FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOI/PA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET
FOI/PA# 1401765-0

Total Deleted Page(s) = 3
Page 5 ~ Duplicate;
Page 8 ~ Duplicate;
Page 9 ~ Duplicate;

```
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X   Deleted Page(s)    X
X   No Duplication Fee X
X   For this Page      X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
```

Complaint Form
FD-71 (Rev. 7-21-67)

11/10 PIII 1 F11 6P

NOTE: Hand print names legibly; handwriting satisfactory for remainder.

Indices: [X] Negative [ ] See below

Subject's name and aliases	Character of case
Unsubstantiated DORIS DUKE - Victim Possible Extortion VICTIM	THREATENING PHONE CALLS Complainant [redacted] Complaint received [ ] Personal [X] Telephonic Date 11/5/75 Time 1:30PM
Address of subject 505 Park Ave., NYC PL3-7231	Complainant's address and telephone number [redacted]

b6
b7C

Subject's Description	Race	Sex	Height	Hair	Build	Birth date and Birthplace
	Age	[ ] Male [ ] Female	Weight	Eyes	Complexion	
	Scars, marks or other date					

Facts of complaint

Complainant telephonically [redacted] contacted the NYO and furnished the following information. Cis legal attorney for MISS DORIS DUKE and MISS DUKE"S Business Office at 505 Park Ave., NYC tel # PL3-7231 has been recieving calls from an male calling himself [redacted] ph). The total calls to date is 6 and these calls MISS DUKE AND 3 associates [redacted] (ph); [redacted] (ph) [redacted]; [redacted] (ph) lives are being threatened.

b6
b7C

OPEN (REOPEN) 11/11/75 CASE
ORIGIN DATE 11/6/75
SUPV SECT 21

9-7068

EO

#21

Action Recommended

SC [redacted]
(Agent)

b6
b7C

Indices Search Slip
FD-160 (Rev. 3-23-71)

TO: CHIEF CLERK

Date

Subject: Doris A Duke

Social Security Account #

Aliases

Address	Birth Date	Birthplace	Race	Sex ☐ Male ☐ Female

☐ Exact Spelling
☐ All References
☐ Main Subversive Case Files Only
☐ Subversive References Only
☐ Main Criminal Case Files Only
☐ Criminal References Only
☐ Main Subversive (If no Main, list all Subversive References)
☐ Main Criminal (If no Main, list all Criminal References)
☐ Restrict to Locality of

File & Serial Number	Remarks	File & Serial Number	Remarks
See attd.			

Requested by	Squad	Extension	File No.

Searched by: 11/7/75 (date)

Consolidated by: (date)

Reviewed by: (date)

File Review Symbols

I - Identical
NI - Not identical
? - Not identifiable
U - Unavailable reference

G.P.O. 1972-471-961

DUKE, DORIS (VIC) 3/74 9-6433*

AKA OF UNSUB
DUKE, DORIS 6/67 62-OB-2165

DUKE, DORIS 62-7204-871

Duke, Doris 62-3057
[illegible] to [illegible]

DUKE, DORIS 100-17808 - Sub A.

pgs 1035, 1038, 9/50

DUKE, DORIS [redacted] b3 b7E

7/53
DUKE, DORIS 44-187-1

4-52
DUKE, DORIS 67-13453-810

DUKE, DORIS 6/58 92-718-120 P. 137

DUKE, DORIS *77-5001

DUKE, DORIS 5/66 [redacted] b3 b7E

Forminent member of NY Cafe Society

75
9

FD-302 (REV. 11-27-70)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 12/8/75

1

[redacted] Doris Duke Foundation, 505 Park Avenue, New York, New York (NY), was interviewed at her office in an attempt to determine the identity of an individual who had early called the Doris Duke Foundation threatening to kill Miss DUKE and other officers and directors of the foundation. b6 b7C

[redacted] advised that on October 31, 1975, between the hours of 1:00 and 2:00 PM she received a telephone call on PL3-7231. An individual identifying himself as [redacted] was then heard to state "Tell Miss DUKE to please leave me alone and to get off my back". According to [redacted] she received 4 or 5 more calls during the day which she recalled as occurring on October 31, 1975 because of her suspicion that the calls were a halloween prank. On Monday, November 3, 1975, the calls continued, prompting a request for assistance from the Annoyance Bureau of the New York Telephone Company. b6 b7C

[redacted] described the voice of the caller as sounding like a male, possibly black in his early 40's or 50's. The individuals voice gave no indication of extensive formal education. None of he calls received by [redacted] contained any threats against her or other employees of the Doris Duke Foundation. She had no suspicions as to the identity of the individual calling her on October 31, or November 3, 1975. b6 b7C

Interviewed on 11/20/75 at New York, New York File # NY 9-7000 2

by SA [redacted] :mcp Date dictated 11/27/75 b6 b7C

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

FD-302 (REV. 11-27-70)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 12/8/75

1

[redacted] Doris Duke Foundation, 505 Park Avenue, New York, New York (NY), advised that shortly after noon on either October 31, or November 3, 1975, (the exact date she is not able to recall) she received a telephone call on her office number PL3-7231. After answering the telephone with the salutation, "7231", [redacted] was addressed by an unknown male calling himself, [redacted] who instructed, "Get Doris Duke off my back". [redacted] responded that she did not know what the person was talking about and thereafter hung up. The caller almost immediately called back and was alleged to have stated "I'll kill you, [redacted] and [redacted]" The caller then terminated the call. [redacted] explained that several more calls, all believed to be from the same individual, were received by her and [redacted] later that afternoon. [redacted] did not know if any threats were uttered by the individual in the calls received by [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted] described the voice of the individual calling her as that of a male in his 40's or 50's who sounded to have little formal education. She overheard no background noice and had no suspicions as to the identity of the caller.

b6
b7C

According to [redacted] phone number PL3-7231 has been changed since receipt of the threatening phone calls. The current office number of the Doris Duke Foundation is, at this time, unpublished.

b6
b7C

[redacted] explained that she is an officer but not a Director of the Doris Duke Foundation. The foundations' directors were identified as Miss DORIS DUKE, residing at Duke Farms, Somerville, New Jersey (NJ); [redacted] whose married name is now [redacted] residing at [redacted] and [redacted] All of the directors names as well as

b6
b7C

Interviewed on 11/20/75 at New York, New York File # NY 9-7066

by SA [redacted] :mcp Date dictated 11/27/75

b6
b7C

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

that of [redacted] appear on foundation publications. [redacted] was of the opinion that it was in this manner that the unknown caller became aware of the names of the individuals against whom he directed his threats.

b6
b7C

11/21/75

AIRTEL

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (9-7066) (C)

SUBJECT: UNSUB aka [redacted]
DORIS DUKE - VICTIM;
[redacted] VICTIM;
[redacted] VICTIM
[redacted] - VICTIM
EXTORTION

b6
b7C

On 11/5/75, [redacted] for the Doris Duke Foundation, 505 Park Avenue, NYC, telephonically contacted the NYO to advise of the receipt by the foundation of a series of threatening telephone calls from an unknown individual identifying himself as [redacted]

b6
b7C

Subsequent investigation reflected [redacted] of the foundation, 505 Park Avenue, NYC, received two telephone calls on either 10/31/75 or 11/3/75 (exact date unrecalled) from individual who, after identifying himself as [redacted] instructed, "Get DORIS DUKE off my back". Unsub was also quoted as saying, "I'll kill [redacted] DORIS DUKE, [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted] explained she is an officer of the Duke Foundation while Miss DUKE, [redacted] whose married name is now [redacted] and [redacted] are the foundation's only directors, all of whose names appear in foundation

b6
b7C

2 - Bureau
1 - Los Angeles (INFO)
1 - Newark (INFO)
1 - San Francisco (INFO)
1 - New York
JMC:gcg
(7) 1 - Supvr. #21

9-7066-44

SEARCHED
SERIALIZED
INDEXED
FILED

CLOSE ON THIS

FILE STRIPPED BY ON

CONSOLIDATED BY ON 9/1/76

publications. [redacted] resides at [redacted] b6
[redacted] and [redacted] at [redacted] b7C
[redacted] DUKE's residence is at Duke Farms, Somerville, New Jersey. All are aware of unsub's calls.

Unsub's voice described as male in 40's or 50's with little educational background. No background noise detected and no evidence developed to spot origin of call. No calls have been received since 11/3/75. No suspects developed.

The NYO has advised both the personnel of the Doris Duke Foundation and [redacted] Supra of the interstate character placed upon Title 18, USC, Section 875 and encouraged them to determine from the unsub, if another call is to be received, the point from where the call is originating. b6 b7C

Local authorities have been advised of unsub's calls and of his threats. Foundation personnel have contacted the Annoyance Bureau of the telephone company.

Without evidence pointing to an interstate nature of the calls and in the absence of any additional calls from the unsub through which this might be established, New York is conducitng no further investigation. Foundation personnel have been advised to immediately contact the NYO should additional calls or letters (heretofore not received) be forthcoming from the unsub.

Information copies of this airtel are being furnished Newark, Los Angeles and San Francisco in view of victims' residency in those Divisions.

Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice

PEF:MT

New York, N. Y.
April 16, 1942.

PERSONAL AND ~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

Dear Sir:

Pursuant to your instructions, I endeavored to contact Miss Doris Duke. However, I have been advised at her residence here that she is now at her home in the South and will not return to New York for another three or four weeks. Immediately upon her return to the city I will get in touch with her.

Very truly yours,

P. E. Foxworth

P. E. FOXWORTH
Assistant Director.

~~DEFERRED RECORDING~~

RECORDED

62-67944-1

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
1 MAY 20 1942
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

COPIES DESTROYED
30 NOV 3 1964

WA 36 FROM LOS ANGELES 11 6-14 PM PDST

DIRECTOR URGENT

DORIS DUKE, INFORMATION CONCERNING. BRIGHAM TOWNSEND, PUBLICITY MANAGER, HOLLYWOOD ROOSEVELT HOTEL, LA, TELEPHONICALLY ADVISED THAT HE HAD HEARD FROM OUTSIDE SOURCES THAT DORIS DUKE HAD APPROXIMATELY ONE WEEK AGO FLOWN FROM CALIF. TO EGYPT WITH AN INDIVIDUAL KNOWN AS YOGI RAU. TOWNSEND STATED RAU IS REPORTEDLY A HINDU WITH OCCULT POWERS WHO ARRIVED IN THE U.S. THROUGH NEW YORK CITY IN EARLY FIFTY-TWO. DUKE BECAME ACQUAINTED WITH RAU BECAUSE OF HIS ABILITY TO ASSIST HER IN SLEEPING AT NIGHT. TOWNSEND SAID HE HAD HEARD THAT RAU-S POWER OVER DUKE HAD REACHED THE POINT WHERE SHE WAS GIVING HIM SIGNED BLANK CHECKS FOR HIM TO DO WITH AS HE PLEASED. TOWNSEND DOES NOT KNOW EITHER PARTY AND HAS NEVER SEEN RAU, BUT BELIEVES THAT RAU POSSIBLY HAS DUKE UNDER HYPNOSIS AND CAUSED HER TO GO TO EGYPT FOR UNKNOWN SINISTER PURPOSES, HIS INFORMATION IS ENTIRELY HEARSAY. RAU REPORTEDLY KNOWN TO INDIAN CONSUL, NYC, WHO IS ENDEAVORING TO CAUSE RAU-S RETURN TO INDIA. NO INQUIRY BEING CONDUCTED.

CARSON

RECORDED - 76

62-67[illegible]-2

END, ACK, AND HOLD PLS

WA LA R 36 WA AS

ALSO RELAY

71 SEP 23 1952

SEP 16 1952

TELETYPE

WASH 16 FROM LOS ANGELES 16 2-56 PM

DIRECTOR URGENT

DORIS DUKE, INFORMATION CONCERNING. REMYTEL SEPTEMBER ELEVENTH. A LARGE AD IN THE LOS ANGELES TIMES NEWSPAPER ON SUNDAY SEPTEMBER FOURTEENTH ANNOUNCED THAT ~~QUOTE~~ STARTING TOMORROW THE MIRROR BRINGS YOU THE TRUE BUT FANTASTIC TALE OF AN EXOTIC RELATIONSHIP DASH ~~THE STORY OF DORIS DUKE CROMWELL AND LAKSHMANASANDRA RAO.~~ DON-T MISS ~~QUOTE~~ THE YOGI AND THE HEIRESS ~~QUOTE~~ BY OMAR GARRISON END QUOTE. THE AD INCLUDES A STATEMENT, ~~QUOTE~~ HE GAVE HER PEACE ONE HUNDRED MILLION DOLLARS COULDN-T BUY ~~END QUOTE~~ AND SHOWS ~~PICTURE OF RAO, DESCRIBED AS A FORMER HINDU TEMPLE BEGGAR.~~ NO MENTION IN THE AD THAT RAO AND DUKE HAVE LEFT HERE. NO INQUIRY BEING CONDUCTED UACB.

RECORDED-86 62-67944-3

CARSON SEP 19 1952

SE 50

HOLD

EX-129

cc Mr Rosen

62 OCT 2 1952

Wiesbaden den 22.12.1953

An

Missing Person's Bureau
Washington D.C.
U.S.A.

Hiermit bitte ich Sie höflichst um die Adresse von Madam Doris Duke.

Mrs. Doris Duke war früher ein berühmter Filmstar in Hollywood, (Californien) jedoch ist Mrs. Doris Duke nun im diplomatischen Dienst der USA. und infolgedessen auf Auslandsreisen. Können Sie mir ihre feste Adresse oder ihre jetzige Adresse mitteilen, oder beides Adressen?

Im voraus besten Dank

zeichnet

mit vorzüglichster Hochachtung

Clara Schröder

[redacted] b6 b7C

[illegible] ack. 1-5-54 GEM

TRANSLATION FROM GERMAN

Wiesbaden, 12-22-53

Missing Person's Bureau
Washington, D. C., U. S. A.

(y)

Herewith I would like to kindly request the address of Madame DORIS DUKE.

Mrs. DORIS DUKE used to be a famous film star in Hollywood, California, however, ~~Mrs.~~ DORIS DUKE is now in the U. S. A. diplomatic service and therefore traveling abroad. Could you transmit to me her permanent address, or her present one, or both addresses?

Thanking you in advance,

Respectfully yours,

S/ CLARA SCHROEDER

Return:

Miss CLARA SCHROEDER

[redacted]

b6
b7C

rml
ack. 1-5-54
GEM

RECORDED - 69 162-67944-4
JAN 8 1954

COPIES DESTROYED
30 NOV 3 1964

ry-108

January 5, 1954

RECORDED - 69

INDEXED - 69

Miss Clara Schroeder

[redacted]

b6
b7C

Dear Miss Schroeder:

Your letter dated December 22, 1953, which was addressed to the Missing Persons Bureau, has been referred to my attention.

Although I would like to be of service, the FBI does not have the information you desire.

Sincerely yours,

John Edgar Hoover
Director

cc - Liaison Representative, with copy of incoming.
Heidelburg, Germany

cc - Foreign Liaison Desk

NOTE: Correspondent undoubtedly has reference to Miss Doris Duke, the well-known multimillionaire.

Tolson
Ladd
Nichols
Belmont
Clegg
Glavin
Harbo
Rosen
Tracy
Gearty
Mohr
Winterrowd
Tele. Room
Holloman
Miss Gandy

GEM:mbk/tmc

MAILED 2
JAN 6 1954
COMM-FBI

58 JAN 13 1954

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOI/PA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET
FOI/PA# 1401765-0

Total Deleted Page(s) = 16
Page 2 ~ Duplicate;
Page 3 ~ Duplicate;
Page 4 ~ Duplicate;
Page 5 ~ Duplicate;
Page 6 ~ Duplicate;
Page 8 ~ Duplicate;
Page 9 ~ Duplicate;
Page 10 ~ Duplicate;
Page 12 ~ Duplicate;
Page 13 ~ Duplicate;
Page 14 ~ Duplicate;
Page 19 ~ Duplicate;
Page 20 ~ Duplicate;
Page 21 ~ Duplicate;
Page 22 ~ Duplicate;
Page 23 ~ Duplicate;

```
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X   Deleted Page(s)    X
X   No Duplication Fee X
X   For this Page      X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
```

87D-500374

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED ____ FILED ____

MAY 20 1988

FBI — LOS ANGELES

[redacted]

SANTA ANA RA

b6
b7C

87D-50037-2

SEARCHED INDEXED
SERIALIZED FILED

MAY 10 1988

FBI — LOS ANGELES

[redacted] MM

SANTA ANA RA

b6
b7C

87D-50037-3

SEARCHED LA INDEXED
SERIALIZED FILED

MAY 10 1988

[redacted] GELES

SANTA ANA RA

b6
b7C

87D-50037-4

SEARCHED ___ INDEXED ___
SERIALIZED ___ FILED ___

MAY 10 1988

FBI — LOS ANGELES

[redacted] INV

SANTA ANA RA

b6
b7C

American Arbitration Association

COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION TRIBUNAL

In the Matter of the Arbitration between

DDVG CORPORATION
-AND-
SUPERFUEL SYSTEMS, INC.

CASE NUMBER: RE: 74-199-0227-85

AWARD OF ARBITRATOR(~~S~~)

I, (~~WE~~) THE UNDERSIGNED ARBITRATOR(~~S~~), having been designated in accordance with the Arbitration Agreement entered into by the above-named Parties, and dated October 14, 1984 and having been duly sworn and having duly heard the proofs and allegations of the Parties, AWARD, as follows:

The subject contract between Claimant, DDVG CORPORATION, and Respondent, SUPERFUEL SYSTEMS, INC., shall be rescinded.

Respondent, SUPERFUEL SYSTEMS, INC., shall pay to Claimant, DDVG CORPORATION, the sum of THREE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS AND ZERO CENTS ($300,000.00).

Claimant shall return or renounce all benefits due it under the contract, including all rights to practice or to pass on to third parties any of the technology or trade secrets acquired from Respondent.

The administrative fees and expenses of the American Arbitration Association shall be borne by the parties equally. Said fees and expenses shall be paid as directed by the Association.

This Award is in full settlement of all claims submitted to this arbitration.

Findings of fact and conclusion of law are incorporated by reference to the attached sheet.

b6
b7C

DATED: Sept. 19, 1985

74-199-0227-85

DDVG CORPORATION
-AND-
SUPERFUEL SYSTEMS, INC.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Having heard and considered the Proofs and Arguments of both parties, I conclude that the facts in the case support Claimant's contention that there was fraud in the inducement of the contract and in material breaching of the contract during execution.

Fraud in the Inducement consisted of Respondent and its authorized agent [redacted] making many false and misleading statements and claims to induce Claimant, with whom had been established a feeling of mutual trust and confidence, to fund the Project. Included were statements that Respondent owned an invention and trade secrets relative to methanol production and had tested and proven processes which needed only development to commercial devices to allow for production of methanol at extremely low cost; whereas Respondent did not have trade secrets or proven processses or legitimate grounds on which to claim ability to produce low cost methanol. Also, Respondent's agent [redacted] made numerous misrepresentations, such as: one of the waste products of the process was pure aluminum; that several by-products in this system generated revenue which could cover all overhead costs; that the system could produce pure methane for Ten cents per MM BTU; that aluminum ingots could be produced for Twenty cents/lb.; that the process segregated pure elements without energy use; that the process was pollution free; that the process could desalinate sea water and extract all the essential minerals; and that the Superfuel process could actually outheat the nuclear reactor - none of which claims have merit.

b6
b7C

Fraud in the execution of the contract consisted of making material breaches in the contract, which provided that funds advanced would be used solely to pay for construction costs of the pilot plant and commencement of operation costs. In practice, funds were used improperly to advance $30,000.00 to [redacted] in express contravention of the contract and for numerous purposes not directly related to construction or operating costs.

Under the substantive law of New York, relief from acts of fraud as outlined above is provided by rescission of the contract and restoration of the parties to the position they were in just prior to signing of the contract.

[redacted]

b6
b7C

87D-50037-5

INDICES SEARCHED:

MANUALLY DB

FOIMS

SEARCHED ___ INDEXED ___
SERIALIZED ___ FILED ___

NCC 3

MAY 09 1988

FBI — LOS ANGELES

SANTA ANA RA
W/ENCC

b6
b7C

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOI/PA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET
FOI/PA# 1401765-0

Total Deleted Page(s) = 40
Page 43 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 44 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 45 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 46 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 47 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 48 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 49 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 50 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 54 ~ Duplicate;
Page 65 ~ Duplicate;
Page 66 ~ Duplicate;
Page 67 ~ Duplicate;
Page 68 ~ Duplicate;
Page 69 ~ Duplicate;
Page 71 ~ Duplicate;
Page 76 ~ Duplicate;
Page 77 ~ Duplicate;
Page 78 ~ Duplicate;
Page 82 ~ Duplicate;
Page 83 ~ Duplicate;
Page 84 ~ Duplicate;
Page 86 ~ Duplicate;
Page 89 ~ Duplicate;
Page 92 ~ Duplicate;
Page 99 ~ Duplicate;
Page 100 ~ Duplicate;
Page 101 ~ Duplicate;
Page 102 ~ Duplicate;
Page 104 ~ Duplicate;
Page 108 ~ Duplicate;
Page 109 ~ Duplicate;
Page 116 ~ Duplicate;
Page 117 ~ Duplicate;
Page 118 ~ Duplicate;
Page 121 ~ Duplicate;
Page 129 ~ Duplicate;
Page 138 ~ Duplicate;
Page 139 ~ Duplicate;
Page 140 ~ Duplicate;
Page 141 ~ Duplicate;

```
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X   Deleted Page(s)    X
X   No Duplication Fee X
X   For this Page      X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
```

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

memorandum

DATE: 10/21/87

REPLY TO ATTN OF: SA [redacted] b6 b7C

SUBJECT: [redacted]

DORIS DUKE-VICTIM; - 9-3142*
LOSS OF $3.3 MILLION;
FBW; ITSP;
OO:NEWARK

To: SAC, NEWARK (87D-33778) (P) (C-5)

On 10/8/87, a meeting was set up with writer, [redacted] Gateway One, 14th Floor, Newark, NJ, and [redacted] INVESTIGATIONS-PROTECTIVE SERVICES, 134 Lexington Avenue, New York, NY, at the office of ROBINSON, WAYNE, LEVIN, RICCIO AND LA SALA in Newark, NJ. The following facts were obtained: b6 b7C

[redacted] w/m, blonde hair, blue eyes, 6'1", 175 pounds, was an employee of DORIS DUKE. From approximately September, 1984 through July, 1985, [redacted] worked as the [redacted] of DUKE FARMS in Hillsborough, NJ, on the estate where DUKE resides. During his 10 month tenure, [redacted] resided on the premises of DUKE FARMS. This is standard procedure for employees of DUKE FARMS to sign a confidentiality agreement as a condition of employment, agreeing not to disclose personal matters involving Miss DUKE and DUKE FARMS to the outside world. While employed as [redacted] had virtually complete access to Miss DUKE's business records and private financial papers. b6 b7C

[redacted] was fired in the summer of 1985, after it was discovered that he had diverted in excess of $3 million of Duke's funds on deposit with the MORGAN GUARANTY TRUST COMPANY in New York. [redacted] diverted DUKE'S money to one or more real estate ventures in California, in which [redacted] had a personal interest or affiliation. Those real estate investments are known by various names, including "Newport Harbor Funding, Inc.," and also involved an individual named [redacted] There has been civil litigation on DUKE's behalf to recover the diverted funds. [redacted] had led DUKE to believe she was putting this money in an escrow account for future investments. In reality, these funds went to [redacted] account. b6 b7C

(2) Newark
SMP/hjk
(2)

87D-33778-1

SEARCHED INDEXED
SERIALIZED FILED

OCT 20 1987

Dpnr Record Case 87 Dmcw
Alpha
Origin NK
Supv
Source I P A

Priority
Date 10-26-87
Squad C-5

- confirmation
- index

[redacted] b6 b7C

OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10
(REV. 1-80)
GSA FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.6
5010-114

☆ GPO : 1983 O - 381-526 (9073)

After [redacted] was fired, he transmitted, via the UNITED STATES MAILS, a series of threatening letters to DUKE. Those letters contained admissions by [redacted] that he had stolen from New Jersey, personal financial records and other private papers owned by DUKE. IN one of those letters, postmarked October 16, 1985, in San Diego, and mailed to DUKE in New York City, [redacted] threatens to extort DUKE by supplying the stolen financial data to a book publisher affiliated with [redacted]. The October, 1985, letter shows [redacted] criminal state of mind to commit what he acknowledges could be called "blackmail" by providing to the book publisher "all the material I have on your financial holdings, and other esoteric data I collected while there (at DUKE FARMS)." The October letter also displays [redacted] vicious desire to injure DUKE. In his words, [redacted] wanted to "teach you (DUKE) a lesson..." and to take you down a peg or two..." b6 b7C

This fall, LYLE STUART, INC., a publishing company located at 120 Enterprise Avenue, Secaucus, NJ, has indicated it will be distributing a book [redacted] The co-authors of that book, a manuscript of which is submitted with this memorandum, are [redacted] In particular, Chapter One of the book (especially pages 18-24) is devoted to a lengthy "disclosure" of private financial data regarding DUKE's assets, properties, income sources, taxes, stock portfolios, personal expenditures and other personal items. The source of these and other disclosures of private facts in the book are believed to be the "materials," "financial data," and other documents stolen by [redacted] from DUKE after he was fired. [redacted] is allegedly a co-conspirator with [redacted] in using this stolen material to their joint commercial advantage. Furthermore, the publisher may well have knowledge of this theft, especially given the suspicious manner in the book's "Foreward" where the authors describe their "research that made [the] book possible." b6 b7C

[redacted] also defrauded DUKE out of $300,000 in another deal. DUKE met [redacted] through her doctor on the West Coast. She was introduced to him as [redacted] is also [redacted] in San Diego, CA. DUKE was interested in investing in a pump system which she read about in the "Spotlight Magazine." [redacted] advised her that there is a better deal to invest in named "Super Fuel Systems, Inc.," b6 b7C

located ion Arizona. [redacted] advised DUKE that this system had the ability to produce low cost methanol. Also, one of the waste products of the process was pure aluminum and that several by-products in this system generated revenue which could cover overhead costs; that the system could produce pure methane for 10 cents per MM BTU; that aluminum ingots could be produced for 20 cents a pound; that the process segregated pure elements without energy use; that the process was pollution free; that the process could desalinate sea water and extract all the essential minerals; and that the Superfuel process could actually outheat the nuclear reactor. DUKE trusted [redacted] and she invested $300,000 of her money into the Superfuel. [redacted] allegedly took the $300,000 and never had such a business. The name of the company established for this Superfuel project was DDVG, which [redacted] was an officer and [redacted] of this company. [redacted] defrauded DUKE the entire $300,000. b6 b7C

[redacted] is described as approximately 50 years of age, white, male, address. [redacted] Another address for [redacted] b6 b7C

Last known address of [redacted] [redacted] where it is believe he owns his residence.

It is recommended that a new case be opened based on the above facts.

Contact with AUSA [redacted] was made and he also advised [redacted] stated he would not be the attorney handling the matter, but advised [redacted] b5 b6 b7C

FD-340a (Rev. 10-3-77)

(Title) _____

(File No.) NK 87D-33778-1A

Item	Date Filed	To be returned Yes	To be returned No		Disposition
~~1.~~	~~11/3/87~~		~~CD~~	~~ORIG INT NOTES OF DORIS DUKE. FORM AGENT. REC'D 10/28/87~~ BY [redacted]	
2.	12/10/1987		TK	Original notes re interview of George Reed-12/3/87 rec'd 12/3/87 From BY SA [redacted]	
3	1/21/88		MS	ORIGINAL NOTES RE INTERVIEW OF [redacted] ~~RECEIVED ON~~ 12/3/87 BY SA [redacted]	
4.	2/18/88	JC		ORIGINAL NOTES RE INTERVIEW OF [redacted] RECEIVED 2/1/88 BY [redacted]	
- 5	7/22/88		MS	ORIGINAL NOTES RE INTERVIEW OF [redacted] RECEIVED ON 7/12/88 BROM SAN DIEGO. SEE SERIAL 17	
6	9/27/88		MS	ORIGINAL NOTES RE INTERVIEW OF [redacted] ~~RECEIVED~~ FROM OMAHA ON 9/22/88. SEE SEIRAL 21.	

b6
b7C

87D-33778-1A

SEARCHED SERIALIZED INDEXED FILED

NOV 03 1987

FBI — NEWARK

FD-340 (Rev. 4-2-85)

Field File No. _____

Serial # of Originating Document _____

OO and File No. NK 87A-33778-1A1

Date Received 10/28/87

From _____
(Name of Contributor)

_____
(Address of Contributor)

By [redacted] b6 b7C

To Be Returned ☐ Yes ☒ No Receipt Given ☐ Yes ☒ No

Grand Jury Material - Disseminate Only Pursuant to Rule 6(e), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure ☐ Yes ☒ No

Title: [redacted]

Reference: _____
(Communication Enclosing Material)

Description: ☒ Original notes re interview of
Doris Duke

1 pmp 10/28/87

[redacted] Oct 1984 thru July - 1985 9 months
spoke over telephone - Hawaii
main job to take care of farm/property
taught Economics - Nat'l College - San Diego
National College - San Diego
Daisy Farm together - first job - not helpful
I was trained

b6
b7C

[redacted] - introduced [redacted] to DD
- he moved up to Property - house rent-free
& utilities.
he spoke of being expert in financial
but
George Reed bus manager - on vacation for 6 wks
locked filed - [redacted] got locked filed
$3 mil
funds were badly invested - ways to make
more - Real Estate on W Coast - ~~[illegible]~~ kept
saying not interested
never signed anything - no authority
signed a form to open an acct in escrow
for something completely

b6
b7C

4 days
Morgan Guarantee in NYC ~~Trust~~ [redacted] - handled her acct
transfer her funds - dif acct # Newport
B. All investments gone - you lost
out.

[redacted] - said I had to do this own my own to help
you out - such good a investment [redacted] told DD

2

$3 mil - back - thru litigation Morgan Guar. 2.1 mil in cash

Shopping Center - they spent $ on - which was not worth

She told them she wants $ back & they bought Semi Valley after this happened

DD got title & sold -

[redacted] Newport Harbor Funding - Pres partner with [redacted] - affiliated together

[redacted] met in LA at a party - Super Fuel -

he spoke of poor inventors - no one backs them with $. DD was interested in backing. b6 b7C

- Someone in Bakers field invented a pump - DD went to see it

Months later [redacted] said no we are not going to do this

- Make Methane for 10¢ a gallon - cut down pollution - called "Super Fuel"

Arbitan - I won - pay back -

[redacted] $ - 30,000 in his pockets - bought cars -

1 VCR - got back

1 Machine of Super Fuel - they purchased from Uher Power Supply - if power goes off - this recharges batteries on computer - which has nothing to do with $300,000 - never recovered this

Pure Aluminum - 1 waste product pure alum / gold / silacone chips

see Sheet

3 [redacted] - old attn

Late 1984 - early 85

[redacted] - he [redacted] West Coast/San Diego publ.

$30,000 advanced to himself which was not related to project - showed went to [redacted] - no other leads for $300,000.

b6
b7C

Dates of transactions of "Super Fuel."

[redacted] was in Arizona Oct 4, 1984

[redacted] - representative

- $3 million was total [redacted] wanted. -

Oct 1984 Super Fuel -

[redacted]

b6
b7C

$3 mil 5/30/85 stolen

~~Sept 84~~ thru July 85

DD sent [redacted] to Arizona - to check out Super Fuel

[redacted] said they need money to build club - [redacted] took Video tape - some machine

- Oct 9, 1984 - [redacted] was hired - 5 days earlier violation in Arizona - ticket

3/

Hawaii [redacted] April/May 1988

Girl - [redacted] - Associate of San Diego

b6
b7C

[redacted] [redacted]

jan of all trades

[redacted] live long employee

90% / DOB wrong /
publiced on merit - no
- all financial data - he stole -
- Lyle Stuart Publishers -

Doris Duke
DOB Nov. 22, 1912
POB NYC, NY
~~SSAN~~ SSAN 077-24-0583
NO Children

201-722-3700

[redacted] - friends Big Island of Hawaii, Dukes Farms

b6
b7C

lives here
DOB [redacted]
POB
SSAN
Marital St - Single

[redacted] b6
b7C

DOB [redacted]

6'1" Bl. Blue eyes

[redacted] approx 50

work [redacted]

[redacted]

FD-340 (Rev. 4-2-85)

Field File No. _____

Serial # of Originating Document _____

OO and File No. 87D-33778 / A2

Date Received 12-3-87

From _____
(Name of Contributor)

_____
(Address of Contributor)

_____
State)

By SA [redacted]
ial Agent)

To Be Returned ☐ Yes ☑ No Receipt Given ☐ Yes ☑ No

Grand Jury Material - Disseminate Only Pursuant to Rule 6(e), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure ☐ Yes ☑ No

b6
b7C

Title: [redacted]

Reference: _____
(Communication Enclosing Material)

Description: ☒ Original notes re interview of
George Reed - 12-3-87

PLEASE DO NOT REMOVE
THIS SLIP FROM EXHIBIT

87D-33778-1A2

12/3 Amp

722-3700

George W. Reed - Dukes Farm
18 yrs SSAN 078-09-3591
DOB 3/11/19 POB Middletown N.Y.

✓ He met each of us - hired for
Dukes Farm -
~~Ao~~ in business office -
over a period a time he had access
to her fin'l books - business purposes

✓ She said she should in estate ventures
- Morgan G should sell & put
funds in pool - for real estate
It was done -
April 18, 1985 - 6 wks. -
Foundation tax returns was done of
Miss Duke - Miss Duke told to go on
✓ Vac. said [redacted] b6 b7C
in mail got inv from
wire (advise from MG - Miss
Duke called I don't want $ to go
to CA. She advised money went out
previous Thurs $3 mil wired
"Get it back for me - Doris Duke
said. Trans was not authorized
June 3, 1985

2/

I said "we get back $"

He wasn't in office - Do what you have to do.
He didn't help -

It wasn't authorized

Newport [redacted] Dus.
Newport Res. Foundation - private
or real estate - renting houses -
old homes Newport harbor area
Colonial
telep [redacted] - Transf
hadn't been authorized

b6
b7C

[redacted] called & said he told to [redacted]
& all $ was invested -

turned out later it wasn't invested
$3.1 mil was returned

b6
b7C

[redacted] called me at home - wanted
info on Duke - I said I wasn't
going give any $ - He spoke Kite
[redacted] he was trying to
contact other employees re info
re Duke don't

3

[redacted]

He called re his personal belongings to [redacted] Sue Miss Duke for wrongful discharge

b6
b7C

One morning when he came in - my son ~~cat~~ saw you with police cars - he got a ticket for not having his drivers licensed & he showed summons. He showed a typed note - re police stop - He said he was taking a walk

July 8 Monday - [redacted]

rec at call from [redacted] saying he was leaving.

When returned from vac. - [redacted]

b6
b7C

was a student here as office manage -

"You got to cooperate w me & [redacted] said - Kept telling me you must cooperate w me. -

Then I saw advice of bank

Miss Duke is my boss

[redacted] was my boss from [redacted]

letter

[redacted] left in Fall of 85 back in California - leave time - He brought her from California & feel he brought her in to Kussion him - She was over ~~him~~ me.

4

She didn't have access to financial records. She came while he was on vacation. She decided not to come back from California - Dec of 1985. Don't recall her talking re $3 mil. dollars.

✓ Escrow - ~~to~~ was not an escrow acct - it was an acct of [redacted] / [redacted] b6 b7C

✓ [redacted] called & said take this name & address & give to [redacted] [redacted] put in escrow

FD-340 (Rev. 4-2-85)

Field File No. _____

Serial # of Originating Document _____

OO and File No. 87A-33778-1A-3

Date Received 12-3-87

From _____
(Name of Contributor)

_____
(Address of Contributor)

By [redacted] b6 b7C

To Be Returned ☐ Yes ☒ No Receipt Given ☐ Yes ☒ No

Grand Jury Material - Disseminate Only Pursuant to Rule 6(e), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure ☐ Yes ☒ No

Title: [redacted]

Reference: _____
(Communication Enclosing Material)

Description: ☒ Original notes re interview of [redacted] b6 b7C

PLEASE DO NOT REMOVE
THIS SLIP FROM EXHIBIT

87D-33778-1A-3

12-3-87
prp

[redacted] — Dukes Farm b6 b7C
employed 4 yrs. Christmas
SSAN [redacted] DOB [redacted]
POB [redacted]
NJ Drivers License
title [redacted]

[redacted] hired — tough school in b6 b7C
Queens, NY — he taught Phy Ed
teaching — learning disability in class
He was there 2-3 yrs. 15-18 yrs ago
he resided + joined Peace Corp
I stayed 4 yrs + went to Lakelyn
W. Chester Co. left + to Elmont
[redacted] joined ITT — Hempstead School, NY
I got him a job teaching at
ITT ~~Phy Ed~~ business Course
he was in NY School — he left +
moved to San Diego.
I stayed in contact 1st a yr +
went out to see him —
He talked at Nat'l Univ — Very
bright bright + likes to have fun.
I got a telephone call + he had
an intern in NY + intern at
[redacted] office in Park Ave b6 b7C
+ drove NJ + interrid with
Miss Duke.
He stayed a me 2 nights in NYC.

2

by Conversation – Interviewed w/ very wealthy lady & managed her business but very happy teaching

– He had students at Grad. School – [redacted] b6 b7C

[redacted] – someone contacted him re job & [redacted] contacted him personally.

– He was offered job & he called me at ITT –

I might have job for you – which was a total change for me. I was excelled with after interviewing w/ Miss Duke. [redacted]

My daughter lives here w/ me. b6 b7C

– Never met [redacted] –

– towards end of his time him – I learned he was making $75,000 – he received commissions on vestments – he was close to her – traveled a lot.

He explained she had investments which were paying poor return. He mentioned land in Newport – [redacted] – his friend – I never met him – [redacted] worked for [redacted] – b6 b7C

(his own bank/mortg co) very wealthy

– He mentioned she approved moving $3 mil. He was excelled & I feel he had her best interest at heart.

Duke was in Hawaii & she & [redacted] came back

[redacted] didn't get along – He [redacted]

said Duke is getting poor advise from

[redacted] b6 b7C

Newport Harbor Funding – he mentioned this

3

to me. She's not going to go thru with deal. He was going to resign. He's not the type of person to sit behind the desk - boring job for him -

Superf - This was Thx - Duke should not invest in this [redacted] showed the video to me & [redacted] - [redacted] was to report [redacted] took the video. Who was involved w Superf. & [redacted] Chemist knew + resigned

[redacted] approached me - I'm moving the $ ~~anyway~~ anyway - (even though I ~~have~~ have no authority - (to this extent) he was going to resign. I didn't ~~comment~~ comment. Do you know what you are doing? He related to me that he did it - moving of this $. This $ was supposed to be invested in Hemp Hub Funding + making twice the money it gets now

He moved off Dukes estate + left his furniture. He kept calling me & Red & Duke - I had a exp ~~to~~ his stuff. I purchased his car.

- Resigning before

b6
b7C

4

He mentioned $. If she's talks to me + just asks for the $ back - I'll give it back.

- I don't remember if he was gone / or here at this time.

- One day this will all be mine - [redacted] driving on Dukes property. He felt close to Russ Duke. I honestly believe he was close w/ his relationship w/ him. He remarked "one day this will all be mine."

b6
b7C

[redacted] called me + said I was terminated - "book written + I was supposedly leeking info for this book"

I am not [redacted]

I did not leek info to [redacted]

[redacted] home

Video tape - [redacted] saw before me. He asked me what I thought. "Have to see this."

b6
b7C

12-3-87 frp

[redacted] - Duke Estate b6 b7C

SSAN: [redacted]

DOB - [redacted]

POB:

[redacted] - 27 yrs.

[redacted] - Duke b6 b7C

SSAN [redacted]

DOB [redacted]

POB

[redacted]

67 F/T

74 F/T

No financial decisions

[redacted] was here on estate 1 occasion - b6 b7C

bef was employ →

I escorted him to Duke home

I never trusted [redacted] - I kept my distance

I got impression he was he to take over -

he was getting red of good people to replace

Only 3 sets of keys - Reed / [redacted] / Tone in AM

- telephones coming out of bus. office at 7:00 am

- [redacted] still in after 5 - w [redacted]

[redacted] 1st person below Mrs Duke.

CW

12-3-87
pmp

[redacted] - 25 yrs / [redacted]

SSAN

DOB: [redacted] b6 b7C

POB:

[redacted] [redacted] / 15-16 yrs ago.

Arctural Digest Mag.

[redacted] was introd'd by M Dike - [redacted] b6 b7C

Supervisor of Estate - [redacted] M Duke was interested in Guideline Budget - yr to yr - [redacted] would set it up. He showed me a large large chart of Chain of Command - Group + position + duties / Vaguely showed me a chart - financial charts - showing where $ going + coming from.

He mentioned she was from the School he taught at Cal.

FD-340 (Rev. 4-2-85)

Field File No. _____

Serial # of Originating Document _____

OO and File No. 87D-33778 1A#1

Date Received 2/1/88

From _____
(Name of Contributor)

_____
(Address of Contributor)

B

To Be Returned ☐ Yes ☒ No Receipt Given ☐ Yes ☒ No

Grand Jury Material - Disseminate Only Pursuant to Rule 6(e), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure ☐ Yes ☒ No

Title:

b6
b7C

Reference: _____
(Communication Enclosing Material)

Description:

87D-337778 14

PLEASE DO NOT REMOVE
THIS SLIP FROM EXHIBIT

2-1-88

505 Park Ave. NYNY

pmp

1

[redacted] ~~fired~~

[redacted] he called me at Law firm - Miss Duke asked him to call me re needing $300,000 for Superfuel - I told him to send me the info. He sent the papers re Superfuel. Papers were Legit - looked legit - DDVG Corp. was set up to fund superf. money was Dukes. Needed quick $ - DD ordered send $ before the contract signed. [redacted] run to Dukes Penhouse in NY City & got her to sign. [redacted] came / Head of Superf (name unk) / Patton lawyer (name unk) to office day contract signed

- they told us they would be at a stage of develop - We hired an engineer - he reported to me. I was sure not as represented to me & this was a scam $2700,000,000 was next to be delivered & I told her not too

[redacted] showed a video [redacted] on [redacted] side.

b6
b7C

Superf VS DD - arbitation clause & arbitation was held in San Fran [redacted] was a witness

b6
b7C

We got a judgement saying she should get her $300,000 back which they claimed had no $ but sent a old machine.

Get these - Duke has them.

Exhibits of Arbitration - has evidence of [redacted] b6 b7C
to Duke re Superfuel
- [redacted]
San Fran CAL 94115 [redacted]
re Arbitration.

1-25-88
Called last week re his book - I think see if
Duke pay him to stop publishing.
Gave a new telephone # for
[redacted] [redacted] b6 b7C
Called to see what [redacted] thought of the book

- 2 expert witnesses at Arbitration - friend of
[redacted] - testified for Duke

School in S Diego Nation'l School -
Acctg [redacted] had a step-son that b6 b7C
went to school - Duke asked [redacted] to get her
a [redacted] [redacted] sent one man -
seemed to be a super guy but had a million
reasons why wasn't taking job. Then
[redacted] sent [redacted] seemed
like a super guy but he didn't seem
to know anything re agriculture but
young / knew a lot of economics. He
did work hard I recommend
she hire him.

June 3, 1986 Duke called me & she had learned that $3 mil was sent to Newport Harbor Funding - She wanted it back & Morgan had not been authorized to send it. [redacted] was the man who had the money. Doris was leaving for Europe on 6/6/86 which gave me little time to maneuver. I did not speak to [redacted]. I spoke to George Reed & asked him to send all documents re $3 mil in connection with authority document. (no documents gave [redacted] the authority to send any $)

Before 6/3/86 Duke sent Blue Books to me sent by [redacted]. Each was a story by [redacted] opening mortgage investment in Cal. I told [redacted] not to buy these mortgage without being in California.

About 6/5-6/86 I spoke to [redacted]. He admitted giving oral instruction to wire $3 mil to Newport Harbor to girl at Morgan Bank & sent a letter confirming this wire.

G. Reed sent:
- One 4/15/86 letter - when Bill matured - certain $ was to be forwarded to escrow acct in Cal. Further instructions from Duke's office. I asked what he was doing

by not sending this $ to escrow acct. I told him $ must come back -

- letters show [redacted] sending recommend b6 b7C to Bank but Doris approves so this was the normal practice but 3 mil didn't go this way.

- I spoke to [redacted] at Morg Bank & asked why she allowed this transfer. She said [redacted] was dealing solely w her.

I Bill were rolling over - which Dike only gave George this authority

[redacted] said he was authorized to send b6 b7C more $ to Kemp Harbor.

When Doris came back from Europe 7/7/86 [redacted] said he was leaving & needed to go somewhere "to get his head screwed on" He came to my office & said this.

He claimed he always had authority but could not provide evidence.

I called [redacted] 6/4/86 phone ring 2 x b6 b7C taken off hook (finally ans mach) I could not get him.

5

When I called [redacted] re Blue notes had no trouble but after $3 mil wire - he never responded. I kept calling - he never called back. I want $3 mil back. He said he will never do business if he sends back $3 mil. I said we will never do business. He refused to sent $3 mil back. Morgan who also trying to get $ back thru a bank in Cal.

b6
b7C

2 mil one couple back - Morgan got this back & we sued M.G. for rest & she got back this $.

[redacted] admitted that [redacted] owned a piece of Newport. [redacted] told [redacted] that [redacted] told him [redacted] he had a piece of Newp. Restoration of Miss Duke knew about the [redacted] deff in 7/7/86.

b6
b7C

[redacted] never told [redacted] Superf was a scam. [redacted] felt it was from Engineering report.

I couldn't believe that [redacted] - I got the impression they weren't friendly.

6

Wire fraud / [redacted] w/o authority had MG send $ to [redacted] + he had piece of action
DD never authorized this wire of $3 mil. The escrow acct was pick up with her authority

May 28, 1985 letter - sent by mail
I know [redacted] was not authority
MG worked hard to get this $ back I never saw evidence of authority

letter 4/15/85 + 5/28/85 letters

[redacted]
He set up escrow acct to go to Bank of Am / Title [redacted]
works w Bank of Am but sends to Thos Nat'l Harbor Funding credit to Newport New
didn't have a restricted use of this $.

- She never asked me about Escrow letter bcf she signed it.

FD-340 (Rev. 4-2-85)

Field File No. SD-196B-1649

Serial # of Originating Document

OO and File No. NY 87D-33778-1A5

Date Received 6/27/88

From SD - FBI
(Name of Contributor)

(Address of Contributor)

By [redacted]

To Be Returned ☐ Yes ☒ No **Receipt Given** ☐ Yes ☒ No

b6
b7C

Grand Jury Material - Disseminate Only Pursuant to Rule 6(e), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure ☐ Yes ☒ No

Title [redacted]

et al

OO: NK

Rec'd in SD on 7/10/88. See Sec 17.

Reference:
(Communication Enclosing Material)

Description: ☒ Original notes re interview of

[redacted]

b6
b7C

MEMORANDUM OF CALL

Previous editions usable

TO: [redacted]

☑ YOU WERE [redacted] ITED BY—

OF (Organizatio [redacted]

FTS [redacted]

☑ PLEASE PHONE ▶ ☐ FTS ☐ AUTOVON

☐ WILL CALL AGAIN ☐ IS WAITING TO SEE YOU

☐ RETURNED YOUR CALL ☒ WISHES AN APPOINTMENT

MESSAGE

Re [redacted]

Cedar Rapids

RECEIVED BY [redacted] DATE 6/20/88 TIME 8:20

63-110 NSN 7540-00-634-4018 STANDARD FORM 63 (Rev. 8-81)
Prescribed by GSA
FPMR (41 CFR) 101—11.6

*U.S. GPO: 1987-0-196-343/79063

MEMORANDU[redacted] OF CALL

[redacted] us editions usable

TO: [redacted]

☐ YOU W[redacted] ☐ YOU WERE VISITED BY—

OF (Organization) [redacted]

546 #286

b6
b7C

☐ PLEASE PHONE ▶ ☐ FTS ☐ AUTOVON

☐ WILL CALL AGAIN ☐ IS WAITING TO SEE YOU

☐ RETURNED YOUR CALL ☒ WISHES AN APPOINTMENT

MESSAGE

has info for you

RECEI[redacted] DATE 6/20 TIME 5:00

63-110 [redacted] STANDARD FORM 63 (Rev. 8-81)
Prescribed by GSA
FPMR (41 CFR) 101—11.6

*U.S. GPO: 1987-0-196-343/79063

Returned call 1130 6/20/88

6/23/88 [redacted] b6 b7C

[redacted]

Geo Reed had sig priv
he paid bills on the
many accts associated w/ her
hom

paid by check; pos City
of Bank of NY

was ~~in~~ [redacted]
5/85 Mar 86
rptd directly to DD

[redacted] may have had sig b6 b7C
priv - not sure

only there for 1 or 2
months

she was attending Nat
University and met [redacted]
there, he brought her
there

GD
Corp
Kearny
Mesa

never met [redacted], nor spoke w him

does not know anything about DD's investments

—

she was ?'d by DD re 3.3 m but told D did not know anything about that

[redacted] ~~[illegible]~~ took over financial advisor position after [redacted] left

her work area downstairs reminds of officer upstairs

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

6/22/88 [redacted] b6 b7C

[redacted]

—

met [redacted] through his sons who were studying at National University where [redacted] is [redacted] in 1983 b6 b7C

—

was recruited as [redacted] for Doris Duke through [redacted]

—

left in Aug '85 after rec'ng death threat from DD delivered anonymously in writting

hired as [redacted] June, 198 b6 b7C by ~~Dr~~ Doris Duke, began working for her immediately,

—

stated he was only an advisor and had no signature privileges on any account

—

known [redacted] since childhood, introduced DD to [redacted] and [redacted] in LA, Ca ≠ Nov 84 b6 b7C later DD decided to do business w/ [redacted] = Newport Harbor Funding (mortgage broker)

b6
b7C

his asst was [redacted]
and she left couple months
after him

stated he never was in
Morgan Guaranty Trust Co
bldg which is NYC, NY
never called or did business
with any employee at MGT
on behalf of DD

claims he has never been
served with civil suit
re: money nor the book

while employed by DD
his salary was $50,000
paid bi-weekly via
pay-check, checks were
drawn on MGT acct
and signed by MGT employee

stated DD has brought
complaint against he and
[redacted] because he ~~is working~~
~~a book~~ wrote a book,
by [redacted]

b6
b7C

stated he did rec commission on the sale of a note secured by a shopping center in Semi Valley, $15,000, brokered through [redacted], note was just under 1 million, b6 b7C

nothing else

—

[redacted] sent several offerings on Ca real estate, actual the property ~~w~~ secured promissory notes and she was mortgage

—

he stated offering com to him from [redacted], ~~and~~ he would present to DD, ~~make decision and~~, she would give to her atty [redacted] who would review, DD would then discuss with [redacted] and [redacted], DD would decide, [redacted] took it from there and apparently [redacted] to [redacted] b6 b7C

apparently D D threatened
to sue [redacted] and ~~[illegible]~~
did rec $ back

b6
b7C

denier knowing [redacted]
[redacted] at MGT

dob [redacted]
U S
6' 1"
175
blue
blonde
~~[illegible]~~
Bachelor Degree in literature
from State University
of N Y,
Westbury, N Y

no conviction

5/12/88

Par Bell Div

no listing

[redacted]

b6
b7C

"The Spotlight"
2813 "B"
SD, CA
(619) 234-9696

"Populist Weekly
from the National
Capitol"

[redacted]

b6
b7C

FD-340 (Rev. 4-2-85)

Field File No. OM 87D-19748

Serial # of Originating Document _____

OO and File No. NEWARK 87D-33778(C-5)-1A6

Date Received 6/18/88

From [redacted]
(Name of Contributor)

[redacted]
(Address of Contributor)

[redacted] b6 b7C

By [redacted]
(Name of Special Agent)

To Be Returned ☐ Yes ☒ No Receipt Given ☐ Yes ☒ No

Grand Jury Material - Disseminate Only Pursuant to Rule 6(e), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure ☐ Yes ☐ No

Title: [redacted]

DORIS DUKE - VICTIM;
LOSS OF $3.3 MILLION;
FBW: ITSP
OO: NEWARK

Reference: OM airtel to NK 8/29/88
(Communication Enclosing Material)

Description: ☒ Original notes re interview of

[redacted] b6 b7C

Recd in Omaha on 9/22/88 - See Ser 21.

AUTHORITY TO RELEASE INFORMATION

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

I hereby authorize any Special Agent or other authorized representative of the Federal Bureau of Investigation bearing this release, or copy thereof, within one year of its date, to obtain any information in your files pertaining to my educational records including, but not limited to, academic, achievement, attendance, athletic, personal history, and disciplinary records; employment records; regulatory agency records; professional board certification and grievance records; law enforcement records (including, but not limited to any record of charge, prosecution, or conviction for criminal or civil offenses); and credit records, including credit card and payment device numbers. I hereby direct you to release such information upon request of the bearer. This release is executed with full knowledge and understanding that the information is for the official use of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. Consent is granted for the FBI to furnish such information, as is described above, to third parties in the course of fulfilling its official responsibilities. I hereby release you, as the custodian of such records, and any school, college, university, or other educational institution, credit bureau or consumer reporting agency, professional board, regulatory agency, retail business establishment, law enforcement agency, or criminal justice agency, including its officers, employees, or related personnel, both individually and collectively, from any and all liability for damages of whatever kind, which may at any time result to me, my heirs, family or associates because of compliance with this authorization and request to release information, or any attempt to comply with it. Should there be any question as to the validity of this release, you may contact me as indicated below:

Full Name: [redacted]

Full Name: [redacted]

Type or Print Name

b6
b7C

Parent or Guardian (if required): [redacted]

Date: 6-2-88

Current Address: [redacted]

Telephone Number: [redacted]

161C-1410-2

(5)

met - DORIS ~ 73 or 74

[redacted] - story about her & another fellow (mystic treatments) b6 b7C

70's journalist befriended thru Mike Alexander (Norbu Chen)

healer -

~~[illegible]~~ [redacted]

she helped write his biog.

chin died - went separate ways

[redacted] - legit he claimed

[redacted] work yourself for yrs.

visited estate - one time ~1984 summer

her invitation - see estate (proud)

[redacted] (good bk.)

1983 she called out of blue [redacted] in Calif.)

treats fairly

bk. cameout

[redacted] - publ b6 b7C

" "

wrote letter to Duke asking help in promoting

[redacted] was asked to help find bus. mgr.

Nat'l univ. - San Diego

1st one [redacted] - prof - economics/finance

on farm 1984 - Ocympus ~ 1 month

didn't want job

Fired [redacted]

new attn. - wrote letter

2nd - prof. [redacted]

* he interviewed

[redacted] - Newark firm

alleged Mahn - fired for cheating.

[redacted] called & thanked

[redacted] letter to [redacted]

stating - fired for no wrongdoing of his.

worked ~ 1 yr. from Sept '84

Superfuel Systems.

wanted to find way to help inventors

foundation discussed (fund - use proceeds to fund more inventors)

[redacted] touting invention making methane low cost b6 b7C

[redacted] saw demonstration - impressed

[redacted] - Orang Cty. near Irving 3 yrs. ago.

patent attn - [redacted] - ready to quit practice

* Phoenix - 3 yrs. ago

Duke interested in energy (

turn idea to her attns [redacted]

R&D partnership wrote by [redacted]

her attns investigated, (K), [redacted] ee of Doris

fund superfuels for 3 mill $ ([redacted] 10%)

~ thanksgiving '84 - Duke told [redacted] to investigate superfuels for her.
She stopped funding - superfuels auth to lease vehicles. Purchased vehicles outright - she blew top
(K) disputes go to arbitration
Superfuel guys - lost

b6
b7C

not party - witnesses called
"ee" of DUKE - 10% agreed upon
she fired [redacted] -
[redacted]
DDVG / Superfuels [redacted] - hired as consultant
name created by [redacted] - her attn.
checked thoroughly
DD [redacted]

[redacted] - complained to San Diego office
believed [redacted] defrauded
Gave FBI (San Diego) during arb.
should look into (turned in whole file -
show technology real - at arbitration
re - wire (fraud)

b6
b7C

legit (thought at 1st)
[redacted] - crooks -
[redacted] - saw videotape / talked w contractors
welding operation out of Phoenix, Ariz. actually made it.
[redacted] ?
orig. - Ariz / then to be brought to Calif.
(ignite powder hits water converts to methane

Arb - concluded they couldn't do what they said

device showed chemical reaction
looked moved particles alum. carbide (keep moving)
looked crude - but stayed ignited
hard & dangerous to show actual (so videotape)

A.P.W.

[redacted] b6 b7C

leave message at (Girlfriend's)

conscience is clear

tomorrow afternoon (3:00)

SAT

wants to sell Jean Star - ad LA Times. | APW - home *

[redacted] settled w/ DORIS

Duke hasn't spoken w [redacted] since before bk

[redacted] b6 b7C

(3) dead issue - Superfuels dead

R&D proposal she & lawyers turned into something else just after arb. turned in file to San Diego.

[redacted] - believed at 1st use 300,000 to get started.

Doris owned at least 50%

inventor [redacted] - inventor only royalty

haven't talked w/ anyone since 1985,

[redacted] - close (in Phoenix 3 yrs. ago. b6 b7C

engineering background;

refrigeration bkg. - owned his own co's

protegs of prof. at Univ. of Calif

high regard for [redacted] abilities

all litigated

Arb. agreement in [redacted] *

[redacted] b6 b7C

3 million - Newport funding - should have gone into shopping center (

[redacted] - genius -

in bk. Memory - * | never showed any doc's

computerizing [redacted] -

mahn. - never showed doc's to [redacted]

doc's in attic.

①

6/17/88

Pretextual telephone call to [redacted] 1:30 pm
answered by female

"[redacted] will be home ~ 2:30 pm"

& "APW - can I help you"

6/17/88 telephone call to [redacted]

[redacted]: middle of it
not party to arbitration

[redacted] didn't do anything

~~Public~~

Warren automotive

~~2~~00 P.M. SAT.
Holiday Inn (downtown)

residence

Greek fishman's hat.

Keep "residence pretty secret"

b6
b7C

6/18/88 [redacted] signed any agreement that he wouldn't
[redacted] was an intrview
[redacted] position - assumed accused
BK - [redacted] - in bk store
[redacted] 25 yrs.
archives
intrviewed her
[redacted] (material)
inside info [redacted]
[redacted] writer (
[redacted] - she bk. [redacted]
she didn't even know own how much worth
don't know - he had anything he shouldn't have had
Mahn's memory good.

(#)

[redacted] - don't know where he is
conversed on bk sales
call office San Diego (
lv. message on machine)
sec [redacted]
[redacted] - always afraid of Doris
left there in fear of life
reclusive
op - love/hate relationship.

Jem Star, pck
software re
govt forms,
homeowner loans
sells to eserm cos
mortgage lenders.

[redacted] calls mostly [redacted] called 3 times 2 yrs,
[redacted] - said investments turned 12% - competent finance
don't really know [redacted]
hersay - invested $ for Doris
worked couple months on bk.
She got upset
told she sued & settled

[redacted] bus. mgr's
in 6 yrs
met thru

[redacted] never met [redacted] - newport funding (name project)
[redacted] - said long time friends
bus. assoc.
we have to do bus. w/ this guy (enamored w/ him)

b6
b7C

FD-302 (REV. 3-10-82)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 11/2/87

DORIS DUKE, Dukes Farm, Hillsborough, New Jersey, was advised of the identity of the interviewing agents and the nature of the investigation. Also present during the interview of DORIS DUKE are the following: [redacted] attorney for Miss DUKE; [redacted] Investigations-Protective Services, 134 Lexington Avenue, New York, New York; and [redacted] a close friend of Miss DUKE's. [redacted] also resides on Dukes Farm Estate. DUKE provided the following information:

b6
b7C

DUKE advised she met [redacted] at a party in Los Angeles, California. [redacted] approached DUKE and introduced himself. DUKE advised he spoke to her of poor inventors who no one would financially back. DUKE advised [redacted] that she is interested in financing inventors because you never know what inventions might work out to be a success. [redacted] advised DUKE that someone he knew had invented a pump in Bakersfield, California, that she might be interested in seeing. DUKE advised she went with [redacted] to see the pump. A couple months later [redacted] told DUKE that he is not interested in this pump because he found something else. [redacted] advised DUKE that there is a better deal for her to invest in named "Super Fuel Systems, Inc." located in Arizona. [redacted] advised DUKE that this system had the ability to produce low cost methanol. Also, one of the waste products of the process was pure aluminum and that several by-products in this system generated revenue which could cover overheadd costs; that the system could produce pure methane for ten cents per MM BTY; that aluminum ingots could be produced for twenty cents a pound; that the process segregrated pure elements without energy use; that the process was pollution free; that the process could desalinate sea water and extract all the essential minerals; and that the superfuel process could actually out heat the nuclear reactor. DUKE advised she is very interestedin the environment and thought that this could truly clean-up the environment. [redacted] asked DUKE to invest $300,000 for this project. DUKE gave [redacted] the $300,000. [redacted] established the business named as DDVG [redacted] and [redacted] was DUKE's attorney at this time.

b6
b7C

Investigation on 10/28/87 at Hillsborough, NJ File # Newark 87D-33778 -2

by SA [redacted] SA [redacted] SMP/tc Date dictated 10/30/87

b6
b7C

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

[redacted]

In the meantime, DUKE asked [redacted] if he knew anyone that would be qualified to run her dairy farm business. [redacted] advised that [redacted] was a friend of his and would be an ideal person for the job. b6 b7C

In October, 1984, [redacted] telephonically contacted DUKE and advised that he was interested in running her dairy farm. [redacted] advised DUKE that [redacted] was capable of running the farm and would do an excellent job. DUKE hired [redacted] DUKE advised [redacted] was hired solely to run the dairy but he continually talked about being an expert in finance. He spoke of his former position at National College in San Diego, California, [redacted]. [redacted] advised DUKE that he should be the business manager of her holdings because of his expertise in the finance field. DUKE allowed him to move onto her estate in New Jersey, rent-free. DUKE advised this was common practice for her employees to lives in the houses on the estate, rent-free and all utilities paid. DUKE advised [redacted] that GEORGE REED is the business manager and [redacted] is to run the dairy farm. DUKE stated the cows were sick and the dairy was not in good shape. DUKE advised [redacted] duties were to interview different farmers and update the equipment. Also, to put the dairy into working condition. She stated all her former employees had died that were with her all her life. She needed to hire a new staff. b6 b7C

When [redacted] started his employment with DUKE October 9, 1984, DUKE told him about her business venture in Arizona with [redacted] DUKE advised [redacted] that she had not heard from [redacted] She told [redacted] to fly to Arizona and check on the Super Fuel project to see if it was working. Also, DUKE told him to take pictures so she could see the development. b6 b7C

[redacted] went to Arizona and brought back a video tape of some kind of a machine. [redacted] advised DUKE that [redacted] needed more money to build the lab and that all developing had stopped until she sent more money. b6 b7C

DUKE had further investigations conducted and found that this "machine" that was video taped and also referred to as, "Super Fuel" was nothing of the sort. An expert advised DUKE that this machine was used as a power supply. When power is shut down, this machine kicks on and recharges batteries on computers. This machine has nothing to do with what the Super Fuel Projects proports. Also, the machine is not in working condition and is virtually outdated. DUKE realized at this time that she was defrauded out of her $300,000. She never received this money back.

DUKE advised [redacted] continued to push a real estate investment in California. DUKE stated to [redacted] she was not interested in investing her money in real estate ventures in California. Also her friend, [redacted] told DUKE that the real estate market was not good at this time in California. [redacted] worked in real estate. DUKE told [redacted] on numerous occasions, that she was not interested. b6 b7C

DUKE advised GEORGE REED, her business manager, had gone on vacation for six weeks. While REED was gone, [redacted] found the keys to the locked filing cabinets in REED's office and took all the financial records of DUKE's holdings. DUKE went to Morgan Guaranty Trust Company in New York and told [redacted] who solely handled the DUKE account, that he was the new [redacted] At this time, [redacted] had [redacted] divert three million dollars to one or more real estate ventures in California. The venture was called Newport Harbor Funding, Inc. Also, [redacted] was his partner in this venture. DUKE advised she never gave the authority to take this three million dollars from her account. DUKE advised she signed a form to open an escrow account which had nothing to do with [redacted] and Newport Harbor Funding. [redacted] had the three million dollars diverted to a different account number and sent to this business venture in California. b6 b7C

DUKE advised [redacted] worked for her the nine months. After, she discovered the diverted three million dollars, DUKE fired [redacted] was employed from October 9, 1984, until July, 1985. b6 b7C

After [redacted] was fired, he contacted DUKE and advised that he stole financial records and data from her locked files. [redacted] advised he and [redacted] about DORIS DUKE and her financial background. [redacted] wrote DUKE letters after he was fired. He stated he is going to publish all the material on her financial holdings and esoteric data that he collected while he was living at Duke Farms. DUKE advised [redacted] She feels a lot of it is inaccurate information but the financial information was definitely stolen from her business office. b6 b7C

DUKE advised further information can be obtained through her employees.

[redacted] b6 b7C

It was further determined through [redacted] that [redacted] received a traffic violation in Arizona on October 4, 1984, five days before he was hired by DUKE. At this time, [redacted] was in Arizona starting the Super Fuel Project. b6 b7C

The following is descriptive data:

Name	DORIS DUKE
Address	Dukes Farm Hillsborough, New Jersey (201) 722-3700
Date of Birth	November 22, 1912
Place of Birth	New York City, New York
Social Security Account Number	077-24-0583
Miscellaneous	No children; single
Second Residence	Hawaii (808) 734-1941
Name Address	[redacted]

b6 b7C

Date of Birth [redacted] b6 b7C
Place of Birth
Social Security
Account Number
Marital Status Single
Hair Brown - long
Eyes Blue

Name [redacted] b6 b7C
Address

Date of Birth
Height 6'1"
Hair Black
Eyes Blue

Name [redacted]
Address

Age Approximately 50
Employed [redacted]
San Diego, California

FD-302 (REV. 3-10-82)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 11/24/87

1

[redacted] Gateway One, Newark, New Jersey, was advised of the identity of the interviewing agent and the nature of the investigation. [redacted] provided the following information:

[redacted] spoke with DUKE's accountant who advised that the NEWPORT HARBOR FUNDING PROJECT in California that [redacted] had diverted $3 million dollars to from DUKE's personal account had been refunded. [redacted] advised the refund was sent directly to DUKE's account at MORGAN GUARANTY TRUST COMPANY OF NEW YORK from this NEWPORT HARBOR PROJECT. b6 b7C

[redacted] provided the interviewing agent with documentation referring to the civil law suit of DORIS DUKE against MORGAN GUARANTY TRUST COMPANY OF NEW YORK.

[redacted] advised [redacted] has further information for the interviewing agent.

Investigation on 11/19/87 at Newark, New Jersey File # NK 87D-33778 -3

by SA [redacted] lrm Date dictated 11/20/87 b6 b7C

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

FD-302 (REV. 3-10-82)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 1/7/88

GEORGE W. REED, DUKES FARM, Somerville, New Jersey, was advised of the identity of the interviewing Agents and the nature of the investigation. REED provided the following information:

REED advised he has been employed by DORIS DUKE for 18 years. REED stated he works in the business office for DUKE's personal and business financial matters. He stated no one has job titles but he can be considered her business manager. b6 b7C

REED advised DUKE hired [redacted] to run DUKES FARM. Over a period of time, [redacted] had access to all of DUKES financial records. REED stated [redacted] continually said that DUKE should be investing her money in real estate ventures. [redacted] stated MORGAN GUARANTY TRUST COMPANY of New York should sell her T-bills and put the funds into a pool for real estate, an escrow account. REED stated that he believes this was done.

REED advised he had planned a six week vacation starting April 18, 1985. REED stated he had so much to do at this time for DUKE that he decided to postpone his vacation. He had finished the foundation tax returns but the other business was not up-to-date. REED advised he mentioned to [redacted] that he was going to postpone his vacation. REED stated [redacted] immediately stated that DUKE wanted [redacted] to take his vacation. [redacted] stated DUKE told him to tell REED to go on vacation. REED stated he left on his vacation for the six weeks. b6 b7C

REED stated before he left on vacation, he received a telephone call at the DUKE business office for [redacted] could not answer the telephone at this time so REED took a message. The caller was [redacted] stated to REED "take this name and address and give it to [redacted]. REED advised [redacted] gave him the following information, "BANK OF AMERICA, 801 North Main Street, Santa Ana, California 92702. ABA number 121000-358, To: FIRST AMERICAN TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY, Account number [redacted] (The escrow account number [redacted] - call [redacted].

Investigation on 12/3/87 at Somerville, New Jersey File # Newark 87D-33778

by SA [redacted] SA [redacted] SMP/jaz Date dictated 12/7/87 b6 b7C

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

REED provided the interviewing Agent with a copy of this message which stated the date as April 12, 1985 at 1:05 p.m.

When REED returned from vacation, he stated [redacted] had hired [redacted] was a student when [redacted] taught in the college in San Diego. [redacted] brought [redacted] to New Jersey from California to work with him in the business office. REED advised [redacted] was married at this time and she moved without her husband. [redacted] duties were to work and live on the DUKES estate as [redacted] She worked over REED. After REED met [redacted] stated to him "You got to cooperate with me and [redacted] REED advised he did not know what [redacted] was talking about. REED advised he then received a telephone call from DUKE. DUKE advised she does not want any of the money to go to California. DUKE advised she did not want [redacted] to send any of her money to California and she wanted REED to make sure [redacted] does not do this with her money. REED advised a few days later, in the mail, he saw an advice from MORGAN GUARANTY in New York. This advice revealed that $3 million of DUKES' account was wired from MORGAN GUARANTY in New York to California. REED stated the wire transfer of these funds occurred while he was on vacation. REED stated he immediately contacted DUKE to determine if she authorized this wire transfer. DUKE stated she did not and she wants to get her $3 million back.

b6
b7C

REED advised he telephonically contacted MORGAN GUARANTY to advise them that the wire transfer was not authorized. [redacted] at MORGAN GUARANTY, advised that [redacted] told her that he would be giving instructions regarding the T-bills of DUKE while REED was out of town. REED advised he asked [redacted] why he did this when DUKE did not authorize this. REED also advised that DUKE wants the $3 million back. [redacted] only replied, "Do what you have to do".

b6
b7C

Shortly after this occurred, REED advised on Monday, July 8, 1985, [redacted] received a telephone call from [redacted] stating he was leaving his employment at DUKES FARM and returning to California. REED advised [redacted] went on vacation after [redacted] resigned and never returned to work at DUKES FARM. REED stated she sent a note, a while later, stating that she and her husband were expecting their first child.

After [redacted] resigned, he called REED to request that his personal belongings be shipped to California. Also, REED advised [redacted] stated he plans to sue DUKE for wrongful discharge.

REED stated he also received a telephone call from [redacted] around this time period at his home. [redacted] wanted REED to give him information on DUKE. REED stated he did not even ask what type of information, he just told [redacted] he was not going to reveal anything regarding DUKE. [redacted] was upset with REED and told him he will call other employees to get what he wants on DUKE. [redacted] had stated he spoke to an employee by the name of [redacted] but she is no longer employed by DUKES FARM. b6 b7C

REED advised he recalls the last day [redacted] was employed by DUKES FARM. REED stated his son saw [redacted] with some police cars. REED asked [redacted] about this and [redacted] replied he got a ticket for not having his drivers license and showed REED his summons. [redacted] also advised REED that he was "taking a walk". REED stated he never saw [redacted] again.

b6 b7C

REED is described as follows:

Name:	GEORGE W. REED
Address:	DUKES FARM Sommerville, New Jersey
Home Telephone:	772-3700
Employment:	DUKES FARM Business Office - 18 years
Social Security Number:	078-09-3591
Date Of Birth:	March 11, 1919
Place of Birth:	Middletown, New Jersey

REED provided all documentation and notations regarding [redacted] and the transfer of the $3,000,000.

87D33778-4
SEARCHED ___ INDEXED ___
SERIALIZED ___ FILED ___
JAN 20 1988
FBI — NEWARK

FD-302 (REV. 3-10-82)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 1/28/88

[redacted] DUKES FARM ESTATE, Somerville, New Jersey, was advised of the identity of the interviewing agents and the nature of the investigation. [redacted] provided the following information:

[redacted] advised he has been employed at DUKES ESTATE four years. His current title is [redacted] b6 b7C

[redacted] advised he was hired by [redacted] to work at DUKES ESTATE. He stated he met [redacted] while teaching school in Queens, New York. [redacted] also taught at this school. [redacted] advised they met approximately 15-18 years ago. [redacted] joined the PEACE CORPS. [redacted], after some years, was employed at ITT in Hempstead, New York. [redacted] got [redacted] a position [redacted] at ITT. [redacted] taught in New York for awhile then took a [redacted] position in San Diego, California. [redacted] advised he stayed in New York and stayed in contact with [redacted]

[redacted] advised he received a telephone call from [redacted] in 1985 from San Diego, California. [redacted] at the NATIONAL UNIVERSITY in San Diego, California. [redacted] advised [redacted] that he had an interview with a [redacted] in New York. He asked to stay with [redacted] advised [redacted] stayed with him in New York for two nights. [redacted] went to his interview at [redacted] office on Park Avenue in New York and then drove to New Jersey and was interviewed by DORIS DUKE. b6 b7C

[redacted] advised after [redacted] was interviewed by DUKE, he stated that he was interviewed by a very wealthy lady. He would be [redacted] but he was very happy [redacted] advised [redacted] has sons in graduate school where [redacted] taught. [redacted] heard of this job from [redacted] advised [redacted] telephoned [redacted] personally to set up an interview for this job.

Investigation on 12/3/87 at Somerville, New Jersey File # Newark 87D-33778-5

by SA [redacted] SMP/jaz Date dictated 12/7/87 b6 b7C

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

When [redacted] was offered a job by [redacted] b6
[redacted] called [redacted] at ITT in New York. [redacted] advised [redacted] b7C
that he might have a job for him. [redacted] felt this could
be a total change for him. [redacted] set up the interview with
Miss DUKE and [redacted] was offered the position of [redacted]
[redacted] and his daughter moved onto DUKES ESTATE.
[redacted] advised he never met [redacted]

Towards the end of [redacted] employment at DUKES,
[redacted] learned [redacted] was making $75,000.00 and he received
commission on investments. [redacted] advised [redacted] became
very close to DUKE. He travelled with her all the time.
[redacted] explained to [redacted] that DUKE had investments which
were paying poor returns. [redacted] mentioned land in Newport.
[redacted] friend, owned this land. [redacted] worked
for [redacted] owns his own bank or mortgage company b6
and is very wealthy. [redacted] advised he never personally b7C
met [redacted] mentioned to [redacted] that DUKE approved of
moving three million dollars. [redacted] was excited and [redacted]
felt [redacted] had DUKE's best interest at heart. DUKE was in
Hawaii and she and her friend, [redacted] came back. [redacted]
and [redacted] did not get along. [redacted] told [redacted] that DUKE
was getting poor advice from [redacted] mentioned to
[redacted] that DUKES not going to go through with the
NEWPORT HARBOR FUNDING deal. [redacted] advised that he was
going to resign. [redacted] advised [redacted] not the type of
person to sit behind a desk. It is a boring job for [redacted]
[redacted] advised [redacted] approached him and stated he was moving
the money anyway even though he has no authority. [redacted]
asked [redacted] if he knew what he was doing. [redacted] related to
[redacted] that he did it already. [redacted] moved the three million
dollars. This money was supposed to be invested in NEWPORT
HARBOR FUNDING and it would make twice the money it was getting.

[redacted] advised once DUKE found out about [redacted] b6
moving this money, he moved off DUKES estate and left his b7C
furniture behind. [redacted] kept calling [redacted] DUKE and REED.
[redacted] Federally Expressed [redacted] belongings to him in
California. [redacted] purchased [redacted] car. [redacted] advised
at this time, [redacted] called him and said his employment
was terminated. He told [redacted] that a book was written and
he believed [redacted] was leaking information to [redacted] for this
book regarding DORIS DUKE. [redacted] advised he never leaked
information to [redacted] DUKE advised he was demoted to [redacted]
from [redacted]

 b6 b7C

[redacted] advised [redacted] stated he would give DUKE the money back if only she asked for it back. [redacted] could not recall if he was gone or at DUKES ESTATE when he said this.

[redacted] advised he remembers driving around DUKE's property with [redacted] when he stated, "one day this will all be mine". [redacted] stated [redacted] felt close to DUKE. b6 b7C

[redacted] advised that [redacted] came to him and said you have to see this video tape. [redacted] advised it was suppose to be a video tape of the superfuel project that DUKE invested in with [redacted] had seen this video tape before he showed [redacted] advised he viewed this tape with [redacted] A [redacted] made the video for Miss DUKE. [redacted] advised after viewing this tape, it was obvious to him that this was a hoax. DUKE should not have invested in the project. [redacted] advised he remembers telling [redacted] that this superfuel was a hoax from reviewing the tape but [redacted] cannot recall if [redacted] told DUKE. b6 b7C

[redacted] stated he has not been in contact with [redacted] since he sent his furniture back to him. b6 b7C

[redacted] can be described through observation and interview as follows:

Name:
Social Security Number:
Date of Birth:
Place of Birth:
Telephone Number:

[redacted]

FD-302 (REV. 3-10-82)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 1/28/88

1

[redacted] DUKES FARM ESTATE, Somerville, New Jersey, was advised of the identity of the interviewing agents and the nature of the investigation. [redacted] provided the following information: b6 b7C

[redacted] advised he has been raised on DORIS DUKES' ESTATE. [redacted] is DUKES' [redacted] advised he has been employed in [redacted] on DUKES ESTATE since 1969. [redacted] advised he [redacted]

[redacted] advised [redacted] was at the DUKE ESTATE on one occasion that he recalls. He remembers [redacted] to DUKES' home. [redacted] stated he never spoke to [redacted] b6 b7C

After [redacted] trip to DUKES estate, [redacted] was hired. [redacted] stated he never trusted [redacted] while he was employed at DUKES ESTATE. [redacted] stated he got the impression that [redacted] was at DUKES ESTATE to try to take over. [redacted] began to get rid of all the good people and replacing them with his own friends. [redacted] stated [redacted] would stay in the business office after 5:00 p.m. and was in there before 7:00 a.m., making telephone calls. The employees did not usually get into work until 9:00 a.m. and left at 5:00 p.m. b6 b7C

[redacted] advised he never trusted [redacted] from the time he was hired. b6 b7C

[redacted] provided the following information:

Social Security Number:
Date of Birth:
Place of Birth:
Miscellaneous:

[redacted]

Investigation on 12/3/87 at Somerville, New Jersey File # Newark 87D-33778-6

SA [redacted] b6 b7C

by SA [redacted] SMP/jaz Date dictated 12/7/87

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

870-33778-7

SEARCHED INDEXED
SERIALIZED FILED

FEB 03 1988

[redacted] RK

b6
b7C

NK 87D-33778
SMP/jaz

The following investigation was conducted by Special Agent (SA) [redacted] on December 3, 1987: b6 b7C

[redacted] DUKES ESTATE, Somerville, New Jersey, advised he has been employed for 27 years for DORIS DUKE as [redacted] He advised he does not have any information on [redacted] He stated he was separated from that side of DUKES ESTATE.

[redacted] provided the following:

Social Security Number:
Date of Birth:
Place of Birth:

[redacted]

870-33778-84

INDEXED
SERIALIZED FILED

FEB 03 1988

[redacted] RK

b6
b7C

FD-302 (REV. 3-10-82)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 1/28/88

1

[redacted] DUKES FARM ESTATE, Somerville, New Jersey, was advised of the identity of the interviewing agents and the nature of the investigation. [redacted] provided the following information: b6 b7C

[redacted] advised he has lived and worked on DUKES ESTATE for 25 years. His title has been [redacted] advised he does not have anything to do with financial matters on the estate.

[redacted] advised he was introduced to [redacted] by DORIS DUKE. [redacted] was the Supervisor of the estate. [redacted] advised he was interested in a Guideline Budget. [redacted] set up a year-to-year chart showing the chain of command/positions and duties/and financial aspects. This chart showed where the money was coming and going but only showed [redacted] vaguely. [redacted] advised he never saw the chart again. b6 b7C

[redacted] advised [redacted] hired a female named [redacted] [redacted] advised [redacted] that she was from the school that [redacted] [redacted] in San Diego, California.

[redacted] advised he was born on [redacted] in [redacted]

Investigation on 12/3/87 at Somerville, New Jersey File # Newark 87D-33778

SA [redacted]

by SA [redacted] SMP/jaz Date dictated 12/7/87 b6 b7C

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

NK 87D-33778

On 2/19/88, AUSA [redacted] District of New Jersey, was apprised of the facts in this matter. He advised [redacted] All documentation will be provided to AUSA [redacted] on Monday, 2/22/88.

b5
b6
b7C

87D-33778-9

FD-36 (Rev. 8-29-85)

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:
- ☐ Teletype
- ☐ Facsimile
- ☒ AIRTEL

PRECEDENCE:
- ☐ Immediate
- ☐ Priority
- ☐ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:
- ☐ TOP SECRET
- ☐ SECRET
- ☐ CONFIDENTIAL
- ☐ UNCLAS E F T O
- ☐ UNCLAS

Date 4/15/88

TO: SAC, LOS ANGELES

FROM: SAC, NEWARK (87D-33778) (P) (C-5)

[redacted] b6 b7C

DORIS DUKE-VICTIM;
LOSS OF $3.3 MILLION;
FBW; ITSP
OO: NK

Enclosed are the following FD-302s:

(1) DORIS DUKE-Victim
(2) GEORGE REED-Employee of DUKE
(3) [redacted]-Employee of DUKE b6 b7C

Also enclosed is a a copy of the arbitration agreement DUKE and [redacted] regarding SUPERFUEL SYSTEMS.

DORIS DUKE, a single, white, female aged 70, is a multi-millionaire. DUKE owns DUKE FARMS in Hillborough, NJ, among other estates. DUKE hired [redacted] as the [redacted] [redacted] of DUKE FARMS. [redacted] was employed for a ten-month period. During this employment period, [redacted] allegedly embezzled three million dollars from DUKE. [redacted] had DUKE's money sent to a real estate venture in California that he and his friend [redacted] were partners. b6 b7C

Prior to hiring [redacted] DUKE met [redacted] who she had known for a number of years. [redacted] talked DUKE into investing $300,000 into a project called "SUPER FUEL SYSTEMS, INCORPORATED", which was located in Arizona. [redacted] advised DUKE that this system had the ability to produce low b6 b7C

2-Los Angeles
1-San Diego (Encl.-4)
1-Omaha (Encl.-4)
2-Newark
SMP/tac
(6)

87D-33778-10

Approved: _____ Transmitted _____ (Number) (Time)

SEARCHED ___ INDEXED ___
SERIALIZED ___ FILED ___
MAY 0 2 1988
FBI — NEWARK

cost methanol, among other claims. DUKE invested $300,000 for this project. [redacted] established the business named as DDVG, [redacted] is DUKE's [redacted]. [redacted] also advised DUKE that his friend, [redacted] would be good for the position as [redacted] at her estate/dairy farm. [redacted] was hired on [redacted] recommendation. After [redacted] tried on numerous occasions to receive more money from DUKE for SUPER FUEL SYSTEMS, DUKE hired an engineer to evaluate this project. This engineer reported that there was no such project and DUKE sued [redacted] for the return of the $300,000. [redacted] has squandered the total amount and DUKE never received her money back. b6 b7C

After the above took place, [redacted] and [redacted] [redacted] regarding DUKE's wife and printed information regarding her financial background. The information on her financial background had been locked up and neither [redacted] nor [redacted] had the authority to [redacted] DUKE never gave authority for the [redacted]. b6 b7C

Additional information re [redacted]: b6 b7C

[redacted] was fired in the summer of 1985, after it was discovered that he had diverted in excess of three million of DUKE's funds on deposit with the MORGAN GUARANTY TRUST COMPANY in New York. [redacted] diverted DUKE's money to one or more real estate ventures in California, in which [redacted] had a personal interest or affiliation. Those real estate investments are known by various names, including "NEWPORT HARBOR FUNDING, INCORPORATED", and also involved an individual named [redacted] There has been civil litigation on DUKE's behalf to recover the diverted funds. [redacted] had led DUKE to believe she was putting this money in an escrow account for future investments. In reality, these funds went to [redacted] account.

The following is a descriptive data on both subjects:

Name:	[redacted]
Address:	
Telephone Number:	
DOB:	
Height:	6'1"
Hair:	Dark
Eyes:	Blue

Name: [redacted] b6 b7C

Age: Approximately 50
Employed: [redacted]
San Diego, California

Name: [redacted]
Address:

SSAN:
DOB:
Sex: Female
Spouse: [redacted]
DOB:
Employed:

LEAD

CALIFORNIA DIVISION

AT CARLSBAD, CALIFORNIA

Contact and interview [redacted] was hired by [redacted] to work in DUKE's business office. (Refer to 302 of REED). b6 b7C

2. Obtain background on [redacted] and obtain information with [redacted] Also determine her association with [redacted] and [redacted]

AT NEWPORT BEACH, CALIFORNIA

Contact and interview [redacted] at NEWPORT HARBOR FUNDING, Newport Beach, California 92660, telephone number [redacted] regarding the three million dollars. (Refer to REED's 302). b6 b7C

2. Obtain information on his association with [redacted]

3. Obtain background information on [redacted]

AT SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Determine if [redacted] DOB [redacted] resides at [redacted] telephone number [redacted] (previous address: [redacted] b6 b7C

2. Contact and interview [redacted] regarding DORIS DUKE and the three million dollars he diverted to his real estate venture in California. b6 b7C

3. Obtain background information on [redacted]

4. Obtain information on [redacted] and his involvement in the three million diversion of DUKE's funds.

IOWA DIVISION

AT OMAHA, NEBRASKA

Contact and interview [redacted] at [redacted] [redacted] regarding SUPERFUEL SYSTEMS and the $300,000 investment of DORIS DUKE. (Refer to 302 of DUKE). b6 b7C

2. Obtain background information on [redacted]

3. Determine what his association with [redacted] [redacted] is about.

4. Determine why [redacted] and [redacted] [redacted] on DUKE's life without her authority.

5. Obtain information regarding the whereabouts of [redacted]

FD-320 (Rev. 8-30-82)

In Reply, Please Refer to

File No. 87D-33778

FBI CASE STATUS FORM

Date: 2/19/88

To: Honorable Samuel A. Alito, Jr., United States Attorney, Room 502, Federal Building, 970 Broad Street, Newark, New Jersey 07101
(Name and Address of USA)

From: SAC, John C. Mc Ginley - Newark Division
(Name of Official in Charge and Field Division) (Signature of Official in Charge)

RE: [redacted] Males b6 b7C
(Name of Subject) Age Sex

You are hereby advised of action authorized by AUSA [redacted]
(Name of USA or AUSA)

on information submitted by Special Agent [redacted] on 2/19/88.
(Name) (Date)

(Check One)

- [x] Request further investigation
- [ ] Immediate declination
- [ ] Filing of complaint
- [ ] Presentation to Federal Grand Jury
- [ ] Filing of information

For violation of Title 18, USC, Section(s)

Synopsis of case: DORIS DUKE, owner of DUKE's FARMS in Hillsborough, N. J., has been defrauded out of $3.3 million dollars. First subject - [redacted] b6 b7C [redacted] defrauded DUKE out of $300,000 for investing in a fictitious pump system called Super Fuel Systems, Inc., which was located in Arizona. This system was to produce low cost methanol. Also, one of the waste products of the process was pure aluminum and numerous others. [redacted] allegedly took the $300,000 and never had such a business.

The second subject - [redacted], a former employee of DUKE, wired $3 million dollars without DUKE's authority to his own real estate venture in California.

SEARCHED ___ INDEXED ___
SERIALIZED ___ FILED ___
FEB 2 1 1988
[redacted] b6 b7C

2-Addressee
1-Newark
SMP/alp
(3)

87D-33778-10

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

memorandum

DATE: 5/5/88

REPLY TO ATTN OF: SA [redacted] b6 b7C

SUBJECT: [redacted]
DORIS DUKE - VICTIM
ET AL
ITSP

TO: SAC, NEWARK (87D-33778) (P) (C-5)

On 5/4/88, [redacted] AUSA, Newark, N. J., advised he contacted [redacted] for additional documents. [redacted] stated he [redacted] b5 b6 b7C

SMP/lap
(2)

87D-33778-12

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED ____ FILED ____
MAY 05 1988
FBI — NEWARK

OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10
(REV. 1-80)
GSA FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.6
5010-114
☆ GPO : 1985 O - 461-275 (413)

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

memorandum

DATE: 6/10/88

REPLY TO ATTN OF: SA [redacted] b6 b7C

SUBJECT: [redacted]

DORIS DUKE-VICTIM;
XXX ET AL
OO: NK

TO: SAC, NEWARK (87D-33778) (P) (C-5)

On 6/3/88, [redacted] AUSA, telephone number [redacted] b5
[redacted] telephonically contacted writer to advise [redacted] b6
[redacted] b7C

2-Newark
SMP/tac
(2) tac

87D-33778-13

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED ____ FILED ____
JUN 10 1988
FBI - NEWARK

OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10
(REV. 1-80)
GSA FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.6
5010-114
★ U.S.G.P.O.: 1986-491-248/20641

FD-36 (Rev. 8-29-85)

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:
- ☐ Teletype
- ☐ Facsimile
- ☒ AIRTEL

PRECEDENCE:
- ☐ Immediate
- ☐ Priority
- ☐ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:
- ☐ TOP SECRET
- ☐ SECRET
- ☐ CONFIDENTIAL
- ☐ UNCLAS E F T O
- ☐ UNCLAS

Date 6/27/88

TO : SAC, NEWARK (87D-33778) (C-5)

FROM : SAC, LOS ANGELES (87D-50037) (WCC-3/SARA) (RUC)

SUBJECT: [redacted] b6 b7C
DORIS DUKE - VICTIM;
LOSS OF 3.3 MILLION;
FBW; ITSP;
00: NEWARK

Re Newark airtel to Los Angeles dated 4/15/88.

On 6/24/88, [redacted] telephone [redacted] b6 b7C advised that he has been in contact with his attorney, [redacted] Newport Beach, California who counseled him not to submit to an interview with the FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION (FBI). [redacted] explained that he and his attorney have already given all of the information regarding this matter to DORIS DUKE'S attorney, a [redacted] of Los Angeles, California and therefore he and his attorney believe that an interview by the FBI would be redundant.

In view of the above, Los Angeles is placing this matter in an RUC status.

2 - Newark
2 - Los Angeles
RJG/mvg
(4)

- 1* -

87D-33778-14

Approved: RTB/rr Transmitted _____ (Number) (Time) Per _____

[redacted] b6 b7C

87D-33778-15

SEARCHED ___ INDEXED ___
SERIALIZED ___ FILED ___

JUL 22 1988

[redacted]

b6
b7C

FD-302 (REV. 3-10-82)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 7/5/88

[redacted] was contacted at her residence, [redacted] telephone number [redacted] She was advised as to the interviewing agent's identity. She was informed that the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) was conducting an investigation concerning [redacted] b6 b7C

[redacted] voluntarily furnished the following information:

She was employed by DORIS DUKE at DUKE's New Jersey Estate during the summer of 1985. She was employed for only one or two months and left shortly after [redacted] was fired by DUKE. b6 b7C

[redacted] stated that she first met [redacted] when she was attending National University [redacted] She stated that [redacted] was a [redacted] at National University. She further advised that [redacted] recommended her to DORIS DUKE and that DUKE hired her during the summer of 1985 based upon [redacted] recommendation.

[redacted] stated that she did not know anything about DORIS DUKE's investments. She admitted that she was questioned at length by DORIS DUKE regarding the $3.3 million dollars transferred from DUKE's New York accounts to California but that she told DUKE she did not know anything about the money. b6 b7C

At this point in the interview, [redacted] advised that her work area at the DUKE estate was on the ground floor while the remainder of the offices were located upstairs. She advised that any conferences or meetings in which DUKE and [redacted] participated were held upstairs and away from her work area.

[redacted] stated that GEORGE REED had signature privileges on some of DORIS DUKE's accounts since he paid bills associated with her many homes. [redacted] stated that [redacted] may have had check signing priviliges, however, she was not certain of this. b6 b7C

NK87D-33778-15

Investigation on 6/23/88 at [redacted] File # 196B-1649

by SA [redacted] kmw Date dictated 6/24/88 b6 b7C

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

[redacted] advised that after [redacted] left the DUKE estates, [redacted] took over as DORIS DUKE's [redacted] b6 b7C

[redacted] stated that she was paid by check when she was employed by DORIS DUKE and that the check may have been drawn on the City Bank of New York.

In response to another direct question, [redacted] advised that she neither spoke with nor ever met [redacted] b6 b7C

[redacted] concluded by stated that she is presently employed by GENERAL DYNAMICS CORPORATION on Kearny Mesa, San Diego, California.

FD-302 (REV. 3-10-82)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 7/5/88

[redacted] voluntarily appeared at the San Diego, Calfornia office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI). He was advised as to the interviewing agent's identity both verbally and by a display of credentials. He was informed that the FBI was conducting an investigation concerning the alleged transfer of $3.3 million dollars in stolen funds from New York, New York to California. b6 b7C

[redacted] voluntarily furnished the following information:

He met [redacted] through [redacted] sons who were studying at National University where [redacted] He recalled that he first met [redacted] during 1983. He was recruited as DORIS DUKE's [redacted] through [redacted] He was hired as DORIS DUKE's [redacted] in June, 1983 by DUKE herself. He began working for DUKE as [redacted] immediately. He advised that he left DUKE's employ in August, 1985 after having received a written death threat from an anonymous source. He further commented that he believes the death threat to have originated with DUKE herself. b6 b7C

Continuing his narative, [redacted] advised that he was only an [redacted] to DORIS DUKE and had no signature privileges on any of DUKE's accounts. [redacted] stated that he was never in MORGAN GUARANTY TRUST COMPANY which is located in New York, New York and where DUKE maintained some of her financial accounts. He advised that he never called MORGAN GUARANTY TRUST COMPANY and never conducted any business with any employee at MORGAN GUARANTY TRUST COMPANY on behalf of DORIS DUKE. In response to a direct question, he denied knowing anyone named [redacted] an employee of MORGAN GUARANTY TRUST COMPANY. b6 b7C

[redacted] stated that he has known [redacted] since childhood. He introduced DORIS DUKE to [redacted] and [redacted] when DUKE was visiting Los Angeles, California during November, 1984. Later, DUKE decided to do business with [redacted] company, NEWPORT HARBOR FUNDING, a mortgage brokerage firm. b6 b7C

NY 87D-33778-16

Investigation on 6/22/88 at [redacted] File # 196B-1649

by SA [redacted] kmw Date dictated 6/24/88 b6 b7C

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

Continuing in this same regard, [redacted] stated that in his role as DUKE's [redacted] he received numerous pieces of business mail. Among the mail he received on behalf of DUKE were several prospectuses concering California real estate which had been sent by [redacted] The properties were generally commercial real estate located in growing areas of California. The offering was essentially that DUKE become the holder of promissory notes secured by real estate and paying a high rate of interest. He stated that after receiving the prospectuses he would present them to DUKE and her attorney, [redacted] DUKE and [redacted] would discuss the offerings with [redacted] DUKE would then decide to invest or not and instruct [redacted] accordingly. [redacted] noted that apparently [redacted] did have signature privileges on DUKE's financial accounts. He advised that on at least one occasion, DUKE did wire transfer money on one of the prospectuses to [redacted] in Newport Beach, California. b6 b7C

[redacted] continued in this regard by advising that he did receive a commission on the sale of a promissory note which was the subject of one of the prospectuses sent by [redacted] This commission was in the amount of $15,000.00 while the promissory note had a value of just under $1,000,000.00. b6 b7C

At this point in the interview, [redacted] commented that he had received numerous commissions from [redacted] over the years. He estimated that he has received approximately 70 commissions while acting as an agent for [redacted] He reiterated, however, that he only received one commission from [redacted] for a DUKE related transaction.

[redacted] stated that subsequent to deciding to invest in one of the [redacted] offerings, DUKE changed her mind and threatened to sue [redacted] if he did not refund her money. Apparently [redacted] did refund DUKE's money but [redacted] holds [redacted] responsible for the failure of the transaction and is now antagonistic toward [redacted] b6 b7C

[redacted] advised that while employed by DORIS DUKE his salary was $50,000.00 per year - paid bi-weekly. He was paid by means of a check drawn on one of DUKE's MORGAN GUARANTY TRUST COMPANY accounts, which check was apparently signed by a MORGAN GUARANTY TRUST COMPANY employee. [redacted] who left DUKE's employ a couple of months after [redacted] departure.

In response to a direct question, [redacted] stated that he has never been served in any civil lawsuit concerning any DUKE related activity. He stated that DORIS DUKE has made a complaint b6 b7C

against himself and [redacted] b6 b7C
concerning DUKE. He noted that the [redacted]

[redacted] concluded by denying having embezzled any funds from DUKE and reiterated that she has made the complaint against him out of spite.

The following description was obtained through interview and observation:

NAME:	[redacted] b6 b7C
SEX:	Male
RACE:	White
NATIONALITY:	American
DATE OF BIRTH:	[redacted]
HEIGHT:	6'0"
WEIGHT:	175 pounds
HAIR:	Blonde
EYES:	Blue
CHARACTERISTICS:	Wears mustache
EDUCATION:	Bachelor's Degree in Literature from the State University of New York at Westberry, New York
CONVICTIONS:	Claims none
OFFICE ADDRESS:	[redacted]
TELEPHONE NUMBER:	[redacted]

87D-33778-16

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED ____ FILED ____

JUL 22 1988

[redacted]

b6
b7C

FD-36 (Rev. 8-29-85)

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:
- ☐ Teletype
- ☐ Facsimile
- ☐ ~~AIRTEL~~

PRECEDENCE:
- ☐ Immediate
- ☐ Priority
- ☐ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:
- ☐ TOP SECRET
- ☐ SECRET
- ☐ CONFIDENTIAL
- ☐ UNCLAS E F T O
- ☐ UNCLAS

Date 7/5/88

TO: SAC, NEWARK (87D-33778)(C-5)

FROM: SAC, SAN DIEGO (196B-1649)(RUC)

SUBJECT: [redacted] b6 b7C
DORIS DUKE - VICTIM;
LOSS OF $3.3 MILLION;
FBW; ITSP;
OO: NEWARK

Re Newark airtel to Los Angeles, 4/15/88.

Enclosed for Newark are the original and one copy each of two FD-302's reflecting the interviews at San Diego of [redacted] Also enclosed are the agent's notes associated with those interviews. b6 b7C

A review of the referenced communication reflects that all requested investigation has been accomplished and this matter is therefore RUC.

(2) - Newark (87D-33778)
1 - San Diego (196B-1649)
RRS/kmw
(3)

87D-33778-17

SEARCHED ..... INDEXED .....
SERIALIZED ..... FILED .....
Per
JUL 12 1988
FBI - NEWARK

Approved: _____ Transmitted _____ (Number) _____ (Time)

GPO: 1987 — 181-486

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

memorandum

DATE: 8/14/88

REPLY TO ATTN OF: SA [redacted] b6 b7C

SUBJECT: [redacted]
ET AL;
OO: NEWARK

TO: SAC, NEWARK (87D-33778) (P) (C-5)

On 7/21/88, AUSA [redacted] advised [redacted] b5 b6 b7C

On 8/1/88, [redacted] advised he does not recall any depositions by [redacted] He stated he will review his file and contact other individuals that may have this information. [redacted] advised he will contact SA [redacted] with the results. b6 b7C

(2) - Newark
SMP/pp
(2)

87D-33778-18

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED ____ FILED ____
AUG 14 1988
FBI - NEWARK

1*

OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10
(REV. 1-80)
GSA FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.6
5010-114

☆ GPO : 1983 O - 381-526 (9073)

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

memorandum

DATE: 9/12/88

REPLY TO ATTN OF: SA [redacted] b6 b7C

SUBJECT: [redacted]
ET AL
(OO: NEWARK)

TO: SAC, NEWARK (87D-33778) (P) (C-5)

On 8/10/88, [redacted] advised that after a thorough review of his files, there is no depositions from captioned subjects. [redacted] stated he gave [redacted] AUSA, all the documentation from his files. b6 b7C

87D-33778-19

SMP/lap
(1)

SEARCHED ___ INDEXED ___
SERIALIZED ___ FILED ___
SEP 09 1988
FBI — NEWARK

[redacted] b6 b7C

OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10
(REV. 1-80)
GSA FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.6
5010-114
★ U.S.G.P.O.: 1988-491-248/20641

FD-302 (REV. 3-10-82)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 8/29/88

[redacted] was interviewed at the DOWNTOWN HOLIDAY INN, Iowa City, Iowa. After being advised of the nature of the interview and the identity of the interviewing agent, [redacted] furnished the following information: b6 b7C

[redacted] met DORIS DUKE in approximately 1973 or 1974. [redacted] was a [redacted] about DUKE and another individual regarding mystic treatments. [redacted] befriended DUKE through MIKE ALEXANDER, also known as NORBU CHEN, a "healer". CHEN died several years later and [redacted] and DUKE went their separate ways. In 1983 DUKE telephoned [redacted] in California "out of the clear blue". [redacted] visited DUKE's estate in the summer of 1984 at her invitation. DUKE was very proud of her estate. [redacted] was asked by DUKE to help her find a [redacted] first recommended [redacted] [redacted] at NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, San Diego, California as a [redacted] traveled to DUKE's estate and spent approximately one month at the DUKE farm during the summer of 1984 and decided he did not want the job. [redacted] then recommended [redacted] for the [redacted] position. [redacted] interviewed for the position. DUKE telephoned [redacted] and thanked him for his recommendation and stated [redacted] would be working for her. [redacted] worked approximately one year as DUKE's [redacted] starting from approximately September, 1984. b6 b7C

[redacted] does not know exactly where [redacted] currently resides. [redacted] believes [redacted] is employed with JEMSTAR, a software package company out west. When [redacted] wishes to converse with [redacted] concerning topics such as [redacted] he telephones [redacted] office in San Diego, California and leaves a message on a telephone recording machine. [redacted] telephone number is [redacted] telephones [redacted] for the most part. [redacted] has called [redacted] on approximately three occasions over the last two year period. [redacted] was always a bit reclusive. [redacted] was afraid of DUKE and left New Jersey in fear of his life. [redacted] relationship with DUKE was a love/hate relationship. b6 b7C

UK87D33738-20

Investigation on 6/18/88 at [redacted] File # ~~Omaha 87D-19748~~

WFZ

by SA [redacted] ljl Date dictated ~~6/24/88~~ b6 b7C

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

[redacted] as DUKE's [redacted] invested money for DUKE. [redacted] was very competent in finance. DUKE had previously gone through approximately seven business managers in a six year period of time and was rarely pleased with a [redacted] performance. [redacted] does not know details regarding the New Port funding project. [redacted] was under the impression the project turned a twelve percent return. [redacted] thought the project involved approximately 3 million dollars that was invested in a shopping center in the New Port area. [redacted] knew that [redacted] and his long-time friend and business associate, [redacted] were behind the project. [redacted] heard [redacted] was a real estate genius. [redacted] thought DUKE was upset because the project did not earn as much money as planned. Therefore, DUKE sued and settled with [redacted] does not know details concerning the project or the settlement. [redacted] never met [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted] has been a [redacted] for approximatley twenty-five years. [redacted] utilized public source information, interviews of DUKE and information supplied by [redacted] furnished most of the "specifics" and detailed financial background information [redacted] has a fantastic memory and much of the information [redacted] was told from memory by [redacted] has no knowledge that any resources were utilized in [redacted] that should not have been used. [redacted] knows of nothing stolen by [redacted] especially in conjunction with the [redacted] treats DUKE fairly. [redacted] stated DUKE did not even know how much she was worth before the [redacted] DUKE has not spoken with [redacted] since before the [redacted] wrote a letter to DUKE asking for her help in [redacted] advised [redacted] received a letter from [redacted] DUKE's former attorney, advising [redacted] that [redacted] was fired for no wrongdoing on his part.

b6
b7C

[redacted] advised the superfuel systems issue is a moot issue because it has been arbitrated already. DUKE was interested in the energy field and also wanted to find a way to help inventors. A foundation was discussed in which funds would be used to help inventors and successful inventions would have their proceeds go to fund more inventors. A [redacted] was touting an invention of his in which methane could be manufactured at a very low cost. [redacted] had an engineering and refrigeration background and owned his own companies. Everyone that [redacted] had known had a very high regard for [redacted] abilities and technical knowledge. [redacted] saw a demonstration of the superfuel's system and was impressed.

b6
b7C

 b6 b7C

A device showed a chemical reaction taking place which [redacted] was told involved particles of aluminium carbide that were put in motion and kept moving. The device looked fairly crude but kept the particles ignited. The ignited powder would come in contact with water and convert to methane. [redacted] was told that it was difficult and dangerous to actually demonstrate this process over and over therefore, a video tape was constructed. [redacted] saw the video tape and also talked with contractors who actually made the superfuel system. [redacted] remembered a [redacted] (last name unknown) (LNU), a welding operator out of Phoenix, Arizona who took a part in making the superfuel system. The system was originally made in Arizona and then brought to California. [redacted] and a patent attorney, [redacted] were both in Phoenix approximately three years ago. [redacted] were the brains behind superfuel systems. [redacted] was hired by superfuels as a consultant.

Superfuels was a research and development proposal that DUKE and her lawyers turned into something else. The research and development (R&D) partnership was written by [redacted] DUKE's attorneys thoroughly investigated the proposal, wrote a contract, and agreed to fund superfuel systems for 3 million dollars. [redacted] was to earn ten percent of that amount. b6 b7C

[redacted] to this day believes the superfuel systems was viable. [redacted] thinks [redacted] also believed in the project at first and used the $300,000 to get started. [redacted] believed the project was legitimate but [redacted] may have become greedy. [redacted] advised he complained personally to the San Diego office of the FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION approximately the time of the arbitration between D.D.V.G CORPORATION and SUPERFUEL SYSTEMS. [redacted] gave to the FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION (FBI) his entire file concerning SUPERFUEL SYSTEMS. b6 b7C

At approximately Thanksgiving time, 1984, DUKE told [redacted] to investigate SUPERFUELS for her. DUKE stopped the funding because she was angry when SUPERFUELS purchased a vehicle outright with her funds when the contract stated SUPERFUELS had authority to lease vehicles only. After DUKE stopped her funding of the project a clause in the contract stated all disputes shall go to arbitration. The issue was arbitrated and SUPERFUELS lost. [redacted] was not a party to the arbitration. [redacted] advised he was a witness in the arbitration process and was an "employee" of DUKE's who earned an agreed upon ten percent. b6 b7C

[redacted] is described from observation and interview as follows:

Name:	[redacted]
Race:	White
Sex:	Male
Date of birth:	[redacted]
Height:	5'10"
Weight:	230 pounds
Hair:	Brown
Eyes:	Brown

[redacted]

FD-36 (Rev. 8-29-85)

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:
- ☐ Teletype
- ☐ Facsimile
- ☒ AIRTEL

PRECEDENCE:
- ☐ Immediate
- ☐ Priority
- ☐ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:
- ☐ TOP SECRET
- ☐ SECRET
- ☐ CONFIDENTIAL
- ☐ UNCLAS E F T O
- ☐ UNCLAS

Date 8/29/88

TO: SAC, NEWARK (87D-33778) (C-5)

FROM: SAC, OMAHA (87D-19748) (RUC)

[redacted] b6 b7C

DORIS DUKE - VICTIM;
LOSS OF $3.3 MILLION;
FBW; ITSP
OO:NEWARK

Re Newark airtel to Los Angeles, 4/15/88.

Enclosed for Newark Division are the following items:

1) The original and one copy of an FD-302 re [redacted] b6 b7C

2) 1-A envelope containing original interview notes regarding [redacted].

Omaha considers this matter RUC.

2-Newark (Enc. 3)
1-Omaha
WFL:ljl
(3)

1*

87D-33738-21

SEARCHED INDEXED
SERIALIZED FILED
Per
(Time) SEP 22 1988
FBI — NEWARK

Approved: _____ Transmitted _____ (Number) _____ (Time)

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

memorandum

DATE: 9/30/88

REPLY TO ATTN OF: SA [redacted] b6 b7C

SUBJECT: [redacted]
ET AL
OO: NEWARK

TO: SAC, NEWARK (87D-33778) (P) (C-5)

On 9/20/88, AUSA [redacted] advised [redacted] b5 b6 b7C

[redacted] was advised that all documentation in this matter has been turned over to the USA'S OFFICE for review. b6 b7C

87-33778-22

SEARCHED SERIALIZED FILED
SEP 30 1988
FBI — NEWARK

2-Newark
SMP/pf
(2)

1*

OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10
(REV. 1-80)
GSA FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.6
5010-114
★ U.S.G.P.O.: 1988-491-248/20641

FD-320 (Rev. 8-30-82)

In Reply, Please Refer to

File No. 87D-33778

FBI CASE STATUS FORM

Date. 10/18/88

To: [redacted] b6 b7C
(Name and Address of USA)

From: [redacted]
(Name of Official in Charge and Field Division) (Signature of Official in Charge)

RE: [redacted]
(Name of Subject) Age Sex

You are hereby advised of action authorized by AUSA [redacted]
(Name of USA or AUSA)

on information submitted by Special Agent [redacted] on 9/30/88.
(Name) (Date)

(Check One)

- ☐ *Request further investigation*
- ☒ *Immediate declination*
- ☐ *Filing of complaint*
- ☐ *Presentation to Federal Grand Jury*
- ☐ *Filing of information*

For violation of Title 18 *USC, Section(s)* _____ b5 b6 b7C

(87D-33778) - 23

Synopsis of case: On September 30, 1988, AUSA [redacted] 8 District of New Jersey, Newark, New Jersey, was advised that all necessary investigation had been completed. [redacted] reviewed all documentation and interviews. [redacted]

[redacted] b6 b7C

closed #5 10/28/88

1-Addressee
1-Newark (87D-33778)
SMP/edb
(2)

DUPLICATE SERIALS PURGED AND DESTROYED PER BUAIRTEL DATED 11/3/86, ON ..... INITIALS

FBI/DOJ

87D 33778-25

SEARCHED INDEXED
SERIALIZED FILED

FEB 1 1 1988

FBI – NEWARK

FD-302 (REV. 3-10-82)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 2/8/88

[redacted] GRUTMAN, MILLER, GREENSPOON, GENDLER, and LEVIN, 505 Park Avenue, New York, New York (NY) 10022, telephone (212) 888-1900, was advised of the identity of the interviewing agent and the nature of the investigation. Also present during this interview was [redacted] provided the following information: b6 b7C

[redacted] advised that he was the [redacted] for DORIS DUKE before [redacted] stated he represented DUKE when she was dealing with [redacted] b6 b7C

[redacted] advised DUKE met [redacted] years ago. He was a writer of a magazine. DUKE read an article written by [redacted] and telephonically contacted him. [redacted] advised DUKE that he was involved in a new project called SUPERFUEL, in which he needed investors. DUKE advised [redacted] to contact her attorney, [redacted] regarding this investment. [redacted] advised [redacted] telephonically contacted [redacted] at the law firm. [redacted] stated that he spoke with DUKE regarding a new venture of his and he needed $300,000 from DUKE to invest in SUPERFUEL. This was the name of the venture. [redacted] told [redacted] to send him all the necessary documentation regarding SUPERFUEL. [redacted] sent the papers. [redacted] reviewed the documents and stated they were legitimate. [redacted] came to [redacted] office with the head of SUPERFUEL, [redacted] does not recall the name of this individual), and a Patent Attorney named [redacted] stated he needed quick money and wanted $300,000 from DUKE before the contract was even signed. A corporation was formed at this time called DDVG CORPORATION. [redacted] This corporation was set up for DUKE to fund SUPERFUEL. The contract was drawn up and [redacted] ran to DUKE's penthouse, which was located a couple streets away from the law firm and got her signature on this contract. b6 b7C

DUKE, at this time, asked [redacted] if he knew someone that she could hire to manage DUKE's farm. [redacted] stated that he had a step-son in a school in San Diego, California. [redacted] stated that [redacted] sent an individual that [redacted]

2/1/88	New York, New York	Newark 87D-33778
Investigation on	at	File #

SA [redacted] /hjk 2/4/88 b6 b7C

by Date dictated

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

at this school to [redacted] to be interviewed as [redacted] [redacted] stated this individual seemed to be a "super guy" and was hired. This individual telephoned [redacted] after he was told he received the job and abruptly declined the position. [redacted] stated he could not understand what changed this individual's mind. Then [redacted] sent [redacted] to [redacted] office to be interviewed. [redacted] also seemed to be a "super guy" but he did not know anything regarding agriculture. [redacted] stated [redacted] seemed to know a lot about economics and he was young so he recommended [redacted] to DUKE for this position. At this time, [redacted] was hired as [redacted] of DUKE's farm. b6 b7C

[redacted] advised [redacted] contacted him and advised he needed $2,700,000 for the SUPERFUEL project. [redacted] stated they were at a stage of development and needed additional funding of the above amount. [redacted] stated he told DUKE not to send the additional funds until he found more information. [redacted] hired an engineer to go to Arizona and check on SUPERFUEL. The engineer reported to [redacted] that this project, SUPERFUEL, is not what it was represented to them; it was a "Scam." b6 b7C

[redacted] advised DUKE sued to get her $300,000 back. She won the suit but never received her money back because they claimed there was no money left in the SUPERFUEL project. [redacted] stated [redacted] San Francisco, California 94115, telephone [redacted] handled the arbitration for DUKE. [redacted] advised the exhibits of this arbitration would be sufficient evidence for DUKE against [redacted] regarding SUPERFUEL. b6 b7C

On June 3, 1986, DUKE telephonically contacted [redacted] and advised that three million dollars of her money was sent to NEWPORT HARBOR FUNDING. She stated she wanted her money back because MORGAN GUARANTY TRUST COMPANY in New York had not been authorized to send this money. The money was sent to a [redacted] DUKE was leaving for Europe on June 6, 1986, which [redacted] advised gave him little time to help her. [redacted] stated GEORGE REED, Bookkeeper, sent all the documents to [redacted] He reviewed everything. [redacted] stated there was nothing giving [redacted] the authority to transfer DUKE's money. b6 b7C

[redacted] stated he tried to contact [redacted] with negative results. Before the three million dollars was moved out of DUKE's account, [redacted] was sent a group of blue notebooks from [redacted] Each book contained a story by [redacted] explaining mortgage investments in California. [redacted] was accessible at this time because he was interested in having DUKE invest. [redacted] told DUKE not to buy these mortgages without being in California to see for herself. b6 b7C

[redacted] advised he continually tried to telephone [redacted] on June 4, 1986. [redacted] stated he left numerous messages on the answering machine and [redacted] never returned his calls. Finally, one day [redacted] answered and [redacted] told him he wanted the three million dollars back. He said he will never do business with DUKE again if he has to send this money back. [redacted] refused to send the money back. At the same time MORGAN TRUST was also trying to get this money back through a bank in California. MORGAN TRUST was able to get two million dollars back and [redacted] sued for the rest and DUKE received this back. [redacted] also stated to [redacted] that [redacted] owned a piece of NEWPORT HARBOR FUNDING. [redacted] stated that he was told by [redacted] that DUKE knew [redacted] owned a piece of HARBOR FUNDING. [redacted] advised that [redacted] never told this to DUKE. [redacted] advised DUKE never gave [redacted] any authority. [redacted] reviewed all the documentation in DUKE's files and there was no authority. [redacted] stated any letter [redacted] sent to MORGAN TRUST had a follow-up letter by DUKE confirming what [redacted] requested. This was common practice. On a letter, dated April 15, 1985, it states when a T-Bill matures, certain money was to be forwarded to an escrow account in California by DORIS DUKE. This escrow account was in FIRST AMERICAN TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY. In a letter dated May 28, 1985, [redacted] stated $3,000,0090 should be credited to NEWPORT HARBOR FUNDING, INCORPORATED. This had nothing to do with DUKE's escrow account and [redacted] had no authority wiring this money. b6 b7C

[redacted] advised he spoke to [redacted] on June 5-6, 1986, regarding the three million dollars. [redacted] admitted that he gave the oral instructions to wire three million dollars to NEWPORT HARBOR FUNDING - [redacted] stated he told the girl at MORGAN TRUST the oral instructions for this wire and then sent a letter confirming the wire. [redacted] told [redacted] he did have the authority to send DUKE's money to Newport. He claimed he always had authority but he could not provide any evidence to support it. b6 b7C

[redacted] advised he spoke to [redacted] at MORGAN GUARANTY TRUST COMPANY. He asked [redacted] why she allowed this transfer. She stated that [redacted] was dealing solely with her.

[redacted] stated when T-Bills were rolling over, DUKE only gave GEORGE REED the authority to instruct the bank on these maturing bills.

When DUKE returned from Europe on July 7, 1986, [redacted] told [redacted] he was leaving and needed to go somewhere "to get his head screwed on." He came to [redacted] office and said this. b6 b7C

[redacted] advised after this happened, he saw the [redacted] on DORIS DUKE's life that was [redacted] stated they both went out of their way to act like they were not friends and then they [redacted] b6 b7C

On January 25, 1988, [redacted] telephoned [redacted] at his law firm to see what he thought of this [redacted] provided the following telephone number and stated he was now living in [redacted] stated he got the impression that [redacted] was calling to see if DUKE might offer payment to stop him from [redacted] b6 b7C

[redacted] stated he personally wrote a letter to [redacted] on October 1, 1984, outlining his job assignments and duties, and he was never given the authority to wire money without DUKE's knowledge or approval. b6 b7C