



FREEDOM OF INFORMATION
AND
PRIVACY ACTS

SUBJECT: ELLIOTT RICHARDSON

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

PLA
1/31

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

Assoc. Dir. _____
Asst. Dir.: _____
Admin. _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

Oct. 21, 1973

Dear Mr. Kelly,

I am enclosing an article from the October 21 Denver Post paper that tells that Jane Fonda is suing you and others for violating her civil rights. The article states that she has in her possession an F.B.I. file on her and also states that she says the file was given to her by Jack Anderson.

I would appreciate it if you would take time to tell me and the rest of the unimportant people in this country who have an interest in this story, if the article is factual in regard to her possession of the F.B.I. file and the manner in which she obtained it.

If the story is true, does Mr. Anderson enjoy special rights or are the files available to any citizen? If Mr. Anderson does not have special rights to the F.B.I. files, why don't you stop the theft of the files and bring charges against whoever supplies him? Is there any difference between Mr. Anderson's role in the use of the unauthorized released file material and the fellows who are on trial for sending burglars to Mr. Ellsberg's doctor's office to look over his files?

If the files are available to anyone, please issue me permit to check out files on celebrities. Good luck in the law suit and if the F.B.I. should want to stop the files from getting out and finding out who is responsible, you had better get in touch with Inspector Erskine before the culprit is retired and leaves the area.

Seriously though, I hope you can write and explain how Anderson has gotten and has used your files with impunity.

Very truly yours

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

EXP. PROC.
OCT 26 1973

b7C

ack
10-31-73
JW/jmc
ENCLOSURE

Actress Sues U.S. On Rights

LOS ANGELES—(AP)—A \$2.8 million civil suit has been filed on behalf of actress Jane Fonda alleging that President Nixon, Cabinet members and other high officials violated her civil rights.

The complaint, filed in federal court here Thursday by the American Civil Liberties Union Foundation, names 20 individuals and two financial institutions. The suit seeks \$2.25 million in general damages and the rest in exemplary and punitive damages.

Miss Fonda showed newsmen what she said was her FBI dossier and claimed she had been put under surveillance by federal agents and subjected to harassment and intimidation because of her opposition to the Vietnam war.

HALT ASKED

"I feel it's very important . . . for us to take the initiative now and stop these Watergate tactics and prevent them from happening in the future," she said.

The purported FBI file was given to her by Washington columnist Jack Anderson, she said.

The columnist said Miss Fonda's file contained information from her address book, reports on her bank account and reports on various trips she had made.

An Anderson associate refused to say how the columnist got the files.

OFFICIALS NAMED

Current administration members named in the suit were: Atty. Gen. Elliot L. Richardson, Treasury Secretary George P. Shultz, Defense Secretary James R. Schlesinger and Secretary of State Henry A. Kissinger, FBI Director Clarence Kelley, Secret Service Director James W. Foley, Acting CIA Director Vernon A. Walters, Customs Commissioner Vernon D. Acree and Postmaster General E.T. Klassen.

Also named were:

Former Atty. Gens. John N. Mitchell and Richard G. Kleindienst; former Nixon aides John D. Ehrlichman and H.R. Haldeman; former FBI acting directors L. Patrick Gray III and William D. Ruckelshaus;

Former White House counsels Charles W. Colson and John W. Dean III; Robert C. Mardian, former chief of the Justice Department's Internal Security Division; and former White House aide Tom Charles Huston.

Firms named were Morgan Guaranty Trust Co. of New York and City National Bank of Los Angeles. Attorney Leonard Weinglass said the banks were named because they allegedly gave the government confidential information on Miss Fonda's financial transactions without her knowledge.

October 31, 1973

REC-90

100-459279-572


Dear Mr. [redacted]

This is to acknowledge receipt of your letter dated October 21st enclosing a clipping from "The Denver Post" newspaper regarding a suit filed by Miss Jane Fonda initiated allegedly from files given to her by columnist Jack Anderson.

I certainly understand the concern you expressed and, in reply to your inquiry, Jack Anderson has not had access to any files within the custody of this Bureau. Mr. Anderson may have reference to copies of documents which were stolen by members of militant groups from the Media, Pennsylvania, Resident Agency.

In fulfilling our responsibilities, we necessarily disseminate certain information for official purposes to other agencies of the Federal Government. I can assure you that no one has access to FDI files except for official purposes or where authorized by law.

Sincerely yours,



C. M. Kelley
Clarence M. Kelley
Director

1 - Denver - Enclosures (2)

NOTE: Bufiles contain no information identifiable with Mr. [redacted]

PLA:cmc (6)

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

51 NOV 13 1973

RECEIVED
DIRECTOR
EX-1182
11/1/73

RETURN

ml
Jew
Port



FREEDOM OF INFORMATION
AND
PRIVACY ACTS

SUBJECT: ELLIOTT RICHARDSON

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Memorandum

TO : Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Attention: Office of Legal Counsel

DATE: February 15, 1974

FROM : Henry E. Petersen
Assistant Attorney General
Criminal Division

SUBJECT: Jane Fonda v. Richard Nixon, et al.
(C.D. Cal.) Civil Action No. 73-2442-MML

Enclosed for your files in the referenced civil action are copies of

Defendants' First Interrogatories to Plaintiff, and

Request for Production of Documents

which were served upon plaintiff and filed with the Court on February 7, 1974.

31
FEB 19 1974
P. PROC.

2 ENCLOSURE

70 FEB 5 1974

100-459279-601

b7C
3 FEB 19 1974

APPROVAL

1 HENRY E. PETERSEN
Assistant Attorney General
2 EDWARD S. CHRISTENBURY
3 GARVIN LEE OLIVER
DAVID H. WHITE
4 Attorneys, Department of Justice
Washington, D. C. 20530
5 Telephone: 202/739-2361
WILLIAM D. KELLER
6 United States Attorney
JAMES R. DOOLEY
7 Assistant United States Attorney
8 1100 U. S. Courthouse
312 North Spring Street
9 Los Angeles, California 90012
Telephone: 213/688-2462
10 Attorneys for the Federal Defendants

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

13 JANE FONDA,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 RICHARD M. NIXON, President of the United States;

17 L. PATRICK GRAY;

18 WILLIAM C. RUCKELSHAUS;

19 CHARLES W. COLSON;

JOHN W. DEAN, III;

20 JOHN D. EHRLICHMAN;

H. ROBERT HALDEMAN;

21 JOHN MITCHELL;

RICHARD KLEINDIENST;

22 TOM CHARLES HUSTON;

ROBERT C. MARDIAN;

23 ELLIOT L. RICHARDSON, Attorney General of the
United States;

24 GEORGE P. SCHULTZ, Secretary of the Treasury
of the United States;

25 JAMES R. SCHLESINGER, Secretary of Defense
of the United States;

26 HENRY A. KISSINGER, Secretary of State
of the United States;

27 CLARENCE KELLEY, Director of the Federal Bureau
of Investigation of the United States;

28 JAMES W. ROLEY, Director of the United States
Secret Service;

30 VERNON A. WALTERS, Acting Director of the
United States Central Intelligence Agency;

31 VERNON D. ACREE, Commissioner of Customs of the
United States Bureau of Customs;

32 E. T. KLASSEN, Postmaster General of the United
States Postal Service;

MORGAN GUARANTY TRUST COMPANY OF NEW YORK;
CITY NATIONAL BANK OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA,

Defendants.

)
)
)
) Civil Action No.
) 73-2442-MML

)
)
) DEFENDANTS' FIRST
) INTERROGATORIES
) TO PLAINTIFF

1 Pursuant to Rule 33, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the
2 Federal defendants, in their official or former official capacities
3 request that the plaintiff answer under oath the following interroga-
4 tories within thirty (30) days after service hereof.

5 These interrogatories are continuing in character, so as to
6 require prompt supplementary answers if the plaintiff obtains addi-
7 tional or different information after filing answers to the inter-
8 rogatories herein.

9
10 1. Specify and describe the "intensive surveillance, harass-
11 ment and other conduct which deprived her of the rights secured to
12 her by the First, Fourth, Fifth and Ninth Amendments to the United
13 States Constitution and by 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-20 and 47 U.S.C. § 605"
14 referred to in paragraph 20 of the Complaint. With respect to each
15 occurrence include in your answer information on the following points:
16

- 17 a. the kind of surveillance, harassment, or
18 other conduct perceived;
- 19 b. the date of the surveillance, harassment
20 or other conduct;
- 21 c. the location of the surveillance, harass-
22 ment or other conduct;
- 23 d. the duration of the surveillance, harass-
24 ment or other conduct;
- 25 e. the number of surveillants, assailants,
26 aggressors, invaders, or disputants
27 involved;
- 28 f. identification of the surveillants,
29 assailants, aggressors, invaders, or
30 disputants by name or physical description:
31
32

- 1 g. tactics and methods used in the course of
2 the surveillance, harassment, or other conduct;
3 h. identification or description of any
4 technical aids or equipment used in the
5 course of the surveillance, harassment or
6 other conduct;
7 i. the conduct involved in the surveillance,
8 harassment or other conduct;
9 j. the specific facts which lead the plain-
10 tiff to conclude that defendants or their
11 agents were responsible for such surveillance,
12 harassment or other conduct;
13 k. the specific nature and extent of the
14 injury caused by such surveillance,
15 harassment or other conduct;
16 l. if such surveillance, harassment, or
17 other conduct is continuing in nature,
18 specifically how plaintiff is presently
19 injured, or how plaintiff will be injured
20 in the future.
21
22 2. State the basis for each of the allegations in paragraph
23 22 of the Complaint that
24
25 a. "plaintiff's name was placed on a list
26 prepared and maintained in the offices of
27 the White House, of political enemies,"
28
29 b. that said list was "of political enemies,"
30 against whom government resources were to
31 be used for the purpose of punishing them
32

1 for their expression of political views
2 antagonistic to the Nixon Administration,
3 and deterring them from further expression
4 of such views,"

5 c. that "Said list was prepared, maintained,
6 and concealed with the knowledge, consent
7 and approval of said defendants or unknown
8 persons and at their request." Please
9 respond separately as to each defendant.
10

11 3. Specify the "information" and set forth the basis for the
12 "belief" twice referred to in paragraph 23 of the Complaint.

13 4. Specify the "information" and set forth the basis for the
14 "belief" twice referred to in paragraph 24 of the Complaint.

15 5. Specify the "information" and set forth the basis for the
16 "belief" twice referred to in paragraph 25 of the Complaint.

17 6. With respect to the alleged break-in of an automobile
18 rented in the name of the plaintiff referred to in paragraph 26 of
19 the Complaint, please specify:
20

- 21 a. the "information" and the basis for the
22 "belief" that unknown agents of the United
23 States conducted the break-in;
24
25 b. the address where the break-in of the auto-
26 mobile occurred;
27
28 c. the time of the break-in;
29
30 d. the make and model of the automobile;
31
32 e. the firm from which such automobile was
rented;
f. the address where plaintiff was attending

- 1 a "public inquiry" at the time of the
2 break-in;
- 3 g. whether plaintiff was the only person who
4 drove such rented automobile on the date
5 of the break-in; and if not, the name and
6 address of each person known by the plain-
7 tiff to have driven said automobile;
- 8 h. the name and address of each known witness
9 to the break-in;
- 10 i. whether the break-in was reported to law
11 enforcement authorities, and if so
12 (i) by whom,
13 (ii) when,
14 (iii) where, and
15 (iv) to whom such report was made;
16 (v) the substance of such report; and
17 (vi) what action was taken by the law
18 enforcement authority as a result
19 of such report;
20 (vii) if no report was made, state the
21 reason or reasons that no report
22 or complaint was made.

23 7. With regard to the allegation in paragraph 27 of the
24 Complaint that unknown agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation
25 made telephone calls to plaintiff's residences in California, please
26 state:

- 27 a. the specific facts which lead the plaintiff
28 to conclude that agents of the FBI made
29 such calls;

- 1 b. the addresses and telephone numbers of plain-
2 tiff's residences in California to which such
3 calls were made;
- 4 c. whether plaintiff's telephone numbers are
5 listed, unpublished, or unlisted by the
6 telephone company;
- 7 d. the specific statements made by the callers
8 in representing themselves;
- 9 e. how many such alleged telephone calls have
10 been received;
- 11 f. the substance of such calls;
- 12 g. the duration of such calls;
- 13 h. the specific dates of such calls;
- 14 i. whether plaintiff has reported such
15 calls to the telephone company or to
16 any law enforcement agency. If the
17 answer to the foregoing is in the
18 affirmative, state
19
20 (i) by whom such report was made,
21
22 (ii) when such report was made,
23
24 (iii) the name and address of the person
25 to whom such report was made,
26
27 (iv) the substance of such report,
28
29 (v) whether plaintiff ever requested
30 a new telephone number, and
31 (vi) what action was taken as a result
32 of such report,

(vii) if no complaint was made, state the reason or reasons that no complaint was made.

j. the names and addresses of all recipients of such alleged telephone calls to plaintiff's residences.

k. the name and date of birth of plaintiff's child.

8. Specify the "information" and set forth the basis for the "belief" referred to in paragraph 28 of the Complaint that the wire or oral communications of the plaintiff were unlawfully

a. intercepted,

b. disclosed,

c. used

by the defendants or unknown agents of the United States.

9. Specify the "information" and set forth the basis for the "belief" referred to in paragraph 28 of the Complaint that unknown agents of the United States and defendants "procured other persons to intercept, disclose and use, wire or oral communications of the plaintiff."

10. Specify the "information" and set forth the basis for the "belief" referred to in paragraph 28 of the Complaint that unknown agents and defendants unlawfully "engaged in, or directed their agents or employees to engage in, electronic surveillance, by bugging and wiretapping, of the plaintiff's conversations and of her premises, including residences and offices."

11. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph 28 of the Complaint, state in detail:

- 1 a. plaintiff's address(es) during the period
- 2 January 1, 1969 to October 16, 1973, includ-
- 3 ing residences and offices, and giving
- 4 inclusive dates;
- 5
- 6 b. plaintiff's telephone numbers during the
- 7 period January 1, 1969 to October 16, 1973,
- 8 giving location(s), inclusive dates, the
- 9 person(s) who contracted for telephone
- 10 service and the person(s) who pay(s) the
- 11 telephone bills;
- 12
- 13 c. the date and location of each alleged surveil-
- 14 lance or overhearing;
- 15
- 16 d. the name and the address of each party to
- 17 each alleged overheard conversation;
- 18
- 19 e. the telephone number or address of the
- 20 premises involved in each alleged surveil-
- 21 lance or overhearing.
- 22
- 23 f. as to each alleged surveillance or over-
- 24 hearing, the specific facts which lead the
- 25 plaintiff to conclude that the premises or
- 26 conversation were subject to electronic
- 27 surveillance;
- 28
- 29 g. as to each alleged surveillance or over-
- 30 hearing, the specific facts which lead
- 31 plaintiff to conclude that the surveillance
- 32 had been conducted by defendants or their
- agents. Please respond separately as to
- each defendant;

1 h. the substance of any overheard conversation;

2 i. whether any complaint was made to (1) the
3 appropriate telephone company, (2) the
4 Federal Government, (3) any appropriate
5 State or Local Government, (4) anyone
6 else concerning such surveillance, and
7 if so, the nature of the complaint in
8 detail and the response thereto. If no
9 complaint was made, state the reason or
10 reasons that no complaint was made.
11

12 12. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraph
13 29 of the Complaint, specify in detail:
14

15 a. the "information" and the basis for the
16 "belief" twice referred to therein;

17 b. the identity of each piece of private
18 mail and correspondence addressed to
19 the plaintiff and sent by the plaintiff
20 to other persons and groups which plain-
21 tiff alleges was opened or caused to be
22 opened, specifying the dates of mailing,
23 the name and address of both the addressor
24 and addressee, and when plaintiff first
25 became aware of the alleged unlawful
26 activity of the defendants with respect
27 to each item;
28

29 c. whether plaintiff reported her complaint
30 to the United States Postal Service or to
31 any law enforcement agency. If the answer
32 to the foregoing is in the affirmative, state:

- (i) by whom such report was made,
- (ii) when such report was made,
- (iii) the name and address of the person
to whom such report was made,
- (iv) the substance of such report,
- (v) what action was taken as a result
of such report.
- (vi) if no complaint was made, state the
reason or reasons that no complaint
was made.

13. State in detail the basis for the allegation set forth in paragraph 30 of the Complaint that "defendants and agents have used said information in a manner entirely unrelated to any legitimate function of the United States Government," specifying all the facts and circumstances of each alleged instance of misuse known to the plaintiff.

14. Specify the "information" and set forth the basis for the "belief" referred to in paragraph 31 of the Complaint.

15. a. With respect to the allegation contained in paragraph 32 of the Complaint, state in detail, giving time, place and circumstances, each instance wherein the plaintiff, because of the alleged activity of the defendants complained of therein, did in fact

- (i) not speak freely,
- (ii) not assemble,
- (iii) not associate,
- (iv) not petition for redress of grievances,
- (v) not enjoy the right to privacy and
security from unnecessary governmental
intrusion,

(vi) not have security in her person, papers and effects from unreasonable search and seizure,

(vii) not enjoy the right not to be deprived of life, liberty, and property without due process of law,

(viii) not enjoy the right to the equal protection of the law.

b. With respect to any matter specified in answer to "a" above, state the operative link between defendants' actions and the deterrence experienced by plaintiff and the specific facts which lead plaintiff to conclude that such deterrence was caused by defendants or their agents.

16. With respect to the allegation contained in paragraph 32 of the Complaint, state in detail

a. the specific manner and form in which plaintiff has suffered loss of income;

b. the specific manner and form in which plaintiff has been subjected to personal inconveniences and annoyance;

c. the date, location, and names and addresses of all parties involved in each event or occurrence referred to in "a" or "b" above.

17. With respect to the allegations contained in paragraphs 20, 32, 42 and 77 of the Complaint, does plaintiff contend that she has in actual fact been deterred from criticizing the policies and programs of the United States Government? If the answer to the foregoing question is affirmative, please state:

1 a. the particular governmental policies
2 and programs with which plaintiff
3 dissents and the particular instances
4 or occasions wherein plaintiff was
5 actually deterred from expressing that
6 criticism;

7
8 b. the date when such criticism was
9 deterred;

10 c. the operative link between defendants'
11 actions and the deterrence experienced
12 by plaintiff.

13 18. State with particularity the basis for the allegations
14 contained in paragraph 42 of the Complaint that the acts complained
15 of were carried out pursuant to
16

17 a. an order or command by, or on behalf
18 of, said defendants;

19 b. a pattern and practice of similar or
20 identical acts ordered or commanded
21 by, or on behalf of said defendants;

22 c. a custom and usage of the executive
23 branch of the United States Government
24 established over the years by said
25 defendants.
26

27 19. With respect to the allegations in paragraph 42 of the
28 Complaint, specify what similar or identical acts were ordered,
29 encouraged, tolerated, condoned and ratified by defendants, including
30 in your answer
31

32 a. the date and location of such similar
or identical acts,

1 b. the nature and character of such acts,

2 c. the persons involved in such acts,

3 d. the operative link between such

4 similar or identical acts and the

5 plaintiff's complaint.


6
7 20. State with particularity the basis for the allegations
8 contained in paragraphs 43, 48, 53, 58, 63, 68, 73 and 77 of the
9 Complaint.


10 Respectfully submitted,

11 HENRY E. PETERSEN
12 Assistant Attorney General

13 WILLIAM D. KELLER
14 United States Attorney

15 JAMES R. DOOLEY
16 Assistant United States
17 Attorney


18 EDWARD S. CHRISTENBURY
19 Attorney, Department of Justice


20 GARVIN LEE OLIVER
21 DAVID H. WHITE
22 Attorneys, Department of Justice
23 Washington, D. C. 20530
24 Telephone: 202/739-2361

25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
Attorneys for the Federal Defendants
in their official and former official
capacities.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this date I served copies of the foregoing DEFENDANTS' FIRST INTERROGATORIES TO PLAINTIFF upon all parties by mailing a copy thereof, postage prepaid, to the following persons:

Leonard I. Weinglass, Esquire
108 Washington Street
Newark, New Jersey 07102

H. Peter Young, Esquire
47 Park Avenue
Venice, California 90291

Melvin L. Wulf, Esquire
American Civil Liberties Union
Foundation
22 East 40th Street
New York, New York 10016

Frank H. Strickler, Esquire
Whiteford, Hart, Carmody & Wilson
815 15th Street, N.W.
Washington, D. C. 20005

Henry J. Price, Esquire
Barnes, Hickam, Pantzer & Boyd
1313 Merchants Bank Building
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

David G. Bress, Esquire
Ginsberg, Feldman and Bress
1700 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 300
Washington, D. C. 20006

Charles W. Colson, Esquire
Colson and Shapiro
1735 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D. C. 20006

Plato Cacheris, Esquire
Hundley and Cacheris
839 17th Street, N.W.
Suite 500
Washington, D. C. 20006

John W. Dean, III
100 Quay Street
Alexandria, Virginia 22314

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

Thomas J. Ready, Esquire
Agnew, Miller and Carlson
606 S. Hill Street
Los Angeles, California 90014

Martin Godin, Esquire
Legal Department
City National Bank of Los Angeles
404 North Roxbury
Beverly Hills, California 90210

A. L. Wirin, Esquire
Fred Okrand, Esquire
Jill Jakes, Esquire
ACLU Foundation of Southern California
323 West Fifth Street
Los Angeles, California 90013

Feb. 7, 1974

Date

15

JAMES R. DOOLEY
Assistant United States Attorney

1 HENRY E. PETERSEN
Assistant Attorney General
2 EDWARD S. CHRISTENBURY
GARVIN LEE OLIVER
3 DAVID H. WHITE
Attorneys, Department of Justice
4 Washington, D. C. 20530
5 Telephone: 202/739-2361
WILLIAM D. KELLER
6 United States Attorney
JAMES R. DOOLEY
7 Assistant United States Attorney
8 1100 U. S. Courthouse
312 North Spring Street
9 Los Angeles, California 90012
Telephone: 213/688-2462
10 Attorneys for the Federal Defendants

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

13 JANE FONDA,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

) Civil Action No.
) 73-2442-MML

16 RICHARD M. NIXON, President of the United States;

L. PATRICK GRAY;

17 WILLIAM C. RUCKELSHAUS;

CHARLES W. COLSON;

18 JOHN W. DEAN, III;

JOHN D. EHRLICHMAN;

19 H. ROBERT HALDEMAN;

JOHN MITCHELL;

20 RICHARD KLEINDIENST;

21 TOM CHARLES HUSTON;

ROBERT C. MARDIAN;

22 ELLIOT L. RICHARDSON, Attorney General of the
United States;

23 GEORGE P. SCHULTZ, Secretary of the Treasury
of the United States;

24 JAMES R. SCHLESINGER, Secretary of Defense
of the United States;

25 HENRY A. KISSINGER, Secretary of State
of the United States;

26 CLARENCE KELLEY, Director of the Federal Bureau
of Investigation of the United States;

27 JAMES W. ROLEY, Director of the United States
Secret Service;

28 VERNON A. WALTERS, Acting Director of the
United States Central Intelligence Agency;

30 VERNON D. ACREE, Commissioner of Customs of the
United States Bureau of Customs;

31 E. T. KLASSEN, Postmaster General of the United
States Postal Service;

32 MORGAN GUARANTY TRUST COMPANY OF NEW YORK;
CITY NATIONAL BANK OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA,

) Defendants.

1 The Federal defendants in their official and former official
2 capacities, hereby request, pursuant to Rule 34, Federal Rules of
3 Civil Procedure, that plaintiff Jane Fonda produce the following docu-
4 ments for inspection and copying on the day of 1974, at
5 o'clock in the .m., in room 1100, United States Courthouse,
6 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90012:
7

8 1. All records, reports, memoranda, letters and other docu-
9 ments upon which plaintiff relied for the allegations contained in
10 paragraph 23 of the Complaint pertaining to the alleged seizure,
11 retention, copying and transmittal of plaintiff's personal address
12 book;
13

14 2. All records, reports, memoranda, letters and other docu-
15 ments upon which plaintiff relied for the allegations contained in
16 paragraphs 24 and 25 of the Complaint pertaining to the alleged
17 demand for and acquisition of plaintiff's financial records from
18 Morgan Guaranty Trust Company of New York and City National Bank of
19 Los Angeles;
20

21 3. All records, reports, memoranda, letters and other docu-
22 ments upon which plaintiff relied for the allegations contained in
23 paragraph 26 of the Complaint pertaining to the alleged break-in of an
24 automobile rented in the name of plaintiff;
25

26 4. All records, reports, memoranda, letters and other docu-
27 ments upon which plaintiff relied for the allegations contained in
28 paragraph 27 of the Complaint pertaining to the alleged "pretext calls"
29 to plaintiff's residences in California;
30

31 5. All records, reports, memoranda, letters and other docu-
32 ments upon which plaintiff relied for the allegations contained in
paragraph 28 of the Complaint pertaining to the alleged interception.

1 disclosure, and use of plaintiff's wire or oral communications and to
2 the alleged electronic surveillance of plaintiff's conversations and
3 premises;

4 6. All records, reports, memoranda, letters and other docu-
5 ments upon which plaintiff relied for the allegations contained in
6 paragraph 29 of the Complaint pertaining to the alleged opening of
7 plaintiff's mail and correspondence;

9 7. All records, reports, memoranda, letters and other docu-
10 ments upon which plaintiff relied for the allegations contained in
11 paragraph 30 of the Complaint pertaining to the alleged compilation,
12 maintenance, and use of dossiers containing information about the
13 plaintiff;


14 8. All records, reports, memoranda, letters and other docu-
15 ments upon which plaintiff relied for the allegations contained in
16 paragraph 31 of the Complaint pertaining to the alleged deprivation of
17 plaintiff's rights and to her loss of income.


19 Respectfully submitted,

20 HENRY E. PETERSEN
21 Assistant Attorney General

22 WILLIAM D. KELLER
23 United States Attorney

24 JAMES R. DOOLEY
25 Assistant United States
26 Attorney


EDWARD S. CHRISTENBURY
Attorney, Department of Justice


GARVIN LEE OLIVER
DAVID H. WHITE
Attorneys, Department of Justice
Washington, D. C. 20530
Telephone: 202/739-2361

30 Attorneys for the Federal Defendants
31 in their official and former official
32 capacities.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this date I served copies of the foregoing REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS upon all parties by mailing a copy thereof, postage prepaid, to the following persons:

Leonard I. Weinglass, Esquire
108 Washington Street
Newark, New Jersey 07102

H. Peter Young, Esquire
47 Park Avenue
Venice, California 90291

Melvin L. Wulf, Esquire
American Civil Liberties Union
Foundation
22 East 40th Street
New York, New York 10016

Frank H. Strickler, Esquire
Whiteford, Hart, Carmody & Wilson
815 15th Street, N.W.
Washington, D. C. 20005

Henry J. Price, Esquire
Barnes, Hickam, Pantzer & Boyd
1313 Merchants Bank Building
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

David G. Bress, Esquire
Ginsberg, Feldman and Bress
1700 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 300
Washington, D. C. 20006

Charles W. Colson, Esquire
Colson and Shapiro
1735 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D. C. 20006

Plato Cacheris, Esquire
Hundley and Cacheris
839 17th Street, N.W.
Suite 500
Washington, D. C. 20006

John W. Dean, III
100 Quay Street
Alexandria, Virginia 22314

1 Thomas J. Ready, Esquire
2 Agnew, Miller and Carlson
3 606 S. Hill Street
4 Los Angeles, California 90014

5 Martin Godin, Esquire
6 Legal Department
7 City National Bank of Los Angeles
8 404 North Roxbury
9 Beverly Hills, California 90210

10 A. L. Wirin, Esquire
11 Fred Okrand, Esquire
12 Jill Jakes, Esquire
13 ACLU Foundation of Southern California
14 323 West Fifth Street
15 Los Angeles, California 90013

16
17 Feb. 7, 1974
18 Date

19 19
20 JAMES R. DOOLEY
21 Assistant United States Attorney
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Memorandum

TO : Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Attention: Office of Legal Counsel

DATE: March 22, 1974

FROM : Henry E. Petersen
Assistant Attorney General
Criminal Division



SUBJECT: Jane Fonda v. Richard M. Nixon, et al.
(C.D. Cal.) Civil Action No. 73-2442-MML

Enclosed for your files in the referenced civil action is a copy of the Answer to Complaint by the Federal Defendants which was served and filed on March 20, 1974.

Enclosures

ENCLOSURE

ENCLOSURE ATTACHED



REC-48

EX-113

100-459279-619

4-26
15 MAR 25 1974

LEGAL COUNSEL

100-459279

ENCLOSURE

100-459279-

619

1 HENRY E. PETERSEN
2 Assistant Attorney
3 EDWARD S. CHRISTENBURY
4 GARVIN LEE OLIVER
5 DAVID H. WHITE
6 Attorneys, Department of Justice
7 Washington, D.C. 20530
8 Telephone: 202/739-2361
9 WILLIAM D. KELLER
10 United States Attorney
11 JAMES R. DOOLEY
12 Assistant United States Attorney
13 1100 U.S. Courthouse
14 312 North Spring Street
15 Los Angeles, California 90012
16 Telephone: 213/688-2462
17 Attorneys for the Federal Defendants

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

13 JANE FONDA,)
14)
15 Plaintiff,)
16)
17 v.) Civil Action No. 73-2442-MML
18)
19 RICHARD M. NIXON, et al.,)
20)
21 Defendants.)
22)
23)

19 ANSWER TO COMPLAINT BY DEFENDANTS COLSON,
20 EHRLICHMAN, HALDEMAN, MITCHELL, HUSTON,
21 AND MARDIAN IN THEIR FORMER OFFICIAL
22 CAPACITIES AND DEFENDANTS GRAY,
23 RUCKELSHAUS, KLEINDIENST, RICHARDSON, SHULTZ,
24 SCHLESINGER, KISSINGER, KELLEY, ROWLEY,
25 WALTERS, ACREE, AND KLASSEN

24 Come now defendants Colson, Ehrlichman, Haldeman, Mitchell,
25 Huston and Mardian in their former official capacities and defen-
26 dants Gray, Ruckelshaus, Kleindienst, Richardson, Shultz,
27 Schlesinger, Kissinger, Kelley, Rowley, Walters, Acree and Klassen,
28 hereinafter the Federal defendants, by their undersigned attorneys,
29 and in answer to the Complaint herein filed, say:
30
31
32

1 FIRST DEFENSE

2 The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be
3 granted.

4 SECOND DEFENSE

5 The Court lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the
6 Complaint.

7 THIRD DEFENSE

8 The plaintiff lacks standing to bring this suit.

9 FOURTH DEFENSE

10 The suit is in law and fact a suit against the United States
11 of America, to which the United States of America has not consented
12 and which is barred by the doctrine of sovereign immunity.
13

14 FIFTH DEFENSE

15 At all times material herein, all activities of the Federal
16 defendants in the premises were in furtherance of their official
17 duties, were within the scope of their authority, and were not in
18 excess of their statutory authority. The Federal defendants are,
19 therefore, absolutely immune from civil liability under the doctrine
20 of official immunity.
21

22 SIXTH DEFENSE

23 At all times material herein, all activities of the Federal
24 defendants in the premises were performed in furtherance of their
25 official duties, were undertaken in good faith and in reasonable
26 belief that such activities were necessary, lawful, and within the
27 scope of their authority. The Federal defendants are, therefore,
28 not liable to plaintiff to damages for such activity.
29
30
31
32

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- 29
- 30
- 31
- 32

2
3

4
5

6
7

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

16
17
18
19
20
21
22

22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

1 Campaign, and resides in Santa Monica, California but lack knowledge.
2 or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
3 remaining allegations contained in the third and fourth sentences
4 of paragraph 3 of the Complaint, and deny that same is a complete
5 statement of the objectives of the Indochina Peace Campaign. The
6 remaining Federal defendants lack knowledge or information
7 sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations con-
8 tained in the third, fourth and fifth sentences of paragraph 3 of
9 the Complaint. The Federal defendants deny that paragraph 3 is a
10 complete statement of the relevant activities of the plaintiff.
11

12 4. The Federal defendants admit the allegations contained
13 in paragraph 4 of the Complaint.
14

15 5. Answering paragraph 5 of the Complaint, the Federal defen-
16 dants deny that Elliot L. Richardson is Attorney General and that
17 James W. Rowley is Director of the United States Secret Service and
18 allege that defendant Richardson resigned as Attorney General of the
19 United States on October 20, 1973 and that defendant Rowley, whose
20 correct name is James J. Rowley, retired from the position of
21 Director of the United States Secret Service on October 31, 1973.
22 The Federal defendants further allege that the correct spelling of
23 the name of the defendant Secretary of the Treasury is George P.
24 Shultz, instead of Schultz and that defendant Vernon D. Acree became
25 Commissioner of Customs on November 13, 1973. The Federal defen-
26 dants named in paragraph 5 of the Complaint deny that any action has
27 been taken by them against plaintiff which is actionable in a suit
28 for violation of her constitutional or other legal rights and furthe
29 deny that plaintiff is entitled to judicial relief against them in
30 any form or manner. The Federal defendants admit all allegations
31
32

1 contained in paragraph 5 of the Complaint not inconsistent herewith.

2 6. The Federal defendants admit the allegations contained in
3 paragraph 6 of the Complaint and allege that defendant L. Patrick
4 Gray was Acting Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation
5 from May 3, 1972 to April 27, 1973. Federal defendant Gray denies
6 that any action has been taken by him against plaintiff which is
7 actionable in a suit for violation of her constitutional or other
8 legal rights and further denies that plaintiff is entitled to
9 judicial relief against him in any form or manner.
10

11 7. The Federal defendants admit the allegations contained
12 in paragraph 7 of the Complaint. Federal defendant Ruckelshaus
13 denies that any action has been taken by him against plaintiff which
14 is actionable in a suit for violation of her constitutional or
15 other legal rights and further denies that plaintiff is entitled to
16 judicial relief against him in any form or manner.
17

18 8. The Federal defendants admit the allegations contained in
19 paragraph 8 of the Complaint except allege that Charles W. Colson
20 was Special Counsel to the President from November 3, 1969 to
21 March 14, 1973. Federal defendant Colson denies that any action
22 has been taken by him against plaintiff which is actionable in a
23 suit for violation of her constitutional or other legal rights and
24 further denies that plaintiff is entitled to judicial relief against
25 him in any form or manner.
26

27 9. The Federal defendants admit the allegations contained in
28 paragraph 9 of the Complaint.
29

30 10. The Federal defendants admit the allegations contained
31 in paragraph 10 of the Complaint and allege that defendant Mitchell
32 was Attorney General of the United States from January 21, 1969 to

1 March 1, 1972. Federal defendant Mitchell denies that any action
2 has been taken by him against plaintiff which is actionable in a
3 suit for violation of her constitutional or other legal rights and
4 further denies that plaintiff is entitled to judicial relief against
5 him in any form or manner.

6
7 11. The Federal defendants deny the allegations contained in
8 paragraph 11 of the Complaint, except admit that defendant H. Rober
9 Haldeman was employed by the United States Government as an
10 Assistant to the President from January 21, 1969 to April 30, 1973.
11 Federal defendant Haldeman denies that any action has been taken by
12 him against plaintiff which is actionable in a suit for violation
13 of her constitutional or other legal rights and further denies that
14 plaintiff is entitled to judicial relief against him in any form or
15 manner.
16

17 12. The Federal defendants deny the allegations contained in
18 paragraph 12 of the Complaint, except admit that defendant John D.
19 Ehrlichman was employed by the United States Government from
20 January 21, 1969 to November 4, 1969 as Counsel to the President,
21 from November 4, 1969 to January 21, 1973 as Assistant to the
22 President for Domestic Affairs, and from January 21, 1973 to
23 April 30, 1973 as an Assistant to the President. Federal defendant
24 Ehrlichman denies that any action has been taken by him against
25 plaintiff which is actionable in a suit for violation of her
26 constitutional or other legal rights and further denies that plain-
27 tiff is entitled to judicial relief against him in any form or
28 manner.
29

30
31 13. The Federal defendants deny the allegations contained
32 in paragraph 13 of the Complaint, except admit that Tom Charles

1 Huston resides in Indianapolis, Indiana, and admit that he was
2 employed by the White House as a researcher and writer from 1969
3 until June 1971, and had responsibility for coordinating and
4 channeling internal security information within the White House
5 from April to August 1970. Federal defendant Huston denies that
6 any action has been taken by him against plaintiff which is action-
7 able in a suit for violation of her constitutional or other legal
8 rights and further denies that plaintiff is entitled to judicial
9 relief against him in any form or manner.
10

11 14. The Federal defendants admit the allegations contained
12 in paragraph 14 of the Complaint except allege that defendant Rober
13 C. Mardian was an Assistant Attorney General of the United States
14 from November 12, 1970 to April 26, 1972. Federal defendant
15 Mardian denies that any action has been taken by him against plain-
16 tiff which is actionable in a suit for violation of her constitu-
17 tional or other legal rights and further denies that plaintiff is
18 entitled to judicial relief against him in any form or manner.
19

20 15. The Federal defendants admit the allegations contained
21 in paragraph 15 of the Complaint except allege that defendant
22 Richard G. Kleindienst became Acting Attorney General of the
23 United States on March 2, 1972 and between June 12, 1972 and May 25,
24 1973 was Attorney General of the United States. Federal defendant
25 Kleindienst denies that any action has been taken by him against
26 plaintiff which is actionable in a suit for violation of her consti-
27 tutional or other legal rights and further denies that plaintiff is
28 entitled to judicial relief against him in any form or manner.
29

30 16. Answering paragraph 16 of the Complaint, the Federal
31 defendants admit that the Morgan Guaranty Trust Company is a bank-
32

1 ing institution doing business in New York, New York, but lack
2 knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
3 truth of the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 16.

4 • 17. The Federal defendants admit the allegations contained
5 in paragraph 17 of the Complaint.

6 18. The Federal defendants lack knowledge or information
7 sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations con-
8 tained in paragraph 18 of the Complaint.

9 19. The Federal defendants admit the existence of the
10 statutory reference contained in paragraph 19 of the Complaint, but
11 deny that this Court has jurisdiction by reason thereof, and deny
12 all other allegations contained in paragraph 19 of the Complaint not
13 inconsistent herewith.

14 20. The Federal defendants deny the allegations contained in
15 paragraph 20 of the Complaint.

16 21. The Federal defendants deny the allegations contained in
17 paragraph 21 of the Complaint.

18 22. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 22 of
19 the Complaint, the Federal defendants admit on information and belief
20 that a list containing plaintiff's name was prepared and maintained
21 in the offices of the White House, but deny the remaining allegations
22 contained in the Complaint as to themselves and their agents. The
23 Federal defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form
24 a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations as to others
25 contained in paragraph 22 of the Complaint.

26 23. Answering the allegations contained in the first sen-
27 tence of paragraph 23 of the Complaint, Federal defendants Mitchell,
28

1 Kleindienst, Richardson, Mardian, Gray, Ruckelshaus, Kelley, Shultz,
2 and Acree based on a review of the files of the Department of Justice
3 Department of Treasury, Federal Bureau of Investigation, and Bureau
4 of Customs, admit that on November 3, 1970, following plaintiff's
5 arrival at the Cleveland-Hopkins International Airport on Air Canada
6 Flight Number 271, Customs officials examined and searched plaintiff's
7 luggage, pursuant to Title 19, United States Code, Section 482 and
8 incident to plaintiff's arrest for violation of Title 18, United
9 States Code, Section 111, and seized several vials of pills and tab-
10 lets, a black loose-leaf binder address book containing the names,
11 addresses, and telephone numbers of individuals and organizations,
12 and other personal effects, but lack knowledge or information suffi-
13 cient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations
14 in the first sentence of paragraph 23 of the Complaint. Answering
15 the allegations contained in the second sentence of paragraph 23 of
16 the Complaint, these same Federal defendants, based on a review of
17 said official files, admit that such seizure was made without adminis-
18 trative or judicial warrant or subpoena and without the plaintiff's
19 consent, but allege that no warrant, subpoena, or plaintiff's consent
20 was required inasmuch as the notebook and other articles were seized
21 incidental to a lawful search and arrest by United States Customs,
22 and deny the remaining allegations contained in the second sentence
23 of paragraph 23 of the Complaint. Answering the allegations contained
24 in the third sentence of paragraph 23 of the Complaint, Federal defen-
25 dants Shultz and Acree, based on a review of official files of the
26 Bureau of Customs, deny that agents of the Bureau of Customs retained
27 the notebook for two days and allege that said notebook and other
28 personal effects, with the exception of certain of the vials of pills
29
30
31
32

1 found on plaintiff's possession upon her arrival in Cleveland, were
2 returned to plaintiff at approximately 5:00 p.m. on November 3, 1970
3 in the office of the Senior Resident Agent, United States Customs
4 Service, Cleveland, Ohio, but admit the remaining allegations con-
5 tained in the third sentence of paragraph 23 of the Complaint and
6 allege that the notebook in question was referred to the FBI because
7 its contents raised a question as to whether the information was
8 germane to ongoing FBI investigations. Federal defendants Gray,
9 Ruckelshaus, and Kelley, based on a review of the files of the Feder-
10 al Bureau of Investigation, admit the allegations contained in the four-
11 sentence of paragraph 23 of the Complaint and allege that the conten-
12 of the plaintiff's notebook was of legitimate interest to the FBI and
13 to other Federal agencies to which it was disseminated. Federal
14 defendants Mitchell, Kleindienst, Richardson, Mardian, Schlesinger,
15 Kissinger, Rowley, and Walters, based on a review of official Govern-
16 ment files, admit that information from plaintiff's notebook was
17 received by certain Government agencies from the FBI. The remaining
18 Federal defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a
19 belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 23 of
20 the Complaint.

21
22
23
24 24. Answering the allegations contained in the first
25 sentence of paragraph 24 of the Complaint, Federal defendants Gray,
26 Ruckelshaus and Kelley, based on a review of the files of the
27 Federal Bureau of Investigation, admit that during the period
28 December 9, 1970 to March 3, 1972 the FBI requested and received from
29 the records of Morgan Guaranty Trust Company information regarding
30 plaintiff's financial transactions as contained in two checking
31 accounts maintained by the plaintiff at Morgan Guaranty Trust Company
32

1 and admit the allegations contained in the second sentence of para-
2 graph 24 of the Complaint. Answering the allegations contained in the
3 third sentence of paragraph 24 of the Complaint, these same Federal
4 defendants, based on a review of said files, admit that information
5 from such records was obtained without any judicial or administrative
6 subpoena or warrant, but deny that such process was required and
7 further deny the remaining allegations contained in the third
8 sentence of paragraph 24 of the Complaint. Answering the allegations
9 contained in the fourth sentence of paragraph 24 of the Complaint,
10 these same Federal defendants based on a review of said files, admit
11 that officers of Morgan Guaranty Trust Company, acting within the
12 scope of their employment, gave the FBI access to such records, but
13 lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
14 truth of the remaining allegations contained in the fourth sentence
15 of paragraph 24 of the Complaint. These same Federal defendants,
16 based on a review of said files, admit the allegations contained in
17 the fifth sentence of paragraph 24 of the Complaint. Answering the
18 allegations contained in the sixth sentence of paragraph 24 of the
19 Complaint, these same Federal defendants, based on a review of said
20 files, admit that plaintiff did not give her consent to any FBI agent
21 for the release of said records, but deny that plaintiff's consent
22 was legally required for the release of said records and that plain-
23 tiff reasonably expected that such records would remain private and
24 lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
25 truth of the remaining allegations contained in the sixth sentence of
26 paragraph 24 of the Complaint. The remaining Federal defendants lack
27 knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
28 of the allegations contained in paragraph 24 of the Complaint except
29
30
31
32

1 that Federal defendants Mitchell, Kleindienst, Richardson, Mardian,
2 Shultz, Rowley, Schlesinger, and Walters, based on a review of
3 official Government files, admit that information concerning plain-
4 tiff's financial transactions was received by certain Government
5 agencies from the FBI.

6
7 25. Answering the allegations contained in the first sentence
8 of paragraph 25 of the Complaint, the Federal defendants Gray,
9 Ruckelshaus, and Kelley, based on a review of the files of the Federal
10 Bureau of Investigation, admit that on four occasions during the per-
11 iod July 30, 1971 to November 4, 1971 the FBI requested and received
12 from the records of the City National Bank of Los Angeles information
13 regarding plaintiff's financial transactions as contained in two
14 checking accounts maintained by plaintiff's secretary under the names
15 of Ellen Lustbader Management Account and Ellen F. Lustbader, respec-
16 tively, but lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief
17 as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in the first
18 sentence of paragraph 25 of the Complaint. These same Federal defen-
19 dants, based on a review of said files, admit the allegations contain-
20 ed in the second sentence of paragraph 25 of the Complaint. Answering
21 the allegations contained in the third sentence of paragraph 25 of the
22 Complaint, these same Federal defendants, based on a review of said
23 files, admit that information from such records was obtained without
24 any judicial or administrative subpoena or warrant, but deny that such
25 process was required, and further deny the remaining allegations con-
26 tained in the third sentence of paragraph 25 of the Complaint. An-
27 swering the allegations contained in the fourth sentence of paragraph
28 25 of the Complaint, these same Federal defendants, based on a review
29 of said files, admit that officers of City National Bank of Los
30 Angeles, acting within the scope of their employment, gave the
31
32

1 FBI access to such records, but lack knowledge or information
2 sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allega-
3 tions contained in the fourth sentence of paragraph 25 of the
4 Complaint. These same Federal defendants, based on a review of said
5 files, admit the allegations contained in the fifth sentence of para-
6 graph 25 of the Complaint. Answering the allegations contained in
7 the sixth sentence of paragraph 25 of the Complaint, these same
8 Federal defendants admit that neither plaintiff nor her personal
9 secretary gave her consent to any FBI agent for the release of said
10 records, but deny that such consent was legally required for the re-
11 lease of said records and that plaintiff and her personal secretary
12 reasonably expected that said records would remain private and lack
13 knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the re-
14 maining allegations contained in the sixth sentence of paragraph 25
15 of the Complaint. The remaining Federal defendants lack knowledge or
16 information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allega-
17 tions contained in paragraph 25 of the Complaint except that Federal
18 defendants Mitchell, Kleindienst, Richardson, Mardian, Shultz, Rowley
19 and Walters, based on a review of official Government files, admit
20 that information concerning plaintiff's financial transactions was re-
21 ceived by certain Government agencies from the FBI.

22
23
24
25 26. The Federal defendants deny the allegations contained
26 in the first sentence of paragraph 26 of the Complaint as it relates
27 to themselves and their agents. The Federal defendants lack knowledge
28 or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the re-
29 maining allegations contained in paragraph 26 of the Complaint.

30
31 27. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 27 of
32 the Complaint, Federal defendants Gray, Ruckelshaus, and Kelley,

1 based on a review of the files of the Federal Bureau of Investigation
2 admit that on five occasions in 1971 telephone calls were made by the
3 FBI to plaintiff's residences in California. These same Federal
4 defendants allege that on one of these occasions there was no answer
5 to a call made to plaintiff's home in Los Angeles. These same
6 Federal defendants allege that on four of these occasions pretext
7 identity calls were made to plaintiff's temporary residence at the
8 Berkeley House Hotel, Berkeley, California to inquire generally as to
9 plaintiff's whereabouts. These same Federal defendants allege that
10 on two of these occasions of calls to the Berkeley House Hotel plain-
11 tiff was not then residing there, and that on the other two occasions
12 no information was solicited or gained beyond the fact that plaintiff
13 was not then residing there, except that on July 21, 1971, one of
14 plaintiff's employees corroborated the fact that plaintiff's daughter
15 was enrolled at the Red Family School, Berkeley, California and at-
16 tended school there daily. These same Federal defendants further
17 allege that on none of these occasions did Special Agents of the FBI
18 embarrass or harass plaintiff in any way, nor did they speak directly
19 to plaintiff, and deny that the FBI made repeated telephone calls to
20 the plaintiff's residences in California solely for the purpose of
21 gathering information about her personal life. These same Federal
22 defendants further allege that calls to places such as hotels to
23 determine residence are in no way improper since such information is
24 normally supplied by a hotel to anyone inquiring and that the use of
25 pretext identities when making such calls protects the plaintiff from
26 the possible embarrassment of a direct inquiry in the name of the FBI.
27 These same Federal defendants deny all other allegations contained in
28 paragraph 27 of the Complaint inconsistent herewith. The remaining
29
30
31
32

1 Federal defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form
2 a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 2
3 of the Complaint.

4 28. The Federal defendants deny the allegations contained
5 in the first two sentences of paragraph 28 of the Complaint as it re-
6 lates to themselves and their agents except that Federal defendants
7 Gray, Ruckelshaus, and Kelley, based on a review of files of the
8 Federal Bureau of Investigation admit that at various times during
9 the period 1970-1971 some conversations of the plaintiff were
10 incidentally intercepted and overheard during national security
11 electronic surveillances directed at others, conducted by the FBI and
12 that some of the information obtained therefrom was disseminated.
13 Answering the allegations contained in the third sentence of para-
14 graph 28 of the Complaint, Federal defendants Gray, Ruckelshaus, and
15 Kelley, based on a review of files of the Federal Bureau of Investi-
16 gation, admit that the national security electronic surveillance
17 referred to above were conducted without a prior judicial warrant,
18 but allege that such surveillances were authorized by the President,
19 acting through the Attorney General, and deny that such warrant was
20 then legally required, and deny the remaining allegations contained
21 in the third sentence of paragraph 28 of the Complaint. Answering
22 the allegations contained in the fourth sentence of paragraph 29 of
23 the Complaint, the Federal defendants deny that the Federal defen-
24 dants and their agents conducted any electronic surveillance directed
25 at plaintiff's premises. The Federal defendants lack knowledge or
26 information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the re-
27 maining allegations contained in paragraph 28 of the Complaint.

28 29. The Federal defendants deny the allegations contained in
29
30
31
32

1 the first two sentences of paragraph 29 of the Complaint as it re-
2 lates to themselves and their agents. The Federal defendants lack
3 knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
4 truth of the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 29 of the
5 Complaint.
6

7 30. The Federal defendants deny the allegations contained in
8 paragraph 30 of the Complaint as it relates to themselves and their
9 agents except defendants Mitchell, Kleindienst, Richardson, Mardian,
10 Gray, Ruckelshaus, Kelley, Shultz, Schlesinger, Kissinger, Rowley,
11 Walters, and Acree, based on a review of official Government files,
12 state that certain Government agencies, have in the exercise of their
13 official responsibilities, lawfully compiled and maintained, and con-
14 tinue to maintain, official files concerning the plaintiff. The
15 Federal defendants deny any other allegations as to themselves and
16 their agents inconsistent with the answer herein and lack knowledge
17 or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
18 allegations concerning others contained in paragraph 30 of the
19 Complaint.
20

21 31. Answering paragraph 31 of the Complaint, the Federal
22 defendants deny that they, their agents, or employees, have taken any
23 action against the plaintiff which is actionable in a suit for viola-
24 tion of her constitutional or other legal rights, and further deny
25 that plaintiff is entitled to judicial relief against the Federal
26 defendants, their agents or employees in any form or manner. The
27 Federal defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a
28 belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations concerning others
29 contained in paragraph 31 of the Complaint.
30
31

32 32-33. The Federal defendants deny the allegations contained

1 in paragraphs 32 and 33 of the Complaint.

2 34. The Federal defendants admit the existence of the
3 statutory reference contained in paragraph 34 of the Complaint and
4 that the action purports to be as alleged, but deny that any action
5 has been taken by the Federal defendants against plaintiff which is
6 actionable in a suit for violation of her constitutional or other
7 legal rights and further deny that plaintiff is entitled to
8 judicial relief against them in any form or manner.

9
10 35. For their answer to the allegations contained in para-
11 graph 35 of the Complaint, the Federal defendants incorporate by
12 this reference, as if fully set forth herein, each and every answer
13 to paragraphs 20 through 31 of the Complaint set forth above.

14
15 36-39. The Federal defendants deny the allegations contained
16 in paragraphs 36 through 39 of the Complaint.

17 40. The Federal defendants admit the existence of the
18 constitutional and statutory references contained in paragraph 40 of
19 the Complaint and that the action purports to be as alleged, but
20 deny that any action has been taken by the Federal defendants
21 against plaintiff which is actionable in a suit for violation of her
22 constitutional or other legal rights and further deny that plaintiff
23 is entitled to judicial relief against them in any form or manner.

24
25 41. For their answer to the allegations contained in para-
26 graph 41 of the Complaint, the Federal defendants incorporate by this
27 reference, as if fully set forth herein, each and every answer to
28 paragraphs 20 through 22 of the Complaint set forth above.

29
30 42-44. The Federal defendants deny the allegations contained
31 in paragraphs 42 through 44 of the Complaint.

32 45. The Federal defendants admit the existence of the consti-

1 tutional and statutory references contained in paragraph 45 of the
2 Complaint and that the action purports to be as alleged, but deny
3 that any action has been taken by the Federal defendants against
4 plaintiff which is actionable in a suit for violation of her consti-
5 tutional or other legal rights and further deny that plaintiff is
6 entitled to judicial relief against them in any form or manner.
7

8 /

9 /

10 /

11 /

12 /

13 /

14 /

15 /

16 /

17 /

18 /

19 /

20 /

21 /

22 /

23 /

24 /

25 /

26 /

27 /

28 /

29 /

30 /

31 /

32 /

1 46. For their answer to the allegations contained in para-
2 graph 46 of the Complaint, the Federal defendants incorporate by re-
3 ference, as if fully set forth herein, each and every answer to
4 paragraphs 20, 21, and 23 of the Complaint set forth above.

5 47-49. The Federal defendants deny the allegations contained
6 in paragraphs 47 through 49 of the Complaint.

7 50. The Federal defendants admit the existence of the
8 constitutional and statutory references contained in paragraph 50 of
9 the Complaint and that the action purports to be as alleged, but
10 deny that any action has been taken by the Federal defendants against
11 plaintiff which is actionable in a suit for violation of her consti-
12 tutional or other legal rights and further deny that plaintiff is
13 entitled to judicial relief against them in any form or manner.
14

15 51. For their answer to the allegations contained in para-
16 graph 51 of the Complaint, the Federal defendants incorporate by
17 this reference, as if fully set forth herein, each and every answer
18 to paragraphs 20, 21, and 24 of the Complaint set forth above.
19

20 52-54. The Federal defendants deny the allegations contained
21 in paragraphs 52 through 54 of the Complaint.

22 55. The Federal defendants admit the existence of the consti-
23 tutional and statutory references contained in paragraph 55 of the
24 Complaint and that the action purports to be as alleged, but deny
25 that any action has been taken by the Federal defendants against
26 plaintiff which is actionable in a suit for violation of her
27 constitutional or other legal rights and further deny that plain-
28 tiff is entitled to judicial relief against them in any form or
29 manner.
30

31 56. For their answer to the allegations contained in para-
32

1 graph 56 of the Complaint, the Federal defendants incorporate by
2 this reference, as if fully set forth herein, each and every answer
3 to paragraphs 20, 21, and 25 of the Complaint set forth above.

4 57-59. The Federal defendants deny the allegations contained
5 in paragraphs 57, 58, and 59 of the Complaint.

6 60. The Federal defendants admit the existence of the consti
7 tutional and statutory references contained in paragraph 60 of the
8 Complaint and that the action purports to be as alleged, but deny
9 that any action has been taken by the Federal defendants against
10 plaintiff which is actionable in a suit for violation of her consti-
11 tutional or other legal rights and further deny that plaintiff is
12 entitled to judicial relief against them in any form or manner.
13

14 61. For their answer to the allegations contained in para-
15 graph 61 of the Complaint, the Federal defendants incorporate by
16 this reference, as if fully set forth herein, each and every answer
17 to paragraphs 20, 21, and 26 of the Complaint set forth above.

18 62-64. The Federal defendants deny the allegations contained
19 in paragraphs 62 through 64 of the Complaint.

20 65. The Federal defendants admit the existence of the consti
21 tutional and statutory references contained in paragraph 65 of the
22 Complaint and that the action purports to be as alleged, but deny
23 that any action has been taken by the Federal defendants against
24 plaintiff which is actionable in a suit for violation of her consti-
25 tutional or other legal rights and further deny that plaintiff is
26 entitled to judicial relief against them in any form or manner.
27

28 66. For their answer to the allegations contained in para-
29 graph 66 of the Complaint, the Federal defendants incorporate by
30 this reference, as if fully set forth herein, each and every answer
31
32

1 to paragraphs 20, 21, and 27 of the Complaint set forth above.

2 67-69. The Federal defendants deny the allegations contained
3 in paragraphs 67 through 69 of the Complaint.

4 70. The Federal defendants admit the existence of the consti-
5 tutional and statutory references contained in paragraph 70 of the
6 Complaint and that the action purports to be as alleged, but deny
7 that any action has been taken by the Federal defendants against
8 plaintiff which is actionable in a suit for violation of her consti-
9 tutional or other legal rights and further deny that plaintiff is
10 entitled to judicial relief against them in any form or manner.
11

12 71. For their answer to the allegations contained in para-
13 graph 71 of the Complaint, the Federal defendants incorporate by
14 this reference, as if fully set forth herein, each and every answer
15 to paragraphs 20, 21, and 28 of the Complaint set forth above.
16

17 72-74. The Federal defendants deny the allegations contained
18 in paragraphs 72 through 74 of the Complaint.

19 75. The Federal defendants admit the existence of the consti-
20 tutional and statutory references contained in paragraph 75 of the
21 Complaint and that the action purports to be as alleged, but deny
22 that any action has been taken by the Federal defendants against
23 plaintiff which is actionable in a suit for violation of her consti-
24 tutional or other legal rights and further deny that plaintiff is
25 entitled to judicial relief against them in any form or manner.
26

27 76. For their answer to the allegations contained in para-
28 graph 76 of the Complaint, the Federal defendants incorporate by
29 this reference, as if fully set forth herein, each and every answer
30 to paragraphs 20 through 32, 36 and 37, and 42 of the Complaint set
31 forth above.
32

1 77-80. The Federal defendants deny the allegations contained
2 in paragraph 77 through 80 of the Complaint.

3 81. The Federal defendants admit the existence of the consti-
4 tutional and statutory references contained in paragraph 81 of the
5 Complaint and that the action purports to be as alleged, but deny
6 that any action has been taken by the Federal defendants against
7 plaintiff which is actionable in a suit for violation of her consti-
8 tutional or other legal rights and further deny that plaintiff is
9 entitled to judicial relief against them in any form or manner.
10

11 82. For their answer to the allegations contained in para-
12 graph 82 of the Complaint, the Federal defendants incorporate by
13 this reference, as if fully set forth herein, each and every answer
14 to paragraphs 20 through 32, 36 and 37, 42, and 77 through 79 of the
15 Complaint set forth above.
16

17 83. The Federal defendants deny the allegations contained
18 in paragraph 83 of the Complaint.

19 Defendants deny each and every allegation in the Complaint
20 not expressly admitted, denied, or otherwise qualified herein.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

1 A. L. Wirin, Esquire
2 Fred Chernick, Esquire
3 Jill Jones, Esquire
4 ACLU Foundation of Southern California
5 323 West 8th Street
6 Los Angeles, California 90013

7 Davis, Polk & Wardwell
8 One Chase Manhattan Plaza
9 New York, New York 10005

10 Bob Wessling, Esquire
11 Latham & Watkins
12 555 South Flower Street
13 Los Angeles, California 90071

14 Law Offices of
15 Godfrey Isaac, A Professional Corporation
16 9454 Wilshire Boulevard, Penthouse Suite
17 Beverly Hills, California 90212

18 Bruce Bevan, Jr., Esquire
19 Musick, Peeler & Garrett
20 One Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 2000
21 Los Angeles, California 90017

1 Leonard I. Weinglass, Esquire
2 108 Washington Street
3 Newark, New Jersey 07102
4
5 H. Peter Young, Esquire
6 47 Park Avenue
7 Venice, California 90291
8
9 Melvin L. Wulf, Esquire
10 American Civil Liberties Union Foundation
11 22 East 40th Street
12 New York, New York 10016
13
14 Frank H. Strickler, Esquire
15 Whiteford, Hart, Carmody & Wilson
16 815 15th Street, N.W.
17 Washington, D. C. 20005
18
19 Henry J. Price, Esquire
20 Barnes, Hickam, Pantzer & Boyd
21 1313 Merchants Bank Building
22 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
23
24 David G. Bress, Esquire
25 Ginsberg, Feldman and Bress
26 1700 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Suite 300
27 Washington, D. C. 20006
28
29 Charles W. Colson, Esquire
30 Colson and Shapiro
31 1735 New York Avenue, N.W.
32 Washington, D. C. 20006
33
34 Plato Cacheris, Esquire
35 Hundley and Cacheris
36 839 17th Street, N.W., Suite 500
37 Washington, D. C. 20006
38
39 John W. Dean, III
40 100 Quay Street
41 Alexandria, Virginia 22314
42
43 Thomas J. Ready, Esquire
44 Agnew, Miller and Carlson
45 606 South Hill Street
46 Los Angeles California 90014
47
48 Martin Godin, Esquire
49 Legal Department
50 City National Bank of Los Angeles
51 404 North Roxbury
52 Beverly Hills, California 90210

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL

I, _____, declare:

That I am a citizen of the United States and resident or employed in Los Angeles County, California; that my business address is Office of United States Attorney, United States Courthouse, 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90012; that I am over the age of eighteen years, and am not a party to the above-entitled action;

That I am employed by the United States Attorney for the Central District of California who is a member of the Bar of the United States District Court for the Central District of California at whose direction the service by mail described in this Certificate was made; that on _____, I deposited in the United States mails in the United States Courthouse at 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, California, in the above-entitled action, in an envelope bearing the requisite postage, a copy of

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

[see attached list for names of persons receiving a copy]

at their last known address, at which place there is a delivery service by United States mail.

This Certificate is executed on _____, at Los Angeles, California.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

1 WHEREFORE, the Federal defendants, having fully answered the
2 allegations contained in the numbered paragraphs of the Complaint,
3 hereby pray that the Complaint herein be dismissed.


4 Respectfully submitted,

5 HENRY E. PETERSEN
6 Assistant Attorney General

7 WILLIAM D. KELLER
8 United States Attorney

9 
10 EDWARD S. CHRISTENBURY
11 Attorney, Department of Justice

12 JAMES R. DOOLEY
13 Assistant United States
14 Attorney

15 
16 GARTIN LEE OLIVER
17 DAVID H. WHITE
18 Attorneys, Department of Justice
19 Washington, D. C. 20530
20 202/739-2361



FREEDOM OF INFORMATION
AND
PRIVACY ACTS

SUBJECT: ELLIOTT RICHARDSON

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Washington, D. C. 20537

**REPORT**

of the

IDENTIFICATION DIVISION**LATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION**

YOUR FILE NO. **9-2746**
 FBI FILE NO. **9-52453**
 LATENT CASE NO. **A-33103**


March 19, 1971**TO: SAC, WFO**

UNSUB.;
SECRETARY OF HEALTH, EDUCATION AND WELFARE
RE: ELLIOT RICHARDSON - VICTIM
[REDACTED] VICTIM
ET AL.;
EXTORTION

REFERENCE: Airtel 3-15-71
EXAMINATION REQUESTED BY: WFO
SPECIMENS: Q24, postal card

Listed Q specimen described in separate Laboratory report.

No latent prints of value developed on Q24, which is enclosed.



REC-33

9-52453-31

MAR 21

Tolson _____
 Sullivan _____
 Mohr _____
 Bishop _____
 Brennan, C.D. _____
 Callahan _____
 Casper _____
 Conrad _____
 Dalbey _____
 Felt _____
 Gale _____
 Rosen _____
 Tavel _____
 Walters _____
 Soyars _____
 Tele. Room _____
 Holmes _____
 Gandy _____

Esc.**2 - Norfolk (9-608)****WCC:ksg****(6)**

John Edgar Hoover, Director

THIS REPORT IS FURNISHED FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLYMAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

7-2
RECORDED
3/16/71 mks

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

LAB FILE

Laboratory Work Sheet

Re: **UNSUB; Secretary of Health, Education
and Welfare ELLIOT RICHARDSON - VICTIM;**
[REDACTED] - VICTIM;

Received **3-18-71**
File # **9-52453**
Lab. # **D-710316003 MS**
LC# **33107**

K et al.;
EXTORTION
OO: Norfolk

LATENT

Examination requested by: **Washington Field Office (9-2746) A. 3/15/71**

Examination requested: **Document - Fingerprint**

Date received: **3/15/71**

Result of Examination:

Examination by: **[REDACTED]**

*Q24 fingerprint 3-18-71
Q24 inc 3-18-71
1000 pm 3-18-71*

Noted By:

b7C

Specimens submitted for examination

**Q24 U. S. postal XXXX card postmarked "DURHAM, NC 2A 1971 PM
1 MAR" and bearing typewritten address "Secretary of Health,
Education, and Welfare Elliott Richardson Washington, D.C."**

2- Norfolk XXXXX (9-608)

*Griff
3-19-71
1000 pm*

Examination completed 8:00 pm 3-19-71 Dictated 3-19-71
Time Date Date
wa

3/15/71

AIRTEL

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (9-52453)

ATTENTION: FBI LABORATORY
AND IDENTIFICATION
DIVISION

FROM: SAC, WFO (9-2746) (P)

CHANGED

UNSUB; Secretary of Health, Education
and Welfare ELLIOT RICHARDSON - VICTIM;
[REDACTED] - VICTIM;

ET AL

EXTORTION

(OO:NF)

Title changed to reflect additional victim
ELLIOT RICHARDSON.

Enclosed for the Bureau is an original postcard
postmarked Durham, N.C., which appears identical to previous
material submitted in this case.

The card was turned over to WFO by [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Department of HEW. SA [REDACTED]
U.S. Secret Service and [REDACTED] MPD, advised
3/12/71.

b7C

For information of receiving offices, attached is
a list of items in possession of USSS, Intelligence Division.

SA [REDACTED] advised that USSS desires to maintain
the originals in their possession as their Richmond office

- ③ - Bureau (Enc. 2)
2 - Charlotte (9-2256) (Enc. 1)
2 - Norfolk (9-608) (Enc. 1)
2 - Richmond (9-1509) (Enc. 1)
1 - WFO

LABORATORY FILE COPY

9-52453

D-710316003 MS

Q24

JL L/mks

3/16/71

WRG/nec
(10)

WCC: [REDACTED]
3-19-71
WCC: [REDACTED]

5-18-71/ang
44A-33103

WFO 9-2746

intends to arrest subject [redacted] on 3/12/71
on the basis of identification by USSS of cards mailed to
President NIXON on 10/4/70 with cards mailed to telephone
company in 1969 by [redacted]

LEADS

The Identification Division is requested to
process the enclosed card for latents and the Laboratory
is requested to make appropriate examinations and comparison
with items previously submitted.

b7C

RECORDED
3/16/71 mks

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE **LAB FILE**

Laboratory Work Sheet

Re **UNSUB; Secretary of Health, Education
 and Welfare ELLIOTT RICHARDSON - VICTIM;**
 [REDACTED] **VICTIM;**

File # **9-82453**
 Lab. # **D-710316003 MS**

EXTORTION

OO: Norfolk

LATENT

Examination requested by: **Washington Field Office (9-2746) A. 3/15/71**

Examination requested: **Document - Fingerprint**

Date received: **3/15/71**


Result of Examination:

Examination [REDACTED]

b7C

LFPS return 10/10/71

*Q24 proc LFPS returned
 to WFO 3-19-71
 wcc*



Specimens submitted for examination

**Q24 U. S. postal XXXX card postmarked "DURHAM, NC 2A 1971 PM
 1 MAR" and bearing typewritten address "Secretary of Health,
 Education, and Welfare Elliott Richardson Washington, D.C."**

2- Norfolk XXXXX (9-808)



**FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535**

nh
To: **SAC, Washington Field Office
(9-2746)**

Date: **March 19, 1971**

Re: **UNSUB; Secretary of Health,
Education and Welfare
ELLIOT RICHARDSON - VICTIM;

VICTIM; et al.;
EXTORTION
OO: Norfolk**

J. Edgar Hoover
John Edgar Hoover, Director

FBI File No. **9-52453**
Lab. No. **D-710316003 MS**

Examination requested by: **Washington Field Office**


Reference: **Airtel 3/15/71**

Examination requested: **Document - Fingerprint**

Remarks: **Q24 will be returned with the results of the
fingerprint examination.**

REC 98

EX-103



9-52453-27
MAR 20 1971

**Enclosures (2) (2 Lab report)
2 - Norfolk (9-608) Enclosures (2) (2 Lab report)**

W.D.J.
WDJ:mab (6)

ADMINISTRATIVE PAGE

57 MAR 31 1971

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

REPORT of the



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535

To: SAC, Washington Field Office
(9-2746)

Date: March 19, 1971

FBI File No. 9-52453

Re: UNSUB; Secretary of Health,
Education and Welfare
ELLIOT RICHARDSON - VICTIM;

Lab. No. D-710316003 MS

[REDACTED] VICTIM;

b7C

et al.;

EXTORTION

Specimens received

3/16/71

Q24 U. S. postal card postmarked "DURHAM, NC 2A 1971 PM 1 MAR" and bearing typewritten address "Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare Elliott Richardson Washington, D. C."

Result of examination:

The typewriter impressions on Q24 are similar in style and size to the typewriter impressions on Q1 through Q15 and Q20 through Q23, previously submitted. Although a limited number of characteristics in common were noted in the questioned typewriter impressions on Q1 through Q15 and Q20 through Q24, it was not possible to definitely determine whether the same typewriter was or was not used in the preparation of those specimens due to the limited nature of the characteristic defects. No significant differences were noted in the typewriter impressions on those specimens.

Q24 will be returned separately. This specimen was photographed.

Tolson _____
Sullivan _____
Mohr _____
Bishop _____
Brennan, C.D. _____
Callahan _____
Casper _____
Conrad _____
Dalbey _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Tavel _____
Walters _____
Soyars _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

WDJ:mab (6)

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐



FREEDOM OF INFORMATION
AND
PRIVACY ACTS

SUBJECT: ELLIOTT RICHARDSON

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE NORFOLK	OFFICE OF ORIGIN NORFOLK	DATE 3/24/71	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 2/18/71 - 3/23/71
TITLE OF CASE CHANGED UNSUB, aka,		REPORT SA [REDACTED] CHAR [REDACTED]	TYPED BY jba
[REDACTED] - VICTIM; [REDACTED] VICTIM; [REDACTED] VICTIM; Congressman WILBUR D. MILLS - VICTIM (TITLE CONTINUED COVER PAGE B)		EXTORTION	

Title marked changed to reflect identity of all victims as known by the Norfolk Office.

b7C

REFERENCES: Norfolk teletype to Bureau, 2/18/71.
Richmond teletypes to Bureau, 2/19/71 and 3/12/71.
Charlotte teletype to Bureau, 3/3/71.
Bureau teletype to Charlotte, 3/11/71.

-P-

ENCLOSURE:TO BUREAU

Two copies of FD-376.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED						<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NONE	ACQUIT-TALS	CASE HAS BEEN: PENDING OVER ONE YEAR <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO
CONVIC.	AUTO.	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES			
APPROVED <i>RUH</i>						SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE		
COPIES MADE:						DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW		
(2) - Bureau (9-52453) (Encl. 2) 1 - USA, Norfolk, Virginia 3 - Albany 3 - Charlotte (9-2256) 3 - Richmond (9-1509) 3 - WFO (9-2746) 2 - Norfolk (9-608) (1-Secret Service, Norfolk)						APR 1 1971 [REDACTED]		
Dissemination Record of Attached Report						Notations		
Agency	[REDACTED]					[REDACTED]		
Request Recd.	[REDACTED]					[REDACTED]		
Date Fwd.	[REDACTED]					[REDACTED]		
How Fwd.	[REDACTED]					[REDACTED]		
APR 8 1971								

TITLE CONTINUED

[REDACTED] VICTIM;
[REDACTED] VICTIM;
[REDACTED] VICTIM;
[REDACTED] VICTIM;
[REDACTED] VICTIM;
[REDACTED] VICTIM;
[REDACTED] VICTIM;
[REDACTED] VICTIM;
[REDACTED] VICTIM;
[REDACTED] VICTIM;
Associate Justice WILLIAM J. BRENNAN, JR. - VICTIM;
Associate Justice HARRY K. BLACKMUN - VICTIM;
[REDACTED]
Governor NELSON ROCKEFELLER - New York - VICTIM;
[REDACTED]
Secretary of Health, Education and
Welfare - ELLIOT RICHARDSON - VICTIM

b7C

ADMINISTRATIVE DATA:

No leads are being set out in instant report inasmuch as they were previously set out. Extra copies of this report are designated for each office for dissemination to appropriate USA, to facilitate any leads to offices not having conducted previous investigation and for possible local dissemination to Secret Service and/or postal authorities.

Extra copy being furnished to Bureau for possible dissemination to Secret Service Headquarters.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20535

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

Norfolk, Virginia

March 24, 1971

Director
United States Secret Service
Department of the Treasury
Washington, D. C. 20220

Dear Sir:

The information furnished herewith concerns an individual who is believed to be covered by the agreement between the FBI and Secret Service concerning Presidential protection, and to fall within the category or categories checked.

1. ☒ Has attempted or threatened bodily harm to any government official or employee, including foreign government officials residing in or planning an imminent visit to the U. S., because of his official status.
2. ☐ Has attempted or threatened to redress a grievance against any public official by other than legal means.
3. ☐ Because of background is potentially dangerous; or has been identified as member or participant in communist movement; or has been under active investigation as member of other group or organization inimical to U. S.
4. ☐ U. S. citizens or residents who defect from the U. S. to countries in the Soviet or Chinese Communist blocs and return.
5. ☐ Subversives, ultrarightists, racists and fascists who meet one or more of the following criteria:
 - (a) ☐ Evidence of emotional instability (including unstable residence and employment record) or irrational or suicidal behavior;
 - (b) ☐ Expressions of strong or violent anti-U. S. sentiment;
 - (c) ☐ Prior acts (including arrests or convictions) or conduct or statements indicating a propensity for violence and antipathy toward good order and government.
6. ☐ Individuals involved in illegal bombing or illegal bomb-making.

Photograph ☒ has been furnished ☐ enclosed ☐ is not available
☒ may be available through Virginia, Police Department b7C

Very truly yours,

J. Edgar Hoover
John Edgar Hoover
Director

1 - Special Agent in Charge (Enclosure(s) 1
U. S. Secret Service , Norfolk, Virginia

Enclosure(s)

(Upon removal of classified enclosures, if any, this transmittal form
becomes UNCLASSIFIED.)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to: 1 - USA, Norfolk, Virginia

Report of: SA [REDACTED]
Date: March 24, 1971

Office: Norfolk, Virginia

Field Office File #: 9-608

Bureau File #: 9-52453

Title: UNKNOWN SUBJECT, also known as

[REDACTED] VICTIM;
[REDACTED] - VICTIM;
~~XXXXXX~~ Congressman WILBUR D. MILLS - VICTIM;

b7C

~~Synopsis~~ [REDACTED] VICTIM;
[REDACTED] VICTIM;
[REDACTED] VICTIM;
[REDACTED] VICTIM;
[REDACTED] VICTIM;
[REDACTED] VICTIM;
[REDACTED] VICTIM;
[REDACTED] VICTIM;
[REDACTED] VICTIM;

b7C

[REDACTED] - VICTIM;
Associate Justice WILLIAM J. BRENNAN, JR. - VICTIM;
Associate Justice HARRY K. BLACKMUN - VICTIM;
[REDACTED] - VICTIM;
Governor NELSON ROCKEFELLER, New York - VICTIM;

[REDACTED]

Secretary of Health, Education and
Welfare, ELLIOT RICHARDSON - VICTIM

Character: EXTORTION

NF 9-608

Synopsis:

On 2/18/71, an obscene and threatening typewritten post card addressed to Virginia [redacted] and [redacted] was intercepted at the U.S. Post Office, Norfolk, Va. The post card was postmarked 2/17/71, at Greensboro, North Carolina and purported to be from [redacted] who is [redacted]

[redacted] date of birth [redacted] developed as suspect. [redacted] presently [redacted] on [redacted] probation for mail fraud and possibly mentally unbalanced. [redacted] refused to be interviewed. Similar post cards have been sent to numerous national political figures. Most have been postmarked Durham, North Carolina. [redacted]

[redacted] FBI Laboratory reported [redacted] out. AUSA Norfolk declined prosecution. [redacted]

- P -

DETAILS

Investigation instituted in this matter upon information furnished by [redacted] Postal Inspector, Norfolk, Virginia, on February 18, 1971, to Special Agent [redacted] advising that a typewritten obscene and threatening post card addressed to [redacted] had been intercepted at the U. S. Post Office, Norfolk.

On February 18, 1971, Assistant United States Attorney JOHN A. FIELD, III, Norfolk, Virginia, advised he considered the post card to be a violation of the Federal Extortion Statute and he would consider prosecution if the individual who sent same was identified.

On February 18, 1971, Special Agent in Charge LAWRENCE FARMER, U. S. Secret Service, Bank of Virginia Building, Military Circle, Norfolk, was apprised of this matter and after contacting the Richmond Secret Service Office he advised that [redacted] was being actively investigated by the Secret Service concerning similar type letters to the President and other government officials. FARMER advised that [redacted] was [redacted] and was [redacted]

NF 9-608

FARMER further advised that [REDACTED] is
the [REDACTED]

b7C
b7D

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 3/1/71

Mr. [redacted] born [redacted]
at [redacted], Army serial number [redacted]
advised [redacted] employed [redacted]
at [redacted] He advised that on February 18, 1971, while at
work, he observed a postcard addressed to [redacted]
[redacted] with no street address, only [redacted] b7C
Norfolk, Virginia. In view of this, he turned the postcard
over in an effort to further determine an address and noticed
that it contained obscene material. In view of this, he
turned the postcard over to his supervisor, Mr. [redacted]
[redacted] He advised that he, himself, handled this [redacted]

On 2/23/71 at Norfolk, Virginia File # NF 9-608
by SA [redacted] h Date dictated 2/23/71 b7C

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1Date 3/1/71

Mr. [redacted] born [redacted]
[redacted] Army serial number [redacted] advised
that he is presently employed as [redacted] in the Main
Building of the U.S. Post Office, Norfolk. He advised that
on February 18, 1971, Mr. [redacted] on the
floor, brought him a postcard addressed to [redacted]
[redacted] He turned the postcard over
to determine that it contained obscene material and in view
of this he turned it over to his immediate supervisor, Mr.
[redacted] He advised that he, himself, handled the
postcard.

b7C

On 2/19/71 at Norfolk, Virginia File # NF 9-608

by SA [redacted] ^{lh} ^{b7C} Date dictated 2/23/71

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1Date 3/1/71

Mr. [redacted]
U.S. Post Office, Norfolk, advised that during the work day
February 18, 1971, a fellow employee by the name of [redacted]
[redacted] brought him a postcard addressed to [redacted]
[redacted] He turned the postcard over to
determine that there was obscene writing on the back of it
and in view of this, he turned the postcard over to Mr.
[redacted] Norfolk.

b7C

Mr. [redacted] advised that his full name was [redacted]
[redacted] and he was born on [redacted] at Norfolk.
He advised that he handled the postcard prior to giving it
to [redacted]

6

On 2/19/71 at Norfolk, Virginia File # NF 9-608

by S. [redacted] h Date dictated 2/23/71

b7C

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 3/1/71

Mr. [redacted] Postal Inspector, U.S. Post Office, Norfolk, made available a typewritten postcard postmarked February 17, 1971, at Greensboro, North Carolina, addressed to [redacted] and [redacted]

[redacted] He advised that this postcard was given to him by Mr. [redacted] who was [redacted]

[redacted] [redacted] advised that this postcard had been given to him by one of the men working the tables in the post office. The reverse side of the card was in typing and the following words appeared:

b7C

"I am a queer. I want to s--- your c--- and f--- you in your a---. You are both queer too. All 3 of us f--- and s--- each other. I will kill both of you if you don't let me s--- and f--- you.

"write



7

On 2/18/71 at Norfolk, Virginia File # NF 9-608

b7C

by SA [redacted] lh Date dictated 2/23/71

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 2/19/711

[redacted]
[redacted] Virginia, advised that he is not acquainted with either [redacted]
[redacted] or [redacted]. He stated he
has not received any obscene or threatening post cards, letters,
or telephone calls in the past.

b7C [redacted] advised he is a [redacted]
[redacted] him concerning an obscene and
threatening post card that had been directed to them through the
mails. He stated he could furnish no information concerning the
source of this post card but did not feel particularly disturbed
about the matter.

[redacted] advised he would immediately contact the Federal
Bureau of Investigation should he receive any further communications

The following description of [redacted] was obtained through
observation and interview.

Sex:
Race:
Date of Birth:
Place of Birth:
Height:
Weight:
Hair:
Eyes:

b7C
b7D

On 2/19/71 at Norfolk, Virginia File # NF 9-608
by SA [redacted] mec Date dictated 2/19/71

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 2/25/71

[redacted]
[redacted] was interviewed by Special Agents [redacted] and [redacted], who identified themselves to [redacted] as Special Agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. At the outset of this interview, [redacted] was advised by SA [redacted] that he was being interviewed concerning his possible involvement in regards to violation of the Federal Extortion Statute, in which a threatening obscene communication was deposited in the U. S. mails, postmarked February 17, 1971, Greensboro, North Carolina, and addressed to [redacted]
[redacted]

[redacted] was warned of certain rights, and he waived them, as shown on an executed warning and waiver form.

[redacted] emphatically denied any knowledge concerning aforementioned communications, stating he has not been in Greensboro, North Carolina, for approximately [redacted]
[redacted]

Mr. [redacted] advised that he had been previously interviewed by the U. S. Secret Service within the past year, concerning similar incidents.

Mr. [redacted] stated it is his opinion that one [redacted]
[redacted] is the individual responsible for writing obscene threatening
[redacted]

[redacted] said he had [redacted] with [redacted]
[redacted] the [redacted] during which period he had
[redacted] in which
[redacted]
[redacted]

On 2/19/71 at [redacted] 9 Virginia Richmond 9-1509
Norfolk 9-608
by SAs [redacted] & [redacted] RWD/vlr File #
Date dictated 2/22/71

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 2/25/71

Mr. [redacted] was contacted at his residence [redacted] at which time he denied Agents of the FBI entrance to his home, after Agents properly identified themselves.

[redacted] refused to be interviewed, stating through a closed glass storm door, that Agents were not there to "help him" and stated Agents should send him a letter.

b7C
b7D

On 2/20/71 at [redacted] 10 Virginia File # Richmond 9-1509
SAs [redacted] & [redacted] Norfolk 9-608 b7C
by [redacted] Date dictated 2/22/71

On February 19, 1971, the Richmond Office of the FBI advised that [redacted] had been interviewed and advised that he was not acquainted with [redacted] and had not received any obscene or threatening communications in the past.

On February 23, 1971, the Washington Field Office of the FBI advised that a similar post card had been received in [redacted] on February 17, 1971, which was postmarked Greensboro, North Carolina. The U. S. Secret Service, Metropolitan Police Department Intelligence Squad and the U. S. Capitol Police were advised. The Washington Field Office further advised that identical post cards were received at Washington, D.C. during the week of February 15, 1971, directed to [redacted] Congressman WILBUR D. MILLS.

On March 3, 1971, the Charlotte Office of the FBI advised that a similar post card postmarked Durham, North Carolina, date illegible, had been directed to [redacted] North Carolina, at the [redacted] where he is [redacted]

On March 2, 1971, the Charlotte Office of the FBI advised that a review of their files indicated the following information:

b7C

In February, 1963, [redacted] was a [redacted] of [redacted] at the [redacted] in [redacted] it was shown that [redacted] was born [redacted] in [redacted] and was [redacted] tall, weighing [redacted] had [redacted] At the time of his application he was residing in [redacted] and was [redacted]

It was shown that he received [redacted] in [redacted] He [redacted]

For [redacted] he was employed by the [redacted]

It should be noted that [redacted]

The file revealed [redacted] resided [redacted] that in [redacted]

He had [redacted] who resided [redacted] address [redacted] not given, and [redacted] born in [redacted] who resided at an unspecified address in [redacted]

NF 9-608

He listed three references, all of whom resided in [redacted]

b7C On February 26, 1971, Mr. JOHN H. GRIMES, Special Agent in Charge, U.S. Secret Service, Charlotte, North Carolina, advised that his files indicate that [redacted] at Greensboro, and Ruffin, North Carolina, forwarded to the Postal Inspector in Atlanta some typed postal cards bearing the name of [redacted] which were addressed to Vice President AGNEW, [redacted] Senator FULBRIGHT, and to [redacted] and possibly others. He stated these cards were obscene in nature with indications of homosexuality. He stated that [redacted] of [redacted], was developed as a suspect. He advised that his files is incomplete, inasmuch as the main office handling the investigation for Secret Service is located in Richmond, Va.

b7C On March 5, 1971, the headquarters of the FBI advised that similar post cards had been directed to [redacted] and [redacted]. The post card to [redacted] was dated March 3, 1971, and [redacted] March 1, 1971, both at Durham, North Carolina.

On March 5, 1971, the Charlotte Office of the FBI advised the following information:

The Charlotte Office has separately forwarded the original post card to the FBI Laboratory by letter dated March 5, 1971, requesting appropriate typewriter and Latent Fingerprint examination.

The [redacted] Police Department and the [redacted] North Carolina, were notified by SA [redacted] at approximately 7:20 p.m., March 2, 1971, concerning the receipt of this post card by [redacted]

JOHN H. GRIMES, Special Agent in Charge, U. S. Secret Service, Charlotte, North Carolina, was notified by Special Agent [redacted] at 10:00 a.m., March 3, 1971, concerning receipt of this post card by [redacted]

On March 5, 1971, JOHN H. GRIMES, Secret Service, telephonically advised that his records reflect that as of June 1, 1970, [redacted] resided in [redacted] and as of May, 1970, [redacted] and [redacted] resided at [redacted]. He stated that [redacted] is reported to be a respected [redacted]

Mr. GRIMES stated that his files indicate [redacted] [redacted] has been examined by a psychiatrist on three occasions, but his files do not reflect the results. He stated one reason [redacted] was developed as a suspect is the fact that previously some obscene cards were written bearing the name of [redacted] an Internal Revenue Service Agent of Roanoke, Va. He stated it was developed that [redacted] was the [redacted] who had [redacted] and apparently [redacted] became angry at [redacted] for this reason and began writing the obscene cards over the name of [redacted]

b7C On March 9, 1970, the headquarters of the FBI advised that a similar post card, postmarked Durham, North Carolina, March 1, 1971, had been mailed to [redacted] [redacted] which was intercepted at the house of Representatives Post Office.

On March 9, 1971, the Charlotte Office of the FBI advised as follows:

On March 8, 1971, Mr. JOHN H. GRIMES, Special Agent in Charge, U. S. Secret Service Office, Charlotte, North Carolina, telephonically advised that his office had received from the State Bureau of Investigation (SBI), Raleigh, N.C., two cards postmarked at Durham, N.C., March 1, 1971, which were prepared on a typewriter and contained the same message as previous cards received in this case, including the name of [redacted]. One of these cards was addressed to [redacted] of [redacted] and the other to [redacted]. He stated the SBI requested that the cards be returned to their office. In view of the investigation being conducted by the SBI in this matter, the original cards are not being obtained.

Mr. GRIMES stated that he is not aware of the status of the Secret Service investigation which is being conducted out of the Richmond Office regarding similar cards previously mailed to Vice President AGNEW and other federal officials. He stated, however, that investigation by Secret Service has indicated that the unknown subject must use gloves in handling the cards, as no latent fingerprints of value have been found on any of the cards. He stated suspect [redacted] reportedly is on federal parole and under the supervision of the Federal Probation Office at [redacted]

NF 9-608

On March 9, 1971, headquarters of the FBI advised that similar post cards had been received by Associate Justices of the Supreme Court WILLIAM J. BRENNAN, JR. and HARRY K. BLACKMUN jointly and another to [redacted] [redacted] Both were postmarked Durham, North Carolina.

On March 9, 1971, the Washington Field Office of the FBI advised that similar post cards had been directed [redacted]

On March 12, 1971, the Richmond Office of the FBI furnished the following information:

[redacted] principal suspect in this matter was arrested by United States Secret Service Agents and postal authorities, March 12, 1971, at South Boston, Virginia. b7C

The arrest warrant and search warrant obtained by U. S. Secret Service were authorized by Assistant United States Attorney, Western District of Virginia and warrants issued U.S. Commissioner, Danville, Virginia, charging [redacted]

Search of residence disclosed a [redacted] [redacted] and search of premises is continuing.

[redacted] appeared before [redacted] [redacted] who set bond at \$15,000 and scheduled preliminary hearing for [redacted] U. S. Marshal notified and [redacted] incarcerated [redacted] Jail. U. S. Secret Service advised [redacted] expected to make bond [redacted] or [redacted]

On March 4, 1971, the Albany Office of the FBI advised that a similar post card had been directed to Governor NELSON and HAPPY ROCKEFELLER, Albany, New York. This post card was postmarked March 1, 1971, at Durham, North Carolina.

On March 11, 1971, the Charlotte Office of the FBI furnished the following information:

NF 9-608

The following investigation was conducted by SA [redacted] at Greensboro, North Carolina:

On March 9, 1971, Mr. [redacted] and

[redacted] telephone [redacted]

[redacted] were interviewed. Mr. [redacted]

is [redacted]

[redacted] a highly responsible

position. [redacted]

Mr. [redacted] expressed their desire to cooperate, and volunteered their opinion that [redacted] has a total disregard for any organized rules of society and is believed capable of any type of violation. They stated he has not been in their home in the past [redacted] and knows that he is not welcome at their residence. They have received no information or indication that he has been in the Greensboro or other North Carolina areas during February or March, 1971. They last saw him at [redacted] at [redacted] where he resides. At that time they thought he needed psychiatric treatment. [redacted] told [redacted]

[redacted] stated that [redacted] has no

[redacted] which he [redacted]

He stated that [redacted]

[redacted] was [redacted]

Both [redacted]

[redacted] have protected him in all of his wrongdoings, giving him money when they could, and in the opinion of the [redacted] they would not likely cooperate with law enforcement officers concerning [redacted]

The [redacted] stated that [redacted] has always [redacted]

He was [redacted]

[redacted] finally [redacted]

from [redacted]

in [redacted]

He was [redacted]

after [redacted]

which he [redacted]

He is not [redacted]

b7C
b7D

NF 9-608

the permission of Mr. [REDACTED]

He has [REDACTED] which was [REDACTED]

They believe that [REDACTED] still has [REDACTED] which he has possessed for several years. He [REDACTED] They do not have any [REDACTED] from him which could be used for comparison purposes. [REDACTED] reportedly keeps [REDACTED] and will not allow anyone into the room, including [REDACTED]. In addition, he has two trunks in the hallway, which he keeps locked at all times.

[REDACTED] who will cooperate with law enforcement authorities.

Mrs. [REDACTED] stated she is completely afraid of [REDACTED] because [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] He did not tell her who he was on these occasions, but she [REDACTED]

Mrs. [REDACTED] Postal Inspector's Office, Greensboro, North Carolina, advised that Postal Inspectors at Lynchburg, Virginia, and in Richmond, Virginia, have an investigation underway concerning [REDACTED] who is suspected of writing obscene and threatening letters to the U. S. Postmaster General. She stated her office is aware of cards being postmarked in Greensboro, North Carolina, but she has no information as to who mailed them. Postal Inspector at [REDACTED]

and Mr. [REDACTED] reportedly have information concerning a similar post card written in [REDACTED] to Mr. [REDACTED]

On March 9, 1971, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] advised that [REDACTED] bought [REDACTED]

United States Attorney WILLIAM L. OSTEEN, MDNC, Greensboro, North Carolina, advised that the mailing of instant cards would appear to be a violation of Postal regulations as well as of the Extortion statute. He stated, however, he feels that if [REDACTED] is the perpetrator, he possibly

b7C
b7D

NF 9-608

should be prosecuted where the majority of the cards were received or possibly in the Richmond territory, where cards have been received. He stated that in any event he feels that prosecution should be pursued if the unknown subject is identified.

On March 10, 1971, Mrs. [redacted] Records Section, Police Department, [redacted] advised SA [redacted] that [redacted] was arrested by the Police Department at [redacted] on [redacted]

[redacted] He was not photographed by the [redacted] Police Department. This [redacted]

On March 10, 1971, [redacted], Veterans Administration Hospital, Durham, North Carolina, advised SA [redacted] there was no record in her files of [redacted] being a patient at that hospital.

On March 16, 1971, the Charlotte Office of the FBI furnished the following information:

On March 15, 1971, [redacted] VA Hospital, Durham, North Carolina, advised a check of the records indicated [redacted] was not currently a patient at the VA Hospital.

On March 16, 1971, [redacted] advised [redacted] had come to the VA Hospital on [redacted] but was not admitted.

[redacted] notations reflected [redacted] was seen at the VA Hospital in [redacted] at which time he was involved in Federal legal problems. He looked like a sociopathic and was labeled untreatable. A report was sent to the Federal Probation Officer at that time.

NF 9-608

[redacted] noted [redacted] looked and acted as he did at the previous visit. He had no idea why they were after him as he did not do anything. [redacted] impression was as before, that [redacted] was untreatable and that it was not appropriate that he be hospitalized at the VA Hospital. The hospital at St. Elizabeth was the proper place to obtain a pre-sentence evaluation. Hospitalization at the VA Hospital, Durham, North Carolina, was not indicated. [redacted] diagnosed [redacted] as sociopathic.

[redacted] Hospital records indicate [redacted] was born [redacted] [redacted] has Social Security Account Number [redacted] served in [redacted] from [redacted] to [redacted] Serial Number [redacted] and received [redacted]

[redacted] was not admitted VA Hospital, Durham, North Carolina, [redacted]

On March 17, 1971, the headquarters of the FBI advised that similar post cards had been directed to [redacted]

[redacted] Both post cards were postmarked Durham, North Carolina.

On March 19, 1971, the Washington Field Office of the FBI advised of a similar post card directed to ELLIOT RICHARDSON. This was postmarked at Durham, North Carolina, March 1, 1971.



**FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535**

To: SAC, Washington Field Office (9-new) Date: February 24, 1971
Re: [redacted] FBI File No.
[redacted] Lab. No. D-710219004
D-710222012

CONGRESSMAN WILBUR D. MILLS - VICTIM;
[redacted] - VICTIM;
[redacted] VICTIM;
[redacted] VICTIM;

EXTORTION

Specimens received from Washington Field Office, 2/19/71

Q1 U. S. postal card postmarked "GREENSBORO, NC 2A PM 13 FEB 1971," bearing typewritten address "Senator Wilbur D. Mills, D-Ark. Washington, D.C." and bearing on reverse side the typewritten message beginning "I want to ..." and ending "... Halifax, Va. 24608."

Specimen received 2/19/71

Q2 U. S. postal card postmarked "GREENSBORO, NC 2B -AM 1 FEB 1 71," bearing typewritten address [redacted] Washington, D.C." and bearing on reverse side the typewritten message beginning "I am ..." and ending "... kill her too."

b7C

Result of examination:

Q1 and Q2 were compared in the Anonymous Letter File, but no identification was effected. Appropriate photographs will be added to the file for future reference.

The typewriting on Q1 and Q2 conforms most closely to the Laboratory standard for Remington pica type, spaced ten letters to the inch. It was noted that the typewriter impressions on Q1 and Q2 are of the same size and style and have a limited number of characteristic defects in common which suggest the possibility that the typewriter impressions on the two specimens were prepared by the same typewriter; however, the characteristic defects are too limited to permit any definite conclusion.

An examination of the Q1 and Q2 U. S. postal cards failed to reveal any indented writings or other details to aid in determining their original source.

Q1 and Q2 were photographed and are retained temporarily.

**FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION**

Washington, D. C. 20537

REPORT

of the

IDENTIFICATION DIVISION**LATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION**

February 26, 1971

YOUR FILE NO.

FBI FILE NO.

LATENT CASE NO.

A-33103

TO:

SAC, Norfolk

RE:

UNKNOWN SUBJECT;**CONGRESSMAN WILBUR D. MILLS, ET AL. - VICTIMS
EXTORTION**

REFERENCE:

EXAMINATION REQUESTED BY:

SPECIMENS:

Teletype 2/18/71 and Butel 2/19/71

Bureau and Norfolk

One post card, Q2

b7C

Listed Q specimen described in Laboratory report,
which is being furnished separately.

No latent prints of value developed on Q2.

Specimen retained.

- 1 - Richmond
- 1 - Charlotte
- 1 - WFO

21


John Edgar Hoover, Director

REPORT
of the

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535

To: SAC, Washington Field Office (9-2746) Date: March 1, 1971
FBI File No. 9-52453
Re: UNSUB; CONGRESSMAN WILBUR D. HILLS - Lab. No. D-710223181
VICTIM: [REDACTED] D-710224021
[REDACTED] et al. - VICTIMS; D-710225074
EXTORTION

Specimens received 2/23/71, from SAC, Washington Field Office

Q3 U. S. Postal card postmarked "WINSTON-SALEM, NC 1A
-PM 1 FEB 1971" bearing typewritten address

[REDACTED]
Washington, D.C.," on reverse side the typewritten
message beginning "I am queer and I know you are
too. I want..." and ending "...Halifax, Va. 24558"

b7C

b7C

Specimen received 2/23/71, from SAC, Norfolk

Q4 U. S. Postal card postmarked "GREENSBORO, NC 2B
AM 17 FEB 1971" bearing typewritten address

[REDACTED] Norfolk, Va.," bearing
on reverse side the typewritten message beginning
"I am a queer. I want to..." and ending "...Halifax,
Va. 24558"

Specimen received 2/25/71, from SAC, Washington Field Office

Q5 U. S. Postal card postmarked " GREENSBORO 2 1 F 1971
bearing typewritten address [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Washington, D.C." and bearing typewritten
message beginning "I am..." and ending "...will kill
her too."

Result of examination:

It was determined that the questioned typewriter
impressions on Q3 through Q5 were prepared on a typewriter
or typewriters equipped with the same style and size of

type as that used to prepare the questioned typewriter impressions on Q1 and Q2, previously submitted in this case. However, though a limited number of characteristics in common were noted, it was not possible to definitely determine whether the same typewriter prepared the questioned typewriter impressions on Q1 through Q5. No significant differences were noted.

Q3 through Q5 were photographed and will be returned separately.



Washington, D. C. 20537

REPORT
of the
IDENTIFICATION DIVISION
LATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION

YOUR FILE NO. 9-608
FBI FILE NO. 9-52453
LATENT CASE NO. A-33013

March 3, 1971

TO: SAC, Norfolk

RE: UNSUB.;
CONGRESSMAN WILBUR D. MILLS, ET AL. - VICTIMS
EXTORTION

REFERENCE: Letter 2/19/71
EXAMINATION REQUESTED BY: Norfolk
SPECIMENS: Postal card, Q4

Listed Q specimen described in separate
Laboratory report.

No latent prints of value developed on
enclosed card.

Norfolk requested to advise interested agencies.

Enc.

2 - Charlotte (9-2256)

24


John Edgar Hoover, Director



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Washington, D. C. 20537

REPORT

of the

IDENTIFICATION DIVISION
LATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION

YOUR FILE NO.

9-2746

FBI FILE NO.

9-52453

LATENT CASE NO.

A-33013

March 3, 1971

TO: SAC, WFO

RE: UNSUB.;
CONGRESSMAN WILBUR D. MILLS, ET AL. - VICTIMS
EXTORTION

REFERENCE: Airtel 2/23/71
EXAMINATION REQUESTED BY: WFO
SPECIMENS: Postal card, Q5

Listed Q specimen described in separate
Laboratory report.

No latent prints of value developed on
enclosed card.

WFO requested to advise interested agencies.

Enc.

- 25
- ② - Norfolk (9-608)
 - 2 - Charlotte (9-2256)


John Edgar Hoover, Director



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
Washington, D. C. 20537

REPORT

of the

IDENTIFICATION DIVISION
LATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION

YOUR FILE NO. 9-2746
FBI FILE NO. 9-52453
LATENT CASE NO. A-33013

March 3, 1971

TO: SAC, WFO

RE: UNSUB.;
CONGRESSMAN WILBUR D. MILLS

ET AL. - VICTIMS

b7C

EXTORTION

REFERENCE: Airtel 2/19/71
EXAMINATION REQUESTED BY: WFO
SPECIMENS: Postal card, Q3


Listed Q specimen described in separate
Laboratory report.

No latent prints of value developed on enclosed
card.

WFO requested to advise interested agencies.

Enc.

26
② - Norfolk (9-608)
2 - Charlotte (9-2256)


John Edgar Hoover, Director



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535

To: SAC, Charlotte (9-2256)

Date: March 9, 1971
FBI File No. 9-52466
Lab. No. D-710306136 MS
D-710306137 MS

Re: UNSUB: [redacted]

CONGRESSMAN WILEUR D. HILLS; [redacted]

EXTORTION

VICTIMS;

Specimens received 3/8/71, from SAC, Charlotte

- Q6 U.S. Postal card postmarked "DURHAM NC 1971 PM" and bearing typewritten address [redacted] bearing on reverse side typewritten message beginning "I am a ____..." ending "Halifax, Va. 24558"

Specimens received 3/8/71

- Q7 U.S. Postal card postmarked "DURHAM, NC 2A PM 1 MAR 1971" bearing typewritten address [redacted] "Washington, D.C." and on reverse side typewritten message beginning "I am a ..." and ending "... Halifax, Va. 24558"
- Q8 Ruled white index card bearing, on reverse side, typewritten message beginning "I am a ..." and ending "... Halifax, Va. 24558"

Result of examination:

It was determined that the questioned typewriter impressions on Q6 through Q8 were prepared by a typewriter or typewriters equipped with Remington pica style of type, spaced ten letters to the inch. It was further determined that this is the same style and size of type used to prepare the questioned typewriter impressions on Q1 through Q5, previously submitted in this case. Although a limited number of characteristics in common were noted in the questioned

typewriter impressions on Q1 through Q8, it was not possible to definitely determine whether the same typewriter was used in the preparation of those specimens, due to the limited nature of the characteristic defects. No significant differences were noted.

No indented writings of significance or other significant features were noted which would aid in determining the immediate source of Q6 through Q8.

Q6 through Q8 are retained temporarily. Photographs were made of all specimens.



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535

To: SAC, Norfolk (9-608)

Date: March 12, 1971

FBI File No. 9-52458

Re: UNSUB, aka [REDACTED]

Lab. No.

et al - Victims;
EXTORTION

D-710310034 MS
D-710310036 MS
D-710310038 MS
D-710310040 MS
D-710310042 MS
D-710310044 MS

XXXXXXXXXX 3/9/71, available to Bureau

Q9 Envelope postmarked "DURHAM NC 2B PM 2 MAR 1971," bearing typewritten address "Justice Harry A. Blackmun and Justice William J. Brennan Jr. Supreme Court Washington, D.C."

Q10 Accompanying white ruled index card bearing typewritten message "I am a ..." and ending "Halifax, Va. 24558" b7C

Q11 U. S. postal card postmarked "DURHAM NC 2A PM 1 MAR 1971" bearing typewritten address [REDACTED] Washington, D.C." and bearing on reverse side typewritten message beginning "I am a ..." and ending "Halifax, Va. 24558" b7C

Q12 Envelope postmarked "DURHAM NC 2B PM 2 MAR 1971" bearing typewritten address [REDACTED] Washington, D.C."

Q13 Accompanying white ruled index card bearing typewritten message "I am a ..." and ending "Halifax, Va. 24558"

3/9/71, available to Bureau

Q14 U. S. postal card postmarked "DURHAM NC 2B PM 1 MAR 1971" bearing typewritten address [REDACTED] Washington, D.C." bearing on reverse side typewritten message beginning "I am a ..." and ending "Halifax, Va. 24558"

Specimen received 3/4/71, SAC, Albany

Q15 U.S. postal card postmarked "DURHAM, NC 2A PM 1 MAR 1971" Nelson and Happy Rockefeller Albany, N.Y." and

bearing typewritten message on reverse side beginning "I am queer." and ending "Halifax, Va. 24558"

Specimens received 3/10/71, SAC, Richmond

<u>SPECIMEN</u>	<u>CHECK#</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>PAYABLE TO</u>	<u>SIGNED</u>	<u>REMARK</u>
-----------------	---------------	---------------	-------------	-------------------	---------------	---------------

Q16

Q17

Q18

Q19

Specimen received 3/10/71, SAC, Charlotte

Request examination of original postcards previously forwarded to determine if they are all prepared on the same typewriter and provide description of the make of typewriter

Specimens received 3/10/71, SAC, Washington Field Office

Q20 U. S. Postal card postmarked "DURHAM, NC 2A PM 1 MAR 1971" bearing typewritten address "Democratic

Washington, D.C.,"
bearing on reverse side typewritten message beginning "I am a" and ending "Halifax, Va. 24558"

Q21 U. S. postal card postmarked "D [redacted] N PM 1 MAR 1971" bearing typewritten address [redacted] Washington, D.C., bearing on reverse side typewritten message beginning "I am a..." and ending "Halifax, Va. 24558"

b7C Q22 U. S. postal card postmarked "DURHAM, NC 2A PM 1 MAR 1971" bearing typewritten address [redacted] Washington, D.C., and bearing typewritten message on reverse side beginning "I am queer ..." and ending "Halifax, Va. 24558"

Q23 U. S. postal card postmarked "GREENSBORO, NC 2 PM 1 MAR 1971" bearing typewritten address [redacted] Washington, D.C., and bearing on reverse side typewritten message beginning "I am queer..." and ending "Kill her too."

Result of examination:

It was determined that the questioned typewriter impressions on Q9 through Q15 and Q20 through Q23 were prepared by a typewriter or typewriters equipped with Remington pica style of type, spaced ten letters to the inch. It was further determined that this is the same style and size of type used to prepare the questioned typewriter impressions on Q1 through Q8, previously submitted in this case. Although a limited number of characteristics in common were noted in the questioned typewriter impressions on Q1 through Q15 and Q20 through Q23, it was not possible to definitely determine whether the same typewriter was or was not used in the preparation of those specimens due to the limited nature of the characteristic defects. No significant differences were noted in the typewriter impressions on those specimens.

It was determined that the typewriter impressions on checks Q16 through Q19 were not prepared by the same typewriter or typewriters used to prepare the typewriting on the remainder of the specimens submitted in this case. The typewriter impressions on checks Q16 through Q19 were prepared in a different style of type than that on the remaining specimens.

No indented writings of significance, watermarks, or other significant features were noted in an examination of Q9 through Q23 which would aid in determining there immediate source.

Q9 through Q23 were photographed. Q9 through Q15 and Q20 through Q23 are retained temporarily. Q16 through Q19 are returned to Richmond with copies of this report.



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
Washington, D. C. 20537

REPORT
of the
IDENTIFICATION DIVISION
LATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION

YOUR FILE NO. 9-2746
FBI FILE NO. 9-52453
LATENT CASE NO. A-33103

March 12, 1971

TO: SAC, WFO

RE: UNSUB.: [REDACTED] ET AL. - VICTIMS
EXTORTION

REFERENCE: Buairtel 3/5/71
EXAMINATION REQUESTED BY: Bureau
SPECIMENS: U.S. postal card, Q7
Ruled white index card bearing message, Q8.

Listed Q specimens described in separate
Laboratory report.

Five latent fingerprints of value developed
on Q8. No latent prints of value developed on Q7.

Three latent fingerprints are identical with
elimination fingerprints of [REDACTED] born [REDACTED]
in [REDACTED] U.S. Army service [REDACTED]

Unidentified latent fingerprints not identical
fingerprints [REDACTED]

Specimens retained Bureau file

- ② - Norfolk (9-608)
- 2 - Charlotte (9-2256)
- 2 - Richmond

John Edge



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Washington, D. C. 20537

REPORT

of the

IDENTIFICATION DIVISION

LATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION

YOUR FILE NO. 9-2256
FBI FILE NO. 9-52453
LATENT CASE NO. A-33103

March 12, 1971

TO: SAC, Charlotte

RE: **INSURANCE**

EXTORTION

ET AL. - VICTIMS

b7C

REFERENCE: Letter 3/5/71
EXAMINATION REQUESTED BY: Charlotte
SPECIMENS: U.S. postal card, Q6

Listed Q specimen described in separate Laboratory report.

No latent prints of value developed on enclosed card.

Enc.

- 2 - Norfolk (9-608)
- 2 - WFO (9-2746)
- 2 - Richmond

J. Edgar Hoover
John Edgar Hoover, Director



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
Washington, D. C. 20537

REPORT

of the

IDENTIFICATION DIVISION
LATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION

March 16, 1971

YOUR FILE NO. 9-52453
FBI FILE NO. A-33103
LATENT CASE NO.

TO: SAC, Albany

RE:

UNSUB... AKA

EXTORTION

VICTIMS

Airtel 3/4/71

REFERENCE: Albany
EXAMINATION REQUESTED BY Q15, postal card
SPECIMENS:

Listed Q specimen described in separate
Laboratory report.

No latent prints of value developed on
Q15, which is enclosed.

Enc.

- 2 - Charlotte (9-2256)
- 2 - Richmond (9-1509)
- (1) - Norfolk (9-60.8)
- 1 - WFO (9-2746)

35

John Edgar Hoover, Director

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
Washington, D. C. 20537

REPORT

of the

IDENTIFICATION DIVISION
LATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION

YOUR FILE NO. 9-2746
FBI FILE NO. 9-52453
LATENT CASE NO. A-33103

March 16, 1971

TO: SAC, WFO

UNSUB., AKA [REDACTED]
RE: CONGRESSMAN WILBUR D. MILLS, ET AL. - VICTIMS
EXTORTION

REFERENCE: Airtel 3-9-71
EXAMINATION REQUESTED BY: WFO
SPECIMENS: Q20 through Q23, four U. S. postal cards

Listed Q specimens described in separate
Laboratory report.

Two latent fingerprints developed on Q20
and one latent fingerprint developed on Q23. No
latent prints of value developed on remaining specimens.

Latent fingerprints not identical fingerprints
[REDACTED]

Specimens enclosed.

Enc. (4)

- ② - Norfolk (9-608)
- 1 - Albany
- 1 - Richmond (9-1509)
- 1 - Charlotte (9-2256)

John Edgar Hoover, Director



Washington, D. C. 20537

REPORT

of the

IDENTIFICATION DIVISION

LATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION

YOUR FILE NO. 9-608
FBI FILE NO. 9-52453
LATENT CASE NO. A-33103

March 17, 1971

TO: SAC, Norfolk

RE: UNSUB., AKA

[REDACTED]

ET AL. - VICTIMS

EXTORTION

REFERENCE: Bureau teletype 3-9-71 and Bureau letter 3-9-71

EXAMINATION REQUESTED BY: Bureau

SPECIMENS: Q9 and Q12, two envelopes
Q10, Q11, Q13 and Q14, four postal cards

Listed Q specimens described in separate
Laboratory report.

Three latent fingerprints developed on Q9
and two latent fingerprints developed on Q14. No
latent prints of value developed on remaining specimens.

Latent fingerprints not identical fingerprints

[REDACTED]

Specimens retained.

- 2 - Albany
- 2 - Charlotte (9-2256)
- 2 - Richmond (9-1509)
- 2 - WFO (9-2746)

37
J. Edgar Hoover
John Edgar Hoover, Director



**FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535**

To: SAC, Washington Field Office
(9-2746)
Re: UNSUB; Secretary of Health,
Education and Welfare
ELLIOT RICHARDSON - VICTIM;
[REDACTED] - VICTIM;

Date: March 10, 1971
FBI File No. 9-82458
Lab. No. D-710013001 MS

b7C

et al.;

EXTORTION

Specimens received

3/16/71

Q24 U. S. postal card postmarked "DURHAM, NC 2A 1071 AM
1 MAR" and bearing typewritten address "Secretary of
Health, Education, and Welfare Elliott Richardson
Washington, D. C."

Result of examination:

The typewriter impressions on Q24 are similar in style and size to the typewriter impressions on Q1 through Q15 and Q20 through Q23, previously submitted. Although a limited number of characteristics in common were noted in the questioned typewriter impressions on Q1 through Q15 and Q20 through Q24, it was not possible to definitely determine whether the same typewriter was or was not used in the preparation of those specimens due to the limited nature of the characteristic defects. No significant differences were noted in the typewriter impressions on those specimens.

Q24 will be returned separately. This specimen was photographed.



Washington, D. C. 20537

REPORT

of the

IDENTIFICATION DIVISION

LATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION

YOUR FILE NO. 9-2746
FBI FILE NO. 9-52453
LATENT CASE NO. A-33103

March 19, 1971

TO: SAC, WFO

UNSUB.;
SECRETARY OF HEALTH, EDUCATION AND WELFARE
RE: ELLIOT RICHARDSON - VICTIM
[REDACTED] - VICTIM
ET AL.,
EXTORTION

b7C

REFERENCE: Airtel 3-15-71
EXAMINATION REQUESTED BY: WFO
SPECIMENS: Q24, postal card

Listed Q specimen described in separate Laboratory report.

No latent prints of value developed on Q24, which is enclosed.

Enc.

(2) - Norfolk(9-608)

39

John Edgar Hoover, Director

NF 9-608

On March 23, 1971, the facts of this case were presented to Assistant United States Attorney ROGER T. WILLIAMS, Norfolk, Virginia, who advised he would not consider prosecution even if [REDACTED] was positively identified as the sender of the obscene and threatening post card to [REDACTED]

b7C

[REDACTED] He stated he felt the ends of justice seemed to be served in the prosecution of [REDACTED] on federal violations of threatening the president and sending obscene matter through the U. S. Mails. He felt the proper venue for any federal prosecution would more probably lie where the majority of the cards were mailed, that is Durham, North Carolina, or where the bulk of them were directed, that is Washington, D.C.



FREEDOM OF INFORMATION
AND
PRIVACY ACTS

SUBJECT: ELLIOTT RICHARDSON

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

COPY

COPY

February 28, 1953

77
Honorable J. Edgar Hoover
Director, Federal Bureau of Investigation
Department of Justice
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Hoover:

With further reference to our letter of
February 17, investigations are desired on the
following list of [redacted] employed
by the firms of [redacted] and the [redacted]
Company:

[Large redacted box]

Following are [redacted] of
the Committee on Armed Services [redacted]
concerning whom investigations are desired:

b7C

[redacted]
[redacted]
Elliott Lee Richardson ✓

Your files will probably disclose that previous
investigations have been made of those names marked by an
asterisk.

I shall very much appreciate your causing these
investigations to be made as promptly as possible.

RECORDED - 142 Sincerely yours

LS ES

77-56892

[Redacted box]

No Criminal record,
2 sent division,
3 sent criminal parts
for 2 miss Heller March
1953

6/45



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to

WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

Date:

March 13, 1953

AIR MAIL, SPECIAL DELIVERY

To:

SAC,

WASHINGTON FIELD

From:

J. Edgar Hoover, Director

Subject:

**ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON
SPECIAL INQUIRY
COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES
UNITED STATES SENATE**

You are requested to conduct an investigation of the above named individual. The instructions contained in Section 103, Volume 3 of the Manual of Instructions and Chapter 78, Part 3 of the FBI Handbook should be observed in connection with this investigation. This case is to be assigned immediately and reports of the complete investigation must be submitted Air Mail, Special Delivery, where proper, by

3/27/53.

Address:

5022 V St., N.W., Washington, D.C.

Birth date:

Birthplace: **7/20/20**

Boston, Massachusetts

ALL OFFICES: Ascertain identity of all immediate relatives. This is an expedite investigation and the deadline must be met

Enclosure

cc: 2 - Boston (Enc.)
2 - New York (Enc.)
2 - Baltimore (Enc.)

MLI:dls

DP

filed 4/1/53

APPLICATION FOR FEDERAL EMPLOYMENT

INSTRUCTIONS: In order to prevent delay in consideration of your application, answer every question on this form clearly and completely. Type, write or print in INK. In applying for a specific United States Civil Service examination, read the examination announcement carefully and follow all directions. If you are applying for a WRITTEN examination, follow the

instructions on the admission card regarding disposition of this application. If you are applying for an UNWRITTEN examination, mail this application to the office named in the announcement. Be sure to mail to the same office any other forms required by the announcement. Notify the office with which you file this application of any change in your address.

APPLICATION NO.	1. NAME OF EXAMINATION OR KIND OF POSITION APPLIED FOR	
	2. OPTION(S) (if mentioned in examination announcement)	
	3. PLACE OF EMPLOYMENT APPLIED FOR (City and State)	4. DATE OF THIS APPLICATION
	5. MR. (First name) (Middle) (Maiden, if any) (Last) MRS. <u>Elliot Lee Richardson</u> MISS	
ANNOUNCEMENT	(B) CITY OR POST OFFICE (including postal zone) AND STATE <u>Washington, D.C.</u>	
	7. LEGAL OR VOTING RESIDENCE (State) <u>Mass.</u>	8. (A) OFFICE PHONE (B) HOME PHONE <u>Em 2-4302</u>
	9. DATE OF BIRTH (month, day, year) <u>July 20, 1920</u>	10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MARRIED <input type="checkbox"/> SINGLE
	11. PLACE OF BIRTH (city and State; if born outside U. S., name city and country) <u>Boston, Mass.</u>	
	12. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MALE <input type="checkbox"/> FEMALE	13. (A) HEIGHT WITHOUT SHOES: <u>6</u> FEET <u>-</u> INCHES (B) WEIGHT: <u>180</u> POUNDS

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS BLOCK
For Use of Civil Service Commission Only

<input type="checkbox"/> APPOR. <input type="checkbox"/> NON-APPOR.	MATERIAL <input type="checkbox"/> SUBMITTED <input type="checkbox"/> RETURNED	ENTERED REGISTER:		
NOTATIONS:		APP. REVIEW:		
APPROVED:				
OPTION	GRADE	EARNED RATING	PREFER- ENCE	AUGM. RATING
			<input type="checkbox"/> 5 POINTS (TENT.)	
			<input type="checkbox"/> 10 POINTS	
			<input type="checkbox"/> WIFE OR WIDOW	
			<input type="checkbox"/> DISAL.	
			<input type="checkbox"/> BEING INVESTIGATED	
INITIALS AND DATE				

14. (A) HAVE YOU EVER BEEN EMPLOYED BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT? ☒ YES ☐ NO
(B) IF SO, GIVE LAST GRADE AND DATE OF LAST CHANGE IN GRADE
U. S. Supreme Court Law Clerk - 7/1/48

15. (A) WHAT IS THE LOWEST ENTRANCE SALARY YOU WILL ACCEPT? \$.....
PER YEAR.
You will not be considered for any position with a lower entrance salary.

(B) CHECK IF YOU WILL ACCEPT SHORT-TERM APPOINTMENT IF OFFERED, FOR:
☐ 1 TO 3 MONTHS ☐ 3 TO 6 MONTHS ☒ 6 TO 12 MONTHS
NOTE. Acceptance or refusal of a temporary short-term appointment will not affect your opportunity to obtain a probational appointment.

(C) IF YOU ARE WILLING TO TRAVEL, SPECIFY:
☐ OCCASIONALLY ☒ FREQUENTLY ☐ CONSTANTLY

(D) CHECK IF YOU WILL ACCEPT APPOINTMENT, IF OFFERED:

☐ IN WASHINGTON, D. C. ☒ ANYWHERE IN THE UNITED STATES
☐ OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(E) IF YOU WILL ACCEPT APPOINTMENT IN CERTAIN LOCATIONS ONLY, GIVE ACCEPTABLE LOCATIONS:

16. EXPERIENCE: It is important for you to furnish all information requested below in sufficient detail to enable the Civil Service Commission and the appointing officers of agencies to give you full credit in determining your qualifications. Use a separate block for each position. Start with your present position and work back, explaining clearly the principal tasks which you performed in each position, accounting for all periods of unemployment. Experience gained more than 15 years ago which is not pertinent to the work for which you are applying may be summarized in one or more of the blocks. If your duties changed materially while working for the same employer, use a separate block to describe each position. You may include any pertinent

religious, civic, welfare, or organizational activity which you have performed, either with or without compensation, showing the number of hours per week and weeks per year in which you were engaged in such activity. Military experience should be described in the spaces below in its proper sequence.

(a) If you were ever employed in any position under a name different from that shown in Item 5 of this application, give under "Description of your work" for each position, the name used.

(b) If you have never been employed or are now unemployed, indicate that fact in the space provided below for "Present Position."

① PRESENT POSITION				
DATES OF EMPLOYMENT (month, year)		EXACT TITLE OF YOUR PRESENT POSITION	CLASSIFICATION GRADE (if in Federal Service)	SALARY OR EARNINGS:
FROM: <u>9/49</u> TO PRESENT TIME		<u>Lawyer</u>		STARTING: \$ <u>5000</u> PER yr PRESENT: \$ <u>9100</u> PER yr
PLACE OF EMPLOYMENT (city and State) <u>Boston, Mass.</u>				
NAME AND ADDRESS OF EMPLOYER (firm, organization, or person; if Federal, name department, bureau or establishment, and division) <u>Ropes, Gray, Best, Coolidge & Angus</u> <u>50 Federal St., Boston, Mass.</u>		REASON FOR DESIRING TO CHANGE EMPLOYMENT <u>Public service and experience</u>		
NUMBER AND KIND OF EMPLOYEES SUPERVISED BY YOU <u>2-6 junior lawyers, clerks & stenographers.</u>				
DESCRIPTION OF YOUR WORK <u>General legal work; interviewing and hiring applicants for legal positions with the firm.</u>				

16. CONTINUED

② DATES OF EMPLOYMENT (month, year) FROM: 7/1/48 TO: 6/30/49		EXACT TITLE OF YOUR POSITION Law Clerk	CLASSIFICATION GRADE (if in Federal service)	SALARY OR EARNINGS: STARTING \$ PER FINAL \$ 4500 PER yr	
PLACE OF EMPLOYMENT (city and State) Washington, D. C.			NAME AND TITLE OF IMMEDIATE SUPERVISOR [Redacted] b7C		
NAME AND ADDRESS OF EMPLOYER (firm, organization, or person; if Federal, name department, bureau or establishment, and division) Supreme Court of the U. S. Washington, D. C.			KIND OF BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION (e. g., wholesale silk, insurance agency, manufacture of locks, etc.) Court		
NUMBER AND KIND OF EMPLOYEES SUPERVISED BY YOU None			REASON FOR LEAVING Completion of term of appointment		

DESCRIPTION OF YOUR WORK

Study of pending cases, analysis of the issues presented, and preparation of memoranda thereon.

③ DATES OF EMPLOYMENT (month, year) FROM: 10/1/47 TO: 6/30/48		EXACT TITLE OF YOUR POSITION Law Clerk	CLASSIFICATION GRADE (if in Federal service)	SALARY OR EARNINGS: STARTING \$ PER FINAL \$ 3600 PER yr	
PLACE OF EMPLOYMENT (city and State) New York, N. Y.			NAME AND TITLE OF IMMEDIATE SUPERVISOR Chief Judge Learned Hand		
NAME AND ADDRESS OF EMPLOYER (firm, organization, or person; if Federal, name department, bureau or establishment, and division) U. S. Court of Appeals New York, N. Y.			KIND OF BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION (e. g., wholesale silk, insurance agency, manufacture of locks, etc.) Chief Judge Court		
NUMBER AND KIND OF EMPLOYEES SUPERVISED BY YOU None			REASON FOR LEAVING Completion of term of appointment		

DESCRIPTION OF YOUR WORK

same as under ② above.

④ DATES OF EMPLOYMENT (month, year) FROM: 8/42 TO: 10/45		EXACT TITLE OF YOUR POSITION	CLASSIFICATION GRADE (if in Federal service)	SALARY OR EARNINGS: STARTING \$ PER FINAL \$ PER	
PLACE OF EMPLOYMENT (city and State)			NAME AND TITLE OF IMMEDIATE SUPERVISOR		
NAME AND ADDRESS OF EMPLOYER (firm, organization, or person; if Federal, name department, bureau or establishment, and division)			KIND OF BUSINESS OR ORGANIZATION (e. g., wholesale silk, insurance agency, manufacture of locks, etc.)		
NUMBER AND KIND OF EMPLOYEES SUPERVISED BY YOU			REASON FOR LEAVING		

DESCRIPTION OF YOUR WORK

Service in the Army of the United States, from basic training in the Medical Department through Medical Administrative Corps Officers Candidate School and duty as a basic training instructor to two years as platoon leader in the 4th Medical Battalion, 4th Infantry Division.

24. REFERENCES: List three persons living in the United States or Territories of the United States who are NOT related to you and who have definite knowledge of your qualifications and fitness for the position for which you are applying. Do not repeat names of supervisors listed under Item 16 (EXPERIENCE).

FULL NAME	PRESENT BUSINESS OR HOME ADDRESS (Give complete current address, including street and number)	BUSINESS OR OCCUPATION
	Harvard Law School, Cambridge, Mass.	Law School
		Banker

INDICATE "YES" OR "NO" ANSWER BY PLACING "X" IN PROPER COLUMN	YES	NO	INDICATE "YES" OR "NO" ANSWER BY PLACING "X" IN PROPER COLUMN	YES	NO
25. MAY INQUIRY BE MADE OF YOUR PRESENT EMPLOYER REGARDING YOUR CHARACTER, QUALIFICATIONS, ETC.?	X		35. ARE YOU AN OFFICIAL OR EMPLOYEE OF ANY STATE, TERRITORY, COUNTY, OR MUNICIPALITY? <i>If your answer is "Yes," give details in Item 39.</i>		X
26. ARE YOU A CITIZEN OF OR DO YOU OWE ALLEGIANCE TO THE UNITED STATES?	X		36. DOES THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT EMPLOY IN A CIVILIAN CAPACITY ANY RELATIVE OF YOURS (BY BLOOD OR MARRIAGE) WITH WHOM YOU LIVE OR HAVE LIVED WITHIN THE PAST 24 MONTHS? <i>If your answer is "Yes," show in Item 39 for EACH such relative (1) full name; (2) present address; (3) relationship; (4) Department or Agency by which employed, and (5) kind of appointment.</i>		X
27. ARE YOU NOW, OR HAVE YOU EVER BEEN, A MEMBER OF THE COMMUNIST PARTY, U. S. A., OR ANY COMMUNIST ORGANIZATION?		X			
28. ARE YOU NOW, OR HAVE YOU EVER BEEN, A MEMBER OF A FASCIST ORGANIZATION?		X			

29. ARE YOU NOW, OR HAVE YOU EVER BEEN, A MEMBER OF ANY ORGANIZATION, ASSOCIATION, MOVEMENT, GROUP, OR COMBINATION OF PERSONS WHICH ADVOCATES THE OVERTHROW OF OUR CONSTITUTIONAL FORM OF GOVERNMENT, OR OF AN ORGANIZATION, ASSOCIATION, MOVEMENT, GROUP, OR COMBINATION OF PERSONS WHICH HAS ADOPTED A POLICY OF ADVOCATING OR APPROVING THE COMMISSION OF ACTS OF FORCE OR VIOLENCE TO DENY OTHER PERSONS THEIR RIGHTS UNDER THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES OR OF SEEKING TO ALTER THE FORM OF GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES BY UNCONSTITUTIONAL MEANS?

If your answer to question 27, 28, or 29 above is "yes," state in Item 39 the names of all such organizations, associations, movements, groups, or combination of persons and dates of membership. Give complete details of your activities therein and make any explanation you desire regarding your membership or activities therein.

30. SINCE YOUR 16TH BIRTHDAY, HAVE YOU EVER BEEN ARRESTED, INDICTED, OR SUMMONED INTO COURT AS A DEFENDANT IN A CRIMINAL PROCEEDING, OR CONVICTED, FINED, OR IMPRISONED OR PLACED ON PROBATION, OR HAVE YOU EVER BEEN ORDERED TO DEPOSIT BAIL OR COLLATERAL FOR THE VIOLATION OF ANY LAW, POLICE REGULATION OR ORDINANCE (EXCLUDING MINOR TRAFFIC VIOLATIONS FOR WHICH A FINE OR FORFEITURE OF \$25 OR LESS WAS IMPOSED)?

If your answer is "Yes," list all such cases under Item 39 below. Give in each case (1) the date; (2) the nature of the offense or violation; (3) the name and location of the court; (4) the penalty imposed, if any, or other disposition of the case. If appointed, your fingerprints will be taken.

31. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN DISCHARGED, OR FORCED TO RESIGN, FOR MISCONDUCT OR UNSATISFACTORY SERVICE FROM ANY POSITION?

If your answer is "Yes," give in Item 39 the name and address of employer, date, and reason in each case.

32. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN BARRED BY THE U. S. CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION FROM TAKING EXAMINATIONS OR ACCEPTING CIVIL SERVICE APPOINTMENTS?

If your answer is "Yes," give dates of and reasons for such debarment in Item 39.

33. HAVE YOU ANY PHYSICAL HANDICAP, DISEASE, OR OTHER DISABILITY WHICH SHOULD BE CONSIDERED IN ASSIGNING YOU TO WORK?

If your answer is "Yes," give complete details in Item 39 so that consideration can be given to your physical fitness for the job.

34. DO YOU RECEIVE AN ANNUITY FROM THE UNITED STATES OR DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA GOVERNMENT UNDER ANY RETIREMENT ACT OR ANY PENSION OR OTHER COMPENSATION FOR MILITARY OR NAVAL SERVICE?

If your answer is "Yes," give complete details in Item 39.

39. SPACE FOR DETAILED ANSWERS TO OTHER QUESTIONS (indicate item numbers to which answers apply).

ITEM NO.	ANSWERS	ITEM NO.	ANSWERS
30	5/38 Driving to endanger - \$100 fine 10/40 Negligent driving - Acquitted 4/51 " " - \$200 fine		(Boston Municipal Court) (Boston Municipal Court) (Boston Municipal Court)

If more space is required, use paper the same size as this page. Write on each sheet your name, address, date of birth, and examination title. Attach to inside of this application.

Before signing this application check back over it to make sure that you have answered ALL questions correctly.
I CERTIFY that the statements made by me in this application are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, and are made in good faith.

False statement on this application is punishable by Law (U. S. Code, Title 18, Section 80).

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT

(Sign your name in INK (one given name, initial or initials, and surname). If female, prefix Miss or Mrs. and if married, your own given name as "Mrs. Mary L. Doe".)

	Lee (H)
NK	46-4616-10, X
NI	62-0-29851
NK	65-58365-537, 110
	E.L. (H)
NK	77-8763
NI	61-7559-3628, 5 < 58 72 nd 98254, 31/2
	Ellis (Van)
NK	C.T. 44-1-115-57 62-3755-57
NK	C.T. 11-17006-57, 10, 57, 53 62-3662
NK	94-6-071, 10 th
NK	62-43757-3
	Feb 6/97

May 8, 1953

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

BY MESSENGER

Honorable Leverett Saltonstall
Chairman
Committee on Armed Services
United States Senate
Washington, D. C.

My dear Senator:

In accordance with your request of February 28, 1953, for an investigation of Elliot Lee Richardson, there is attached a summary reflecting the results of our inquiries.

With expressions of my highest esteem and best regards,

Sincerely yours,

MAY 12 1953
130

Attachment

AJS:MEG

Note: Investigation requested by Senator Saltonstall on February 28, 1953; however, request received in Special Inquiry Section on March 9, 1953. Bureau's investigation of appointee was favorable with exception of a number of traffic arrests in Massachusetts which are set out on pages 5 and 6 of attached summary.

COMM - FBI

AJB

26 MAY 22 1953

Tolson
Ladd
Nichols
Belmont
Clegg
Glavin
Harbo
Rosen
Tracy
Laughlin
Mohr
Tele. Rm.
Holloman
Gandy

COPY

March 13, 1953

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Honorable Leverett Saltonstall
Chairman
Committee on Armed Services
United States Senate
Washington, D. C.

My dear Senator:

I wish to acknowledge receipt of your
letter dated February 28, 1953, in which you
requested investigations of:



b7C

Elliott Lee Richardson ✓

Please be advised that your requests are
being given attention and upon completion you will be
furnished with a summary of the inquiries made in each
case.

With expressions of my highest esteem and
best regards,

Sincerely yours,

/s/

RECORDED - 71

77-56892 - 2

file 61

25 MAY 27 1953

b2
b7C

FBI WASH FIELD 3-19-53

1:30 PM

DIRECTOR AND SAC ST. LOUIS

URGENT

ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON, SI, COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES, U.S
SENATE. BULET MARCH THIRTEEN. ARMY FILE AT RACAG, SN

BORN JULY

TWENTY, TWENTY, BOSTON, MASS. BUR ADVISED DEADLINE OF
MARCH TWENTYSEVEN MUST BE MET. ASCERTAIN IDENTITY OF ALL
IMMEDIATE RELATIVES.

HOOD

PEF:DEB

77-42478

RECORDED - 71 97-56892-4

Roth

Wip

MAY 27 1953

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Form No. 1
THIS CASE ORIGINATED AT **BUREAU**

b7C

REPORT MADE AT BALTIMORE	DATE WHEN MADE 3/23/53	PERIOD FOR WHICH MADE 2/16, 19/53	REPO: SE: rap
TITLE ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON			CHARACTER OF CASE SPECIAL INQUIRY COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES UNITED STATES SENATE

SYNOPSIS OF FACTS:

Files of G--2, Central Records Facility, Fort Holabird, Baltimore, Maryland, were checked without locating any record of appointee.

-RUC-

REFERENCE: Bureau letter to Washington Field dated 3/13/53.

DETAILS:

The files of the G-2 Central Records Facility, Fort Holabird, Baltimore, Maryland, were checked through the commanding officer of the Facility without locating any record of the appointee.

-RUC-

APPROVED AND FORWARDED <div style="text-align: center; margin-top: 10px;"> <small>Special Agent in Charge</small> </div>	DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES <div style="font-size: 2em; font-weight: bold; text-align: center; margin-top: 10px;"> 77-56892-5 </div> <div style="text-align: right; font-weight: bold; margin-top: 5px;">RECORDED - 71</div> <div style="text-align: center; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; display: inline-block;">MAR 24 1953</div> 24 </div>
COPIES OF THIS REPORT 4-Bureau 1-Baltimore (77-6440)	<div style="text-align: center; margin-top: 20px;"> <small>RECEIVED</small> </div>

5 MAY 27 1953

PROPERTY OF FBI—THIS CONFIDENTIAL REPORT AND ITS CONTENTS ARE LOANED TO YOU BY THE FBI AND ARE NOT TO BE DISTRIBUTED OUTSIDE THE AGENCY TO WHICH LOANED.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

MAR 24 1953

TELETYPE

Mr. Tolson _____
Mr. Ladd _____
Mr. Nichols _____
Mr. Belmont _____
Mr. Clegg _____
Mr. Glavin _____
Mr. Harbo _____
Mr. Rosen _____
Mr. Tracy _____
Mr. Gandy _____
Mr. Mohr _____
Mr. Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Mr. Holloman _____
Mr. Sizoo _____
Miss Gandy _____

FBI, ST. LOUIS 3-24-53 5-05 PM MMK
DIRECTOR, FBI AND SACS, WASHINGTON FIELD AND BOSTON URGENT

ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON, SI. RE WFO TEL MAR. NINETEEN, LAST. RACAG

RECORDS FOR ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] REFLECTED

ADDRESS OF SIX ONE NINE BOYLSTON ST., BROOKLINE, MASS. RELATIVES,
FATHER, EDWARD PEIRSON RICHARDSON. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] EMPLOYMENT--APCO

MOSSBERG CO., ATTLEBORO, MASS. ELLIS MEMORIAL SOCIAL SERVICE, BOS,
MASS. CHARACTER REFERENCES- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] HARVARD UNIV., CAMBRIDGE. MASS. CREDIT REFERENCES- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
CO., BROOKLINE, MASS. CONVICTED DISTRICT COURT, BOS, MASS. MAY, THIRTY
NINE, DRIVING UNDER INFLUENCE OF LIQUOR. HANDLE.

THORNTON

END ANDACK PLS

6-07 PM OK FBI WA NRB

OK FBI BS PJB

TU DISCT PLSVV

RECORDED - 71

77-56892-6

1953

5 MAY 27 1953

700 COPIES 870

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FD-36
Mr. Tolson _____
Mr. Ladd _____
Mr. Nichols _____
Mr. Belmont _____
Mr. Clegg _____
Mr. Glavin _____
Mr. Harbo _____
Mr. Rosen _____
Mr. Tracy _____
Mr. Gandy _____
Mr. Mohr _____
Mr. Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Mr. Holloman _____
Mr. Sizoo _____

Transmit the following ~~Teletype~~ message to:
AIRTEL (via air mail)

FBI, BOSTON 3/24/53

DIRECTOR

ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON, SI, COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES, U.S.
SENATE. BUDED MARCH TWENTYSEVEN FIFTYTHREE. REBULET
MARCH THIRTEEN. APPLI LISTS [REDACTED]
HARVARD LAW SCHOOL AS REFERENCE. REBULET BOSTON JULY
TWELVE FIFTYONE, STYLED [REDACTED] AD-
VISING THAT [REDACTED] NOT TO BE CONTACTED WITHOUT BUREAU
AUTHORITY. UACE [REDACTED] NOT BEING CONTACTED.

MARCHESSAULT

END

RFS:ner
77-5730

RECORDED - 71

77-56892-7
MAR 26 1953

Approved: AGM
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

25 MAY 27 1953

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Form No. 1

THIS CASE ORIGINATED AT **BUREAU**

REPORT MADE AT ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI	DATE WHEN MADE 3-25-53	PERIOD FOR WHICH MADE 3-23, 24-53	REPORT [REDACTED] SE [REDACTED] mbs
TITLE ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON			CHARACTER OF CASE SPECIAL INQUIRY
SYNOPSIS OF FACTS: <p>Records AGO, DPRB, Dept. of the Army, SIMO, indicate that ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON, [REDACTED] in U. S. Army 8-42 to 12-45. Relieved from active duty as 1st Lieutenant by reason of demobilization. Convicted in District Court of Boston, Mass. driving under influence of liquor. Sentenced to \$75 fine and suspension of driver's license for one year. Born 7-20-20 at Boston, Mass. [REDACTED] in [REDACTED]</p> <p>b2 [REDACTED] b7C [REDACTED]</p> <p>Miscellaneous papers only for [REDACTED]</p> <p style="text-align: center;">-RUC-</p> <p>REFERENCE: WFO teletype dated 3-19-53. St. Louis teletype to Bureau 3-24-53.</p> <p>DETAILS: AT ST. LOUIS, MO.</p> <p>A review of the Army service record on file at the Adjutant General's Office, Demobilized Personnel Records Branch, World War II Unit, Department of the Army, for ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON, [REDACTED] indicates that he was inducted into the U. S. Army on August 14, 1942 and entered on active duty on August 27, 1942, at Fort Devens, Massachusetts. He was honorably discharged on March 23, 1943 as a Corporal from Company "D", Medical Administrative Corps, Officers Candidate School, Camp Berkeley, Texas, to accept a commission as Second Lieutenant, U. S. Army. Applicant was commissioned Second Lieutenant, [REDACTED] on March 24, 1943 and reported for duty on the same date at Camp Berkeley, Texas. He was relieved from active</p>			
APPROVED AND FORWARDED: [Signature]		SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	
COPIES OF THIS REPORT 5-Bureau (AMSD) 1-St. Louis (77-11230)		DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES <div style="font-size: 2em; font-weight: bold; text-align: center;">77-56892-8</div> <div style="text-align: right; font-weight: bold;">RECORDED - 71</div>	
<div style="font-size: 1.5em; font-weight: bold;">25 MAY 27 1953</div>		<div style="text-align: center;"> FBI </div>	

PROPERTY OF FBI—THIS CONFIDENTIAL REPORT AND ITS CONTENTS ARE LOANED TO YOU BY THE FBI AND ARE NOT TO BE DISTRIBUTED OUTSIDE OF AGENCY TO WHICH LOANED.

SL 77-11230

duty on December 13, 1945 as a First Lieutenant from Company "B", 4th Medical Battalion, 4th Infantry Division, Camp Butner, North Carolina, by reason of demobilization.

His military occupation was listed as Litter Officer and civilian occupation as philosophy student. He served one year, five months and twenty-seven days in foreign service in the European Theatre and participated in the battles and campaigns of Normandy, Rhineland, Western Germany, Northern France and the Ardennes. He was awarded the Bronze Star Medal, Purple Heart with one Oak Leaf Cluster and the European-African-Middle Eastern Theatre Ribbon with five Bronze Stars.

Miscellaneous papers contained in the file reflect that applicant was convicted in Civil Court in 1939 for driving under the influence of liquor. He was sentenced in District Court, Boston, Massachusetts, to a \$75 fine and suspension of his driver's license for one year.

The date and place of birth were shown as July 20, 1920, at Boston, Massachusetts.

A review of the [redacted]

[redacted] indicates that [redacted]

[redacted] No derogatory information was contained in the file.

[redacted]

Miscellaneous papers only were located at AGO. DPRB. St. Louis. Missouri, for [redacted]

b7C

-RUC-

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Form No. 1

THIS CASE ORIGINATED AT

BUREAU

PHM

REPORT MADE AT NEW YORK	DATE WHEN MADE 3/26/53	PERIOD FOR WHICH MADE 3/18,19,23/53	REPORT MADE BY <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div>
TITLE ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON			CHARACTER OF CASE SPECIAL INQUIRY COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES UNITED STATES SENATE

b7C

SYNOPSIS OF FACTS

RICHARDSON was employed as a law clerk by Justice LEARNED HAND, US Circuit Court of Appeals, who recommends him highly. RICHARDSON

during this employment; neighbors do not recall but one employee of building recalls favorably. No credit rating NYC. No criminal record NYC.

RUC

REFERENCE: Bureau letter to Washington Field, 3/13/53.

DETAILS: Employment

Justice LEARNED HAND, Judge of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, United States Court House, Foley Square, New York, New York, advised that RICHARDSON was employed by him as a law clerk from October, 1947 until about the first of August, 1948.

Justice HAND stated that RICHARDSON comes from an old Boston medical family, his grandfather having been an outstanding surgeon and his father also. He stated that his mother is also a descendant from an old

APPROVED AND FORWARDED <i>L.V.B.</i>	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES	
COPIES OF THIS REPORT 5 - Bureau 1 - New York (77-16079)		<div style="font-size: 2em; font-weight: bold;">77-56824-1</div> <div style="font-size: 0.8em;">MAR 30 1953</div>	<div style="font-size: 1.5em; font-weight: bold;">RECORDED - 7</div>

5 MAY 27 1953

PROPERTY OF FBI—THIS CONFIDENTIAL REPORT AND ITS CONTENTS ARE LOANED TO YOU BY THE FBI AND ARE NOT TO BE DISTRIBUTED OUTSIDE OF AGENCY TO WHICH LOANED.

NY 77-16079

Boston family, and that RICHARDSON had a splendid war record during World War II. Justice HAND stated that it would be difficult for him to overstate his feelings concerning RICHARDSON. He stated he knew him only during the period of his employment as law clerk, but that RICHARDSON was one of the best men he ever had working for him in that capacity. He stated that RICHARDSON has all of the qualities to make him discreet, is understanding, has a very keen mind, and is qualified to handle almost anything. He stated that he had no reason to question his loyalty to the United States and recommended him highly.

Justice HAND stated that he knows that RICHARDSON is presently working with Senator SALTONSTALL from Massachusetts in the United States Senate.

Neighborhood

It is to be noted that an address book in Justice HAND'S office reflects RICHARDSON'S New York address as 201 West 16 Street, New York, New York.

[redacted] Abbott and Adams, Incorporated, 331 Madison Avenue, New York, New York, agents for the building at 201 West 16 Street, advised that her records indicate that RICHARDSON was granted a lease for Apartment 16G at 201 West 16 Street, the lease to run from September 15, 1947 to December 19, 1948. She advised that in his application for the apartment he listed his address as 617 Boylston Street, Brookline, Massachusetts. He also indicated that he was going to share the apartment with a person by the name of [redacted]

[redacted] ded that on July 1, 1948 this apartment was sublet to another person and that RICHARDSON'S record of occupancy was clear.

Mr. [redacted] of the building at 201 West 16 Street, New York, New York, for [redacted] advised that he did not recall RICHARDSON.

The following persons were also contacted and they advised they did not recall RICHARDSON:

Mr. [redacted]

The [redacted]

for the [redacted]

Mrs. [redacted]

A resident of [redacted]

NY 77-16079

Mrs. [REDACTED]

A resident of [REDACTED]

Mr. [REDACTED]

the day [REDACTED]

b7C

[REDACTED] advised that he recalled RICHARDSON residing in Apartment 16G with a person by the name of [REDACTED]. He stated that he recalled him because [REDACTED] used to [REDACTED]. He stated that he recalled them as being very fine young men, both of whom were employed in New York City, and stated that they were good tenants, whose loyalty to the United States he had no reason to question. He stated that he believed Mr. [REDACTED] came from [REDACTED].

Credit

SE [REDACTED] checked the records of the Credit Bureau of Greater New York and no credit rating was located for RICHARDSON. However, the files contained a clipping from the "New York Times" newspaper, dated August 4, 1952, concerning the wedding of ANNE FRANCES HAZARD to ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON.

Criminal

SA [REDACTED] caused a search to be made of the records of the New York City Police Department and no record was found for RICHARDSON.

RUC

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Form No. 1

THIS CASE ORIGINATED AT

BUREAU

FILE NO.

jdb

REPORT MADE AT BOSTON, MASS.	DATE WHEN MADE 3/27/53	PERIOD FOR WHICH MADE 3/14, 20, 23, 24, 25/53	REPORT MADE BY <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div>
TITLE ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON			CHARACTER OF CASE SPECIAL INQUIRY COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES UNITED STATES SENATE

SYNOPSIS OF FACTS:

Birth verified. Education Harvard College and Law School verified; both favorable. Employment at Apco-Massberg Company verified. Applicant employed as volunteer worker at Ellis Memorial Settlement House, Boston, 1941. Employment as lecturer Harvard Law School and as an attorney with Ropes, Gray, Best, Coolidge, and Rugg verified and favorable. References and neighbors favorably recommend applicant. Credit rating favorable. Criminal record reflects five arrests.

RUC

REFERENCES:

Bulet to Washington Field dated March 13, 1953 and St. Louis teletype dated March 24, 1953.

DETAILS:

Investigation at Harvard conducted by SA [redacted] at Attleboro, Massachusetts, by SA [redacted] at Ropes, Gray, Best, Coolidge, and Rugg by SA [redacted] neighborhood by SA [redacted] credit, criminal and birth data checks by SE [redacted]

BIRTH DATA

The records of the Bureau of Vital Statistics, State House, Boston, Massachusetts, reflected the applicant was born on

APPROVED AND FORWARDED: <i>afm</i>	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES
COPIES OF THIS REPORT • 3- Bureau 1- Boston (77-5730)		<div style="font-size: 2em; font-weight: bold;">77-56892-10</div> <div style="font-weight: bold;">RECORDED - 7</div>
		<div style="font-weight: bold;">MAY 27 1953</div>

PROPERTY OF FBI—THIS CONFIDENTIAL REPORT AND ITS CONTENTS ARE LOANED TO YOU BY THE FBI AND ARE NOT TO BE DISTRIBUTED OUTSIDE OF AGENCY TO WHICH LOANED.

BS 77-5730

July 20, 1920 at Boston, Massachusetts, the son of EDWARD PIERSON RICHARDSON and CLARA SHATTUCK RICHARDSON.

EDUCATION

Records of Miss [redacted] Harvard College, Cambridge, Massachusetts, show that the applicant was born July 20, 1920 at Boston, Massachusetts, and entered the College in September, 1937, from Milton Academy and received his A.B. degree in Philosophy cum laude on June 19, 1941.

The record reflects his father's name as Doctor EDWARD PIERSON RICHARDSON and his home address as 617 Boylston Street, Brookline, Massachusetts. It also shows that RICHARDSON was Photographic Editor of the 1941 Class Album, served as Chairman of the Class Officers' Nominating Committee and was Undergraduate President, 1940-41.

Records of Mrs. [redacted] Harvard Law School, Cambridge, Massachusetts, show the applicant entered the Law School September 15, 1941 and withdrew June 13, 1942. He re-entered the Law School on February 25, 1946 and attended until May 24, 1947, receiving his LL.B. degree cum laude on June 5, 1947, and ranking No. 5 in a class of 115.

The applicant's personnel folder was provided by [redacted] [redacted] Law School Alumni Records Office, which reflected that the applicant had been very highly recommended to Judge LEARNED HAND by [redacted] Harvard Law School, in 1947. The file also showed that while at the Law School, the applicant resided in a Harvard College facility and that this residence was satisfactory.

Mr. [redacted] Law School, Harvard College, stated he knew the applicant slightly as a student and more closely as a lecturer in 1952. He has known him only at the Law School in connection therewith but stated that he considers the applicant a person of high character, outstanding ability and complete loyalty to the United States.

Mr. [redacted] Harvard Law School, stated he has [redacted]

[redacted]
[redacted] He considers the applicant an able, competent man of integrity whose loyalty is beyond question.

63
Mr. [redacted] Harvard College, stated the applicant was one of the best students he ever had and that he thinks very highly of his competence, patriotism and character. Although not socially acquainted with the applicant, [redacted] [redacted] stated he has held many informal discussions with the applicant on subjects of mutual interest and that he is positive of the applicant's loyalty.

64
Mr. [redacted] Harvard Law School, stated he has [redacted] [redacted] father, now deceased, who was a distinguished member of the medical profession. The applicant, he stated, is a "modernized version of the best type of cold roast Boston" and amplified this statement by observing that the applicant's family had been outstanding in Boston for generations and had been large contributors to the community in a professional way. The Richardson House section of the Massachusetts General Hospital, he stated, was named for either the applicant's grandfather or father or both. [redacted]
[redacted]

65
The applicant, he feels, is proud of his traditional background and is a person of high standards and rigid principles. He is also extremely intelligent and able and a completely loyal citizen.

EMPLOYMENT

66
Mr. [redacted] [redacted] stated that payroll records of this concern reflect that ELLIOT L. RICHARDSON was employed as a general worker from July 22, 1941 to August 29, 1941, when he left of his own accord, the exact reason for leaving not being shown. Mr. [redacted] further stated that there was no indication in the record concerning the applicant's address, date of birth, previous employment or any other information concerning the applicant.

67
Mr. [redacted] stated that he did not recall the applicant and he was unable to locate anyone at the company who remembered him.

BS 77-5730

Miss [redacted] advised that [redacted] the Ellis Memorial Settlement House, 66 Berkeley Street, Boston, Massachusetts, and recalled the applicant as being a volunteer worker at this agency. Miss [redacted] advised that the applicant performed social duties amongst the younger people of the Settlement House while he was attending Harvard College. She further stated that during the time in which the applicant was connected with the Settlement House, he proved himself to be a young man whose character, reputation and loyalty were unquestionable.

Miss [redacted] advised that [redacted] was [redacted] at the Settlement House and terminated this employment to enter the Armed Forces of the United States and did not return to this employment after his service. She further stated that his exact whereabouts was unknown to her.

Mr. [redacted] Ropes, Gray, Best, Coolidge and Rugg, 50 Federal Street, Boston, Massachusetts, stated his records indicate ELLIOT L. RICHARDSON had been an associate of the above law firm from September 12, 1949 to December 31, 1952. Mr. [redacted] stated that the applicant had been in charge of the hiring of new attorneys for the firm.

Mr. [redacted] further stated he considered the applicant to be an individual above reproach in every respect. He said RICHARDSON was extremely capable and one to whom he, [redacted] would give the highest recommendation for a position of trust with the United States Government.

Mr. [redacted] Ropes, Gray, Best, Coolidge and Rugg, stated he has known the applicant [redacted]. He said he felt the applicant's character, associates and loyalty were absolutely beyond question. Mr. [redacted] further stated he considered the applicant to be an extremely intelligent and capable young man. He further stated that the applicant had held a very responsible position with the firm and had done a remarkable job. He said that the applicant had been given a leave of absence to enter Government service and would be taken back to the firm at any time he returned to Boston. Mr. [redacted] concluded by stating that he would recommend the applicant very highly for any position of trust and confidence with the United States Government.

BS 77-5730

MA X Mr. [redacted] Lopes, Gray, Best, Coolidge and Rugg, Boston, Massachusetts, stated he has known the applicant and the [redacted] He said that the applicant was a very intelligent young man who would be a success in any field of endeavor. He further stated that the applicant's reputation, loyalty and character were unquestioned. Mr. [redacted] stated he would give the applicant the highest recommendation for a position of trust with the Government.

b7C Miss [redacted] Office of the Harvard Corporation, Harvard College, Cambridge, Massachusetts, stated the records of her office indicated that the applicant held an appointment as a lecturer on law at the Harvard Law School from February 1, 1952 to June 30, 1952. Miss [redacted] stated nothing of an unfavorable nature concerning the applicant relative to this employment was recorded in the files of her office.

REFERENCES

MA [redacted] New England Trust Company, stated that the applicant is presently a member of the Board of Directors of this company. He said he has only known the applicant [redacted] but had known him by reputation for a number of years. Mr. [redacted] stated that the applicant's family had an excellent reputation and were considered above reproach. He further stated he would give the applicant an unqualified recommendation for a position of trust with the Government.

MA Mr. [redacted] Room [redacted] [redacted] advised he has known [redacted] the applicant

Mr. [redacted] stated that the applicant came from a family who were well regarded throughout Greater Boston. Mr. [redacted] continued by stating that the applicant's father and grandfather were held in high esteem in the medical profession. He also stated that the [redacted]

MA Mr. [redacted] further advised that the applicant is an outstanding young attorney in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and he believes there is a great future in store for him. Mr. [redacted] continued that the applicant at the present time is serving as a trustee of the Public Library of Brookline, Massachusetts, and had formerly been

a member of the Town Meeting Board, Brookline. Mr. [] also stated that within the past year "Life" magazine had a write-up on the applicant as being one of the outstanding young attorneys in New England. He further stated that the applicant would be a success in any field of endeavor and that he would recommend him highly for any position he sought. Mr. [] concluded by stating that nothing of an unfavorable nature concerning the applicant's character, reputation or loyalty has ever come to his attention.

b7C

Mrs. []

✓ advised that []

who was []

at []

and is presently

[] the exact address being unknown.

NEIGHBORHOOD

8A Miss []

stated the applicant's mother died when he was less than two years old and []

the applicant was born July 20, 1920 at Boston, Massachusetts, and is at the present time residing in Washington, D. C., where he is employed. She further advised that the applicant served as a clerk in the United States Supreme Court for Judge FELIX FRANKFURTER and in the same capacity for Judge LEARNED HAND. Miss [] stated that the applicant is a very liberal-minded individual. However, he has absolute allegiance to the United States Government.

b7C

b7D

While at Harvard College, Miss [] stated that the applicant was president of the Signet Club, an intellectual association. Miss [] also advised that the applicant has diversified interests and a very inquisitive mind, was an excellent cartoonist and held an administrative position in the "Lampoon" at Harvard College. She further stated that because of the applicant's liberal thinking and actions, he was criticized by some individuals but has always associated with people of high caliber. She continued by stating that she would have no hesitation in recommending the applicant for the position that he is seeking as his character, reputation and loyalty are unquestioned.

may Mrs. []

stated she has known the applicant and his family since the applicant

BS 77-5730

[redacted]
[redacted] stated the applicant's father, grandfather and uncle were all well-known physicians and surgeons in Boston.

b7C Mrs. [redacted] related that the applicant is very civic-minded, was a Town Meeting member at Brookline, Massachusetts, and is a brilliant young man. She further stated that the applicant is a very upstanding American and that she has no reason to question his loyalty to the United States.

wife Mrs. [redacted] further stated the applicant's wife, ANNE, also comes from a very respectable family and that her father, T. PIERREPONT HAZARD, of Peace Dale, Rhode Island, has been a [redacted]

MISCELLANEOUS

wife The applicant's personnel folder at the Harvard Law School Alumni Records Office, contains a newspaper item dated January 27, 1951 from the "New York Times" announcing the engagement of the applicant and ANNE FRANCIS HAZARD of Peace Dale, Rhode Island, a student at Radcliffe College.

b7C
b7D

b7C [redacted]
"Life" magazine, January 1, 1951, page 74, has a picture of the applicant and describes him as one of America's hopes for the future as a rising young attorney from Boston with the firm, Ropes, Gray, Best, Coolidge and Rugg.

wife Mr. [redacted] stated the records of his office indicate the applicant was elected as a town meeting member in March, 1950, and served a three-year term and did not seek re-election.

wife Mr. [redacted] stated the applicant, on March 3, 1953, was elected as a trustee of the Public Library, Brookline, Massachusetts, for a

BS 77-5730

period of three years.

Mr. [redacted] Office of the Clerk, Supreme Judicial Court, Suffolk County Court House, Boston, Massachusetts, advised that ELLIOT L. RICHARDSON passed the Massachusetts State Bar on April 5, 1950 and is a member in good standing.

CREDIT

Miss [redacted] advised she could locate no record in her files for the applicant.

Miss [redacted] Credit Bureau of Greater Boston, Incorporated, 11 Beacon Street, Boston, Massachusetts, advised a check of her files reflected a favorable credit rating for the applicant.

[redacted]

CRIMINAL

[redacted] a central repository for all criminal arrest records in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, advised that the arrest record for one ELLIOT L. RICHARDSON, born July 20, 1920 at Boston, Massachusetts, and a resident at 617 Boylston Street, Brookline, Mass., is as follows:

<u>Date</u>	<u>Offense</u>	<u>Place</u>	<u>Disposition</u>
12/15/38	Speeding	West Roxbury	\$5 fine
5/8/39	Operating so as to endanger lives and safety.	Roxbury	\$25 fine
5/8/39	Operating under influence	"	\$50 fine
8/7/41	Auto not slowing down approaching pedestrian	Dorchester	\$10 fine
"	Auto not slowing down	"	\$5 fine
5/7/47	Violation of traffic law	Cambridge	\$2 fine

BS 77-5730

<u>Date</u>	<u>Offense</u>	<u>Place</u>	<u>Disposition</u>
6/28/50	Speeding	Cambridge	\$10 fine
5/11/51	Operating so as to endanger lives and safety	Brookline	\$200 fine

Miss [redacted] further stated that the files of her office contain no information concerning the applicant's wife, father or brothers.

Mrs. [redacted] Registry of Motor Vehicles, 100 Nashua Street, Boston, Massachusetts, advised that records of her office indicated that the applicant's operator's license was suspended on May 16, 1939 for a period of one year in connection with his conviction on May 8, 1939 on the charge of operating a motor vehicle so as to endanger lives and safety of the public. Mrs. [redacted] advised that applicant's license was restored May 22, 1940.

Miss [redacted] further stated that in connection with applicant's conviction of May 11, 1951 on charge of operating a motor vehicle so as to endanger lives and safety of the public his license was again revoked May 23, 1951 for one year; however, this was rescinded and his license restored on September 11, 1951.

- RUC -

BS 77-5730

BA ✓ Mr. [redacted] Ropes, Gray, Best, Coolidge and Rugg, Boston, Massachusetts, stated he has known the applicant [redacted] for approximately [redacted]. He said that the applicant was a very intelligent young man who would be a success in any field of endeavor. He further stated that the applicant's reputation, loyalty and character were unquestioned. Mr. [redacted] stated he would give the applicant the highest recommendation for a position of trust with the Government.

Miss [redacted] Office of the Harvard Corporation, stated the records of her office indicate that the applicant held an appointment as lecturer on law from February 1, 1952 to June 30, 1953. Miss [redacted] stated nothing of an unfavorable nature concerning the applicant relative to this employment was recorded in the files of her office.

REFERENCES

BA ✓ Mr. [redacted] New England Trust Company, stated that the applicant is presently a member of the Board of Directors of this company. He said he has only known the applicant [redacted] but had known him by reputation for a number of years. Mr. [redacted] stated that the applicant's family had an excellent reputation and were considered above reproach. He further stated he would give the applicant an unqualified recommendation for a position of trust with the Government.

BA ✓ Mr. [redacted] [redacted] advised he has known both the applicant and other members of his family [redacted]. Mr. [redacted] stated that the applicant came from a family who were well regarded throughout Greater Boston. Mr. [redacted] continued by stating that the applicant's father and grandfather were held in high esteem in the medical profession. He also stated that the [redacted]

BA ✓ Mr. [redacted] further advised that the applicant is an outstanding young attorney in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and he believes there is a great future in store for him. Mr. [redacted] continued that the applicant at the present time is serving as a trustee of the Public Library of Brookline, Massachusetts, and had formerly been [redacted]

BS 77-5730

[redacted] and [redacted] She further stated the applicant's father, grandfather and uncle were all well-known physicians and surgeons in Boston.

Mrs. [redacted] related that the applicant is very civic-minded, was a Town Meeting member at Brookline, Massachusetts, and is a brilliant young man. She further stated that the applicant is a very upstanding American and that she has no reason to question his loyalty to the United States.

wife
Mrs. [redacted] further stated the applicant's wife, NANCY, also comes from a very respectable family and that her father, T. PIERREPONT HAZARD, of Peace Dale, Rhode Island, [redacted]
[redacted]

b7C

MISCELLANEOUS

wife
The applicant's personnel folder at the Harvard Law School Alumni Records Office, contains a newspaper item dated January 27, 1951 from the "New York Times" announcing the engagement of the applicant and ANNE FRANCIS HAZARD of Peace Dale, Rhode Island, a student at Radcliffe College.

b7C

b7D

[redacted]

"Life" magazine, January 1, 1951, page 74, has a picture of the applicant and describes him as one of America's hopes for the future as a rising young attorney from Boston with the firm, Ropes, Gray, Best, Coolidge and Rugg.

sup
Mr. [redacted] stated the records of his office indicate the applicant was elected as a town meeting member in March, 1950, and served a three-year term and did not seek re-election.

sup
Mr. [redacted] stated the applicant, on March 3, 1953, was elected as a trustee of the Public Library, Brookline, Massachusetts, for a

BS 77-5730

period of three years.

Mr. [redacted] Office of the Clerk, Supreme Judicial Court, Suffolk County Court House, Boston, Massachusetts, advised that ELLIOT L. RICHARDSON passed the Massachusetts State Bar on April 5, 1950 and is a member in good standing.

b7C

CREDIT

Miss [redacted] Attleboro Credit Bureau, advised she could locate no record in her files for the applicant.

Miss [redacted] Credit Bureau of Greater Boston, Incorporated, 11 Beacon Street, Boston, Massachusetts, advised a check of her files reflected a favorable credit rating. [redacted] ant,

b7C

b7D

CRIMINAL

Miss [redacted] Massachusetts Board of Probation, Suffolk County Court House, Boston, Massachusetts, a central repository for all criminal arrest records in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, advised that the arrest record for one ELLIOT L. RICHARDSON, born July 20, 1920 at Boston, Massachusetts, and a resident at 617 Boylston Street, Brookline, Mass., is as follows:

<u>Date</u>	<u>Offense</u>	<u>Place</u>	<u>Disposition</u>
12/15/38	Speeding	West Roxbury	\$5 fine
5/8/39	Operating to endanger	Roxbury	\$25 fine
5/8/39	Operating under influence	"	\$50 fine
8/7/41	a. Auto/W.S.D.	Dorchester	\$10 fine
"	Auto/W.S.D.	"	\$5 fine
5/7/47	Violation of traffic law	Cambridge	\$2 fine

BS 77-5730

<u>Date</u>	<u>Offense</u>	<u>Place</u>	<u>Disposition</u>
6/28/50	Speeding	Cambridge	\$10 fine
5/11/51	Operating so as to endanger lives and safety	Brookline	\$200 fine

Miss [redacted] further stated that the files of her office contain no information concerning the applicant's wife, father, or brothers. b7C b7D

RUC

BS 77-5730

period of three years.

Mr. [redacted] Office of the Clerk, Supreme Judicial Court, Suffolk County Court House, Boston, Massachusetts, advised that ELLIOT L. RICHARDSON passed the Massachusetts State Bar on April 5, 1950 and is a member in good standing.

b7C

b7D

CREDIT

Miss [redacted] Attleboro Credit Bureau, advised she could locate no record in her files for the applicant.

Miss [redacted] Credit Bureau of Greater Boston, Incorporated, 11 Beacon Street, Boston, Massachusetts, advised a check

b7C

CRIMINAL

Miss [redacted] Massachusetts Board of Probation, Suffolk County Court House, Boston, Massachusetts, a central repository for all criminal arrest records in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, advised that the arrest record for one ELLIOT L. RICHARDSON, born July 20, 1920 at Boston, Massachusetts, and a resident at 617 Boylston Street, Brookline, Massachusetts, is as follows:

b7C

<u>Date</u>	<u>Offense</u>	<u>Place</u>	<u>Disposition</u>
12/15/38	Speeding	West Roxbury	\$5 fine
5/8/39	Operating to endanger	Roxbury	\$25 fine
"	Operating under influence	"	\$50 fine
8/7/41	a. Auto/N.S.D.	Dorchester	\$10 fine
"	Auto/N.S.D.	"	\$5 fine
5/7/47	Violation of traffic law	Cambridge	\$2 fine

BS 77-5730

<u>Date</u>	<u>Offense</u>	<u>Place</u>	<u>Disposition</u>
6/28/50	Speeding	Cambridge	\$10 fine
5/11/51	Operating so as to endanger lives and safety	Brookline	\$200 fine

RUC

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Form No. 1

THIS CASE ORIGINATED AT **BUREAU**

REPORT MADE AT WASHINGTON, D. C.	DATE WHEN MADE 3/27/53	PERIOD FOR WHICH MADE 3/18-20, 23-25/53	REPORT MADE BY <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 20px; display: inline-block;"></div> rm
TITLE ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON			CHARACTER OF CASE SPECIAL INQUIRY COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES UNITED STATES SENATE
<p>SYNOPSIS OF FACTS.</p> <p>Employment Committee on Armed Services, United States Senate, verified and favorable. Employment as law clerk to Judge LEARNED HAND, New York City, verified. Employment law clerk to Justice FELIX FRANKFURTER verified and favorable. Neighborhoods investigated favorable. D. C. Credit favorable. D. C. Criminal, HCUA and CSC all negative.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">- P -</p> <p>REFERENCE: Bureau letter dated March 13, 1953. St. Louis teletype dated March 24, 1953.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>EMPLOYMENT</u></p> <p>The following investigation was conducted by SA <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 150px; height: 20px; display: inline-block;"></div></p> <p>The payroll records United States Senate Disbursing Office reflect that appointee entered on duty on February 17, 1953, as Assistant Clerk to Senator LEVERETT SALTONSTALL of Massachusetts, where appointee is presently employed.</p>			
APPROVED AND FORWARDED <i>RBA</i>	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES <div style="font-size: 2em; font-weight: bold;">77-56892 - 11</div> RECORDED - 71	
COPIES OF THIS REPORT ④ Bureau 1 Washington Field 5 MAY 27 1953 (57-42478)			

PROPERTY OF FBI—THIS CONFIDENTIAL REPORT AND ITS CONTENTS ARE LOANED TO YOU BY THE FBI AND ARE NOT TO BE DISTRIBUTED OUTSIDE OF AGENCY TO WHICH LOANED.

WFO 77-42478

✓
A ✓ Senator LEVERETT SALTONSTALL of Massachusetts advised he has known the appointee first as a boy, playing with his own children, and that appointee had been raised by his uncle after the death of the appointee's mother and his father's nervous breakdown. Senator SALTONSTALL stated that he felt that he did not know the appointee really well until the appointee came to work with the Senator last month. Senator SALTONSTALL further stated the appointee had an excellent record at Harvard and came from an old substantial Massachusetts family and had married into a good Rhode Island family. Senator SALTONSTALL further stated that he considered appointee's moral character, reputation, associates, and loyalty to be excellent and that appointee was a very competent and capable individual whom he would recommend most highly.

✓
B ✓ MR. [REDACTED] to Senator SALTONSTALL advised that although he had [REDACTED] he did not know him personally until appointee had come to Washington in February of this year. MR. [REDACTED] stated that he considered the appointee's moral character and reputation, associates and loyalty to be excellent and that the appointee is very capable in the legal profession and has excellent qualifications and abilities. MR. [REDACTED] further stated that appointee came from a highly regarded and substantial Massachusetts family and he would recommend appointee on the basis of the above information despite knowing the appointee such a short period of time.

✓
C ✓ MR. [REDACTED] United States Supreme Court, furnished appointee's personnel file, which reflected that he entered on duty October 6, 1947, as a law clerk to Judge LEARNED HAND, United States Court of Appeals, New York City, New York, and had transferred on July 1, 1948, as a law clerk, Office of the Marshal, Administrative Offices, United States Court, Washington, D. C. The records reflect the appointee had been rated excellent by Judge LEARNED HAND on December 9, 1947. The records further reflected appointee had been appointed law clerk to Justice JULIUS FRANKFURTER, United States Supreme Court, Washington,

b7C
b7D

WFO 77-42478

info
[redacted]
D. C., on July 1, 1948, and appointee's employment was terminated on July 23, 1949, at completion of his term of appointment. The records further reflect appointee had resided at 3018 N Street, N.W., Washington, D. C.

BA
Justice FRANK FRANKFURTER, United States Supreme Court, advised he has known the appointee since 1945 and that appointee's family for generations have been outstanding in medicine in Massachusetts. Justice FRANKFURTER also stated that appointee had compiled an excellent record at Harvard University and had been head of the Harvard Law Review. Justice FRANKFURTER further stated that he knew appointee intimately while appointee had been serving as a law clerk with Judge LEARNED HAND in New York City and that Judge HAND had the highest respect and regard for the appointee. Justice FRANKFURTER advised that the appointee had served as his law clerk for a year, July, 1948 to July, 1949, and that he found him to be a young lawyer of outstanding ability, impeccable character and integrity, excellent associates, and unquestionably loyal to the United States. Justice FRANKFURTER stated that he would recommend the appointee without any qualifications whatsoever. Justice FRANKFURTER also stated that an article and photographs had appeared in Life magazine in approximately 1950 or 1951 describing the ten most outstanding young men in the United States and the article depicted the appointee as one of the most outstanding young attorneys.

b7C

b7D

GA ✓
MRS. [redacted] United States Supreme Court Library advised that [redacted]

b7C
[redacted]
the appointee in Justice FRANKFURTER's office during 1948 and 1949. [redacted] advised that the appointee's moral character and reputation, associates, and loyalty could not be questioned and that she would recommend the appointee most highly for a position of trust. [redacted] further stated that she considered the appointee one of the most brilliant young men she met and one of the most modest accomplishments men she has ever known.

WFO 77-42478

NEIGHBORHOOD

5022 V Street, N.W.

MRS. [REDACTED]

Parent's name
neighbor
advised the appointee and his wife, ANNE [REDACTED] and that she has not had a chance to become acquainted with them as yet. MRS. [REDACTED] stated that this young couple had come from Boston, Massachusetts, and [REDACTED] they have resided in the neighborhood they have been quiet neighbors and enjoy a good reputation and she has no reason to question their loyalty to the United States.

MRS. [REDACTED]

neighbor
advised that she did not know the appointee and his wife very well since [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] MRS. [REDACTED] stated, however, that they seemed like a nice, quiet couple and that she had no reason to question their moral character, reputation or loyalty. MRS. [REDACTED] also advised that they had come from Boston, Massachusetts.

b7C
b7D

neighbor
[REDACTED] advised appointee and his wife had [REDACTED] and that as yet he has not met them personally and felt that he did not know them well enough to comment.

neighbor
MRS. [REDACTED] advised she had [REDACTED] MRS. [REDACTED] and that she did not know appointee or any of the young attorneys who [REDACTED] from [REDACTED]

MRS. [REDACTED] advised that [REDACTED]

WFO 77-42478

[redacted] with Covington, Burling, Rublee Shorb and O'Brien, Union Trust Building. MRS. [redacted] stated that she did not know the appointee personally.

b7C

MRS. [redacted]

[redacted] MRS. [redacted] advised that a great many young bachelor lawyers had lived at 3018 N Street, N.W., [redacted], but that she was unable to recall the appointee personally.

BA ✓ MR. [redacted] Covington, Burling, Rublee, Shorb and O'Brien, Union Trust Building, advised he has known appointee since approximately [redacted] and that appointee had [redacted]

[redacted] when he returned to Boston. MR. [redacted] advised that appointee had been a law clerk to Justice FRANKFURTER and that he considered him an intelligent man of high moral character and integrity, excellent associates, and unquestionably loyal to the United States. MR. [redacted] stated he would recommend the appointee for a position of trust. MR. [redacted] further stated that the appointee had married a MISS ANNE HAZARD, whose family was prominent in Rhode Island, after he left Washington, D. C. MR. [redacted] stated that the appointee had always been moderate in his habits and had been a very pleasant and agreeable person to live with. MR. [redacted] further stated that another attorney with this firm, [redacted] should also know the appointee.

b7C
b7D

BA ✓ MR. [redacted] Covington, Burling, Rublee, Shorb and O'Brien, advised he had met the appointee in [redacted] at Harvard Law School and has known him on an on and off basis since that time. MR. [redacted] stated that he considered the appointee a man of moderate habits, high moral character and integrity, good associates and a loyal American whom he would recommend for a position of trust.

MISCELLANEOUS

The records of the Credit Bureau, Washington, D. C., were examined by SE [redacted] and

W

WFO 77-42478

they reflected a favorable credit report with three accounts handled satisfactorily for the appointee and his wife, ANNE.

The records of the Criminal Division, Special Investigations and Traffic Division, Metropolitan Police Department, Washington, D. C., were examined by SE [redacted] and they reflected no record concerning appointee and his wife, ANNE.

The records of the United States Park Police were examined by SE [redacted] and they contained no record concerning the appointee and his wife.

The records of the House Committee on Un-American Activities were examined by SE [redacted] and they contained no record concerning the appointee and his wife, ANNE.

gone
The records of the House Committee on Un-American Activities were examined by SE [redacted] and they reflected no record which could be identified with appointee's father. EDWARD PETERSON RICHARDSON [redacted]
[redacted]

agency
Records of Civil Service Commission, Investigations Division, were caused to be searched by SA [redacted] and no record concerning appointee was found.

b7C

b7D

- P -

WFO 77-42473

ADMINISTRATIVE PAGE

LEAD

WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE:

AT WASHINGTON, D. C.:

Will report the results of the check at the
Passport Office, United States Department of
State, when received.

WFO 77-42478

they reflected a favorable credit report with three accounts handled satisfactorily for the appointee and his wife, ANNE.

W
The records of the Criminal Division, Special Investigations and Traffic Division, Metropolitan Police Department, Washington, D. C., were examined by SE [redacted] and they reflected no record concerning appointee and his wife, ANNE.

W
The records of the United States Park Police were examined by SE [redacted] and they contained no record concerning the appointee and his wife.

again
The records of the House Committee on Un-American Activities were examined by SE [redacted] and they contained no record concerning the appointee and his wife, ANNE.

b7C

b7D

again
The records of the House Committee on Un-American Activities were examined by SE [redacted] and they reflected no record which could be identified with appointee's father, EDWARD PEIRSON RICHARDSON. [redacted]
[redacted]

- P -

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Form No 1
THIS CASE ORIGINATED AT **BUREAU**

REPORT MADE AT WASHINGTON, D. C.	DATE WHEN MADE 4/2/53	PERIOD FOR WHICH MADE 3/30/53	REPORT MADE BY <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div> JO
TITLE ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON			CHARACTER OF CASE SPECIAL INQUIRY COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES UNITED STATES SENATE
SYNOPSIS OF FACTS <p style="text-align: center;">State Passport information set forth below.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">- RUC -</p> <p>REFERENCE: Report of SA <div style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 150px; height: 1.2em; vertical-align: middle;"></div> dated March 27, 1953.</p> <p>DETAILS: <u>AT WASHINGTON, D. C.:</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>MISCELLANEOUS:</u></p> <p>The records of the State Department, Passport Office, were examined by SE <div style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 100px; height: 1.2em; vertical-align: middle;"></div> and reflected that appointee was issued Passport #684621 on June 23, 1952, to visit France, Spain, and Portugal for 1 month for the purpose of pleasure. The records also reflected that appointee's father, EDWARD PEIRSON RICHARDSON, born April 7, 1881, at Boston, Massachusetts, was issued Passport #159439 on December 24, 1934, for proposed trip of 3 months to the British Isles and the Continent of Europe for the purpose of travel. Included in the appointee's father's passport were his minor children, appointee.</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 1.2em; width: 100%;"></div> passport records also reflected Passport #259831 was issued to			
APPROVED AND FORWARDED <div style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 100px; height: 1.2em; vertical-align: middle;"></div>	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES <div style="font-size: 1.5em; font-family: cursive;">77-56725-12</div> <div style="float: right; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">RECORDED - 71</div>	
COPIES OF THIS REPORT <p>(4) - Bureau 1 - Washington Field (77-42478)</p> <p style="font-size: 1.5em; font-weight: bold;">25 MAY 27 1953</p>			

PROPERTY OF FBI - THIS CONFIDENTIAL REPORT AND ITS CONTENTS ARE LOANED TO YOU BY THE FBI AND ARE NOT TO BE DISTRIBUTED OUTSIDE OF AGENCY TO WHICH LOANED

WFO 77-42478

appointee's father on June 3, 1930, to visit for three months Great Britain and Ireland, and appointee [REDACTED] were also included in this passport. Records reflected that appointee's parents, CLARA SHATTUCK RICHARDSON and EDWARD PEIRSON RICHARDSON, were both deceased in June of 1952. The records contained nothing unfavorable concerning the appointee.

b7C

The Bureau has advised that a search of the central files of the FBI has been made and no information of a derogatory nature concerning loyalty which could be identified with the applicant was found.

- RUC -

APRIL 3, 1953

URGENT

SAC, BOSTON

ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON, SI. RERE SA [REDACTED] REPORT
PRESENT LOCATION OF APPOINTEE'S [REDACTED] AND FATHER. CONDUCT
CRIMINAL CHECK ON THEM AND APPOINTEE'S WIFE. SUBMIT PERTINENT
AMENDED PAGES IMMEDIATELY.

HOOVER

AJS:dl: [initials]

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Laughlin _____
Mohr _____
Tele. Rm. _____
Holoman _____

RECORDED - 71
U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

APR 3 1953

TELETYPE

25 MAY 27 1953

RECEIVED
PR 577-56892-13
3 00 PM
[Handwritten signatures and initials]

[REDACTED]

b7C

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20535

TYPE

BOSTON 3 FROM WASH DC 3 4-12 PM NRB

SAC URGENT

ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON, SI. REREP SA [REDACTED] REPORT
PRESENT LOCATION OF APPOINTEE-S [REDACTED] AND FATHER. CONDUCT CRIMINAL
CHECK ON THEM AND APPOINTEE-S WIFE. SUBMIT PERTINENT AMENDED PAGES
IMMEDIATELY.

b7C

HOOVER

END ACK P

WA R 2 3 BS RW

TU DISC

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI ATTENTION: ASSISTANT DIRECTOR DATE: APRIL 9, 1953
A. RCS TN

FROM : SAC, BOSTON (77-5730)

7 SUBJECT: ELIOT LEE RIC HARDSCH
SPECIAL INQUIRY
COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES
UNITED STATES SENATE

REFERENCE: Bureau teletype to Boston dated April 3, 1953.

Enclosed herewith are three copies of the amended pages 8 and 9 of the report of SA [redacted] dated March 27, at Boston, Mass.

RFS:jfh

Encs. 3

b7C

6 SA [redacted] dated 3/27/53 of Boston
RECORDED - 77
12

77-5730-14
APR 11 1953

25 APR 27 1953

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

0-9a

Best Copy Available

To: COMMUNICATIONS SECTION. APRIL 17, 1953 AIRTEL

Transmit the following message to: SAC, B T N (77-5730) (MAIL)

ELLIOT L RICHARDSON, SI. REF-P SA [REDACTED]


PAGE 1 REFLECTS APPOINT LECTURER OF LAW FROM FEBRUARY 1, 1952, TO JUNE 30, 1953, AT THE HARVARD CORPORATION. THE LOCATION OF THE HARVARD CORPORATION IS NOT SET OUT. SUMMARY REFLECTS HE WAS EMPLOYED AS A LECTURER AT HARVARD LAW SCHOOL. SET OUT CORRECT DATES OF EMPLOYMENT AND ALSO SET OUT PLACE OF EMPLOYMENT. PAGE 2, PARAGRAPH 3 REFLECTS MR. [REDACTED] ADVISED APPOINTEE'S WIFE IS NAMED NANCY. PAGE 5 REFLECTS HE WAS ENGAGED TO MARRY ANNE. PAGE 8, SET OUT EXACT NATURE OF OFFENSE. PHRASE OPERATING TO [REDACTED] AND [REDACTED] NOT CLEAR. APPOINTEE'S ARMY SERVICE RECORD REFLECTS APPOINTEE WAS CONVICTED IN CIVIL COURT IN 1939 FOR DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF LIQUOR. HE WAS SENTENCED IN DISTRICT COURT, BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS; WAS FINED \$75.00 AND HIS DRIVER'S LICENSE WAS SUSPENDED FOR ONE YEAR. REF-P [REDACTED] MAY 8, 1939, APPOINTEE WAS FINED \$75.00 BUT THERE IS NO INDICATION HIS LICENSE WERE SUSPENDED. EXAMINE COURT RECORDS AND REPORT PERTINENT INFORMATION. SUBMIT PERTINENT MATERIAL PAGES IMMEDIATELY.

HOOVER

AJS:mla

Best Copy Available

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Nichols _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Harbo _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Tele. room _____
Nease _____
Gandy _____



RECORDED - 71

77-5687-15

25 MAY 27 1953

SENT VIA

M

Per

APRIL 27, 1953

AIRTEL

SAC, BOSTON (77-5730) MAIL

ELLIOT LER. RICHARDSON, SI. RLBUAIRTEL APRIL SEVENTEEN
SUBMIT PERTINENT AMENDED PAGES IMMEDIATELY.

HOOVER

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Laughlin _____
Mohr _____
Tele. Rm. _____
Holloman _____

AJS:dls

RECORDED - 71

77-56892-16

MAILED 6
APR 27 1953

61 Pgs
#

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

DATE: April 28, 1953

FROM: SAC, BOSTON (77-5730)

SUBJECT: ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON
SPECIAL INQUIRY
COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES
UNITED STATES SENATE

ReBuairtel April 17, 1953.

Enclosed herewith are three copies of amended pages of report of SA [redacted] dated March 27, 1953 at Boston.

b7C

For the information of the Bureau, the court records would not reflect that the Applicant had had his license suspended in view of the fact that the Registrar of Motor Vehicles is the individual who has the authority in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts of revoking the operator's license of anyone who is convicted of a serious motor vehicle law refraction.

RFS:if

Encl

RECORDED - 71 77-56412-17

25 MAY 27 1953

Mr. William F. Rogers
Deputy Attorney General

May 8, 1953

Director, FBI

~~PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL~~

71
ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON
SPECIAL INQUIRY

By letter dated February 28, 1953, the Honorable Leverett Saltonstall, Chairman, Committee on Armed Services, United States Senate, requested an investigation of Elliot Lee Richardson. There is attached a summary reflecting the results of the inquiries made by this Bureau concerning Elliot Lee Richardson. A copy of this summary has been furnished to Mr. Saltonstall.

Attachment

AJS:MEG

b7C

Note: Investigation requested by Senator Saltonstall on February 28, 1953; however, request received in Special Inquiry Section on May 9, 1953. Bureau's investigation of appointee was favorable with exception of a number of traffic arrests in Massachusetts which are set out on pages 5 and 6 of attached summary.

AJS

10-25-89
APR 28 1953
RECORDED - 71

100-36272-18

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Laughlin _____
Mohr _____
Tele. Rm. _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

MAILED 11
MAY 11 1953
COMM-FBI

5 MAY 27 1953

Copy furnished
to WH
on 2-17-83
RAK A

May 8, 1953

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON

I. BIOGRAPHICAL DATA

A. Birth

Appointee was born on July 20, 1920, at Boston, Massachusetts, the son of Edward Pierson Richardson and Clara Shattuck Richardson.

B. Education

In September, 1937, appointee entered Harvard College, Cambridge, Massachusetts, from Milton Academy, Milton, Massachusetts. He received his A.B. degree in Philosophy cum laude on June 19, 1941. Richardson was photographic editor of the 1941 Class Album, served as chairman of the Class Officers' Nominating Committee, and was undergraduate president in 1940-41.

Appointee entered Harvard Law School, Cambridge, Massachusetts, on September 15, 1941, and withdrew on June 13, 1942. He re-entered Harvard Law School February 25, 1946, and attended until May 24, 1947, receiving his LL.B. degree cum laude on June 5, 1947. He ranked fifth in a class of 115. Appointee's personnel folder at Harvard Law School reflects he was very highly recommended to Judge Learned Hand by [redacted] of the Harvard Law School in 1947. While at the Law School, appointee resided in a Harvard College facility and this residence was satisfactory according to the Harvard Law School records.

Richardson passed the Massachusetts State Bar on April 5, 1950, and is a member in good standing.

C. Military Service

Appointee was inducted into the United States Army on August 14, 1942, and entered on active duty on August 27, 1942. He was honorably discharged on March 23, 1943, as a Corporal to accept a commission as a 2nd Lieutenant in the United States Army. He was commissioned a 2nd Lieutenant on March 24, 1943, and reported for duty on that date. He was released from active duty on December 13, 1945, as a 1st Lieutenant by reason of demobilization.

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Laughlin _____
Mohr _____
Tele. Rm. _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

AJS:MEG

Appointee's military occupation was listed as litter officer and his civilian occupation was listed as philosophy student. He served one year, five months and 27 days in foreign service in the European theater and participated in the battles and campaigns of Normandy, Rhineland, Western Germany, Northern France and the Ardennes. He was awarded the Bronze Star Medal, Purple Heart with one Oak Leaf Cluster and the European-African-Middle Eastern theater ribbon with five Bronze Stars.

Miscellaneous papers contained in the appointee's Army service record reflected he was convicted in civil court in 1939 for driving under the influence of liquor. He was sentenced in District Court, Boston, Massachusetts, to a \$75.00 fine and suspension of his driver's license for one year.

D. Employment

[redacted] of the Ellis Memorial Settlement House, Boston, Massachusetts, advised she recalled the appointee was a voluntary worker in this agency. She stated he performed social duties among the younger people of the Settlement House while he was attending Harvard College.

Appointee was employed as a general worker by the Apco-Mossberg Company, Attleboro, Massachusetts, from July 22, 1941, to August 29, 1941, when he left of his own accord.

On October 6, 1947, Richardson entered on duty as a law clerk to Judge Learned Hand, United States Court of Appeals, New York City. On July 1, 1948, he transferred as a law clerk to the office of the Marshal, Administrative Offices, United States Court, Washington, D. C. Appointee's personnel file regarding this employment reflects he had been rated "excellent" by Judge Learned Hand on December 9, 1947. The record also reflects Richardson had been appointed law clerk to Felix Frankfurter, Associate Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States on July 1, 1948. This employment was terminated on July 23, 1949, at the completion of his term of appointment.

From February 1, 1952, to June 30, 1952, Richardson was employed as a lecturer on law at the Harvard Law School, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

From September 12, 1949, to December 31, 1952, Richardson was an associate of the law firm of Ropes, Gray, Best, Coolidge and Rugg, Boston, Massachusetts. Appointee had been in charge of the hiring of new attorneys for this firm. [redacted] in this firm, advised that Richardson was given a leave of absence to enter Government service and would be taken back into the firm at any time he returned to Boston.

[redacted] Brookline, Massachusetts, advised the records of his office indicate Richardson was elected as a town meeting member in March, 1950, and served a 3-year term. He did not seek re-election. [redacted] stated that on March 3, 1959, Richardson was elected a trustee of the public library, Brookline, Massachusetts, for a period of three years. b7C b7D

The payroll records of the United States Senate Disbursing Office, Washington, D. C., reflect that appointee entered on duty on February 17, 1959, as assistant clerk to Senator Leverett Saltonstall of Massachusetts and appointee is presently employed in that capacity. ✓

II. RESULTS OF INVESTIGATION

A. Interviews with Business Associates and Social Acquaintances

The following persons were interviewed concerning the appointee's character, reputation, associates and loyalty. None of these persons had any unfavorable comments to make concerning him:

[redacted] Richardson [redacted] b7C b7D
Brookline, Massachusetts.

[redacted] New England Trust Company, Boston,
Massachusetts.

[redacted] Ropes, Gray, Best,
Coolidge and Rugg Law Firm, Boston, Massachusetts.

[redacted] Senator
Leverett Saltonstall, Washington, D. C.

Felix Frankfurter, Associate Justice of the Supreme
Court of the United States, Washington, D. C.

Justice Learned Hand, Judge of the United States Circuit
Court of Appeals for the 2nd District, New York City.

[redacted] Washington, D. C.

[redacted] Harvard
Law School, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

[redacted] United States
Supreme Court Library, Washington, D. C.

[redacted] [redacted] Boston,
Massachusetts.

[redacted] of the Ellis Memorial
Settlement House, Boston, Massachusetts.

[redacted] of Law, Harvard
Law School, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

b7C

[redacted] Boston, Massachusetts.

Leverett Saltonstall, United States Senator from Massachusetts.

[redacted] [redacted] Harvard Law
School, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

b7C

b7D

[redacted] [redacted] Ropes, Gray, Best, Coolidge
and Rugg Law Firm, Boston, Massachusetts.

From the persons interviewed, whose names are listed, comments were received in repeated instances to the effect that appointee is of good character, reputation and has good associates; that he is competent; that he is a loyal American and they recommend him highly.

Judge Learned Hand, listed above, also advised that appointee was one of the best men he ever had as a law clerk. He stated appointee is discreet, understanding, has a very keen mind and is qualified to handle almost anything. Felix Frankfurter, Associate Justice of the United States Supreme Court, listed above, also advised that appointee is an attorney possessing outstanding ability.

The January 1, 1951, issue of LIFE magazine, page 74, contains a picture of the appointee and describes him as one of America's hopes for the future as a rising young attorney from Boston with the firm of Ropes, Gray, Best, Coolidge and Rugg.

B. Relatives and Marital Status

Appointee's parents, Edward Pierson Richardson and Clara Shattuck Richardson, are deceased. Appointee's [redacted]
[redacted]

Appointee is married to Anne Hazard and the appointee and his wife reside in Washington, D. C.

The records of law enforcement agencies and credit bureaus serving the places of residence of the above persons contain no unfavorable, identifiable information concerning them.

Persons interviewed during the course of this investigation who commented concerning appointee's relatives did not furnish any unfavorable information concerning them.

C. Interviews with Neighbors

During this investigation neighbors of the appointee in the State of Massachusetts, New York City and Washington, D. C., were interviewed and they did not furnish any unfavorable information regarding appointee's character, reputation, loyalty and associates.

D. Credit and Criminal

There is no record of the appointee in the files of the Police Department, New York City; the Criminal Division, Special Investigation and Traffic Divisions, Metropolitan Police Department, Washington, D. C.; and the United States Park Police, Washington, D. C.

The records of the Massachusetts State Board of Probation, Suffolk County Court House, Boston, a central repository for all criminal arrest records in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, contain the following arrest record for Elliot L. Richardson, born July 20, 1920, at Boston, Massachusetts, and a resident of 617 Boylston Street, Brookline, Massachusetts:

<u>Date</u>	<u>Offense</u>	<u>Place</u>	<u>Disposition</u>
12/15/38	Speeding	West Roxbury	\$5 fine
5/8/39	Operating so as to endanger lives and safety	Roxbury	\$25 fine
5/8/39	Operating under influence	Roxbury	\$50 fine
8/7/41	Automobile not slowing down approaching pedestrian	Dorchester	\$10 fine
8/7/41	Automobile not slowing down	Dorchester	\$5 fine
5/7/47	Violation of traffic law	Cambridge	\$2 fine
6/28/50	Speeding	Cambridge	\$10 fine
5/11/51	Operating so as to endanger lives and safety	Brookline	\$200 fine

Mrs. [redacted] Registry of Motor Vehicles, 100 Nashua Street, Boston, Massachusetts, advised that records of her office indicated that the appointee's operator's license was suspended on May 16, 1939, for a period of one year in connection with his conviction on May 8, 1939, on the charge of operating a motor vehicle so as to endanger lives and safety of the public. Mrs. [redacted] advised that appointee's license was restored May 22, 1940.

b7C Mrs. [redacted] further stated that in connection with appointee's
b7D conviction of May 11, 1951, on charge of operating a motor vehicle so as to endanger lives and safety of the public his license was again revoked May 23, 1951, for one year; however, this was rescinded and his license restored on September 11, 1951.

It is noted that the appointee formerly resided at 617 Boylston Street, Brookline, Massachusetts.

The credit bureaus serving the localities listed above where arrest records were checked reported no unfavorable information concerning appointee.

E. Agency Checks

The files of the following Federal agencies reflect no unfavorable, identifiable information concerning appointee:

House Committee on Un-American Activities, Washington, D. C.

Department of the Army, Baltimore, Maryland.

Passport Division, Department of State, Washington, D. C.

Investigations Division, Civil Service Commission, Washington, D. C.

Central files, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Washington, D. C.

MR. TOLSON

10/12/53

J. P. MOHR

[REDACTED]
ELLIOT RICHARDSON
Information Concerning

Mr. [REDACTED] Senator Saltonstall called this morning and stated he would like to know the dates the Bureau completed the investigation on the above-captioned individuals. Mr. [REDACTED] stated we had investigated them since they were employees of the Senator on the Senate Armed Services Committee. He said he now needs the dates because they are working on some Army project and the Army is desirous of knowing when they were cleared.

b7C
I explained to Mr. [REDACTED] that we did not clear anyone; however, if he was desirous of knowing when we completed the investigations on them, I would check and let him know immediately. He said that was exactly what he wanted to know.

After checking with Mr. [REDACTED] of the Special Inquiry Section, I called Mr. [REDACTED] back and told him we had transmitted the results of Mr. [REDACTED]'s investigation on April 14, 1953 and Mr. Richardson's investigation on May 8, 1953.

Mr. [REDACTED] stated that was exactly what he wanted to know and he expressed appreciation for our prompt assistance in furnishing this information to him.

JPM:DW

25 OCT 30 1953

WASHINGTON

Mr. Tolson _____
 Mr. Nichols _____
 Mr. Boardman _____
 Mr. Belmont _____
 Mr. Mason _____
 Mr. Mohr _____
 Mr. Parsons _____
 Mr. Rosen _____
 Mr. Tamm _____
 Mr. Nease _____
 Mr. Winterrowd _____
 Tele. Rm. _____
 Mr. Holloman _____
 Miss Gandy _____

b7C

EXP. PROC.
SEP 11 1968

b7C

The Honorable J. Edgar Hoover
Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

77-56892-18X

September 11, 1956

~~SECRET~~

SACs, BOSTON
WASHINGTON FIELD (BOS)

ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON, API

White House requests investigation of Richardson who was born 7/20/20, in Boston, Massachusetts, and currently resides at 615 Boylston Street, Brookline, Massachusetts. He is being considered for employment as a consultant at the White House. No other biographical information available. WFO obtain same from the White House Office and set out appropriate leads.

Conduct thorough investigation as to character, loyalty, reputation and associates of Richardson accounting for entire adult life including education, employment and any periods of unemployment. Ascertain and report identity and current address of all immediate relatives and make appropriate checks concerning each. Set out necessary leads by airtel except where teletype necessary to insure meeting deadline. Assign case immediately, afford continuous attention and surep to reach Bureau no later than 9/26/56.

HOOVER

WPK:rap
(6)

NOTE: Request received 9/10/56, through liaison from the White House Office.

SE 49

X-117

COMM - FBI

Tolson _____
Nichols _____
Boardman _____
Belmont _____
Mason _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
Nease _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele Room _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

b7C

Federal Bureau of Investigation
Records Section

9-11, 1956

<input type="checkbox"/>	Name Check Unit - Room 6523		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Service Unit - Room 6524		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Forward to File Review		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Attention 		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Return 	4742	2139
	Supervisor	Room	Ext.

Type of References Requested

<input type="checkbox"/>	Regular Request (Analytical Search)
<input type="checkbox"/>	All References (Subversive & Nonsubversive)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Subversive References Only
<input type="checkbox"/>	Nonsubversive References Only
<input type="checkbox"/>	Main _____ References Only

b7C

Type of Search Requested

<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted to Locality of _____
<input type="checkbox"/>	Exact Name Only (On the Nose)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Buildup <input type="checkbox"/> Variations
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Check for Alphabetical Loyalty Form

Subject ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON
 Birthdate & Place 7/30/20 Boston, Mass.
 Address 615 Baylston St
Broadline, Mass.
 Localities 97 miles
 R# 75 Date 9-11 Searcher Initials RM
 FILE NUMBER SERIAL

NR
 Elliot
 77-56892-19 Sum, 10-19-55
 62-101860-11 exp 5
 100-64700-849 pp. 125
 NR
 Elliot
 62-12733-5-2 NR
 94-6-123; 471
 NR
 62-43757-3
 62-194-1-5060-40
 62-26643-40-
 NR
 Elliot Lee
 77-56892
 C. L.
 NR
 100-374957
 15-4654 MF R25
 NR
 77-8763
 26-5967 122
 26-8857 122
 NR
 147-2203
 NR
 104-2-43

SEARCH SLIP

Subj: Elliott Lee Richardson

Supervisor

Room 4742

R#

(75)Date 9-11

Searcher

Initial PM

b7C

FILE NUMBERSERIALC. 2 (Cont'd)

NI	100-7058-33	
NI	100-7061-39	
NI	100-51593-8	
NI	61-7559-3142; 3628X5; 5458	NI NI
NI	94-1-16252-1	
NI	61-7559-7259; 8254	NI
NR	62-75147-35-60	p. 13
NR	77-8761-23	
NR	100-11785-9	
NI	100-51593-16	
NR	62-11628-291	
NR	100-11788-19	
NR	61-7582-2479 e.p. 4524, 4541,	
	4583, 4584, 4704, 4707, 4710,	
	4712, 4714, 4820-4822	
NI	100-357934-637	p. 12
	NI	304 p. 32
	NI	272 p. 9
NR	100-412876-5; 4	NR
NI	100-146964-74	p. 4
NI	95-23976-1	
	40-3798-62	120

SEARCH SLIP

Subj: Elliott Lee Richardson

Supervisor

Room 4742

Searcher

R#

95Date 9-11Initial RMFILE NUMBERSERIAL

<u>FILE NUMBER</u>	<u>SERIAL</u>
NR 9-18808	
26-7918 122	
47-6521 122	
74-875 122	
NR 87-3873	
NR 88-3745	
NI 104-3899 MR R75	
NR 25-66039	
NI 62-0-29851	
NR 62-29466-50, 57, 60, 73	NR NR NR
NR 62-47047-1; 2; 12; 13, 14	NR NR NR NR
NI 62-51617-13	
NI 65-33200-2	
NR 65-58365-537, 110	
NI 94-3-1-2392; 1323	NI
NI 94-34976-6	
L 97-401-746	
NI 100-7801-882, 22	
NR 9-17802-1	
NR 26-40961-23	AF R75
NI 26-52153-88	AF R75
NR 31-50923-1	AF R75

b7C

b7C

4-22a

b7C

R# 75 Date 9-11 Searcher Initial PR

SERIAL

(cont'd)

	32-15328-1226	122
NR	46-4616-4	NR NR NR NR NR
	NR 169	12; 19; 46; 50; 168
NI	56-960-9	137
NI	72-472-356	
	73-4088-8	122
NI	88-1864-7	
NR	88-3745-2	
NI	95-9567-1	
NI	95-34296-1	
NI	104-3899-1	MF R-75

Alphabetical

MR

b7C

Office Memorandum UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : MR. A. H. BELMONT

DATE: September 11, 1956

FROM : MR. R. R. ROACH

SUBJECT: ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON
SPECIAL INQUIRY - WHITE HOUSE

Tolson	_____
Nichols	_____
Boardman	_____
Belmont	_____
son	_____
er	_____
Parsons	_____
Ro	_____
W	_____
Harrowd	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Holloman	_____
Gandy	_____

On 9-10-56 Miss [] in the office of Mr. [] of the White House contacted the Liaison Section and requested a full-field investigation on the captioned individual who is being considered for appointment as a consultant at the White House.

Mr. [] was born July 20, 1920, at Boston, Massachusetts, and resides at 615 Boylston Street, Brookline, Massachusetts. His present occupation was not furnished by the White House.

Miss [] advised this request would be confirmed by letter from the White House.

ACTION:

The above request was submitted to the Investigative Division for appropriate handling.

CHB:bal
(5)

- 1 - Mr. Belmont
- 1 - Mr. []
- 1 - Liaison Section
- 1 - Mr. []

*Just ordered
9/11/56
WPK*

th

77-56892-18X2
~~77-72740-3~~
NOT RECORDED
17 SEP 18 1956

*- 6
WPK*

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : MR. A. H. BELMONT *AB*

FROM : MR. R. R. ROACH *3*

SUBJECT: ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON
SPECIAL INQUIRY - WHITE HOUSE

DATE: September 12, 1956

Tolson _____
Nichols _____
Boardman _____
Belmont _____
Mason _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
Nease _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

Reference is made to my memorandum of September 11, 1956, setting forth a request from the White House for a full field investigation concerning the captioned individual.

On September 12, 1956, Miss [redacted] in the office of Mr. [redacted] of the White House contacted the Liaison Section and requested that the investigation of Richardson be canceled. She advised that this cancellation would be confirmed by letter from the White House.

ACTION:

The Investigative Division was immediately advised of the above cancellation.

OHB:jlj *AK*
(5)

- 1 - Mr. Belmont
- 1 - Mr. [redacted]
- 1 - Liaison Section
- 1 - Mr. [redacted]

77-56892-18X3

~~77-72740-4~~

NOT RECORDED

14 SEP 18 1956

6
WPK

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

September 12, 1956

Personal and Confidential

Mr. Tolson ✓
Mr. Nichols ✓
Mr. Boardman ✓
Mr. Belmont ✓
Mr. Mason ✓
Mr. Mohr ✓
Mr. Parsons ✓
Mr. Rosen ✓
Mr. Tamm ✓
Mr. Nease ✓
Mr. Winterrowd ✓
Tele. Room ✓
Mr. Holloman ✓
Miss Gandy ✓

Dear Mr. Hoover.

This will confirm a telephone request from my office today to cancel our request of September tenth for a full-field investigation on Elliot Lee Richardson. Mr. Richardson is no longer being considered for a consultant to the White House.

b7C

Sincerely,

Special Counsel to the President

The Honorable J. Edgar Hoover
Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

NOT RECORDED
14 SEP 18 1956

EX-113
6-11-56

SEPTEMBER 12, 1956

TELETYPE
URGENT

SAC'S, BOSTON
WASHINGTON FIELD (BCS)

ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON, SPI. REBUAIRTEL SEPTEMBER
ELEVEN LAST. DISCONTINUE.

HOOVER

DWB:mla
(5)

NOTE: White House advised via liaison today
to discontinue.

Tolson _____
Boardman _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Harbo _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
 Sizoo _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele Room _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

SEP 12 1956

TELETYPE

337 PM '56

SEP 12 2 38 PM '56
RECEIVED READING ROOM
FBI
U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE

b7C

71 SEP 19 1956

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

SEP 12 1956

TELETYPE

BOSTON 1 FROM FBI WASH DC 12 3-37 PM

SAC URGENT

ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON, SPI. REBUAIRTEL SEPTEMBER
ELEVEN LAST. DISCONTINUE.

HOOVER

WFO ADVISED

END AND ACK PLS

WA R 1 BS BJB ✓

TU DISCO

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Nichols	_____
Mr. Boardman	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. DeLoach	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. Felt	_____
Mr. Gale	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Sullivan	_____
Mr. Tavel	_____
Mr. Trotter	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Mr. Holloman	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

b7C

AIRTEL

to: DIRECTOR, FBI
 SAC, WFO (77-42478)
 ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON
 SPI

9/18/56

Re Buairtel 9/11/56, and Butel dated 9/12/56, instructing this case be discontinued.

No investigation conducted and no report is being submitted.

RUC

LAUGHLIN

3 - Bureau
 1 - WFO

AGK:KAM
 (4)

AIRTEL

77-56892-✓
~~77-72740~~

NOV 19 1956

153
 71 SEP 1956

OCT 17 1955

ELLIOT RICHARDSON
Ropes, Gray, Best,
Coolidge and Rugg
Boston, Massachusetts

The captioned individual is listed in Adam Yarmolinsky's booklet entitled "Case Studies in Personnel Security" as one of the 117 persons who assisted Yarmolinsky in gathering personnel security case histories. These 117 names have been checked through the Bureau indices for main file and summary references. In instances where references found were felt to be possibly identical with any of the 117 individuals brief summaries of the information in the files were prepared. The following may pertain to the captioned individual.

On March 13, 1953, the Bureau instituted a special inquiry on Elliot Lee Richardson, who was an employee of Senator Leverett Saltonstall, Committee on Armed Services, U. S. Senate. This investigation revealed that Richardson was born on July 20, 1920, at Boston, Massachusetts. He received his A.B. Degree in Philosophy cum laude on June 19, 1941 from Harvard College. He received his LL.B Degree cum laude from Harvard Law School on June 5, 1947, ranking fifth in a class of 115. His personnel folder at Harvard reflects that he was very highly recommended to Judge Learned Hand [redacted] Harvard Law School in 1947. Richardson passed the Massachusetts State Bar examination on April 5, 1950. (77-56892)

b7C

Richardson served in the U. S. Army from August 14, 1942, to December 13, 1945. His Army service record reflected he was convicted in Civil Court in 1939 for driving under the influence of liquor. He was sentenced in District Court, Boston, Massachusetts, to a \$75.00 fine and suspension of his drivers' license for one year.

62-101860

77-56892

NET:11p:ddl
(6)

77-56892-19

While attending Harvard College, he was a voluntary worker for the Ellis Memorial Settlement House, Boston, Massachusetts.

Richardson served as a law clerk to Judge Learned Hand, U. S. Court of Appeals, New York City, from October 6, 1947, to July 1, 1948, when he transferred as a law clerk to the Office of the Marshal, Administrative Offices, U. S. Court, Washington, D. C. His personnel file reflects that he was rated "excellent" by Judge Hand. On July 1, 1948, he was appointed law clerk to Felix Frankfurter, Associate Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States. This employment was terminated on July 23, 1949. (77-56892)

From February 1, 1952, to June 30, 1952, Richardson was employed as a lecturer on law at the Harvard Law School. From September 12, 1949, to December 1, 1952, Richardson was an associate of the law firm of Ropes, Gray, Best, Coolidge and Rugg, Boston, Massachusetts. He was in charge of the hiring of new attorneys for this firm. Richardson was given a leave of absence to enter Government service. (77-56892)

The payroll records of the U. S. Disbursing Office reflect that Richardson entered on duty on February 17, 1953, as assistant clerk to Senator Saltonstall of Massachusetts and, as of May 8, 1953, was still employed in that capacity.

From persons interviewed during this investigation, comments were received to the effect that Richardson was of good character, reputation and had good associates; that he was competent; that he was a loyal American and they recommended him highly. Judge Hand advised that Richardson was one of the best men he had as a law clerk, that he is discreet, understanding, has a very keen mind, and qualified to handle almost anything. Felix Frankfurter also advised that Richardson possesses outstanding ability. (77-56892)

The January 1, 1951, issue of "Life" magazine contains a picture of Richardson and describes him as one of America's hopes for the future as a rising young attorney from Boston.

The records of the Massachusetts State Board of Probation, Suffolk County Court House, Boston, contain an arrest record for Richardson which reflects eight traffic violations from December 15, 1938, to May 11, 1951. He was convicted on May 11, 1951, on a charge of operating a motor

vehicle so as to endanger the lives and safety of the public and his license was revoked on May 23, 1951 for one year. This was rescinded and his license restored on September 11, 1951.

No further derogatory information was revealed during this investigation.

11/15/56

TELETYPE

SACS, BOSTON,
NEW YORK
ST. LOUIS
BALTIMORE
CHICAGO
WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE (BCS)
(Enclosure)

U R G E N T

ELLIOTT L. RICHARDSON, SPI. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION,
AND WELFARE REQUESTS RUSH INVESTIGATION OF RICHARDSON WHO IS
BEING CONSIDERED FOR APPOINTMENT AS ASSISTANT SECRETARY IN
THAT DEPARTMENT. THIRTYSIX YEARS OLD AND RESIDES SIX ONE
SEVEN BOYLSTON STREET, BROOKLINE, MASS. ATTENDED HARVARD
COLLEGE AND HARVARD LAW SCHOOL, RECEIVING LL.B. SERVED
FROM FORTYTWO TO FORTYFIVE IN U.S. ARMY FROM PRIVATE TO
FIRST LIEUTENANT IN MEDICAL ADMINISTRATIVE CORPS. EMPLOYED
FORTYSEVEN TO FORTYEIGHT AS LAW CLERK TO JUDGE LEARNED HAND,
SECOND CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS; FORTYEIGHT TO FORTYNINE LAW
CLERK TO SUPREME COURT JUSTICE FRANKFURTER; FORTYNINE TO
FIFTYTWO LAW PRACTICE AS ASSOCIATE WITH ROPES, GRAY, BEST,
COOLIDGE AND RUGG, BOSTON; FIFTYTHREE TO FIFTYFOUR ASSISTANT
TO SENATOR SALTONSTALL AND SINCE FIFTYFOUR HAS BEEN ENGAGED
IN PRACTICE OF LAW. WAS PRESIDENT HARVARD LAW REVIEW IN
FORTYSEVEN; IS TRUSTEE, RADCLIFFE COLLEGE; SECRETARY, MASSACHUSETTS
GENERAL HOSPITAL; MEMBER, OVERSEERS COMMITTEE TO VISIT THE
HARVARD LAW SCHOOL; DIRECTOR, EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE, OF SALESBURG

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

MLI: COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

(5) NOTE: Request received 11/15/56, by letter dated 11/14/56,
209 NOV 15 1956 Frederick H. Schmidt, Director of Security,
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

TELETYPE

NOT RECORDED

b7C

**TELETYPE TO BOSTON, NEW YORK, ST. LOUIS,
BALTIMORE, CHICAGO, WFO
ELLIOTT L. RICHARDSON**

11/15/56

**SEMINAR IN AMERICAN STUDIES AND TRUSTEE, BROOKLINE PUBLIC
LIBRARY. CONDUCT THOROUGH INVESTIGATION AS TO CHARACTER,
LOYALTY, REPUTATION, ASSOCIATES AND ABILITY OF RICHARDSON,
ACCOUNTING FOR ENTIRE ADULT LIFE INCLUDING EDUCATION, EMPLOYMENT
AND PERIODS OF UNEMPLOYMENT. ASCERTAIN AND REPORT IDENTITY
AND CURRENT ADDRESS OF IMMEDIATE RELATIVES AND MAKE APPROPRIATE
CHECKS CONCERNING EACH. SET OUT NECESSARY LEADS BY TELETYPE
AND BUREAU MUST BE ADVISED BY TELETYPE OF SAME. ASSIGN
CASE IMMEDIATELY, AFFORD CONTINUOUS ATTENTION AND SUREP TO
REACH BUREAU NO LATER THAN NOVEMBER TWENTYSIX NEXT.**

HOOVER

**FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION**

NOV 15 1956

TELETYPE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

NOV 15 1956

TELETYPE

NY 2 BS, 1 BA 1 FROM WASH DC 15 1-50 PM

URGENT

ELLIOTT L. RICHARDSON, SPI. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION,
AND WELFARE REQUESTS RUSH INVESTIGATION OF RICHARDSON WHO IS
BEING CONSIDERED FOR APPOINTMENT AS ASSISTANT SECRETARY IN
THAT DEPARTMENT. THIRTYSIX YEARS OLD AND RESIDES SIX ONE
SEVEN BOYLSTON STREET, BROOKLINE, MASS. ATTENDED HARVARD
COLLEGE AND HARVARD LAW SCHOOL, RECEIVING LL.B. SERVED FROM
FORTYTWO TO FORTYFIVE IN U.S. ARMY FROM PRIVATE TO FIRST
LIEUTENANT IN MEDICAL ADMINISTRATIVE CORPS. EMPLOYED FORTYSEVEN
TO FORTYEIGHT AS LAW CLERK TO JUDGE LEARNED HAND, SECOND
CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS, FORTYEIGHT TO FORTYNINE LAW CLERK
TO SUPREME COURT JUSTICE FRANKFURTER, FORTYNINE TO FIFTYTWO
LAW PRACTICE AS ASSOCIATE WITH ROPES, GRAY, BEST, COCLIDGE AND
RUGG, BOSTON, FIFTYTHREE TO FIFTYFOUR ASSISTANT TO SENATOR
SALTONSTALL AND SINCE FIFTYFOUR HAS BEEN ENGAGED IN PRACTICE
OF LAW. WAS PRESIDENT HARVARD LAW REVIEW IN FORTYSEVEN,
IS TRUSTEE, RADCLIFFE COLLEGE, SECRETARY, MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL
HOSPITAL, MEMBER, OVERSEERS COMMITTEE TO VISIT THE HARVARD
LAW SCHOOL, DIRECTOR, EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF SALZBURG
END OF PAGE ONE

PAGE TWO

SEMINAR IN AMERICAN STUDIES AND TRUSTEE, BROOKLINE PUBLIC LIBRARY. CONDUCT THOROUGH INVESTIGATION AS TO CHARACTER, LOYALTY, REPUTATION, ASSOCIATES AND ABILITY OF RICHARDSON, ACCOUNTING FOR ENTIRE ADULT LIFE INCLUDING EDUCATION, EMPLOYMENT AND PERIODS OF UNEMPLOYMENT. ASCERTAIN AND REPORT IDENTITY AND CURRENT ADDRESS OF IMMEDIATE RELATIVES AND MAKE APPROPRIATE CHECKS CONCERNING EACH. SET OUR NECESSARY LEADS BY TELETYPE AND BUREAU MUST BE ADVISED BY TELETYPE OF SAME. ASSIGN CASE IMMEDIATELY, AFFORD CONTINUOUS ATTENTION AND SUREP TO REACH BUREAU TWENTYSIX NEXT.

HOOVER

FO WFO CHICAGO AND ST. LOUIS ADVISED

CORR PAGE 1 LAST LINE WORD 2 SHD BE SCHOOL

PAGE 2 LAST LINE WORD ~~XXXXXX~~ SHD BE BUREAU NO LATER THAN NOVEMBER TWENTYSIX NEXT.

END AND ACK IN ORDER

NY WA R 2 NY MET

BS WA R 1 BS BT

BA WA/R 1 BA MLT

TU DISC

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

NOV 15 1956

TELETYPE

FBI WASH DC 11-15-56 1-35 PM EW

SAC, CHICAGO, ST. LOUIS, URGENT

ELLIOTT L. RICHARDSON, SPI. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION,
AND WELFARE REQUESTS RUSH INVESTIGATION OF RICHARDSON WHO IS
BEING CONSIDERED FOR APPOINTMENT AS ASSISTANT SECRETARY IN
THAT DEPARTMENT. THIRTYSIX YEARS OLD AND RESIDES SIX ONE
SEVEN BOYLSTON STREET, BROOKLINE, MASS. ATTENDED HARVARD
COLLEGE AND HARVARD LAW SCHOOL, RECEIVING LL.B. SERVED FROM
FORTYTWO TO FORTYFIVE IN U.S. ARMY FROM PRIVATE TO FIRST
LIEUTENANT IN MEDICAL ADMINISTRATIVE CORPS. EMPLOYED FORTYSEVEN
TO FORTYEIGHT AS LAW CLERK TO JUDGE LEARNED HAND, SECOND
CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS, FORTYEIGHT TO FORTYNINE LAW CLERK
TO SUPREME COURT JUSTICE FRANKFURTER, FORTYNINE TO FIFTYTWO
LAW PRACTICE AS ASSOCIATE WITH ROPES, GRAY, BEST, COOLIDGE AND
RUGG, BOSTON, FIFTYTHREE TO FIFTYFOUR ASISTANT TO SENATOR
SALTONSTALL AND SINCE FIFTYFOUR HAS BEEN ENGAGED IN PRACTICE
OF LAW. WAS PRESIDENT HARVARD LAW REVIEW IN FORTYSEVEN,
IS TRUSTEE, RADCLIFFE COLLEGE, SECRETARY, MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL
HOSPITAL, MEMBER, OVERSEERS COMMITTEE TO VISIT THE HARVARD
LAW SCHOOL, DIRECTOR, EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF SALZBURG
END OF PAGE ONE

64'

PAGE TWO

SEMINAR IN AMERICAN STUDIES AND TRUSTEE, BROOKLINE PUBLIC LIBRARY. CONDUCT THOROUGH INVESTIGATION AS TO CHARACTER, LOYALTY, REPUTATION, ASSOCIATES AND ABILITY OF RICHARESON, ACCOUNTING FOR ENTIRE ADULT LIFE INCLUDING EDUCATION, EMPLOYMENT AND PERIODS OF UNEMPLOYMENT. ASCERTAIN AND REPORT IDENTITY AND CURRENT ADDRESS OF IMMEDIATE RELATIVES AND MAKE APPROPRIATE CHECKS CONCERNING EACH. SET OUR NECESSARY LEADS BY TELETYPE AND BUREAU MUST BE ADVISED BY TELETYPE OF SAME. ASSIGN CASE IMMEDIATELY, AFFORD CONTINUOUS ATTENTION AND SUREP TO REACH BUREAU NO LATER THAN NOVEMBER TWENTYSIX NEXT.

HOOVER

WFO ADVISED

NY/BS BA TO BE ADVISED

END ACK IN RXXX ORD PLS

SL OK FBI SL EDC

CG OK FBI CG EDS

TU DISC PLS

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. A. Rosen *Ram*

FROM : Mr. C. H. Stanley *CKS*

SUBJECT: PERSONNEL SECURITY CASE STUDIES
COLLECTED BY ADAM YARMOLINSKY
UNDER GRANT FROM THE FUND FOR
THE REPUBLIC, INCORPORATED

DATE: October 19,
1955

Tolson _____
Boardman _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Harbo _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
 Sizoo _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

Adam Yarmolinsky's booklet which sets forth case histories of fifty personnel security cases (six cases have been identified at Seat of Government and memoranda submitted) reflects he was assisted by 117 individuals in the gathering and preparation of these case histories. The identities of these 117 individuals are set forth in the booklet. Four of the 117, namely Roger D. Fisher, Herbert L. Packer, Leon Lipson and Paul C. Warnke, all of Washington, D. C., are shown as having served on Yarmolinsky's advisory committee. Summaries have previously been prepared concerning in connection with the Fund for the Republic matter. b7C

The names of the remaining 113 individuals have been searched through the Bureau's records for any main file or summary-type references. References which may relate to sixteen of these individuals were found. Summaries concerning these sixteen are attached. They reflect: (1) Bureau investigations on eight individuals; (2) Bureau investigations on the fathers of three of the individuals; (3) investigation by ONI on one individual and (4) no investigation but summary-type references on four individuals. Positive identification has not been made in all sixteen instances due to lack of sufficient identifying data in Yarmolinsky's booklet. In several of the attached summaries the information reflects the individuals have expressed opposition to Communism. In a number of other summaries, the data reflects some type affiliation with questionable organizations at some time. In no instance, however, is there any allegation of Communist Party membership on the part of any of the sixteen individuals.

RECOMMENDATION:

None. For information.

62-101860

Enclosures

WLV:mal:lm1 *lm1*

12 OCT 21 1955

62-101860-
MR. SEC. SECT.
WLV

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Director, FBI (File) DATE: *November 23, 1956*

FROM : SAC, WFO (File *77-42478*)

SUBJECT. *ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON, SP*
SPECIAL INQUIRY
Re Bu Tel 11/15/56

This case will be delinquent.

Date of Bureau deadline: *11/26/56*

Reason for the delinquency: *Former*


out of town for Thanksgiving weekend CSC check outstanding.

Date the report or necessary communication
will reach the Bureau: *11/30/56*

AEC zone designation, e.g., OR, CH, etc.:
(This applies only to 116 cases.)

J E G.

No administrative action recommended



DEPARTMENT OF
HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF
THE SECRETARY

November 14, 1956

Mr. Tolson
Mr. Nichols
Mr. Boardman
Mr. Belmont
Mr. Mohr
Mr. Parsons
Mr. Rosen
Mr. Tamm
Mr. Trotter
Mr. Nease
Tele. Room
Mr. Holloman
Miss Gandy

Mr. J. Edgar Hoover
Director

Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington 25, D. C.

Attention: Mr. [redacted]
Liaison Representative

Dear Mr. Hoover:

The Secretary has requested that a full field investigation be conducted by the Federal Bureau of Investigation on Elliott L. Richardson of Brookline, Massachusetts, who is being considered for the position of Assistant Secretary in this Department, a Presidential appointment.

I am enclosing background data concerning Mr. Richardson which should be of assistance in conducting the investigation.

Your cooperation in this and other matters is sincerely appreciated.

b7C / FBI

Sincerely yours,

Frederick H. Schmidt

Frederick H. Schmidt
Director of Security

Enclosure

RECORDED - 123

77-56892-21

NOT RECORDED

20 DEC 1956

CLOSURE

ELLIOTT L. RICHARDSON

Address: 617 Boylston Street, Brookline, Massachusetts

Age: 36

Education: Harvard College (Class of '41), Harvard Law School (Class of '44, but L.L.B. received in 1947 after interruption for military service)

Military Service: Three years (1942-1945) in Army, from Private to 1st Lieutenant in Medical Administrative Corps

President (editor-in-chief) of Harvard Law Review, 1947

Law Clerk to Judge Learned Hand (2d Circuit Court of Appeals), 1947-48

Law Clerk to Justice Felix Frankfurter (Supreme Court), 1948-49

Law practice (general) for three years as associate with Ropes, Gray, Best, Coolidge & Rugg, leading Boston law firm, 1949-1952

Assistant to Senator Saltonstall, January 1953 - fall, 1954

Returned to law practice, 1954

Trustee, Radcliffe College

Secretary, Massachusetts General Hospital and Secretary, ex-officio, of its Board of Trustees

Member, Overseers Committee to Visit the Harvard Law School

Director and Member of Executive Committee of Salzburg Seminar in American Studies (an international education project)

Trustee, Brookline (Mass.) Public Library

77 56892-21
6061

4-22 (Rev. 3-22-56)

Federal Bureau of Investigation
Records Section

11/15, 1956

☐ Name Check Unit - Room 6523

☐ Service Unit - Room 6524

☒ Forward to File

☐ Attention

☐ Return to *mm*

Sup

1240

Type of References Requested:

☐ Regular Request (Analytical Search)

☒ All References (Subversive & Nonsubversive)

☐ Subversive References Only

☐ Nonsubversive References Only

☐ Main _____ References Only

b7C

Type of Search Requested

☐ Restricted to Locality of _____

☐ Exact Name Only (On the Nose)

☒ Buildup ☒ Variations

☒ Check for Alphabetical Loyalty Form *NR*

Subject *Elliott L. Richardson*

Birthdate & Place _____

Address _____

Localities _____

R# *598*

Date

11-15

Searcher

Initials *514*

FILE NUMBER

SERIAL

NR
Elliott Lee (Phu)
77-56892 held copy sent
Elliott changed 94-192
62-12733-57
NR 62-43757-3
NR 74-6-123 1271
NR 62-12733-57 CHANGED 70-94-1506
Elliott Lee (Phu)
77-56892-19
Elliott L.
NR 77-56892-19
NR 100-64700-849 2125
SE 62-101860-11 2125
7077-56472-19
NR 77-56892-19
NR 77-56892-19
NR 77-56892-19

4-22b (11-23-55)

SEARCH SLIP

Subj: Edwitt L. Richardson
R# (596) Date 11-15 Searcher Number 814

FILE NUMBER

SERIAL

~~NR~~ E.L.
~~NR~~ 100-374757
~~NR~~ 77-8761-33
~~NR~~ 62-75147-35-6 encl p13
~~NR~~ 61-7539-3628X5 ^{NR} 5258
~~NR~~ 7259 ^{NR} 8254
Lee L.T.L.
~~NR~~ 62-0-29851
~~NR~~ 65-58365-537 p110
~~NR~~ 164-3899-1 ms

Alpha - NR

DEPARTMENT OF
HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE
~~FEDERAL SECURITY AGENCY~~

November 14, 1956

Mr. J. Edgar Hoover
Director

Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington 25, D. C.

Attention: Mr. [REDACTED]
Liaison Representative

Dear Mr. Hoover:

[REDACTED]

The Secretary has requested that a full field investigation be conducted by the Federal Bureau of Investigation on Elliott L. Richardson of Brookline, Massachusetts, who is being considered for the position of Assistant Secretary in this Department, a Presidential appointment.

I am enclosing background data concerning Mr. Richardson which should be of assistance in conducting the investigation.

Your cooperation in this and other matters is sincerely appreciated.

Sincerely yours,

Frederick H. Schmidt
Director of Security

Enclosure

[REDACTED]

6 / 1

b7C

November 16, 1956

77-56892-21

~~PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL~~
BY COURIER SERVICE

RECORDED
Mr. Frederick H. Schmidt
Director of Security
Department of Health, Education,
and Welfare
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Schmidt:


I wish to acknowledge receipt of your letter dated November 14, 1956, in which you requested an investigation of Elliott L. Richardson.

Please be advised that this investigation has been ordered and upon completion a summary of the results of the inquiries made will be forwarded to the Honorable Marion B. Folsom.

Sincerely yours,

John Edgar Hoover
Director

WMM:ush
(4)₄



December 3, 1956

~~PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL~~
BY COURIER SERVICE

77-56892-21

Honorable Marion B. Folsom
Secretary of Health, Education,
and Welfare
Washington 25, D. C.

*Photo rec'd
State/SY/7/WFO
10/17/89*



b7C

My dear Mr. Secretary:

In accordance with the request of Mr. Frederick H. Schmidt in his letter dated November 14, 1956, this Bureau has conducted an investigation concerning Elliot Lee Richardson.

An applicant-type investigation concerning Mr. Richardson was conducted by this Bureau in 1953. There is enclosed a copy of a summary memorandum containing the results of the 1953 investigation. There is also enclosed a summary memorandum containing the results of current inquiries concerning Mr. Richardson and covering the period 1953 to the present.

Please be advised that the investigation concerning Mr. Richardson covered inquiries as to his character, loyalty, general standing and ability, but no inquiries were made concerning the sources of his income.

Sincerely yours,

Enclosures (2)

77-56892

PFT:bhg
(4)

b7C

See Note, Page Two.

100-1000000000
FBI
NOV 13 1956

NOTE:

Request received 11/15/56, from Frederick H. Schmidt, Director of Security, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (HEW). Appointee being considered for appointment as Assistant Secretary, HEW. Appointee investigated in 1953 and summary prepared at request of Senator Saltonstall, Massachusetts, who was employing appointee on Committee on Armed Services, United States Senate. That investigation favorable except for a number of traffic arrests in Massachusetts. Current investigation highly favorable. Copy of summary dated 5/8/53, as well as summary of current inquiries being sent to Secretary of H.W. Copy of current summary only being sent Attorney General inasmuch as copy of 1953 summary was sent Deputy Attorney General Rogers by memorandum dated 5/8/53. Attorney General being sent copy of current summary because position involved is Presidential appointment.

Copy to [unclear]
[unclear]

December 3, 1956

77-56892-21

~~PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL~~
BY COURIER SERVICE

ECORE

Honorable Sherman Adams
The Assistant to the President
The White House
Washington, D. C.

My dear Mr. Adams:

By letter dated November 14, 1956, Mr. Frederick H. Schmidt, Director of Security, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, requested this Bureau to investigate Elliot Lee Richardson who, according to Mr. Schmidt, is being considered for the position of Assistant Secretary of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.

An applicant-type investigation concerning Mr. Richardson was conducted by this Bureau in 1953. There is enclosed a copy of a summary memorandum containing the results of the 1953 investigation. There is also enclosed a summary memorandum containing the results of current inquiries concerning Mr. Richardson and covering the period 1953 to the present.

A copy of the 1953 summary, as well as a copy of the summary containing the results of the current inquiries concerning Mr. Richardson, is being sent to the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare.

Please be advised that the investigation covered inquiries as to Mr. Richardson's character, loyalty, general standing and ability, but no inquiries were made concerning the sources of his income.

Sincerely yours,

Enclosures


PFT

b7C

See note page 2

REC'D - RECORDING ROOM
FBI
DEC 3 2 12 PM '56

Is _____
By _____
Room _____



[Handwritten signatures and initials, including 'J.P.' and '6']

NOTE:

Request received 11/15/56, from Frederick H. Schmidt, Director of Security, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (HEW). Appointee being considered for appointment as Assistant Secretary, HEW. Appointee investigated in 1953 and summary prepared at the request of Senator Saltonstall of Mass., who was employing the appointee on Committee on Armed Services, U. S. Senate. That investigation favorable except for a number of traffic arrests in Mass. Current investigation highly favorable. Copy of summary dated 5/8/53, as well as summary of current inquiries being sent ## to Secretary, HEW. Copy of current summary only being sent Attorney General inasmuch as copy of 1953 summary was sent Deputy Attorney General Rogers by memorandum dated 5/8/53. Attorney General being sent copy of current summary because position involved is a Presidential appointment.

December 3, 1956

~~PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL~~

ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON
SPECIAL INQUIRY

RECORDED

By letter dated November 14, 1956, Mr. Frederick M. Schmidt, Director of Security, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, requested this Bureau to investigate captioned individual who, according to Mr. Schmidt, is being considered for the position of Assistant Secretary of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.

In 1953 this Bureau conducted an applicant-type investigation concerning Mr. Richardson. In this regard, your attention is invited to a memorandum from this Bureau to Mr. William P. Rogers, Deputy Attorney General, captioned as above and dated May 8, 1953, which enclosed a summary containing the results of this Bureau's inquiries concerning Mr. Richardson at that time.

For your additional information, there is enclosed a summary containing the results of this Bureau's current investigation of Mr. Richardson.

A copy of the 1953 summary concerning Mr. Richardson, as well as a copy of the enclosed summary, is being sent to the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare and to the Honorable Sherman Adams.

Please be advised that the investigation of Mr. Richardson covered inquiries as to his character, loyalty, general standing and ability, but no inquiries were made as to the sources of his income.

Enclosure

PFT.
(5)

COMM - FBI

EC

See note, page 2

b7C

Room

NOTE:

Request received 11/15/56, from Frederick H. Schmidt, Director of Security, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (HEW). Appointee being considered for appointment as Assistant Secretary, HEW. Appointee investigated in 1953 and summary prepared at request of Senator Saltonstall of Mass., who was employing appointee on Committee on Armed Services, U.S. Senate. That investigation favorable except for a number of traffic arrests for appointee in Mass. Current investigation highly favorable. Copy of summary dated 5/8/53, as well as summary of current inquiries being sent ~~#####~~ Secretary of HEW. Copy of current summary only being sent Attorney General inasmuch as copy of 1953 summary was sent Deputy Attorney General Rogers by memorandum 5/8/53. Attorney General being sent copy of current summary because position involved is Presidential appointment.

copy furnished

b7C

December 3, 1956

PERSONAL AND ~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON

1 X COPY TO WMA 2/15/77 PJD/

An applicant-type investigation concerning the appointee was conducted by this Bureau in 1953. The information set out hereinafter was obtained from current inquiries and covers the period from 1953 to the present.

Employment

The appointee served as an assistant secretary in Washington, D. C., to United States Senator Leverett Saltonstall of Massachusetts, from February, 1953, to December, 1954.

The appointee continues to maintain office space in the law firm of Ropes, Gray, Best, Coolidge and Rugg, Boston, Massachusetts. It is noted that Mr. [redacted] of this law firm, said the appointee returned to the firm in December, 1954, after terminating his employment with Senator Saltonstall. Mr. [redacted] added further that in July, 1956, the appointee discontinued actual employment with the firm in order to aid Lieutenant Governor Sumner G. Whittier in his campaign for the office of Governor of the State of Massachusetts.

In addition, the appointee is presently occupied from time to time in the following positions:

Member, board of trustees, Radcliffe College, Cambridge, Massachusetts; member, board of trustees, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts; member, board of trustees for the town libraries, Brookline, Massachusetts; member, Overseers Committee to Visit the Law School, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

Residence

The appointee continues to reside with his wife, Anne Hazard Richardson, and their minor child in Brookline, Massachusetts.

PFT:bhg

ENCLOSURE

b7C

WH
2-17-83
RAK:hs

1-8 69
Jm:m:cmj

4 TC

and State Dept
1/13/61
8th

Rowd
Room

77-56892-21

6 HEC
Babe, White House
2-26-57
JPT:aw

Elliot Lee Richardson

Bar Associations

The appointee continues to be a member in good standing in the Massachusetts Bar Association.

He was elected to membership in the American Bar Association in August, 1951, and has remained a member in good standing since that time.

Interviews

The following individuals stated in substance that the appointee is loyal, of good character and reputation and well qualified for a position of trust with the Federal Government:

Mrs. [redacted]
Washington, D. C.

[redacted]
Washington, D. C.

[redacted]
Fiduciary Trust Company,
Boston, Massachusetts.

Miss [redacted]
Boston, Massachusetts.

[redacted]
Boston, Massachusetts.

Mrs. [redacted]
Washington, D. C.

[redacted]
Boston, Massachusetts.

[redacted]
Boston, Massachusetts.

Elliot Lee Richardson

[REDACTED]
Brookline, Massachusetts.

Mrs. [REDACTED]
Washington, D. C.

In addition to the above, United States Senator from Massachusetts, Leverett Saltonstall, verified the appointee's employment as his assistant secretary and said he had the highest admiration for the appointee. He described the appointee as being one of the most intelligent and realistic young men he has ever known. He said the appointee comes from one of the most patriotic and reputable families in the greater Boston, Massachusetts, area. He said the appointee's father and grandfather were very outstanding physicians. Senator Saltonstall continued by stating it was his understanding that the appointee is being considered for the position of Assistant Secretary of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare in Washington, D. C. He said in his opinion the appointee is completely capable of handling such a responsible post, adding that the appointee has an independent mind and a sense of practicability. Senator Saltonstall concluded by stating that he has a great affection for the appointee and desired to give his complete and full endorsement for the appointment to the position for which he is being considered.

b7C
b7D

Immediate Relatives

In addition to his wife and minor child, mentioned hereinbefore, the appointee has [REDACTED] namely, [REDACTED] Massachusetts.

Credit and Arrest Checks

A check of the appropriate credit records concerning the appointee, his wife [REDACTED] revealed that each has a satisfactory credit rating.

A check of the appropriate law enforcement records with reference to the appointee, his wife [REDACTED] revealed no additional pertinent information.

Williot Lee Richardson

Agency Checks

The files of the following governmental agencies were checked and no pertinent identifiable information with regard to the appointee was located:

G-2, Central Records Facility, Ft. Holabird, Baltimore, Maryland; Investigations Division, Civil Service Commission; House Committee on Un-American Activities; and the central files of the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

NOVEMBER 16, 1956

AIRTEL

SAC'S, BOSTON (77-5730)
NEW YORK (77-16079)
ST. LOUIS (77-11230)
BALTIMORE (77-6440)
CHICAGO
WASHINGTON FIELD (BCS)
(77-42478)

ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON, SPI.


ReButel 11/15/56, captioned ELLIOTT L.
RICHARDSON, SPI, ~~see-see~~. Captioned matter identical
with captioned individual in ref tel. See your
indicated files. Review carefully, conduct any
investigation indicated and set out any necessary leads.

HOOVER

77-56892-22

NOT RECORDED

20 D



PFT:mla *W.E.W.*
(14)

b7C

P.F.T.

4090

Tolson _____
Boardman _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Harbo _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
Suzoo _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele Room _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

DECODED COPY

Tolson _____
Nichols _____
Boardman _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
Trotter _____
Nease _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

☒ **Radio**

☐ **Teletype**

FROM ST. LOUIS

11-16-56

NR 161420

TO DIRECTOR

URGENT

ELLIOTT L. RICHARDSON, SPI. REBUTEL NOVEMBER 15. PREVIOUS
INVESTIGATION UNDER CAPTION ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON, SPI, IN
1953 APPEARS IDENTICAL WITH CURRENT APPOINTEE. NO ADDITIONAL
INVESTIGATION NECESSARY SL TO BRING UP TO DATE. RUC.

RECEIVED:

10:18 AM RADIO

10:30 AM CODING UNIT

MJM

77-56892-23

669

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

NOV 19 1956

SS Cady

FBI, ST. LOUIS 11-19-56 10-15 PM GDW

DIRECTOR, FBI AND SAC, BOSTON U R G E N T

ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON, SPI. REBUAIRTEL NOV. SIXTEEN LAST. ARMY
SERVICE RECORD INDICATES APPOINTEE WAS CONVICTED AND SENTENCED
IN DISTRICT COURT, BOSTON, FOR DRIVING UNDER INFLUENCE OF
LIQUOR. FINED SEVENTYFIVE DOLLARS. BS VERIFY. A [REDACTED]

b7C

[REDACTED] ABOVE INFO

REPORTED IN PREVIOUS INVESTIGATION. RUC.

[REDACTED]
END AND ACK I O PLS


WA 1116 PM OK FBI WA ELR

BS OK FBI BS PJB

TU DISC

77-56892-24
NOT RECORDED

20 DEC 3 1956



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

NOV 19 1956

TELETYPE

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Nichols	_____
Mr. Boardman	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Parsons	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Tamm	_____
Mr. Winterrowd	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Mr. Holloman	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

FBI, ST. LOUIS 11-19-56 10-15 PM GDW

DIRECTOR, FBI AND SAC, BOSTON U R G E N T

ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON, SPI. REBUAIRTEL NOV. SIXTEEN LAST. ARMY
SERVICE RECORD INDICATES APPOINTEE WAS CONVICTED AND SENTENCED
IN DISTRICT COURT, BOSTON, FOR DRIVING UNDER INFLUENCE OF
LIQUOR. FINED SEVENTYFIVE DOLLARS. BS VERIFY. A [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] ABOVE INFO

b7C

REPORTED IN PREVIOUS INVESTIGATION. RUC.

[REDACTED]
END AND ACK I O PLS

WA 1116 PM OK FBI WA ELR

BS OK FBI BS PJB

TU DISC

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

NOV 19 1956

TELETYPE

Mr. Tolson	
Mr. Nichols	
Mr. Boardman	
Mr. Belmont	
Mr. Mohr	
Mr. Winterrowd	
Mr. Tele. Room	
Mr. Holloman	
Miss Gandy	

WASHINGTON AND WFO 2 FROM BOSTON 19 3-43 BPK

DIRECTOR AND SAC URGENT

ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON, SPI. BUDED NOVEMBER TWENTYSIX NEXT.

WFO FILE SEVENTYSEVEN DASH FOUR TWO FOUR SEVEN EIGHT.

REBUTEL NOVEMBER FIFTEEN AND BUAIRTEL NOVEMBER SIXTEEN, LAST.

APPOINTEE-S PARENTS DECEASED.

RESPECTIVELY.

WFO CONDUCT NECESSARY AGENCY CHECKS.

b7C
b7D

END

BS R 2 WA MES

77-56892-25
NOT RECORDED
20 (F)

NOV 20 1956

625

F B I

Date *11/19/56*Transmit the following message via AIRTEL

(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
 FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (77-16079)
 SUBJECT: ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON
 SPI

BUR. AIRTEL

Rebutel 11/15/56, and ~~2-15-56~~ 11/16/56.

b7C

NY leads covered in report of SA [redacted]
 12953, NY. No further NY investigation
 indicated. RUC.

KELLY

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Nichols	_____
Mr. Boardman	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Parsons	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Tamm	_____
Mr. Trotter	_____
Mr. Nease	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Mr. Holloman	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

3- Bureau (REGULAR MAIL)
 1- NY (77-16079)

BDL:vd #11
 (5)

68412-210
 NOV 21 1956

Approved: *[Signature]*
 Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

11/20/56

TELETYPE

Mr. Tolson _____
Mr. Nichols _____
Mr. Boardman _____
Mr. Belmont _____
Mr. Ladd _____
Mr. Parsons _____
Mr. Rosen _____
Mr. Tracy _____
Mr. Harbo _____
Mr. Mohr _____
Mr. Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Mr. Holloman _____
Miss Gandy _____ b7C

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI AND SAC BOSTON (77-5750)

DEFERRED

FROM: SAC, WFO (77-42478)

11:30 AM

ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON, SP1. BUDED NOV. TWENTYSIX FIFTYSIX.

RICHARDSON EMPLOYED FOR U.S. SENATOR LEVERETT SALTONSTALL

FEB. FIFTYTHREE TO DEC. FIFTYFOUR AS ASST. CLERK IN WDC.

SALTONSTALL NOW AT ONE FIVE ZERO FIVE FEDERAL BLDG., BOSTON,
MASS.

LAUGHLIN

③ - Bureau
1 - Teletype Unit
1 - WFO
JEG:slm
(5)

77-56892-27

gfh

NOV 20 1956

FBI
NOV 20 1956

1374

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE CHICAGO	OFFICE OF ORIGIN BUREAU	DATE 11/21/56	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 11/16, 20/56
TITLE OF CASE ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON		RE <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 150px; height: 20px;"></div>	TYPED BY jmb
		CHARACTER OF CASE SPECIAL INQUIRY	

CPBIS

Membership American Bar Association verified and satisfactory.

- RUC -

REFERENCES: Bureau teletype to Chicago, dated 11/15/56.

b7C

Bureau airtel to Chicago, dated 11/16/56.

b7D

DETAILS:

Organizations

On November 20, 1956, Miss
American Bar Association, 1155 East 60th Street,

APPROVED <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 40px; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;"> </div>	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW
COPIES MADE (2) - Bureau (AM) 1 - Chicago (77-8979)		<div style="font-size: 2em; font-weight: bold;">77-56892-28</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; text-align: center;"> NOT RECORDED 4 NOV 23 1956 </div>
AGENCY <u>100-4544</u> MAY 8 1973 REC'D <u>7/16/60</u> REP BY <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 150px; height: 40px;"></div>		<div style="font-size: 2em; font-weight: bold;">100-4544</div>

CG 77-8979

Chicago, Illinois, advised her files reveal ELLIOT L. RICHARDSON, 50 Federal Street, Boston 10, Massachusetts, was elected to membership in this association on August 27, 1951. He notified the association of the following changes of address:

5022 V Street, N.W., Washington, D. C. - 11/19/53.

617 Boylston Street, Brookline 16, Massachusetts -
2/28/55.

Miss [redacted] stated her files do not contain any unfavorable information concerning RICHARDSON and she added he would not be known to any of the employees at the Association's National Headquarters.

b7C

b7D

- RUC -

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

BA-6 (5-18-55)

Form No. 1

THIS CASE ORIGINATED AT BUREAU

REPORT MADE AT BALTIMORE	DATE WHEN MADE 11/21/56	PERIOD FOR WHICH MADE 11/16, 21/56	REPORT MADE BY <div></div>	baw
TITLE ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON			CHARACTER OF CASE SPECIAL INQUIRY	
SYNOPSIS OF FACTS Files of G-2, Central Records Facility, Fort Holabird, Baltimore, Maryland, were checked without locating any record of the applicant. - RUC -				
REFERENCE: Bureau teletype to Baltimore dated 11/15/56. b7C Bureau airtel to Baltimore dated 11/16/56. b7D				
DETAILS: The files of G-2, Central Records Facility, Fort Holabird, Baltimore, Maryland, were checked through the <div></div> on November 21, 1956, by SA <div></div> without locating any record of the applicant.				
<div style="text-align: center;"> AGENCY <u>100-HE+W</u> REC. NO. <u>3/18/60</u> PERIOD <u>4/1/60</u> </div> <div style="text-align: center;">- RUC -</div> <div style="text-align: center;"><div></div></div>				
APPROVED AND FORWARDED <div></div>	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE one of Deputy A.G.	DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES 77-56892-29		
COPIES OF THIS REPORT (2) - Bureau 1 - Baltimore (77-6440)		NOT RECORDED 5		

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE BOSTON	OFFICE OF ORIGIN BUREAU	DATE 11/23/56	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 11/16,19,20,21/56
TITLE OF CASE ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON		REPORT MADE BY <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 150px; height: 20px;"></div>	TYPED BY RWK
		CHARACTER OF CASE SPECIAL INQUIRY	

CPBIS.

Employments by Senator SALTONSTALL and Ropes, Gray, Best, Coolidge, and Rugg verified. Appointee highly recommended. No credit record for appointee and no additional arrest record for ELLIOT L. RICHARDSON. Satisfactory

Appointee's affiliations with Harvard, Radcliffe, Massachusetts General Hospital, and Brookline Public Library verified. Information from newspaper libraries set forth, nothing unfavorable.

b7C
b7D

- RUC -

REFERENCE:

- Bureau teletype dated November 15, 1956.
- Bureau airtel dated November 16, 1956.
- Boston teletype dated November 19, 1956.
- St. Louis teletype dated November 19, 1956.
- Washington Field teletype dated November 20, 1956.

APPROVED <i>[Signature]</i>	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW	
COPIES MADE 2 - Bureau 1 - Boston (77-5730) one to Deputy A.S. [unclear] MAY 8 1973		77-56892-30	

AGENCY 100-HEYN
REC'D 11/18/60
REP'T BY [unclear]

5 10 14, 70
RECEIVED
[Signature]

DETAILS:

Employment

On November 19, 1956, the Honorable LEVERETT SALTONSTALL, U. S. Senator from Massachusetts, who was interviewed in his office at the Federal Building, Boston, Massachusetts, advised that ELLIOT L. RICHARDSON had been employed by him as Assistant Secretary for three or four years in Washington D. C. He said that to the best of his recollection RICHARDSON served under him during the years 1952, 1953, 1954, and possibly 1951. Continuing, he said he has the highest admiration for the appointee as being intelligently and realistically one of the most brilliant young men he has ever known. He stated RICHARDSON is from one of the most patriotic and reputable families in the Greater Boston, Massachusetts, area, which family goes back many generations. He added the appointee's father and grandfather were very outstanding physicians and that RICHARDSON married a Providence, Rhode Island, girl, who likewise is from an outstanding family. He stated they have one child. Concerning the other relatives, he said the appointee [REDACTED]

He said the appointee's parents are deceased.

In conclusion Senator SALTONSTALL stated it was his understanding that RICHARDSON is being considered for the position of Assistant Secretary of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare in Washington, D. C. It was his opinion that RICHARDSON is completely capable of handling such a responsible post, adding that he has an independent mind and a sense of practicability. He added he has a great affection for him and desired to give his complete and full endorsement for RICHARDSON's appointment to the position for which he is being considered.

b7C
b7D

On November 19, 1956, Mr. [REDACTED] law firm of Ropes, Gray, Best, Corbridge, and Rugg, 50 Federal Street, Boston, Massachusetts, advised that ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON first became employed by the firm as an attorney on September 12, 1949. He said in January 1953 he was granted a leave of absence in order to work for Senator SALTONSTALL at Washington, D. C. Continuing, he said RICHARDSON returned from this leave of absence on December 6, 1954, and on July 1, 1956, he left the payroll of the firm in order to aid Lieutenant Governor SUMNER G. WHITTIER's campaign for governor of Massachusetts. He said RICHARDSON has continued to maintain office space with the firm.

BS 77-5730

[redacted] said he, himself, has known RICHARDSON, on a professional basis only, for approximately [redacted]. He said during this time he has come to know RICHARDSON very well. He stated the appointee is a brilliant, capable, and responsible young man whose character, loyalty, and associates are of fine order. He recommended the appointee very highly.

On November 19, 1956, Mr. [redacted] above law firm, stated his opinion of the appointee since the time of the last interview of him has further been enhanced. He said RICHARDSON is a very well qualified young man for whom the firm has always had a keen interest and high regard. He said he and his other partners regret to see him go; that it was his opinion that RICHARDSON is completely capable of handling the position for which he is being considered. Continuing, he said RICHARDSON is one of the few young attorneys in the long history of the firm who has been sufficiently brilliant to be allowed a leave of absence. He said he would recommend the appointee most highly as a young man of excellent character, family background, loyalty to American ideals, and association with reputable individuals as well as with respectable organizations.

On November 19, 1956, Mr. [redacted] above law firm, stated that his best comment concerning the appointee is that RICHARDSON is "perfect in all respects." He said the appointee is a very brilliant young attorney who was president of the Harvard Law Review during his, RICHARDSON's, last term at the Harvard Law School. He said his brilliance has been recognized by very prominent gentlemen, among whom have been Judge LEARNED HAND and Judge FELIX FRANKFURTER as well as the Honorable LEVERETT SALTONSTALL. In conclusion, he said the firm regrets to lose RICHARDSON's services, but he would give his complete endorsement for the appointee's appointment to the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.

Credit

On November 19, 1956, personnel of the Credit Bureau of Greater Boston, 11 Beacon Street, Boston, Massachusetts, advised there was no record in their files for ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON. They made available records concerning Dr. EDWARD PIERSON RICHARDSON SR. [redacted]

BS 77-5730

Dr. EDWARD PIERSON RICHARDSON, SR., wife, CLARA LEE SHATTUCK (deceased), 617 Boylston Street, Brookline, Massachusetts, has three sons and possesses a satisfactory credit rating according to a credit report of October 5, 1939. A notation on the record indicated that Dr. RICHARDSON was deceased. The date listed was January 20, 1944.

[redacted]
[redacted] who previously resided at [redacted]
[redacted]

A report dated October 9, 1952 concerning [redacted]

[redacted] age [redacted] showed that he [redacted]

[redacted] and was employed by [redacted]

[redacted] His credit rating was listed as satisfactory.

Personnel of the above agency advised that the files contain no further information concerning the above individuals.

Criminal

On November 20, 1956, personnel of the Massachusetts Board of Probation, Boston, Massachusetts, a central repository for all criminal conviction records in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, advised that there was no record in the files of that agency for [redacted]. They furnished a record for ELLIOT L. RICHARDSON who was born July 20, 1920, at Boston, Massachusetts. This record contained no additional arrest record for RICHARDSON.

They also made available a record for [redacted] who was born [redacted] was recorded as [redacted] his parents as EDWARD and CLARA SHATTUCK RICHARDSON, and his [redacted] He had the following record:

[REDACTED]

On November 21, 1956, Sergeant [REDACTED] Brookline, Massachusetts, Police Department, advised that [REDACTED] had no arrest record with that department, but said there was a record for ELLIOT L. RICHARDSON.

It is to be noted that the record furnished by Sergeant [REDACTED] concerning ELLIOT RICHARDSON contained nothing additional to that which has been previously reported.

Concerning the arrest record of May 11, 1951, [REDACTED] made available the officer's report. This report showed that RICHARDSON had informed the reporting officer that he had been returning from a party at Cambridge, Massachusetts, and had struck a traffic sign and hedge in Brookline, Massachusetts, at 2 o'clock in the morning. Upon interview by the reporting officer, RICHARDSON admitted that he had knocked down a traffic sign and had also struck a hedge. He was charged with operating so as to endanger lives and safety, and on May 11, 1951, he was fined \$200 in Brookline court.

[REDACTED] said that the RICHARDSON family is a well known and a highly regarded one in Brookline, Massachusetts. He said although he is not acquainted with the appointee or members of his family it would be his opinion, based on reputation only, that the appointee was qualified for the position of Assistant Secretary, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.

On November 16, 1956, personnel of the Identification Section, Bureau of Criminal Investigation, Boston, Massachusetts, Police Department, advised that there was no record in the files of that department for ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON [REDACTED] or for [REDACTED]

BS 77-5730

On November 19, 1956, Miss [redacted] Bureau of Records, Cambridge, Massachusetts, Police Department, advised that other than the previously reported traffic violation of May 7, 1947, there was no record for ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON.

Miscellaneous

On November 20, 1956, the personal folder of ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON as maintained at the Office of Alumni Records, Harvard Law School, Cambridge, Massachusetts, was made available by Miss [redacted]. This file showed that RICHARDSON was born July 20, 1920, at Boston, Massachusetts. From September 1946 until January 1947 he was Note Editor of the Harvard Law Review, and from January 1947 until his graduation in June 1947 he held the elective position of president of the Harvard Law Review.

The file shows the following organizational affiliations for RICHARDSON during the period of his attendance at Harvard College and also at the Harvard Law School:

b7C

b7D

1937 - 1941	Harvard Lampoon
1940 - 1941	Art Editor of "IBIS"
1938 - 1939	Social Service Committee of the Phillips Brooks House
1937 - 1941	Settlement House Work
1941	Photographic Editor of the 1941 Class Album
1941	Chairman Class Officers Nominating Committee and member of the Class Day Committee
1938 - 1941	Signet Society
1940 - 1941	Undergraduate President
1946 - 1947	Harvard Law Review
1941 - 1942	Pound Law Club

This file contained only satisfactory information concerning the appointee.

On November 21, 1956, Miss [redacted] president, Radcliffe College, Cambridge, Massachusetts, advised that ELLIOT L. RICHARDSON was elected to the Board of Trustees of the college on June 12, 1956. She said he only recently attended his first meeting

BS 77-5730

and she, therefore, could give no personal comment about him. She stated that Mr. [redacted] was also a member of the Board of Trustees and was an individual who probably would know the appointee.

On November 21, 1956, Miss [redacted] Office of the Secretary to the Corporation and Board of Overseers, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, advised that ELLIOT L. RICHARDSON, an attorney, was appointed as a member of the Overseers Committee to Visit the Law School in June 1956 for the academic year 1956-1957. She said she had no personal knowledge of him.

On November 20, 1956, Miss [redacted] Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, advised that ELLIOT L. RICHARDSON has been secretary of the corporation and member of the Board of Trustees of the hospital since October 1955. She said the Chairman of the Board and Vice President of the Corporation is Mr. [redacted]. She said Mr. [redacted] would be well acquainted with the appointee.

Continuing, Miss [redacted] stated that she was not intimately and personally acquainted with ELLIOT L. RICHARDSON; however, she would have every reason to regard him as being a most brilliant and reputable young man. She said his character, reputation, and associates are highly regarded. She also said she has never heard any comments which would be other than satisfactory concerning the appointee, and that, to the best of her knowledge, he is a loyal young American who is deserving of her highest recommendation.

On November 21, 1956, Mr. [redacted] Fiduciary Trust Company, 10 Post Office Square, Boston, Massachusetts, stated he has known of the appointee [redacted]. He said he had been [redacted]. He said his knowledge of the appointee ripened into a close personal relationship over the past year or so as a result of his and the appointee's mutual association on the Board of Trustees for the Massachusetts General Hospital. He said he is [redacted] and the appointee is and has been since 1955 a member of the Board of Trustees and Secretary to the Corporation. He also said RICHARDSON is a member of the Board of Trustees of Radcliffe College. He said, in his opinion, RICHARDSON is an outstanding example of young American manhood. He said the appointee's and his, RICHARDSON's, family reputation is and always has been of the highest. He recommended the appointee for the position of Assistant Secretary, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, and concluded by saying that RICHARDSON is a loyal American whose character and associates are irreproachable.

BS 77-5730

On November 20, 1956, records of the library of the Boston "Herald-Traveler," Boston, Massachusetts, were reviewed. There was contained in these records a clipping dated November 4, 1955, from the Boston "Herald," a morning newspaper which is published daily at Boston, Massachusetts. This clipping set forth that ELLIOT L. RICHARDSON, Boston attorney, had been appointed secretary of the Corporation, Massachusetts General Hospital. It also pointed out that RICHARDSON, a resident of Brookline, Massachusetts, was a member of the Board of Library Trustees for the Town of Brookline and was also a former Town Meeting member. RICHARDSON was president of the Harvard Law Review in 1947; was law clerk to Judge LEARNED HAND from 1947 to 1948; and was law clerk to Judge FELIX FRANKFURTER from 1948 to 1949.

On November 20, 1956, records of the library of the Boston "American," a daily newspaper published at Boston, Massachusetts, contained several clippings concerning ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON and EDWARD PIERSON RICHARDSON.

Concerning ELLIOT L. RICHARDSON, a clipping from the Boston "Globe," a daily newspaper published at Boston, Massachusetts, dated October 4, 1956, showed that RICHARDSON had been offered the post of Assistant Secretary, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Washington, D. C. Another clipping from the Boston "Globe" dated November 15, 1956, pointed out that RICHARDSON had accepted a "little Cabinet" position in the Eisenhower Administration.

A clipping dated April 20, 1951, from the Boston "Herald" pointed out that EDWARD PIERSON RICHARDSON, a graduate of Milton Academy; Harvard College, Class of 1939; and the Harvard Medical School, Class of 1943, was with the Department of Neurology and Neuro-pathology at the Massachusetts General Hospital. He served as a medical officer in the U. S. Army during World War II and was a member of the Union Boat Club, the Harvard Club, and Harvard Medical Association, all Boston, Massachusetts.

Another clipping concerning EDWARD P. RICHARDSON from the September 23, 1951, issue of the Boston "Herald" showed that he had married the former MARGARET SWANN EUSTIS on September 22, 1951.

The above newspaper libraries contain no information which was other than satisfactory and nothing additional.

On November 21, 1956, Mr. [REDACTED] Town of Brookline, Massachusetts, advised that ELLIOT L. RICHARDSON has been a member of the Board of Trustees for the Town Libraries since June 24, 1952. He said the appointee was formerly a Town Meeting member

b7C

b7D

BS 77-5730

and that he.

[redacted] He said all members of the RICHARDSON family are individuals of high character, reputation, and are representative of the best people in the town. He said the appointee is a very capable, efficient individual who is well liked. He said he would recommend the appointee for any high position of responsibility and trust. He added that in his opinion all members of the RICHARDSON family, including the appointee, are loyal American citizens whose friends, associates, and organizational affiliations are entirely respectable.

b7C

b7D

- RUC -

BS 77-5730

ADMINISTRATIVE

Concerning the lack of neighborhood inquiries, it is to be noted that 617 Boylston Street, Brookline, the appointee's residence, and 619 Boylston Street, Brookline, the address for the appointee's brothers, are large estates located on a main state highway leading from Boston to New York. The residences at these addresses are set back from this highway, and there are no other residences which are close by. The houses in the area of the appointee's and [REDACTED] residences are located in a very exclusive section. For these reasons, no inquiries were conducted in the appointee's neighborhood.

b7C

ADMINISTRATIVE PAGE

NOVEMBER 28, 1956 AIRTEL

SAC, Boston (77-5730)

ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON, SPI.

Immediately check records Massachusetts
State Bar Association for present standing of appointee.
Submit airtel immediately thereafter.

HOOVER

PFT:aev ✓

77-56892



elison _____
chols _____
de dian _____
mont _____
son _____
son _____
sons _____
sen _____
se _____
ase _____
rowd _____
le Room _____
loman _____

77-56892-31

NOT RECORDED

20 DEC 6 1956

b7C

PFT

4740

1/1/11

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE WASHINGTON FIELD	OFFICE OF ORIGIN BUREAU	DATE 11/28/56	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 11/15,16,18-21,23,26/56
TITLE OF CASE ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON		REPORT MADE BY <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div>	TYPED BY mah
		SPECIAL INQUIRY	

SYNOPSIS.

Employed as an Assistant Clerk for Senator SALTONSTALL, 2/53 to 12/54. Former co-workers comment favorably and considered a loyal citizen. No record HCUA or CSC. No additional credit information. No criminal record.

- RUC -

REFERENCE: Bureau teletype dated November 15, 1956.
Report of SA dated April 2, 1956, at Washington, D.C.

DETAILS: AT WASHINGTON, D.C.

EMPLOYMENT

On November 26, 1956, SA checked the files of the Security Office of the Department of Health, Education and Welfare and they contained no additional pertinent information regarding the applicant.

b7C
b7D

APPROVED <i>SLF</i>	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW	
COPIES MADE 2 - Bureau 1 - Washington Field (77-42478) cc to Deputy A.G. MAY 8 1973		<div style="font-size: 2em; font-family: cursive;">77-56892-32</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">NOT RECORDED</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">20 DEC 3 1956</div> <div style="font-size: 3em; font-family: cursive; position: absolute; right: 0; bottom: 0;">66</div>	
AGENCY <u>100-415241</u> REC'D <u>3/18/60</u> REP'D <u>4/1/60</u> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 150px; height: 40px; margin-top: 5px;"></div>			

WFO 77-42478

Mr. [redacted] United States Senate Disbursing Office, on November 19, 1956, advised SA [redacted] that the payroll record in this office reflected ELLIOT RICHARDSON entered on duty on February 17, 1953 as an Assistant Clerk in the office of United States Senator LEVERETT SALTONSTALL of Massachusetts and went off his rolls on December 31, 1954. His home address while employed here was 5022 V Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. RICHARDSON's home address was listed as 617 Boylston Street, Brookline, Massachusetts.

Mrs. [redacted] Office of United States Senator SALTONSTALL on November 19, 1956, advised SA [redacted] that the applicant was [redacted] during the period he served in Senator SALTONSTALL's office. She advised he was extremely capable and efficient and well liked. She indicated the applicant's character, reputation, associates and loyalty were above any question and tops in every respect. She stated she would recommend him most highly. She added that there was no one else presently employed in this office who would be acquainted with the applicant.

b7C

b7C

b7D

Mr. [redacted] of Joyce and Fisher Associates, 425 13th Street, N. W., advised on November 21, 1956, that he first became acquainted with the applicant in about [redacted] and knew him [redacted]. He indicated that he [redacted] Senator SALTONSTALL [redacted].

Mr. [redacted] stated that he would endorse the applicant wholeheartedly on every count of character, reputation, associations, and loyalty. He also added that the applicant was a very capable individual whose attitude to his job and country were unquestioned. Mr. [redacted] stated that he would unqualifyingly endorse ELLIOT RICHARDSON for any position with the United States Government.

Mrs. [redacted] advised on November 21, 1956, that she had [redacted] Senator SALTONSTALL [redacted].

Mrs. [redacted] stated that she worked with the applicant for [redacted] in [redacted] but she was not too well acquainted with him socially. She stated that RICHARDSON was very easy to get along with and was a pleasant even-tempered person. He had a good personality and a sense of humor and was a very capable, responsible, reliable individual. She stated that as far as his character and reputation were concerned they were unquestioned in her mind and his associations were always good. She stated that she believed him to be a thoroughly loyal American citizen and she would recommend him very highly.

RESIDENCE

5022 V Street, N. W.

Mrs. [redacted] advised [redacted] on November 21, 1956 that she has been here almost [redacted] and is not personally acquainted with the applicant.

Mr. [redacted] advised on November 23, 1956, he has resided at this address since 1954 but was not personally acquainted with the applicant.

Mrs. [redacted] advised on November 23, 1956, that she [redacted] Mrs. [redacted] stated that she was acquainted with the RICHARDSONs only as neighbors and not socially. Mrs. [redacted] stated that as far as she knows the applicant's character, reputation, and loyalty were above question.

Mr. [redacted] advised on November 26, 1956, that he had been residing here for the [redacted] Mr. [redacted] indicated that [redacted] and are not closely associated with their neighbors. He stated that he recalled the RICHARDSONs [redacted] and [redacted] at which time they seemed very pleasant. He said that the applicant had a very good reputation in the neighborhood but because he [redacted] he did not feel qualified to comment on the applicant's character or loyalty.

b7C

b7D

CREDIT AND POLICE DEPARTMENT RECORDS

The files of the Credit Bureau, Incorporated, Washington, D.C., were checked by SE [redacted] on November 19, 1956, and contained no additional information regarding the applicant.

The files of the Criminal, Subversive, and Traffic Divisions of the Metropolitan Police Department, Washington, D.C., were checked on November 20, 1956, by SE [redacted] and SE [redacted] and they contained no identifiable record of the applicant, however, it will be noted that at all times an indefinite number of unidentified records are out of file and not available for review.

WFO 7742478ⁿ

The files of the United States Park Police were checked by SE [REDACTED] on November 16, 1956 and they contained no identifiable record of the applicant.

MISCELLANEOUS

The files of the Investigations Division, Civil Service Commission, were checked by SE [REDACTED] on November 26, 1953, and they contained no additional pertinent information regarding the applicant. b7C

The files of the House Committee on Un-American Activities were checked by SE [REDACTED] on November 19, 1956, and they contained no identifiable record of the applicant.

- RUC -

F B I

Date: November 30, 1956

Transmit the following message via AIRTEL

AMSD
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (77-56892)

FROM: SAC, BOSTON (77-5730)

ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON
SPI

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Nichols	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. DeLoach	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. Felt	_____
Mr. Gale	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Sullivan	_____
Mr. Tavel	_____
Mr. Trotter	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Mr. Holloman	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

Rebuairtel dated 11/28/56.

On 11/30/56, Mrs. [REDACTED] Massachusetts Bar Association, Boston, Massachusetts, advised that the appointee, who has been a member in good standing since March 24, 1950, has never had any grievances lodged against him.

On 11/30/56 Mr. [REDACTED] Boston Bar Association, Boston, Massachusetts, advised that the appointee has been a member of the Association since 4/28/50 and is, and has been, a member in good standing. He said, according to his records, no complaints or grievances have ever been placed against RICHARDSON.

b7C
b7D

RUC.



GSW:lc
(4)

3 - Bureau (77-56892)
1 - Boston (77-5730)

77-56892-33
NOT RECORDED
22 DEC 1956

60.65

71 DEC 20 1956

Approved: [Signature] Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

Ross L. Leffler Named Interior Aide for Wildlife

Ross L. Leffler, assistant to the executive president of the United States Steel Corp., was named by President Eisenhower yesterday to the new position of assistant secretary of the Interior for fish and wildlife.

Mr. Leffler is a former president of the International Association of Game Fish and Conservation Commissioners as well as a past president of the Pennsylvania Game Commission. Those named to the West Point board were retired Gen. Anthony C. McAuliffe, now an executive of the American Cyanamide Co., N. Y., and Dr. William V. Houston, president of Rice Institute at Houston, Tex.

Mr. Eisenhower also named Elliot L. Richardson, 36-year-old Boston lawyer, as assistant secretary of Health, Education and Welfare to succeed Roswell B. Perkins, who resigned some time ago.

Both Mr. Leffler and Mr. Richardson were given recess appointments subject to confirmation by the Senate.

At the same time the President named two new members each to the boards of visitors at the U. S. Naval Academy and Military Academy.

William G. Saltonstall, principal

Handwritten: Tolson
Tolson _____
Nichols *idm* _____
Boardman _____
Belmont _____
Mason _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
Nease _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

ORIGINAL COPY FILED IN //

Wash. Post and Times Herald _____
Wash. News _____
Wash. Star *A15* _____
N. Y. Herald Tribune _____
N. Y. Mirror _____
N. Y. Daily News _____
Daily Worker _____
The Worker _____
New Leader _____

Date JAN 2 1957

ELLIOT L. RICHARDSON
SPECIAL INQUIRY

77-56892

Investigation request received 11/15/56 from HEW.
Investigation closed 12/3/56.

JJC:

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen ☒ _____
Tracy _____
Laughlin _____
Mohr _____
Tele. Rm. _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____
T. J. Neely ☒ _____

b7C

DP2 CC

(RICHARDSON)

ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON OF BOSTON WAS SWORN IN TODAY AS ASSISTANT
SECRETARY OF HEALTH, EDUCATION AND WELFARE. HE SUCCEEDS ROSWELL P.
PERKINS WHO RESIGNED.

1/2--N712P

64 JAN 9 1957

WASHINGTON CITY NEWS SERVICE

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : MR. A. H. BELMONT

DATE: February 20, 1957

FROM : MR. R. R. ROACH

SUBJECT: ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON
 ASSISTANT SECRETARY
 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION AND WELFARE

Tolson _____
 Nichols _____
 Boardman _____
 Belmont _____
 Mason _____
 Mohr _____
 Parsons _____
 Rosen _____
 Tamm _____
 Nease _____
 Winterrowd _____
 Tele Room _____
 Holloman _____
 Gandy _____

On 2-19-57 the office of Mr. [REDACTED] at the White House called and directed that a Bureau summary dated 12-3-56 on the captioned individual be released to the Atomic Energy Commission.

Since the summary of 12-3-56 is a supplement to an earlier summary dated 5-8-53, a Photostat of each summary has been prepared and is being delivered by Liaison to the office of Admiral Strauss, Chairman of the Atomic Energy Commission.

ACTION:

For your information.

JMF:bal
 (5)

- 1 - Mr. Belmont
- 1 - Liaison Section
- 1 - Mr. [REDACTED]
- 1 - Mr. [REDACTED]

1 MAR 7 1957

Federal Bureau of Investigation
Records Branch

9/10, 1959

☒ Name Check Unit - Room 6523
☒ Service Unit - Room 6524
☒ Forward to File Review
☐ Attention _____
☐ Return to _____
 Supervisor Room Ext.

Type of References Requested:

☐ Regular Request (Analytical Search)
☒ All References (Subversive & Nonsubversive)
☐ Subversive References Only
☐ Nonsubversive References Only
☐ Main _____ References Only

Type of Search Requested:

☒ Restricted to Locality of Mass DC
☐ Exact Name Only (On the Nose)
☐ Buildup ☐ Variations
☐ Check for Alphabetical Loyalty Form

Subject Richardson, Elliott Lee

Birthdate & Place _____

Address _____ SEP 1 1959

Localities W.D. 77 J 1953R# 102 Date 9/10 Searcher Initials 81

FILE NUMBER SERIAL

U.S. 77-56892 I 1950
U.S. 77-56892-21 Serial 12 3-56
Elliott Lee Mass
DC
Elliott (-10)
NDI 94-8-350-A West Post &
Times Herald 11-22 56
Elliott
U.S. 77-56892 19 Serial 11/10 19-56
NDI 62-69402-A West Post and
Times Herald 2 25-57
1955 62-101860-11 ep 5

SEP 1 1959

7/19/6

9/10/59
SEP 10 1959

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : J. Edgar Hoover, Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation

DATE. September 9, 1959

FROM : Lawrence E. Walsh
Deputy Attorney GeneralSUBJECT ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON,
CANDIDATE, UNITED STATES ATTORNEY FOR THE
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS, [REDACTED]

77-56892

b7C

b7D

In 1953 and 1956 an investigation was made on Elliot Lee Richardson of Boston, Massachusetts, and copies of the special inquiry memoranda were maintained in the files of this Division. Mr. Richardson is now under consideration for appointment as United States Attorney for the District of Massachusetts and it is requested that this former investigation be brought down to date.

Born: July 20, 1920 - Boston, Massachusetts

Present

Employment: Assistant Secretary of the Department of
Health Education and Welfare, Wash., D. C.PLEASE EXPEDITE

REC- 59

SEP 10 1959

September 9, 1959

b7C

Airtel

77-56892-34X

To: SACs, Boston (77-5730)
Washington Field (77-42478)
Chicago (77-8979)

From: Director, FBI (77-56892)

COVES
ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON,
U. S. Attorney,
District of Massachusetts

Department requests expedite investigation of Richardson, who is being considered for captioned position. Refer to 1953 and 1956 Special Inquiry investigations conducted re Richardson and bring up to date.

Richardson resides 5126 Tilden Street, Washington, D. C., and is Assistant Secretary, Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Washington, D. C. Obtain complete details concerning Richardson's activities since last investigation and conduct in accordance with instructions Section 19, Manual of Instructions, with particular reference to qualifications. Conduct current credit and criminal checks concerning immediate relatives. Check bar association and grievance committee records and verify admittance state bar. Afford immediate and continuous attention and surep to reach Bureau 9-21-59. Anticipate Department may request a further shortened deadline.

FMF:lwv
(8)

lson _____
lmont _____
Loach _____
Gure _____
sons _____
en _____
Sullivan _____
Room _____
loman _____
By _____

b7C

67 OCT 16 1959

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : The Director

DATE: 9-16-59

FROM : J. P. Mohr

SUBJECT: The Congressional Record

The Congressional Record for Tuesday, September 15, 1959, has been reviewed and the following items of interest to the FBI have been marked for your attention.

Senate

Page 18162 The Senate confirmed the following executive nominations: Harry A. Blackmun, of Minnesota; M. Oliver Koelsch, of Idaho; and Charles M. Merrill, of Nevada, to be United States circuit judges. Myron D. Crocker, of California; William T. Sweigert, of California; John O. Henderson, of New York; and Girard E. Kalbfleisch, of Ohio, to be United States district judges. Donald G. Brotzman, of Colorado; Harry W. Miltgren, Jr., of Connecticut; Elliot L. Richardson, of Massachusetts; Walter E. Alessandrini, of Pennsylvania; William B. West III, of Texas; and Russell B. Wine, of Texas, to be United States attorneys. Richard A. Chappell, of Georgia, to be a member of the Board of Parole.

Original filed in:

77-56892-
NOT RECORDED
184 SEP 28 1959

FC

In the original of a memorandum captioned and dated as above, the Congressional Record for [redacted] was reviewed and pertinent items were marked for the Director's attention. This form has been prepared in order that portions of a copy of the original memorandum may be clipped, mounted, and placed in appropriate Bureau case or subject matter files.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : The Director

DATE: 7-14-59

FROM : J. P. Mohr

SUBJECT: The Congressional Record

SENATE

Page 17583

The Senate received the following executive nomination:
Elliot L. Richardson, of Massachusetts, to be U. S. attorney.

Adjournment: Until Saturday, September 12, 1959, at 9:00 a. m.

Original filed in:

177-56112-
NOT RECORDED
102 SEP 22 1959

In the original of a memorandum captioned and dated as above, the Congressional Record for [redacted] was reviewed and pertinent items were marked for the Director's attention. This form has been prepared in order that portions of a copy of the original memorandum may be clipped, mounted, and placed in appropriate Bureau case or subject matter files.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Rosen

FROM : G. C. Callan

DATE. September 10, 1959

SUBJECT: **ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON**
DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT
U. S. ATTORNEY
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Tolson _____
 Belmont _____
 DeLoach _____
 McGuire _____
 Mohr _____
 Parsons _____
 Rosen _____
 Tamm _____
 Trotter _____
 W C Sullivan _____
 Tele Room _____
 Holloman _____
 Gandy _____

By memorandum of 9-9-59, Deputy Attorney General Walsh requested an expedite investigation of Richardson, who is being considered for appointment to captioned position. Judge Walsh indicated the Department had previously been furnished with summaries of Special Inquiry investigations conducted concerning Richardson in 1953 and again in 1956, and desired the prior investigations to be brought up to date.

Since 1-2-57, Richardson has been Assistant Secretary of the Department of Health, Education and Welfare. He was previously investigated by this Bureau in 1953 at the request of U. S. Senator Leverett Saltonstall, of Massachusetts, in connection with his employment on a Senate committee on armed services. That investigation was favorable except for a number of traffic arrests in Massachusetts.

Richardson was again investigated in 1956, at which time he was under consideration for appointment as Assistant Secretary, Department of Health, Education and Welfare. In 1956, investigation was highly favorable.

Richardson was born 7-20-20, Boston, Massachusetts, and received an A.B. degree, cum laude, from Harvard College in 1941, and an LL.B. degree, cum laude, from Harvard Law School in 1947. Since 1949, he has been with the law firm, Ropes, Gray, Best, Coolidge and Rugg, Boston, Massachusetts, except for brief period of employment as Assistant Secretary to U. S. Senator Saltonstall during 1953-54.

Investigation was ordered 9-9-59 with deadline 9-21-59, and will be afforded close attention.

ACTION:

For your information.

REC-36 77-56892-35

EX-136

SEP 11 1959

FMF:lwv/dlb
 (5)

9/18/59

AIRTEL

To: SAC, Boston

From: Director, FBI

ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON
DAPLI


Reur radiogram 9/18/59.

Complete investigation immediately and submit reports by
deadline date.

Nomination of Richardson made apparently on basis of
investigations conducted in 1953 and 1956, the result of which
had been furnished the Department, with this background, and in
view of the pending adjournment of Congress, the Department
expedited the nomination.

CPH:mc
(4)

77-56892 136
NOT RECORDED
23 SEP 21 1959



MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

September 29, 1959

AIRTEL

TO: SAC, BOSTON (77-5730)
FROM: DIRECTOR, FBI (77-56892)
ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON, DAPLI.

Re: Rep SA [redacted] dated 9/25/59 at Boston,
which is an RUC report.

b7C

The reference in this report does not make mention of WFO teletype dated 9/18/59 nor WFO airtel dated 9/23/59. Bureau assumes investigation completed your office, and that review of article "Freedom of Expression and Function of Courts," written by the applicant, did not reveal any pertinent information to the investigation. Reports have been furnished to the Department.

CPH:fil
(4)

77-56892-37

RECORDED

SEP 29 1959

MAILED 5

SEP 29 1959

COMM-FBI

MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

September 29, 1959

PERSONAL

Honorable Elliot L. Richardson
United States Attorney
District of Massachusetts
Post Office and Federal Building
Boston, Massachusetts

My dear Mr. Richardson:

I have learned of your appointment as United States Attorney for the District of Massachusetts, and I did want to send you this personal note of congratulations. My associates in the Boston Office are looking forward to working with you, and they join me in wishing you the best of success in your new position. If we can in any way be of assistance to you, please do not hesitate to call on us.

Sincerely yours,

EX 100

REC-37
MET-41

J Edgar Hoover

REC-37

SEP 5 1959

SEP 29 2 29 PM '59
REC'D-READING ROOM
FBI

MAILED 31

SEP 29 1959

COMM-FBI

1 - Boston

Reurad 9-23-59

NOTE: There is no indication in Richardson's main file that we have ever corresponded with him previously. We have previously conducted special inquiry investigation concerning Richardson which were favorable, except for a number of traffic arrests in Massachusetts. The Department requested an investigation of him for his United States Attorney position on 9-10-59, which investigation has been completed with no derogatory information found.

SEP 8 1959

ELC:jrf

(4)

MAIL ROOM ☐

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

9/10/59

PLAIN TEXT

AIR TEL

AIR MAIL

TO: WFO

FROM: SAC, BOSTON (77-5730)

ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON
DAPLI

Re Buairtel 9/9/59.

WFO interview following members of Congress who are presumably
in Washington, D. C. at this time:

Senator LEVERETT SALTONSTALL
Senator JOHN F. KENNEDY

Representative THOMAS P. O'NEILL, JR.

LAUGHLIN

2 - WFO (77-42478)
1 - Bureau
1 - Boston
CPA:jmh
(4)

b7C

F B I

Date September 11, 1959

Transmit the following in PLAIN TEXT
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
 FROM: SAC, BOSTON (77-5730)
 SUBJECT: ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON
 DAPLI

Rebuairtel dated 9/9/59.

Attention is invited to Bureau letter to WFO dated 8/30/55 entitled "Personnel Security Case Studies Collected by Adam Yarmolinsky Under Grant From The Fund For The Republic, Incorporated", with attachment naming those who assisted YARMOLINSKY in the compilation of his case studies. Note that this list included "ELLIOT RICHARDSON, Esq. (Supervisor), Ropes, Gray, Best, Coolidge and Rugg, Boston, Mass."

WFO review and report, if pertinent.

LAUGHLIN

WFO
 but included
 1955-
 3 - Bureau 2 review
 2 - WFO (77-42478)
 1 - Boston (77-5730)
 CFA/bmh
 (6)

Approved [Signature]
 Special Agent in Charge

Sent MPer [Signature]

9/15/59

AIRTEL

TO: SAC, BOSTON (77-5730)

FROM: SAC, WFO (77-42478)

**ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON
COVES.**

Reurairtel dated 9/10/59.

Inquiries Washington, D.C., reflect following
congressmen can be reached at following addresses:



b7C

THOMAS P. O'NEILL, Jr., 1803 Federal Building, Boston,
Mass.

Boston interview.

2-Boston
1-Bureau
1-WFO

FJH:jea
(4)

AIRTEL

77-56892-41
NOT RECORDED
10 SEP 15 1959

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

F B I

Date. 9/16/59

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM: SAC, WFO (77-43478)
ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON
COVES

Re Boston airtel to Bureau dated 9/11/59.

Bulet to Washington Field dated 8/30/55, which was referred to in reBSairtel contains information which WF is not the source of, and WFO is not in a position to document any of this data. In view of this WFO will not report UACB.

3-Bureau
1-Boston (77-5730)
1-WFO

FJE:jea
(5)

AIRTEL

77-56892-42

Approved: [Signature]
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

Mr. Tolson _____
 Mr. Boardman _____
 Mr. Belmont _____
 Mr. Mohr _____
 Mr. Parsons _____
 Mr. Rosen _____
 Mr. Sullivan _____
 Mr. Tavel _____
 Mr. Trotter _____
 Mr. Tele. Room _____
 Mr. Holloman _____
 Miss Gandy _____
 4649
 ()

URGENT 9-17-59 415 PM EDST

WEK

TO DIRECTOR, FBI AND SAC, WFO

FROM SAC, BOSTON

ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON, DAPLI. BUDED SEPTEMBER TWENTY ONE NEXT.

REBUAIRTEL NINTH INSTANT. WFO INTERVIEW AND OBTAIN COMMENTS

OF [REDACTED] BOSTON, MASS., WHO IS

b7C /

CURRENTLY ATTENDING A [REDACTED]

b7D

[REDACTED] EXPEDITE.

END AND ACK PLS

77-56892-43

WA 4-16 PM OK FBI WA RL

TU DISC

0

Witt

9/17/59

PLAIN TEXT

AIRTEL

TO: SAC, WFO (77-42470)
FROM: SAC, BOSTON (77-5730)
RE: ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON
DAPLI
Buded 9/21/59.

ReBuairtel 9/9/59 and Bulet to WFO dated 3/13/53
with enclosure consisting of SF-57.

WFO note that in answer to question #23 of SF-57
Appointee stated he was the author of an article entitled,
"Freedom of Expression and the Function of Courts". Efforts
to obtain copy of this article conducted among logical sources
in Boston area unavailing.

WFO attempt to locate this article through
logical libraries and other sources in your area and, if
pertinent, include in report.

LAUGHLIN

CFA:ras

2 - WFO (77-42478)

① - Bureau

1 - Boston (77-5730)

(4)

77-56892-44

NOT RECORDED

CH

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

Report of
Date:

SA

September 17, 1959

b7C

Office:

CHICAGO

File Number:

CG 77-8979 -
BU 77-56892

Title:

ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON

Character: DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT,
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY,
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Synopsis:

Applicant is member in good standing American Bar Association, (ABA) according to ABA records, Chicago, Illinois.

- RUC -

CG 77-8979

DETAILS:

Affiliations

American Bar Association (ABA)
1155 East 60th Street
Chicago, Illinois

b7C

b7D

On September 14, 1959, Mrs. [REDACTED] ABA, 1155 East 60th Street, Chicago, advised ELLIOT L. RICHARDSON, who maintains his business office at 50 Federal Street, Boston, Massachusetts, and who was born July 20, 1920, has been a member of the ABA in good standing since August 27, 1951.

She concluded ABA records contain no information of an adverse nature concerning RICHARDSON.

DECODED COPY

Mr. Tolson _____
 Mr. Belmont _____
 Mr. DeLoach _____
 Mr. McGuire _____
 Mr. Mohr _____
 Mr. Parsons _____
 Mr. Rosen _____
 Mr. Tamm _____
 Mr. Trotter _____
 Mr. W.C. Sullivan _____
 Tele. Room _____
 Mr. Holloman _____
 Miss Gandy _____

☒ Radio☐ Teletype

URGENT

9-18-59

TO DIRECTOR AND SAC, WASHINGTON FIELD

FROM SAC, BOSTON 181445

ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON, DAPLI. BUFILE 77-56892. RE WFO AIRTEL BOSTON SEPTEMBER 15 LAST. THE QUOTE BOSTON HERALD UNQUOTE, DAILY BOSTON NEWSPAPER, TODAY'S ISSUE, CARRIES A STORY DATED WASHINGTON TO THE EFFECT THAT RICHARDSON WAS CONFIRMED LAST MONDAY PRIOR TO ADJOURNMENT OF CONGRESS. BUREAU REQUESTED TO VERIFY CONFIRMATION AND, IF CONFIRMATION VERIFIED, ADVISE WHETHER INVESTIGATION SHOULD BE COMPLETED. NEWS STORY ALSO REPORTS THAT RICHARDSON WILL FINISH HIS DUTIES AS ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF HEW AND ASSUME DUTIES AS USA ABOUT NOVEMBER 1 NEXT.

RECEIVED: 11:07 AM RADIO

11:29 AM CODING UNIT BLH

2 CC WASHINGTON FIELD

77-56892-46

F B I

Date 9/18/59

Mr. Tolson _____
 Mr. Belmont _____
 Mr. DeLoach _____
 Mr. McGuire _____
 Mr. Mohr _____
 Mr. Parsons _____
 Mr. Rosen _____
 Mr. Tamm _____
 Mr. Trotter _____
 Mr. W.C. Sullivan _____
 Tele. Room _____
 Mr. Holloman _____
 Miss Gandy _____

PLAIN TEXT

Transmit the following in _____
 (Type in plain text or code)Via TELETYPE DEFERRED
 (Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI AND SAC, BOSTON

FROM: SAC, WFO

ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON, COVES. REURTEL SEPTEMBER

SEVENTEEN LAST. [REDACTED] AT [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] SEPTEMBER SEVENTEEN AND [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] HAS RETURNED TO BOSTON. BOSTON HANDLE.

b7C

3-Bureau 1 copy
 1-Teletype Unit
 1-WFO (77-42478)

FJH:oso
 (5)

77-56892-417

Approved: [Signature] Sent _____ M Per _____

Special Agent in Charge

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Reporting Office BOSTON	Office of Origin BUREAU	Date 9/18/59	Investigative Period 9/10 - 18/59
TITLE OF CASE ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON		Report made by SA [redacted]	Typed By: mtm
		CHARACTER OF CASE DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS	

REMARKS

REFERENCES

Bureau airtel to Boston, dated September 9, 1959.
Boston airtels to Washington Field, dated September 10 and 17, 1959. Boston airtel to Bureau, dated September 11, 1959. Washington Field airtel to Boston, dated September 15, 1959. Boston radiogram to Bureau, dated September 18, 1959.

b7C

-P-

LEAD

THE BOSTON DIVISION:

At Boston, Massachusetts

Will conduct additional interviews suggested in Washington Field airtel, dated September 15, 1959, upon receipt of further instructions from Bureau in accordance with Boston radiogram of September 18, 1959.

AGENCY **100-45441**
REC'D **3/18/60**
REP. BY [redacted]
11/16/61. JSA

Approved <i>[Signature]</i>	Special Agent in Charge	Do not write in spaces below	
Copies made ③ - Bureau (77-56892) 1 - Boston (77-5730) MAY 8 1973		77-56892-48	
		NOT RECORDED	
		23 SEP 21 1959	

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

Report of
Date:SA [REDACTED]
September 10, 1959

Office: BOSTON

File Number. BS 77-5730; BU 77-56892

Title ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON

Character: DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Synopsis:

Federal and Commonwealth of Massachusetts Judges, officials of Massachusetts and Boston Bar Associations, other associates and references regard RICHARDSON highly. Credit information concerning RICHARDSON and relatives satisfactory. No additional arrest information concerning RICHARDSON [REDACTED]

Information maintained by newspaper reference libraries reviewed and utilized. No grievances have been placed against RICHARDSON with Massachusetts and Boston Bar Associations.

-P-

BS 77-5730

DETAILS:

The interview of [redacted] was conducted by SA [redacted]
[redacted] Harvard University was conducted
by SA [redacted] interviews with [redacted]
United States District Court, Boston, Massachusetts, were
conducted by SA [redacted] the 1 [redacted]
[redacted] was conducted by SA [redacted]
inquiries at the Office of the Registrar of Motor Vehicles
and credit and arrest checks at Boston were conducted at
the request of Investigative Clerk [redacted]

Associates

On September 14, 1959, [redacted] United
States District Court, Boston, Massachusetts, advised that
he has known ELLIOT RICHARDSON for about [redacted]
Originally appointee was a Secretary to U. S. Senator
LEVERETT SALTONSTALL when he first met him. Subsequently,
as United States Attorney, Boston, Massachusetts, he had a
series of conferences in a legal capacity with appointee
on an involved criminal case wherein appointee represented
a defendant. At this time appointee was a member of the law
firm of Ropes, Gray, Best, Coolidge and Rugg. He stated that
appointee impressed him favorably as to legal ability and
described him as a person of excellent character, reputation,
loyalty and associates. [redacted] stated that in his
opinion appointee would honorably and with credit represent
the United States Government as United States Attorney.

On September 14, 1959, United States District Court [redacted]
[redacted] Boston, Massachusetts, stated that while
he did not know ELLIOT RICHARDSON personally he knew him
by reputation. He stated that in view of appointee's
experience as Law Clerk for Justice LEARNED HAND and United
States Supreme Court Justice FELIX FRANKFURTER he believed
that appointee was eminently qualified to hold the position
of United States Attorney and stated that he approved
appointee's nomination for this position.

b7C
b7D

BS 77-5730

On September 15, 1959, United States District Court [redacted]

or [redacted] United States Circuit Court of Appeals, First Circuit, Boston, Massachusetts, advised that he had met appointee socially on a few occasions. However, he stated that he did not know him otherwise but that appointee enjoyed an excellent reputation and was regarded as a person of good character.

On September 15, 1959, [redacted] and [redacted] [redacted] United States Circuit Court of Appeals, First Circuit, Boston, Massachusetts, advised that they did not know ELLIOT RICHARDSON and stated they did not feel qualified to comment regarding him.

On September 17, 1959, [redacted] was interviewed at [redacted] telephonically at the request of Mr. [redacted]. He said he has known the appointee for several years on a personal and professional basis as a result of their mutual association with the Federal Government in Washington, D. C. He said he has had the opportunity to evaluate the appointee's abilities as a result of RICHARDSON's appearances before Congressional Committees in RICHARDSON's capacity as a representative of the Department of Health, Education and Welfare. He said he would recommend the appointee unqualifiedly for the position of United States Attorney concerning his ability, character, associates, reputation and loyalty.

On September 15, 1959, [redacted] Supreme Judicial Court of Massachusetts, Boston, Massachusetts, advised that he first met RICHARDSON about [redacted] when he, the appointee [redacted]. Over the years, he said, he has maintained his knowledge of the appointee on a professional basis mainly and has always known him to be a most able and capable attorney. He said he is a loyal American citizen whose character, associates and background are unblemished. He said he would strongly endorse the appointment of RICHARDSON to the position of United States Attorney.

On September 15, 1959, [redacted] Superior Court of Massachusetts, Boston, Massachusetts, advised that he has known RICHARDSON for [redacted].

He said he

BS 77-5730

and the appointee have been very active in the affairs of Harvard University and he has had much contact with him on that basis. Some years ago he and the appointee were

[redacted] He said the appointee is a very public spirited citizen who is tremendously interested in the public good. In his opinion the appointee is a very fine individual whose personal traits and background are completely above reproach. He said he regards him as being a thoroughly loyal American and thought that the appointee's appointment to the position of United States Attorney would be a good one. He recommended the appointee heartily.

References

On September 1-, 1959, [redacted] Massachusetts, Boston, Massachusetts, who was interviewed at the [redacted] for [redacted] advised that the appointee has been a friend of his since [redacted] He said he has a very high regard for the appointee's professional attainments as an attorney and strongly recommended RICHARDSON's appointment as United States Attorney. He said the appointee is from a most honorable family and he and all members of his family are loyal Americans whose character and associations would never reflect unfavorably upon them.

b7C

b7D

[redacted]

The Joint Anti-Fascist Committee has been designated by the Attorney General of the United States pursuant to Executive Order 10450.

BS 77-5730

On September 14, 1959, Mr. [REDACTED] Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, advised he was the appointee was an undergraduate at Harvard College. He said that all of his impressions concerning him were most favorable. He said the appointee was an intelligent, well adjusted person who is completely honest and trustworthy. He said he believes the appointee is a thoroughly loyal citizen of excellent moral character, reputation and associations. He said he would not hesitate to recommend the appointee for a legal position requiring the highest degree of trust, discretion, judgment and civic responsibility.

On September 15, 1959, Mr. [REDACTED] New England Trust Company, 135 Devonshire Street, Boston, Massachusetts, advised that he has continued to hold the appointee in very high regard since the date of his last interview in 1953. He has maintained his knowledge of the appointee on a close basis since then, he said, and has always found him to be an exceptionally capable and intelligent young man. He said until the time of the appointee's appointment to the Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Washington, D.C. he, RICHARDSON, had been a Director of the bank. After securing this appointment he said RICHARDSON resigned and has severed all connections with the bank. In his opinion, he said, the appointee is a worthy successor to the Honorable ANTHONY JULIAN, who was recently appointed to the United States District Court as a Judge. He strongly recommended the appointee for the position of United States Attorney.

b7C

b7D

He added that the appointee's parents are deceased [REDACTED]

He said the appointee [REDACTED] to the best of his knowledge and he recommended each and every member of the RICHARDSON family as loyal Americans whose character and associates are most reputable.

Credit

On September 15, 1959, personnel at the Credit Bureau of Greater Boston, Inc., 11 Beacon Street, Boston, Massachusetts, advised that ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON, wife ANNE HAZARD; [REDACTED]

BS 77-5730

[redacted] whose addresses are recorded as [redacted] have satisfactory credit ratings with that agency.

Arrest

On September 15, 1959, personnel of the Identification Section, Bureau of Criminal Investigation, Boston, Massachusetts Police Department, advised that the following had no arrest record in their files:

ANNE FRANCIS RICHARDSON, nee HAZARD

b7C

b7D

[redacted]
ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON

On September 15, 1959, personnel at the Office of the Massachusetts Commissioner of Probation, Suffolk County Courthouse, Boston, Massachusetts, a central repository for criminal conviction records in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, advised that ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON had no additional record and concerning [redacted] at

[redacted] residence, [redacted] he had no further record except for a [redacted] in the [redacted]

[redacted] They advised there was no record in the names of ANNE FRANCIS RICHARDSON, nee HAZARD [redacted]

On November 16, 1959, Mrs. [redacted] Massachusetts Police Department, advised that ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON had no additional record with that department. She also said that there was no record in the names of ANNE FRANCIS RICHARDSON, nee HAZARD [redacted]

Miscellaneous

On September 16, 1959 records of the reference libraries of the Boston American - Daily Record - Sunday Advertiser, the Boston Daily Globe and the Boston Herald Traveler, all newspaper

BS 77-5730

firms which publish on a daily basis at Boston, Massachusetts, were reviewed. Information obtained from these sources has been utilized during the course of this investigation.

Re: Radcliffe College

On September 17, 1959, Miss [redacted] Radcliffe, Cambridge, Massachusetts, advised that ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON has been a member of the Board of Directors of the College since 1956 and is still serving in that capacity.

Re: Harvard University

On September 16, 1959, Miss [redacted] Corporation Office, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, advised the records showed the appointee has been a member of the Visiting Committee to the Law School from 1956 to the present time and a member of the Visiting Committee to the Department of Government from 1958 to the present time.

Re: Massachusetts General Hospital
Boston, Massachusetts

On September 15, 1959, Mr. [redacted] Fiduciary Trust Company, 10 Post Office Square, Boston, Massachusetts, who is also Chairman of the Board of Directors of the Massachusetts General Hospital, advised he has known appointee since [redacted]. He said he is a very able and bright young man who is from a most honorable family. The character, loyalty and associates of the appointee and every member of his family are above any question and he unqualifiedly endorsed the appointee's nomination for the position of United States Attorney. He added that the appointee had been Secretary to the Board of Directors and a member of the Corporation of the Massachusetts General Hospital, but he resigned from these positions when he, the appointee, accepted a position with the Department of Health, Education and Welfare.

b7C

b7D

Re: Town of Brookline, Massachusetts

On September 17, 1959, Mr. [redacted] Town Hall, Brookline, Massachusetts, advised that the appointee severed his connection with the Town of Brookline in 1956, prior to his, RICHARDSON's, acceptance of a position

BS 77-5730

with the Federal Government in Washington, D.C. He said he has had no reason to revise his previously expressed favorable comments concerning RICHARDSON.

Re: Boston Bar Association

On September 15, 1959, Mr. [redacted] [redacted] Boston Bar Association, Boston, Massachusetts, advised that ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON has never had any complaints filed against him with the Committee on Grievances of the association. He also said he is acquainted with RICHARDSON by reputation only and from all that he has heard of RICHARDSON he said he would have no reason to withhold a recommendation for his appointment to the position of United States Attorney.

On September 15, 1959, Mr. [redacted] [redacted] 53 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts [redacted] of the Boston Bar Association, said he is not acquainted with the appointee and therefore is in no position to furnish any evaluation of RICHARDSON's suitability for the position of United States Attorney.

b7C

b7D

Re: Massachusetts Bar Association

On September 15, 1959, Mrs. [redacted] Massachusetts Bar Association, Boston, Massachusetts, advised that ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON is still a member of that association and there was no information recorded which indicates that complaints have ever been filed against him with the Committee on Grievances. She said he is in good standing.

On September 15, 1959, Mr. [redacted] [redacted] Massachusetts Bar Association, advised that he has known the appointee for many years and he has always heard favorable comments expressed concerning RICHARDSON. He said RICHARDSON is from a very well respected family which has been known for many, many years as being very prominent in the medical profession. The appointee, he said, has a reputation of being an accomplished and capable attorney and he said he would have no reason for withholding an endorsement for RICHARDSON's appointment to the position of United States Attorney.

BS 77-5730

On September 15, 1959, Mr. [redacted]
[redacted] 1359 Hancock Street, Quincy, Massachusetts.
[redacted] Massachusetts Bar Association [redacted]
[redacted] said he has known the appointee for about [redacted]
and has also known RICHARDSON to be a man of excellent
reputation. He also said his integrity is good and he
is an honest and faithful public official. He said he is
not intimately acquainted with his legal ability because
he has not had the opportunity of observing him in the
practice of the law. However, in this regard, he said
RICHARDSON is well regarded also and he would have no
reason, either from a character or legal standpoint, to
withhold his endorsement of RICHARDSON's appointment to
the position of United States Attorney. He added that
RICHARDSON is a loyal American whose associates and
character are excellent.

b7C
b7D

Re: Office of the Registrar of Motor Vehicles
Commonwealth of Massachusetts

On September 17, 1959, Miss [redacted] advised
that ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON had his operator's license
suspended on May 2, 1939 because of a charge of driving
to endanger. His operator's license was returned on
May 22, 1940. His license was again suspended on May 23,
1951 for the same reason, namely, driving to endanger. This
suspension was rescinded and his operator's license was
returned to him.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Director, FBI (File 77-56892) DATE: September 18, 1959

JH FROM : SAC, WFO (File 77-42478)

SUBJECT: ELLIOTT LEE RICHARDSON

b7C

This case will be delinquent.

Date of Bureau deadline: 9/21/59

Reason for the delinquency: Report is in dictation and being expedited.

Date the report or necessary communication
will reach the Bureau: on or before 9/23/59.

AEC zone designation, e.g., OR, CH, etc.:
(This applies only to 116 cases.)

77-56892-49

OH no administrative action recommended.

FJH:

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Reporting Office WASHINGTON FIELD	Office of Origin BUREAU	Date 9/23/59	Investigative Period 9/10-17/59
TITLE OF CASE ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON		Report Made by [Redacted]	Typed By bls
		CHARACTER OF CASE DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS	

REFERENCE

Bureau airtels 9/9/59 and Boston airtels 9/10 and 11/59.

- P -

LEAD

WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE

AT WASHINGTON, D. C.

Will report results of checks at [Redacted]

AGENCY [Redacted]

REQ. REC'D [Redacted]

REP'T FORW. [Redacted]

BY [Redacted]

Approved <i>JH9/20</i>	Special Agent In Charge	Do not write in spaces below	
③ - Bureau (77-56892) 1 - Washington Field (77-42478)		77-56892-50	
		[Redacted]	

- A* -
COVER PAGE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

Report of:
Date:

97 25 59

Office: Washington, D. C.

File Number: Washington Field 77-42478

Title: ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON

Character: DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Synopsis:

No additional pertinent information Department of Justice. Services with Health, Education and Welfare verified and associates recommend. Two United States Senators and one Congressman, all from Massachusetts, recommend. Residences verified and satisfactory. No additional pertinent information Credit Bureau, Incorporated., traffic record, MPD and United States Park Police. No additional pertinent information CSC and no record HCUA or Army. No record lawyer's register, Committee on Admissions and Grievances or Bar Association. b7C

- P -

DETAILS: AT WASHINGTON, D. C.DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

On September 14, 1959, SA reviewed the official personnel folder concerning the applicant at the Administrative Office, Deputy Attorney General, Department of Justice, which reflected his birth date as July 20, 1920, at Boston, Massachusetts, and disclosed that he is presently under consideration for appointment to the position of United States Attorney for the District of Massachusetts. No additional pertinent information was reflected in the file.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION AND WELFARE

On September 11, 1959, Miss Central Services Section, Personnel Office,

WFO 77-42478

Office of the Secretary, Health, Education and Welfare (HEW), made available to SA [redacted] the official personnel folder concerning the applicant which reflected the following information

11/18/56

Received excepted appointment-intermittent not to exceed December 31, 1956-as consultant, "when actually employed," Office of the Secretary, HEW, Washington, D. C.

1/1/57

Received excepted appointment-intermittent not to exceed June 30, 1957-as consultant, "when actually employed," Office of the Secretary of HEW.

b7C

12/2/57

Presidential appointment as Assistant Secretary of HEW.

2/7/57

Received Presidential and Senate appointment as above

The applicant is presently employed as Assistant Secretary, HEW.

On September 11, 1959, [redacted] Office of Internal Security, HEW, made available to SA [redacted] the security file maintained on the applicant which reflected the following information:

1/8/57

Cleared for access to classified material on information up to and including "top secret".

3/7/57

Granted "Q" clearance for Atomic Energy Commission.

No additional pertinent information was noted.

On September 11, 1959, Mr. [redacted] HEW, advised SA [redacted] he has known the applicant since [redacted] when he [redacted] was [redacted] and the applicant was an Assistant to Senator LEVERETT SALTICSTALL of Massachusetts. He continued that he has worked [redacted] since [redacted] and that the applicant [redacted] In addition to the professional association, [redacted] advised that he has also known the applicant socially. He continued that he associates with persons of similar character traits and that he has never had any reason to question the applicant's loyalty to the United States. Mr. [redacted] recommended the applicant for a legal position with the government.

On September 14, 1959, [redacted] HEW, advised SA [redacted] that he has been associated with the applicant since [redacted] and that he has come to know him very well. He regards the applicant as an outstanding individual and believes he evidences great legal talent and has an excellent reputation among his associates. He continued that he has never encountered anything reflecting unfavorable upon the applicant's character, associates, reputation and loyalty and he recommended him for a legal position with the government.

b7C
b7D

Mr. [redacted] HEW, advised SA [redacted] in September 14, 1959 that he has [redacted] to the [redacted] in the [redacted] At that time, [redacted] was [redacted] to [redacted] of [redacted] and the applicant was engaged in legislative work for HEW. [redacted] entered on duty with HEW [redacted] and in addition to his professional contacts has known the applicant socially. He stated that he believes the applicant has a very fine legal mind and has particular ability to grasp problems immediately. He continued that the applicant is widely respected and has a deep, selfless attitude toward public service. He concluded by advising that he has never

WFO 77-42478

encountered anything which would reflect unfavorably upon the applicant's character, associates, reputation or loyalty and he recommended him for a legal position with the government.

(On September 14, 1959, [redacted] HEW, advised SA [redacted] that she first met the applicant when he was [redacted] in [redacted]. She continued that she has known [redacted] in [redacted] and that she has the highest regard for his ability and integrity. She continued that the applicant has an excellent reputation with regard to his moral habits and that his character, associates, reputation and loyalty are above question. She recommended the applicant for a legal position with the government.

b7C
b7D

[redacted] Legislative Division, HEW, advised SA [redacted] on September 15, 1959 that he has been acquainted with the applicant since [redacted] Mr. [redacted] furnished substantially the same information as the others and also recommended the applicant for a legal position with the government.

On the same date, [redacted] Program Analysis, HEW, advised SA [redacted] that he has known the applicant for approximately [redacted] and furnished the same information as the others and also recommended the applicant for a legal position with the government.

MEMBERS OF CONGRESS

United States Senator LEVERETT GALTONSTALL, Massachusetts, advised SA [redacted] on September 10, 1959, that he has known the applicant for the past eight years. Senator GALTONSTALL advised that the applicant's character, associates and reputation are "tops" in all respects and regards the applicant as a loyal citizen of the United States. He concluded by advising that he believes the applicant is highly qualified for the position of United States Attorney and that he had originally recommended the applicant for this position.

WFO 77-42478

On September 10, 1959, United States Senator JOHN F. KENNEDY, Massachusetts, advised SA [redacted] that he has known the applicant for some twelve to fifteen years and has known him during his college days. Senator KENNEDY furnished substantially the same information as Senator SALTONSTALL and further advised that he would not hesitate to recommend the applicant for a legal position and that he believes him to be very competent in the field of law.

On September 16, 1959, United States Representative [redacted] of [redacted] advised SA [redacted] that he has known the applicant and his family since childhood. He stated that the applicant is a very substantial family man and that his character, associates, reputation and loyalty are above question. Representative [redacted] likewise advised that the applicant's legal ability and qualifications for the position for which he is being considered are very high and he had no hesitation in so recommending him.

RESIDENCES

5126 Tilden Street, N.W.

On September 11, 1959, Mr. [redacted] Belgium Embassy, 5127 Tilden Street, N.W., advised SA [redacted] that the applicant has resided at 5126 Tilden Street, N.W. for approximately two or three years. He continued that he has met the applicant socially on a number of occasions and regards him as having a good reputation who is moderate in his personal habits and has respectable associates. He continued that he knew of no reason not to recommend the applicant for a position of trust and confidence to the government.

On the same date, the following individuals furnished substantially the same information to SA [redacted] and also recommended the applicant for a position of trust and confidence with the government: Mrs. [redacted]

[redacted] and Mrs. [redacted]
[redacted]

WFO 77-42478

On September 14, 1959, Mrs. [redacted] advised SA [redacted] that she [redacted]

5022 V Street, N.W.

On September 15, 1959, Mrs. [redacted] advised SA [redacted] that she had a slight acquaintance with the applicant when he resided at 5022 V Street, but she did not know the exact period the applicant had lived there. She further advised that all of the other present residents of the area are new subsequent to the period the applicant resided there.

Miss [redacted] advised SA [redacted] on September 15, 1959, that she had formerly resided at [redacted] from [redacted] and that the applicant [redacted] She stated that she had not known the applicant or his family close, but that she had never encountered anything which would reflect unfavorably upon his character, associates, reputation or loyalty and knew of no reason not to recommend him for a position of trust and confidence with the government.

On September 14, 1959, the following individuals advised SA [redacted] that they did not know the applicant: Mrs. [redacted]

and Mrs. [redacted] who formerly resided at [redacted]

CREDIT AND POLICE AGENCY CHECKS

The records of the Credit Bureau, Incorporated, as reviewed on September 11, 1959, by IC [redacted] did not reflect any additional pertinent information concerning the applicant or his wife, ANNE.

The records of the Metropolitan Police Department, Washington, D. C., as reviewed on September 14, 1959, by SE [redacted] reflected that ELIOT L. RICHARDSON, born July 20, 1920, at Boston, Massachusetts, was cited

WFO 77-42478

on September 6, 1949
on September 6, 1949, for a fast auto and elected to forfeit \$10. On April 11, 1949, his privileges were suspended for thirty days; on October 22, 1953, he was cited for passing stop sign and elected to forfeit \$5. No record was located for the applicant's wife; at all times an indefinite number of unidentified records are out of file and not available for review.

The records of the United States Park Police as caused to be searched on September 11, 1959, by IC [redacted] reflect that ELLIOT L. RICHARDSON, 5126 Tilden Street, N.W. was cited for traveling 45 miles per hour in a 30 mile zone on Independence Avenue on March 25, 1958, and that he elected to forfeit \$15.

MISCELLANEOUS

No additional pertinent information was located in the files of the Investigations Division, Civil Service Commission, as caused to be searched on September 11, 1959, concerning the applicant, by IC [redacted]

On September 14, 1959, IC [redacted] reviewed the records of the House Committee on Un-American Activities and no information was located concerning the applicant.

Mrs. [redacted] Army Personnel Records Branch, advised IC [redacted] on September 11, 1959, that she could locate no record concerning the applicant.

On September 14, 1959, Mrs. [redacted] Lawyers Register, United States District Court for the District of Columbia, advised she could locate no record for the applicant.

On the same date, the records of the Committee on Admissions and Grievances, United States District Court for the District of Columbia, were caused to be searched and no record was located for the applicant.

Miss [redacted] Bar Association of the District of Columbia, advised she could locate no record for the applicant.

9/23/59

AIRTEL

TO: SAC, BOSTON (77-5730)

FROM: SAC, WFO (77-42478)

ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON

b7C

Reurairtel 9/17/59.

Sources at Health, Education and Welfare have advised that the article "Freedom of Expression and Function of Courts," written by the applicant, was published during 1952 in the "Harvard Law Review."

Boston locate and review.

2 - Boston
1 - Bureau (77-56892)
1 - WFO

FJH:blb
(4)

AIRTEL

77-56892-51

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Reporting Office BOSTON	Office of Origin BUREAU	Date 9/25/59	Investigative Period 9/21-25/59
TITLE OF CASE ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON		Report [Redacted]	Typed By po'b
		CHARACTER OF CASE DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS	

REFERENCE: Report of SA [Redacted] 9/18/59, at Boston.
 Boston teletype to Bureau, 9/17/59.
 Washington Field airtel to Bureau, 9/18/59.
 Bureau airtel to Boston, 9/18/59.

b7C
b7D

- RUC -


1cc - H.F.H.
3/18/60
 AGENT
 REQ.
 REP.
 BY [Redacted]

1-cc St. L. Post
via 1/10/69 hok
 [Redacted]

<div style="font-size: 2em; font-family: cursive; margin-bottom: 10px;">L</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> 3-Bureau (77-56892) 1-Boston (77-5730) </div>	<div style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold;">Special Agent In Charge</div> <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 1.2em;">Do not write in spaces below</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 10px; text-align: center;"> <div style="font-size: 1.5em; font-family: cursive; margin-bottom: 10px;">77-56892-52</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; display: inline-block;"> NOT RECORDED 6 SEP 28 1959 </div> </div>
---	---

MAY 8 1973

- A* -



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

b7C

Report of
Date:9/25/59

Office: Boston, Massachusetts

File Number:

Boston 77-5730

Bureau 77-56892

Title:

ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON

Character:

DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Synopsis:

Massachusetts Congressmen regard RICHARDSON favorably.

- RUC -

BS 77-5730

DETAILS:

Interviews of Congressmen O'NEIL and [redacted]
and Mr. [redacted] were conducted by SA [redacted]

On September 23, 1959, the Honorable [redacted]
Congressman from Massachusetts, Room [redacted] Federal Building,
Boston, Massachusetts, advised that he [redacted] appointee
at [redacted] Over the years since [redacted] he said
he has maintained his knowledge of and friendship with the
appointee on a casual basis. However, as a member of Congress
he said he, himself, [redacted]
[redacted] RICHARDSON as a result of [redacted]

RICHARDSON, in his opinion, he said, is an able administrator
and official of the Federal Government is a person of excellent
character and reputation and is one who is unquestionably a
loyal American. He said [redacted]
RICHARDSON [redacted]

[redacted] He added that he has no knowledge of, or
friendship with, any of the appointee's relatives.

On September 22, 1959, the Honorable THOMAS P. O'NEIL,
Congressman from Massachusetts, Room 1303, Federal Building,
Boston, Massachusetts, said he has known RICHARDSON for the
past five years. The appointee is from a very prominent New
England family, he said, and is one whom he would consider to
be of good character and reputation. To the best of his
knowledge RICHARDSON has good associates, he stated, and he
would have no reason to question his loyalty as an American
citizen. He said he could not comment on RICHARDSON's
legal ability but as a result of RICHARDSON's tenure as Under
Secretary of the Department of Health, Education and Welfare
he said RICHARDSON demonstrated excellent administrative ability.
He said he recommended the appointee for the position of United
States Attorney at Boston, Massachusetts.

On September 22, 1959, the Honorable [redacted]
Congressman from Massachusetts, [redacted]
Massachusetts, said although he is not personally acquainted
with the appointee he knows of him through reputation. From
all that he has heard, he said RICHARDSON is a very high type
individual and he, himself, knows many members of the bar
who, in turn, have spoken well of RICHARDSON and hold him in
high regard. He said he [redacted] RICHARDSON
[redacted] Boston, Massachusetts.

b7C
b7D

BS 77-5730

On September 21, 1959, Mr. [redacted]
to the Honorable [redacted] from
Massachusetts stated that [redacted] was out of town until
[redacted] and he did not wish to furnish the [redacted]
[redacted] However, he said he would contact the [redacted]
[redacted] and advise him as to the inquiry concerning
ELLIOT RICHARDSON.

On September 24, 1959, the following comments
were furnished by Congressman [redacted] in a letter which
was directed to the Boston Office of the FBI:

b7C

b7D

"I received your inquiry about Elliot Richardson.
He is honorable, trustworthy, an able (very much)
lawyer, and his patriotism is above reproach. I
am pleased to convey the high regard I have for
him. With kind regards, I am

"Sincerely yours

[redacted]

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Reporting Office WASHINGTON FIELD	Office of Origin BUREAU	Date 9/25/59	Investigative Period 9/17 - 24/59
TITLE OF CASE ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON This report completes the investigation		Report made by <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 20px;"></div>	Typed By. mlm
		CHARACTER OF CASE DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT, UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS	

~~XXXX~~

REFERENCE

Report of SA dated 9/23/59.

- RUC -

AGENCY 100-4544
REQ. REC'D 3/18/60
REP'T FORW. 4/16/60
BY alg-jac

An continuing investigation by the FBI concluded on 9-28-59 revealed no pertinent derogatory information. This is a result of a review of a FBI file checked on [redacted] as a clearance [redacted] individual involved.

CIA-5-1-62
PR 4-17-63 (KJ)

1-cc State Dept
via B. Rachner.
include [redacted]

Approved <div style="font-size: 2em; font-family: cursive;">JH/700</div>	Special Agent In Charge	Do not write in spaces below	
Copies made. 3 - Bureau 1 - Washington Field (77-42478)		77-56892-53	
		NOT RECORDED 13 SEP 30	

MAY 8 1973

- A* -
COVER PAGE

FBI - This report is loaned to you by the FBI, and neither it nor its contents are to be distributed outside the agency to which loaned

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

Report of:

Date:

9/25/59

Office: Washington, D.C.

File Number:

Washington Field 77-42478

Title:

ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON

Character:

DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Synopsis:

No additional pertinent information AEC. No record CIA.
Passport information set forth.

- RUC -

b7C

b7D

DETAILS: AT WASHINGTON, D. C.MISCELLANEOUS

Mrs. [redacted] Washington Area Security Operations Office, Division of Security, Atomic Energy Commission (AEC), Germantown, Maryland, advised SA [redacted] on September 21, 1959, that the applicant received an AEC "Q" clearance on March 7, 1957, in connection with his duties with the Department of Health, Education and Welfare. The clearance is still active and no additional pertinent information was noted.

No pertinent identifiable information was located in the records of the Central Intelligence Agency as caused to be checked concerning the applicant on September 17, 1959, by SA [redacted]

The files of the Passport Office, Department of State, reviewed on September 23, 1959, by SE [redacted] reflected that ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON was issued Boston Series

WFO 77-42478

Passport number 14261 on March 14, 1956, for a two weeks pleasure trip to Spain. This passport was to include his wife, ANNE FRANCIS RICHARDSON, who was born at Providence, Rhode Island, on December 5, 1924, and to whom he was married on August 2, 1952. He listed his wife's maiden name as ANNE FRANCIS HAZARD.

The file contained no additional pertinent information.

[redacted] Health Education and Welfare, Washington, D. C., advised SA [redacted] on September 29, 1959, that he has known Mr. RICHARDSON for [redacted]. He advised he considers Mr. RICHARDSON an outstanding person from the standpoint of his ability and qualifications for a responsible government position. He stated he has no hesitation about giving an unqualified recommendation as to Mr. RICHARDSON's loyalty, character, reputation and ability to perform the responsibilities of a U. S. Attorney.

b7C

b7D

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (77-56892)

DATE 10/1/59

FROM : SAC, BOSTON (77-5730)

SUBJECT: ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON
DAPLI

Re WFO Airtel to Boston 9/23/59


Enclosed herewith is a letterhead memorandum in which is set forth the results of a review of an article entitled, "Freedom of Expression and Function of Courts" authored by the appointee, and which was published in November 1951 in the "Harvard Law Review". (A)

Encl. 4
LJW:efh
(3)

AGENCY

RE

BY



/b7C

77-56892-54

C



**United States Department of Justice
Federal Bureau of Investigation**

BS77-5730

Boston, Massachusetts
October 1, 1959

ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON
DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

On September 28, 1959, an article entitled, "Freedom of Expression and Function of Courts" which appeared in Volume 65 of the "Harvard Law Review" published in November 1951 and authored by ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON was reviewed at the Harvard Law School Library, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

The author described the article as an "analysis of the issues arising in clashes between the interests protected by the First Amendment of the Constitution and the interests supposed by a legislature to justify their restriction. The author sets forth that "the analysis was intended to serve as a basis for considering the form in which these issues are presented to the Courts and the data relevant to their decision."

Throughout the article the author quotes extensively from the opinions of judges who have adjudicated cases involving freedom of speech.

The article concludes with a statement that the purpose of the paper was in "defining the issues in free speech cases, to indicate which of them are wholly committed to the courts, especially the Supreme Court of the United States and which belong to the legislatures and to the States."

This memorandum is loaned to you by the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and neither it nor its contents are to be distributed outside the agency to which loaned.

77-56892-54

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (62-89475)

DATE: 1/18/67

FROM : SAC, BOSTON (66-3595)

SUBJECT: NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF ATTORNEYS GENERAL (NAAG)
ELLIOT L. RICHARDSON
ATTORNEY GENERAL - MASSACHUSETTS

RESEARCH (CRIME RECORDS)

Expected Tenure of Office

Mr. RICHARDSON was elected in November, 1966, for a four-year term.

Mr. [REDACTED] was [REDACTED]

Contact by SAC

Mr. RICHARDSON, a former United States Attorney at Boston, Massachusetts, is personally known to me and is well aware of the Bureau's investigative responsibilities and cooperative services.

The next time I am in [REDACTED] every effort will be made to contact [REDACTED] and apprise him of the Bureau's jurisdiction and responsibilities.

Brief Review of Favorable Relations

Contact with Attorney General RICHARDSON since his tenure as USA and in his present position have been most friendly and cordial. He has on many occasions given assurance of his full cooperation in all matters of mutual interest.

2 - Bureau

1 - Boston

JLE:ds

(2)

61 FEB 20 1967

NOT RECORDED
131 FEB 20 1967

12 FEB 20 1967

ORIGINAL FILED IN

BS 66-3595

[redacted] is known to Agents of the Boston Office. He
has been [redacted] in [redacted] since [redacted]
[redacted] when he was admitted to [redacted] and has been [redacted]

[redacted] This individual has demonstrated what appears to be a
forthright and straightforward approach to matters within his purview
and was [redacted]

Derogatory Data

There is no derogatory data concerning either of the captioned
individuals nor have there been any unfavorable relations with this
office.

Known Views with Respect to Basic Law Enforcement Principles of Possible Interest to the Bureau

b7C

As yet, Attorney General Richardson has not appeared before any
legislative committees and as of this time, has not specifically
expressed any opinion regarding controversial issues, such as,
capital punishment, etc.

The same situation prevails for [redacted]


Expected Topics for Discussion at Annual Meeting

There is no information available under this caption concerning either
of the above [redacted]

Personal Factors

Attorney General RICHARDSON is a candidate of Harvard Law School and
has served as a Law Clerk for Justice FELIX FRANKFORTER. He also
worked as a Law Clerk for Judge LEARNED HAND. He has also served in the
office of a prominent law firm in Boston. He has been active in
politics in Massachusetts, having previously served as Lt. Governor
and United States Attorney.

BS 66-3595



b7C

DECODED COPY

Mr. Tolson _____
 Mr. Belmont _____
 Mr. DeLoach _____
 Mr. McGuire _____
 Mr. Mohr _____
 Mr. Parsons _____
 Mr. Rosen _____
 Mr. Tamm _____
 Mr. Trotter _____
 Mr. W.C. Sullivan _____
 Tele. Room _____
 Mr. Holloman _____

☒ Radio☐ Teletype

URGENT 10-1-59

TO DIRECTOR

FROM SAC BOSTON 011722

ELLIOT LEE RICHARDSON, DAPLI. REBUAIRTEL SEPTEMBER 29 LAST.
 THE APPOINTEES ARTICLE ENTITLED QUOTE FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION
 AND FUNCTION OF COURTS UNQUOTE HAS BEEN REVIEWED AND IS BEING
 SUMMARIZED IN A LETTERHEAD MEMORANDUM BEING TRANSMITTED TODAY.
 ARTICLE CONTAINED NOTHING OF SUBSTANTIVE PERTINENCE, BUT MAY
 BE OF GENERAL INTEREST TO BUREAU. RE WFO TELETYPE SEPTEMBER 18
 LAST AND REPORT OF SA [REDACTED] SEPTEMBER 25 LAST.
 REREP INADVERTENTLY FAILED TO INCLUDE THAT [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED] WAS NOT ACQUAINTED WITH RICHARDSON. INVESTIGATION
 OTHERWISE COMPLETE.

RECEIVED:

1:42 PM RADIO

1:54 PM CODING UNIT CTF

57 OCT 9 1959

Director, FBI

12/29/59

SAC, Boston (66-1273)

ELLIOT L. RICHARDSON
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Mr. Tolson	
Mr. Mohr	
Mr. Parsons	
Mr. Belmont	
Mr. Callahan	
Mr. DeLoach	
Mr. Malone	
Mr. McGuire	
Mr. Rosen	
Mr. Tamm	
Mr. Trotter	
Mr. W.C. Sullivan	
Tele. Room	
Miss Gandy	

Mr. RICHARDSON assumed the post of United States Attorney on September 23, 1959 and, since that time, has been concluding his assignments with the United States Department of Health, Welfare, and Education at Washington, D. C.

He has advised that on January 6 he will be accompanied to Washington, D. C. by first Assistant United States Attorney ANDREW A. CAFFREY for conferences at the Department. He indicated that he would like very much to see the Director if at all possible and will make such a request upon his arrival in Washington.

I told him that I did not know the Director's commitments and suggested that he telephone the office of Assistant Director C. D. DeLOACH to see if or when the Director would be available.

For the Bureau's information, Mr. CAFFREY has been associated with the United States Attorney's Office for many years and has been favorably known to the Boston Division.

Since Mr. RICHARDSON assumed his post, our contacts with him have been friendly, cooperative, and helpful, and he has given every evidence of being a staunch friend of the Bureau.

LLL:ls
(3)

77-65961-17
NOT RECORDED
141 JAN 3 1960

57 JAN

United States Department of Justice

ADDRESS REPLY TO
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
AND REFER TO INITIALS

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
Boston 9

October 14, 1959

Honorable J. Edgar Hoover
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Department of Justice
Washington 25, D. C.

My dear Mr. Hoover:

Many thanks for your very thoughtful note with regard to my recent appointment as United States Attorney. I have already met some of your associates in the Boston office and look forward to working with them. I know that there will be frequent occasions when they can be helpful to us, and you may be sure that I will not hesitate to call on them.

It was good of you to take the trouble to write and I appreciate it very much.

Sincerely yours,

Elliot L. Richardson
Elliot L. Richardson
United States Attorney

77-16872-



b7C

*100-1-100000-11
see 100-1-100000-11
9/29/59
10/19/59
OCT 20 1959*

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. DeLoach	_____
Mr. McGuire	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Parsons	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Sullivan	_____
Mr. Tavel	_____
Mr. Trotter	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Mr. Holloman	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

TO : Director, FBI

FROM : SAC, Boston (66-1273)

DATE 12/29/59

SUBJECT: ELLIOT L. RICHARDSON
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Mr. RICHARDSON assumed the post of United States Attorney on September 23, 1959 and, since that time, has been concluding his assignments with the United States Department of Health, Welfare, and Education at Washington, D. C.

He has advised that on January 6 he will be accompanied to Washington, D. C. by first Assistant United States Attorney ANDREW A. CAFFREY for conferences at the Department. He indicated that he would like very much to see the Director if at all possible and will make such a request upon his arrival in Washington.

I told him that I did not know the Director's commitments and suggested that he telephone the office of Assistant Director C. D. DeLOACH to see if or when the Director would be available.

For the Bureau's information, Mr. CAFFREY has been associated with the United States Attorney's Office for many years and has been favorably known to the Boston Division.

Since Mr. RICHARDSON assumed his post, our contacts with him have been friendly, cooperative, and helpful, and he has given every evidence of being a staunch friend of the Bureau.

LLL:ls
 (3)

REC-11

77-56792-56

16 JAN 12 1960

cc: Mr. DeLoach

1/1/60
 JVA

UNRECORDED COPY FILED IN

b7C

4
1571

January 8, 1960

MEMORANDUM FOR MR. TOLSON

b7C

① On January 6, 1960, I saw United States Attorney Elliot L. Richardson and Assistant United States Attorney Andrew A. Caffrey of Boston, Massachusetts. These gentlemen called to pay their respects.

They were quite high in their expression of confidence in the ability of our Agents at Boston.

They advised me that the attorney for the defense in the Brinks case had indicated he intended to subpoena Judge Julian, who was United States Attorney at the time the Brinks case was broken, and myself. They advised me that under the law my appearance could not be compelled at Boston but the defense counsel might seek to take deposition at Washington. These gentlemen indicated they did not know the purpose of the contemplated action by the defense counsel but would let me know the details as they develop.

At the close of our conference, both of these gentlemen asked to have a photograph taken with me, to which I agreed, and I in turn autographed copies of the photograph for these gentlemen.

Very truly yours, 77-56812-57

REC- 26

J. Edgar Hoover

16 JAN 12 '60

John Edgar Hoover
Director

JEH:RM (5)

Tolson _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Belmont _____
Callahan _____
DeLoach _____
Malone _____
McGuire _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____

2 JAN 18 1960

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

UNRECORDED COPY FILED

SENT	FILED
TIME	
DATE	
BY	

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. DeLoach

DATE January 5, 1960

FROM : M. A. Jones

SUBJECT **ELLIOT L. RICHARDSON**
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
ANDREW A. CAFFREY
ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
REQUEST TO SEE THE DIRECTOR ON
JANUARY 6, 1960

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Parsons	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. DeLoach	_____
Mr. Malone	_____
Mr. McGuire	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Tamm	_____
Mr. Trotter	_____
Mr. W.C.F.	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

By letter of 12-29-59, SAC Boston advised that Elliot L. Richardson, U. S. Attorney, District of Massachusetts, had indicated that on January 6, he will be accompanied to Washington by first Assistant U. S. Attorney Andrew A. Caffrey for conferences at the Department. He indicated he would like to see the Director if possible and would make such a request upon his arrival in Washington. SAC, Boston suggested that he telephonically contact Mr. DeLoach's office regarding this request. The SAC Boston advised that office contacts with both Caffrey and Richardson have been favorable.

ELLIOT L. RICHARDSONBiographical Data:

Richardson was born 7-20-20, Boston, Massachusetts, and received an A. B. degree, cum laude, from Harvard College in 1941, and an LL. B. degree, cum laude, from Harvard Law School in 1947. He has been connected with the law firm Ropes, Gray, Best, Coolidge and Rugg, Boston, except brief employment as Assistant Secretary to U. S. Senator Saltonstall during 1953-54. From 1-2-57, Richardson served as Assistant Secretary of Department of Health, Education and Welfare until September 23, 1959, when he assumed post of United States Attorney, Boston. Bureau investigations in connection with appointment to these positions were highly favorable, except for a number of traffic arrests in Massachusetts.

Bureau Relations:

REC- 62 77-56892-58

By letter of September 29, 1959, the Director congratulated Richardson on his appointment as U.S. Attorney and Richardson acknowledged this letter by a note on 10-14-59.

- 1 - Director's Office
- 1 - Mr. DeLoach
- 1 - Tour Room

RECORDED COPY FILED IN 77

Jones to DeLoach Memorandum
Re: Elliot L. Richardson
Andrew A. Caffrey

b7C

RECOMMENDATION:

For the Director's information.

✓

[Signature]
1/5

[Signature]



ADDENDUM: 1/6/60 ECK/emb


121 JUSTICE

Miss [redacted] in the office of Hayden Crawford, Special Assistant to the Deputy Attorney General, called at 10 a. m. this date and asked at what time Mr. Richardson and Mr. Caffrey could see the Director. If the Director would indicate the time, this matter will be immediately confirmed if the Director so desires.

sub

*make it for 3.30 P.M.
1.6.60.*

H



*will
be confirmed
x copy to [unclear]*

File

51 JAN 21 1960



FREEDOM OF INFORMATION
AND
PRIVACY ACTS

SUBJECT: ELLIOTT RICHARDSON

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION



United States Department of Justice

UNITED STATES MARSHALS SERVICE

UNITED STATES COURT HOUSE

FOLEY SQUARE

NEW YORK, N.Y. 10007

May 11, 1973

LVP

William Ruckelshaus, as acting
Director of the FBI
Department of Justice
Washington, D. C.

PAMELA M. FELDER

Re: Pamela Felder, et al v. Elliot Richardson, et al
73 Civ. 2109

Sir:

Enclosed please find copy of Summons and Complaint
in the above-entitled action, in compliance with
Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Very truly yours,

THOMAS E. FERRANDINA
U. S. MARSHAL, C.D.N.Y.

Administrative Assistant

Encl.

REC-15

63-10001-1

6/18

LEGAL COUNSEL

ENCLOSURE

ENCLOSURE

incoming + will
make for all
5/24/73 PGD/mlk
Airtel to NY
5/23/73 PGD/mlk

AIRTEL

To: SAC, New York **REC-15**

From: Acting Director, FBI **EX-112**

63- 16061- /

5-25-73

1 - Mr. [REDACTED]
2 - Mr. MINTZ

b6

**PAMELA FELDER, et al., v. ELLIOT RICHARDSON, et al.,
U.S.D.C., S.D.N.Y., CIVIL ACTION NO. 73 CIV 2109**

Enclosed for New York are copies of the summons and complaint in captioned action.

The Acting Director is named as a defendant. The complaint alleges plaintiffs' constitutional rights were violated by unidentified law enforcement officers during a raid on plaintiffs' home at 1655 Undercliff Avenue, Bronx, New York, on 9-28-72. It is alleged the defendant law enforcement officers were part of a city, state and Federal task force investigating [REDACTED]

b6

You should determine if any Agents of the New York Office were present or participated in the raid. Advise the Bureau of the results by airtel, Attention: Office of Legal Counsel.


Enclosures (2)

NOTE: Service of the summons and complaint in captioned civil action was by letter to the Acting Director dated 5-11-73 from the U. S. Marshal, S.D.N.Y.

Mr. Felt _____
Mr. Baker _____
Mr. Callahan _____
Mr. Cleveland _____
Mr. Conrad _____
Mr. Gebhardt _____
Mr. Jenkins _____
Mr. Marshall _____
Mr. Miller, E.S. _____
Mr. Soyars _____
Mr. Thompson _____
Mr. Walters _____
Tele. Room _____
Mr. Baise _____
Mr. Barnes _____
Mr. Bowers _____
Mr. Hering _____
Mr. Conny _____
Mr. Mintz _____
Mr. Eardley _____
Ms. Hogan _____

PGD:mbk

(7)



MAIL ROOM ☐

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

70 JUN 28 1973

3243

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Civil Action File No.

PAMELA FELDER, ILONA FELDER, individually
and as mother of MICHELLE FELDER, an infant, :
ALEXANDER FELDER, ALEXANDRIA FELDER, and :
DOROTHY FELDER, individually and as mother :
of ALEXANDER FELDER and ALEXANDRIA FELDER, :
infants over the age of fourteen years, :
:

Plaintiff, :

-against- :

ELLIOT RICHARDSON, as Attorney General of :
the United States; WILLIAM RUCKELSHAUS, as :
Acting Director of the Federal Bureau of :
Investigation; WHITNEY NORTH SEYMOUR, as :
United States Attorney for the Southern :
District of New York; MARIO MEROLA, as :
District Attorney for the County of Bronx; :
DONALD CAWLEY, as Police Commissioner of the :
City of New York; SGT. "JOHN" DALY, first :
name John being fictitious, whose true first :
name is unknown, and "JOHN DOE", "RICHARD :
ROE," et al., seven law enforcement officers :
whose true identities are unknown, :
:

Defendants. :

73 Civ. 2109
Pollock, J.
SUMMONS

To the above named Defendants:

You are hereby summoned and required to serve upon
Levy, Gutman, Goldberg & Kaplan, Plaintiff's attorney,
whose address is 363 Seventh Avenue, New York, N. Y. 10001,
an answer to the complaint which is herewith served upon
you within 60 days after service of this summons upon you,
exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so,
judgment by default will be taken against you for the
relief demanded in the complaint.

Thomas E. Andrews
Acting Clerk of Court

Date: May 11, 1973

Edw. B. Edwards
Deputy Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

PAMELA FELDER, ILONA FELDER, individually
and as mother of MICHELLE FELDER, an infant,
ALEXANDER FELDER, ALEXANDRIA FELDER, and
DOROTHY FELDER, individually and as mother
of ALEXANDER FELDER and ALEXANDRIA FELDER,
infants over the age of fourteen years,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

ELLIOT RICHARDSON, as Attorney General of the
United States; WILLIAM RUCKELSHAUS, as
Acting Director of the Federal Bureau of
Investigation; WHITNEY NORTH SEYMOUR, as
United States Attorney for the Southern
District of New York; MARIO MEROLA, as
District Attorney for the County of Bronx;
DONALD CAWLEY, as Police Commissioner of the
City of New York; SGT. "JOHN" DALY, first
name John being fictitious, whose true first
name is unknown, and "JOHN DOE," "RICHARD
ROE," et al., seven law enforcement
officers whose true identities are unknown,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT

FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

I. JURISDICTION

FIRST: The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked
under Title 28 U.S.C. §1343(4), this suit being authorized
by Title 42 U.S.C. §1983 and the Fourth, Fifth, Ninth, and

Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

Plaintiffs seek monetary recovery in excess of ten thousand (10,000) dollars for damages incurred by them.

II. PARTIES

SECOND: Plaintiff PAMELA FELDER is an adult citizen of the United States who resides at 1655 Undercliff Avenue, Bronx, New York.

THIRD: Plaintiff DOROTHY FELDER is an adult citizen of the United States residing at 1655 Undercliff Avenue, Bronx, New York. DOROTHY FELDER is the mother of infant plaintiffs ALEXANDER and ALEXANDRIA FELDER, who reside with her.

FOURTH: Plaintiff ALEXANDER FELDER is a seventeen-year-old citizen of the United States residing at 1655 Undercliff Avenue, Bronx, New York.

FIFTH: Plaintiff ALEXANDRIA FELDER is a twenty-year-old citizen of the United States residing at 1655 Undercliff Avenue, Bronx, New York.

SIXTH: Plaintiff ILONA FELDER is an adult citizen of the United States residing at 1655 Undercliff Avenue, Bronx, New York. She is the mother of infant plaintiff MICHELLE FELDER, who resides with her.

SEVENTH: Plaintiff MICHELLE FELDER is a two-year-old child residing at 1655 Undercliff Avenue, Bronx, New York.

EIGHTH: Defendant ELLIOT RICHARDSON is the Attorney General of the United States and as such is the chief law enforcement official of the federal government. His business address is the Justice Department in Washington, D.C. Defendant ELLIOT RICHARDSON is sued both individually and in his official capacity.

NINTH: Defendant WILLIAM D. RUCKELSHAUS is the Acting Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the chief law enforcement agency of the federal government. His business address is the Justice Department, Washington, D.C. Defendant WILLIAM D. RUCKELSHAUS is sued both individually and in his official capacity.

TENTH: Defendant WHITNEY NORTH SEYMOUR is United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York, Foley Square, New York, New York. Defendant WHITNEY NORTH SEYMOUR is responsible for warrants issued to federal law enforcement officers of the Southern District of New York and is sued both individually and in his official capacity.

ELEVENTH: Defendant MARIO MEROLA is the District Attorney of the State of New York for the County of Bronx. His office is located in Bronx, New York. Defendant MARIO MEROLA is the chief New York State law enforcement official

for the County of Bronx and is responsible for all warrants issued to state law enforcement officers in the County of Bronx. Defendant MARIO MEROLA is sued both individually and in his official capacity.

TWELFTH: Defendant DONALD CAWLEY is the Police Commissioner of the City of New York with an office at 240 Centre Street, New York, New York. Defendant DONALD CAWLEY is the chief law enforcement official of the City of New York, and as such is responsible for all warrants issued to law enforcement officers of the City of New York. Defendant DONALD CAWLEY is sued both individually and in his official capacity.

THIRTEENTH: Defendant "JOHN" DALY is a law enforcement official. His true given name and his official capacity are unknown at this time to the plaintiffs. He is sued individually and in his official capacity.

FOURTEENTH: Defendants JOHN DOE, RICHARD ROE, et al., are seven (7) law enforcement officers of New York City, New York State, and the United States. Their true identities and their respective official capacities are unknown at this time to the plaintiffs. They are each sued individually and in their official capacities.

III. INCIDENTS AT ISSUE

FIFTEENTH: At approximately 6:45 a.m. on September 26, 1972, plaintiffs became aware of a pounding on the door of Apartment 12-C, in which all plaintiffs reside, at 1655 Undercliff Avenue, Bronx, New York.

SIXTEENTH: Plaintiffs became frightened by the pounding and shouts outside their door.

SEVENTEENTH: Plaintiffs refused to open the door when their request to the parties outside for identification was refused. Immediately thereafter, their front door was smashed in with a sledge hammer.

EIGHTEENTH: Defendant "JOHN" DALY and other unidentified law enforcement officials herein sued as unnamed defendants entered with drawn shotguns and handguns. Plaintiffs were ordered to "freeze" and were told that if they moved the officers would shoot.

NINETEENTH: None of the officers present was in uniform. No warrant to search the premises or to arrest any occupant of the premises was produced.

TWENTIETH: Defendant "JOHN" DALY and the other law enforcement officers sued herein as unnamed defendants proceeded to conduct a search of the apartment and wantonly to overturn, damage, and destroy plaintiffs' furniture and

furnishings and the walls and doors of the apartment.

TWENTY-FIRST: During the above search, plaintiff PAMELA FELDER was pushed and shoved by one of the unnamed defendant law enforcement officers, JOHN DOE, and was assaulted, forcibly disrobed, and subjected to manual manipulation of her breasts by JOHN DOE, who then forced her to sit naked and displayed to the view of all the law enforcement officers sued herein as unnamed defendants.

TWENTY-SECOND: During the above search, plaintiff MICHELLE FELDER, an infant of two years, began to cry and was pushed by defendant "JOHN" DALY into the bathroom of the apartment; in so doing, defendant "JOHN" DALY struck the child on the head with the butt of his hand gun.

TWENTY-THIRD: At all times during the search, assault, and vandalism, all plaintiffs were constantly watched by the defendant law enforcement officers, who held and pointed loaded hand guns and shotguns at the plaintiffs and forced them to obey threatening orders to remain stationary in one place or another and to move about their own home at the whims of the defendants, which threatening orders were designed to prevent plaintiffs from interfering with the unlawful search and other lawless act of the defendants.

TWENTY-FOURTH: On information and belief, the defendant law enforcement officers were part of a city, state,

and federal task force acting under the control, direction, and instructions of defendants ELLIOT RICHARDSON, WILLIAM RUCKELSHAUS, WHITNEY NORTH SEYMOUR, MARIO MEROLA, and DONALD CAWLEY for the purpose of investigating one Earl Foddrell, an alleged major narcotics dealer who allegedly resided in another and different apartment in the same multiple dwelling as plaintiffs. (See attached Exhibit A.)

TWENTY-FIFTH: Approximately forty-five (45) minutes after their arrival and the breaking down of the door, the defendant law enforcement officers left the apartment.

TWENTY-SIXTH: Defendants' actions constituted an invasion of plaintiffs' right to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects from unlawful searches and seizures as guaranteed by the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

TWENTY-SEVENTH: Plaintiffs reallege the allegations in paragraphs FIRST through TWENTY-FIFTH as though set forth fully herein.

TWENTY-EIGHTH: Defendants' actions constituted an invasion of plaintiffs' right to be free from deprivation of their liberty and property without due process of law as guaranteed by the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United

States Constitution.

FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

TWENTY-NINTH: Plaintiffs reallege the allegations in paragraphs FIRST through TWENTY-FIFTH as though set forth fully herein.

THIRTIETH: Defendants' actions constituted an invasion of plaintiffs' right to privacy as guaranteed by the Ninth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

THIRTY-FIRST: Plaintiffs reallege the allegations in paragraphs FIRST through TWENTY-FIFTH as though set forth fully herein.

THIRTY-SECOND: Defendants' actions constituted an imposition of cruel and unusual punishment in violation of plaintiffs' rights under the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution.

FOR A FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION
OF PLAINTIFF PAMELA FELDER
AGAINST DEFENDANT JOHN DOE

THIRTY-THIRD: Plaintiff PAMELA FELDER realleges the

allegations in paragraphs FIRST through TWENTY-FIFTH as though fully set forth herein.

THIRTY-FOURTH: The actions of defendant JOHN DOE in forcibly disrobing plaintiff PAMELA FELDER, in manipulating her breasts and forcing her to endure upon her naked breasts the stares of the other defendant agents were willful, malicious, and conscious; were contemptuous and derogatory of plaintiff PAMELA FELDER'S rights of privacy and due process of law; and were male-chauvinistically motivated by the selfish and demeaning tactile and voyeuristic desires of defendant JOHN DOE without regard to plaintiff PAMELA FELDER'S dignity, rights, feelings, embarrassment, humiliation, and her emotions.

THIRTY-FIFTH: Plaintiff PAMELA FELDER is entitled to punitive and exemplary damages in addition to her actual damages thereby suffered.

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs request this Court:

A. On the FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION, to assess damages against all defendants in the amount of three hundred thousand (300,000) dollars plus costs and attorney's fees and to grant plaintiffs a trial by jury of all issues so triable in this cause of action;

B. On the SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION, to assess damages

against all defendants in the amount of three hundred thousand (300,000) dollars plus costs and attorney's fees and to grant plaintiffs a trial by jury of all issues so triable in this cause of action;

C. On the THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION, to assess damages against all defendants in the amount of three hundred thousand (300,000) dollars plus costs and attorney's fees and to grant plaintiffs a trial by jury of all issues so triable in this cause of action;

D. On the FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION, to assess damages against all defendants in the amount of three hundred thousand (300,000) dollars plus costs and attorney's fees and to grant plaintiffs a trial by jury of all issues so triable in this cause of action;

E. On the FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION, to assess damages against defendant JOHN DOE in favor of plaintiff PAMELA FELDER in the amount of three hundred thousand (300,000) dollars and, in addition, to impose punitive and exemplary damages against said defendant in favor of said plaintiff in the sum of one million (1,000,000) dollars;

F. Granting to plaintiffs such other, further, and different relief as may be just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York
May 3, 1973

JEREMIAH S. GUTMAN
DONALD L. DOERNBERG
EUGENE N. HARLEY
Levy, Gutman, Goldberg,
and Kaplan
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
363 Seventh Avenue
New York, New York 10001
212 736 2226

Detective Is Suspended as Farker's Helper

N.Y. Daily News 11/27/73

By FRANK FASO

A city police detective assigned to a task force against organized crime was suspended yesterday on departmental charges that he passed along confidential police information to a major narcotics dealer and worked as a debt collector for the dealer.

Miraculous Recovery

Vatican City, Jan. 4 (UPI)—The Michelangelo masterpiece Pieta appeared to private viewers today to be completely restored, showing no signs of 15 sledge hammer blows by a religious fanatic last May. The Pieta will again be on public view in late February—from behind a bulletproof screen.

The suspended detective is William H. Dunne, 39, on the force nine years and assigned to a federal, state and city task force in November.

He is also charged with shaking down the drug dealer by promising to quash police information against him when no such information existed.

Probe Began in May

Police sources said Dunne collected \$20,000 for his illegal activities between 1968 and 1972, while assigned to the Intelligence Division of the city police force

and before his assignment to the task force.

An investigation of Dunne began May 22 when federal narcotics agent Theodore Vernier, supervisor of the joint task force, informed the Police Department that Dunne was associating with Earl Foddrell, 1655 Undercliff Ave., Bronx, described by police as a major narcotics dealer.

On Sept. 22, Foddrell and 19 other persons were arrested on federal warrants charging nar-

cotics violations. Dunne was summoned before a federal grand jury, but the appearance was canceled, police said, because Dunne agreed to cooperate with the investigation.

\$15,000 Debt

Among the departmental charges against Dunne is an allegation that he collected a \$15,000 debt owed Foddrell by Shumport Watts, one of the 20 persons arrested in September. Dunne allegedly told Watts he could "take care" of a police case against him, then reputedly split the \$15,000 with Foddrell.

Sometime between 1968 and

1972 Dunne allegedly bilked Foddrell of \$10,000 by arranging to dispose of a confidential police investigation form on Foddrell which Dunne had fabricated. Again, in 1972, Dunne allegedly got \$700 from Foddrell for telling him his phones were tapped when they were not.

Another time, police said, Dunne collected \$2,500 from Foddrell to supply a quantity of quinine, used to cut heroin. Dunne never supplied the quinine, police said. They said he told Foddrell the money had been stolen before he could arrange the deal.

EXHIBIT A

STATE OF NEW YORK)

: ss :

COUNTY OF ~~BRONX~~ *New York*)
SW

PAMELA FELDER, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

I am one of the Plaintiffs in the within action. I have read the foregoing Complaint, and the same is true to my own knowledge, except as to matters therein stated to be alleged upon information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

Pamela M. Felder
PAMELA FELDER

Sworn to before me this *2nd*
day of April, 1973

my self

John F. V. Silk

JOHN F. V. SILK
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 41-3665750
Qualified in Queens County
Cert. filed in New York County
Commission Expires March 30, 1975

NOTICE OF ENTRY

Index No.

Year 19

Sir :

Please take notice that the within is a true copy of a

duly entered in the within named court on
19

Dated,

Yours, etc.,

LEVY, GUTMAN, GOLDBERG & KAPLAN

Attorneys for

Office and Post Office Address
363 Seventh Avenue
New York, N. Y. 10001

To

Attorney for

NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT

Sir :

Please take notice that an order

of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the Hon.

one of the judges of the within named court.

at

on the day of 19

at M.

Dated,

Yours, etc.,

LEVY, GUTMAN, GOLDBERG & KAPLAN

Attorneys for

Office and Post Office Address
363 Seventh Avenue
New York, N. Y. 10001

To

Attorney for

Plaintiffs,

-against-

ELLIOT RICHARDSON, etc., et al.,

Defendants.

SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

LEVY, GUTMAN, GOLDBERG & KAPLAN

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Office and Post Office Address

363 Seventh Avenue

New York, N. Y. 10001

212 CH. ST. N.Y.C. 7-10001

To

Attorney for

Service of a copy of the within

is hereby admitted

Dated,

19

Attorney for

269

63-16061-1

ENCLOSURE

FBI

Date: 5/31/73

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)TO: ACTING DIRECTOR, FBI
(ATTN: OFFICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL)

FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (62-NEW) - C -

SUBJECT: PAMELA FELDER;
ET AL VS. ELLIOT RICHARDSON;
ET AL
USDC, SDNY
CIVIL ACTION NUMBER 73
CIVIL 2109
MISCELLANEOUS - CIVIL SUIT

PAMELA M. FELDER

ReBuairtel, dated 5/25/73.

On 5/30/73, Mr. ANDREW MALONEY, Regional Director, Office of Drug Abuse Law Enforcement, was contacted at his office, 26 Federal Plaza, NY, NY, at which time he advised that the [redacted] on [redacted]

[redacted] was conducted primarily by members of the NYCPD. [redacted] was aware of the raid and Mr. [redacted] believes that members of the Federal Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs were participants. He stated that there were no FBI Agents involved in any way in this raid.

The [redacted] NYCPD, who was [redacted]

3 - Bureau
(1 - OFFICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL)
1 - New York

WJD:jfc
(5)

REC-54

63-16061-2

22 JUN 2 1973

1 - Rms [redacted] (acc)
6/14/73
AGD/jer

LEGAL

Approved: JFM/L
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

NY 62-NEW

Inasmuch as PAMELA FELDER has filed a civil suit,
no civil rights investigation is being instituted.

**Assistant Attorney General
Civil Division**

June 18, 1973

Acting Director, FBI

2 - Mr. Mintz

1 - Mr. [REDACTED]

REC-54

**PAMELA FELDER, et al. v. ELLIOT RICHARDSON, et al.
U.S.D.C., S.D. N.Y., CIVIL ACTION NO. 73 CIV 2109**

Enclosed herewith is one copy each of a summons and complaint filed in captioned civil action, and a letter to the Acting Director, Federal Bureau of Investigation, dated May 11, 1973, from the United States Marshal, Southern District of New York.

The Acting Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation is named as a defendant in captioned civil action. The complaint alleges that plaintiffs' constitutional rights were violated by unidentified law enforcement officers during a raid on plaintiffs' home [REDACTED] New York, on September 26, 1972. It is alleged that the unidentified law enforcement officers were part of a city, state, and Federal task force investigating [REDACTED]

b6

On May 30, 1973, Mr. Andrew Maloney, Regional Director, Office of Drug Abuse Law Enforcement (DALE), 26 Federal Plaza, New York, New York, advised a representative of our New York Office that the raid conducted at [REDACTED] New York, on September 26, 1972, was conducted primarily by members of the New York City Police Department. Mr. Maloney stated that DALE was aware of the raid and he believes that members of the Federal Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs were participants in the raid. Mr. Maloney stated that the raid was led by a Captain Kelly of the New York City Police Department, who allegedly was separated from that organization because of an unrelated instance of misconduct which took place shortly after the above-mentioned raid.

PGD:llr

(6)

ENCLOSURE

SEE NOTE PAGE TWO. . .

(R)

MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

**Assistant Attorney General
Civil Division**

Mr. Maloney stated that no FBI Agents were involved in any way in this raid. Our records do not reflect any participation by FBI Agents in this raid. Inasmuch as none of our personnel were involved in the actions alleged in plaintiffs' complaint, we request that you move for dismissal of this suit as it relates to Acting Director William D. Ruckelshaus.

Enclosures (3)

NOTE: Based on service of summons and complaint in captioned civil action by letter to the Acting Director, dated 5/11/73, from the U. S. Marshal, S.D.N.Y. By airtel dated 5/31/73, the New York Office furnished the information provided by Mr. Andrew Maloney to the Bureau.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Civil Action File No.

PAMELA FELDER, ILONA FELDER, individually
and as mother of MICHELLE FELDER, an infant, :
ALEXANDER FELDER, ALEXANDRIA FELDER, and :
DOROTHY FELDER, individually and as mother :
of ALEXANDER FELDER and ALEXANDRIA FELDER, :
infants over the age of fourteen years, :
:

Plaintiff, :

-against-

ELLIOT RICHARDSON, as Attorney General of :
the United States; WILLIAM RUCKELSHAUS, as :
Acting Director of the Federal Bureau of :
Investigation; WHITNEY NORTH SEYMOUR, as :
United States Attorney for the Southern :
District of New York; MARIO MEROLA, as :
District Attorney for the County of Bronx; :
DONALD CAWLEY, as Police Commissioner of the :
City of New York; SGT. "JOHN" DALY, first :
name John being fictitious, whose true first :
name is unknown, and "JOHN DOE", "RICHARD :
ROE," et al., seven law enforcement officers :
whose true identities are unknown, :
:

Defendants. :

To the above named Defendants:

You are hereby summoned and required to serve upon
Levy, Gutman, Goldberg & Kaplan, Plaintiff's attorney,
whose address is 363 Seventh Avenue, New York, N. Y. 10001,
an answer to the complaint which is herewith served upon
you within 60 days after service of this summons upon you,
exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so,
judgment by default will be taken against you for the
relief demanded in the complaint.

Thomas E. Andrews
Acting Clerk of Court

St. Becker B. Edwards
Deputy Clerk

Date: May 11, 1973

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

PAMELA FELDER, ILONA FELDER, individually
and as mother of MICHELLE FELDER, an infant,
ALEXANDER FELDER, ALEXANDRIA FELDER, and
DOROTHY FELDER, individually and as mother
of ALEXANDER FELDER and ALEXANDRIA FELDER,
infants over the age of fourteen years,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

ELLIOT RICHARDSON, as Attorney General of the
United States; WILLIAM RUCKELSHAUS, as
Acting Director of the Federal Bureau of
Investigation; WHITNEY NORTH SEYMOUR, as
United States Attorney for the Southern
District of New York; MARIO MEROLA, as
District Attorney for the County of Bronx;
DONALD CAWLEY, as Police Commissioner of the
City of New York; SGT. "JOHN" DALY, first
name John being fictitious, whose true first
name is unknown, and "JOHN DOE," "RICHARD
ROE," et al., seven law enforcement
officers whose true identities are unknown,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT

FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

I. JURISDICTION

FIRST: The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked
under Title 28 U.S.C. §1343(4), this suit being authorized
by Title 42 U.S.C. §1983 and the Fourth, Fifth, Ninth, and

Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution. Plaintiffs seek monetary recovery in excess of ten thousand (10,000) dollars for damages incurred by them.

II. PARTIES

SECOND: Plaintiff PAMELA FELDER is an adult citizen of the United States who resides at 1655 Undercliff Avenue, Bronx, New York.

THIRD: Plaintiff DOROTHY FELDER is an adult citizen of the United States residing at 1655 Undercliff Avenue, Bronx, New York. DOROTHY FELDER is the mother of infant plaintiffs ALEXANDER and ALEXANDRIA FELDER, who reside with her.

FOURTH: Plaintiff ALEXANDER FELDER is a seventeen-year-old citizen of the United States residing at 1655 Undercliff Avenue, Bronx, New York.

FIFTH: Plaintiff ALEXANDRIA FELDER is a twenty-year-old citizen of the United States residing at 1655 Undercliff Avenue, Bronx, New York.

SIXTH: Plaintiff ILONA FELDER is an adult citizen of the United States residing at 1655 Undercliff Avenue, Bronx, New York. She is the mother of infant plaintiff MICHELLE FELDER, who resides with her.

SEVENTH: Plaintiff MICHELLE FELDER is a two-year-old child residing at 1655 Undercliff Avenue, Bronx, New York.

EIGHTH: Defendant ELLIOT RICHARDSON is the Attorney General of the United States and as such is the chief law enforcement official of the federal government. His business address is the Justice Department in Washington, D.C. Defendant ELLIOT RICHARDSON is sued both individually and in his official capacity.

NINTH: Defendant WILLIAM D. RUCKELSHAUS is the Acting Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the chief law enforcement agency of the federal government. His business address is the Justice Department, Washington, D.C. Defendant WILLIAM D. RUCKELSHAUS is sued both individually and in his official capacity.

TENTH: Defendant WHITNEY NORTH SEYMOUR is United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York, Foley Square, New York, New York. Defendant WHITNEY NORTH SEYMOUR is responsible for warrants issued to federal law enforcement officers of the Southern District of New York and is sued both individually and in his official capacity.

ELEVENTH: Defendant MARIO MEROLA is the District Attorney of the State of New York for the County of Bronx. His office is located in Bronx, New York. Defendant MARIO MEROLA is the chief New York State law enforcement official

for the County of Bronx and is responsible for all warrants issued to state law enforcement officers in the County of Bronx. Defendant MARIO MEROLA is sued both individually and in his official capacity.

TWELFTH: Defendant DONALD CAWLEY is the Police Commissioner of the City of New York with an office at 240 Centre Street, New York, New York. Defendant DONALD CAWLEY is the chief law enforcement official of the City of New York, and as such is responsible for all warrants issued to law enforcement officers of the City of New York. Defendant DONALD CAWLEY is sued both individually and in his official capacity.

THIRTEENTH: Defendant "JOHN" DALY is a law enforcement official. His true given name and his official capacity are unknown at this time to the plaintiffs. He is sued individually and in his official capacity.

FOURTEENTH: Defendants JOHN DOE, RICHARD ROE, et al., are seven (7) law enforcement officers of New York City, New York State, and the United States. Their true identities and their respective official capacities are unknown at this time to the plaintiffs. They are each sued individually and in their official capacities.

III. INCIDENTS AT ISSUE

FIFTEENTH: At approximately 6:45 a.m. on September 26, 1972, plaintiffs became aware of a pounding on the door of Apartment 12-C, in which all plaintiffs reside, at 1655 Undercliff Avenue, Bronx, New York.

SIXTEENTH: Plaintiffs became frightened by the pounding and shouts outside their door.

SEVENTEENTH: Plaintiffs refused to open the door when their request to the parties outside for identification was refused. Immediately thereafter, their front door was smashed in with a sledge hammer.

EIGHTEENTH: Defendant "JOHN" DALY and other unidentified law enforcement officials herein sued as unnamed defendants entered with drawn shotguns and handguns. Plaintiffs were ordered to "freeze" and were told that if they moved the officers would shoot.

NINETEENTH: None of the officers present was in uniform. No warrant to search the premises or to arrest any occupant of the premises was produced.

TWENTIETH: Defendant "JOHN" DALY and the other law enforcement officers sued herein as unnamed defendants proceeded to conduct a search of the apartment and wantonly to overturn, damage, and destroy plaintiffs' furniture and

furnishings and the walls and doors of the apartment.

TWENTY-FIRST: During the above search, plaintiff PAMELA FELDER was pushed and shoved by one of the unnamed defendant law enforcement officers, JOHN DOE, and was assaulted, forcibly disrobed, and subjected to manual manipulation of her breasts by JOHN DOE, who then forced her to sit naked and displayed to the view of all the law enforcement officers sued herein as unnamed defendants.

TWENTY-SECOND: During the above search, plaintiff MICHELLE FELDER, an infant of two years, began to cry and was pushed by defendant "JOHN" DALY into the bathroom of the apartment; in so doing, defendant "JOHN" DALY struck the child on the head with the butt of his hand gun.

TWENTY-THIRD: At all times during the search, assault, and vandalism, all plaintiffs were constantly watched by the defendant law enforcement officers, who held and pointed loaded hand guns and shotguns at the plaintiffs and forced them to obey threatening orders to remain stationary in one place or another and to move about their own home at the whims of the defendants, which threatening orders were designed to prevent plaintiffs from interfering with the unlawful search and other lawless act of the defendants.

TWENTY-FOURTH: On information and belief, the defendant law enforcement officers were part of a city, state,

and federal task force acting under the control, direction, and instructions of defendants ELLIOT RICHARDSON, WILLIAM RUCKELSHAUS, WHITNEY NORTH SEYMOUR, MARIO MEROLA, and DONALD CAWLEY for the purpose of investigating one Earl Foddrell, an alleged major narcotics dealer who allegedly resided in another and different apartment in the same multiple dwelling as plaintiffs. (See attached Exhibit A.)

TWENTY-FIFTH: Approximately forty-five (45) minutes after their arrival and the breaking down of the door, the defendant law enforcement officers left the apartment.

TWENTY-SIXTH: Defendants' actions constituted an invasion of plaintiffs' right to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects from unlawful searches and seizures as guaranteed by the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

TWENTY-SEVENTH: Plaintiffs reallege the allegations in paragraphs FIRST through TWENTY-FIFTH as though set forth fully herein.

TWENTY-EIGHTH: Defendants' actions constituted an invasion of plaintiffs' right to be free from deprivation of their liberty and property without due process of law as guaranteed by the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United

States Constitution.

FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

TWENTY-NINTH: Plaintiffs reallege the allegations in paragraphs FIRST through TWENTY-FIFTH as though set forth fully herein.

THIRTIETH; Defendants' actions constituted an invasion of plaintiffs' right to privacy as guaranteed by the Ninth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

THIRTY-FIRST: Plaintiffs reallege the allegations in paragraphs FIRST through TWENTY-FIFTH as though set forth fully herein.

THIRTY-SECOND: Defendants' actions constituted an imposition of cruel and unusual punishment in violation of plaintiffs' rights under the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution.

FOR A FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION
OF PLAINTIFF PAMELA FELDER
AGAINST DEFENDANT JOHN DOE

THIRTY-THIRD: Plaintiff PAMELA FELDER realleges the

allegations in paragraphs FIRST through TWENTY-FIFTH as though fully set forth herein.

THIRTY-FOURTH: The actions of defendant JOHN DOE in forcibly disrobing plaintiff PAMELA FELDER, in manipulating her breasts and forcing her to endure upon her naked breasts the stares of the other defendant agents were willful, malicious, and conscious; were contemptuous and derogatory of plaintiff PAMELA FELDER'S rights of privacy and due process of law; and were male-chauvinistically motivated by the selfish and demeaning tactile and voyeuristic desires of defendant JOHN DOE without regard to plaintiff PAMELA FELDER'S dignity, rights, feelings, embarrassment, humiliation, and her emotions.

THIRTY-FIFTH: Plaintiff PAMELA FELDER is entitled to punitive and exemplary damages in addition to her actual damages thereby suffered.

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs request this Court:

A. On the FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION, to assess damages against all defendants in the amount of three hundred thousand (300,000) dollars plus costs and attorney's fees and to grant plaintiffs a trial by jury of all issues so triable in this cause of action;

B. On the SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION, to assess damages

against all defendants in the amount of three hundred thousand (300,000) dollars plus costs and attorney's fees and to grant plaintiffs a trial by jury of all issues so triable in this cause of action;

C. On the THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION, to assess damages against all defendants in the amount of three hundred thousand (300,000) dollars plus costs and attorney's fees and to grant plaintiffs a trial by jury of all issues so triable in this cause of action;

D. On the FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION, to assess damages against all defendants in the amount of three hundred thousand (300,000) dollars plus costs and attorney's fees and to grant plaintiffs a trial by jury of all issues so triable in this cause of action;

E. On the FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION, to assess damages against defendant JOHN DOE in favor of plaintiff PAMELA FELDER in the amount of three hundred thousand (300,000) dollars and, in addition, to impose punitive and exemplary damages against said defendant in favor of said plaintiff in the sum of one million (1,000,000) dollars;

F. Granting to plaintiffs such other, further, and different relief as may be just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York
May 3, 1973

JEREMIAH S. GUTMAN
DONALD L. DOERNBERG
EUGENE N. HARLEY
Levy, Gutman, Goldberg,
and Kaplan
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
363 Seventh Avenue
New York, New York 10001
212 736 2226

148



63-16061-2

ADAC

ENCLOSURE

AIRTEL

To: SAC, New York

9-18-73

From: Director, FBI

2 - Mr. Mintz

1 - Mr. [REDACTED]

b6

PAMELA FELDER, et al. v. ELLIOT RICHARDSON, et al.
U.S.D.C., S.D.N.Y., CIVIL ACTION NO. 73 CIV 2109

ReBuairtel to New York 5-25-73 and urairtel to Bureau 5-31-73.

Contact Office of Clerk, United States District Court, Southern District of New York, and ascertain status of this civil action. Determine whether a motion has been made by the United States Attorney for dismissal of this suit as it relates to former Acting Director William D. Ruckelshaus, and disposition of such motion. If no such motion has been filed, contact Assistant United States Attorney handling this matter, provide him with the facts, and advise him that by memorandum dated June 18, 1973, we requested the Department to move for dismissal of this suit as it relates to former Acting Director Ruckelshaus.

Advise the Bureau of the results of your contact, Attention: Office of Legal Counsel.


NOTE: Based on referenced airtels and memorandum from Acting Director, FBI, to Department dated 6-18-73 reflecting that no FBI personnel were involved in actions alleged in plaintiff's complaint.

PGD:mbk
(6)

REC-70
EX-112

63-16061-3

3 SEP 20 1973



Assoc. Dir. _____
Asst. Dir.: _____
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

MAIL ROOM ☒

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

ADMIN.

To: SAC, New York

5-25-73

From: Acting Director, FBI

1 - Mr. [REDACTED]

2 - Mr. Mintz

PAMELA ELDER, et al., v. DIRECT ACTIVATION, et al.,
U.S.D.C., S.D.N.Y., CIVIL ACTION NO. 73 CIV 2113

b6

Enclosed for New York are copies of the summons and complaint in captioned action.

The Acting Director is named as a defendant. The complaint alleges plaintiffs' constitutional rights were violated by unidentified law enforcement officers during a raid on plaintiffs' home at [REDACTED] New York, on 9-26-72. It is alleged the defendant law enforcement officers were part of a city, state and Federal task force investigating [REDACTED]

You should determine if any Agents of the New York Office were present or participated in the raid. Advise the Bureau of the results by airtel, Attention: Office of Legal Counsel.

Enclosures (2)

NOTE: Service of the summons and complaint in captioned civil action was by letter to the Acting Director dated 5-11-73 from the U. S. Marshal, S.D.N.Y.

FGD:mbk
(7)

63-16061-3
ENCLOSURE

NY 62-255

Inasmuch as JIMMIE FEEBER has filed a civil suit,
no civil rights investigation is being instituted.

F B I

Date: 9/28/73

Transmit the following in AIRTEL
(Type in plaintext or code)Via _____
(Priority)TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
(ATTN: OFFICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL)

FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (62-14860)

SUBJECT: PAMELA FELDER; ET AL;
ELLIOT RICHARDSON
USDC, SDNY
CIVIL ACTION #73 CIV 2109

ReBuairtel, 9/18/73.

SILVIO J. MOLLO, SDNY, Chief Assistant, USA, advised on 9/24/73, that this motion had been made and argued and had been forwarded to the Department of Justice. MOLLO requested that the facts be obtained from the Department of Justice, Washington, D.C.

3-Bureau
(1-Office of Legal Counsel)
1-New York

REC-36

63-16061-4

WJD:ojb
(4)

EX-112

MCT-45

16 OCT 1 1973

b6

OCT 1 1973

Approved: _____

Sent _____

M

Per _____

Special Agent in Charge

**Acting Assistant Attorney General
Civil Division**

October 15, 1973

Director, FBI

2 - Mr. Mintz

1 - Mr.

b6

**PAMELA FELDER, et al.
v. ELLIOT RICHARDSON, et al.
U.S.D.C., S.D.N.Y.,
CIVIL ACTION NO. 73 CIV 2109**

Reference is made to my memorandum of June 18, 1973, concerning the above captioned civil action, requesting that you move for dismissal of this suit as it relates to former Acting Director Ruckelshaus, inasmuch as none of our personnel were involved in the actions alleged in plaintiffs' complaint.



Inquiry by our New York Office as to the status of this civil action reflects that the motion for dismissal has been made and argued. Mr. Silvio J. Mollo, Chief Assistant United States Attorney, Southern District of New York, stated that the results of this motion have been forwarded to the Department of Justice and requested that the facts be obtained therefrom. Accordingly, it is requested that you advise us as to the status of this civil action.

NOTE: Based on Bureau airtel to New York dated 9/18/73 and New York airtel to Bureau dated 9/28/73. This suit concerns alleged unconstitutional actions by unidentified law enforcement officers during a drug raid on plaintiffs' home. No FBI personnel were present or involved in this matter.

PGD:msl
(6)

REC-54

63-16061-5



(Handwritten initials)

Assoc. Dir. _____
Asst. Dir.: _____
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

56 NOV 2 1973

MAIL ROOM ☒

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

F B I

Date: 11/6/73

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (Att: OFFICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL)
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (62-14860)
SUBJECT: PAMELA FELDER;
ET AL;
ELLIOT RICHARDSON, USDC, SDNY
CIVIL ACTION #73 CIV 2109

ReNYairtel to Bu, 9/28/73.

AUSA TAGGART D. ADAMS, SDNY, advised 11/5/73, motion argued and decision has been reached but order has not been signed by USDC, SDNY. As soon as this order is finalized, copies of all pertinent documents will be forwarded to the Bureau.

REC-28

63-16061-6


22 NOV 9 1973

3 Bureau
(1 - Office of Legal Counsel)
1 - New York

WJD:mav
(5)

b6

LEGAL COUNSEL

Approved: 

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO :

DIRECTOR, FBI
(ATTN: OFFICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL) ^{DATE:}

FROM :

SAC, NEW YORK (62-14860) (P)

SUBJECT:

PAMELA FELDER;
ET AL;
ELLIOT RICHARDSON,
USDC, SDNY
CIVIL ACTION #73 CIV 2109

ReNYairtel, 11/7/73.

AUSA TAGGART D. ADAMS, SDNY, advised on 12/20/73, that the order has still not been finalized, but when the USDCJ has signed the documents, all pertinent copies will be forwarded to the NYO. The Bureau will be immediately advised and furnished with all pertinent documents when they are received by the NYO.

SI-111

REC-61

63-16061-7

- 3 - Bureau
(1 - Office of Legal Counsel)
1 - New York

WJD:jfc
(4)

JAN 7 1974

LEGAL COUNSEL

58 JAN 14 1974

b6



F B I

Date: 2/15/74

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
(ATTN: OFFICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL)

FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (62-14860) (C)

SUBJECT: PAMELA FELDER;
ET AL;
ELLIOT RICHARDSON,
USDC, SDNY
CIVIL ACTION #73 CIV 2109

ReNYairtel to Director, dated 1/2/74.

On 2/14/74, AUSA TAGGART D. ADAMS, SDNY, made available a copy of a memorandum entitled, "PAMELA FELDER, ET AL VS. ELLIOT RICHARDSON, ET AL: 73 CIV 2109 (MP). Memorandum was signed by USDJ MILTON POLLACK.

AUSA TAGGART ADAMS forwarded this memorandum to the NYO with a letter dated 2/11/74. Both of these communications are forwarded as enclosures to the Bureau.


- 3 - Bureau (Encs. 3)
(1 - Office of Legal Counsel)
1 - New York

WJD:geg
(5)

REC-363-16061-8

FEB 16 1974

b6

Approved: 
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

ENCLOSURES TO BUREAU (3)

Enclosed are the following:

Memorandum entitled "PAMELA FELDER, ET AL VS.
ELLIOT RICHARDSON, ET AL. Also enclosed is memorandum and letter.

7224
R

63-16061-8

TDA:emw

73-1574

February 11, 1974

Irving Jaffe, Esq.
Acting Assistant Attorney General
Civil Division
Department of Justice
Washington, D. C. 20530

Re: Pamela Felder v. Richardson, et al
73 Civ. 2109 (S.D.N.Y.)

Dear Sir:

This office moved on August 6, 1973 on behalf of the defendants Richardson, Ruckelshaus and Seymour to dismiss the complaint in this action. At oral argument on September 21 Judge Pollock granted the motion and asked the Government to submit an appropriate order which was done later that day. At the same time the Corporation Counsel for the City of New York stated that the plaintiffs had agreed to stipulate to dismiss the case against several of the City officials also named as defendants.

The stipulation involving the City officials was not submitted until a later date. However, a check of the court files reveals that such a stipulation was "so ordered" by Judge Pollack. The judge also apparently decided to write a short memorandum endorsing our motion papers rather than simply signing our submitted order. A copy of this memorandum is enclosed.

Irving Jaffe, Esq.

2

Only those individuals specifically identified and named in the complaint have been served in this action and the stipulation and order have dismissed them from the case. Also named as defendants were "John Doe, Richard Roe, et al., Seven law enforcement officers whose true identities are unknown." The case remains open on the court docket and we shall keep our files open in the event further federal officers or agents are identified and served by the plaintiffs.

Very truly yours,

PAUL J. CURRAN
United States Attorney

By: _____

TAGGART D. ADAMS
Assistant United States Attorney
Telephone: (212) 264-6335


cc: Federal Bureau of Investigation
201 East 69th Street
New York, New York
ATTN: S/A

b6

Whitney North Seymour, Jr., Esq.
Simpson, Thacher & Bartlett
1 Battery Park Plaza
New York, New York

MEMORANDUM


PAMELA PHIPPS et al. v. RICHARDSON, RUCKELSHAUS, et al.
73 CIV. 2102(MD)



Defendants Richardson, Ruckelshaus and Seymour move to dismiss the complaint herein which is grounded on the Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. §1983 and the Fourth, Fifth, Ninth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution. The defendants respectively are the Attorney General of the United States, the Acting Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation at the time and the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York. The latter is charged with responsibility for warrants issued to federal law enforcement officers of the Southern District of New York and is sued both individually and in his official capacity.

The complaint alleges an improper entry into and search of the apartment of the plaintiffs during the course of which it is alleged they were physically abused by persons they believed to have been law enforcement officers.

Plaintiffs have consented to the dismissal of the complaint against defendants Richardson and Ruckelshaus. Defendant Seymour moves for dismissal on the ground of his immunity from suit as a federal officer. Although no action lies against a federal officer under the Civil Rights Acts Act, 42 U.S.C. §1983, because that statute refers only to persons acting under color of state law and does not apply to federal officers, Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents, 456 F.2d 1339, 1346 (2d Cir. 1972), so much of the claim which is posited on the violation of the Fourth Amendment may be asserted against federal officials under certain circumstances. Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents, 403 U.S. 388 (1971). However, the defense of official immunity may be asserted nonetheless against a Fourth Amendment claim where the facts show that the conduct complained of was within the scope of the officer's legal authority and that the officer was




performing a discretionary function. Bivens v. Six Unknown
Named Agents, 456 F.2d 1339, 1343 (2d Cir. 1972).

The record evidences that after an indictment was handed down by a federal grand jury in the Southern District of New York it was ordered sealed and bench warrants were issued by a Judge of this Court for all persons indicted. The Indictment itself was signed by Seymour as United States Attorney pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 7(c). In requesting that the Indictment be sealed and that bench warrants be issued by the Court, Seymour and his assistants were clearly acting within their discretionary function. There is no allegation of malice against them in this complaint. Clearly then, Seymour was acting within the outer perimeter of his line of duty and he was performing the type of discretionary function that entitles him to immunity from suit.

The motions of the defendants Richardson and Ruckelshaus are granted on consent; the motion of the defendant Seymour is granted for the reasons stated above. The complaint is dismissed with prejudice as to all three moving defendants.

SO ORDERED.

September 24, 1973



Milton Pollack

U.S. District Judge