Governor	6R Mayor
6B U.S. Senator	6K Lt. Governor	
6C U.S. Representative	6L Legislator	6S Legislator
6D Judge	6M Judge	6T Judge
6E Prosecutor	6N Prosecutor	6U Prosecutor
6F Law Enforcement Officer	6P Law Enforcement Officer	6V Law Enforcement Officer
6G Fed Empl - GS 13 & above	6Q All Others - State	6W All Others - Local
6H Fed Empl - GS 12 & below		

Bank Officers or Employees:
- 7A Bank Officer
- 7B Bank Employee

All Others:
- 8A All Other Subjects (not fitting above categories)

*If a subject can be classified in more than one of the categories, select the most appropriate in the circumstance.

Instructions

Subject Priorities for FBI Arrest or Locates:

A - Subject wanted for crimes of violence (i.e. murder, manslaughter, forcible rape, robbery and aggravated assault) or convicted of such crimes in the past five years.

B - Subjects wanted for crimes involving the loss or destruction of property valued in excess of $25,000 or convicted of such crimes in the past five years.

C - All others

Claiming Non-Federal Arrests, Summonses, Recoveries or Convictions:

It is permissible to claim a local arrest, summons, recovery or conviction **if the FBI significantly contributed to the accomplishment.** A succinct narrative setting forth the basis for the claim must accompany this report. When claiming a local recovery, enter the word "LOCAL" to the right of the amount. Enter "LF" in the "In-Jail" block for all life sentences and "CP" for capital punishment sentences.

Reporting Convictions:

Convictions should not be reported until the sentence has been issued. There are two exceptions to this rule. The conviction information can be submitted by itself if:

1. The subject becomes a fugitive after conviction but prior to sentencing.
2. The subject dies after conviction but prior to sentencing.

An explanation is required in the Remarks section for either of the above exceptions.

Rule 20 Situations:

The field office that obtained the process (normally the office of origin) is the office that should claim the conviction, not the office where the subject enters the plea in cases involving Rule 20 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedures.

Investigative Assistance or Techniques (IA/Ts) Used:

-Since more than one IA/T could have contributed to the accomplishment, each IA/T used must be rated.

-The IA/T used must be rated **each time** an accomplishment is claimed. (For example - if informant information was the basis for a complaint, an arrest, a recovery and a conviction and if separate FD-515s are submitted for each of the aforementioned accomplishments, the "Informant Information" block must be rated on each FD-515 even if it was the same information that contributed to all the accomplishments.)

Race Codes:

C = Chinese; I = Indian/American; J = Japanese; N = Negro; O = All other; U = Unknown; W = White

* USGPO: 1986-491-509/45743

FD-515 (Rev. 6-6-85)
ACCOMPLISHMENT REPORT
(Effective 10/1/85)
(Submit within 30 days from date of accomplishment)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

Date 5/21/87

FROM: SAC, ATLANTA
SUBJECT: [redacted]

ATLANTA, GEORGIA
OOJ

(OO: ATLANTA)

Bureau File Number	
Field Office File Number	72-192
Squad or RA Number	10
Agent's Social Security No.	

Investigative Assistance or Technique Used
Were any of the investigative assistance or techniques listed below used in connection with accomplishment being claimed? ☒ No ☐ Yes - If Yes, rate each used as follows.
1 = Used, but did not help 3 = Helped, substantially
2 = Helped, but only minimally 4 = Absolutely essential

1. Acctg Tech Assistance Rating	8. Eng Sect. Tape Exams Rating	16. Photographic Coverage Rating	22. Telephone Toll Recs Rating
2. Aircraft Assistance	9. Hypnosis Assistance	16. Polygraph Assistance	23. UCO Group I
3. Computer Assistance	10. Ident Div Assistance	17. Search Warrants Executed	24. UCO Group II
4. Consensual Monitoring	11. Informant Information	18. Show Money Usage	25. UC Other
5. ELSUR - FISC	12. Lab Div Exams	19. Surveil. Sqd. (SOG) Asst	26. NCAVC/ VI-CAP
6. ELSUR - Title III	13. Lab Div Field Support	20. SWAT Team Action	27. Visual Invest - Analysis (VIA)
7. Eng Sect. Field Support	14. Pen Registers	21. Tech Agt. or Tech Equip	

b6
b7C
b7E

A. Preliminary Judicial Process (Number of subjects)

Complaints	Informations	Indictments

B. Arrests, Locates, Summonses or Subpoenas Served (No. of Subj.)

	Subject Priority* A	B	C
FBI Arrests -			
FBI Locates -			
Local Arrests -			

FBI Subj Resisted _____ ; Armed _____

Subpoenas Served 3
Criminal Summons _____
Local Crim. Summons _____

D. Recoveries, Restitutions, or Potential Economic Loss Prevented (PELP) (Explain valuation in remarks)

Property Type Code*	Recoveries	Restitutions	PELP Type Code*	Potential Economic Loss Prevented
	$	$		$
	$	$		$
	$	$		$
	$	$		$
	$	$		$

C. Release of Hostages or Children Located: (Number of Hostages or Children Located)
Hostages Held By Terrorists _____ ; All Other Hostage Situations _____
Missing or Kidnaped Children Located _____

E. Civil Matters

	Government Defendant	Government Plaintiff
Amount of Suit	$	$
Settlement or Award	$	$ Enter AFA Payment Here

F. Final Judicial Process: Judicial District _____ District _____ State _____ Conviction or Pretrial Div Date _____ Sentence Date _____ No. of Subjects _____ Acquitted _____ Dismissed _____

Subject 1 Subject Description Code* —

☐ Felony / ☐ Misdemeanor	Conviction Title	Section	Counts	Combined Sentence In-Jail Yrs	Mos	Suspended Yrs	Mos	Probation Yrs	Mos

☐ Plea ☐ Trial ☐ Pretrial Diversion

Total Fines $
Add consecutive sentences together.
Enter longest single concurrent sentence
Do not add concurrent sentences together.
Sentence 10 yrs -8 yrs susp. = 2 yrs In-Jail.

Subject 2 Subject Description Code* —

☐ Felony / ☐ Misdemeanor	Conviction Title	Section	Counts	Combined Sentence In-Jail Yrs	Mos	Suspended Yrs	Mos	Probation Yrs	Mos

☐ Plea ☐ Trial ☐ Pretrial Diversion

Total Fines $
Add consecutive sentences together.
Enter longest single concurrent sentence.
Do not add concurrent sentences together.
Sentence 10 yrs -8 yrs susp = 2 yrs. In-Jail.

Subject 3 Subject Description Code* —

☐ Felony / ☐ Misdemeanor	Conviction Title	Section	Counts	Combined Sentence In-Jail Yrs	Mos	Suspended Yrs	Mos	Probation Yrs	Mos

☐ Plea ☐ Trial ☐ Pretrial Diversion

Total Fines $
Add consecutive sentences together.
Enter longest single concurrent sentence
Do not add concurrent sentences together.
Sentence 10 yrs -8 yrs susp = 2 yrs In-Jail

Subject 4 Subject Description Code* —

☐ Felony / ☐ Misdemeanor	Conviction Title	Section	Counts	Combined Sentence In-Jail Yrs	Mos	Suspended Yrs	Mos	Probation Yrs	Mos

☐ Plea ☐ Trial ☐ Pretrial Diversion

Total Fines $
Add consecutive sentences together.
Enter longest single concurrent sentence
Do not add concurrent sentences together.
Sentence 10 yrs -8 yrs susp = 2 yrs In-Jail

Attach additional forms if reporting final judicial process on more than four subjects, and submit a final disposition for (R-84) for each subject.

Remarks. (For every subject reported in Sections A, B or F above, provide name, DOB, race*, sex, and POB and SSAN if available.)

On 5/12/87, the following individuals were personally served with a subpoena to appear before the Federal Grand Jury [redacted]

[redacted]

b3
b6
b7C

SEARCHED _____
SERIALIZED _____
INDEXED _____
FILED _____

(4) Bureau
Field Office
See codes on reverse side

(1 - 72-192) (1 - 66F-685-SF 1) (1 - 66F-2352) (1 - AT [redacted]
OGH:dhp (6)
dhp

FD-302 (REV. 3-10-82)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1

Date of transcription 4/28/87

ATLANTA POLICE DEPARTMENT (APD) Major HERMAN GRINER voluntarily appeared at the Atlanta office of the FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION (FBI). He was shown the identification of the interviewing Agents, advised of the purpose of the interview, and he, thereafter, furnished the following information:

GRINER resides at [redacted] b6 b7C

GRINER joined the APD in March, 1967. He became Commanding Officer [redacted] in July, 1979.

Between Wednesday, March 18, 1987, and Saturday, March 21, 1987, GRINER was in New York City on business. During his absence, Lieutenant [redacted] because GRINER's next immediate subordinate, Captain [redacted] was off that week. b6 b7C

On Monday, March 23, 1987, GRINER returned to work and learned from Lieutenant [redacted] that [redacted] JULIAN BOND, gave a statement to police officers [redacted] on March 19, 1987. [redacted] told GRINER he was upset that [redacted] would not talk with him when she was in the office since he had learned from [redacted] before departing for a staff meeting that day, that she had information on several individuals that [redacted] was investigating at the time. [redacted] also later learned that either Lieutenant [redacted] had called Captain [redacted] to tell him about [redacted] visit and information. [redacted] told GRINER he briefed the Chief on what little he knew about the information she furnished. b6 b7C

On the same day, GRINER met with [redacted] also told him about [redacted] coming in to furnish information about [redacted] could not remember who allegedly were involved in drugs. [redacted] said he advised Captain [redacted] about the information and that the Captain told him he had a plan. [redacted] was present at this meeting between [redacted] and GRINER. A few minutes later, [redacted] joined this meeting. [redacted] did not have too much to say, and he basically reiterated what [redacted] and [redacted] had already told GRINER. GRINER was already familiar with b6 b7C

Investigation on 4/27/87 at Atlanta, Georgia File # Atlanta (AT) 72-192

by SA [redacted] SA [redacted] tbs Date dictated 4/27/87 b6 b7C

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

GPO : 1986 O - 499-243

the names [redacted] from street information he had personally received. b6 b7C

GRINER said to the three officers during this meeting that if their investigation involved public officials, he would turn it over to the District Attorney's Office. GRINER explained that there is no written policy on this, but his office will go to the District Attorney, DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION (DEA), FBI, etcetera, where they feel it is necessary to avoid any appearance of favoritism. Since investigations involving public officials are sensitive by nature, GRINER felt that any information developed should be turned over to the District Attorney.

GRINER did not report the results of this meeting until the next day, March 24, 1987, when he met with Chief MORRIS REDDING. The Chief was out of town the day before. GRINER told REDDING about [redacted] appearance at [redacted] on March 19, 1987, and the allegations she made concerning drug activity, public officials, and that [redacted] He continued that [redacted] told her that [redacted] GRINER told REDDING he would notify the District Attorney if investigation showed that public officials were involved in drug activity. REDDING responded that GRINER should keep him advised. GRINER said he could not recall whether REDDING told him that he had already been briefed by [redacted] GRINER was the only one who attended the meeting with the Chief. b6 b7C

On either March 24 or 25, 1987, [redacted] came to GRINER's office, possibly with [redacted] told GRINER he had talked with DEA about [redacted] DEA indicated they might have a case concerning her. GRINER told [redacted] he was familiar with the LIVING ROOM LOUNGE and that some black detectives would be needed to help [redacted] in their investigation there. GRINER further told [redacted] that since DEA had a case on [redacted] should not spend too much time on her. [redacted] said that [redacted] so GRINER told him to concentrate on them, [redacted] and any other people who came up in the investigation. b6 b7C

GRINER then briefed REDDING and REDDING asked if [redacted] had a record. GRINER said he would check, and when he did, the reply was negative. GRINER went back to the Chief with this information and REDDING asked if DeKalb County had a record. This

was also met with negative results. GRINER suspected without knowing that DEA possibly had sealed [redacted] record. On possibly Friday, March 27, 1987, [redacted] told GRINER that a record under the name [redacted] existed in the APD files and GRINER told REDDING this. [redacted] was the new name that [redacted] GRINER told REDDING he would continue working [redacted] and [redacted] and that no information on the politicians had been developed.

b6
b7C

On March 25, 1987, [redacted] met with [redacted] and two FBI Agents. GRINER was not told about this meeting in advance and first learned that this meeting occurred on Tuesday, March 31, 1987. Between March 25 through 31, 1987, GRINER learned nothing about the [redacted] investigation. No one briefed him. GRINER was also under the impression that [redacted] had been cut out of the case on the first day when [redacted] told [redacted] that he had a plan and would handle the case from that point on.

b6
b7C

On Monday night, March 30, 1987, GRINER attended a graduation ceremony of police recruits at City Hall. REDDING was present and asked GRINER about the case and instructed GRINER to get a report for him.

On Tuesday morning, March 31, 1987, GRINER called [redacted] but [redacted] was not in as was the usual case, so he called [redacted] He asked [redacted] to get a report so that GRINER could brief the Chief on the status of the investigation. That afternoon, at about 12:00 p.m. to 12:30 p.m., GRINER walked by the office area where [redacted] was preparing the report on a typewriter. GRINER offered to let his secretary, [redacted] type it for him, but [redacted] said he was a [redacted] and was used to typing his own work.

b6
b7C

At 2:00 p.m. the same day, GRINER attended an awards ceremony at police headquarters. Afterwards, at about 3:30 p.m., GRINER returned to his office and saw [redacted] in a corridor. He asked [redacted] for the report and [redacted] said he had taken it to the Chief's office and left a copy on GRINER's desk. GRINER told [redacted] he should have given the report to him and not the Chief. GRINER then went to his office to retrieve his copy of the report, but it was not there.

b6
b7C

GRINER called [redacted] but [redacted] was not in. He then called [redacted] and asked him where the report was. GRINER also called his secretary who had gone home for the day, to ask if she had filed it away for him. GRINER's secretary said she had not seen it. GRINER

then asked one of his typists, [redacted] if she had seen the report, and she said she never saw it or [redacted] and that she had been there the whole time. GRINER then called [redacted] offered his copy, which he immediately brought over to him. b6 b7C

GRINER next called REDDING's secretary, [redacted] and asked her if [redacted] had delivered the report to the Chief. She said he had. It was late in the day by this time. GRINER asked [redacted] [redacted] to hold it from the Chief so GRINER could bring it to him directly at 2:00 p.m. on April 1, 1987, when they had a meeting scheduled. b6 b7C

Sometime late that evening, GRINER was talking with [redacted] office when [redacted] walked in. GRINER told [redacted] he could not find the copy of his report and he asked [redacted] brought the report itself directly to the Chief. [redacted] asked GRINER why he believed [redacted] did not put the report on his desk, and GRINER said he felt [redacted] never put it there and was lying. GRINER left the office right after this. b6 b7C

On Wednesday, April 1, 1987, at about 2:00 p.m., GRINER met with REDDING. REDDING was getting together a list of transfers. REDDING said he was going to transfer ten of the oldest plainclothes officers (PCOs). REDDING called Chief [redacted] and told [redacted] to give GRINER a list of people who wanted to come to [redacted] REDDING also instructed GRINER to contact [redacted] and have [redacted] in the Chief's office at 3:30 p.m. GRINER departed REDDING's office and went to [redacted] office to get the list of names, and then he went back to his own office. Since [redacted] was already notified that he was under transfer, there was no need in GRINER's opinion to confer with him concerning transfers in the [redacted], so he called [redacted] instead. b6 b7C

[redacted] and GRINER went through the names that [redacted] had provided, and they decided to drop [redacted] was long overdue for transfer since he had been assigned to the unit on [redacted] and [redacted] showed GRINER the statistics to support this claim. GRINER supported [redacted] request to keep an officer named [redacted] because they needed another detective and [redacted] performance was outstanding. [redacted] had been on the unit since about [redacted] b6 b7C

[redacted] knew he could get rid of [redacted] once [redacted] was under transfer because [redacted] would not stand in his way any longer. GRINER

left the meeting with [redacted] and met with Chief [redacted] He told [redacted] of the difficulty in selecting ten people in such a short period of time. GRINER then gave the names that had been selected and late that day, REDDING's secretary typed the orders. b6 b7C

On Thursday, April 2, 1987, at a staff meeting with REDDING, REDDING passed out the transfer orders of about 35 to 40 people except for those coming to [redacted] Normally, transfers are posted in the daily bulletin, but because of the sensitive nature [redacted] operations, a special order was issued containing those transfers.

On the same day in the afternoon [redacted] came to GRINER's door with [redacted] and complained that it was bad practice for someone to learn of a transfer through a secretary. GRINER told [redacted] he had informed [redacted] of the transfers and that they were effective on April 14, 1987. [redacted] did not make serious objections to [redacted] transfers other than the way they learned about it. [redacted] expressed concern over who would work the [redacted] case, but GRINER did not think there was much going on with it at this point. b6 b7C

GRINER explained that he was instrumental in starting the PCO Program. It was begun for three reasons: 1. It provided fresh faces to the unit for drug cases; 2. It provided an opportunity for officers to develop experience before going back to patrol; 3. It provided a pool of officers that could be drawn from when there was a need to make detectives/investigators. Under the program, a PCO is supposed to work with an experienced detective, so it was rather unusual for [redacted] to work together without a detective assisting them. Assignments of PCOs were supposed to be limited to 90 days. Occasionally, a few would be held over longer because of demotions that depleted the pool, and some stayed on because of excellent performance, and a lack of good recommendations to fill the ranks from Field Operations Division (FOD). b6 b7C

GRINER's relationship with [redacted] was not particularly good. [redacted] came to the [redacted] and shortly thereafter submitted a memorandum recommending certain changes, which included [redacted] GRINER rejected the memorandum and REDDING supported GRINER. [redacted] was to be [redacted] filed a grievance against GRINER. In the grievance, [redacted] said that if he [redacted] and if his other requests were not met, he wanted to be transferred. GRINER replied b6 b7C

to the grievance that he would not make [redacted] request of changes, that he would agree to [redacted] transfer, but that REDDING would have to resolve it.

b6
b7C

On January 20, 1987, REDDING, GRINER, and [redacted] met to discuss the grievance and REDDING asked [redacted] to hold out another 30 days because he planned to make some changes. [redacted] said he could work with GRINER for ten years if he had to. On February 20, 1987, REDDING was out of town and [redacted] called GRINER about the transfer. The following week GRINER was out of town, so it was not until March 8, 1987, that GRINER called REDDING to ask about [redacted] transfer. REDDING told GRINER that he had not found a place for him yet. REDDING immediately called [redacted] and asked him if he wanted to work on the Accreditation Committee, but [redacted] said no because he did not want to work for civilians. Commissioner GEORGE NAPPER also said he did not want anyone on the Accreditation Committee who did not want to be there.

Sometime around March 27 or 28, 1987, REDDING called GRINER and told him to check with [redacted] to see if [redacted] had a problem with going to [redacted] GRINER called [redacted] about it, and [redacted] asked if Major STEED would still be there, and GRINER said he did not know. [redacted] said he could work with STEED. GRINER called REDDING and told him what [redacted] said, and REDDING approved the transfer to [redacted] GRINER then verbally told [redacted] about REDDING's approval even though the paper was not officially cut until about April 2, 1987.

b6
b7C

On March 4, 1987, [redacted] submitted a memorandum requesting that "X" number of people be retained on the unit, "X" number be reassigned to FOD, and "X" number be brought into the unit. GRINER asked [redacted] if he was happy with it, and [redacted] said yes. GRINER could not recall if he forwarded the memorandum to Chief [redacted] He also could not recall whether REDDING approved it. [redacted] were in the memorandum to be retained for 90 days. GRINER explained the memorandum had no force or effect as a transfer and was not a guarantee or promise that anyone would stay for 90 days. In fact, the memorandum only provided that [redacted] could stay 90 days, but they could also be transferred out at any time since they were overdue.

b6
b7C

About three weeks before April 1, 1987, three or four PCOs were transferred out and GRINER said these were routine transfers. In setting up the PCO program, GRINER had to give up five detectives to get about 15 PCOs. It was very sensitive that the PCOs would be rotated in 90 day periods unless there were

special circumstances. For this reason, GRINER recalled that he did not tell [redacted] first joined the unit that he could stay beyond 90 days if he did a good job and made a lot of arrests.

Sometime in December, 1986, or January, 1987, [redacted] came to GRINER and told him he had the votes of three deputy chiefs and needed GRINER's vote to become a detective. GRINER said he would make that decision to support him at the time it came up and not before. GRINER said that [redacted] was not eligible to become a detective for some period of time in the future. b6 b7C

GRINER has no personal relationship with JULIAN BOND and has never formally met him or [redacted] GRINER knows ANDREW YOUNG and they met approximately one year after YOUNG became Mayor in 1981. GRINER thinks they met at a City Hall meeting where it was not unusual for YOUNG to meet with top police management to discuss issues that the Mayor thought important. GRINER has no social relationship with the Mayor, strictly police business. b6 b7C

GRINER knows [redacted] from City Hall. He does not trust [redacted] because of intelligence information he is privy to that indicates [redacted] is involved with drugs. GRINER talks with [redacted] only when he has to. b6 b7C

GRINER did not tell Mayor YOUNG about [redacted] coming to the office on March 19, 1987. GRINER did not learn from Chief REDDING that REDDING met with YOUNG on March 24, 1987. b6 b7C

On April 9, 1987, REDDING asked GRINER about the [redacted] tape, and said, "HERMAN, what about this tape I've been hearing about, there's a two and a half hour tape." This was the first GRINER learned that [redacted] had tape recorded the interview with [redacted] and GRINER told REDDING he would find out. GRINER called [redacted] said he gave his tape to Fulton County Assistant District Attorney [redacted] said that [redacted] had given a copy of the investigative report to [redacted] GRINER was unaware that [redacted] had done this and he said this was improper procedure since something this sensitive should have been disseminated from the command level.

[redacted] obtained two copies of the tape, one which was designated for the Chief and one for Internal Affairs which had earlier been requested by Major EUGENE ROBINSON. REDDING did not tell GRINER that he was going to give a copy of the tape to the Mayor. b6 b7C

After GRINER obtained the tapes from [redacted] he asked [redacted] to have [redacted] call him. [redacted] was in court at this time. GRINER asked [redacted] if he (GRINER) had everything at this point concerning the investigation, and asked [redacted] if there was a tape recording made of the March 25, 1987, meeting with [redacted] and the FBI Agents. [redacted] response was, "I don't have, maybe the FBI does."

b6
b7C

GRINER does not know who precipitated the telephone call between [redacted] on March 25, 1987. The only information he has is a rumor that someone may have received information from the Fulton County State Court's office where [redacted] and that information concerning [redacted] allegations made its way back to [redacted] from there.

FD-302 (REV. 3-10-82)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1

Date of transcription 5/4/87

Atlanta Police Chief MORRIS G. REDDING voluntarily appeared at the Atlanta office of the FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION (FBI). He was advised of the purpose of the interview and he, thereafter, furnished the following information:

REDDING's office telephone number is [redacted] He resides at [redacted] b6 b7C

REDDING has been Chief of Police (COP) of the ATLANTA POLICE DEPARTMENT (APD) since he was appointed to that position by Mayor ANDREW YOUNG in 1982.

REDDING normally has staff meetings at 9:30 a.m. on Thursdays, but on Thursday, March 19, 1987, he was tied up in the morning, so the meeting was rescheduled for 3:00 p.m. The staff meetings are attended basically by someone from each unit in the department. At approximately 3:00 p.m. that day, Lieutenant [redacted] came into his office and said he needed to run something by him. He then told REDDING that [redacted] had been at [redacted] b6 b7C REDDING remembered that [redacted] mentioned the names [redacted] REDDING looked at the information as, "no big deal" - [redacted] REDDING thinks [redacted] may have told him that [redacted] was working on the investigation. He instructed [redacted] to keep him advised.

The meeting with [redacted] lasted no more than a couple of minutes, because REDDING had to go to the staff meeting. REDDING did not ask [redacted] during the conversation if [redacted] had mentioned [redacted] name because he did not think it would be important to even bring it up. In his position as COP and being a police officer for 30 years, REDDING has heard allegations and b6 b7C

Investigation on 4/29/87 at Atlanta, Georgia File # Atlanta (AT) 72-192-[illegible]

by SA [redacted] SA tbs Date dictated 4/30/87 b6 b7C

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

GPO : 1986 O - 499-243

rumors floating around the city about public officials that never amount to anything. From his position as Chief, he hears these types of allegations all the time.

When REDDING left the staff meeting, he reviewed his messages and saw that Lieutenant [redacted] had left a message. REDDING tried to call him, but he was not in. The next morning REDDING remembered to call [redacted] said he wanted to brief him concerning [redacted] added that he had since learned that REDDING had already been briefed, so REDDING thanked him and the conversation ended.

b6
b7C

On Sunday, March 22, 1987, REDDING went to Dallas, Texas, with Deputy Chiefs [redacted] to meet with DALLAS POLICE DEPARTMENT officials to discuss the security for the upcoming Democratic convention in Atlanta. REDDING returned to Atlanta on Monday, March 23, 1987, at about 5:00 p.m. That evening at about 7:00 p.m., he attended a [redacted] at a place across the street from the [redacted] (possibly called the [redacted]

[redacted] greeted everyone and REDDING [redacted] as he passed through the line. He told [redacted] he had just come back from Dallas to [redacted] replied that he hoped REDDING was not looking for a job. REDDING said he was not and very briefly explained that his visit concerned security for the convention. [redacted] made some comment about former [redacted] and then [redacted] continued to greet other people.

b6
b7C

There were a couple of politicians and people at the party. After wandering around for sometime, REDDING went upstairs and [redacted] approached him. There were a lot of people standing around, and [redacted] asked REDDING about all the talk that was going around concerning JULIAN BOND and his friends. REDDING said he did not know what he was talking about. [redacted] replied that [redacted] knew about it and that [redacted] will want to talk to him about it. He continued that a lot of names were going around and that this [redacted] [redacted] REDDING did not know who [redacted] was and had her confused at this time with [redacted] REDDING did not know [redacted] but he would not have known her if she walked in the room, at least up until this time. REDDING asked [redacted] if the [redacted] woman was running her mouth, and [redacted] said no, that it was [redacted] who was talking. REDDING said he understood the [redacted] [redacted] and was running her mouth, but [redacted] said no, it

b6
b7C

was [redacted] then said that [redacted] and REDDING responded that he did not know anything about it.

b6
b7C

REDDING continued to meet people at the party, and [redacted] joined the conversation with him, [redacted] and whoever else may have been around. [redacted] said he knew what they were talking about-JULIAN BOND and all his problems, and that he was familiar with all of it. [redacted] made a remark about [redacted] and REDDING said he did not know anything about it. [redacted] REDDING, and [redacted] then walked off by themselves, and [redacted] walked in. [redacted] told [redacted] he needed to see him right away concerning [redacted] talking with JULIAN BOND. Shortly afterwards, REDDING walked off to socialize and he never did see [redacted] again because of all the people there.

b6
b7C

On Tuesday, March 24, 1987, REDDING went to his office and sometime that morning or afternoon, Major HERMAN GRINER stopped by and said he needed to brief him on the [redacted] case. GRINER then basically told REDDING what he had already learned from Lieutenant [redacted] REDDING asked who [redacted] was and wanted to know if [redacted] was giving them information on JULIAN BOND, and whether she had been [redacted] GRINER corrected REDDING and said it was [redacted] who had come in to furnish information. REDDING asked if there was a record on [redacted] and he told GRINER they needed to find out who she was.

b6
b7C

Sometime that same afternoon, REDDING called [redacted] at City Hall to say he had been wrong the previous evening at the party with regard to [redacted] He said that he now understood that it was [redacted] who had come in to furnish the information, and he added that his department had no record for [redacted] replied that [redacted] and that REDDING needed to brief the Mayor. REDDING said fine, no problem.

b6
b7C

REDDING has known [redacted] for about two to three years and knew he was [redacted] Most of his conversations with [redacted] have concerned [redacted] REDDING said he had no particular information that [redacted] uses drugs, but street talk and rumors that REDDING has heard circulated say that [redacted] and other political people have used cocaine. No one in the police department has ever

b6
b7C

told REDDING that they had a case or investigation on [redacted] or that [redacted] was presently under investigation. b6 b7C

At about 5:00 p.m. on Tuesday, March 24, 1987, REDDING walked into the Mayor's office at City Hall. REDDING knew YOUNG was getting ready to go out of the country. YOUNG was at his desk and REDDING greeted him. YOUNG told him to come in, sit down, and then he proceeded to tell REDDING that the Chief needed to recognize some police officers for winning a second place basketball tournament in Canada. REDDING said he would get a certificate prepared for the Mayor's signature. REDDING then said he guessed the Mayor was familiar with the rumors about JULIAN BOND, and the accusations concerning BOND's friends. YOUNG replied that he was, at least most of it, and he asked REDDING about this woman, [redacted] REDDING said she had [redacted] and that he did not know who she was, but that he did not think she had a record. REDDING said there were rumors going around about [redacted] but REDDING does not remember if he mentioned JULIAN BOND's name. REDDING said the rumors were about drugs. YOUNG replied that he [redacted] He continued that [redacted] YOUNG said he [redacted] This last comment did not phase REDDING because this is the way the Mayor is. YOUNG then asked if REDDING had mentioned [redacted] and whether REDDING had told [redacted] REDDING said he had mentioned [redacted] name, but had not said anything to him.. b6 b7C

YOUNG said [redacted] was not that close to JULIAN and he was not sure if [redacted] had worked in JULIAN's campaign. He told REDDING he (REDDING) needed to mention to [redacted] that JULIAN BOND was having problems. REDDING said okay. The conversation then shifted as YOUNG brought up the topic of his executive protection people, and his concerns that they were not receiving proper training. REDDING assured him they were getting the training, and that he was sending these people to the SECRET SERVICE SCHOOL, Glynco, and not being left out. REDDING said the Mayor was merely expressing concern that these people were not being given similar treatment as other officers in the department. REDDING left the meeting after this and wished him a good trip. b6 b7C

On Wednesday morning, March 25, 1987, REDDING flew to Indianapolis with Atlanta FBI Special Agent in Charge (SAC) WELDON

KENNEDY in the FBI plane. This was another trip concerning security for the Democratic convention. REDDING returned that afternoon to attend a meeting at 5:00 p.m. at the OMNI with Democratic Party officials concerning security. He learned nothing further about the [redacted] case that day.

Sometime between March 25 and Friday, March 27, 1987, REDDING called [redacted] to tell him of the rumors about him and JULIAN BOND. [redacted] said he was not doing anything and that he was going out of town, but when he returned, he would meet with REDDING and his people to answer any questions. REDDING told [redacted] to give him a call or come by, but this was the last contact REDDING has had with [redacted] since that conversation. b6 b7C

On Friday, March 27, 1987, Major GRINER called REDDING to tell him they had found a record for [redacted] but that [redacted] had been arrested under the name [redacted] GRINER also informed the Chief that the DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION (DEA) was investigating her. b6 b7C

On Monday evening at 7:00 p.m., March 30, 1987, there was a graduation ceremony for rookie police officers at City Hall. [redacted] a Deputy Chief with the APD, came up to REDDING and told him that he had heard that [redacted] had made a tape with [redacted] REDDING told [redacted] he was not familiar with it. He then walked over to Major GRINER and asked him for a report on the [redacted] case. REDDING explained that a report to him means really an oral briefing rather than a written report because he prefers this method of communication. REDDING did not tell GRINER when he wanted the report because he did not see an urgency in getting it. He merely wanted to be updated on what was happening with the investigation. REDDING said he did not know how [redacted] came to hear about the tape, but he knows that [redacted] is pretty close to [redacted] may have learned it from him. b6 b7C

On Tuesday, March 31, 1987, at about 11:30 a.m., REDDING met with Commissioner GEORGE NAPPER in NAPPER's office with other DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY chiefs. NAPPER informed everyone he would be out of the country for several weeks and asked if there were any burning issues. REDDING told NAPPER that under a reorganization plan put together by the City Finance Department as a result of a consultant's report, he had to move people under his command to different assignments. The purpose of the transfers is to put more police officers on the street. NAPPER instructed REDDING to go ahead with this. REDDING did not brief the

Commissioner on the [redacted] case or discuss it with him because it was, "still no big deal."

At about 2:00 p.m. the same day, NAPPER came over to REDDING's office for an awards ceremony. This was a public gathering and REDDING announced that NAPPER had given permission for the transfers of personnel. At some point while this was occurring, [redacted] or someone brought the investigative report concerning the [redacted] case to REDDING's office and left it with REDDING's secretary, [redacted] saw the report and called Major GRINER and asked him if he was familiar with it and GRINER said no. GRINER asked her to hold it for him. b6 b7C

After the awards ceremony, REDDING told NAPPER he was going to make all transfers effective on April 14, 1987. REDDING explained that this particular date would coincide with a new pay period, and REDDING has always preferred not transferring people in the middle of a pay period. NAPPER signed one of the transfer orders that day which effected transfers for people within his department to the police bureau. REDDING did not see or read [redacted] investigative report that day.

On Wednesday, April 1, 1987, REDDING started his morning with a meeting of his captains to discuss personnel problems and transfers that effected their men. At about 2:00 p.m., REDDING met with Major GRINER and GRINER gave REDDING [redacted] report. He glanced at it, but the report did not set off a bell that it was important. REDDING set the report in his basket and did not give it any more attention at this time. He then told GRINER he wanted Captain [redacted] in his office at 3:30 p.m. He asked GRINER if he had told [redacted] about [redacted] transfer, and GRINER said he had. GRINER explained that [redacted] only wanted to know if a Major STEED was still at [redacted]. REDDING said he had instructed GRINER to tell [redacted] about two to three days earlier that he had found a place for him [redacted] and had been transferred. b6 b7C

REDDING then told GRINER to get ten names from the volunteer list maintained by Deputy Chief [redacted] so that REDDING could replace the ten oldest plainclothes officer (PCOs) assigned to [redacted] and put ten new ones in. REDDING explained that he was trying to keep a balance of ten in and ten out with a 90 day rotation. He was not particularly happy with the PCO Program and decided that with the new UNDERGROUND ATLANTA about to be completed and the Democratic convention coming next year, he was going to have to discontinue the PCO Program and appoint about 20 detectives b6 b7C

permanently. REDDING was also receiving objections from the Field Operations Division (FOD) to the PCO Program because FOD needed the manpower on the streets. In REDDING's opinion, the PCO Program was falling apart because some PCOs stayed longer than they should have, and because of personnel problems in the unit. REDDING said that after GRINER and [redacted] worked out the names, he was going to sign the order.

REDDING wanted to abolish the Vice Squad which had five officers and one Lieutenant. Eventually, he hoped to have a permanent detective squad in its place. After Major GRINER left the meeting, REDDING met with Deputy Chief [redacted] Major DAVIS, and Lieutenant [redacted] to discuss [redacted] transfer. [redacted] was angry that he was being moved from [redacted] to [redacted] b6 b7C

After the foregoing meeting, REDDING met with Captain [redacted] He does not recall if Major GRINER came back with the names before or after this meeting, but REDDING thinks it was probably after. [redacted] and REDDING talked for about 30 minutes and REDDING told [redacted] he was going to [redacted] said he could work with Major STEED. REDDING asked about the problems of [redacted] and they discussed all the supervisors assigned to [redacted] reminded REDDING that about three to four weeks earlier, REDDING had approved [redacted] said he still wanted to go. REDDING said he should go because it would be a good experience. REDDING can only recall that [redacted] mentioned the [redacted] case concerning a [redacted] This was the first REDDING learned [redacted] had anything to do with the [redacted] case. REDDING was a little suprised, because [redacted] was a supervisor who is not expected to do the job of a police officer or detective. A supervisor is supposed to give guidance and direction to the men under him. [redacted] told REDDING he preferred to go to [redacted] but REDDING reminded him that he had been there before and the Major and he did not get along. b6 b7C

After [redacted] left, GRINER returned to meet with REDDING. GRINER called off the names that were to be transferred into [redacted] and out of [redacted] Only five names were agreed upon between Deputy Chief [redacted] and GRINER because there was insufficient time for them to look into the backgrounds of all the individuals on the list. GRINER gave the list to REDDING's secretary who put it in order form, and [redacted] were on this list to be transferred out. REDDING wanted a day watch lieutenant and evening watch lieutenant with GRINER in overall charge, and no replacement captain for [redacted] REDDING asked GRINER if this had to be put in writing and GRINER said no. b6 b7C

Sergeant [redacted] had been assigned to [redacted] and REDDING had been trying to get her out because of problems with her. REDDING illustrated with two examples, the first being an occasion when [redacted] REDDING wanted to strengthen [redacted] Unit and he had an opportunity to send [redacted] to [redacted] REDDING also allowed [redacted] to [redacted] after her transfer, because he had earlier allowed [redacted] after he had been transferred.

b6
b7C

Sergeant [redacted] had been under transfer to [redacted] at her request, but REDDING rescinded this order because [redacted] decided she wanted to stay where she was presently assigned. REDDING said he dealt with these transfer problems all the time and this was probably one of the most sensitive areas in the department because police officers generally did not want to be transferred.

b6
b7C

On the evening of April 1, 1987, at about 5:00 p.m., REDDING attended a METROPOL meeting at the Depot located at UNDERGROUND ATLANTA. This meeting consisted of officers from the Federal, State, and local level as well as private security. REDDING did not discuss the [redacted] case with anyone at this meeting and no one discussed it with him.

b6
b7C

On Thursday, April 2, 1987, REDDING had a staff meeting in the morning, and he gave out the bulletin that listed the transfers. The transfers were signed on April 1, 1987, and effective April 14, 1987.

On Friday, April 3, 1987, REDDING prepared to leave for a joint chiefs and sheriffs meeting on Saint Simons Island. Deputy Chief [redacted] was [redacted] had a little familiarity with the [redacted] case and she asked REDDING the status of an arrest warrant for [redacted] because she knew Lieutenant [redacted] had previously asked Assistant District Attorney [redacted] to put a hold on it. REDDING did not know about this hold, so he told her to tell Major GRINER to have the warrant served, put [redacted] in jail, and have it all come out in the courts. This was the last REDDING had to do with the [redacted] case.

b6
b7C

On late Wednesday, April 8, 1987, REDDING received a telephone call from [redacted] reporter [redacted] (phonetic), in his room at Saint Simons Island. [redacted] wanted to know about the transfer of the police officers involved in working

the JULIAN BOND case. REDDING told [redacted] he could not discuss the transfers or pending investigation, but off the record, he said the transfers were normal and he did not know what was going on with the case. Also at this conference, REDDING saw Sheriff RICHARD LANKFORD, but they did not discuss the case.

b6
b7C

On Thursday, April 9, 1987, REDDING returned to Atlanta and [redacted] told him that [redacted] had been trying to reach him. REDDING called [redacted] and she told REDDING the press had awakened Mayor YOUNG in Tokyo to ask him if he was using cocaine. [redacted] continued that the Mayor told the reporter that REDDING had briefed him and that he (the Mayor) wanted REDDING to talk with the press. REDDING said he would handle it. That evening the confidential report prepared by [redacted] was in the news.

On Friday, April 10, 1987, REDDING called [redacted] to advise her he was scheduling a 1:00 p.m. meeting with Major ROBINSON, GRINER, and [redacted] (phonetic), [redacted] to brief REDDING on what was going on. He also said he was meeting with [redacted] at 3:00 p.m. concerning YOUNG's request that he talk with the media. Before this meeting, REDDING carefully went through [redacted] report. Still, he was not concerned that it was that significant. In reading the report, REDDING first learned that [redacted] had met with Atlanta police officers and FBI Agents on March 25, 1987. REDDING contacted Major ROBINSON and asked him to investigate the leak to the media and that he wanted a full report from everything that occurred since March 19 concerning the [redacted] matter.

b6
b7C

Sometime between meeting with [redacted] and the reporters, REDDING called Fulton County District Attorney LOUIS SLAYTON to ask what he knew about the JULIAN BOND case and to tell SLAYTON what he knew. REDDING then had Lieutenant [redacted] hand deliver a copy of [redacted] report and the transfer orders to SLAYTON.

b6
b7C

On Monday, April 13, 1987, at a Public Safety Committee meeting, REDDING briefed the council on the [redacted] case.

On April 14, 1987, REDDING asked GRINER where the [redacted] tape was and GRINER said he did not have it. GRINER then made a tape available to him the same day and REDDING, GRINER, and [redacted]

[redacted] listened to it in REDDING's office. This was the first time REDDING heard the tape.

Sometime later the same day when Mayor YOUNG came back to Atlanta, REDDING met with him, GRINER, and [redacted] at an apartment on [redacted] REDDING does not know whose apartment it is or the exact address. [redacted] had earlier called REDDING and said the Mayor was back in town and they needed to brief him on the [redacted] case. At the meeting, REDDING did not play the tape for the Mayor. [redacted] did not have a copy of the tape to REDDING's knowledge. b6 b7C

On April 17, 1987, REDDING and GRINER went back to SLAYTON's office to brief him. SLAYTON asked for a transcript of the tape recording at this time.

REDDING said that Mayor YOUNG has never told him not to investigate any matters or not to continue an investigation.

Captain [redacted] had been transferred to [redacted] He wanted to [redacted] told both GRINER and REDDING of his wishes. On [redacted] filed a grievance alleging that GRINER did not support him and that [redacted] He also requested to be transferred out of [redacted] if his recommendations were not accepted. b6 b7C

On January 20, 1987, GRINER, REDDING, and [redacted] met. GRINER explained to [redacted] that because of the reorganization the department was under, he wanted [redacted] to wait 30 days before changes would be made and he could be transferred.

On March 10, 1987, REDDING and [redacted] met alone and REDDING said he was going to transfer him to [redacted] because he felt [redacted] was well qualified for this. [redacted] asked if he [redacted] and REDDING said no, because [redacted] did not want this transfer and when REDDING informed NAPPER, NAPPER said he did not want anyone assigned to this committee that did not want to be there. b6 b7C

On March 30, 1987, REDDING told GRINER to tell [redacted] that [redacted] would be transferred to [redacted]

Sometime in early March [redacted] had submitted a memorandum requesting [redacted] be permitted to stay on [redacted] for an b6 b7C

additional 90 days. REDDING put a note on it and asked Chief [redacted] if he approved. REDDING does not know if [redacted] approved it, but assumed he probably did. REDDING does not know why [redacted] wanted to keep [redacted] on the unit.

REDDING recalled a fire occurred at City Hall in 1984. Lieutenant [redacted] was assigned to [redacted] [redacted] and REDDING said [redacted] had created a small problem with this assignment by trying to generate too much publicity and not sticking to his [redacted] was transferred from [redacted] sometime after the fire, but REDDING said it had nothing to do with [redacted] investigative efforts to find the arsonist. No one called REDDING to have [redacted] transferred, and REDDING did not even know that [redacted] had been investigating the fire. [redacted] had also had some problems with some of the majors at the MARTIN LUTHER KING CENTER where [redacted] and it was these personnel problems that caused [redacted] to be transferred.

b6
b7C

AT 194C-426-MAIN
CC:dhp
1

Tape 405 (Nagra)

Date: 4/20/87
Time: 12:50 p.m.
Place: Atlanta, Georgia
Participants: SA [redacted] b6 b7C
A. REGINALD EAVES (Fulton County Commissioner)
Purpose: To meet with EAVES and bring him up to date on efforts to rezone property in North Fulton County.

Summary:

At the outset, EAVES said he was late due to having just gotten a "tip" on the drug matter involving JULIAN BOND. Thereafter, during the course of lunch, the conversation would intermittently refer to the BOND affair. EAVES admitted he was out of town the previous week, had missed most of the publicity, and was relatively unfamiliar with all the details. He said he had been briefed on the BOND matter by the police. He indicated the "tip" he had received was a hot one. EAVES indicated the "tip" came from a female. EAVES reiterated his contempt for drugs or anyone who uses them. He said a lot of people have reason for concern in connection with the BOND affair and that a lot depended on [redacted] b6 b7C EAVES admitted that the handling of the entire matter by City and Police Department officials had been poor, particularly relating to the transfer of the three police officers out of the narcotics unit and then labeling the transfers as "routine." EAVES indicated he would have blamed their transfers on the fact that the officers themselves had been responsible for the leaks and had to be transferred to protect the integrity of the ongoing investigation. EAVES added that a Federal Grand Jury is now looking into the BOND matter and that that represents a whole different thing.

72-192-54
SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED OMC FILED ____
APR 30 1987
[redacted] b6 b7C

FORMS.TEXT HAS 1 DOCUMENT

INBOX.1 (#399)

TEXT:

VZCZCWF0034

RR AT JK

DE WF #0034 1272243

ZNR UUUUU

R 072247Z MAY 87

FM FBI WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE (72-306)~~(P)~~(C-2)

TO FBI ATLANTA (72-192)(SQUAD 10)(ROUTINE)

FBI JACKSONVILLE (ROUTINE)

BT

UNCLAS

[redacted] ATLANTA, GEORGIA. OOJ OO:ATLANTA

REFERENCE ATLANTA TELETYPE TO WFO, MAY 5, 1987.

WFO TELCALL TO SA [redacted] ATLANTA ON MAY 7, 1987.

AS ADVISED IN REFERENCED TELCALL, [redacted]

[redacted] WAS INTERVIEWED BY BUAGENTS

INSTANT DATE AND FURNISHES THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION:

[redacted] ACKNOWLEDGES A SOCIAL AND PROFESSIONAL

RELATIONSHIP WITH [redacted]

[redacted] AS A RESULT OF [redacted]

[redacted] WAS INVOLVED IN

b6
b7C
b7D

72-192-55

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED DMC FILED DMC
MAY 7 1987
FBI—ATLANTA

[redacted]

[redacted] ADVISES THAT HE HAS NEVER OBSERVED [redacted] USE ANY FORM OF CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES NOR HAS HE SEEN [redacted] UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF DRUGS OR ALCOHOL. HE ADDITIONALLY ADVISES THAT NO INFORMATION HAS BEEN BROUGHT TO HIS ATTENTION THAT [redacted] OR ANY OF HIS IMMEDIATE STAFF WERE INVOLVED IN DRUG USAGE OR THE DISTRIBUTION OF CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES.

b6
b7C
b7D

[redacted] DESCRIBES HIS ASSOCIATION WITH JULIAN BOND AS BEING PRIMARILY PROFESSIONAL WITH SOME SOCIAL OVERTONES AS IT RELATES TO VARIOUS SOCIAL FUNCTIONS ATTENDED BY SAME. [redacted] IDENTIFIES THE PERIOD OF ASSOCIATION WITH BOND AS BEING BETWEEN [redacted] CHARACTERIZING THOSE OCCASIONS AS BEING PRIMARILY OF A PROFESSIONAL NATURE WITH LESS INTENSITY THAN THAT OF THE INVOLVEMENT WITH [redacted] HE STATES THAT THE MAJORITY OF THOSE OCCASIONS WERE RELATED TO HIS POSITION AS [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D

72-192-55

[redacted]

[redacted] ASSOCIATION, [redacted] ADVISES THAT HE HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO OBSERVE [redacted] IN A SOCIAL SETTING ON NUMEROUS OCCASIONS DURING WHICH TIME HE DID NOT OBSERVE DRUG UTILIZATION ON THE PART OF EITHER INDIVIDUALS. HE ALSO STATES THAT HE HAS NO PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE OF BOND EVER HAVING SOLICITED, DISTRIBUTED OR USED CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES BUT HAS BEEN PARTY TO HEARSAY INFORMATION REGARDING BOND'S DRUG USAGE. [redacted] DESCRIBES THOSE SITUATIONS AS BEING PRIMARILY SOCIAL IN NATURE CHARACTERIZING ONE EVENT DESCRIBED AS A POLITICAL RECEPTION WHEREBY SEVERAL LOCAL GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS JOKINGLY COMMENTED THAT IF BOND WERE EVER GIVEN A URINALYSIS EXAMINATION THAT HE (BOND) WOULD BE IN TROUBLE. [redacted] STATES THAT SEVERAL SUCH COMMENTARIES HAVE BEEN OVERHEARD IN THE PAST, HOWEVER, HE IS UNABLE TO ATTRIBUTE THEM TO ANY SPECIFICALLY INDIVIDUAL OR SPECIFIC

b6
b7C
b7D

[illegible] JUR PE WF [illegible] UNCLAS

CITED EVENT.

[redacted] BELIEVES THAT [redacted] WOULD BE WILLING TO BE INTERVIEWED BY BUREAU AGENTS REGARDING THIS MATTER STATING THAT IN HIS OPINION, [redacted] WOULD BE ONE OF THE FEW INDIVIDUALS THAT WOULD BE IN A POSITION TO PROVIDE DETAIL INFORMATION AS TO BOND'S LIFE STYLE ON A HISTORICAL BASIS.

b6
b7C
b7D

[redacted] STATES THAT HE HAS NO PERSONAL INFORMATION NOR HAS HE HEARD OF ANY SPECIFIC POLITICAL OFFICIALS IN THE ATLANTA AREA WHO HAS USED OR DISTRIBUTED COCAINE OR OTHER CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES. [redacted] STATES, HOWEVER, THAT A LOCATION IDENTIFIED AS [redacted] IS A LOCATION FREQUENTED BY VERY HIGH RANKING LOCAL GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS TO INCLUDE [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D

FD-302 (REV. 3-10-82)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1

5/6/87
Date of transcription

[redacted] was interviewed at his office, [redacted] Atlanta, Georgia, telephone number [redacted] He was shown the identification of the interviewing Agent, advised of the purpose of the interview, and he thereafter furnished the following information: b6 b7C

[redacted] resides at [redacted]

On the afternoon of Monday, March 23, 1987, [redacted] called [redacted] called him. [redacted] said he and [redacted] are very close personal friends and usually have some sort of contact each day. [redacted] told [redacted] that [redacted] had come to talk with him several days earlier about [redacted] JULIAN BOND. According to [redacted] told [redacted] that [redacted] had [redacted] sought advice from [redacted] but it seemed he was really trying to confirm the actions he had already taken concerning the information she provided. [redacted] told [redacted] that [redacted] presented him with an ethical problem, and that he needed to go to another agency or open an investigation himself concerning the allegations she made. He also advised [redacted] that he could not [redacted] thinks that he suggested to [redacted] that [redacted] contact either GEORGIA BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION (GBI) or FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION (FBI) with the information. [redacted] did not suggest to [redacted] that [redacted] advise the [redacted] about the information he learned, and [redacted] did not call [redacted] himself concerning it. b6 b7C

On the evening of [redacted] there was a [redacted] at the [redacted] located near the OMNI. [redacted] had received a mailed invitation to the party, but he does not know who sent it. [redacted] invited [redacted] and it was understood pursuant to this conversation that a donation of about $25 to $50 would b6 b7C

Investigation on 5/5/87 at Atlanta, Georgia File # Atlanta (AT) 72-192

by SA [redacted] tbs Date dictated 5/6/87

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

GPO : 1986 O - 499-243

be made. [redacted] talked [redacted] into going to the party and he went with [redacted] separately from [redacted] b6 b7C

At the party, [redacted] noted that there were two floors on the premises. He went upstairs and it appeared that the building had been converted from an office because there were three or four cubicles that looked out into a larger area. The whole place was jammed with people. [redacted] walked by one of the cubicles and stopped because he recognized some of the faces: [redacted] and possibly someone else, but [redacted] is not sure. He caught the tail end of the conversation as he walked in, but he did not really know what they were talking about. [redacted] asked [redacted] what was going on, but [redacted] seemed preoccupied and did not respond. He then asked in a low voice, mostly directed at [redacted] if the conversation was about JULIAN, but again, he did not respond and no one else did either. At the same time, [redacted] was shaking his head up and down and saying, "Well, we're looking at it." [redacted] said this several times. [redacted] seemed to be doing all the talking, and somewhere in this conversation, [redacted] heard JULIAN BOND's name mentioned, but he did not hear a particular phase or sentence that he could understand to make sense of the conversation. b6 b7C

[redacted] left right after making the foregoing remark, and [redacted] stood there seemingly talking to himself and saying that he had never done cocaine in his life, but had done a little reefer before. [redacted] did not say anything to [redacted] about this remark, and [redacted] continued talking with himself asking if his name was being circulated. At this point, [redacted] spoke to [redacted] and reminded him that he [redacted] and that a lot of things had been said during the campaign. b6 b7C

[redacted] has known JULIAN and [redacted] b6 b7C

Sometime between late December and the end of February, 1987, [redacted] tried to call JULIAN BOND at home out of concern because he knew JULIAN was devastated by his loss for the Congressional seat won by JOHN LEWIS. [redacted] response was complete shock. [redacted] told [redacted] that [redacted] b6 b7C

When [redacted] did, [redacted] answered the telephone and told [redacted] he would leave a message for JULIAN to call, but JULIAN never did.

b6
b7C

[redacted] first learned that [redacted] had gone to the ATLANTA POLICE DEPARTMENT to make allegations against [redacted] when the story broke in the news media. Up until this point, the only information he had that she had made any allegations at all were those made to [redacted]

Memorandum

To : SAC, ATLANTA (72-192) Date 5/5/87

From : SA [redacted] #10

Subject [redacted] EOC.

On 5/1/87, SA [redacted] contacted attorney [redacted]. [redacted] to ask if his client, [redacted] meet with FBI SAs to discuss captioned matter. [redacted] said he talks [redacted] every day and moved find out what per [illegible] made on the subject.

On 5/5/87, SA [redacted] recontacted [redacted] and the latter advised that [redacted] wishes to be left alone and does not [illegible] talk with anyone.

b6
b7C

(2) - 72-192
1-245B-259
1-245C-154
1-26A-61047

72-192-57

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED DMY FILED DMC
MAY 05 1987
FBI — ATLANTA

FORMS.TEXT HAS 1 DOCUMENT

INBOX.1 (#431)

TEXT:

VZCZCJK0008

RR AT JN WF

DE JK #0008 1282303

ZNR UUUUU

R 0823022 MAY 87

FM JACKSONVILLE (72-117) ~~(P)~~

TO ATLANTA (72-192) ROUTINE

JACKSON ROUTINE

WFO (SQ. C-2) ROUTINE

BT

UNCLAS

[redacted] ATLANTA, GEORGIA OOJ OO: ATLANTA

RE ATLANTA TELETYPE TO JACKSONVILLE, DATED MAY 5, 1987.

[redacted] WAS

INTERVIEWED ON MAY 8, 1987, AT [redacted]

[redacted] AND PROVIDED THE FOLLOWING:

b6
b7C
b7D

ON [redacted]

[redacted]

ON [redacted] AND

DURING A CONVERSATION WITH AUSA [redacted]

72-192-58

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED DM FILED DM
MAY 8 1987
FBI—ATLANTA

[redacted] JACKSON FBI OFFICE, ADVISED THAT HE HAD SOME INFORMATION REGARDING NARCOTICS USE BY A MEMBER OF SUBJECT'S FAMILY.

[redacted] STATED THAT HE IS [redacted] WHILE HE WAS AFFILIATED WITH THIS COMPANY HE MET IN [redacted] AN INDIVIDUAL BY THE NAME OF [redacted] AT THAT TIME WAS A [redacted] AND WHILE WITH [redacted] HE HANDLED [redacted] WITH THE [redacted] EVENTUALLY PERSUADED [redacted] TO COME OVER TO [redacted] COMPLIED, BRINGING WITH HIM [redacted] AS A RESULT, [redacted] THROUGH HIS [redacted] ASSOCIATION WITH [redacted] WHO KNOWS [redacted] QUITE WELL.

b6
b7C
b7D

[redacted] FIRST MET [redacted] DURING [redacted] BUT IT WAS NOT UNTIL THE [redacted] THAT [redacted] WITNESSED ANY DRUG ACTIVITY ON THE PART OF [redacted] WHO [redacted] DESCRIBED AS [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D

THE FIRST TIME [redacted] WITNESSED [redacted] ACTIVITY WAS

A DATE UNRECALLED SOMETIME IN THE [redacted] IT WAS ALLEGEDLY AFTER [redacted] HAD ATTENDED A [redacted] THE PARTY WAS HELD AT [redacted] AFTER THE PARTY WAS OVER, [redacted] APPROACHED [redacted] AND ASKED THE TWO IF THEY WOULD LIKE TO GO TO HIS [redacted] CONDO FOR SOME AFTER-PARTY-DRINKS. [redacted] THAT THEY WOULD PROBABLY BENEFIT FROM THE INVITATION SO [redacted] WENT. [redacted] COULD NOT RECALL THE NAME OF THE CONDO OR THE EXACT LOCATION BUT INDICATED THAT TO FIND IT ONE WOULD TRAVEL ON [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D

THERE WERE TWO SEPERATE PARTIES TO INCLUDE THE ONE ABOVE AND THEY WERE ABOUT [redacted] EACH TIME [redacted] WITNESSED LARGE AMOUNTS OF COCAINE, MARIJUANA AND BOOZE BEING CONSUMED BY THOS IN ATTENDANCE TO INCLUDE [redacted] PLATES OF "COKE" WERE OBSERVED. OF THOSE ATTENDING [redacted] ONLY KNEW [redacted] AND [redacted] HE ALSO NOTED THAT IT WAS A RACIALLY MIXED PARTY. AND HE SAID THAT CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS WERE SEEN, TO INCLUDE [redacted] GOING

b6
b7C
b7D

TO AND FROM BEDROOMS WITH WOMEN AT EACH OF THE TWO PARTIES. HE DID NOT KNOW IF THE WOMEN WERE PROSTITUTES. THE MO WAS THE SAME AT BOTH PARTIES.

[redacted] HAS NO INFORMATION THAT WOULD LINK SUBJECT OR JULIAN BOND TO ANY DRUG RELATED ACTIVITY AND HE FOUND IT HARD TO BELIEVE THAT JULIAN BOND WOULD BE SO INVOLVED.

b6
b7C
b7D

IN CONCLUSION [redacted] SAID THAT [redacted] WOULD BE ABLE TO FURNISH ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ABOUT ACTIVITIES INVOLVING ATLANTA CITY GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS IF HE WAS WILLING TO DO SO. HE ALSO IDENTIFIED [redacted] AS ANOTHER. ACCORDING TO [redacted] USED TO WORK AT [redacted] AND OTHERS, [redacted] WAS TASKED BY [redacted] FOR COCAINE AND HE WAS ONE OF THEIR MAIN SUPPLIERS WHEN ASKED. [redacted] SAID THAT HIS [redacted] HAS A PHOTO OF [redacted] AND HE WOULD MAKE IT AVAILABLE TO JACKSONVILLE [redacted] AT WHICH TIME HE WOULD OBTAIN IT. HE DESCRIBED [redacted] AND POINTED OUT THAT A LIEUTENANT ON THE ATLANTA P.D., WHO IS IN CHARGE OF [redacted] KNOWS [redacted] VERY WELL AND WOULD BE ABLE

b6
b7C
b7D

TO LOCATE HIM IF SO DESIRED BY ATLANTA.

FD-302 TO FOLLOW.

LEADS, JACKSONVILLE, AT [redacted] OBTAIN PHOTO OF [redacted] AND FURNISH TO ATLANTA.

b6
b7C
b7D

BT

#0008

NNNN

FD-302 (REV. 3-10-82)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 5/18/87

On May 12, 1987, [redacted] was personally served with a subpoena at the [redacted] concerning her appearance before the Federal Grand Jury, [redacted] acknowledged receipt of the subpoena by signing on the original.

b3
b6
b7C

Investigation on 5/12/87 at Atlanta, Georgia File # Atlanta 72-192 -60

by SA [redacted] :dhp Date dictated 5/14/87

b6
b7C

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

AO 110 (Rev. 10/82)

SUBPOENA TO TESTIFY BEFORE GRAND JURY

United States District Court

DISTRICT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA #86-2

TO:

SUBPOENA FOR

☐ Person

☒ Document or Object

b3
b6
b7C

YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED to appear in the United States District Court at the location, date, and time specified below to testify before the Grand Jury in the above entitled case.

PLACE

United States Courthouse
Richard Russell Building
75 Spring Street, S.W.
Atlanta, GA 30335

COURTROOM

Grand Jury

DATE AND TIME

b3
b6
b7C

YOU ARE ALSO COMMANDED to bring with you the following document(s) or object(s):[1]

☐ *Please see additional information on reverse*

This subpoena shall remain in effect until you are granted leave to depart by the court or by an officer acting on behalf of the court.

CLERK

(BY) DEPUTY CLERK

DATE

May 12, 1987

This subpoena is issued on application of the United States of America by:

United States Attorney

NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER OF ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY

Suite 1800 Richard Russell Building
75 Spring Street, S.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30335

b6
b7C

FBI 87-00708

1) If not applicable, enter "none."

RETURN OF SERVICE(2)

RECEIVED BY SERVER	DATE 5/12/87	PLACE Atlanta, Ga
SERVED	DATE 5/12/87	PLACE Atlanta

SERVED ON (NAME)

[redacted]

b3
b6
b7C

SER[redacted]		TITLE SA, FBI

STATEMENT OF SERVICE FEES

TRAVEL	SERVICES	TOTAL

DECLARATION OF SERVER(3)

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information contained in the Return of Service and Statement of Service Fees is true and correct.

Executed on _____ _____
Date *Signature of Server*

_____
Address of Server

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

2) As to who may serve a subpoena and the manner of its service see Rule 17(d), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, or Rule 45(c), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

3) "Fees and mileage need not be tendered to the witness upon service of a subpoena issued on behalf of the United States or an officer or agency thereof (Rule 45(c), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; Rule 17(d), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure) or on behalf of certain indigent parties and criminal defendants who are unable to pay such costs (28 USC 1825, Rule 17(b) Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure)"

AO 110 (Rev. 10/82)

SUBPOENA TO TESTIFY BEFORE GRAND JURY

United States District Court

DISTRICT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA #86-2

TO:

SUBPOENA FOR

☐ Person
☒ Document or Object

YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED to appear in the United States District Court at the location, date, and time specified below to testify before the Grand Jury in the above entitled case.

b3
b6
b7C

PLACE

United States Courthouse
Richard Russell Building
75 Spring Street, S.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30335

COURTROOM
Grand Jury

DATE AND TIME

YOU ARE ALSO COMMANDED to bring with you the following document(s) or object(s):[1]

b3
b6
b7C

☐ *Please see additional information on reverse*

This subpoena shall remain in effect until you are granted leave to depart by the court or by an officer acting on behalf of the court.

CLERK

(BY) DEPUTY CLERK

DATE
May 12, 1987

This subpoena is issued on application of the United States of America by:
United States Attorney

NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER OF ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY
AUSA
Suite 1800 Richard Russell Building
75 Spring Street, S.W.
Atlanta, GA 30335

b6
b7C

FBI /87-00708

1) If not applicable, enter "none."

RETURN OF SERVICE[2]

RECEIVED BY SERVER	DATE 5/18/87	PLACE ATLANTA, GA.
SERVED	DATE 5/18/87	PLACE ATLANTA, GA.

SERVED ON (NAME)

[redacted]

b3
b6
b7C

SERVE[redacted] TITLE SA FBI

F SERVICE FEES

TRAVEL	SERVICES	TOTAL

DECLARATION OF SERVER[3]

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information contained in the Return of Service and Statement of Service Fees is true and correct.

Executed on _____ *Date*

Signature of Server

Address of Server

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

2) As to who may serve a subpoena and the manner of its service see Rule 17(d), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, or Rule 45(c), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

3) "Fees and mileage need not be tendered to the witness upon service of a subpoena issued on behalf of the United States or an officer or agency thereof (Rule 45(c), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; Rule 17(d), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure) or on behalf of certain indigent parties and criminal defendants who are unable to pay such costs (28 USC 1825, Rule 17(b) Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure)"

FD-302 (REV. 3-10-82)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 5/18/87

On May 12, 1987, [redacted] was personally served with a subpoena at [redacted] concerning her appearance before the Federal Grand Jury, [redacted] acknowledged receipt of the subpoena by signing on the original.

b3
b6
b7C

Investigation on 5/12/87 at Atlanta, Georgia File # Atlanta 72-192

by SA [redacted] dhp Date dictated 5/14/87

b6
b7C

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

AO 110 (Rev. 10/82)

SUBPOENA TO TESTIFY BEFORE GRAND JURY

United States District Court

DISTRICT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA #86-2

TO:

SUBPOENA FOR

☐ Person
☒ Document or Object

YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED to appear in the United States District Court at the location, date, and time specified below to testify before the Grand Jury in the above entitled case.

PLACE

United States Courthouse
Richard Russell Building
75 Spring Street, S.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30335

COURTROOM
Grand Jury

DATE AND TIME

b3
b6
b7C

YOU ARE ALSO COMMANDED to bring with you the following document(s) or object(s):[1]

☐ *Please see additional information on reverse*

This subpoena shall remain in effect until you are granted leave to depart by the court or by an officer acting on behalf of the court.

CLERK

(BY) DEPUTY CLERK

DATE
May 12, 1987

This subpoena is issued on application of the United States of America by:
United States Attorney

NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER OF ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY
AUSA
Suite 1800 Richard Russell Building
75 Spring Street, S.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30335

b6
b7C

FBI 87-00708

1) If not applicable, enter "none."

RETURN OF SERVICE[2]

RECEIVED BY SERVER	DATE 5/12/87	PLACE ATLANTA, GA.
SERVED	DATE 5/12/87	PLACE ATLANTA, GA.

b3
b6
b7C

S	TITLE SA FBI

STATEMENT OF SERVICE FEES

TRAVEL	SERVICES	TOTAL

DECLARATION OF SERVER[3]

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information contained in the Return of Service and Statement of Service Fees is true and correct.

Executed on _____ *Date*

_____ *Signature of Server*

_____ *Address of Server*

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

2) As to who may serve a subpoena and the manner of its service see Rule 17(d), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, or Rule 45(c), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

3) "Fees and mileage need not be tendered to the witness upon service of a subpoena issued on behalf of the United States or an officer or agency thereof (Rule 45(c), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; Rule 17(d), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure) or on behalf of certain indigent parties and criminal defendants who are unable to pay such costs (28 USC 1825, Rule 17(b) Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure)"

FD-302 (REV. 3-10-82)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 5/18/87

On May 12, 1987, [redacted] was personally served with a subpoena at [redacted] concerning her appearance before the Federal Grand Jury, [redacted] acknowledged receipt of the subpoena by signing on the original.

b3
b6
b7C

Investigation on 5/12/87 at Atlanta, Georgia File # Atlanta 72-192

by SA [redacted] :dhp Date dictated 5/14/87

b6
b7C

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

FD-302 (REV. 3-10-82)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 5/18/87

The below Agent personally served a subpoena to testify before a Federal Grand Jury, Northern District of Georgia (NDGA), Atlanta, Georgia, on [redacted] accepted this subpoena for [redacted] compelling [redacted] appearance on [redacted] then introduced Special Agent (SA) [redacted] but no substantive conversation occurred. [redacted] acknowledged receipt of the subpoena by signing for it.

b3
b6
b7C

Investigation on 5/12/87 at Atlanta, Georgia File # Atlanta 72-192 -HB

by SA [redacted] dhp Date dictated 5/14/87

b6
b7C

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

AO 110 (Rev. 10/82)

SUBPOENA TO TESTIFY BEFORE GRAND JURY

United States District Court

DISTRICT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA #86-2

TO: [redacted]

SUBPOENA FOR

☒ Person
☐ Document or Object

YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED to appear in the United States District Court at the location, date, and time specified below to testify before the Grand Jury in the above entitled case.

b3
b6
b7C

PLACE

United States Courthouse
Richard Russell Building
75 Spring Street, S.W.
Atlanta, GA 30335

COURTROOM
Grand Jury
[redacted]

DATE AND TIME
[redacted]

YOU ARE ALSO COMMANDED to bring with you the following document(s) or object(s):[1]

☐ *Please see additional information on reverse*

This subpoena shall remain in effect until you are granted leave to depart by the court or by an officer acting on behalf of the court.

CLERK
[signature]
(BY) DEPUTY CLERK

DATE
May 12, 1987

This subpoena is issued on application of the United States of America by:
United States Attorney

NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER OF ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY
AUSA [redacted]
Suite 1800 Richard Russell Building
75 Spring Street, S.W.
Atlanta, GA 30335
[redacted]

b6
b7C

FBI [redacted] 87-00708

1) If not applicable, enter "none."

RETURN OF SERVICE[2]

	DATE	PLACE
RECEIVED BY SERVER	5/12/87	ATLANTA, GA.
SERVED	5/12/87	ATLANTA, GA.

SERVI

SERVI

SPECIAL AGENT, FBI

b3
b6
b7C

STATEMENT OF SERVICE FEES

TRAVEL	SERVICES	TOTAL

DECLARATION OF SERVER[3]

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information contained in the Return of Service and Statement of Service Fees is true and correct.

Executed on _____ *Date*

_____ *Signature of Server*

_____ *Address of Server*

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

2) As to who may serve a subpoena and the manner of its service see Rule 17(d), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, or Rule 45(c), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

3) "Fees and mileage need not be tendered to the witness upon service of a subpoena issued on behalf of the United States or an officer or agency thereof (Rule 45(c), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; Rule 17(d), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure) or on behalf of certain indigent parties and criminal defendants who are unable to pay such costs (28 USC 1825, Rule 17(b) Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure)"

FD-36 (Rev. 8-26-82)

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:
- [x] Teletype
- [ ] Facsimile
- [ ] _____

PRECEDENCE:
- [ ] Immediate
- [x] Priority
- [ ] Routine

CLASSIFICATION:
- [ ] TOP SECRET
- [ ] SECRET
- [ ] CONFIDENTIAL
- [ ] UNCLAS E F T O
- [x] UNCLAS

Date 5/15/87

00215

lin 13

FM ATLANTA (72-192) ~~(P)~~ (SQ 10)

TO DIRECTOR PRIORITY

BT

UNCLAS

ATTENTION: SSA [redacted] PUBLIC CORRUPTION UNIT; WCC SECTION

[redacted] OOJ

b6
b7C

REFERENCE ATLANTA TELELTYPE TO BUREAU MAY 15, 1987, AND TELCAL BETWEEN SPECIAL AGENT (SA) [redacted] AND SSA [redacted] MAY 15, 1987.

ON MAY 13, 1987, ATTORNEY [redacted] REPRESENTING [redacted] FILED A SEALED MOTION BEFORE USDJ, OWEN FORRESTER, REQUESTING APPOINTMENT OF SPECIAL COUNSEL TO INVESTIGATE VIOLATIONS OF RULE 6E, ~~FRCP~~ Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure (FRCP). NEWS MEDIA, ATLANTA, GEORGIA, HAVE REPORTED INFORMATION INVOLVING ISSUANCE OF SUBPOENAS, THAT SUBJECT AND [redacted] WERE TARGETS

b3
b6
b7C

72-192-64

1-Atlanta
OGH:lin
(1)

SEARCHED
SERIALIZED DMC
INDEXED
FILED DMC

Approved: WZR/JP Transmitted 21 0651 Per GLB
(Number) (Time)

☆U.S. Government Printing Office: 1984—421-613/6241

OF THE GRAND JURY, AND OTHER MATTERS RELATING TO GRAND JURY PROCEEDINGS. THESE LEAKS HAVE CONTINUED SINCE THE BEGINNING OF THE INVESTIGATION, AROUND APRIL 8, 1987. THE LEAKS HAVE ALLUDED TO A FEDERAL SOURCE OR FEDERAL SOURCES IN ATTRIBUTING THE REPORTED INFORMATION. AT THIS TIME, IT IS NOT KNOWN WHAT JUDGE FORRESTER INTENDS TO DO CONCERNING THE MOTION OR WHEN HE WILL RULE ON IT.

ATLANTA SAC, WELDON L. KENNEDY, IS FULLY AWARE OF THIS MATTER AND IS SATISFIED THAT THE LEAKS HAVE NOT COME FROM THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION (FBI). ON MAY 15, 1987, AUSA [redacted] ADVISED SPECIAL AGENT (SA) [redacted] THAT HE WOULD DETERMINE WHETHER THE SEALED MOTION COULD BE MADE AVAILABLE TO THE FBI. IF IT CAN BE RECEIVED, A COPY WILL BE SUBMITTED BY FEDERAL EXPRESS TO FBIHQ ASAP. b6 b7C

CONCERNING THE INVESTIGATION, IT IS ANTICIPATED AT THIS TIME THAT INDICTMENTS WILL NOT ISSUE. AFTER A REVIEW OF THE GRAND JURY TESTIMONY IS CONDUCTED, A FINAL DETERMINATION WILL BE MADE.

BT

FD-36 (Rev. 8-26-82)

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:
☑ Teletype
☐ Facsimile
☐ _____

PRECEDENCE:
☐ Immediate
☑ Priority
☐ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:
☐ TOP SECRET
☐ SECRET
☐ CONFIDENTIAL
☐ UNCLAS E F T O
☐ UNCLAS

Date 5/12/87

im 2

FM ATLANTA (72-192) ~~(P)~~ (SQ 10)

TO DIRECTOR PRIORITY

132/0009S

BT

UNCLAS

[redacted] OOJ; OO: ATLANTA b6 b7C

REFERENCE TELELTYPE TO BUREAU, APRIL 15, 1987, AND BUREAU AIRTEL TO ATLANTA, APRIL 27, 1987.

THE FOLLOWING IS A SUMMARY OF RECENT DEVELOPMENTS AND INVESTIGATION IN CAPTIONED MATTER.

THE PRIMARY FOCUS OF THIS INVESTIGATION IS TO DETERMINE WHETHER SUBJECT AND ANY OTHER INDIVIDUALS OBSTRUCTED JUSTICE WHEN ONE OR MORE TELEPHONE CALLS WERE MADE TO [redacted] FORMER GEORGIA STATE SENATOR AND CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATE, JULIAN BOND. [redacted] WAS TO MEET WITH FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION (FBI) AGENTS AND OFFICERS FROM THE ATLANTA POLICE DEPARTMENT ON MARCH 25, 1987, TO TALK ABOUT ALLEGATIONS OF PUBLIC CORRUPTION b6 b7C

72-192

72-192-65

OUT-GOING
SEARCHED
SERIALIZED OMC
INDEXED
FILED OMC

1- Atlanta
OGH.im
(1)

Approved: [signature] Transmitted _____ (Number) 1910 (Time) Per [signature]

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE : 1984 O - 449-465

IN ATLANTA. SHE CHANGED HER MIND AFTER RECEIVING ONE PHONE CALL AT THE ~~SAME~~ MOMENT SHE WAS READY TO DEPART FOR THE MEETING, AND LATER THE SAME DAY SHE TOLD AN OFFICER FROM THE ATLANTA POLICE BUREAU (APB) THAT SHE WAS THROUGH WITH THE WHOLE MATTER AND WOULD NO LONGER COOPERATE AFTER RECEIVING SEVERAL ADDITIONAL CALLS FROM UNNAMED PERSONS.

SUBJECT HAS SINCE ADMITTED IN A PUBLIC STATEMENT THAT HE MADE THE TELEPHONE CALL AT THE TIME [redacted] WAS PREPARING TO LEAVE HER HOME TO MEET WITH FBI AGENTS. OTHER CALLERS REMAIN UNIDENTIFIED. [redacted] WAS SCHEDULED TO APPEAR BEFORE A FEDERAL GRAND JURY ON [redacted] BUT HER APPEARANCE WAS CONTINUED BECAUSE SHE NEEDED ADDITIONAL TIME TO [redacted] b3 b6 b7C

RANKING OFFICERS OF THE NARCOTICS ENFORCEMENT UNIT (NEU) OF THE APB HAVE BEEN INTERVIEWED IN AN EFFORT TO DETERMINE WHETHER ANY OF THEM CONTACTED SUBJECT OR [redacted] APB CHIEF MORRIS REDDING, HAS ALSO BEEN INTERVIEWED. REDDING SAID HE FURNISHED A VERY SUPERFICIAL BRIEFING ON [redacted] ON MARCH 24, 1987, [redacted] BUT HE HIMSELF HAD NOT RECEIVED AN EXTENSIVE BRIEFING UP TO THIS b6 b7C

POINT WHICH COULD BE SHARED WITH SUBJECT. REDDING DID NOT KNOW ABOUT THE SCHEDULED MEETING BETWEEN [redacted] AND FBI AGENTS ON MARCH 25, 1987, UNTIL ALMOST A WEEK AFTER THE EVENT. b6 b7C

UNITED STATES CONGRESSMAN, JOHN LEWIS, WAS ALSO INTERVIEWED BECAUSE OF INFORMATION ATLANTA HAD THAT LEWIS WAS AWARE OF JULIAN BOND'S COCAINE USE. LEWIS WAS ALSO AN ATLANTA CITY COUNCILMAN FOR MANY YEARS AND A WELL KNOWN FOE OF A LARGE NUMBER OF ATLANTA CITY OFFICIALS. LEWIS SAID HE WAS AWARE OF THE RUMORS CONCERNING JULIAN BOND'S DRUG USE BUT HE NEVER HAD SPECIFIC INFORMATION ABOUT IT. HE IS NOT AWARE THAT SUBJECT USES OR HAS EVER USED COCAINE.

THE ATLANTA CITY ATTORNEY WROTE A LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA, REQUESTING THAT NO SUBPOENAS BE SERVED ON SUBJECT, ANY OF HIS ASSISTANTS OR THE CHIEF OF POLICE, SINCE ALL WOULD WILLINGLY APPEAR BEFORE A FEDERAL GRAND JURY IF ASKED. PURSUANT TO THIS SPIRIT OF COOPERATION, [redacted] WERE REQUESTED TO APPEAR BEFORE THE FEDERAL GRAND JURY ON [redacted] [redacted] WERE ADVISED IN THE HAND DELIVERED LETTERS THAT THEY WERE TARGETS OF A GRAND JURY INVESTIGATION. b3 b6 b7C

SUBJECT HAS RETAINED [redacted] TO REPRESENT HIM, AND [redacted] CONFERRED WITH UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, ROBERT BARR, ON MAY 7, 1987, CONCERNING [redacted] APPEARANCE BEFORE THE FEDERAL GRAND JURY. [redacted] HAS REQUESTED A TWO WEEK CONTINUANCE IN ORDER TO GIVE HIM TIME TO CONDUCT HIS OWN INVESTIGATION. UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, BARR, IS PREPARED TO ISSUE A FORTH WITH SUBPOENA TO [redacted] ON MAY 12, 1987, TO COMPEL HIS APPEARANCE ON [redacted] SHOULD SUBJECT NOT VOLUNTARILY APPEAR AS HE ORIGINALLY PROMISED.

b3
b6
b7C

[redacted] IS REPRESENTED BY A FORMER ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, [redacted] HAS WRITTEN A LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY MAKING NUMEROUS DISCOVERY REQUESTS, AND FROM INDICATIONS IN THE LETTER IT WOULD APPEAR THAT [redacted] IS PREPARED TO TAKE THE FIFTH AMMENDMENT IF HE APPEARS BEFORE THE FEDERAL GRAND JURY.

b3
b6
b7C

ATLANTA HAS CONDUCTED ONLY A MINIMAL AMOUNT OF INVESTIGATION CONCERNING THE DRUG ALLEGATIONS MADE BY [redacted] INFORMATION THAT SUBJECT, [redacted] AND OTHER PROMINENT ATLANTA POLITICAL FIGURES USE OR HAVE USED COCAINE WILL BECOME VERY RELEVANT ONLY IF SUFFICIENT INFORMATION IS DEVELOPED TO SUPPORT AN OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE

b6
b7C

VIOLATION. TESTIMONY OF SUBJECT, [redacted] ARE CRUCIAL TO A SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTION OF AN OBSTRUCTION CASE. b6 b7C

THE BUREAU WILL BE KEPT ADVISED OF FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS IN THIS INVESTIGATION.

BT

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOI/PA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET
FOI/PA# 1335162-0

Total Deleted Page(s) = 178
Page 2 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 25 ~ Duplicate;
Page 31 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 34 ~ Duplicate;
Page 36 ~ Duplicate;
Page 37 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 38 ~ Duplicate;
Page 39 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 40 ~ Duplicate;
Page 41 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 42 ~ Duplicate;
Page 43 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 44 ~ Duplicate;
Page 45 ~ Duplicate;
Page 46 ~ Duplicate;
Page 47 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 48 ~ Duplicate;
Page 49 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 50 ~ Duplicate;
Page 51 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 52 ~ Duplicate;
Page 53 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 54 ~ Duplicate;
Page 61 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 65 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;
Page 76 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 77 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 78 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 79 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 80 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 81 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 82 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 83 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 84 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 85 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 86 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 87 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 88 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 89 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 90 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 105 ~ Duplicate;
Page 106 ~ Duplicate;
Page 107 ~ Duplicate;
Page 108 ~ Duplicate;
Page 109 ~ Duplicate;
Page 110 ~ Duplicate;
Page 111 ~ Duplicate;
Page 119 ~ b3;

Page 120 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 121 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 122 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 123 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 124 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 125 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 126 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 127 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 128 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 129 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 130 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 131 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 132 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 133 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 134 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 135 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 136 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 137 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 138 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 139 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 140 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 141 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 142 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 143 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 144 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 145 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 146 ~ b3;
Page 147 ~ b3;
Page 148 ~ b3;
Page 149 ~ b3;
Page 150 ~ b3;
Page 151 ~ b3;
Page 152 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 153 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 154 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 155 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 156 ~ b3;
Page 157 ~ b3;
Page 158 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 159 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 160 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 161 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 162 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 163 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 164 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 165 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 166 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 167 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 168 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 169 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 170 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 171 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 172 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 173 ~ b3; b6; b7C;

Page 174 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 175 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 176 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 177 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 178 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 179 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 180 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 181 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 182 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 183 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 184 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 185 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 186 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 187 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 188 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 189 ~ b3;
Page 190 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 191 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 192 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 193 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 194 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 195 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 196 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 197 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 198 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 199 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 200 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 201 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 202 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 203 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 204 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 205 ~ b3;
Page 206 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 207 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 208 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 209 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 210 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 211 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 212 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 213 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 214 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 215 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 216 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 217 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 218 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 219 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 220 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 221 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 222 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 223 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 224 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 225 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 226 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 227 ~ b3; b6; b7C;

Page 228 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 229 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 230 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 231 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 232 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 233 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 234 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 235 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 236 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 237 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 238 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 239 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 240 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 241 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 242 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 243 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 244 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 245 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 246 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 247 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 248 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 249 ~ b3; b6; b7C;

```
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X   Deleted Page(s)    X
X   No Duplication Fee X
X   For this Page      X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
```

Memorandum

To : SAC, ATLANTA (194C-426)(SQ 10) ~~(P)~~ Date 1/5/88

From : SSA [redacted]

Subject : [redacted]

(OO: ATLANTA)

b6
b7C
b7E

By letter dated 12/24/87, AUSA [redacted] advised that attorneys for subjects [redacted] are attempting to gain access to closed case files concerning [redacted] but the Government is opposing those efforts. The court will rule on that issue after the Government's responses have been submitted. The letter further requests that the FBI take steps to insure that any files the FBI has concerning [redacted] not be destroyed until this matter is resolved.

A copy of the letter from AUSA [redacted] is attached hereto as part of this memo.

IN VIEW OF THE REQUEST OF THE AUSA, FILES TO WHICH THIS MEMO HAVE BEEN DESIGNATED SHOULD NOT BE DESTROYED UNTIL CLEARANCE FOR SUCH DESTRUCTION HAS BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE U. S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE IN ATLANTA.

1 - 194C-426
1 - 194C-342
1 - 194-433
1 - 194-50
1 - 194-0 (Volume(s) containing Serials 28 & 34)
1 - 194-68
1 - 183-173
1 - 92-1602
1 - 26A-61285
1 - 194-324
1 - 194C-550
1 - 183-49
1 - 92-1685
1 - 46-2886
1 - 92-1465
1 - 72-192
1 - 92-1199
1 - 87E-25356
JLC:dhp
(18) dhp

FILE AS TOP SERIAL

72-192 - TOP Serial

SEARCHED ___ INDEXED ___
SERIALIZED ___ FILED ___
JAN 5 1988
FBI-ATLANTA

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription

[redacted] was interviewed by Special Agent (SA) [redacted] who identified himself as a Special Agent of the FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION (FBI). [redacted] was advised of the purpose of the interview and thereafter voluntarily provided the following information:

b6
b7C
b7D

[redacted] advises that he is currently employed as the [redacted] and has been employed in that capacity since [redacted] confirms that he has been both a personal and professional acquaintance of [redacted] beginning in approximately [redacted] until [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D

[redacted] advises that he has had numerous occasions to visit with [redacted] between [redacted] primarily on a professional basis through [redacted] Additionally [redacted] advises that he [redacted] were he worked on the [redacted] and, as such, attended both professional conferences and social gatherings where [redacted] would be present. Previous to these commitments, [redacted] advises that while a [redacted] had an opportunity to meet on several occasions with [redacted] while [redacted] was the [redacted] advises that he also came in contact with numerous other state and local officials in Georgia through [redacted] having [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D

Investigation on 5/7/87 at [redacted]. Washington Field File#72-306

by SA [redacted] WCB:tmc Date Dictated 5/11/87

b6
b7C
b7D

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

As a result of those contacts, [redacted] advises that he has never personally observed [redacted] utilize cocaine or an other controlled substance. He advises that he has never seen [redacted] intoxicated on controlled substances or alcoholic beverages nor does he allow such occurrences to take place in his presence. [redacted] advises that he, to the best of his knowledge, has never observed any other government official involved in the use or distribution of controlled substances nor has any rumors of such activities been brought to his attention that could be verified.

b6
b7C
b7D

Regarding JULIAN BOND, [redacted] advises that he has met BOND on many occasions between [redacted] on primarily a professional basis. [redacted] states that he was less involved on a professional and social basis with JULIAN BOND than he was with [redacted] however, he did have contact with BOND in both a social setting and in a professional atmosphere. He advises his primary dealings with BOND was again with a [redacted] states that for an approximate [redacted] who was a close personal friend of JULIAN BOND. [redacted] states that [redacted] While a [redacted] advises that BOND came to [redacted] on several occasions to see [redacted] The meetings primarily involved [redacted] and at no time did [redacted] observe BOND under the influence of controlled substances or alcohol. [redacted] describes [redacted] as being a moderate to heavy drinker who "held his liquor very well" but never knew [redacted] to be in the possession of or use controlled substances. [redacted] advises that [redacted] is currently a [redacted] and that he [redacted] had had last been in telephone contact with [redacted] approximately three months ago.

b6
b7C
b7D

[redacted] also recalls having [redacted] JULIAN BOND while BOND was head of the local chapter of the NAACP which afforded [redacted] an opportunity to have observed BOND in both a social and professional environment. [redacted] advises that he never observed BOND utilizing a controlled substances nor does he have any information that BOND had been involved in a distribution of controlled substances.

[redacted] acknowledges that he has overheard conversations which should be considered as rumors to the effect that JULIAN BOND is a user of cocaine and/or other controlled substances. When asked to specifically identify the source of the heresay information, [redacted] advises that such comments were numerous and widespread and that he could not specifically isolate the event or individuals who may have engaged in that

b6
b7C
b7D

72-192-666

type of conversation. [redacted] advises that he recalls that during one campaign fundraiser, several local politicians were engaged in a conversation where a comment was made that if BOND "ever had to piss in the bottle, he'd be in trouble." [redacted] advises that he recalled that this was just prior to the election before last at a reception and that the topic of conversation among the group of politicians dealt with drug testing.

b6
b7C
b7D

[redacted] offers his opinion that [redacted] would not be the type of person to involve himself in drug usage or drug sales. [redacted] then comments that in the case of JULIAN BOND, he would have to give it a "question mark."

[redacted] advises that his last contacts with individuals in the Atlanta City Government was in [redacted] and that he could not pinpoint anyone specifically in [redacted] that he knew to be a drug user or be involved directly or indirectly in drug sales. He states that he purposely avoided bringing any attention to himself in regards to drugs or narcotics activities in order to enhance his "spotless" image in the Atlanta community. He stated that specifically he did not want to involve himself with anyone at "City Hall" because of the "safety factor" of the image that he was attempting to portray in order to avoid risk in his other "undertakings."

b6
b7C
b7D

[redacted] also described himself as [redacted] He advises that he was not one of the "good ol' boys" explaining that the inner-circle with whom both BOND and [redacted] were associated was a very close and long standing group that did not totally except outsiders. [redacted] states that the best source of information regarding "black politics" in Atlanta to include a historical prospective of both BOND and [redacted] would be again the "ol' guard people" in the city of Atlanta itself. [redacted] does state however that it has been a long standing tradition that the inner-circle politicians met on a daily basis during the week days for [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D

[redacted] is described as follows:

b6
b7C
b7D

[redacted]

Airtel

5/12/87

TO: SAC, ATLANTA (72-192)(SQ. 10)

FROM: SAC, WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE (72-306)(RUC)(C-2)

[redacted]
ATLANTA, GEORGIA;
OO:J; OO:ATLANTA

b6
b7C

Reference Atlanta teletype to WFO dated 5/5/87; WFO teletype to Atlanta dated 5/7/87.

Enclosed for Atlanta are the following:

An original and two copies of an FD-302 reflecting an interview of [redacted] on 5/7/87, and one FD-340 envelope containing original notes of interview of [redacted]

Interview at [redacted] on 5/7/87, of [redacted] failed to substantiate personal knowledge of use or sale of cocaine by [redacted] or associates of [redacted] acknowledges rumors of drug use on the part of JULIAN BOND but again denies any firsthand knowledge of drug use or sale.

b6
b7C
b7D

[redacted] JULIAN BOND and [redacted] is identified by [redacted] as having been [redacted] characterized [redacted] as being a person that would be most knowledgeable of BOND's background and personal pursuits. [redacted] describes his lack of firsthand knowledge concerning use or sale of cocaine by [redacted] or other public officials by characterizing his attempts to remove himself from any such activities in order to maintain a "spotless" reputation in the Atlanta community, while otherwise involving himself in [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D

2-Atlanta (72-192)
1-WFO (72-306)

WCB:tmc
(3)

72-192-67

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED ____ FILED ____

MAY 15 ...

FBI-ATLANTA

With the submission of [redacted] interview, all investigative leads set forth for the Washington Field Office has been completed therefore this matter is being considered RUC.

b6
b7C
b7D

FD-302 (REV. 3-10-82)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 5/18/87

[redacted] appeared at the Office of the United States Attorney, Richard B. Russell Building, Atlanta, Georgia. She turned over all the requested documents to Special Agent (SA) [redacted] pursuant to subpoenas personally served on [redacted] SA [redacted] made copies of all the documents [redacted] furnished pursuant to instructions from Assistant United States Attorney (AUSA) [redacted] and returned the originals to [redacted] Copies of these documents were made in the presence of [redacted] at the United States Attorney's Office.

b3
b6
b7C

Investigation on 5/13/87 at Atlanta, Georgia File # Atlanta 72-192-168

by SA [redacted] :dhp Date dictated 5/14/87

b6
b7C

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

FD-302 (REV. 3-10-82)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1 4/24/87

Date of transcription

Captain [redacted] was interviewed at [redacted] Atlanta, Georgia. He was advised of the purpose of the interview and advised the following: b6 b7C

He was assigned to [redacted] of the ATLANTA POLICE DEPARTMENT (APD) on [redacted] or close to that date. Either Chief of Police MORRIS REDDING or Public Safety Commissioner GEORGE NAPPER had made the Administrative Decision to remove the Captains from the various Zones at the time and he was thus transferred under the command of Major GRINER, who is the overall Commander of the [redacted] Upn reporting, GRINER informed him that he would only command the [redacted] The previous Captain assigned to [redacted] immediately prior to [redacted] transfer had been second in charge of all sub-units in [redacted] under GRINER. [redacted] stated that he found all the [redacted] to be very disorganized as far as the chain of command was concerned, the lack of communication between the various units, and the fact that there appeared to be a large number of detectives assigned to the units who appeared to have a large number of years of service yet did not appear to be very productive. He explained that [redacted] is composed of the [redacted] b6 b7C
His unit consisted of approximately 30 patrolmen, investigators, and recruits, referred to as "30-day wonders." His most experienced officers had 15, 12, and 7 years in the Unit, respectively. Approximately one month after he was assigned to the Unit [redacted] wrote a series of recommendations to make the [redacted] more efficent and recommending that the detectives with greater seniority be moved to other positions in the Department. He turned these recommendations over to his supervisor, Major GRINER, who did not respond in any manner to the suggestions. He neither stated that they were worthwhile or not worthy of merit. [redacted] stated that GRINER merely ignored him. Some time later [redacted] personally contacted Chief REDDING, his next superior in line on a day when GRINER was not on duty. He pointed out the obvious problems to REDDING, especially the fact that there were a number of detectives assigned to the Section for years who had no production. He also added, as an example of the lack of coordination and the

4/15/87	Atlanta, Georgia	Atlanta (AT) 72-192-69
Investigation on	at	File #
SA [redacted] : tbs		4/16/87
by		Date dictated

b6 b7C

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

GPO : 1986 O - 499-243

duplication of effort, the fact that his Unit executed a search warrant on a drug house on Bell Street one week and the Intelligence Unit, which also worked some narcotic matters, subsequently worked to obtain a search warrant for the same address, hit it a week later and only found the front door laying on the floor where his Unit had left it after their search. Chief REDDING acknowledged that he did have a probelm in [redacted] but was willing to have Captain [redacted] transfer to another position. REDDING asked him to wait for a couple of weeks, but nothing happened for a couple of months. After this time, [redacted] Major GRINER and the matter was taken up in Chief REDDING's office. [redacted] indicating that the matter had been settled. REDDING again asked that [redacted] give him a couple of weeks to remedy the situation and again asked if [redacted] wanted to transfer elsewhere, to which [redacted] indicated that he did. The last time that REDDING contacted him regarding a possible transfer was approximately one to one and a half months ago when he indicated that he would like [redacted] to accept assignment in the [redacted] to assist in finishing an [redacted] indicated that he would not be happy in this assignment and he received a telephone call the following day from Chief REDDING indicating that Commissioner NAPPER did not want anyone assigned to the Office who did not want to be there. That was the last discussion he had regarding his transfer with REDDING prior to March 19, 1987.

b6
b7C

During the week of [redacted] was on leave while he was [redacted] He received a telephone call from [redacted] one of his patrolmen assigned to the [redacted] in which [redacted] recounted the fact that [redacted] had come in to make a statement regarding [redacted] expressed concern that the information furnished by [redacted] was extremely important and he had recorded her statement. He also indicated that he had contacted the writer and Special Agent [redacted] of the FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION (FBI), and that Lieutenant [redacted] and other unidentified members of the [redacted] had attempted to gain access to [redacted] However, she had refused to go with them and expressed concern that they were aware that she had appeared [redacted] stated that his understanding was that only [redacted] his partner, [redacted] second-in-command, Lieutenant [redacted] were

b6
b7C

present of his unit when [redacted] made her statement. [redacted] told [redacted] to bring the original tape to him and he would review it as soon as he could. He was given the tape about 6:00 or 7:00 p.m. that evening and later reviewed it in Major ECHOLS' vehicle. His review indicated that [redacted] furnished information which could be used in developing a case against [redacted] The rest of the information was merely belief or personal knowlege on the part of [redacted] of personal use by others known to her and JULIAN in the black community. [redacted] stated that he felt the original tape would be best in the hands of the FBI and made arrangements to turn it over to the writer. He learned from [redacted] that Lieutenant [redacted] made copies of the tape for himself, [redacted] and possibly Major GRINER. [redacted] stated that he ordered [redacted] to begin working up the background of [redacted] and, upon his return to duty on Monday morning, they began to set up the planned purchase from [redacted] He briefed Major GRINER of the allegations made by [redacted] and expressed his personal conviction that there were not any great disclosures regarding the political leadership of the city. It was [redacted] belief that GRINER did not believe that her information was actually so lacking in criminal knowledge concerning other political figures. He did not receive any further inquiries from any other members of the APD nor any member of the City Administration during this period of time regarding the [redacted] matter.

b6
b7C

[redacted] continued making plans to purchase cocaine from [redacted] in conjunction with the Drug Squad Agents of the FBI. Money had been authorized to be used for a small preliminary purchase of cocaine and to [redacted] explained that, under past Unit Commanders, his Unit had usually made arrests of "street dealers" sellng nickle and dime bags of drugs on street corners. These dealers were usually young people with little economic resources and education. [redacted] felt that his Unit should be concerned with the individuals involved in the distribution of drugs to these "street dealers." However, the official policy of his superiors and, allegedly, the City Administration, was that anything above the street level was to be handled only by the "Feds." In addition, on two occasions Major GRINER told [redacted] "Anything we have regarding elected officials, we don't work. We pass the information on to the District Attorney's Office for them to work." One of the times GRINER repeated this to [redacted] was after he was briefed regarding the [redacted] statement.

b6
b7C

On April 1, 1987, Captain [redacted] was advised that he had

been transferred from [redacted] effective [redacted] When he personally contacted Chief REDDING that date to handle the administrative close-out functions as [redacted], REDDING did not mention the [redacted] matter at all, nor did he indicate that any other transfers were imminent regarding any other members of [redacted] Unit. When [redacted] himself, mentioned the plans they had to make a purchase of cocaine from [redacted] and later to make an arrest, REDDING did not make any comment one way or another. When [redacted] asked him whether he should still [redacted] or if another officer should better [redacted] REDDING advised him to go ahead and [redacted] as he felt all his officers in command should have knowledge of narcotics. When [redacted] indicated that he did not plan to involve himself in narcotics investigations after his transfer to [redacted] REDDING told him to [redacted]

b6
b7C

On April 2, 1987 [redacted] learned that Officers [redacted] and [redacted] had been officially transferred from the [redacted] Also transferred at the same time were three "90-day wonders" and a female, Sergeant [redacted] who had been assigned to the Unit for a [redacted] Because neither of the two officers who had been working the [redacted] case nor he would be in place after April 14, 1987, he notified the FBI that he did not want to continue the proposed activity against [redacted] He based this call on the fact that there would be no continuity through the tenure of the operation against [redacted] nor could he guarantee the security of the operation as it appeared that the information furnished by [redacted] was apparently causing serious repercussions within the Department. [redacted] noted [redacted] In addition, he noted that the "90-day wonders" ordered transferred from the Unit with [redacted] were black and that one of the other officers transferred with them [redacted] Further, he explained that the ordinary transfer date of "90-day wonders" had actually occurred approximately three and one-half weeks earlier when some had been transferred and others held over for continued duties with the Unit. [redacted] explained that it is common for such offices to carry over for two or three 90-day terms in the [redacted] and he felt the latest transfer to be out of the ordinary scheme of activities. He also learned, after he reported on duty at [redacted] that Major STEED had personally protested without avail to Chief

b6
b7C

REDDING after an officer from the Precinct was directly transferred to the [redacted] to replace the transferred officers. STEED reportedly characterized this officer as a [redacted] b6 b7C

[redacted] stated that the request for the memorandum setting forth the allegations made by [redacted] did not come through channels. It was his understanding that Major GRINER personally ordered [redacted] to prepare the memorandum for the information of Chief REDDING on March 31, 1987, and they complied that date. GRINER reportedly was miffed when [redacted] personally delivered the original memorandum to Chief REDDING's office, while giving a copy to Major GRINER and leaving a copy on [redacted] desk. It was his understanding that [redacted] confidential memorandum was also prepared at the same time and he presumed that the same distribution was done with that document. [redacted] noted that he still has his copies of the two memoranda and the tape recording secured in a safe location. b6 b7C

[redacted] who questioned him regarding the transfers and whether they were connected with the [redacted] interview. [redacted] b6 b7C stated that he had not been assigned on duty with the [redacted]

[redacted] reiterated that he has not been the recipient of any query about [redacted] information by any superior other than Major GRINER, nor has he been contacted by any member of the City Government Administration regarding the matter. However, he personally believes that all the transfers and the fact that no other investigation into [redacted] allegations appears underway on the part of the Police Department are a direct result of an attempt to close down the investigation. b6 b7C

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 4/22/87

[redacted] was interviewed at the Atlanta Division of the FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION (FBI). [redacted] being familiar with the identity of the interviewing Agent and nature of the interview, provided the following information:

b6
b7C

[redacted] was employed as a police officer with the ATLANTA POLICE DEPARTMENT (APD) on [redacted] and attended the mandatory police training between [redacted] Upon graduation, [redacted] was assigned to [redacted] responsible for routine police duties. In [redacted] was assigned to the [redacted] of the [redacted] was assigned to the [redacted] immediate supervisors were Sergeant [redacted] and Lieutenant [redacted] In [redacted] was re-assigned to the [redacted] until his current assignment to [redacted] commencing on [redacted] Prior to employment at the APD, [redacted] was a [redacted] from [redacted]

b6
b7C

On March 19, 1987, at approximately 2:40 p.m., [redacted] was present in the [redacted] located at 2001 Martin Luther King Drive, Southwest, Atlanta, when he [redacted] was confronted by a woman who stated that she wanted to [redacted] was on his way to the restroom and pointed the woman in the direction of [redacted] partner, [redacted] and advised that [redacted] would take her statement.

b6
b7C

[redacted] stated that Lieutenant [redacted] who is in charge of the [redacted] was acting in charge of [redacted] in Major HERMAN GRINNER's absence. Sergeant [redacted] was [redacted] immediate supervisor. [redacted] stated that Lieutenant [redacted] was in the process of departing [redacted] enroute to a staff meeting. [redacted] recalled [redacted] departure as [redacted] provided [redacted] with a copy of a report relevant to an unrelated incident which occurred the previous day and which [redacted] needed to be prepared to brief the staff.

b6
b7C

Investigation on 4/17/87 at Atlanta, Georgia File # AT 72-192-70

by SA [redacted] cms Date dictated 4/21/87

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

GPO : 1986 O - 499-243

Upon [redacted] return to the cubicle in which he instructed the female to meet with [redacted] observed [redacted] telling the woman that he [redacted] needed to speak with her. [redacted] remarked that he would be back at 4:30 p.m. and wanted to speak with her then. Upon [redacted] departure, [redacted] asked [redacted] if [redacted] knew who the female was. [redacted] explained that the female was [redacted] The meeting between [redacted] was then transferred to the conference room. (Approximately two days later, [redacted] advised [redacted] that [redacted] informed [redacted] that he was working [redacted] and had a driver's license photo of [redacted] stated that upon entering the conference room, [redacted] departed for approximately ten minutes, which later [redacted] informed [redacted] that he contacted Special Agent (SA) [redacted] with the FBI. Upon [redacted] return to the conference room with Lieutenant [redacted] placed a cassette recorder on the conference table and asked [redacted] if she minded if they recorded the conversation. [redacted] consented to the recording and was interviewed by [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted] stated that during [redacted] absence, [redacted] started interviewing [redacted] and wrote approximately two and one-half pages of notes, which were subsequently reiterated during the tape recorded interview.

b6
b7C

[redacted] stated that although [redacted] was under stress because of the situation, her demeanor was calm and she was sure of herself. [redacted] advised [redacted] that she saw [redacted] and was at the point where she [redacted] stated that she [redacted]

[redacted] stated that [redacted] was directed to [redacted] was concerned over the fact that she did not want [redacted] to know that [redacted] [redacted] advised [redacted] that during JULIAN's campaign, she [redacted]

b6
b7C

After the interview was concluded on March 19, 1987, [redacted] gave [redacted] his beeper number and advised that he would get started the following Monday, and if anything came up in the meantime, to have him paged. [redacted] escorted [redacted] down the elevator and to the parking area. [redacted] got word that [redacted] keys were sitting on [redacted] desk. As [redacted] proceeded to the parking area, [redacted] and (First Name Unknown) (FNU) [redacted] rode down the elevator with [redacted] handed [redacted] keys over to [redacted] in the parking lot, and as [redacted] was returning to the building, [redacted] was approaching [redacted] later advised [redacted] that [redacted] approached [redacted] who advised [redacted] that she was through with it all and did not want to talk to anyone else. [redacted] immediately got into her car and left. All of the parties then returned to the office upon [redacted] departure.

b6
b7C

[redacted] advised that he recalls hearing conversation coming over the police radio, in which Lieutenant [redacted] was attempting to have a four digit pager number paged after [redacted] contacted [redacted] over the radio and asked if [redacted] was still in the office. [redacted] responded negatively and to the best of [redacted] recollection, [redacted] was directing either the radio or [redacted] to have the pager number contacted.

b6
b7C

[redacted] proceeded to the Internal Affairs Division on an unrelated issue. Upon their return, [redacted] entered into conversation. [redacted] advised that he was in the process of duplicating tapes from the [redacted] interview. Subsequently, [redacted] and [redacted] the original and one copy, as well as retaining one copy for himself. [redacted] stated that at this time there were three tapes of the [redacted] interview. Later in the evening, [redacted] furnished Captain [redacted] with the original [redacted] interview tape at the MARRIOTT MARQUIS, Atlanta.

b6
b7C

[redacted] advised that by March 24, 1987, several attempts to reach [redacted] telephonically at her residence were unsuccessful, necessitating [redacted] driving to [redacted] residence on the evening of March 24, 1987. [redacted] spoke personally with [redacted] and a meeting was set for Wednesday, March 25, 1987. [redacted] advised [redacted] that she would not go out of the house alone and always with a friend. [redacted] mentioned that [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted] While at [redacted] JULIAN had taken [redacted] b6 b7C
stated that [redacted] was still willing to cooperate and that the participants would be [redacted] Captain [redacted] and two FBI Agents. [redacted] consented and the meeting was set for approximately 11:00 a.m. on March 25, 1987. [redacted] stated that the conversation lasted approximately fifteen to twenty minutes.

On March 25, 1987, [redacted] arrived at work at approximately 10:00 a.m. and was informed by [redacted] that the 11:00 meeting with [redacted] had been postponed until 1:00 or 1:30 p.m. [redacted] advised that immediately prior to [redacted] departing to pick up [redacted] Lieutenant [redacted] asked [redacted] to drop off a van at the shop to have new tags placed on it. [redacted] advised [redacted] that he was enroute to pick up [redacted] and advised [redacted] that he would handle the detail after the [redacted] meeting. b6 b7C

[redacted] proceeded to the [redacted] residence at approximately 12:55 p.m. and after knocking twice, [redacted] responded and stated that she would be out in a minute. [redacted] spoke with [redacted] through a front window, which presumably was her bedroom window. Approximately ten minutes later, [redacted] appeared and together in [redacted] vehicle, proceeded to the [redacted] While enroute, [redacted] stated that she just received a telephone call from a personal friend who told her not to talk to the police or any Federal Agents. [redacted] advised that he prepared a confidential Intelligence Report, dated March 25, 1987, which was all inclusive of [redacted] statements and events pertaining to [redacted] contact that particular day. b6 b7C

[redacted] and Captain [redacted] spoke in [redacted] office the evening of March 25, 1987, at approximately 6:00 p.m. and discussed the matter of the telephone calls to [redacted] and her refusal to cooperate. Also, the drug deal with [redacted] was discussed and it was decided that the investigation would continue.

On April 20, 1987, this interview was resumed at the Atlanta Office of the FBI. b6 b7C

On March 31, 1987, Lieutenant [redacted] advised [redacted] in person at the [redacted] office, that he [redacted] wanted all of the [redacted] activity and contents of the tape

b6
b7C

recording reduced to writing that day. [redacted] remarked that he wanted this matter reported to the Chief's Office through the Major. The actual tape interview was not requested or discussed at this time.

[redacted] advised that a two-page memorandum was prepared from the [redacted] interview of March 19, 1987, and a one-page Intelligence Report, dated March 25, 1987. [redacted] copied the reports and handed [redacted] six copies of each, which were to be given to Captain [redacted] Major GRINNER, Lieutenant [redacted] Chief REDDING, the FBI, and [redacted] [redacted] advised that he had no more dealings with the reports. [redacted] stated that he was aware that Chief REDDINGS' copy had been hand-delivered personally by [redacted] On March 31, 1987, at approximately 4:00 p.m., Lieutenant [redacted] approached [redacted] and asked if a copy of the reports were provided to Major GRINNER. [redacted] was contacted and stated it was on GRINNER's desk. A search ensued and the report was never located. Lieutenant [redacted] then provided Major GRINNER with a copy.

b6
b7C

On April 1, 1987, [redacted] stated that [redacted] advised him that Captain [redacted] was being transferred to [redacted] as [redacted] stated that [redacted] approached [redacted] at the office and knowing [redacted] had already been transferred, asked [redacted] "Are we next?" [redacted] responded, "It's out of my hands."

b6
b7C

On April 2, 1987, [redacted] and Captain [redacted] met with Special Agents of the FBI; [redacted] The purpose of the meeting was to discuss the [redacted] investigation. Deputy Chief [redacted] was aware of the meeting and investigation. After the meeting, the parties returned to their office, and at approximately 4:00 p.m., Major GRINNER's secretary, [redacted] (Last Name Unknown) (LNU), advised [redacted] that he was going back to uniform on April 14, 1987. [redacted] confronted Captain [redacted] and the two of them went to GRINNER's office. GRINNER showed [redacted] the order, which re-assigned [redacted] and three other narcotic investigators who were temporaries. The order was effective April 14, 1987. GRINNER advised [redacted] was already aware of the transfers. [redacted] questioned GRINNER and advised GRINNER that he had several cases under investigation. GRINNER stated to discuss the matter with [redacted] and Sergeant [redacted] in order to have the cases worked. [redacted] specifically asked GRINNER about the [redacted] case, in which GRINNER responded that he was going to let Homicide/Assault Squad or the

b6
b7C

Sheriff's Office handle the [redacted] warrant. GRINNER did not discuss the drug aspect of the investigation.

b6
b7C

On April 3, 1987, GRINNER spoke with [redacted] near the Coke machine at the office. GRINNER stated, "I want you to know that the transfer had nothing to do with you personally." [redacted] stated that he assumed, at the time, that because of GRINNER's dislike for [redacted] that he [redacted] was being transferred because of their association.

On April 3, 1987, Captain [redacted] spoke with Special Agent (SA) [redacted] and cancelled the [redacted] drug deal and investigation because of the current circumstances.

b6
b7C

[redacted] investigative reporter, reported the [redacted] investigation on television and subsequently, a newspaperman, [redacted] called [redacted] at the office and asked if the [redacted] also asked if the names [redacted] meant anything to him. [redacted] did not discuss the matter with [redacted] and went to [redacted] and apprised him of the situation. [redacted] advised [redacted] not to speak with [redacted] stated that [redacted] called back a second time with a significant amount of facts concerning the investigation. [redacted] advised [redacted] and Lieutenant [redacted] that [redacted] has the facts down, and [redacted] responded, "If he's got the story, tell him to run it." [redacted] advised that he telephoned [redacted] and advised him that he could not meet with him. [redacted] mentioned the date of March 31, 1987, and states that he saw something with [redacted] name on it. [redacted] advised [redacted] at this time that Lieutenant [redacted] advised [redacted] not to speak with him.

b6
b7C

On April 9, 1987, [redacted] advised [redacted] that he surrendered his cassette recording to [redacted] Assistant District Attorney. [redacted] advised [redacted] that he needed to get [redacted] copy of the tape back so he [redacted] could make copies for Major GRINNER and the Mayor.

b6
b7C

On April 10, 1987, [redacted] was at the Grand Jury on an unrelated drug case. Outside of the Grand Jury room, [redacted] (phonetic), Prosecutor for LEWIS SLAYTON's office, discussed the fact that [redacted] was going to [redacted] for the [redacted] tape so he could make copies for GRINNER and the Mayor. [redacted] remarked that he did not think GRINNER and the Mayor needed to hear the tape, but felt [redacted] would return the tape to [redacted]

[redacted] advised that transfers generally are handled every ninety days. Two weeks prior to [redacted] notification of transfer, several other officers were transferred as a part of the routine ninety day procedure. At that time, [redacted] having the routine order list in hand, commented to [redacted] "Are you satisfied now that you're not being moved?" [redacted] understanding is that they were re-upped for ninety days and there was no reason to expect there were other transfers on the way. [redacted] advised [redacted] that "as long as he [redacted] had a home up here, you and [redacted] had a home up here." [redacted] stated that he felt the transfers were unusual due to the timing and because the previous procedures were not followed.

b6
b7C

Memorandum

To : SAC, ATLANTA (72-192) (SQ 10) ~~(P)~~ Date 5/18/87

From : SA [redacted]

Subject : [redacted]
ATLANTA, GEORGIA
OOJ

(OO: ATLANTA)

b6
b7C

Re memo of SSA [redacted] dated 4/20/87.

On 4/22/87, [redacted] was interviewed at [redacted] He provided the following information:

[redacted] stated he is [redacted] He said [redacted] made the case on him. [redacted] had copies of Sunday, 10/19/86, Atlanta Journal and Constitution newspaper in which members of the APD alleged there were insufficient funds and manpower to conduct long-term type investigations. [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D

[redacted] alleged that [redacted] with Fulton County District Attorney LEWIS SLATON, was on the payroll of local gamblers for a number of years, probably since [redacted] identified these gamblers as [redacted] another local gambler, started paying [redacted] off in [redacted] told this to [redacted] himself. [redacted] is dead now; but [redacted] should know or these payoffs to [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D

[redacted] recalled that in 1981 he was called in for a meeting at [redacted] Sgt. [redacted] and Investigator [redacted] The officers explained to [redacted] that there was a leak in the APD, that [redacted]

(2) - 72-192
JTP:dhp
(2) dhp

72-192-71

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED DMC FILED DMC
MAY 18 1987
FBI — ATLANTA

was receiving the information leaked, and they wanted to know who was leaking it to him. [redacted] denied receiving any information but said it was common knowledge among [redacted] in Atlanta that information was being leaked by [redacted] was leaking information to a [redacted] who in turn passed it on to other numbers people. [redacted] in Atlanta, [redacted] recalled one incident wherein he was tipped off on [redacted] said to call [redacted] and he confirmed the information given by [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D

[redacted] said that in [redacted] At that time [redacted] told [redacted] that [redacted] was in an institution being treated for drug addiction. [redacted] did not volunteer which institution [redacted] was at. [redacted] also said that [redacted] both went to [redacted] to party and sniff cocaine. [redacted] was furnishing [redacted] with cocaine as well as Attorney [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D

[redacted] said that [redacted] once told him he was paying [redacted] $500 per week for protection. [redacted] said this was a form of blackmail since [redacted] could do little for him.

FD-515 (Rev. 6-6-85)
ACCOMPLISHMENT REPORT
(Effective 10/1/85)
(Submit within 30 days from date of accomplishment)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM: SAC, ATLANTA
SUBJECT: [redacted]

ATLANTA, GEORGIA
OOJ

(OO: ATLANTA)

[redacted]
Bureau File Number
72-192
Field Office File Number
10
Squad or RA Number
Agent's Social Security No
[redacted]

Date 5/20/87

Investigative Assistance or Technique Used

Were any of the investigative assistance or techniques listed below used in connection with accomplishment being claimed? ☒ No ☐ Yes - If Yes, rate each used as follows:
1 = Used, but did not help 3 = Helped, substantially
2 = Helped, but only minimally 4 = Absolutely essential

b6
b7C
b7E

1. Acctg Tech Assistance Rating	8. Eng Sect. Tape Exams Rating	15. Photographic Coverage Rating	22. Telephone Toll Recs
2. Aircraft Assistance	9. Hypnosis Assistance	16. Polygraph Assistance	23. UCO Group I
3. Computer Assistance	10. Ident Div Assistance	17. Search Warrants Executed	24. UCO Group II
4. Consensual Monitoring	11. Informant Information	18. Show Money Usage	25. UC Other
5. ELSUR - FISC	12. Lab Div Exams	19. Surveil Sqd (SOG) Asst	26. NCAVC/ VI-CAP
6. ELSUR - Title III	13. Lab Div Field Support	20. SWAT Team Action	27. Visual Invest - Analysis (VIA)
7. Eng Sect. Field Support	14. Pen Registers	21. Tech Agt. or Tech Equip	

A. Preliminary Judicial Process (Number of subjects)

Complaints	Informations	Indictments

B. Arrests, Locates, Summonses or Subpoenas Served (No. of Subj.)

	Subject Priority* A	B	C
FBI Arrests -			
FBI Locates -			
Local Arrests -			

FBI Subj Resisted _____; Armed _____

Subpoenas Served 1
Criminal Summons _____
Local Crim. Summons _____

C. Release of Hostages or Children Located: (Number of Hostages or Children Located)

Hostages Held By Terrorists _____; All Other Hostage Situations _____

Missing or Kidnaped Children Located _____

D. Recoveries, Restitutions, or Potential Economic Loss Prevented (PELP) (Explain valuation in remarks)

Property Type Code*	Recoveries	Restitutions	PELP Type Code*	Potential Economic Loss Prevented
	$	$		$
	$	$		$
	$	$		$
	$	$		$
	$	$		$

E. Civil Matters

	Government Defendant	Government Plaintiff
Amount of Suit	$	$
Settlement or Award	$	$ Enter AFA Payment Here

F. Final Judicial Process. Judicial District 1 (District, State) Conviction or Pretrial Div Date _____ Sentence Date _____ No. of Subjects _____ Acquitted _____ Dismissed _____

Subject 1 Subject Description Code* -

☐ Felony / ☐ Misdemeanor	Conviction: Title	Section	Counts	Combined Sentence: In-Jail Yrs	Mos	Suspended Yrs	Mos	Probation Yrs	Mos

☐ Plea ☐ Trial ☐ Pretrial Diversion

Total Fines $
Add consecutive sentences together.
Enter longest single concurrent sentence
Do not add concurrent sentences together.
Sentence 10 yrs -8 yrs susp. = 2 yrs In-Jail.

Subject 2 Subject Description Code* -

☐ Felony / ☐ Misdemeanor	Conviction: Title	Section	Counts	Combined Sentence: In-Jail Yrs	Mos	Suspended Yrs	Mos	Probation Yrs	Mos

☐ Plea ☐ Trial ☐ Pretrial Diversion

Total Fines $
Add consecutive sentences together.
Enter longest single concurrent sentence
Do not add concurrent sentences together
Sentence 10 yrs -8 yrs susp = 2 yrs In-Jail

Subject 3 Subject Description Code* -

☐ Felony / ☐ Misdemeanor	Conviction: Title	Section	Counts	Combined Sentence: In-Jail Yrs	Mos	Suspended Yrs	Mos	Probation Yrs	Mos

☐ Plea ☐ Trial ☐ Pretrial Diversion

Total Fines $
Add consecutive sentences together.
Enter longest single concurrent sentence
Do not add concurrent sentences together.
Sentence 10 yrs -8 yrs susp = 2 yrs In-Jail

Subject 4 Subject Description Code* -

☐ Felony / ☐ Misdemeanor	Conviction: Title	Section	Counts	Combined Sentence: In-Jail Yrs	Mos	Suspended Yrs	Mos	Probation Yrs	Mos

☐ Plea ☐ Trial ☐ Pretrial Diversion

Total Fines $
Add consecutive sentences together.
Enter longest single concurrent sentence
Do not add concurrent sentences together.
Sentence 10 yrs -8 yrs susp = 2 yrs In-Jail

Attach additional forms if reporting final judicial process on more than four subjects, and submit a final disposition for (R-84) for each subject.

Remarks (For every subject reported in Sections A, B or F above, provide name, DOB, race*, sex, and POB and SSAN if available.)

A Federal Grand Jury subpoena was personally served on [redacted] Atlanta, Georgia, on 5/12/87.

b3
b6
b7C

[redacted]

72-192-[illegible]
INDEXING
SEARCHED
SERIALIZED DMC
INDEXED DMC
FILED DMC

4 - Bureau
4 Field Office
See codes on reverse side.

(1 - 72-192) (1 - 66F-685-SF 1) (1 - 66F-2352) (1 - AT [redacted])
OGH:dhp (6)
dhp

Memorandum

To : SAC, ATLANTA

Date 4/22/87

From OCIA [redacted]

Subject : [redacted]
JULIAN BOND;
[redacted]
INFORMATION CONCERNING

b6
b7C

On 4/22/87, SUPV. [redacted] Squad #10, requested a search of the above names through the Organized Crime Information System (OCIS). The following is a summary of information found in OCIS relating to the above-named individuals:

Name
Sex
Race
Type-Person

Local-Influence

[redacted]

b6
b7C

(Information above entered by Atlanta in ATfile 12-87, Serial 30.)

The following individuals are shown in OCIS to be associated with [redacted]

b6
b7C

NAME	RELATIONSHIP	ADDRESS
[redacted]		

(9) - Atlanta
(1) - 72-192)
(1 - 12-87)
(1 - 12-919)
(1 - 12-246)
(1 - 12-638)
(1 - 194A-541)
(1 - 245B-259)
(1 - 245-119)
(1 - 66F-2340)

PFH
(9)

72-192-73

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED DMC FILED DMC
APR 22 1987
FBI - ATLANTA

[redacted]

b6
b7C

Review files for Detailed info.

Regarding the name [redacted] the following was retrieved from OCIS:

Name [redacted]
Type-Person
Local-Influence

The following are associated with [redacted] b6 b7C

NAME	RELATIONSHIP	ADDRESS
[redacted]		

(Information above entered by Atlanta in ATfile 12-87, Serial 30.)

Upon a search of the name JULIAN BOND through OCIS BOND was reflected as having narcotics supplied to him by one [redacted] an Atlanta, GA, narcotics dealer. [redacted] is reflected in OCIS as being [redacted] b6 b7C

(Above information entered into OCIS from ATfile 12B-919, Serial 2.)

Listed below is information regarding the name [redacted]

Name [redacted]
Sex:
Race
Height
Address
DOB:
Type-Person

b6 b7C

OCIS reflects that [redacted] is supplied narcotics by and is a partner of [redacted] and narcotics dealer from [redacted]

(Information entered into OCIS from ATfile 12-246, Serial 148.)

AT 66F-2340

Regarding the name [redacted] OCIS shows the following:

Name
Aliases

Sex
Race
Address

Type-Person

[redacted]

b6
b7C

Relationships regarding [redacted] are as follows:

NAME	RELATIONSHIP	ADDRESS
[redacted]		

(Information entered into OCIS from ATfile 12D-638, Serials 64, 69, 74, 77.)

The following is information taken from OCIS regarding the name [redacted]

Name
Sex
Race
Height
Weight
Hair
Eyes
DOB/POB
Address

Type-Person

[redacted]

b6
b7C

Below are listed relationships for [redacted]

NAME	RELATIONSHIP	ADDRESS
[redacted]		

NAME	RELATIONSHIP	ADDRESS

b6
b7C

(Information was taken from ATfile 194A-541, Serial 3 and 194A-541, Subfile 5, Serials 11, 13, 15, 17.)

Below is information shown in OCIS regarding the name

Name
Aliases

Sex
Race
Height
Weight
Hair
Eyes
DOB

POB

NADDIS
SSAN

GASID

FBI NO

b6
b7C

The following are relationships for

NAME	RELATIONSHIP	ADDRESS

AT 66F-2340

NAME	RELATIONSHIP	ADDRESS

b6
b7C

(Information re [redacted] was entered into OCIS from ATfiles 245B-259 and 245-119)

Above is for information.

PERSONAL ASSOCIATION INFO

b6
b7C

COMPLETED 728717.000 CTIME=08:41 STATUS 1556,2,,,789

FOR P-D "BOND*JULIAN*-*1" LIST ALL-INFO#
STMT= 728747.000 DATE=04/22/87 TIME=08:41 USER=ATIPFH:ATIPFH DB=OCIS

P-D: BOND*JULIAN*-*1
QUICK-REF: PERSON=PERSCN
CREATED: 13 APR 1987
LAST-UPDATE: 13 APR 1987
ENTERED-BY: AT

COMPLETED 728747.000 CTIME=08:41 STATUS 1,1

E LISTP-P-P BOND*JULIAN*-*1#
STMT= 728752.000 DATE=04/22/87 TIME=08:41 USER=ATIPFH:ATIPFH DB=CCIS

☆ U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1985-461-540/21931

PERSONAL ASSOCIATION INFO

P-P: BOND*JULIAN*-*1[redacted]
CREATED: 16 APR 1987
LAST-UPDATE: 16 APR 1987
RELATIONSHIP: NARCOTICS SUPPLIED TO
RELATIONSHIP-S: AT*12B*919*2

b6
b7C

COMPLETED 728752.000 CTIME=08:41 STATUS 300,1-,,,150

b6
b7C

☆ U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1985-461-540/21931

FORMS.TEXT HAS 1 DOCUMENT

INBOX.1 (#1002)

TEXT:

NOO 0008 146 0047Z

RR HQ

AT

DE NO

R 26 2345Z MAY 87

FM NEW ORLEANS [redacted] ~~(P)~~

TO ATLANTA (72-192) ROUTINE

BT

UNCLAS E F T O

ATTENTION: SSA [redacted]

[redacted] OOJ. OO: ATLANTA.

RE TELEPHONE CONVERSATION OF MAY 19, 1987, SA [redacted] NEW ORLEANS, AND SSA [redacted] ATLANTA.

[redacted] RELATED TO SA [redacted] THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION AFTER HAVING SEEN A NEWSPAPER ARTICLE CONCERNING THE ABOVE CAPTIONED SUBJECT:

b6
b7C
b7D
b7E

SOURCE HAD MET [redacted] AND JULIAN BOND APPROXIMATELY [redacted] YEARS AGO THROUGH A MUTUAL FRIEND, [redacted] AT THAT TIME WAS AN ATLANTA BASED [redacted] WAS DESCRIBED BY SOURCE AS [redacted] WHOSE PARENTS WERE ORIGINALLY FROM [redacted] AND ARE IN THE [redacted]

72-192-74

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED ____ FILED ____

MAY 27 1987

FBI-ATLANTA

BUSINESS. [redacted] WAS IN HER [redacted] AT THAT TIME.

THROUGH HIS/HER ASSOCIATION WITH [redacted] SOURCE ATTENDED SEVERAL PARTIES WHERE [redacted] AND BOND ALSO APPEARED. A FAVORITE MEETING PLACE FOR THE GROUP WAS [redacted] AT THOSE SOCIAL SETTINGS, SOURCE STATED MARIJUANA WAS OPENLY SMOKED AND COCAINE WAS ACCESSIBLE. [redacted] ON ONE OCCASION ASKED SOURCE IF HE/SHE WANTED COCAINE AND SHE SHOWED A PLASTIC BAG WHICH CONTAINED OVER AN OUNCE OF COCAINE. SOURCE NEVER WITNESSED COCAINE OR MARIJUANA USED BY BOND OR [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D
b7E

[redacted] REVEALED TO SOURCE THAT BOTH [redacted] AND BOND WERE COCAINE USERS. SHE ONCE JOKED THAT BOND ONE DAY WOULD, [redacted] FROM HIS COCAINE HABIT. [redacted] ALSO TOLD SOURCE THAT [redacted] AND BOND.

b6
b7C
b7D

SOURCE SPECULATED THAT [redacted] THIS WAS BASED ON THE FACT THAT COCAINE WAS NOT PREVALANT IN ATLANTA AT THAT TIME, AND [redacted] WHO ROUTINELY [redacted] ALSO, THE ONE OCCASION THAT [redacted] SHOWED SOURCE COCAINE, THE AMOUNT SHE HAD WAS MORE THAN FOR HER PERSONAL USE.

b6
b7C
b7D

72-192-74
OMC OMC

PAGE THREE [redacted] UNCLAS

SOURCE FURTHER ADVISED THAT ONE [redacted] AN ATLANTA COCAINE MERCHANT FORMERLY FROM [redacted] ALSO WAS A SOCIAL FRIEND OF [redacted] AND BOND. [redacted] IS STILL IN ATLANTA AND CAN BE REACHED AT [redacted] ACCORDING TO SOURCE HAS AN UNLISTED NUMBER IN ATLANTA, BUT SHE CAN BE REACHED THROUGH [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D
b7E

NEW ORLEANS DIVISION WILL HAVE NO FURTHER INVESTIGATION OF THIS MATTER UNLESS OTHERWISE DIRECTED BY ATLANTA.

BT

72 192 74
UNC DIR

-->

Memorandum

To : SAC, ATLANTA (72-192) Date 5-22-87

From : SA [redacted]

Subject : [redacted]
[redacted] CITY OF ATLANTA
OOJ

Rememo of SSA [redacted] 4-27-87.

b6
b7C

Lt. [redacted] APD was contacted re this matter, and suggested that Lt. [redacted] APD, [redacted] would be the appropriate person to contact at the department. Lt. [redacted] was subsequently contacted, and advised that his squad is presently pursuing an investigation regarding [redacted] et al. He provided the following information from his file:

[redacted]

Lt. [redacted] advised that his department has no information regarding [redacted]

b6
b7C

On 5-15-87, SA [redacted] advised that she had recently received a FOI/PA request from the Atlanta Journal regarding [redacted]

[redacted]

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED ____ FILED ____
MAY 22 1987
FBI — ATLANTA

[redacted]

Memorandum

To : SAC, ATLANTA (72-192)(SQ 10)~~(P)~~ Date 4/27/87

From : SSA [redacted]

Subject : [redacted]
ATLANTA, GEORGIA
OOJ

(OO: ATLANTA)

b6
b7C

During the interview of [redacted] by ATLANTA POLICE DEPARTMENT (APD) Officers on 3/19/87 and again with FBI SAs [redacted] on 3/25/87, [redacted] advised that [redacted] She identified one of these men as being [redacted] who is employed as [redacted] Atlanta. She identified the other individual as being a [redacted] (phonetic) [redacted]

LEADS

ATLANTA

At Atlanta, Georgia:

1. Will determine from Lt. [redacted] APD, Narcotics Section, Atlanta, whether APD is pursuing investigation against [redacted]

b6
b7C

2. Will obtain identifying data concerning [redacted] and [redacted] so appropriate arrest records can be checked. If APD has not identified [redacted] contact Sgt. [redacted] telephone number [redacted] in order to obtain background and identifying data for [redacted]

③ - 72-192
JLC:dhp
(3) dhp

72-192-76

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED DMC FILED DMC
APR 27 1987
FBI — ATLANTA

FD-36 (Rev. 8-26-82)

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:
- [x] Teletype
- [ ] Facsimile
- [ ] _____

PRECEDENCE:
- [ ] Immediate
- [x] Priority
- [ ] Routine

CLASSIFICATION:
- [ ] TOP SECRET
- [ ] SECRET
- [ ] CONFIDENTIAL
- [ ] UNCLAS E F T O
- [x] UNCLAS

Date 5/19/87

139/
~~0023~~S
00325

CEJ A/P

FM ATLANTA (72-192) ~~(P)~~ (SQUAD 10)

TO DIRECTOR PRIORITY

ATTN: SSA [redacted] UNIT CHIEF, PUBLIC CORRUPTION UNIT, WCC SECTION AND OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY(OPR)

BT

UNCLAS

[redacted] OOJ; OO: SPRC ATLANTA

RE ATLANTA TELETYPE TO BUREAU MAY 16, 1987, AND ATLANTA TELEPHONE CALL TO BUREAU MAY 19, 1987.

ON MAY 19, 1987, AUSA [redacted] NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA, ATLANTA, WAS CONTACTED BY SSA [redacted]

b6
b7C

b3
b6
b7C

1-ATLANTA
JLC:CEJ
(1)

72-192-77
OUT-GOING
SEARCHED
SERIALIZED DMC
INDEXED
FILED DMC

Approved: WLK/JLC/gh Transmitted 5/22/87 (Number) 1333 (Time) Per [illegible]

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE : 1984 O - 449-465

UACB, THE ATLANTA OFFICE WILL NOT CONDUCT ANY INQUIRY CONCERNING THE ALLEGED LEAKS. ATLANTA WILL COOPERATE FULLY WITH THE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE CONCERNING THIS MATTER.

ATLANTA WILL ENDEAVOR TO OBTAIN FROM THE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE [redacted] b3 b6 b7C

THIS MATTER IS BEING FOLLOWED VERY CLOSELY, AND THE BUREAU WILL BE KEPT ADVISED.

BT

FD-36 (Rev. 8-26-82)

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:
- [x] Teletype
- [ ] Facsimile
- [ ] _____

PRECEDENCE:
- [ ] Immediate
- [x] Priority
- [ ] Routine

CLASSIFICATION:
- [ ] TOP SECRET
- [ ] SECRET
- [ ] CONFIDENTIAL
- [ ] UNCLAS E F T O
- [x] UNCLAS

Date 5/22/87

42/00255

CE56/P

FM ATLANTA (72-192) ~~(P)~~ (SQUAD 10)

TO DIRECTOR PRIORITY

ATTN: SSA [redacted] UNIT CHIEF, PUBLIC CORRUPTION UNIT, WCC SECTION

BT

UNCLAS

[redacted] OOJ; OO: ATLANTA

b3
b6
b7C

RE ATLANTA TELETYPE TO BUREAU DATED MAY 19, 1987.

ON MAY 21, 1987, AUSA [redacted] CRIMINAL DIVISION, ATLANTA, GEORGIA, ADVISED HE IS UNABLE TO PROVIDE TO THE FBI [redacted]

BUREAU WILL BE KEPT ADVISED OF DEVELOPMENTS.

BT

1-ATLANTA
JLC/CES
(1)

72-192-78

OUT-GOING

SEARCHED ____
SERIALIZED nmc
INDEXED ____
FILED nmc

Approved: JLC/jm Transmitted 0251043 (Number) (Time) Per GLB

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE : 1984 O - 449-465

Memorandum

To : SAC, ATLANTA (72-192) (SQ 10) ~~(P)~~ Date 5/22/87

From : SA [redacted]

Subject : [redacted]
ATLANTA, GEORGIA
OOJ

(OO: ATLANTA)

b6
b7C
b7D

Re memo of SA [redacted] dated 4/21/87.

On 5/21/87, SA [redacted] GBI, introduced writer to [redacted] a former source of [redacted] alleged to have information concerning several prominent officials. [redacted] said that JULIAN BOND is a junkie and has had a cocaine problem for several years. Also, [redacted] has a cocaine addiction problem. [redacted] said this information comes not from firsthand, personal knowledge and observation but rather street talk and gossip from the black professional and middle class establishment, who frequent Atlanta night spots such as [redacted] who said he is [redacted] advised he [redacted] He said that BOND runs with [redacted] from [redacted] and who also has a cocaine addiction problem.

[redacted] said he has also [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D

[redacted] advised that if either the GBI or the FBI would set him up in an apartment and wire him up, he could get all these people to tell him about who is using drugs.

From observation and interview the following description of [redacted] was obtained:

(2) - 72-192
JTP:dhp
(2) dhp

72-192-79

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED YMK FILED DYMC
MAY 22 1987
FBI — ATLANTA

Memorandum

To : SAC, ATLANTA (72-192)

Date 5-26-87

From : SA [redacted]

b6
b7C

Subject : [redacted]
OOJ

On 5-26-87, [redacted] (Protect Identity By Request) was contacted by the writer regarding this matter. He advised as follows:

He has avoided his former associates since [redacted] The last time that he saw [redacted] when he was [redacted] He saw [redacted] and former state senator Julian Bond, near a [redacted] said that he believed that [redacted] is doing drug deals with [redacted] based on past conversations. He doesn't know the name of the store, or the individual, but said that he is a black male, approximately [redacted] He said that the store is located down a [redacted] It is located across the corridor from a [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D

[redacted] said that he has never seen Bond use narcotics. He recalled that when he was [redacted] that Bond could [redacted] said that he did not want anything to do with it.

[redacted] said that he is familiar with [redacted] and he believes [redacted] is a drug courier. He also is familiar with [redacted] He said that he believes that [redacted] is involved with [redacted] and others in the cocaine distribution business. He said that [redacted] role in the operation is [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D

[redacted] said that this information is based on his previous association with [redacted] and he reiterated that he has not been with any of these people since [redacted]

CJT:cjt
72-192
1-245C-154
1-245B-259

72-192-80

SEARCHED ___ INDEXED ___
SERIALIZED ___ FILED ___
MAY 26 1987
FBI-ATLANTA

Memorandum

To : SAC, ATLANTA (72-192)

Date 6/3/87

From : SA [redacted] #10

b6
b7C

Subject [redacted]
[redacted] ATLANTA, GA.
OOJ

On 6/2/87, [redacted] secretary of USA Robert Barr, telephonically contacted SA [redacted] to advise that Barr requested Barr to contact SA [redacted] to have SA [redacted] interview [redacted] INSTITUTE FOR MEDIA EDUCATION, [redacted] concerning information that JULIEN BOND is a member of NORML (NATIONAL ORGANIZATION FOR THE REFORM OF MARIJUANA LAWS).

b6
b7C

On 6/3/87, SA [redacted] called [redacted] said Bond was on the NORML board of directors. SA [redacted] replied that this was protected First Amendment activity and asked if she had additional information concerning criminal activity. [redacted] said she did not, but that Bond had previously stated in the media his opposition to drug use, and his membership in NORML served therefore to belie this assertion and undermine his credibility.

b6
b7C

[redacted] said the IVME is located in Arlington, Virginia, and when asked she replied negatively that IVME is in any way affiliated with LYNDON LAROUCHE. IVME is a non-profit organization focused on the research of pornography and abuse.

(2) - 72-192

1
AT 72-192
GLJ:dse

On May 20, 1987, [redacted] advised as follows:

Source stated that source attended a party in [redacted] Source stated that an individual known to source as [redacted] who is [redacted] gave the party. Source stated that [redacted] attended the party and purchased cocaine from [redacted] at the party. Source stated that [redacted] used some of the cocaine personally and indicated that he intended to sell the remainder of the cocaine. Source stated that [redacted] that [redacted] and JULIAN BOND were [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D

On 6/5/87, SA [redacted] spoke w/ SA [redacted] [illegible] who prepared this report. He could furnish no additional information concerning the last sentence of this report and would report any further information coming to his attention [redacted]

b6
b7C

72-192-82

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED DMC FILED DMC

JUN 4 1987

FBI-ATLANTA

[redacted]

b6
b7C

X Airtel

5/29/87

TO: SAC, ATLANTA (72-192)

FROM: SAC, SAVANNAH (72-0)

SUBJECT: JULIAN BOND, aka
ET AL;
OOJ
OO: AT

Re SV telcall of SA [redacted] to AT SA [redacted] [redacted] 5/20/87.

b6
b7C
b7D

Enclosed are two copies of insert regarding information received from [redacted] pertaining to above captioned case. Insert being furnished AT for use in their investigation.

(2) - Atlanta (Encs. 2)
1 - Savannah

GLJ:dse
(3)

72-192-83

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED ____ FILED ____

JUN 4 1987

FBI-ATLANTA

Memorandum

To : SAC, ATLANTA (72-192) Date: 6/3/87

From : SA [redacted]

b6
b7C

Subject : [redacted]
Obstruction of Justice
OO:AT

An article appearing in the Atlanta Constitution, June 1, 1987 titled "Four thefts gut free-lance photographer's chronicle of civil rights movement" states that photos have been stolen of prominent Atlantans. I became associated with [redacted] through a mutual interest in photography and a mutual friend. I question the validity of any statements made by [redacted] for the following reasons:

b6
b7C

On April 8, 1987 I was visiting a friend at the [redacted] [redacted] believed that she had been robbed and called the Atlanta Police Department. [redacted] telephoned my friend and we went down ~~th~~ to her condo. [redacted] showed me a drawer that was pulled from her dresser which contained old costume jewelry. She claimed that the robber damaged the drawer. However, the damage did not appear to be freshly made.

[redacted] stated that she believed political figures in Atlanta had hired the maintenance in the condo to steal pictures. She said that the maintenance men would come in and stash things away and return to get them later.

b6
b7C

On April 11, 1987 I was waiting at the condominiums for my friend to arrive. [redacted] arrived and invited me to her condo. Approximately 30 minutes later, my friend knocked on [redacted] door and [redacted] went to answer the door.

(2)-72-192

I left with my friend approximately 5 minutes later. On the following morning [redacted] telephoned my friend and accused me of stealing slides. At this time my friend realized that [redacted] was suffering from mental illness, and possibly Alzheimer's (sp) Disease. I have not spoken to [redacted] is now accusing my friend of stealing her food and clothes.

b6
b7C

Memorandum

To : SAC, ATLANTA (72-192)

Date 6/4/87

From : SA [redacted] #10

b6
b7C

Subject : [redacted]
ATLANTA, GA.
OOJ

On 6/4/87, [redacted] (PROTECT IDENTITY BY REQUEST) telephonically contacted SA [redacted] to ask if the FBI had interviewed or planned to interview [redacted] in connection with a telephone call [redacted] allegedly made to [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D

[redacted] said he first learned about this phone call from a report in the "Fulton County Report" appearing a month or so ago. [redacted] and his supervisor agreed that the phone call could possibly have been an attempt to obstruct justice similar to the call [redacted] made to [redacted] for a telephone interview between [redacted] and [redacted] refused [redacted] admitted making a call [redacted] date and time unknown, but he told [redacted] he didn't think it amounted to a story.

[redacted] admitted he had a camera truck waiting outside [redacted] in the hope that SA [redacted] would be seen there. He showed file film of SA [redacted] to the camera people to alert them, and he asked SA [redacted] if he had interviewed [redacted] there without his knowledge.

b6
b7C
b7D

SA [redacted] would neither confirm nor deny [redacted] questions and refused to give him any response that would compromise the investigation.

(2) - 72-192

FD-159 (Rev. 2-13-86)

RECORD OF INFORMATION FURNISHED OTHER AGENCIES

Orally 10 JUNE 1987 (date) Written Communication _____ (date)

Information concerning: (Include NARCOTICS MATTERS here.)

SA [redacted] CONTACTED SGT. [redacted] TO ADVISE THAT AN INDIVIDUAL WHO REQUESTED CONFIDENTIALITY SAID [redacted] HAD BEEN OBSERVED BY SOMEONE ELSE CONSUMMATING A DRUG TRANSACTION AT [redacted] LOCATED IN THE [redacted] NO OTHER DETAILS WERE AVAILABLE FROM THE SOURCE.

b6
b7C
b7D

Information furnished from File, Serial, and Page Number:

☐ On _____ (date) a continuing disclosure was intiated with

_____ (agency) and will be maintained until the conclusion of the investigation.

Information furnished to: SGT. [redacted] NARCOTICS ENFORCEMENT UNIT, APD.

Remarks:

[redacted]
Employee Furnishing Information

72-192-86

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED ____ FILED ____
JUN 10 1987
FBI-ATLANTA

b6
b7C

1-66 12/18/92

FBI/DOJ

Memorandum

To : SAC, ATLANTA (72-192) (SQ 10) ~~(P)~~ Date 6/9/87

From : SA [redacted]

b6
b7C

Subject : [redacted]
ATLANTA, GEORGIA
OOJ

(OO: ATLANTA)

On 6/8/87, SA [redacted] met with [redacted] in the latter's office and at his request. [redacted] was upset about a front-page story appearing in the Atlanta Constitution [redacted] that reported a conversation between him and [redacted] and [redacted] subsequent meeting with [redacted] The article also stated that [redacted] had attempted to call [redacted] told [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted] to ask him about the aforementioned events. He replied candidly out of an obligation to his public office. Later in the day, [redacted] called [redacted] and asked if they could meet for a drink. The two then met at COLONY SQUARE, and [redacted] told [redacted] about reporter inquiries concerning [redacted] asked [redacted] why he told the press so much, and [redacted] explained his public official position. [redacted] said that [redacted] told him that [redacted], is the individual who released the telephone logs to the press.

b6
b7C

[redacted] said he does not remember why he called [redacted] but at the time, [redacted] was [redacted] This impacted on his finances; and he may have tried calling [redacted] to have [redacted] or for some other personal reason.

b6
b7C

[redacted] called [redacted] concerning inquiries [redacted] was concerned that [redacted] spoke to her about their private conversation.

72-192-87

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED ____ FILED ____
JUN 09 1987
FBI — ATLANTA

(2) - 72-192
OGH:dhp
(2) dhp

AT 72-192

On 5/21/87, Captain [redacted] ATLANTA PD, interviewed [redacted] is making available the results of the interview.

[redacted] said he did not call [redacted] to tip him off about [redacted] going to the police that date. He did not learn of her allegations to the ATLANTA PD until it broke in the news media. [redacted] does not know Lt. [redacted] or Lt. [redacted] ATLANTA PD, unless he has seen them and did not know who they were. He also does not personally know Captain [redacted] or Officers [redacted] b6 b7C

[redacted] also advised that [redacted] has seen [redacted] at [redacted] and that it appeared it was a drug-related meeting. No further details were available, and [redacted] asked for confidentiality of this information. On 6/10/87, SA [redacted] passed this information on by telephone to Sergeant [redacted] Narcotics Enforcement Unit.

TEXT
FORMS.TEXT HAS 1 DOCUMENT

OUTBOX.1 (#752)

TEXT:

VZCZCAT0013

PP HQ

DE AT #0013 1660007

ZNR UUUUU

P 152105Z JUN 87

FM ATLANTA (56D-NEW) ~~(P)~~ (SQ 10)

TO ACTING DIRECTOR PRIORITY

BT

UNCLAS

ATTENTION: SSA [redacted] PUBLIC CORRUPTION UNIT, WCC b6 b7C

SECTION

ALLEGATIONS THAT JULIAN BOND'S CAMPAIGN COMMITTEE FAILED TO FILE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION (FEC) REPORTS; ELECTION LAWS; OO: ATLANTA

ON JUNE 15, 1987, SAC, ATLANTA, RECEIVED A LETTER DATED JUNE 12, 1987, FROM UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, ROBERT L. BARR, JR., NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA (NDGA), ATLANTA, GEORGIA, REQUESTING THAT THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION (FBI) CONDUCT A PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATION INTO WHETHER THE FAILURE BY THE JULIAN BOND CONGRESSIONAL CAMPAIGN COMMITTEE TO FILE REPORTS REQUIRED BY THE FEDERAL ELECTION CAMPAIGN ACT IS POSSIBLY CRIMINAL IN NATURE.

1 72-192

copy made for 72-192 6/16/87

[redacted]

(info)

b6 b7C

OUT-GOING
SEARCHED
SERIALIZED
INDEXED
FILED

72-192-97

THE LETTER NOTED THAT AN ARTICLE HAD APPEARED IN THE JUNE 6, 1987, EDITION OF THE ATLANTA JOURNAL AND CONSTITUTION NEWSPAPER REPORTING THAT SEVERAL QUARTERLY REPORTS REQUIRED BY FECA HAVE NOT BEEN FILED.

THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S LETTER ADVISED THAT ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY (AUSA) [redacted] NDGA, HAS CONTACTED [redacted] ELECTION CRIMES BRANCH, U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE (DOJ), WASHINGTON, D. C., WHO HAS AUTHORIZED USA BARR'S REQUEST FOR A PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATION ALONG THE FOLLOWING LINES:

b6
b7C

1. WERE THERE CAMPAIGN DEBTS THAT WOULD REQUIRE QUARTERLY REPORTS TO BE FILED?

2. DID THE CAMPAIGN COMMITTEE ACTUALLY FAIL TO FILE?

3. IS THERE SOME CRIMINAL MOTIVE FOR THE FAILURE TO FILE?

THE USA'S LETTER FURTHER ADVISED THAT TITLE 2, U. S. CODE, SECTION 437G (D) MAKES IT A MISDEMEANOR VIOLATION TO "KNOWINGLY AND WILLFULLY" FAIL TO FILE FECA REPORTS AND VIOLATIONS OF TITLE 18, U. S. CODE, SECTIONS 1001 (FALSE STATEMENTS TO THE GOVERNMENT) OR 1341 (MAIL FRAUD) MIGHT PROVIDE A BASIS FOR PROSECUTION IF THE FACTS WERE TO WARRANT SUCH.

THE ABOVE MENTIONED NEWSPAPER ARTICLE REPORTED THE FOLLOWING:

BOND'S CAMPAIGN HAS YET TO ACCOUNT FOR ALL OF ITS RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES AS REQUIRED BY LAW. FRED EILAND, SPOKESMAN FOR THE FEC, SAID BOND'S CAMPAIGN VIOLATED FEDERAL ELECTION LAWS THAT REQUIRE CONGRESSIONAL CAMPAIGNS TO FILE YEAR-END REPORTS. THE LAST REPORT FILED BY THE BOND CAMPAIGN WITH FEC WAS THE REQUIRED OCTOBER 15, QUARTERLY REPORT, WHICH WAS FILED DECEMBER 4, AFTER COMMISSION OFFICIALS THREATENED AN AUDIT OR LEGAL ACTION. EILAND SAID HE COULD NOT SAY WHETHER ANY FEC ENFORCEMENT ACTION WAS PENDING AGAINST THE BOND CAMPAIGN.

ACCORDING TO THE ARTICLE, BOND'S LAST CAMPAIGN REPORT LISTED OUTSTANDING DEBTS AND OBLIGATIONS OF $129,870.48. CAMPAIGN OFFICIALS ALSO HAVE BEEN TRYING TO PAY A $22,000.00 PRINTING BILL THAT RESULTED IN BAD CHECK CHARGES THAT REMAIN PENDING AGAINST

[redacted] b6 b7C

[redacted] EILAND SAID NONE OF BOND'S OBLIGATIONS CAN BE SETTLED FOR LESS THAN FACE VALUE WITHOUT FEC APPROVAL; AND UNDER FEDERAL LAW, THE CAMPAIGN MUST CONTINUE FILING FINANCE REPORTS AS LONG AS THERE ARE DEBTS, OBLIGATIONS,

OR CASH ON HAND.

THE ARTICLE FURTHER REPORTED THAT GREGORY ELLISON, AN ATLANTA ACCOUNTANT WHO SERVED AS BOND'S CAMPAIGN TREASURER, SAID THE 1986 YEAR-END REPORTS HAVE NOT BEEN FILED BECAUSE "THERE'S NO STAFF TO DO IT. WE CAN'T GET ANY VOLUNTEERS TO DO IT. THE RECORDS ARE IN STORAGE."

THE ARTICLE ALSO REPORTED THAT GEORGIA STATE REPRESENTATIVE FRANK REDDING'S SEPTEMBER 3, 1986, CAMPAIGN FINANCE REPORT CLAIMS THAT HE SPENT $1,050.00 TOWARD RENT, PRINTING, DISTRIBUTION, SOUND EQUIPMENT, AND CONTRIBUTIONS AT FUND-RAISERS IN BEHALF OF BOND'S CAMPAIGN. BUT BOND'S CAMPAIGN RECORDS, INCLUDING THE OCTOBER QUARTERLY REPORT FILED IN DECEMBER, SHOW NO RECORD OF ANY CONTRIBUTION FROM REDDING OR HIS CAMPAIGN.

THE NEWSPAPER ARTICLE FURTHER REPORTED THAT A SPOKESMAN FOR THE GEORGIA SECRETARY OF STATE SAID THE BOND CAMPAIGN IS ALSO "OUT OF COMPLIANCE" WITH STATE CAMPAIGN LAWS SINCE NO FINANCE REPORT HAS BEEN MADE TO THAT OFFICE BY BOND'S CAMPAIGN SINCE AUGUST 25, 1986.

FOR INFORMATION OF THE BUREAU, ON MARCH 19, 1987, WHEN [redacted] REPORTED JULIAN BOND TO ATLANTA POLICE

b6
b7C

DEPARTMENT AS A COCAINE USER, SHE [redacted]

[redacted]

b6
b7C

(REFER TO ATLANTA TELETYPE TO BUREAU DATED APRIL 13, 1987, CAPTIONED [redacted] ATLANTA, GEORGIA; OOJ; OO: ATLANTA," AT FILE 72-192).

FBIHQ AUTHORITY IS BEING REQUESTED FOR ATLANTA TO CONDUCT INVESTIGATION REQUESTED BY USA AS OUTLINED ABOVE. ATLANTA WILL CONDUCT NO INVESTIGATION UNTIL ADVISED BY FBIHQ.

BT

#0013

NNNN

FD-36 (Rev. 8-26-82)

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:
- [x] Teletype
- [ ] Facsimile
- [ ] _____

PRECEDENCE:
- [ ] Immediate
- [ ] Priority
- [x] Routine

CLASSIFICATION:
- [ ] TOP SECRET
- [ ] SECRET
- [ ] CONFIDENTIAL
- [ ] UNCLAS E F T O
- [x] UNCLAS

Date 6-17-87

168/00225

Lmm1 /P

~~FM ATLANTA (72-192) (P) (SQ 10)~~

TO DIRECTOR ROUTINE

BT

UNCLAS

ATTN: SSA [redacted] PUBLIC CORRUPTION UNIT, WCC SECTION b6 b7C

[redacted] GEORGIA; OOJ; OO: ATLANTA

RE ATLANTA TELEPHONE CALL TO FBIHQ, JUNE 17, 1987.

ON JUNE 17, 1987, AT 10:00 AM, U.S. ATTORNEY ROBERT L. BARR, JR, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA (NDGA), ATLANTA, HELD A NEWS CONFERENCE CONCERNING CAPTIONED MATTER, AT WHICH TIME HE ANNOUNCED THAT IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE FBI, THE USA'S OFFICE HAS CONDUCTED A THOROUGH INVESTIGATION OF ALLEGATIONS OF OOJ AND TAMPERING WITH A WITNESS, AND IT IS NOT BELIEVED THERE IS EVIDENCE BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT THAT SUCH OFFENSES WERE COMMITTED AND, THEREFORE, THERE IS INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE TO MOVE FORWARD WITH A PROSECUTION ON THESE CHARGES.

1-Atlanta
JLC/lmm
(1)

OUTGOING
SEARCHED
SERIALIZED 62
INDEXED

Approved: JLC/lm Transmitted 0133 (Number) (Time) Per

72-192-98

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE : 1984 O - 465-465

^PAGE TWO AT (72-192) UNCLAS

ATLANTA WILL SUBMIT LHM.

BT

Memorandum

To : SAC, ATLANTA (72-192)

Date 6/18/89

From : SA [redacted] #10

b6
b7C

Subject : [redacted]
ATLANTA, GEORGIA
OOJ

attached is a copy of a statement given by [redacted] to Captain [redacted] APD [redacted] investigation in captioned matter. Also attached is [redacted] corrections to [redacted] memorandum.

b6
b7C

72-192-99

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED ____ FILED ____
JUN 18 1989
FBI — ATLANTA

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

CITY OF ATLANTA

120 RALPH McGILL BOULEVARD, N.E. ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303
404 • 658-7845

ANDREW YOUNG
MAYOR
GEORGE NAPPER
Public Safety Commissioner

M E M O R A N D U M

TO: FILE 87-236-IH

FROM: CAPTAIN [redacted] b6 b7C

DATE: MAY 21, 1987

SUBJECT:

1. On this date I interviewed [redacted] who is a [redacted]

2. [redacted] name had been introduced by [redacted] during an interview conducted by Major Robinson and Captain [redacted] with [redacted] May 19, 1987. b6 b7C

3. [redacted] states he is a personal friend of [redacted] and is also a personal friend of [redacted] Julian Bond. He states he has known Julian since [redacted]

4. [redacted] states that sometime between January and March, 1987, he called the Bond residence intending to find out how things were going with them and to see if they had settled down after the campaign. This was not because he had any knowledge of [redacted] This was not because he had any knowledge of [redacted] but rather a friendly inquiry. He states [redacted] b6 b7C

5. He states he called this number two or three times and left a message for Julian to call him. He stated Julian never returned his call and this caused him concern since in the past Julian returned his calls on the same or the next day. This, along with [redacted] b6 b7C made him concerned that the rumors he had heard that Julian and members

of his staff were using drugs during Julian's campaign, might have some element of truth.

6. He stated on March 12th or 13th he and [redacted] met with [redacted] at the [redacted] on matter unrelated to the above. After this matter was completed, he decided to make [redacted] aware of the information he had since [redacted] was a friend of Julian's and had supported him in his campaign and [redacted] He was concerned that if Julian was infact [redacted] then he was probably being investigated or would eventually be investigated or arrested. He hoped [redacted] could help Julian get straightened out or failing this, withdraw his support of Julian and take steps necessary to keep Julian's problem from [redacted]

b6
b7C

7. He stated he related this to [redacted] and he thought [redacted] inquired of him who the woman was and may also have inquired what agency would be appropriate to investigate this, although [redacted] is not certain of this.

8. [redacted] stated that sometime between March 17th and March 23rd, he believes closer to the 17th, [redacted] requested him to drop by his office. He did go and [redacted] either told him [redacted] had been in his office to [redacted] or that he had sent her to [redacted] In any case [redacted] had told [redacted] of the [redacted] Julian using drugs and had related information about [redacted]

b6
b7C

9. [redacted] also remembers a secretary at the court house mentioning to someone that [redacted] However, he cannot place this in a time frame.

b6
b7C

10. [redacted] stated he attended [redacted] on the evening of [redacted] and while passing an alcove or room off the main room where the party was held, he saw [redacted] in this room. He stated he walked in and had the impression he had come in on the tail end of a conversation because as [redacted] was talking, [redacted] was nodding his head and stating "We're looking at it." He stated [redacted] said this three times, during which time [redacted] was making his way out of [redacted] presence and out of the room. [redacted] had the impression [redacted] was uncomfortable with the conversation and was trying to excuse himself from it.

11. [redacted] stated [redacted] left just behind [redacted] and then [redacted] not appearing to address anyone said "Is my name involved, Is my name involved."

b6
b7C

12. [redacted] stated a short time later he approached [redacted] and related to him what [redacted] had said and advised [redacted] if the conversation with [redacted] was about allegations surrounding Julian and [redacted] then [redacted] should consider the ethics of his position and be careful.

State Court of Fulton County

160 Pryor Street, S.W.

Atlanta, Georgia 30303

[redacted] [redacted]

May 29, 1987

Captain [redacted]
Internal Investigations Unit
Atlanta Bureau of Police Services
120 Ralph McGill Boulevard, N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30308

b6
b7C

Captain [redacted]

I have reviewed the Memorandum that you forwarded to me today and I have found it to contain certain errors. The corrections follow below.

Regarding paragraph number 3.; I have known Julian Bond since [redacted] not since [redacted]

Regarding paragraph number 4.; [redacted] never said to me that Julian uses, or was using drugs, nor did she say to me that [redacted]

b6
b7C

Regarding paragraph 6.; The more accurate statement is that I accompanied [redacted] while she met with [redacted] Regarding the second sentence of this paragraph, the phrase "[redacted] is incorrect. My recollection is that there were several people from [redacted] Regarding the last sentence of this paragraph, I did not say anything about [redacted] or myself getting Julian "straightened" out.

b6
b7C

Regarding paragraph 8.; my recollection is that my meeting was sometime between the 17th and the 23rd. I do not recall the exact date, period. Regarding the second sentence, I did not say that [redacted] had gone to [redacted] I said that I did not know whether [redacted] had sent [redacted] to [redacted] or not. Also, [redacted] may have mentioned that [redacted] but, he made no mention of other names.

b6
b7C

Regarding paragraph 12.: I have never said or related anything to [redacted] that [redacted] or anyone else said or may have said. On the 23rd of March, 1987, I said to [redacted] words to the effect that I was glad to see him leave the room as I did not want to see him encounter any ethical problems. b6 b7C

These corrections give an accurate reflection of my conversation with you. [redacted]

[redacted]

ATLANTA, GA 303
4 PM
III JUN
1987

PERSONAL
AND
~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

b6
b7C

ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303

FD-448 (Rev. 9-18-78)

Transmit attached by Facsimile - UNCLAS

Precedence IMMEDIATE

To: DIRECTOR, FBI
(ATTN: SSA [redacted]
WCC SECTION, PUBLIC CORRUPTION UNIT)

Date: 6/19/87

From: SAC, ATLANTA (72-192)

Time: Transmitted - 12:43PM

Subject: [redacted]

Initials - PJJ Rec JM

b6
b7C

OOJ
(OO: ATLANTA)
Re telephone call of FBIHQ SSA [redacted] to Atlanta SSA [redacted]
6/19/87.

☐ Fingerprint Photo ☐ Fingerprint Record ☐ Map ☐ Newspaper clipping ☐ Photograph

☐ Artists Conception ☒ Other Teletype
(Per referenced telephone call relating you had not received teletype, it is being sent via fax.)

Special handling instructions:

HAND CARRY to SSA [redacted] WCC Section, Public Corruption Unit, Room 3849.

Approved: JLC/WKK

72-192-100

OUTBOX.1 (#886)

TO: HQ1 @ EMH1

FROM: AT @ EMH1

SUBJECT: 168/0022S ROUTINE

DATE: 18 JUN 87 01:33:31 GMT

CC:

TEXT:

VZCZCAT0022

RR HQ

DE AT #0022 1680027

ZNR UUUUU

R 170130Z JUN 87

FM ATLANTA (72-192) ~~(P)~~ (SQ 10)

TO DIRECTOR ROUTINE

BT

UNCLAS

ATTN: SSA [redacted] PUBLIC CORRUPTION UNIT, WCC SECTION

[redacted] OOJ; OO: ATLANTA

b6
b7C

RE ATLANTA TELEPHONE CALL TO FBIHQ, JUNE 17, 1987.

ON JUNE 17, 1987, AT 10:00 AM, U.S. ATTORNEY ROBERT L. BARR, JR, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA (NDGA), ATLANTA, HELD A NEWS CONFERENCE CONCERNING CAPTIONED MATTER, AT WHICH TIME HE ANNOUNCED THAT IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE FBI, THE USA'S OFFICE HAS CONDUCTED A THOROUGH INVESTIGATION OF ALLEGATIONS OF OOJ AND TAMPERING WITH A WITNESS, AND IT IS NOT BELIEVED THERE IS EVIDENCE BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT THAT SUCH OFFENSES WERE COMMITTED AND, THEREFORE, THERE IS INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE TO MOVE FORWARD WITH A PROSECUTION ON THESE CHARGES.

[redacted]

b6
b7C

PAGE TWO DE AT 0022 UNCLAS

ATLANTA WILL SUBMIT LHM.

BT

#0022

NNNN

KING & SPALDING
2500 TRUST COMPANY TOWER
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303
404/572-4600

TELEX: 54-2917 KINGSPALD ATL
TELECOPIER: 404 659-4838
524-7429
CABLE: TERMINUS

1730 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.
SUITE 1200
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006
202/737-0500
TELECOPIER: 202 737-5714

June 19, 1987

BY HAND DELIVERY

Robert L. Barr, Jr., Esquire
United States Attorney
Northern District of Georgia
Suite 1800, Richard Russell Bldg.
75 Spring Street, S.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30335

Dear Mr. Barr:

I want to thank both you and your staff for your professionalism and your many courtesies during the investigation by your office of the circumstances involving [redacted] I appreciate your careful attention to the matter and am gratified with its outcome.

All good wishes.

b6
b7C

Sincerely,

[redacted]

GBB:jqs

cc: Hon. [redacted]
Assistant Attorney General

→ Weldon L. Kennedy,
Special Agent in Charge, FBI

[redacted] Criminal Division

[redacted]
Assistant U. S. Attorney

[redacted]

78-192-101

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED ____ FILED ____
JUN 22 1987

b6
b7C

Memorandum

To : SAC, ATLANTA (72-192) (SQ 10) ~~(P)~~ Date 6/25/87

From : SA [redacted]

b6
b7C

Subject : [redacted]
ATLANTA, GEORGIA
OOJ

(OO: ATLANTA)

Re memo of SSA [redacted] dated 4/29/87.

On 5/7/87 and 5/11/87, attempts were made to contact Attorney [redacted] to set up an interview with [redacted] [redacted] did not return calls on those dates.

b6
b7C

On 5/14/87, [redacted] contacted writer and advised his client would be amenable to interview as soon as his [redacted] schedule permitted it. [redacted] advised he would contact the writer at such time as he could arrange to sit in with his client for interview.

On 5/20/87, [redacted] was recontacted and advised that he had come down with a case of [redacted] and would be unable to schedule an interview with [redacted] for at least a week.

b6
b7C

On 5/28/87, SA [redacted] advised he was currently involved in a drug investigation in which subject [redacted] was alleged to be [redacted] [redacted] requested that [redacted] not be interviewed until such time as he had completed his drug investigation. SA [redacted] also advised that [redacted] has [redacted] and is attempting to [redacted] has been calling various police agencies in the metro area and trying to trade what information he has for [redacted]

On 6/9/87, SA [redacted] advised he had interviewed [redacted] at [redacted] has now [redacted] He has told SA [redacted] that if he were [redacted] he would get evidence of drug use on the part of JULIAN BOND and others in this matter.

b6
b7C

72-192-102

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED ____ FILED ____
JUN 25 1987
[redacted] ANTA

(2) - 72-192
1 - 245F-119
JTP:dhp
(3) dhp

AT 72-192

In view of the above, it is recommended that [redacted] not be interviewed concerning drug allegations regarding JULIAN BOND and others since these allegations in no way relate to this OOJ investigation. It is apparent that [redacted] is trying to capitalize on the notoriety in this matter and [redacted] It should be noted that [redacted]

b6
b7C

I agree
JC

Memorandum

To : SAC, ATLANTA, (72-192)

Date 7/6/87

From : SA [redacted] #10

Subject [redacted]

ATLANTA, GEORGIA;
DOJ
OO:AT

b3
b6
b7C

On 5/12/87, SA [redacted] served three subpoenas on [redacted] (copies of these subpoenas are in the file).

Enclosed are copies of the subpoenaed documents.

GRAND JURY MATERIAL - DISSEMINATE ONLY
PURSUANT TO RULE 6(e), Fed.R.Crim.P.

72-192-103

SEARCHED ___ INDEXED ___
SERIALIZED ___ FILED ___
JUL 06 1987
FBI — ATLANTA