

[REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)

From: [REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)
Sent: Sunday, July 03, 2016 2:11 PM
To: [REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Must Read Security Article

b6 -1
b7C -1

Copy sir.

SA [REDACTED]
Attorney General's Protection Detail
Office- [REDACTED]
Cell- [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 07/03/2016 2:05 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: [REDACTED] (LV) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)"

[REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (NY) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (NY) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (HO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (HO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (CG) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (WF) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Cc: [REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Subject: Must Read Security Article

All,

Please read the attached article, regarding the AG's meeting with Clinton. I believe that the source quoted in this article is one of the local Phoenix LEO's. Needless to say that I have contacted the Phoenix office and will contact the local's who assisted in an attempt to stem any further damage. This is exactly why our Discretion and Judgement are the foundation of the AG's trust in our team, which is why we can never violate that trust, like the source did in this article.

<http://observer.com/2016/07/exclusive-security-source-details-bill-clinton-maneuver-to-meet-loretta-lynch/>

Thank you,

[REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)

From: [REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)
Sent: Sunday, July 03, 2016 2:11 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: Must Read Security Article

b6 -1
b7C -1

SA [REDACTED]
Attorney General's Protection Detail
Office- [REDACTED]
Cell- [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 07/03/2016 2:05 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: [REDACTED] (LV) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)"

[REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (NY) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (NY) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (HO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
(HO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (CG) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (WF) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
(SECD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Cc: [REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Must Read Security Article

All,

Please read the attached article, regarding the AG's meeting with Clinton. I believe that the source quoted in this article is one of the local Phoenix LEO's. Needless to say that I have contacted the Phoenix office and will contact the local's who assisted in an attempt to stem any further damage. This is exactly why our Discretion and Judgement are the foundation of the AG's trust in our team, which is why we can never violate that trust, like the source did in this article.

<http://observer.com/2016/07/exclusive-security-source-details-bill-clinton-maneuver-to-meet-loretta-lynch/>

Thank you,

[REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)

From: [REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)
Sent: Saturday, July 02, 2016 1:18 PM
To: Rojas, Rachel L. (SECD) (FBI)
Subject: Re:

b6 -1
b7C -1

Thank you.

I'm sending you an article from my email account that is a pretty accurate account of the event. I think the source, who knows they can lose their job was a Phoenix cop assisting with the motorcade.

Have a great 4th!

From: Rojas, Rachel L. (SECD) (FBI)
Sent: Saturday, July 02, 2016 01:10 PM
To: [REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)
Subject: RE:

b6 -1
b7C -1

Lol I'm not worried about you ☺

I'll deal with opa with [REDACTED] next week. Doj is likely overwhelmed so in hoping it wasn't intentional.

I know it wasn't you guys bc you have great judgment. Nothing good would come from that. Her staff should have avoided that scenario.

The bu will be fine but obviously disappointed on how this is happening. Unfortunately she's taking heat all over the place and I feel bad for her. I know she didn't want this on her plate or for this to happen.

I will definitely call you next week so we can catch up. ☺

SC Rachel Rojas
FBI Security Division
Security Operations Section

Cell [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 07/02/2016 7:32 AM (GMT-07:00)
To: "Rojas, Rachel L. (SECD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Re:

b6 -1
b7C -1

Good Morning.

Sorry for not responding last night.

Please do not worry about me making any statements. I know better ;)

I am worried about our OPA reaching out to DOJ's, as it was DOJ opa who threw us under the bus.

I will explain the details later, but you know, we are not the final word as to who comes in or out of the AG's space. Her staff dropped the ball in a big way, and we were the easy scapegoats! I'm pretty pissed about the way things went down and how they were handled afterwards, needless to say I will be making some changes as to how much interaction we will have with this staff going forward.

I'm truly sorry to be bothering you with this nonsense on your time off, but my biggest fear with this event is whether or not this will affect the ongoing investigation or the Director's position. Obviously it has affected the AG's position but I hope there's no further fallout.

From: Rojas, Rachel L. (SECD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, July 01, 2016 09:49 PM
To: [REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)
Subject:

b6 -1
b7C -1

Hey got your message. [REDACTED] called [REDACTED] too. I would say stay away unless we hear something from a higher up. I don't see the need for any comment. Opa may reach out to doj so I hope there is no comment from them as well in regards to protection piece.

Thanks for call and keeping me in loop.

SC Rachel Rojas
FBI Security Division
Security Operations Section
Cell [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)

From: Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, July 01, 2016 6:58 AM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Lynch to Remove Herself From Decision Over Clinton Emails, Official Says - NYTimes.com

Nothing. We just keep doing what we need to do and let all of this swirl around us.

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, July 01, 2016 6:00 AM
To: Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Lynch to Remove Herself From Decision Over Clinton Emails, Official Says - NYTimes.com

Note the this has been under discussion since April part. Timings not great, but what are you going to do?

----- Original message -----

From: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 07/01/2016 5:48 AM (GMT-05:00) b6 -1
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Lynch to Remove Herself From Decision Over Clinton Emails, Official Says - NYTimes.com

Well...that's an interesting development.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 07/01/2016 5:36 AM (GMT-05:00) b6 -1
To: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] "Mains, Richard A. (RO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: Lynch to Remove Herself From Decision Over Clinton Emails, Official Says - NYTimes.com

http://mobile.nytimes.com/2016/07/02/us/politics/foretta-lynch-hillary-clinton-email-server.html?_r=0&referrer=https://www.google.com/

Lynch to Remove Herself From Decision Over Clinton Emails, Official Says

Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)

From: Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, July 01, 2016 8:28 AM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Lynch to Remove Herself From Decision Over Clinton Emails, Official Says - NYTimes.com

Agreed.

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, July 01, 2016 5:59 AM
To: Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Lynch to Remove Herself From Decision Over Clinton Emails, Official Says - NYTimes.com

Timing's not ideal in that it falsely adds to those seeking the "this is all choreographed" narrative. But I don't think it's worth changing...later won't be better.

----- Original message -----

From: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted]
Date: 07/01/2016 5:52 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted]
Subject: RE: Lynch to Remove Herself From Decision Over Clinton Emails, Official Says - NYTimes.com

b6 -1

Thank you.

The meeting in PX is all over CNN TV news this morning...

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted]
Date: 07/01/2016 5:36 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted]; "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted]; "Mains, Richard A. (RO) (FBI)" [redacted]
Subject: Fwd: Lynch to Remove Herself From Decision Over Clinton Emails, Official Says - NYTimes.com

b6 -1

http://mobile.nytimes.com/2016/07/01/us/politics/lorretta-lynch-hillary-clinton-email-server.html?_r=0&referer=https://www.google.com/

Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)

From: Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, July 01, 2016 5:12 PM
To: Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)
Subject: RE: AG transcript, Aspen ideas festival, Fri 1 July

Thank you.

From: Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, July 01, 2016 5:11 PM
To: McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI); Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI); Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); Kelly, Stephen D. (DO) (FBI); Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI); Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)
Cc: James B. Comey
Subject: AG transcript, Aspen ideas festival, Fri 1 July

b6 -1

JONATHAN CAPEHART: Thank you all for being here this morning.

Attorney General, thank you very much for being here.

ATTORNEY GENERAL LORETTA LYNCH: Thank you for having me.

CAPEHART: So as Walter said, you have a reputation of having the highest integrity, utmost solid judgment. So when people heard what went down in Phoenix, a lot of people were like -- I mean, friends, supporters, backers were saying, what on Earth was she thinking talking to Bill Clinton?

So what on Earth were you thinking?

(LAUGHTER)

What happened?

LYNCH: Well, I think that's the question of the day, isn't it?

CAPEHART: Yes.

LYNCH: And I think that's a perfectly reasonable question. I think that's the question that is called, you know, by what happened in Phoenix because people have also wondered and raised questions about my role in the ultimate resolution of matters involving the investigation into the State Department e-mails.

And to the extent that people have questions about that, about my role in that, certainly my meeting with him raises questions and concerns. And so believe me, I completely yet that question. And I think it is the question of the day.

But I think the issue is, again, what is my role in how that matter is going to be resolved? And so let me be clear on how that is going to be resolved. I've gotten that question a lot also over time and we usually don't go into those deliberations, but I do think it's important that people see what that process is like.

As I have always indicated, the matter is being handled by career agents and investigators with the

FBI-38

Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)

From: Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, July 01, 2016 6:01 AM
To: Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Lynch to Remove Herself From Decision Over Clinton Emails, Official Says - NYTimes.com

Thank you

MICHAEL B. STEINBACH

Executive Assistant Director

National Security Branch

Federal Bureau of Investigation

(O) [Redacted]
(C) [Redacted]

b6 -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [Redacted]
Date: 07/01/2016 5:53 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)" [Redacted] "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [Redacted]
Subject: Fwd: Lynch to Remove Herself From Decision Over Clinton Emails, Official Says - NYTimes.com

b6 -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [Redacted]
Date: 07/01/2016 5:36 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [Redacted] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)" [Redacted] "Mains, Richard A. (RO) (FBI)" [Redacted]
Subject: Fwd: Lynch to Remove Herself From Decision Over Clinton Emails, Official Says - NYTimes.com

b6 -1

FBI-39

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, July 01, 2016 5:37 AM
To: [REDACTED] (NSD) (JMD); [REDACTED] (NSD) (JMD); [REDACTED] (USAVAE); [REDACTED] (USAVAE)
Subject: Fwd: Lynch to Remove Herself From Decision Over Clinton Emails, Official Says - NYTimes.com

b6 -1

<http://mobile.nytimes.com/2016/07/02/us/politics/loretta-lynch-hillary-clinton-email-server.html?r=0&referrer=https://www.google.com/>

Lynch to Remove Herself From Decision Over Clinton Emails, Official Says

Attorney General Loretta E. Lynch plans to announce on Friday that she will accept whatever recommendation career prosecutors and the F.B.I. director make about whether to bring charges related to Hillary Clinton's personal email server, a Justice Department official said. Her decision removes the possibility that a political appointee will overrule investigators in the case.

The Justice Department had been moving toward such an arrangement for months — officials said in April that it was being considered — but a private meeting between Ms. Lynch and former President Bill Clinton this week set off a political furor and made the decision all but inevitable.

Republicans said the meeting, which took place at the Phoenix airport, had compromised the independence of the investigation as the F.B.I. was winding it down. Some called for Ms. Lynch to recuse herself, but she did not take herself off the case — one that could influence a presidential election.

Ms. Lynch plans to discuss the matter at a conference in Aspen, Colo., on Friday. The Justice Department declined to comment. The official who confirmed the discussion did so on the condition of anonymity because the internal decision-making process is normally kept confidential.

The F.B.I. is investigating whether Mrs. Clinton, her aides or anyone else broke the law by setting up a private email server for her to use as secretary of state. Internal investigators have concluded that the server was used to send classified information, and Republicans have seized on the matter to question Mrs. Clinton's judgment.

For the Justice Department, the central question is whether the conduct met the legal standard for the crime of mishandling classified information.

Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, July 01, 2016 5:38 AM
To: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) b6 -1
Subject: Fwd: Lynch to Remove Herself From Decision Over Clinton Emails, Official Says - NYTimes.com

http://mobile.nytimes.com/2016/07/02/us/politics/loretta-lynch-hillary-clinton-email-server.html?_r=0&referrer=https://www.google.com/

Lynch to Remove Herself From Decision Over Clinton Emails, Official Says

Attorney General Loretta E. Lynch plans to announce on Friday that she will accept whatever recommendation career prosecutors and the F.B.I. director make about whether to bring charges related to Hillary Clinton's personal email server, a Justice Department official said. Her decision removes the possibility that a political appointee will overrule investigators in the case.

The Justice Department had been moving toward such an arrangement for months — officials said in April that it was being considered — but a private meeting between Ms. Lynch and former President Bill Clinton this week set off a political furor and made the decision all but inevitable.

Republicans said the meeting, which took place at the Phoenix airport, had compromised the independence of the investigation as the F.B.I. was winding it down. Some called for Ms. Lynch to recuse herself, but she did not take herself off the case — one that could influence a presidential election.

Ms. Lynch plans to discuss the matter at a conference in Aspen, Colo., on Friday. The Justice Department declined to comment. The official who confirmed the discussion did so on the condition of anonymity because the internal decision-making process is normally kept confidential.

The F.B.I. is investigating whether Mrs. Clinton, her aides or anyone else broke the law by setting up a private email server for her to use as secretary of state. Internal investigators have concluded that the server was used to send classified information, and Republicans have seized on the matter to question Mrs. Clinton's judgment.

For the Justice Department, the central question is whether the conduct met the legal standard for the crime of mishandling classified information.

Ms. Lynch said that the meeting with Mr. Clinton was unplanned, largely social and did not touch on

[redacted] (DO) (FBI)

b6 -1

From: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, July 01, 2016 1:23 PM
To: [redacted] (SECD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: FBI agents-no photos story

Thanks [redacted] Doubt we would say anything, but for clarification will be good to know.

b6 -1

[redacted]

From: [redacted] (SECD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, July 01, 2016 1:22 PM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: RE: FBI agents-no photos story

b6 -1

Rybicki just talked to DOJ. They are waiting to respond until they can talk to AGPD to determine exactly what happened. AGPD is traveling back to DC now.

----- Original message -----

From: [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Date: 07/01/2016 1:13 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: [redacted] (SECD) (FBI) [redacted]
Subject: FW: FBI agents-no photos story

b6 -1

Here's the local article -- national NBC has picked it up and asked us about it. We are reaching out to DOJ OPA as well, but thank you for the contacts!

[redacted]
b6 -1

From: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, July 01, 2016 12:24 PM
To: Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); OPA-NPO
Subject: FBI agents-no photos story

FYSA,

ABC's Jack Date called to ask about this claim and we hadn't heard about it prior to his call. He's going to call DOJ to ask.

Reporter: FBI ordered 'no photos, no pictures, no cell phones' during Clinton/Lynch meeting

FBI-42

[Redacted] (SecD) (FBI)

From: [Redacted] (SecD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, July 01, 2016 3:11 PM
To: [Redacted] (SECD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Media Reports ***Not for Dissemination**

b6 -1
b7C -1

Well said. Great learning point.

----- Original message -----

From: [Redacted] (SECD) (FBI)" [Redacted]
Date: 07/01/2016 2:46 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: DPD-INTERNAL [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted] (MP) (FBI)" [Redacted] (PD) (FBI)"
[Redacted] (SF) (FBI)"
[Redacted] (NK) (FBI)" [Redacted]
[Redacted] (CG) (FBI)" [Redacted] (P) (FBI)"
Subject: Media Reports ***Not for Dissemination**

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -2

All-

You have no doubt by now seen the reports regarding the AG and former President Clinton's impromptu meeting on the tarmac in Phoenix. In addition to the reporting regarding the appropriateness of that meeting taking place given the ongoing investigation, another aspect of the story that is adding fuel to the fire is the alleged statements/actions that are being attributed to FBI Agents at the scene of the meeting surrounding the attempts to preclude reporters/public present from taking pictures or recording. First and foremost no one knows exactly what transpired on the tarmac, and who told whom what. Currently there is only the information that is being provided by the news outlet from Phoenix. AGPD is travelling and has been unavailable to provide any information to the DOJ nor FBI Office of Public Affairs for explanation or clarification of what transpired.

Even though this is still playing out, I wanted to share some thoughts for your consideration. This is in no way intended to be a critique of what is transpiring and should not be viewed as such:

Maintaining an awareness of current issues regarding the FBI and how they may impact the Director.

Our job is to protect the boss from harm and embarrassment. Having a basic knowledge of events concerning the FBI and or the Director goes a long way into facilitating this mandate. What issues are currently being reported in the media? And what actions/interactions/situations that the Director may be in could impact them. Granted this is largely a function of the Director's office to not schedule events that could put the Director in an awkward situation, but we too have a roll in this. As we conduct advances and execute our mission, we should be continually war gaming scenarios and considering secondary and tertiary effects of multiple courses of action. Example: Amid the polarizing national discussion of race and policing [Redacted] gave his speech at the 16th St Baptist Church in Birmingham on the subject. The constant thread of all his remarks on the subject has been the need for a conversation where people listened openly/objectively to opposing viewpoints to search for common understanding and common ground. An

b7E -3

Campbell, Joshua S. (DO) (FBI)

From: Campbell, Joshua S. (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, July 01, 2016 7:44 PM
To: [REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Media Reports ***~~Not for Dissimination~~***

b6 -1
b7C -1

Well said through and through. Thx man. Happy 4th.

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 07/01/2016 7:39 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Campbell, Joshua S. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: Media Reports ***~~Not for Dissimination~~***

b6 -1
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (SECD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 07/01/2016 2:45 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: DPD-INTERNAL [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] (MP) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (PD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (SF) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (NK) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (CG) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (IP) (FBI)"
Subject: Media Reports ***~~Not for Dissimination~~***

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -2

All-

You have no doubt by now seen the reports regarding the AG and former President Clinton's impromptu meeting on the tarmac in Phoenix. In addition to the reporting regarding the appropriateness of that meeting taking place given the ongoing investigation, another aspect of the story that is adding fuel to the fire is the alleged statements/actions that are being attributed to FBI Agents at the scene of the meeting surrounding the attempts to preclude reporters/public present from taking pictures or recording. First and foremost no one knows exactly what transpired on the tarmac, and who told whom what. Currently there is only the information that is being provided by the news outlet from Phoenix. AGPD is travelling and has been unavailable to provide any information to the DOJ nor FBI Office of Public Affairs for explanation or clarification of what transpired.

FBI-44

Even though this is still playing out, I wanted to share some thoughts for your consideration. This is in no way intended to be a critique of what is transpiring and should not be viewed as such:

Maintaining an awareness of current issues regarding the FBI and how they may impact the Director.

Our job is to protect the boss from harm and embarrassment. Having a basic knowledge of events concerning the FBI and or the Director goes a long way into facilitating this mandate. What issues are currently being reported in the media? And what actions/interactions/situations that the Director may be in could impact them. Granted this is largely a function of the Director's office to not schedule events that could put the Director in an awkward situation, but we too have a roll in this. As we conduct advances and execute our mission, we should be continually war gaming scenarios and considering secondary and tertiary effects of multiple courses of action. Example: Amid the polarizing national discussion of race and policing, [redacted] gave his speech at the 16th St Baptist Church in Birmingham on the subject. The constant thread of all his remarks on the subject has been the need for a conversation where people listened openly/objectively to opposing viewpoints to search for common understanding and common ground. An ex-Black Lives Matter protestor (he had been kicked out due to his radical views) interrupted the ceremony to admonish the conference. With media present, we were aware of the optic that would be created if this protestor was forcibly removed for non-violent action. We contained him to prevent a direct threat to the Director, but did not intervene and he departed on his own. Using context to help guide our actions is paramount to the successful execution of our mission.

b7E -3

**The daily summary of FBI related news articles located on the 7th floor is an excellent source and should be reviewed on a regular basis.

Advances maintaining situational awareness on the ground in general, and especially of other dignitaries/personalities travelling out of the FBO or being in/near a venue.

The advance's job is to have a solid understand of all practical aspects of a location the Director is visiting. To include providing the travel team with information that will allow for the adjustment of arrival/departure times as needed. We do an excellent job of this. Whether it be for ease of ingress/egress for motorcade de-confliction or whether there be the same concerns of optics with the Director potentially bumping into someone. When we last flew into HPN a few months back, we literally just missed Clinton's plane. Imagine the optics and the awkward situation we would have put the Director in if we would have been at the FBO at the same time as Secretary Clinton. We ultimately didn't have to adjust our timeline, but having that knowledge ahead of time allowed us to be in-control of the situation and provided the opportunity for us to delay to prevent a simultaneous arrival/departure if it became necessary.

Interaction with media:

The media, like us, have a job to do. Within a public space, we have no right nor authority to impede their opportunity to do their job. If we are walking down the corridor of the Hill, we can't stop reporters from filming the Director, nor asking questions. We can prevent them from impeding his movement and our need for a clear evac route. Understanding what we can and cannot control is essential to the execution of our job and the mandate to prevent embarrassment.

This situation is still unfolding. As I get more information from across the street regarding what actually transpired, I will definitely share it with you. This situation highlights what we all know, but can always stand to be reminded of- we live and work under a microscope. Whether it be media, FBI Execs and employees, or the general public; people are always watching. We have to be right all the time.....

Thanks for your professionalism and dedication to this job!!!

Caveo Scàrius

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Reporter: FBI ordered 'no photos, no pictures, no cell phones' during Clinton/Lynch meeting

posted at 7:21 am on July 1, 2016 by Larry O'Connor

Reporter Christopher Sign of ABC 15 in Phoenix, AZ appeared on The O'Reilly Factor Thursday night to talk about his scoop involving that secret meeting between former President Bill Clinton and Attorney General Loretta Lynch.

"The former president steps into her plane. They then speak for 30 minutes privately. The FBI there on the tarmac instructing everybody around 'no photos, no pictures, no cell phones.'"

Interesting.

First of all, it isn't the FBI's job to tell journalists or private citizens they can't take photographs of a former president and the Attorney General. What were the agents going to do, arrest people for taking a picture or video?

Also, if there was nothing wrong with the meeting and it was totally innocent, why were federal agents instructed to demand no one take a picture?

Finally, let's stop focusing on the fact that this meeting was inappropriate because Clinton's wife is under investigation by Lynch's Justice Department. I mean, that's bad, but it's actually letting Lynch and Clinton off the hook a bit. By focusing on the appearance of conflict because *Hillary Clinton* is being investigated, we are willfully overlooking the very real conflict in the fact that *Clinton himself* is under investigation, as the Grand Poo-bah at the Clinton Foundation. (Fox News)

The FBI investigation into Hillary Clinton's use of private email as secretary of state has expanded to look at whether the possible "intersection" of Clinton Foundation work and State Department business may have violated public corruption laws, three intelligence sources not authorized to speak on the record told Fox News.

This new investigative track is in addition to the focus on classified material found on Clinton's personal server.

"The agents are investigating the possible intersection of Clinton Foundation donations, the dispensation of State Department contracts and whether regular processes were followed," one source said.

Yes, the investigation into the intersection of Clinton Foundation donations and the State Department slams Hillary Clinton since it happened during her tenure as Secretary of State, but what about Bill Clinton? If the State Department and Hillary Clinton acted improperly or illegally by commingling staff and by granting favors to Clinton Foundation donors, isn't the Clinton Foundation, and Bill Clinton equally guilty of wrongdoing?

This may explain why the day after the surreptitious meeting in Phoenix, Lynch's Justice Department informed a judge they were going to drag their feet on the release of emails connecting the former president's foundation and the State Department. (Daily Caller)

Department of Justice officials filed a motion in federal court late Wednesday seeking a 27-month delay in producing correspondence between former Secretary of State Hillary Clinton's four top aides and officials with the Clinton Foundation and Teneo Holdings, a closely allied public relations firm that Bill Clinton helped launch.

If the court permits the delay, the public won't be able to read the communications until October 2018, about 22 months into her prospective first term as President. The four senior Clinton aides involved were Deputy Assistant Secretary of State Michael Fuchs, Ambassador-At-Large Melanise Verveer, Chief of Staff Cheryl Mills, and Deputy Chief of Staff Huma Abedin.

I mean when all of this adds up, it's clear why Lynch and her FBI agents were so intent on keeping this

A person who is not a member of the FBI should not be present at any meeting of the FBI. If you are not a member of the FBI, you should not attend any meeting of the FBI. If you are a member of the FBI, you should not attend any meeting of the FBI if you are not properly authorized to do so. This information is for your information only. It is not intended to be used for any other purpose. If you have any questions, please contact your supervisor. This information is for your information only. It is not intended to be used for any other purpose. If you have any questions, please contact your supervisor.

SSA [redacted]
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Director's Protective Detail

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted] (b)
[redacted] (m)