FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION FOI/PA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET
7th Interim Release
Civil Action# 18-cv-1833, FOIA 1404359-0

Total Withheld Page(s) = 210

Bates Page Reference	Reason for Withholding (i.e., exemptions with coded rationale, duplicate, sealed by order of court, etc.)
18-cv-1833-3235	b6-2,4; b7C-2,4
3236	b6- 4; b7C- 4
3341-3342	b5- 1
3349	B5- 1,2; b6- 2; b7C- 2
3350	B5- 1,2; b6- 1; b7C- 1
3351	B5- 1,2; b6- 2; b7C- 2
3356	Duplicate of 3314
3357- 3388	Duplicate of 3315-3346
3389-3397	Duplicate of 3347-3355
3520-3525	Duplicate of 3506-3511
3540-3642	Duplicate of 2059-2161
3645-3696	Duplicate of 2326-2377

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X Deleted Page(s) X
X No Duplication Fee X X
For this Page X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

[redacted] (DO) (OGA)

From: [redacted] (DO) (OGA) b6 -1,2 b7C -1,2
Sent: Tuesday, January 17, 2017 7:05 PM
To: James B. Comey
Cc: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)
Subject: FW: negative take on your policing speeches
Attachments: [redacted] on Crime -- Columbia Law Review.docx

Director,

To update you on this item, I've had a few discussions with Dan and the draft [redacted] article he passed along is currently under extended editorial review, which Dan is hopeful will excise much of the hostile (and unsupported) commentary regarding your prior remarks on policing. Accordingly, he has advised us that it would be best to wait for the next draft before making any suggestions. b6 -2 b7C -2

Separately, Dan has suggested that you might contribute a page (or less) of your reflections for this law review edition. (This would not be as a response to any other author, but rather a pithy synopsis of your views.) I plan to work with him on a draft for your consideration.

[redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1

From: James B. Comey
Sent: Saturday, January 07, 2017 3:57 PM
To: [redacted] (DO) (OGA) [redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1
Cc: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [redacted] b7E -3
Subject: Fwd: negative take on your policing speeches

Take a look at this and let me know your thoughts next week. Thanks.

.

-------- Original message --------
From: [redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1
Date: 1/7/17 3:47 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "James B. Comey" <jcb.dir@ic.fbi.gov>
Subject: Fwd: negative take on your policing speeches

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: Daniel Charles Richman [redacted] b6 -1,4 b7C -1,4
Date: Sat, Jan 7, 2017 at 3:39 PM
Subject: negative take on your policing speeches
To: Jbc [redacted]

I realize that you've got plenty of other stuff on your mind. But you ought to know about (if only to pass on to others) about the attached first draft that [redacted] just submitted to the CLRev as part of the "minisymposium" on the murder spike that I mentioned to you. [redacted] is OK with my sending it to you). [redacted] and is one of the most respected law & econ people writing about crime. So this is likely to get decent play within academia. And as you can see it has some quite hostile aspects, coming down hard on your de-policing musings, even as giving you credit for noting the homicide spike.

b6 -2
b7C -2

Given the tight nature of law review deadlines (this will come out in June but you know law rev lead times) and the likelihood that you and your crew have plenty on your plates, I'm not suggesting that you consider a response (tho if you really wanted to do that, I could try to facilitate it). But if [redacted] has made factual errors in characterizing your position, we should try to point them out. And at the very least, your crew might consider some of his analysis going forward.

b6 -2
b7C -2

James B. Comey

From: James B. Comey
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2017 6:36 PM
To: [redacted] (DO) (OGA) b6 -1 b7C -1
Cc: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)
Subject: RE: negative take on your policing speeches

I like it. One nit: [redacted] Let me know if anyone had concerns about submitting it (seems harmless and keeps us in the conversation); otherwise, you can launch. b5 -1

--

-------- Original message --------
From: [redacted] (DO) (OGA)" [redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1 b7E -3
Date: 1/31/17 4:13 PM (GMT-07:00)
To: "James B. Comey" <jcb.dir@ic.fbi.gov>
Cc: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [redacted]
Subject: RE: negative take on your policing speeches

Director,

As a follow up to my earlier email, I'm attaching a very brief statement (drafted with Dan Richman) for your review and consideration. You will recall that Dan proposed that this be submitted to Columbia Law Review for them to publish as part their mini-symposium on crime and policing.

Dan informs me that CLR would need it to be submitted by Monday (2/6) if you decide to proceed with it.

[redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1 b7E -3

From: [redacted] (DO) (OGA)
Sent: Tuesday, January 17, 2017 7:05 PM
To: James B. Comey (jcb.dir@ic.fbi.gov) <jcb.dir@ic.fbi.gov>
Cc: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Subject: FW: negative take on your policing speeches

Director,

To update you on this item, I've had a few discussions with Dan and the draft [redacted] article he passed along is currently under extended editorial review, which Dan is hopeful will excise much of the hostile (and unsupported) commentary regarding your prior remarks on policing. Accordingly, he has advised us that it would be best to wait for the next draft before making any suggestions. b6 -2 b7C -2

Separately, Dan has suggested that you might contribute a page (or less) of your reflections for this law

review edition. (This would not be as a response to any other author, but rather a pithy synopsis of your views.) I plan to work with him on a draft for your consideration.

[redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1

From: James B. Comey
Sent: Saturday, January 07, 2017 3:57 PM
To: [redacted] (DO) (OGA); [redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1
Cc: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [redacted] b7E -3
Subject: Fwd: negative take on your policing speeches

Take a look at this and let me know your thoughts next week. Thanks.

.

-------- Original message --------
From [redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1
Date: 1/7/17 3:47 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "James B. Comey" <jcb.dir@ic.fbi.gov>
Subject: Fwd: negative take on your policing speeches

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: Daniel Charles Richman [redacted] b6 -1,4 b7C -1,4
Date: Sat, Jan 7, 2017 at 3:39 PM
Subject: negative take on your policing speeches
To: Jbc [redacted]

I realize that you've got plenty of other stuff on your mind. But you ought to know about (if only to pass on to others) about the attached first draft that [redacted] just submitted to the CLRev as part of the "minisymposium" on the murder spike that I mentioned to you. [redacted] is OK with my sending it to you) [redacted] and is one of the most respected law & econ people writing about crime. So this is likely to get decent play within academia. And as you can see it has some quite hostile aspects, coming down hard on your de-policing musings, even as giving you credit for noting the homicide spike. b6 -2 b7C -2

Given the tight nature of law review deadlines (this will come out in June but you know law rev lead times) and the likelihood that you and your crew have plenty on your plates, I'm not suggesting that you consider a response (tho if you really wanted to do that, I could try to facilitate it). But if [redacted] has made factual errors in characterizing your position, we should try to point them out. And at the very least, your crew might consider some of his analysis going forward. b6 -2 b7C -2

[redacted] (DO) (OGA)

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (DO) (OGA)
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2017 6:14 PM
To: James B. Comey
Cc: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)
Subject: RE: negative take on your policing speeches
Attachments: CLR Policing Draft Statement.docx

Director,

As a follow up to my earlier email, I'm attaching a very brief statement (drafted with Dan Richman) for your review and consideration. You will recall that Dan proposed that this be submitted to Columbia Law Review for them to publish as part their mini-symposium on crime and policing.

Dan informs me that CLR would need it to be submitted by Monday (2/6) if you decide to proceed with it.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

From: [redacted] (DO) (OGA)
Sent: Tuesday, January 17, 2017 7:05 PM
To: James B. Comey (jcb.dir@ic.fbi.gov) <jcb.dir@ic.fbi.gov>
Cc: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Subject: FW: negative take on your policing speeches

Director,

To update you on this item, I've had a few discussions with Dan and the draft [redacted] article he passed along is currently under extended editorial review, which Dan is hopeful will excise much of the hostile (and unsupported) commentary regarding your prior remarks on policing. Accordingly, he has advised us that it would be best to wait for the next draft before making any suggestions.

b6 -2
b7C -2

Separately, Dan has suggested that you might contribute a page (or less) of your reflections for this law review edition. (This would not be as a response to any other author, but rather a pithy synopsis of your views.) I plan to work with him on a draft for your consideration.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: James B. Comey
Sent: Saturday, January 07, 2017 3:57 PM
To: [redacted] DO) (OGA) [redacted]
Cc: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Subject: Fwd: negative take on your policing speeches

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

Take a look at this and let me know your thoughts next week. Thanks.

-------- Original message --------
From: [redacted]
Date: 1/7/17 3:47 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "James B. Comey" <jcb.dir@ic.fbi.gov>
Subject: Fwd: negative take on your policing speeches

b6 -1
b7C -1

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: Daniel Charles Richman [redacted]
Date: Sat, Jan 7, 2017 at 3:39 PM
Subject: negative take on your policing speeches
To: Jbc [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

I realize that you've got plenty of other stuff on your mind. But you ought to know about (if only to pass on to others) about the attached first draft that [redacted] just submitted to the CLRev as part of the "minisymposium" on the murder spike that I mentioned to you. [redacted] is OK with my sending it to you). [redacted] and is one of the most respected law & econ people writing about crime. So this is likely to get decent play within academia. And as you can see it has some quite hostile aspects, coming down hard on your de-policing musings, even as giving you credit for noting the homicide spike.

b6 -2
b7C -2

GIven the tight nature of law review deadlines (this will come out in June but you know law rev lead times) and the likelihood that you and your crew have plenty on your plates, I'm not suggesting that you consider a response (tho if you really wanted to do that, I could try to facilitate it). But if [redacted] has made factual errors in characterizing your position, we should try to point them out. And at the very least, your crew might consider some of his analysis going forward.

b6 -2
b7C -2

[redacted] (DO) (OGA)

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (DO) (OGA)
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2017 6:55 PM
To: James B. Comey
Cc: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)
Subject: RE: negative take on your policing speeches

Thank you sir, and I'll make the change. No concerns thus far, but I'll check with OPE and OPA tomorrow and come back to you if there are any issues.

...

-------- Original message --------
From: "James B. Comey" <jcb.dir@ic.fbi.gov>
Date: 01/31/2017 6:36 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: [redacted] (DO) (OGA)" [redacted]
Cc: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [redacted]
Subject: RE: negative take on your policing speeches

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

I like it. One nit: [redacted] Not necessary. Let me know if anyone had concerns about submitting it (seems harmless and keeps us in the conversation); otherwise, you can launch.

b5 -1

..

-------- Original message --------
From: [redacted] DO) (OGA)" [redacted]
Date: 1/31/17 4:13 PM (GMT-07:00)
To: "James B. Comey" <jcb.dir@ic.fbi.gov>
Cc: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [redacted]
Subject: RE: negative take on your policing speeches

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

Director,

As a follow up to my earlier email, I'm attaching a very brief statement (drafted with Dan Richman) for your review and consideration. You will recall that Dan proposed that this be submitted to Columbia Law Review for them to publish as part their mini-symposium on crime and policing.

Dan informs me that CLR would need it to be submitted by Monday (2/6) if you decide to proceed with it.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (DO) (OGA)
Sent: Tuesday, January 17, 2017 7:05 PM
To: James B. Comey (jcb.dir@ic.fbi.gov) <jcb.dir@ic.fbi.gov>
Cc: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Subject: FW: negative take on your policing speeches

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

Director,

To update you on this item, I've had a few discussions with Dan and the draft [redacted] article he passed along is currently under extended editorial review, which Dan is hopeful will excise much of the hostile (and unsupported) commentary regarding your prior remarks on policing. Accordingly, he has advised us that it would be best to wait for the next draft before making any suggestions.

b6 -2
b7C -2

Separately, Dan has suggested that you might contribute a page (or less) of your reflections for this law review edition. (This would not be as a response to any other author, but rather a pithy synopsis of your views.) I plan to work with him on a draft for your consideration.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: James B. Comey
Sent: Saturday, January 07, 2017 3:57 PM
To: [redacted] (DO) (OGA) [redacted]
Cc: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Subject: Fwd: negative take on your policing speeches

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

Take a look at this and let me know your thoughts next week. Thanks.

--

--------- Original message ---------
From: [redacted]
Date: 1/7/17 3:47 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "James B. Comey" <jcb.dir@ic.fbi.gov>
Subject: Fwd: negative take on your policing speeches

b6 -1
b7C -1

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: Daniel Charles Richman [redacted]
Date: Sat, Jan 7, 2017 at 3:39 PM
Subject: negative take on your policing speeches
To: Jbc [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

I realize that you've got plenty of other stuff on your mind. But you ought to know about (if only to pass on to others) about the attached first draft that [redacted] just submitted to the CLRev as part of the "minisymposium" on the murder spike that I mentioned to you. [redacted] is OK with my sending it to you). [redacted] [redacted] and is one of the most respected law & order people writing about crime. So this is likely to

b6 -2
b7C -2

[redacted] and is one of the most respected law review people writing about crime. So this is likely to get decent play within academia. And as you can see it has some quite hostile aspects, coming down hard on your de-policing musings, even as giving you credit for noting the homicide spike. b6 -2 b7C -2

Given the tight nature of law review deadlines (this will come out in June but you know law rev lead times) and the likelihood that you and your crew have plenty on your plates, I'm not suggesting that you consider a response (tho if you really wanted to do that, I could try to facilitate it). But if [redacted] has made factual errors in characterizing your position, we should try to point them out. And at the very least, your crew might consider some of his analysis going forward. b6 -2 b7C -2

[redacted] (DO) (OGA)

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (DO) (OGA)
Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2017 8:13 PM
To: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)
Subject: RE: negative take on your policing speeches
Attachments: CLR Policing Draft Statement.docx

Jim,

The revised one page statement on race and policing has been cleared by OPE, OPA, OIC, Executive Writing, and the DD. Barring objection, I'll plan to submit to Dan Richman tomorrow.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

From: [redacted] (DO) (OGA)
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2017 6:55 PM
To: James B. Comey <jcb.dir@ic.fbi.gov>
Cc: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Subject: RE: negative take on your policing speeches

Thank you sir, and I'll make the change. No concerns thus far, but I'll check with OPE and OPA tomorrow and come back to you if there are any issues.

--

-------- Original message --------
From: "James B. Comey" <jcb.dir@ic.fbi.gov>
Date: 01/31/2017 6:36 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: [redacted] (DO) (OGA)" [redacted]
Cc: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [redacted]
Subject: RE: negative take on your policing speeches

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

I like it. One nit: [redacted] Not necessary. Let me know if anyone had concerns about submitting it (seems harmless and keeps us in the conversation); otherwise, you can launch.

b5 -1

-

-------- Original message --------
From: [redacted] (DO) (OGA)" [redacted]
Date: 1/31/17 4:13 PM (GMT-07:00)
To: "James B. Comey" <jcb.dir@ic.fbi.gov>

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

Cc: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [redacted] b7E -3
Subject: RE: negative take on your policing speeches

Director,

As a follow up to my earlier email, I'm attaching a very brief statement (drafted with Dan Richman) for your review and consideration. You will recall that Dan proposed that this be submitted to Columbia Law Review for them to publish as part their mini-symposium on crime and policing.

Dan informs me that CLR would need it to be submitted by Monday (2/6) if you decide to proceed with it.

[redacted] b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

From: [redacted] (DO) (OGA)
Sent: Tuesday, January 17, 2017 7:05 PM
To: James B. Comey (jcb.dir@ic.fbi.gov) <jcb.dir@ic.fbi.gov>
Cc: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Subject: FW: negative take on your policing speeches

Director,

To update you on this item, I've had a few discussions with Dan and the draft [redacted] article he passed along is currently under extended editorial review, which Dan is hopeful will excise much of the hostile (and unsupported) commentary regarding your prior remarks on policing. Accordingly, he has advised us that it would be best to wait for the next draft before making any suggestions. b6 -2
b7C -2

Separately, Dan has suggested that you might contribute a page (or less) of your reflections for this law review edition. (This would not be as a response to any other author, but rather a pithy synopsis of your views.) I plan to work with him on a draft for your consideration.

[redacted] b6 -1
b7C -1

From: James B. Comey
Sent: Saturday, January 07, 2017 3:57 PM
To: [redacted] DO) (OGA) [redacted] b6 -1
Cc: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [redacted] b7C -1
Subject: Fwd: negative take on your policing speeches b7E -3

Take a look at this and let me know your thoughts next week. Thanks.

---------- Original message ----------
From [redacted] b6 -1
Date: 1/7/17 3:47 PM (GMT-05:00) b7C -1
To: "James B. Comey" <jcb.dir@ic.fbi.gov>
Subject: Fwd: negative take on your policing speeches

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: Daniel Charles Richman [redacted]
Date: Sat, Jan 7, 2017 at 3:39 PM
Subject: negative take on your policing speeches
To: Jbc [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

I realize that you've got plenty of other stuff on your mind. But you ought to know about (if only to pass on to others) about the attached first draft that [redacted] just submitted to the CLRev as part of the "minisymposium" on the murder spike that I mentioned to you. [redacted] is OK with my sending it to you) [redacted] and is one of the most respected law & econ people writing about crime. So this is likely to get decent play within academia. And as you can see it has some quite hostile aspects, coming down hard on your de-policing musings, even as giving you credit for noting the homicide spike.

b6 -2
b7C -2

Given the tight nature of law review deadlines (this will come out in June but you know law rev lead times) and the likelihood that you and your crew have plenty on your plates, I'm not suggesting that you consider a response (tho if you really wanted to do that, I could try to facilitate it). But if [redacted] has made factual errors in characterizing your position, we should try to point them out. And at the very least, your crew might consider some of his analysis going forward.

b6 -2
b7C -2

Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)

From: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Saturday, February 04, 2017 6:30 PM
To: [redacted] (DO) (OGA) b6 -1 b7C -1
Subject: RE: Professor Richman re U.S. v. Percoco

Great, thank you!

--------- Original message ---------
From: [redacted] (DO) (OGA)" [redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1 b7E -3
Date: 2/4/17 6:04 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [redacted]
Subject: Fwd: Professor Richman re U.S. v. Percoco

Just FYI that I'm following up.

--------- Original message ---------
From: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)" [redacted] b6 -1,4 b7C -1,4 b7E -3
Date: 2/4/17 3:21 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: [redacted] DO) (OGA)" [redacted] "Richman, Daniel C. (DO) (OGA)" [redacted]
Cc: "Kelley, Patrick W. (DO) (FBI)" [redacted] (DO) (FBI)" [redacted]
Subject: Professor Richman re U.S. v. Percoco

[redacted] good afternoon. By all means, we can discuss at your convenience, including today if you happen to see this message. My contacts are also below. I'm in the office now and foreseeably a bit longer, and also will have my Bureau mobile. If you prefer, I can also continue to correspond via UNET email. b6 -1 b7C -1

I talked with Prof. Richman last night, who was planning to call and apprise you; so I thought best to add him here, too. I've also copied my boss OIC AD Pat Kelley, who as you may know is the FBI's Deputy Designated Agency Ethics Official (DDAEO), and ethics attorney [redacted] who has been assisting on this matter, as well as communicating with Prof. Richman. [redacted] and I discussed this matter with Pat late last Thursday. b6 -1 b7C -1

The gist is that while there appears to be no 18 U.S.C. §§ 203 or 205 concerns, since Prof. Richman has not worked more than 60 days as a Special Government Employee (SGE) for the FBI in the last 365 days, there is still the issue of "outside employment" which means any form of employment involving "personal services,"

whether or not for compensation. The DOJ Supplemental Regulations (5 C.F.R. § 3801.106) restricts Department employees, including SGEs, from engaging in outside employment that, per Subsection (b)(1) involves: (i) the practice of law, unless uncompensated and in the nature of community service or on behalf of yourself, your parents, spouse or children; (ii) any criminal or habeas corpus matter, be it Federal, State, or local; or, (iii) litigation, investigations, grants or other matters in which the Department of Justice is or represents a party, witness, litigant, investigator or grant-maker. Professor Richman's role as "part of the joint defense team" (per the Engagement Letter he provided us) in the case of *U.S. v. Perrocco*, involves the practice of law, a criminal matter, and litigation in which the DOJ is a party; per the attached indictment.

Although the DOJ/JMD/DEO summary of the SGE ethics rules (https://www.justice.gov/jmd/summary-government-ethics-rules-special-government-employees) states: "[t]hese prohibitions may be waived by the Deputy Attorney General and generally are waived in the case of a special government employee ..." -- at this time we do not have a waiver. Moreover, it is not necessarily a given that a waiver would be granted for this particular matter. To assist all parties with this determination, we have contacted the NY field office for any additional information on the FBI's interests in this case. Meanwhile, we have recommended that Prof. Richman not be involved with the defense team until we can better determine whether a waiver will or will not be granted. We should know more, hopefully definitively, by the end of next week.

Please let us know if you have any questions, or if you would like to meet to discuss further by phone or in person. Thank you.

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted] (DO) (OGA)
Sent: Friday, February 03, 2017 6:23 PM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Subject: Re:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

[redacted]

Your message below was forwarded to me by Jim Rybicki to follow up. Could we set up a time for a quick phone call to get me up to speed on Dan Richman's status early next week sometime?

Thanks.

[redacted]
Special Counsel to the Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, February 3, 2017 9:35 AM
To: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)
Cc: Richman, Daniel C. (DO) (OGA); [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: Professor Richman's contact(s)

b6 -1
b7C -1

Mr. Rybicki: good morning. Would you happen to have a way for me to contact Professor Richman today (e.g., an alternative email from the one CCed or possibly his phone number)?

I checked first with OGC's [redacted] but she only had his Bureau contact info. I also fully understand if you have such info, but would prefer to forward my name/number so Prof. Richman can call me at his convenience if he prefers. He contacted our office last Friday (1/27) on a matter which required a bit of

b6 -1
b7C -1

research and discussion with OIC AD Kelley. We did so last night, and I was hoping to relay some initial thoughts, and follow-up with an email from which he wrote.

My final request and related question. Do you happen to know how many days Prof. Richman has worked for the FBI in the last 365 days (e.g., from 1/27/2016 to 2017)? Specifically, it's important to know whether it was more than 60 days? Even if only for one hour, that would count as a day.

The context is that, as you know, Prof. Richman is a Special Government Employee (SGE). There are conflict of interest laws, 18 U.S.C. §§ 203 and 205 regarding representational services on matters affecting the Government, which apply to all FBI employees. However, those statutes apply differently to SGEs. I'll gladly elaborate as necessary. Meanwhile, I was hoping to talk with Prof. Richman at his convenience, although the matter can wait until next week.

Thank you for your time and any available assistance.

[redacted] b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]

Chief, Ethics and Integrity Unit (EIU)
Office of Integrity and Compliance (OIC)
Desk: [redacted] Mobile [redacted]

Daniel Charles Richman

From: Daniel Charles Richman

Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2017 5:16 PM

To: [redacted] (NY) (FBI); Littlehale, Richard; Cofield, Valerie M. (DO) (FBI); Kern, Kenn; Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (ODAG) (JMD); [redacted] (DO) (OGA); Kelley, Patrick W. (DO) (FBI)

b6 -1,5
b7C -1,5

Subject: taking a hiatus from my SGE status

Dear All -- I just wanted to let you know that I am resigning my SGE status, and will thus not, as of today, be formally working in the Bureau for the immediate future. My main reasons are that my SGE status is limiting what I can do in my extracurricular life, and that I suspect (and very much hope) that I'll be able to assist you all in a non-Bu capacity in most, tho probably not all, of the policy initiatives that I've been working with you on. It's been a privilege to work with you all, and I hope, in some way, to continue to do so.

So please let me know how, consistent with your rules, how I can help in the coming months.
best
Dan Richman

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

[redacted]DO) (OGA)

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted](DO) (OGA)
Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2017 6:57 PM
To: Daniel Charles Richman; Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); Kelley, Patrick W. (DO) (FBI)
Subject: RE: taking a hiatus from my SGE status

Thanks Dan. As a next step, I think you and Pat Kelley should confer about any possible cooling off period or other restrictions.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

-------- Original message --------
From: Daniel Charles Richman [redacted]
Date: 2/7/17 5:16 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: [redacted](NY) (FBI)" [redacted]"Littlehale, Richard" [redacted]"Cofield, Valerie M. (DO) (FBI)" [redacted]"Kern, Kenn" [redacted]"Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [redacted]"Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted](ODAG) (JMD)" [redacted](DO) (OGA)" [redacted]"Kelley, Patrick W. (DO) (FBI)" [redacted]
Subject: taking a hiatus from my SGE status

b6 -1,2,4,5
b7C -1,2,4,5
b7E -3

Dear All -- I just wanted to let you know that I am resigning my SGE status, and will thus not, as of today, be formally working in the Bureau for the immediate future. My main reasons are that my SGE status is limiting what I can do in my extracurricular life, and that I suspect (and very much hope) that I'll be able to assist you all in a non-Bu capacity in most, tho probably not all, of the policy initiatives that I've been working with you on. It's been a privilege to work with you all, and I hope, in some way, to continue to do so.

So please let me know how, consistent with your rules, how I can help in the coming months.
best
Dan Richman

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

[redacted] (DO) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1

From: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, May 04, 2016 7:21 AM
To: Kelley, Patrick W. (DO) (FBI)
Subject: FW: Fordham Stein Prize

Good morning Mr. Kelly,

CoS Rybicki has requested that your folks review this event for any potential conflicts or issues. If you need additional information, I believe [redacted] will be able to answer any questions your staff may have. We are looking at potential dates in October.

b6 -1,2
b7C -1,2

Respectfully,
[redacted]

UC [redacted]
Director's Coordination and Operations Unit, FBIHQ
Desk: [redacted]
Mobile: [redacted]

From: [redacted] b6 -1,2 b7C -1,2
Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2016 4:19 PM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] [Staff]; Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Fordham Stein Prize

Jim, that's great. Thank you for making the connection.

[redacted] pleasure to meet you! I had e-mailed Jim, below, about a potential event date and offered my assistance for anything your Ethics Team may need. Please let me know if you have any questions for me at all regarding my below e-mail. Thank you! b6 -1 b7C -1

Best,
[redacted] b6 -2 b7C -2

--
[redacted]
Assistant Director of Alumni Relations and Special Events
Fordham Law School
150 West 62nd Street, Room 8-152
New York, NY 10023
Tel: [redacted]
Fax [redacted]

From: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [mailto: [redacted] b6 -2 b7C -2 b7E -3
Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2016 4:06 PM
To: [redacted]

Cc: [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Subject: RE: Fordham Stein Prize

b6 -1,2
b7C -1,2
b7E -3

Hi [redacted]

I've cc'd [redacted] on this e-mail, [redacted] heads up the Director's Coordination and Operations Unit who help to take care of the logistics. She should be able to work the rest of the process.

If you need anything from me, please let me know.

Thanks!
Jim

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2016 2:31 PM
To: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Cc: [redacted] [Staff] [redacted]
Subject: RE: Fordham Stein Prize

b6 -2
b7C -2
b7E -3

Dear Mr. Rybicki,

It is a pleasure to meet you virtually and I want to thank you for working with us on this event. We are thrilled to be able to honor Director Comey and want to make something work for his schedule. We *may* be able to host the event on Tuesday, October 25th and before I move forward on my end, I wanted to see if that date would work for his schedule.

Please let me know if there is anything I can assist with in regards to your Ethics Team. Do you have a sense of when they would give you the "OK" to accept?

Thank you!

Best,
[redacted]

b6 -2
b7C -2

--
[redacted]
Assistant Director of Alumni Relations and Special Events
Fordham Law School
150 West 62nd Street, Room 8-152
New York, NY 10023
Tel: [redacted]
Fax: [redacted]

From: Matthew Diller [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2016 9:59 AM
To: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Cc: [redacted] [Staff] [redacted]
Subject: Re: Fordham Stein Prize

b6 -2,4
b7C -2,4
b7E -3

Jim,

Terrific! I am adding [redacted] to this email, who will coordinate from our end. We will get you some alternate dates shortly.

b6 -2
b7C -2

I hope we can make this work as we would be delighted to be able to honor Director Comey in this way.

Best,

Matthew

Matthew Diller
Dean
Paul Fuller Professor of Law
Fordham University School of Law
150 West 62nd Street
New York, NY 10023
[redacted]

b6 -2
b7C -2
b7E -3

On Tue, May 3, 2016 at 9:41 AM, Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

Dean Diller-

Thank you very much for your e-mail. My apologies for the delay in responding. The Director is appreciative of this honor and is interested in attending the event. The Director will be on travel the weeks of October 10 and 17 so the 18th will not work, but would like to work with you if another date is a possibility. We also need to run this all past our ethics team before formally accepting. Is there someone on your staff that you would like our office to work with going forward?

Thanks again,
Jim Rybicki

From: Matthew Diller [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, April 26, 2016 5:47 PM
To: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Cc: [redacted] [Staff] [redacted]
Subject: Fordham Stein Prize

b6 -2,4
b7C -2,4
b7E -3

Dear Jim,

I appreciate Dan's making this connection. I am delighted that Director Comey has been selected as the 2016 winner of Fordham Law School's Stein Prize - one of our nation's premier awards for integrity, ethics and excellence in the legal profession. I am attaching a copy of the letter which went out to the Director today. The presentation is made at a dinner at Fordham Law School in New York at which the winner accepts the award. If Director Comey is able to accept, we would propose the evening of October 18th.

This will be the 40th anniversary of the inauguration of the Stein Prize. To give you a sense of the aspirations

of the Award, I am attaching a list of the past winners.

I am happy to discuss with you directly or with Director Comey if that is helpful.

Please convey my congratulations to Director Comey. I very much hope that he can join us next Fall.

With warm regards,

Matthew

Matthew Diller
Dean
Paul Fuller Professor of Law
Fordham University School of Law
150 West 62nd Street
New York, NY 10023

b6 -2
b7C -2

Kelley, Patrick W. (DO) (FBI)

From: Kelley, Patrick W. (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, May 04, 2016 9:22 AM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Fordham Stein Prize

b6 -1,2
b7C -1,2

Ok, I've emailed [redacted] with a request for more information.

From: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, May 04, 2016 7:21 AM
To: Kelley, Patrick W. (DO) (FBI)
Subject: FW: Fordham Stein Prize

b6 -1
b7C -1

Good morning Mr. Kelly,

CoS Rybicki has requested that your folks review this event for any potential conflicts or issues. If you need additional information, I believe [redacted] will be able to answer any questions your staff may have. We are looking at potential dates in October.

b6 -2
b7C -2

Respectfully,
[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

UC [redacted]
Director's Coordination and Operations Unit, FBIHQ
Desk: [redacted]
Mobile: [redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2016 4:19 PM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] [Staff]; Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Fordham Stein Prize

b6 -1,2
b7C -1,2

Jim, that's great. Thank you for making the connection.

[redacted] pleasure to meet you! I had e-mailed Jim, below, about a potential event date and offered my assistance for anything your Ethics Team may need. Please let me know if you have any questions for me at all regarding my below e-mail. Thank you!

b6 -2
b7C -2

Best,
[redacted]

--
[redacted]
Assistant Director of Alumni Relations and Special Events
Fordham Law School
150 West 62nd Street, Room 8-152
New York, NY 10023

Tel: [redacted]
Fax [redacted]

b6 -2
b7C -2

From: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [mailto [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2016 4:06 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted] [Staff] [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
[redacted]
Subject: RE: Fordham Stein Prize

b6 -1,2
b7C -1,2
b7E -3

Hi [redacted]

b6 -1,2
b7C -1,2

I've cc'd [redacted] on this e-mail. [redacted] heads up the Director's Coordination and Operations Unit who help to take care of the logistics. She should be able to work the rest of the process.

If you need anything from me, please let me know.

Thanks!
Jim

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2016 2:31 PM
To: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Cc: [redacted] [Staff] [redacted]
Subject: RE: Fordham Stein Prize

b6 -2
b7C -2
b7E -3

Dear Mr. Rybicki,

It is a pleasure to meet you virtually and I want to thank you for working with us on this event. We are thrilled to be able to honor Director Comey and want to make something work for his schedule. We *may* be able to host the event on Tuesday, October 25th and before I move forward on my end, I wanted to see if that date would work for his schedule.

Please let me know if there is anything I can assist with in regards to your Ethics Team. Do you have a sense of when they would give you the "OK" to accept?

Thank you!

Best,
[redacted]

b6 -2
b7C -2

--
[redacted]
Assistant Director of Alumni Relations and Special Events
Fordham Law School
150 West 62nd Street, Room 8-152
New York, NY 10023
Tel: [redacted]
Fax: [redacted]

From: Matthew Diller [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2016 9:59 AM

b6 -2
b7C -2

To: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Cc: [redacted] [Staff] [redacted]
Subject: Re: Fordham Stein Prize

b6 -2,4
b7C -2,4
b7E -3

Jim,

Terrific! I am adding [redacted] to this email, who will coordinate from our end. We will get you some alternate dates shortly.

b6 -2
b7C -2

I hope we can make this work as we would be delighted to be able to honor Director Comey in this way.

Best,

Matthew

Matthew Diller
Dean
Paul Fuller Professor of Law
Fordham University School of Law
150 West 62nd Street
New York, NY 10023
[redacted]

b6 -2
b7C -2
b7E -3

On Tue, May 3, 2016 at 9:41 AM, Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

> Dean Diller-
>
> Thank you very much for your e-mail. My apologies for the delay in responding. The Director is appreciative of this honor and is interested in attending the event. The Director will be on travel the weeks of October 10 and 17 so the 18th will not work, but would like to work with you if another date is a possibility. We also need to run this all past our ethics team before formally accepting. Is there someone on your staff that you would like our office to work with going forward?
>
> Thanks again,
> Jim Rybicki
>
> **From:** Matthew Diller [mailto: [redacted]
> **Sent:** Tuesday, April 26, 2016 5:47 PM
> **To:** Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
> **Cc:** [redacted] [Staff] [redacted]
> **Subject:** Fordham Stein Prize
>
> Dear Jim,
>
> I appreciate Dan's making this connection. I am delighted that Director Comey has been selected as the

b6 -2,4
b7C -2,4
b7E -3

2016 winner of Fordham Law School's Stein Prize - one of our nation's premier awards for integrity, ethics and excellence in the legal profession. I am attaching a copy of the letter which went out to the Director today. The presentation is made at a dinner at Fordham Law School in New York at which the winner accepts the award. If Director Comey is able to accept, we would propose the evening of October 18th.

This will be the 40th anniversary of the inauguration of the Stein Prize. To give you a sense of the aspirations of the Award, I am attaching a list of the past winners.

I am happy to discuss with you directly or with Director Comey if that is helpful.

Please convey my congratulations to Director Comey. I very much hope that he can join us next Fall.

With warm regards,

Matthew

Matthew Diller
Dean
Paul Fuller Professor of Law
Fordham University School of Law
150 West 62nd Street
New York, NY 10023

b6 -2
b7C -2

[redacted] (DO) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1

From: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Sunday, February 05, 2017 12:05 AM
To: Kelley, Patrick W. (DO) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: FW: Professor Richman re U.S. v. Percoco

Pat -- per the Director's Special Counsel [redacted] and the subject detailed below, he is requesting to meet on Monday, 2/6, at 3:30. I will send out a calendar invite via both BUNET and UNET. Thank you. b6 -1 b7C -1

[redacted]

From: [redacted] (DO) (FBI) b6 -1
Sent: Sunday, February 05, 2017 12:03 AM b7C -1
To: [redacted] (DO) (OGA) [redacted] b7E -3
Subject: RE: Professor Richman re U.S. v. Percoco

Will do.

From: [redacted] (DO) (OGA) b6 -1
Sent: Saturday, February 04, 2017 11:25 PM b7C -1
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted] b7E -3
Subject: RE: Professor Richman re U.S. v. Percoco

How about 3:30 with AD Kelley?

.

-------- Original message --------
From: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)" [redacted] b6 -1
Date: 2/4/17 6:32 PM (GMT-05:00) b7C -1
To: [redacted] (DO) (OGA)" [redacted] b7E -3
Subject: RE: Professor Richman re U.S. v. Percoco

Right now I'm available any time Monday afternoon. Please let me know if you would like to also meet with AD Kelley, too. If so, his schedule shows he would be available 1:30-2pm, or any time after 3pm; although that window tends to get filled throughout the day. I can send an invite now, or first thing Monday morning, if you can let me know. If with Pat, as you may know he's in the AD corridor (rm 7149). If just with me, I can come to your office, or meet at mine (7441). Thanks.

From: [redacted] (DO) (OGA) b6 -1,4
Sent: Saturday, February 04, 2017 6:04 PM b7C -1,4
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted] Richman, Daniel C. (DO) (OGA) b7E -3
[redacted]

Cc: Kelley, Patrick W. (DO) (FBI) [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

Subject: RE: Professor Richman re U.S. v. Percoco

Thank you [redacted] I think a quick conversation Monday afternoon might be helpful, if there is a time that suits you.

.

-------- Original message --------
From: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)" [redacted]
Date: 2/4/17 3:21 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: [redacted] (DO) (OGA)" [redacted] "Richman, Daniel C. (DO) (OGA)" [redacted]
Cc: "Kelley, Patrick W. (DO) (FBI)" [redacted] (DO) (FBI)" [redacted]
Subject: Professor Richman re U.S. v. Percoco

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4
b7E -3

[redacted] good afternoon. By all means, we can discuss at your convenience, including today if you happen to see this message. My contacts are also below. I'm in the office now and foreseeably a bit longer, and also will have my Bureau mobile. If you prefer, I can also continue to correspond via UNET email.

I talked with Prof. Richman last night, who was planning to call and apprise you; so I thought best to add him here, too. I've also copied my boss OIC AD Pat Kelley, who as you may know is the FBI's Deputy Designated Agency Ethics Official (DDAEO), and ethics attorney [redacted] who has been assisting on this matter, as well as communicating with Prof. Richman. [redacted] and I discussed this matter with Pat late last Thursday.

b6 -1
b7C -1

The gist is that while there appears to be no 18 U.S.C. §§ 203 or 205 concerns, since Prof. Richman has not worked more than 60 days as a Special Government Employee (SGE) for the FBI in the last 365 days, there is still the issue of "outside employment" which means any form of employment involving "personal services," whether or not for compensation. The DOJ Supplemental Regulations (5 C.F.R. § 3801.106) restricts Department employees, including SGEs, from engaging in outside employment that, per Subsection (b)(1) involves: (i) the practice of law, unless uncompensated and in the nature of community service or on behalf of yourself, your parents, spouse or children; (ii) any criminal or habeas corpus matter, be it Federal, State, or local; or, (iii) litigation, investigations, grants or other matters in which the Department of Justice is or represents a party, witness, litigant, investigator or grant-maker. Professor Richman's role as "part of the joint defense team" (per the Engagement Letter he provided us) in the case of *U.S. v. Percoco*, involves the practice of law, a criminal matter, and litigation in which the DOJ is a party; per the attached indictment.

Although the DOJ/JMD/DEO summary of the SGE ethics rules (https://www.justice.gov/jmd/summary-government-ethics-rules-special-government-employees) states: "[t]hese prohibitions may be waived by the Deputy Attorney General and generally are waived in the case of a special government employee ..." -- at this time we do not have a waiver. Moreover, it is not necessarily a given that a waiver would be granted for this particular matter. To assist all parties with this determination, we have contacted the NY field office for any additional information on the FBI's interests in this case. Meanwhile, we have recommended that Prof. Richman not be involved with the defense team until we can better determine whether a waiver will or will not be granted. We should know more, hopefully definitively, by the end of next week.

Please let us know if you have any questions, or if you would like to meet to discuss further by phone or in person. Thank you.

please. Thank you.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted] (DO) (OGA)
Sent: Friday, February 03, 2017 6:23 PM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Subject: Re:

[redacted]

Your message below was forwarded to me by Jim Rybicki to follow up. Could we set up a time for a quick phone call to get me up to speed on Dan Richman's status early next week sometime?

Thanks.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Special Counsel to the Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation

From: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, February 3, 2017 9:35 AM
To: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)
Cc: Richman, Daniel C. (DO) (OGA); [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: Professor Richman's contact(s)

b6 -1
b7C -1

Mr. Rybicki: good morning. Would you happen to have a way for me to contact Professor Richman today (e.g., an alternative email from the one CCed or possibly his phone number)?

I checked first with OGC's [redacted] but she only had his Bureau contact info. I also fully understand if you have such info, but would prefer to forward my name/number so Prof. Richman can call me at his convenience if he prefers. He contacted our office last Friday (1/27) on a matter which required a bit of research and discussion with OIC AD Kelley. We did so last night, and I was hoping to relay some initial thoughts, and follow-up with an email from which he wrote.

b6 -1
b7C -1

My final request and related question. Do you happen to know how many days Prof. Richman has worked for the FBI in the last 365 days (e.g., from 1/27/2016 to 2017)? Specifically, it's important to know whether it was more than 60 days? Even if only for one hour, that would count as a day.

The context is that, as you know, Prof. Richman is a Special Government Employee (SGE). There are conflict of interest laws, 18 U.S.C. §§ 203 and 205 regarding representational services on matters affecting the Government, which apply to all FBI employees. However, those statutes apply differently to SGEs. I'll gladly elaborate as necessary. Meanwhile, I was hoping to talk with Prof. Richman at his convenience, although the matter can wait until next week.

Thank you for your time and any available assistance.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]

Chief, Ethics and Integrity Unit (EIU)

Office of Integrity and Compliance (OIC)
Desk: [redacted] Mobile: [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Kelley, Patrick W. (DO) (FBI)

From: Kelley, Patrick W. (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, February 03, 2017 6:01 PM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI); [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Professor Richman's contact(s)

b6 -1
b7C -1

Looking at the complaint, I can't imagine that we don't have an interest but we'll wait to see what NY says.

From: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, February 03, 2017 5:56 PM
To: [redacted], (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Cc: Kelley, Patrick W. (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Subject: FW: Professor Richman's contact(s)

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

[redacted] fyi. I talked with Prof. Richman. He confirmed that he had not worked more than 60 days in the last 365 day period. He did mention his work consists primarily of answering emails, and recalled reading where that was not considered a work day. However, even if it was, he was confident that it was still less than 60 days. So with 203/205 removed, he understood the remaining issue is the DOJ sup reg 5 C.F.R. § 3801.106. I explained that even if he practiced law without compensation (pro bono) there is still the "criminal matter." I let him know we have contacted the NY CDC for assistance in helping us determine any FBI role/interest, and if so its extent. We also discussed the FBI's DDAEO role, DEO/DAEO and the DAG waiver, in relation to any FBI or Department interests in the case. Finally, I advised (and consulted with Pat to confirm) ... that Prof. Richman not take any actions on behalf of the defense for one week, as by next Friday we should have the requisite information to know whether a waiver would be supported.

So for now, I think we're good and nothing more needs to be done regarding any follow-up email to Prof. Richman, who was going to apprise the Director's Special Counsel. Meanwhile, I let Prof. Richman know not to hesitate to contact either you or me with any further questions.

[redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4
b7E -3

P.S. Thanks, again, for your work identifying, researching, and briefing this matter.

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto [redacted]
Sent: Friday, February 03, 2017 5:22 PM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Subject: Re: Professor Richman's contact(s)

here's the complaint
thx again for your help and have a lovely weekend
d

On Fri, Feb 3, 2017 at 3:24 PM, [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

> Prof Richman: Dan, thank you for the reply. Yes, 4:30 good for me. I'm here in the office until 6pm if you're not able to talk right then. You're also welcome to call me at any time on my work cell; listed below.
>
> [redacted]

----- Original Message-----
From: Richman, Daniel C. (DO) (OGA)
Sent: Friday, February 03, 2017 12:51 PM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted] Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Cc: [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Subject: Re: Professor Richman's contact(s)

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4
b7E -3

Hi [redacted] - Thanks for reaching out. Would you be free to talk at 4:30 today? (I have an awful faculty mtg most of the afternoon). More generally, a quick way to reach me is my school email [redacted] or my cell [redacted] or my office [redacted] thx dan r

---

From: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, February 3, 2017 9:35 AM
To: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)
Cc: Richman, Daniel C. (DO) (OGA); [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: Professor Richman's contact(s)

b6 -1
b7C -1

Mr. Rybicki: good morning. Would you happen to have a way for me to contact Professor Richman today (e.g., an alternative email from the one CCed or possibly his phone number)?

I checked first with OGC's [redacted] but she only had his Bureau contact info. I also fully understand if you have such info, but would prefer to forward my name/number so Prof. Richman can call me at his convenience if he prefers. He contacted our office last Friday (1/27) on a matter which required a bit of research and discussion with OIC AD Kelley. We did so last night, and I was hoping to relay some initial thoughts, and follow-up with an email from which he wrote.

b6 -1
b7C -1

My final request and related question. Do you happen to know how many days Prof. Richman has worked for the FBI in the last 365 days (e.g., from 1/27/2016 to 2017)? Specifically, it's important to know whether it was more than 60 days? Even if only for one hour, that would count as a day.

The context is that, as you know, Prof. Richman is a Special Government Employee (SGE). There are conflict of interest laws, 18 U.S.C. §§ 203 and 205 regarding representational services on matters affecting the Government, which apply to all FBI employees. However, those statutes apply differently to SGEs. I'll gladly elaborate as necessary. Meanwhile, I was hoping to talk with Prof. Richman at his convenience, although the matter can wait until next week.

Thank you for your time and any available assistance.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]
Chief, Ethics and Integrity Unit (EIU)
Office of Integrity and Compliance (OIC)
Desk: [redacted] Mobile: [redacted]

--
BEGIN-ANTISPAM-VOTING-LINKS

Teach Email if this mail (ID 01SEknXnD) is spam:

Spam: https://antispam.law.columbia.edu/canit/b.php?i=01SEknXnD&m=c3cbf031da39&t=20170203&c=s

Not spam: https://antispam.law.columbia.edu/canit/b.php?i=01SEknXnD&m=c3cbf031da39&t=20170203&c=n

Forget vote: https://antispam.law.columbia.edu/canit/b.php?i=01SEknXnD&m=c3cbf031da39&t=20170203&c=f

---

END-ANTISPAM-VOTING-LINKS

--

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

Daniel Charles Richman

From: Daniel Charles Richman
Sent: Tuesday, July 07, 2015 9:46 PM
To: Bondy, Thomas M. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Fwd: Fall 2015 FBI Externship Information Request

Dear Tom - it was great to meet you yesterday. And I was serious about having [redacted] look me up in the fall. (You'll have to push the guy I'm sure.)

While we're on the subject of keeping CLS students on track: would you be able to look into the plight of [redacted] Sounds like he's stuck in a bureaucratic limbo and is desperately seeking confidence that he'll have a slot at the bu in the fall. He wrote a paper for me and is both smart and altogether capable. Good guy too
Thanks so much
Dan Richman

b6 -2
b7C -2

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted]
Cell: [redacted]

b6 -4
b7C -4

Begin forwarded message:

From: [redacted]
Date: July 7, 2015 at 7:34:52 PM EDT
To: Daniel Charles Richman [redacted]
Subject: Fall 2015 FBI Externship Information Request

b6 -2,4
b7C -2,4

To Whom It May Concern,

My name is [redacted] and I am a [redacted] student at Columbia Law School. At Professor Daniel Richman's rquest, I have included the following information pertaining to the externship program for the Fall 2015 and the current status of my background investigation.

b6 -2
b7C -2

The Columbia Law School externship on the Federal Government is a 40 hours per week externship doing legal work for a government entity and is scheduled to begin on September 14, 2015. In May, I was given a conditional offer from Tom Bondy in the Office of General Counsel at the FBI to complete my externship during this fall.

Initially on May 18, [redacted] of the Human Resources Division (HRD-HQ) confirmed my acceptance and mentioned that I would have to complete a background investigation through the NY Field Office [redacted] and have a copy (both in digital and paper form) of my SF-86 from when I originally submitted it in [redacted] I offered to provide a copy of that form as well as to update it for HRD-HQ; not knowing if that would assist in moving the process forward.

b6 -1
b7C -1

Currently I have not heard from anyone at the NY Field Office to initiate my background investigation. Most recently I talked with [redacted] and [redacted] on July 2, 2015 and was informed that because of the OPM breach the e-QIP system was inactivated. At this time, they were unsure if my background investigation would be started or completed by September 14' 2015.

b6 -1
b7C -1

Please let me know if I may be able to provide any further information.

Regards,

[redacted]

b6 -2
b7C -2

[redacted]

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

Daniel Charles Richman

From: Daniel Charles Richman
Sent: Thursday, July 09, 2015 1:02 PM
To: Bondy, Thomas M. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Re: FBI externship update

Thanks for your response on [redacted] I really want this to work, as a regular relationship with your office would be a great thing for CLS (and, I hope, for the Bu), as the interest in the Bu is really high here. Am I crazy to think that before the end of the summer, the OPM mess will be bracketed and the USG will continue to hire? Obviously [redacted] wants certainty that you can't give. But my instinct is to counsel him to hang in there.

b6 -2
b7C -2

On Wed, Jul 8, 2015 at 9:53 AM, Bondy, Thomas M. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

b6 -2
b7C -2
b7E -3

[redacted] and Dan: Dan, it was very nice to meet you here the other day, if only briefly. You have both inquired about [redacted] status. I am doing my best to find out and also to try to push things along, and I will keep you apprised. Our hiring processes were frankly in a slow state even before the OPM foul-up, and the disruption now with respect to the processing of SF-86 security clearance forms has added a new, and unwelcome, layer of difficulty. This is very frustrating for me, and I am sure for you and [redacted] too. Please feel free to call me if you like. I will see if we can get things back on track, but at this point I am not 100 percent confident. --Tom.

Thomas M. Bondy

b6 -1
b7C -1

Deputy General Counsel

Federal Bureau of Investigation

[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, July 08, 2015 8:24 AM
To: Bondy, Thomas M. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Fwd: FBI externship update

b6 -2
b7C -2

Hi Tom,

I hope you had a wonderful vacation.

See below. Can I call you later this week to discuss? Is there anything that can be done? I am also discussing with [redacted] the possibility of pursuing this externship for the spring semester, instead of the fall, if his background check doesn't come through in time and if you are amenable. b6 -2 b7C -2

Please let me know when might be a good time to reach you.

Best, [redacted] b6 -2 b7C -2

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: [redacted]
Date: Tue, Jul 7, 2015 at 7:35 PM
Subject: FBI externship update
To: [redacted]

[redacted]

I wanted to provide you an update as to the progress of my background investigation for the FBI externship. At this time, I am becoming concerned that it will not be completed in time.

As you know FBI Human Resources Division (HRD) contacted me and informed me that I would be contacted by the New York Field Office. After waiting approximately five weeks, I reached out to HRD to determine when I would be contacted. After they reached out to security, they informed me that because of the OPM breach, the system they use (e-QIP) was currently shut down. They did not know when my background investigation would begin or when I would be asked to fill out the standard forms.

I previously worked at the FBI and have a copy (both in digital and paper form) of my SF-86 from when I originally submitted it in [redacted] I offered to provide a copy of that form as well as to update it for them; not knowing if that would assist in moving the process forward. HRD told me they were not sure that would be sufficient. b6 -2 b7C -2

Again, I am concerned that my background investigation will be completed in time to start in September. I appreciate any assistance you may be able to provide going forward.

Regards,

b6 -2
b7C -2

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--

b6 -2
b7C -2

Lecturer-at-Law
Social Justice Initiatives
Columbia Law School
(phone)
(fax)

http://web.law.columbia.edu/social-justice

@ColumbiaSJI

(street address) Third floor of 410 W. 116th Street, NY, NY 10027
(mail address) 435 W. 116th Street, NY, NY 10027

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

[redacted] (DO) (FBI)

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, February 06, 2017 1:23 PM
To: Kelley, Patrick W. (DO) (FBI)
Subject: FW: Professor Richman re U.S. v. Percoco
Attachments: Engagement Letter - Daniel Richman, Columbia Law.doc

Pat-- fyi, in case you needed to see, prior to our 3:30 mtg in your office, Prof Richman's engagement ltr (which [redacted] requested) ....

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

From: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, February 06, 2017 1:21 PM
To: [redacted] (DO) (OGA) [redacted]
Subject: RE: Professor Richman re U.S. v. Percoco

I'll have a hard copy for you, too, but have attached the e-version which Prof Richman sent us:

From: [redacted] (DO) (OGA)
Sent: Monday, February 06, 2017 10:29 AM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Subject: RE: Professor Richman re U.S. v. Percoco

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

Forgot to ask, could you bring a copy of the referenced engagement letter this afternoon? Thanks.

From: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Saturday, February 04, 2017 3:21 PM
To: [redacted] (DO) (OGA) [redacted] Richman, Daniel C. (DO) (OGA)
[redacted]
Cc: Kelley, Patrick W. (DO) (FBI) [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
[redacted]
Subject: Professor Richman re U.S. v. Percoco

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4
b7E -3

[redacted] good afternoon. By all means, we can discuss at your convenience, including today if you happen to see this message. My contacts are also below. I'm in the office now and foreseeably a bit longer, and also will have my Bureau mobile. If you prefer, I can also continue to correspond via UNET email.

I talked with Prof. Richman last night, who was planning to call and apprise you; so I thought best to add him here, too. I've also copied my boss OIC AD Pat Kelley, who as you may know is the FBI's Deputy Designated Agency Ethics Official (DDAEO), and ethics attorney [redacted] who has been assisting on this matter, as well as communicating with Prof. Richman. [redacted] and I discussed this matter with Pat late last Thursday.

b6 -1
b7C -1

The gist is that while there appears to be no 18 U.S.C. §§ 203 or 205 concerns, since Prof. Richman has not worked more than 60 days as a Special Government Employee (SGE) for the FBI in the last 365 days, there is still the issue of "outside employment" which means any form of employment involving "personal services," whether or not for compensation. The DOJ Supplemental Regulations (5 C.F.R. § 3801.106) restricts Department employees, including SGEs, from engaging in outside employment that, per Subsection (b)(1) involves: (i) the practice of law, unless uncompensated and in the nature of community service or on behalf of yourself, your parents, spouse or children; (ii) any criminal or habeas corpus matter, be it Federal, State, or local; or, (iii) litigation, investigations, grants or other matters in which the Department of Justice is or represents a party, witness, litigant, investigator or grant-maker. Professor Richman's role as "part of the joint defense team" (per the Engagement Letter he provided us) in the case of *U.S. v. Percoco*, involves the practice of law, a criminal matter, and litigation in which the DOJ is a party; per the attached indictment.

<< File: US v Percoco et al Indictment - Foreperson Signed (1).pdf >>

Although the DOJ/JMD/DEO summary of the SGE ethics rules (https://www.justice.gov/jmd/summary-government-ethics-rules-special-government-employees) states: "[t]hese prohibitions may be waived by the Deputy Attorney General and generally are waived in the case of a special government employee ..." -- at this time we do not have a waiver. Moreover, it is not necessarily a given that a waiver would be granted for this particular matter. To assist all parties with this determination, we have contacted the NY field office for any additional information on the FBI's interests in this case. Meanwhile, we have recommended that Prof. Richman not be involved with the defense team until we can better determine whether a waiver will or will not be granted. We should know more, hopefully definitively, by the end of next week.

Please let us know if you have any questions, or if you would like to meet to discuss further by phone or in person. Thank you.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted] (DO) (OGA)
Sent: Friday, February 03, 2017 6:23 PM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Subject: Re:

[redacted]

Your message below was forwarded to me by Jim Rybicki to follow up. Could we set up a time for a quick phone call to get me up to speed on Dan Richman's status early next week sometime?

Thanks.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Special Counsel to the Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation

From: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, February 3, 2017 9:35 AM
To: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)
Cc: Richman, Daniel C. (DO) (OGA); [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: Professor Richman's contact(s)

b6 -1
b7C -1

Mr. Rybicki: good morning. Would you happen to have a way for me to contact Professor Richman today (e.g., an alternative email from the one CCed or possibly his phone number)?

I checked first with OGC's [redacted] but she only had his Bureau contact info. I also fully understand if you have such info, but would prefer to forward my name/number so Prof. Richman can call me at his convenience if he prefers. He contacted our office last Friday (1/27) on a matter which required a bit of research and discussion with OIC AD Kelley. We did so last night, and I was hoping to relay some initial thoughts, and follow-up with an email from which he wrote.

b6 -1
b7C -1

My final request and related question. Do you happen to know how many days Prof. Richman has worked for the FBI in the last 365 days (e.g., from 1/27/2016 to 2017)? Specifically, it's important to know whether it was more than 60 days? Even if only for one hour, that would count as a day.

The context is that, as you know, Prof. Richman is a Special Government Employee (SGE). There are conflict of interest laws, 18 U.S.C. §§ 203 and 205 regarding representational services on matters affecting the Government, which apply to all FBI employees. However, those statutes apply differently to SGEs. I'll gladly elaborate as necessary. Meanwhile, I was hoping to talk with Prof. Richman at his convenience, although the matter can wait until next week.

Thank you for your time and any available assistance.

[redacted]

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Chief, Ethics and Integrity Unit (EIU)
Office of Integrity and Compliance (OIC)
Desk: [redacted] Mobile: [redacted]

Kelley, Patrick W. (DO) (FBI)

From: Kelley, Patrick W. (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2017 5:29 PM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI); [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: FW: taking a hiatus from my SGE status

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2017 5:16 PM
To: [redacted] (NY) (FBI) [redacted] Littlehale, Richard [redacted] Cofield, Valerie M. (DO) (FBI) [redacted] Kern, Kenn [redacted] Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [redacted] Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] (ODAG) (JMD) [redacted] (DO) (OGA) [redacted] Kelley, Patrick W. (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Subject: taking a hiatus from my SGE status

b6 -1,2,4,5
b7C -1,2,4,5
b7E -3

Dear All -- I just wanted to let you know that I am resigning my SGE status, and will thus not, as of today, be formally working in the Bureau for the immediate future. My main reasons are that my SGE status is limiting what I can do in my extracurricular life, and that I suspect (and very much hope) that I'll be able to assist you all in a non-Bu capacity in most, tho probably not all, of the policy initiatives that I've been working with you on. It's been a privilege to work with you all, and I hope, in some way, to continue to do so.

So please let me know how, consistent with your rules, how I can help in the coming months.
best
Dan Richman

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Bondy, Thomas M. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Bondy, Thomas M. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, July 09, 2015 1:07 PM
To: Daniel Charles Richman
Subject: RE: FBI externship update

(Dan, my information is uncertain, but between you and me, if I had to bet right now, I would say [redacted] should plan on an internship here for January 2016 and not September 2015)

b6 -2
b7C -2

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, July 09, 2015 1:02 PM
To: Bondy, Thomas M. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Re: FBI externship update

b6 -2,4
b7C -2,4

Thanks for your response on [redacted] I really want this to work, as a regular relationship with your office would be a great thing for CLS (and, I hope, for the Bu), as the interest in the Bu is really high here. Am I crazy to think that before the end of the summer, the OPM mess will be bracketed and the USG will continue to hire? Obviously, [redacted] wants certainty that you can't give. But my instinct is to counsel him to hang in there.

On Wed, Jul 8, 2015 at 9:53 AM, Bondy, Thomas M. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:
[redacted] and Dan: Dan, it was very nice to meet you here the other day, if only briefly. You have both inquired about [redacted] status. I am doing my best to find out and also to try to push things along, and I will keep you apprised. Our hiring processes were frankly in a slow state even before the OPM foul-up, and the disruption now with respect to the processing of SF-86 security clearance forms has added a new, and unwelcome, layer of difficulty. This is very frustrating for me, and I am sure for you and [redacted] too. Please feel free to call me if you like. I will see if we can get things back on track, but at this point I am not 100 percent confident. –Tom.

b6 -2
b7C -2
b7E -3

Thomas M. Bondy
Deputy General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, July 08, 2015 8:24 AM
To: Bondy, Thomas M. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Fwd: FBI externship update

b6 -2
b7C -2

Hi Tom,

I hope you had a wonderful vacation.

See below. Can I call you later this week to discuss? Is there anything that can be done? I am also discussing with [redacted] the possibility of pursuing this externship for the spring semester, instead of the fall, if his background check doesn't come through in time and if you are amenable.

b6 -2
b7C -2

Please let me know when might be a good time to reach you.

Best, [redacted]

b6 -2
b7C -2

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: [redacted]
Date: Tue, Jul 7, 2015 at 7:35 PM
Subject: FBI externship update
To: [redacted]

[redacted]

I wanted to provide you an update as to the progress of my background investigation for the FBI externship. At this time, I am becoming concerned that it will not be completed in time.

As you know FBI Human Resources Division (HRD) contacted me and informed me that I would be contacted by the New York Field Office. After waiting approximately five weeks, I reached out to HRD to determine when I would be contacted. After they reached out to security, they informed me that because of the OPM breach, the system they use (e-QIP) was currently shut down. They did not know when my background investigation would begin or when I would be asked to fill out the standard forms.

I previously worked at the FBI and have a copy (both in digital and paper form) of my SF-86 from when I originally submitted it in [redacted] I offered to provide a copy of that form as well as to update it for them; not knowing if that would assist in moving the process forward. HRD told me they were not sure that would be sufficient.

b6 -2
b7C -2

Again, I am concerned that my background investigation will be completed in time to start in September. I appreciate any assistance you may be able to provide going forward.

Regards,
[redacted]

b6 -2
b7C -2

[redacted]

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--

[redacted]

b6 -2
b7C -2

Lecturer-at-Law
Social Justice Initiatives
Columbia Law School
(phone) [redacted]
(fax) [redacted]
[redacted]

http://web.law.columbia.edu/social-justice
@ColumbiaSJI

(street address) Third floor of 410 W. 116th Street, NY, NY 10027
(mail address) 435 W. 116th Street, NY, NY 10027

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Daniel Charles Richman

From: Daniel Charles Richman
Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2017 5:33 PM
To: Kelley, Patrick W. (DO) (FBI)
Subject: Re: taking a hiatus from my SGE status

And I completely understand the Bu position. Your stratospherically high ethical standards are one of many reason I like working with you all. As the Great Arnold said: I'll be back :)
d

On Tue, Feb 7, 2017 at 5:29 PM, Kelley, Patrick W. (DO) (FBI) [redacted] wrote: b7E -3

> Thanks Dan. Regret this outcome but understand completely; if I were in your shoes, I would do the same.
>
> b6 -1,2,4,5
> b7C -1,2,4,5
> b7E -3
>
> **From:** Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
> **Sent:** Tuesday, February 07, 2017 5:16 PM
> **To:** [redacted] (NY) (FBI) [redacted]; Littlehale, Richard [redacted] Cofield, Valerie M. (DO) (FBI) [redacted] Kern, Kenn [redacted] Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [redacted] Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] (ODAG) (JMD) [redacted] (DO) (OGA) [redacted] Kelley, Patrick W. (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
> **Subject:** taking a hiatus from my SGE status
>
> Dear All -- I just wanted to let you know that I am resigning my SGE status, and will thus not, as of today, be formally working in the Bureau for the immediate future. My main reasons are that my SGE status is limiting what I can do in my extracurricular life, and that I suspect (and very much hope) that I'll be able to assist you all in a non-Bu capacity in most, tho probably not all, of the policy initiatives that I've been working with you on. It's been a privilege to work with you all, and I hope, in some way, to continue to do so.
>
> So please let me know how, consistent with your rules, how I can help in the coming months.
>
> best
>
> Dan Richman
>
> --

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Daniel Charles Richman

From: Daniel Charles Richman
Sent: Thursday, July 09, 2015 1:12 PM
To: Bondy, Thomas M. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Re: FBI externship update

While we're under the cone: think he'd have a better shot for sept with another bu section? (Should i ask Sasha O'Connell?) Or is this a problem for the whole bu ?

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted]
Cell: [redacted]

b6 -4
b7C -4

On Jul 9, 2015, at 1:06 PM, Bondy, Thomas M. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

b6 -2,4
b7C -2,4

(Dan, my information is uncertain, but between you and me, if I had to bet right now, I would say [redacted] should plan on an internship here for January 2016 and not September 2015)

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, July 09, 2015 1:02 PM
To: Bondy, Thomas M. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Re: FBI externship update

Thanks for your response on [redacted] I really want this to work, as a regular relationship with your office would be a great thing for CLS (and, I hope, for the Bu), as the interest in the Bu is really high here. Am I crazy to think that before the end of the summer, the OPM mess will be bracketed and the USG will continue to hire? Obviously, [redacted] wants certainty that you can't give. But my instinct is to counsel him to hang in there.

b6 -2
b7C -2

On Wed, Jul 8, 2015 at 9:53 AM, Bondy, Thomas M. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

b6 -2
b7C -2
b7E -3

[redacted] and Dan: Dan, it was very nice to meet you here the other day, if only briefly. You have both inquired about [redacted] status. I am doing my best to find out and also to try to push things along, and I will keep you apprised. Our hiring processes were frankly in a slow state even before the OPM foul-up, and the disruption now with respect to the processing of SF-86 security clearance forms has added a new, and unwelcome, layer of difficulty. This is very frustrating for me, and I am sure for you and [redacted] too. Please feel free to call me if you like. I will see if we can get things back on track, but at this point I am not 100 percent confident. -- Tom.

Thomas M. Bondy
Deputy General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -2

From: [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, July 08, 2015 8:24 AM
To: Bondy, Thomas M. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Fwd: FBI externship update

b6 -2
b7C -2

Hi Tom,

I hope you had a wonderful vacation.

See below. Can I call you later this week to discuss? Is there anything that can be done? I am also discussing with [redacted] the possibility of pursuing this externship for the spring semester, instead of the fall, if his background check doesn't come through in time and if you are amenable.

b6 -2
b7C -2

Please let me know when might be a good time to reach you.

Best, [redacted]

b6 -2
b7C -2

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: [redacted]
Date: Tue, Jul 7, 2015 at 7:35 PM
Subject: FBI externship update
To [redacted]

[redacted]

I wanted to provide you an update as to the progress of my background investigation for the FBI externship. At this time, I am becoming concerned that it will not be completed in time.

As you know FBI Human Resources Division (HRD) contacted me and informed me that I would be contacted by the New York Field Office. After waiting approximately five weeks, I reached out to HRD to determine when I would be contacted. After they reached out to security, they informed me that because of the OPM breach, the system they use (e-QIP) was currently shut down. They did not know when my background investigation would begin or when I would be asked to fill out the standard forms.

I previously worked at the FBI and have a copy (both in digital and paper form) of my SF-86 from when I originally submitted it in [redacted] I offered to provide a copy of that form as well as to update it for them; not knowing if that would assist in moving the process forward. HRD told me they were not sure that would be sufficient.

b6 -2
b7C -2

Again, I am concerned that my background investigation will be completed in time to start in September. I appreciate any assistance you may be able to provide going forward.

Regards,
[redacted]

b6 -2
b7C -2

[redacted]

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--

[redacted]
Lecturer-at-Law
Social Justice Initiatives
Columbia Law School
(phone) [redacted]
(fax) [redacted]
[redacted]

http://web.law.columbia.edu/social-justice
@ColumbiaSJI

(street address) Third floor of 410 W. 116th Street, NY, NY 10027
(mail address) 435 W. 116th Street, NY, NY 10027

b6 -2
b7C -2

--

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

Kelley, Patrick W. (DO) (FBI)

From: Kelley, Patrick W. (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2017 5:30 PM
To: Daniel Charles Richman
Subject: RE: taking a hiatus from my SGE status

Thanks Dan. Regret this outcome but understand completely; if I were in your shoes, I would do the same.

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto[redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2017 5:16 PM
To:[redacted](NY) (FBI)[redacted]Littlehale, Richard [redacted]Cofield, Valerie M. (DO) (FBI)[redacted]Kern, Kenn [redacted]Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)[redacted]Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted](ODAG) (JMD)[redacted]DO) (OGA) [redacted]Kelley, Patrick W. (DO) (FBI)[redacted]
Subject: taking a hiatus from my SGE status

b6 -1,2,4,5
b7C -1,2,4,5
b7E -3

Dear All -- I just wanted to let you know that I am resigning my SGE status, and will thus not, as of today, be formally working in the Bureau for the immediate future. My main reasons are that my SGE status is limiting what I can do in my extracurricular life, and that I suspect (and very much hope) that I'll be able to assist you all in a non-Bu capacity in most, tho probably not all, of the policy initiatives that I've been working with you on. It's been a privilege to work with you all, and I hope, in some way, to continue to do so.

So please let me know how, consistent with your rules, how I can help in the coming months.
best
Dan Richman

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office[redacted]
cellphone[redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Kelley, Patrick W. (DO) (FBI)

From: Kelley, Patrick W. (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2017 5:56 PM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI); [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: FW: taking a hiatus from my SGE status

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2017 5:33 PM
To: Kelley, Patrick W. (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Subject: Re: taking a hiatus from my SGE status

b6 -4
b7C -4
b7E -3

And I completely understand the Bu position. Your stratospherically high ethical standards are one of many reason I like working with you all. As the Great Arnold said: I'll be back :)
d

On Tue, Feb 7, 2017 at 5:29 PM, Kelley, Patrick W. (DO) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

b7E -3

Thanks Dan. Regret this outcome but understand completely; if I were in your shoes, I would do the same.

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2017 5:16 PM
To: [redacted] (NY) (FBI [redacted]; Littlehale, Richard [redacted] Cofield, Valerie M. (DO) (FBI) [redacted]; Kern, Kenn [redacted] Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [redacted]; Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] (ODAG) (JMD) [redacted] (DO) (OGA) [redacted]; Kelley, Patrick W. (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Subject: taking a hiatus from my SGE status

b6 -1,2,4,5
b7C -1,2,4,5
b7E -3

Dear All -- I just wanted to let you know that I am resigning my SGE status, and will thus not, as of today, be formally working in the Bureau for the immediate future. My main reasons are that my SGE status is limiting what I can do in my extracurricular life, and that I suspect (and very much hope) that I'll be able to assist you all in a non-Bu capacity in most, tho probably not all, of the policy initiatives that I've been working with you on. It's been a privilege to work with you all, and I hope, in some way, to continue to do so.

So please let me know how, consistent with your rules, how I can help in the coming months.
best
Dan Richman

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=837729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Bondy, Thomas M. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Bondy, Thomas M. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, July 09, 2015 1:15 PM
To: Daniel Charles Richman
Subject: RE: FBI externship update

I think that whatever problems exist pertain to the whole FBI.

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Thursday, July 09, 2015 1:12 PM
To: Bondy, Thomas M. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Re: FBI externship update

b6 -4
b7C -4

While we're under the conc: think he'd have a better shot for sept with another bu section? (Should i ask Sasha O'Connell?) Or is this a problem for the whole bu ?

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office:[redacted]
Cell:[redacted]

b6 -4
b7C -4

On Jul 9, 2015, at 1:06 PM, Bondy, Thomas M. (OGC) (FBI)[redacted] wrote:

b6 -2
b7C -2
b7E -3

(Dan, my information is uncertain, but between you and me, if I had to bet right now, I would say[redacted]should plan on an internship here for January 2016 and not September 2015)

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Thursday, July 09, 2015 1:02 PM
To: Bondy, Thomas M. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Re: FBI externship update

b6 -2,4
b7C -2,4

Thanks for your response on [redacted] I really want this to work, as a regular relationship with your office would be a great thing for CLS (and, I hope, for the Bu), as the interest in the Bu is really high here. Am I crazy to think that before the end of the summer, the OPM mess will be bracketed and the USG will continue to hire? Obviously,[redacted]wants certainty that you can't give. But my instinct is to counsel him to hang in there.

On Wed, Jul 8, 2015 at 9:53 AM, Bondy, Thomas M. (OGC) (FBI)
[redacted] wrote:

b6 -2
b7C -2
b7E -3

[redacted]and Dan: Dan, it was very nice to meet you here the other day, if only briefly. You have both inquired about[redacted]status. I am doing my best to find out and also to try to push things along, and I will keep you apprised. Our hiring processes were frankly in a slow state even before the OPM foul-up, and the disruption now with respect to the processing of SF-86 security clearance forms has added a new, and unwelcome, layer of difficulty. This is very frustrating for me, and I am sure for you and[redacted]too. Please feel free to call me if you like. I will see if we can get things back on track, but at this point I am not 100 percent confident. – Tom.

Thomas M. Bondy
Deputy General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

b6 -1,2
b7C -1,2

From: [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, July 08, 2015 8:24 AM
To: Bondy, Thomas M. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Fwd: FBI externship update

Hi Tom,

I hope you had a wonderful vacation.

See below. Can I call you later this week to discuss? Is there anything that can be done? I am also discussing with [redacted] the possibility of pursuing this externship for the spring semester, instead of the fall, if his background check doesn't come through in time and if you are amenable.

b6 -2
b7C -2

Please let me know when might be a good time to reach you.

Best, [redacted]

b6 -2
b7C -2

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: [redacted]
Date: Tue, Jul 7, 2015 at 7:35 PM
Subject: FBI externship update
To: [redacted]

[redacted]

I wanted to provide you an update as to the progress of my background investigation for the FBI externship. At this time, I am becoming concerned that it will not be completed in time.

As you know FBI Human Resources Division (HRD) contacted me and informed me that I would be contacted by the New York Field Office. After waiting approximately five weeks, I reached out to HRD to determine when I would be contacted. After they reached out to security, they informed me that because of the OPM breach, the system they use (e-QIP) was currently shut down. They did not know when my background investigation would begin or when I would be asked to fill out the standard forms.

I previously worked at the FBI and have a copy (both in digital and paper form) of my SF-86 from when I originally submitted it in [redacted] I offered to provide a copy of that form as well as to update it for them; not knowing if that would assist in moving the process forward. HRD told me they were not sure that would be sufficient.

b6 -2
b7C -2

Again, I am concerned that my background investigation will be completed in time to start in September. I appreciate any assistance you may be able to provide going forward.

Regards,
[redacted]

b6 -2
b7C -2

b6 -2
b7C -2

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--

b6 -2
b7C -2

Lecturer-at-Law
Social Justice Initiatives
Columbia Law School
(phone)
(fax)

http://web.law.columbia.edu/social-justice
@ColumbiaSJI

(street address) Third floor of 410 W. 116th Street, NY, NY 10027
(mail address) 435 W. 116th Street, NY, NY 10027

--

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office
cellphone
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

Daniel Charles Richman

From: Daniel Charles Richman
Sent: Thursday, July 09, 2015 1:17 PM
To: Bondy, Thomas M. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Re: FBI externship update

Makes sense. I'll counsel the guy. He's really good

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted]
Cell: [redacted]

b6 -2
b7C -2
b7E -3

On Jul 9, 2015, at 1:15 PM, Bondy, Thomas M. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

> I think that whatever problems exist pertain to the whole FBI.
>
> ---
>
> **From:** Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
> **Sent:** Thursday, July 09, 2015 1:12 PM
> **To:** Bondy, Thomas M. (OGC) (FBI)
> **Subject:** Re: FBI externship update
>
> While we're under the cone: think he'd have a better shot for sept with another bu section? (Should i ask Sasha O'Connell?) Or is this a problem for the whole bu ?
>
> Daniel Richman
> Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
> Columbia Law School
> Office: [redacted]
> Cell: [redacted]
>
> b6 -4
> b7C -4
> b7E -3
>
> On Jul 9, 2015, at 1:06 PM, Bondy, Thomas M. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:
>
> > (Dan, my information is uncertain, but between you and me, if I had to bet right now, I would say [redacted] should plan on an internship here for January 2016 and not September 2015)
> >
> > b6 -2,4
> > b7C -2,4
> >
> > ---
> >
> > **From:** Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
> > **Sent:** Thursday, July 09, 2015 1:02 PM
> > **To:** Bondy, Thomas M. (OGC) (FBI)
> > **Subject:** Re: FBI externship update
> >
> > Thanks for your response on [redacted] I really want this to work, as a regular relationship with your office would be a great thing for CLS (and, I hope, for the Bu), as the interest in the Bu is really high here. Am I crazy to think that before the end of the summer the OPM mess will be bracketed and the USG will continue to

hire? Obviously, [redacted] wants certainty that you can't give. But my instinct is to counsel him to hang in there.

b6 -2
b7C -2

On Wed, Jul 8, 2015 at 9:53 AM, Bondy, Thomas M. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

b6 -2
b7C -2
b7E -3

[redacted] and Dan: Dan, it was very nice to meet you here the other day, if only briefly. You have both inquired about [redacted] status. I am doing my best to find out and also to try to push things along, and I will keep you apprised. Our hiring processes were frankly in a slow state even before the OPM foul-up, and the disruption now with respect to the processing of SF-86 security clearance forms has added a new, and unwelcome, layer of difficulty. This is very frustrating for me, and I am sure for you and [redacted] too. Please feel free to call me if you like. I will see if we can get things back on track, but at this point I am not 100 percent confident. --Tom.

Thomas M. Bondy
Deputy General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

b6 -1,2
b7C -1,2

From: [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, July 08, 2015 8:24 AM
To: Bondy, Thomas M. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Fwd: FBI externship update

Hi Tom,

I hope you had a wonderful vacation.

See below. Can I call you later this week to discuss? Is there anything that can be done? I am also discussing with [redacted] the possibility of pursuing this externship for the spring semester, instead of the fall, if his background check doesn't come through in time and if you are amenable.

Please let me know when might be a good time to reach you.

Best, [redacted]

b6 -2
b7C -2

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: [redacted]
Date: Tue, Jul 7, 2015 at 7:35 PM
Subject: FBI externship update
To: [redacted]

[redacted]

I wanted to provide you an update as to the progress of my background investigation for the FBI externship. At this time, I am becoming concerned that it will not be completed in time.

As you know FBI Human Resources Division (HRD) contacted me and informed me that I would be contacted by the New York Field Office. After waiting approximately five weeks, I reached out to HRD to determine when I would be

approximately five weeks, I reached out to HRD to determine when I would be contacted. After they reached out to security, they informed me that because of the OPM breach, the system they use (e-QIP) was currently shut down. They did not know when my background investigation would begin or when I would be asked to fill out the standard forms.

I previously worked at the FBI and have a copy (both in digital and paper form) of my SF-86 from when I originally submitted it in [redacted]. I offered to provide a copy of that form as well as to update it for them; not knowing if that would assist in moving the process forward. HRD told me they were not sure that would be sufficient.

b6 -2
b7C -2

Again, I am concerned that my background investigation will be completed in time to start in September. I appreciate any assistance you may be able to provide going forward.

Regards,
[redacted]

b6 -2
b7C -2

[redacted]

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--
[redacted]

b6 -2
b7C -2

Lecturer-at-Law
Social Justice Initiatives
Columbia Law School
(phone) [redacted]
(fax) [redacted]
[redacted]

http://web.law.columbia.edu/social-justice
@ColumbiaSJI

(street address) Third floor of 410 W. 116th Street, NY, NY 10027
(mail address) 435 W. 116th Street, NY, NY 10027

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School

office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
b6 -4
b7C -4

You can download my papers at: http://sam.com/author=937729

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

Rosenberg, Chuck P.

From: Rosenberg, Chuck P.
Sent: Monday, January 05, 2015 9:11 AM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: FW: favor for a colleague

b6 -1,2
b7C -1,2

For the next scheduling meeting, please - an invite from [redacted] (relayed through Dan Richman) to appear at the CLS Center for Constitutional Governance for a Q&A session. Further details below. Thanks. Chuck

---

From: [redacted] [mailto [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, December 31, 2014 4:07 PM
To: Rosenberg, Chuck P.
Subject: Fwd: favor for a colleague

b6 -1
b7C -1

For consideration.

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: **Daniel Charles Richman** [redacted]
Date: Tuesday, December 30, 2014
Subject: favor for a colleague
To: James Comey [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

hi again - [redacted] -- a colleague of mine whom you may remember -- asked me to see if you'd be willing to do an evening Q &A **in DC** in late Jan or early-mid Feb for the CLS Center for Constitutional Governance (which tries to have regular DC events).

b6 -2
b7C -2

You did a panel for the Center back when you were an illustrious CLS academic. (I'm told I'm "affiliated" with it too.) The Center "is a nonpartisan legal and policy organization that focuses on constitutional issues affecting governmental structure and authority. The Center seeks to bring academics and government officials together to address important governance challenges and to provide a forum where the public law community at Columbia — students, faculty, alumni, and staff — can engage on pressing public issues of the day."

The idea is for [redacted] to have a conversation with you, with audience participation from the CLS DC externship students and some alums. Very light lifting, as you reflect on just about anything you'd like in the "governance" area -- a pretty wide space -- or any topical issue you'd like to weigh in on.

b6 -2
b7C -2

If [redacted] could choose, her best date would be Monday 2/2, but she offered other possibilities (Thursday 1/29, Tuesday 2/10) and would obviously work around your schedule.

b6 -2
b7C -2

Enjoy the New Year festivities. And here's rooting for a b'ball victory
thx
d

Daniel Charles Richman

From: Daniel Charles Richman
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 11:47 AM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1
Subject: Re: Re:

Excellent. I'll come the 9th at 3 (or later if you'd like), and will meet with Jim Baker and crew the following day
thx for your help
dan richman

On Tue, Mar 24, 2015 at 6:59 PM [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted] wrote: b6 -1 b7C -1 b7E -3

> Hello Professor Richman,
>
> Will you be in town on the 9th ? The Director will be out of the office on Friday, April 10th. He does have availability after 3pm on the 9th if you in town.
>
> Let m know.
>
> Thank you!
>
> [redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1
>
> ---
>
> **From:** [redacted] [mailto: [redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1
> **Sent:** Tuesday, March 24, 2015 6:44 PM
> **To:** Daniel Charles Richman
> **Cc** [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
> **Subject:** Re:
>
> Of course [redacted] get you in to see the wizard. b6 -1 b7C -1

On Monday, March 23, 2015, Daniel Charles Richman [redacted] wrote:

b6 -2,4
b7C -2,4

I'll be in dc (w[redacted] for a Marshall clerks reunion [redacted]
[redacted] April 10-11. Will you have time for me to swing by that fri?
(I've let baker know I'll be around, in case he wants to talk)

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office [redacted]
Cell: [redacted]

b6 -4
b7C -4

--

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]

b6 -4
b7C -4

You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

[redacted] (DO) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1

From: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 12:18 PM
To: 'Daniel Charles Richman'
Subject: RE: Re:

Sounds good. I will send you a follow up email with instructions for entering the building shortly….

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto [redacted] b6 -1,4 b7C -1,4
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 11:47 AM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: Re: Re:

Excellent. I'll come the 9th at 3 (or later if you'd like), and will meet with Jim Baker and crew the following day
thx for your help
dan richman

On Tue, Mar 24, 2015 at 6:59 PM, [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted] wrote: b6 -1 b7C -1 b7E -3
Hello Professor Richman,

Will you be in town on the 9th ? The Director will be out of the office on Friday, April 10th. He does have availability after 3pm on the 9th if you in town.

Let m know.

Thank you!

[redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1

From: [redacted] [mailto [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, March 24, 2015 6:44 PM
To: Daniel Charles Richman
Cc: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: Re:

Of course. [redacted] get you in to see the wizard. b6 -1,2,4 b7C -1,2,4

On Monday, March 23, 2015, Daniel Charles Richman [redacted] wrote:
I'll be in dc (w[redacted] for a Marshall clerks reunion [redacted]
[redacted] April 10-11. Will you have time for me to swing by that fri?
(I've let baker know I'll be around, in case he wants to talk)

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School

Office: [redacted]
Cell: [redacted]

b6 -4
b7C -4

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Daniel Charles Richman

From: Daniel Charles Richman
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 3:16 PM
To: [redacted](DO) (FBI)
Subject: Re: Re:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

perfect. Thx!

On Wed, Mar 25, 2015 at 2:18 PM, [redacted](DO) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

> Sir,
>
> We are located at 935 Pennsylvania Ave, NW, Washington, DC between 9th and 10th Street. You will need to enter through the Business/Visitor entrance between 9th and 10th Street, clear security, where you will be escorted by security to our office. If you have any issues, please call 202 324 6500.
>
> Thank you,
>
> [redacted]
>
> b6 -1
> b7C -1
>
> ---
>
> **From:** Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
> **Sent:** Wednesday, March 25, 2015 11:47 AM
> **To:** [redacted](DO) (FBI)
> **Subject:** Re: Re:
>
> b6 -1,4
> b7C -1,4
>
> Excellent. I'll come the 9th at 3 (or later if you'd like), and will meet with Jim Baker and crew the following day
>
> thx for your help
>
> dan richman
>
> b6 -1
> b7C -1
> b7E -3
>
> On Tue, Mar 24, 2015 at 6:59 PM, [redacted](DO) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

Hello Professor Richman,

Will you be in town on the 9th ? The Director will be out of the office on Friday, April 10th. He does have availability after 3pm on the 9th if you in town.

Let m know.

Thank you!

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, March 24, 2015 6:44 PM
To: Daniel Charles Richman
Cc: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: Re:

b6 -1
b7C -1

Of course. [redacted] get you in to see the wizard.

b6 -1,2,4
b7C -1,2,4

On Monday, March 23, 2015, Daniel Charles Richman [redacted] wrote:

I'll be in dc (w [redacted] for a Marshall clerks reunion [redacted]
[redacted] April 10-11. Will you have time for me to swing by that fri?
(I've let baker know I'll be around, in case he wants to talk)

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted]
Cell: [redacted]

b6 -4
b7C -4

--

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

[redacted] (DO) (FBI)

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, April 27, 2015 3:51 PM
To: 'Daniel Charles Richman'
Subject: RE: Re:

Hello Sir,

Director Comey asked that I mail his parking garage entry tag back to you. Can you confirm if this your mailing address?

[redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

Thank you,

[redacted]

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 3:16 PM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: Re: Re:

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

perfect. Thx!

On Wed, Mar 25, 2015 at 2:18 PM, [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:
Sir,

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

We are located at 935 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC between 9th and 10th Street. You will need to enter through the Business/Visitor entrance between 9th and 10th Street, clear security, where you will be escorted by security to our office. If you have any issues, please call 202 324 6500.

Thank you,

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 11:47 AM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: Re: Re:

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

Excellent. I'll come the 9th at 3 (or later if you'd like), and will meet with Jim Baker and crew the following day
thx for your help
dan richman

On Tue, Mar 24, 2015 at 6:59 PM, [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

Hello Professor Richman,

Will you be in town on the 9th ? The Director will be out of the office on Friday, April 10th. He does have availability after 3pm on the 9th if you in town.

Let m know.

Thank you!

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] [mailto [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, March 24, 2015 6:44 PM
To: Daniel Charles Richman
Cc: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: Re:

b6 -1
b7C -1

Of course, [redacted] get you in to see the wizard.

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

On Monday, March 23, 2015, Daniel Charles Richman [redacted] wrote:
I'll be in dc (w [redacted] for a Marshall clerks reunion [redacted]
[redacted] April 10-11. Will you have time for me to swing by that fri?
(I've let baker know I'll be around, in case he wants to talk)

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office [redacted]
Cell: [redacted]

b6 -4
b7C -4

--

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

[redacted] (DO) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1

From: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 2:19 PM
To: 'Daniel Charles Richman'
Subject: RE: Re:

Sir,

We are located at 935 Pennsylvania Ave, NW, Washington, DC between 9th and 10th Street. You will need to enter through the Business/Visitor entrance between 9th and 10th Street, clear security, where you will be escorted by security to our office. If you have any issues, please call 202 324 6500.

Thank you,

[redacted] b6 -1,4 b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 11:47 AM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: Re: Re:

Excellent. I'll come the 9th at 3 (or later if you'd like), and will meet with Jim Baker and crew the following day
thx for your help
dan richman

On Tue, Mar 24, 2015 at 6:59 PM, [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted] wrote: b6 -1 b7C -1 b7E -3
Hello Professor Richman,

Will you be in town on the 9th ? The Director will be out of the office on Friday, April 10th. He does have availability after 3pm on the 9th if you in town.

Let m know.

Thank you!

[redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1

From [redacted] [mailto: [redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1
Sent: Tuesday, March 24, 2015 6:44 PM
To: Daniel Charles Richman
Cc: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: Re:

Of course. [redacted] get you in to see the wizard. b6 -1,4 b7C -1,4

On Monday, March 23, 2015, Daniel Charles Richman [redacted] wrote:

I'll be in dc (w[redacted] for a Marshall clerks reunion [redacted] [redacted] April 10-11. Will you have time for me to swing by that fri?
(I've let baker know I'll be around, in case he wants to talk)

b6 -2
b7C -2

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted]
Cell: [redacted]

b6 -4
b7C -4

--

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Daniel Charles Richman

From: Daniel Charles Richman
Sent: Monday, April 27, 2015 3:54 PM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: Re: Re:

b6 -1
b7C -1

Hi! Quite right, but might as well add "Columbia Law School"
dan R

On Mon, Apr 27, 2015 at 3:51 PM, [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

Hello Sir,

Director Comey asked that I mail his parking garage entry tag back to you. Can you confirm if this your mailing address?

[redacted]

b6 -4
b7C -4

Thank you,

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 3:16 PM

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: Re: Re:

perfect. Thx!

On Wed, Mar 25, 2015 at 2:18 PM, [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

Sir,

We are located at 935 Pennsylvania Ave, NW, Washington, DC between 9th and 10th Street. You will need to enter through the Business/Visitor entrance between 9th and 10th Street, clear security, where you will be escorted by security to our office. If you have any issues, please call 202 324 6500.

Thank you,

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 11:47 AM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: Re: Re:

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

Excellent. I'll come the 9th at 3 (or later if you'd like), and will meet with Jim Baker and crew the following day

thx for your help

dan richman

On Tue, Mar 24, 2015 at 6:59 PM, [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

Hello Professor Richman,

Will you be in town on the 9th ? The Director will be out of the office on Friday, April 10th. He does have availability after 3pm on the 9th if you in town.

Let m know.

Thank you!

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] [mailto [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, March 24, 2015 6:44 PM
To: Daniel Charles Richman
Cc: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: Re:

b6 -1
b7C -1

Of course. [redacted] get you in to see the wizard.

b6 -1,2,4
b7C -1,2,4
b7E -3

On Monday, March 23, 2015, Daniel Charles Richman [redacted] wrote:

I'll be in dc (w [redacted] for a Marshall clerks reunion [redacted]
[redacted] April 10-11. Will you have time for me to swing by that fri?
(I've let baker know I'll be around, in case he wants to talk)

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted]
Cell: [redacted]

b6 -4
b7C -4

--

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]

b6 -4
b7C -4

You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

[redacted]DO) (FBI)

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted](DO) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, April 27, 2015 3:55 PM
To: 'Daniel Charles Richman'
Subject: RE: Re:

Thank you!

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Monday, April 27, 2015 3:54 PM
To: [redacted](DO) (FBI)
Subject: Re: Re:

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

Hi! Quite right, but might as well add "Columbia Law School"
dan R

On Mon, Apr 27, 2015 at 3:51 PM, [redacted](DO) (FBI)[redacted] wrote:
Hello Sir,

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

Director Comey asked that I mail his parking garage entry tag back to you. Can you confirm if this your mailing address?

[redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

Thank you,

[redacted]

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto[redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 3:16 PM

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

To: [redacted](DO) (FBI)
Subject: Re: Re:

perfect. Thx!

On Wed, Mar 25, 2015 at 2:18 PM, [redacted](DO) (FBI)[redacted] wrote:
Sir,

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

We are located at 935 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC between 9th and 10th Street. You will need to enter through the Business/Visitor entrance between 9th and 10th Street, clear security, where you will be escorted by security to our office. If you have any issues, please call 202 324 6500.

Thank you,

[redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 11:47 AM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: Re: Re:

Excellent. I'll come the 9th at 3 (or later if you'd like), and will meet with Jim Baker and crew the following day
thx for your help
dan richman

On Tue, Mar 24, 2015 at 6:59 PM, [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:
Hello Professor Richman,

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

Will you be in town on the 9th ? The Director will be out of the office on Friday, April 10th. He does have availability after 3pm on the 9th if you in town.

Let m know.

Thank you!

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, March 24, 2015 6:44 PM
To: Daniel Charles Richman
Cc: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: Re:

Of course. [redacted] get you in to see the wizard.

b6 -1,2,4
b7C -1,2,4

On Monday, March 23, 2015, Daniel Charles Richman [redacted] wrote:
I'll be in dc (w[redacted] for a Marshall clerks reunion [redacted]
[redacted] April 10-11. Will you have time for me to swing by that fri?
(I've let baker know I'll be around, in case he wants to talk)

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office [redacted]
Cell: [redacted]

b6 -4
b7C -4

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School

office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Daniel Charles Richman

From: Daniel Charles Richman
Sent: Wednesday, September 02, 2015 10:58 AM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1
Subject: Re: Meeting with Director Comey

Hi [redacted] (and pls call me "Dan") - I'm due in at Union Sta at 1ish next thurs (the 10th) and am planning to be at HQ all afternoon thurs and all day on Fri (9/11). I know Sasha O'C is planning some things for me, but am sure everyone will cooperate to give me quality time with the Dir :)
dan r

On Wed, Sep 2, 2015 at 10:40 AM, [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted] wrote: b6 -1 b7C -1 b7E -3

> Hello Professor Richman,
>
> Director Comey informed me you will visit HQ next week and asked that I schedule sometime for the two of you to chat. Could you please tell me what day(s) you will be here so that I can work your meeting into the Director's calendar?
>
> Thank you,
>
> [redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1
>
> ---
>
> Spam
> Not spam
> Forget previous vote

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

[redacted] (DO) (FBI)

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, September 02, 2015 10:41 AM
To: 'Daniel Charles Richman'
Subject: Meeting with Director Comey

Hello Professor Richman,

Director Comey informed me you will visit HQ next week and asked that I schedule sometime for the two of you to chat. Could you please tell me what day(s) you will be here so that I can work your meeting into the Director's calendar?

Thank you,

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Daniel Charles Richman

From: Daniel Charles Richman
Sent: Wednesday, September 02, 2015 11:32 AM
To: [redacted](DO) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1
Subject: Re: Meeting with Director Comey

thanks!
d

On Wed, Sep 2, 2015 at 11:31 AM, [redacted](DO) (FBI) [redacted] wrote: b6 -1 b7C -1 b7E -3

Sir,

You are on the Director's calendar for 2:30pm on 9/10. Sasha is aware.....

Thank you,

[redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto [redacted] b6 -1,4 b7C -1,4
Sent: Wednesday, September 02, 2015 10:58 AM
To: [redacted](DO) (FBI)
Subject: Re: Meeting with Director Comey

Hi [redacted] (and pls call me "Dan") - I'm due in at Union Sta at 1ish next thurs (the 10th) and am planning to be at HQ all afternoon thurs and all day on Fri (9/11). I know Sasha O'C is planning some things for me, but am sure everyone will cooperate to give me quality time with the Dir :) b6 -1 b7C -1

dan r

On Wed, Sep 2, 2015 at 10:40 AM, [redacted](DO) (FBI) [redacted] wrote: b6 -1 b7C -1 b7E -3

Hello Professor Richman,

Director Comey informed me you will visit HQ next week and asked that I schedule sometime for the two of you to chat. Could you please tell me what day(s) you will be here so that I can work your meeting into the Director's calendar?

Thank you,

[redacted] b6 -1
b7C -1

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

[redacted] (DO) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1

From: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, September 02, 2015 11:31 AM
To: 'Daniel Charles Richman'
Subject: RE: Meeting with Director Comey

Sir,

You are on the Director's calendar for 2:30pm on 9/10. Sasha is aware.....

Thank you,

[redacted] b6 -1,4 b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, September 02, 2015 10:58 AM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: Re: Meeting with Director Comey

Hi [redacted] (and pls call me "Dan") - I'm due in at Union Sta at 1ish next thurs (the 10th) and am planning to be at HQ all afternoon thurs and all day on Fri (9/11). I know Sasha O'C is planning some things for me, but am sure everyone will cooperate to give me quality time with the Dir :)
dan r

On Wed, Sep 2, 2015 at 10:40 AM, [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted] wrote: b6 -1 b7C -1 b7E -3
Hello Professor Richman,

Director Comey informed me you will visit HQ next week and asked that I schedule sometime for the two of you to chat. Could you please tell me what day(s) you will be here so that I can work your meeting into the Director's calendar?

Thank you,

[redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4 b7C -4
cellphone [redacted]

You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

Daniel Charles Richman

From: Daniel Charles Richman
Sent: Wednesday, September 09, 2015 3:21 PM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1
Subject: Re: Meeting with Director Comey

Hi again -- Rookie question: I have my ID but I forgot the number of the Director's suite. Could you please remind me?
thx
dan richman

On Wed, Sep 2, 2015 at 11:31 AM, [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted] wrote: b6 -1 b7C -1 b7E -3

Sir,

You are on the Director's calendar for 2:30pm on 9/10. Sasha is aware.....

Thank you,

[redacted] b6 -1,4 b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, September 02, 2015 10:58 AM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: Re: Meeting with Director Comey

Hi [redacted] (and pls call me "Dan") - I'm due in at Union Sta at 11ish next thurs (the 10th) and am planning to be at HQ all afternoon thurs and all day on Fri (9/11). I know Sasha O'C is planning some things for me, but am sure everyone will cooperate to give me quality time with the Dir :) b6 -1 b7C -1

dan r

On Wed, Sep 2, 2015 at 10:40 AM, [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted] wrote: b6 -1 b7C -1 b7E -3

Hello Professor Richman,

Director Comey informed me you will visit HQ next week and asked that I schedule sometime for the two of you to chat. Could you please tell me what day(s) you will be here so that I can work your meeting into the Director's calendar?

Thank you,

[redacted] b6 -1
b7C -1

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

[redacted] (DO) (FBI)

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, September 09, 2015 3:34 PM
To: 'Daniel Charles Richman'
Subject: RE: Meeting with Director Comey

Mr. Richman,

You will need to come to room 7162. The Director's calendar is a little shaky at the moment so your appt may slide to the left or to the right, but I will keep you posted.

Thank you for your patience!

[redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, September 09, 2015 3:21 PM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: Re: Meeting with Director Comey

Hi again -- Rookie question: I have my ID but I forgot the number of the Director's suite. Could you please remind me?
thx
dan richman

On Wed, Sep 2, 2015 at 11:31 AM, [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:
Sir,

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

You are on the Director's calendar for 2:30pm on 9/10. Sasha is aware.....

Thank you,

[redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, September 02, 2015 10:58 AM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: Re: Meeting with Director Comey

Hi Althea (and pls call me "Dan") - I'm due in at Union Sta at 1ish next thurs (the 10th) and am planning to be at HQ all afternoon thurs and all day on Fri (9/11). I know Sasha O'C is planning some things for me, but am sure everyone will cooperate to give me quality time with the Dir :)
dan r

On Wed, Sep 2, 2015 at 10:40 AM, [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:
Hello Professor Richman,

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

Director Comey informed me you will visit HQ next week and asked that I schedule sometime for the two of

you to chat. Could you please tell me what day(s) you will be here so that I can work your meeting into the Director's calendar?

Thank you,

[redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

Daniel Charles Richman

From: Daniel Charles Richman
Sent: Wednesday, September 09, 2015 3:37 PM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: Re: Meeting with Director Comey

b6 -1
b7C -1

Not a problem at all. I just about (but not perfectly) positive that a scheduled Amtrak arrival at Union Sta at 1:05 will get me to HQ early

On Wed, Sep 9, 2015 at 3:34 PM, [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

Mr. Richman,

You will need to come to room 7162. The Director's calendar is a little shaky at the moment so your appt may slide to the left or to the right, but I will keep you posted.

Thank you for your patience!

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, September 09, 2015 3:21 PM

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: Re: Meeting with Director Comey

Hi again -- Rookie question: I have my ID but I forgot the number of the Director's suite. Could you please remind me?

thx

dan richman

On Wed, Sep 2, 2015 at 11:31 AM, [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

Sir,

You are on the Director's calendar for 2:30pm on 9/10. Sasha is aware.....

Thank you,

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, September 02, 2015 10:58 AM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: Re: Meeting with Director Comey

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

Hi [redacted] and pls call me "Dan") - I'm due in at Union Sta at 1ish next thurs (the 10th) and am planning to be at HQ all afternoon thurs and all day on Fri (9/11). I know Sasha O'C is planning some things for me, but am sure everyone will cooperate to give me quality time with the Dir :)

dan r

On Wed, Sep 2, 2015 at 10:40 AM, [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

Hello Professor Richman,

Director Comey informed me you will visit HQ next week and asked that I schedule sometime for the two of you to chat. Could you please tell me what day(s) you will be here so that I can work your meeting into the Director's calendar?

Thank you,

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--
Daniel Richman

Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Daniel Charles Richman

From: Daniel Charles Richman
Sent: Friday, November 06, 2015 4:48 PM
Cc: [redacted] (DO) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1
Subject: Re: Field Office Visit

Hi [redacted] - I realize that it's late friday afternoon and I'm happy to wait to get logistics info next week. I just wanted to see if there's anything I'll need to do before then. Should I be making arrangements to go to DC wed night (train and hotel) so that I can ready Thurs am?
thanks so much for your help in this
dan richman

On Fri, Nov 6, 2015 at 12:23 PM, Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [redacted] wrote: b7E -3

> Hi Dan-
>
> Hope you are well! I wanted to let you know that our OGC approved your travel to Kansas City and Nashville for the Director's field office visits on November 12 and 13. [redacted] (cc'd) can help you with logistics and we'll also have someone from the Operations Unit get in touch with you on the timeline, etc. b6 -1 b7C -1
>
> Talk to you soon,
>
> Jim
>
> ---
>
> James Rybicki
>
> Chief of Staff

Office of the Director

Federal Bureau of Investigation

[redacted] b6 -1
b7C -1

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=837729

[redacted] (DO) (FBI)

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] DO) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2016 10:31 AM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: FW: Fordham Stein Prize

M [redacted] pls see below for scheduling purposes. Thanks!

b6 -1
b7C -1

UC [redacted]
Director's Coordination and Operations Unit, FBIHQ
Desk: [redacted]
Mobile: [redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, May 09, 2016 3:58 PM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] [Staff]; [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: Re: Fordham Stein Prize

b6 -1,2
b7C -1,2

Great! Monday, November 7th is confirmed and held on all of our calendars here as we wait for approval from the Ethics team.

Thanks,
[redacted]

b6 -1,2
b7C -1,2
b7E -3

On Fri, May 6, 2016 at 1:31 PM, [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:
Hello [redacted] November 7th looks great!

[redacted] let's lock that date down pending concurrence from AD Kelley.

Thanks,
[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

UC [redacted]
Director's Coordination and Operations Unit, FBIHQ
Desk: [redacted]
Mobile: [redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, May 06, 2016 1:14 PM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] [Staff]; [redacted] (DO) (FBI)

b6 -1,2
b7C -1,2

Subject: RE: Fordham Stein Prize

[redacted] You can add November 7th into the mix as well.

[redacted]

b6 -2
b7C -2

Assistant Director of Alumni Relations and Special Events
Fordham Law School
150 West 62nd Street, Room 8-152
New York, NY 10023
Tel: [redacted]
Fax: [redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, May 05, 2016 3:23 PM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Cc: [redacted] Staff] [redacted] (DO) (FBI)' [redacted]
Subject: RE: Fordham Stein Prize

b6 -1,2
b7C -1,2
b7E -3

Dear [redacted]

No need to apologize! I know how busy you all are. Unfortunately, those dates do not work for our Dean and University President. It is difficult to get everyone in one place at one time! Moving to the following month- how about **Tuesday, November 15th**?

Best,
[redacted]

b6 -2
b7C -2

--

[redacted]

Assistant Director of Alumni Relations and Special Events
Fordham Law School
150 West 62nd Street, Room 8-152
New York, NY 10023
Tel: [redacted]
Fax: [redacted]

From: [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, May 05, 2016 3:09 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted] Staff] [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Subject: RE: Fordham Stein Prize

b6 -1,2
b7C -1,2
b7E -3

Hello [redacted]

Thanks so much for reaching out. I apologize for not contacting you sooner, but we are still trying to work a few things out on our end. While we await Mr. Kelley's response, perhaps you can look at a few alternate dates in October that may work, as the 25th is not possible at this time. Can you pls let me know if Oct. 3rd, 4th, 5th, or 24th are possible?

I look forward to working with you and your team!

Take care,
[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

UC [redacted]
Director's Coordination and Operations Unit, FBIHQ
Desk: [redacted]
Mobile: [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, May 05, 2016 11:03 AM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] [Staff]
Subject: RE: Fordham Stein Prize

b6 -1,2
b7C -1,2

Dear [redacted]

I hope you're well! I am following up on my e-mail below regarding a potential October 25th date.

I have been in touch with Patrick Kelly regarding the Ethics approval so we are all set on that end.

Thank you and I look forward to working with you on this event!

Best,
[redacted]

b6 -2
b7C -2

[redacted]
Assistant Director of Alumni Relations and Special Events
Fordham Law School
150 West 62nd Street, Room 8-152
New York, NY 10023
Tel: [redacted]
Fax: [redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2016 4:19 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted] [Staff] [redacted] 'Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)'
[redacted]
Subject: RE: Fordham Stein Prize

b6 -1,2
b7C -1,2
b7E -3

Jim, that's great. Thank you for making the connection.

[redacted] pleasure to meet you! I had e-mailed Jim, below, about a potential event date and offered my assistance for anything your Ethics Team may need. Please let me know if you have any questions for me at all regarding my below e-mail. Thank you!

b6 -1
b7C -1

Best,
[redacted]

b6 -2
b7C -2

--
[redacted]
Assistant Director of Alumni Relations and Special Events
Fordham Law School
150 West 62nd Street, Room 8-152
New York, NY 10023

Tel: [redacted]
Fax: [redacted]

b6 -2
b7C -2

From: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2016 4:06 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted] [Staff] [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
[redacted]
Subject: RE: Fordham Stein Prize

b6 -1,2
b7C -1,2
b7E -3

Hi [redacted]

b6 -1,2
b7C -1,2

I've cc'd [redacted] on this e-mail. [redacted] heads up the Director's Coordination and Operations Unit who help to take care of the logistics. She should be able to work the rest of the process.

If you need anything from me, please let me know.

Thanks!
Jim

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2016 2:31 PM
To: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Cc: [redacted] [Staff] [redacted]
Subject: RE: Fordham Stein Prize

b6 -2
b7C -2
b7E -3

Dear Mr. Rybicki,

It is a pleasure to meet you virtually and I want to thank you for working with us on this event. We are thrilled to be able to honor Director Comey and want to make something work for his schedule. We *may* be able to host the event on Tuesday, October 25th and before I move forward on my end, I wanted to see if that date would work for his schedule.

Please let me know if there is anything I can assist with in regards to your Ethics Team. Do you have a sense of when they would give you the "OK" to accept?

Thank you!

Best,
[redacted]

b6 -2
b7C -2

--
[redacted]
Assistant Director of Alumni Relations and Special Events
Fordham Law School
150 West 62nd Street, Room 8-152
New York, NY 10023
Tel: [redacted]
Fax: [redacted]

b6 -2
b7C -2
b7E -3

From: Matthew Diller [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2016 9:59 AM
To: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [redacted]

To: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Cc: [redacted] [Staff] [redacted]
[redacted]
Subject: Re: Fordham Stein Prize

b6 -2,4
b7C -2,4
b7E -3

Jim,

Terrific! I am adding [redacted] to this email, who will coordinate from our end. We will get you some alternate dates shortly.

b6 -2
b7C -2

I hope we can make this work as we would be delighted to be able to honor Director Comey in this way.

Best,

Matthew

Matthew Diller
Dean
Paul Fuller Professor of Law
Fordham University School of Law
150 West 62nd Street
New York, NY 10023
[redacted]

b6 -2
b7C -2
b7E -3

On Tue, May 3, 2016 at 9:41 AM, Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

> Dean Diller-
>
> Thank you very much for your e-mail. My apologies for the delay in responding. The Director is appreciative of this honor and is interested in attending the event. The Director will be on travel the weeks of October 10 and 17 so the 18th will not work, but would like to work with you if another date is a possibility. We also need to run this all past our ethics team before formally accepting. Is there someone on your staff that you would like our office to work with going forward?
>
> Thanks again,
> Jim Rybicki
>
> **From:** Matthew Diller [mailto [redacted]
> **Sent:** Tuesday, April 26, 2016 5:47 PM
> **To:** Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
> **Cc:** [redacted] [Staff] [redacted]
> [redacted]
> **Subject:** Fordham Stein Prize
>
> Dear Jim,
>
> I appreciate Dan's making this connection. I am delighted that Director Comey has been selected as the 2016 winner of Fordham Law School's Stein Prize - one of our nation's premier awards for integrity, ethics

b6 -2,4
b7C -2,4
b7E -3

and excellence in the legal profession. I am attaching a copy of the letter which went out to the Director today. The presentation is made at a dinner at Fordham Law School in New York at which the winner accepts the award. If Director Comey is able to accept, we would propose the evening of October 18th.

This will be the 40th anniversary of the inauguration of the Stein Prize. To give you a sense of the aspirations of the Award, I am attaching a list of the past winners.

I am happy to discuss with you directly or with Director Comey if that is helpful.

Please convey my congratulations to Director Comey. I very much hope that he can join us next Fall.

With warm regards,

Matthew

Matthew Diller
Dean
Paul Fuller Professor of Law
Fordham University School of Law
150 West 62nd Street
New York, NY 10023

[redacted]

b6 -2
b7C -2

--

--

[redacted]

b6 -2
b7C -2

Assistant Director of Alumni Relations and Special Events

Fordham Law School

150 West 62nd Street, Room 8-152

New York, NY 10023

Tel: [redacted]

Fax: [redacted]

Richman, Daniel C. (DO) (OGA)

From: Richman, Daniel C. (DO) (OGA)
Sent: Monday, November 16, 2015 1:35 PM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI); [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: FW: travel w the director
Attachments: LionMail Mail - Amtrak_ eTicket and Receipt for Your 11_11_2015 Trip - DANIEL RICHMAN.pdf; DR Hotel bills November 2015.pdf

b6 -1
b7C -1

Hi [redacted] and [redacted] -- I just sent the following email, with receipts to [redacted] at OGC (cc'd here). Should I still send originals to you?
thx
dan richman

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: Richman, Daniel C. (DO) (OGA)
Sent: Monday, November 16, 2015 10:32 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: travel w the director

b6 -1
b7C -1

Hi [redacted] - If it's ok with you, I'd like to impose on you again to put in for my expenses in connection with my visits to Kansas City and Nashville with the Director on Nov 12 and 13.

On 11/11, I took the Amtrak Acela from NY to DC. My roundtrip receipt is attached here. $438.00 I stayed that night at the Hampton Inn, $213.57 (to be available for a 7:30 am boarding) 11/12, I went on Bu jet then stayed at the Union Station Hotel in Nashville (Bu block of room) $427.77 Then 11/13 evening Acela back to NY

thx so much for you help in this.
dan richman

Hampton Inn & Suites Reagan National Airport
2000 Jefferson Davis Highway • Arlington, VA 22202
Phone (703) 418-8181 • Fax (703) 418-4666

USA
Official Sponsor

RICHMAN, DANIEL [redacted] name address US	room number: 425/KXTO arrival date: 11/11/2015 8:52:00PM departure date: 11/12/2015 adult/child: 1/0 room rate: 189.00	If the debit/credit card you are using for check-in is attached to a bank or checking account, a hold will be placed on the account for the full anticipated dollar amount to be owed to the hotel, including estimated incidentals, through your date of check-out and such funds will not be released for 72 business hours from the date of check-out or longer at the discretion of your financial institution.
	RATE PLAN LV3 HH# 559717739 BLUE AL: BONUS AL: CAR:	b6 -4 b7C -4
CONFIRMATION NUMBER : [redacted] 11/12/2015 PAGE 1	Rates subject to applicable sales, occupancy, or other taxes. Please do not leave any money or items of value unattended in your room. A safety deposit box is available for you in the lobby. I agree that my liability for this bill is not waived and agree to be held personally liable in the event that the indicated person, company or association fails to pay for any part or the full amount of these charges. I have requested weekday delivery of USA TODAY. If refused, a credit of $0.75 will be applied to my account. In the event of an emergency, I, or someone in my party, require special evacuation due to a physical disability. Please indicate yes by checking here: ☐ **signature:**	

date	reference	description	amount
11/11/2015	1745101	GUEST ROOM	$189.00
11/11/2015	1745101	STATE SALES TAX	$11.34
11/11/2015	1745101	OCCUPANCY TAX	$13.23
		WILL BE SETTLED TO AX [redacted]	$213.57
		EFFECTIVE BALANCE OF	$0.00

b6 -4
b7C -4

EXPENSE REPORT SUMMARY

	15 00:00:00	STAY TOTAL
ROOM & TAX	$213.57	$213.57
DAILY TOTAL	$213.57	$213.57

You have earned approximately 1890 Hilton HHonors points for this stay. Hilton HHonors(R) stays are posted within 72 hours of checkout. To check your earnings or book your next stay at more than 3,900 hotels and resorts in 91 countries, please visit HHono

Hampton hotels are all over the world. Find us in Canada, Costa Rica, Ecuador, Germany, India, Mexico, Poland, Turkey, United Kingdom, and United States of America. Coming soon in Italy and Romania.

for reservations call **1.800.hampton** or visit us online at **hampton.com** **thanks.**

account no.	date of charge	folio/check no. 448940 A
card member name	authorization	initial
establishment no. and location — establishment agrees to transmit to card holder for payment	purchases & services	
	taxes	
	tips & misc.	
signature of card member X	**total** amount	0.00

CONRAD Hilton HOME2 HILTON HHONORS

FBI 18-CV-1833-3299

UNION STATION HOTEL

Dan Richman
United States

Room Number: 0619
Arrival Date: 11-12-15
Departure Date: 11-13-15
CRS Number: [redacted] b6 -4 b7C -4
Page No: 1 of 1

Company: *FBI Room Block (FBI)*

INFORMATION INVOICE

Folio No:

11-13-15

Date	Description	Charges	Credits
11-12-15	Government Group	369.00	
11-12-15	Occupancy Tax - State	34.13	
11-12-15	Occupancy Tax - County	22.14	
11-12-15	Occupancy Tax - City	2.50	
	Total	**427.77**	**0.00**
	Balance	**427.77**	

LIONMAIL @COLUMBIA

Daniel Charles Richman [redacted]
b6 -4
b7C -4

Amtrak: eTicket and Receipt for Your 11/11/2015 Trip - DANIEL RICHMAN

1 message

etickets@amtrak.com <etickets@amtrak.com> Mon, Nov 9, 2015 at 10:23 PM
To [redacted]

SALES RECEIPT

AMTRAK

Purchased: 11/09/2015 7:23 PM PTThank you for your purchase.

1. Retain this receipt for your records.
2. Print the attached eTicket and carry during your trip.

Merchant ID 0066660 Massachusetts AvenueWashington, DC 20002800-USA-RAILAmtrak.com

Reservation Number - [redacted] YORK PENN, NY - WASHINGTON, DC (Round-Trip)NOVEMBER 9, 2015 b6 -4 b7C -4
Billing Information
DANIEL C RICHMAN [redacted]
American Express ending in [redacted] Purchase)Authorization Code [redacted]
Total $438.00
Purchase Summary - Ticket Number [redacted]
Train 2163: NEW YORK (PENN STATION), NY - WASHINGTON, DCDepart 3:00 PM, Wednesday, November 11, 2015
1 ACELA EXPRESS BUSINESS CL SEAT
$278.00
Ticket Terms & ConditionsACELA EXPRESS SERVICE, NO PARTIAL REFUND IF USED ON OTHER SERVICE
Subtotal
$278.00
Train 2128: WASHINGTON, DC - NEW YORK (PENN STATION), NYDepart 7:55 PM, Friday, November 13, 2015
1 ACELA EXPRESS BUSINESS CL SEAT
$160.00
Ticket Terms & ConditionsACELA EXPRESS SERVICE, NO PARTIAL REFUND IF USED ON OTHER SERVICE
Subtotal
$160.00
Total Charged by Amtrak
$438.00
Passengers
Daniel Richman
Important Information

- Tickets are non-transferrable.
- Changes to your itinerary may affect your fare.
- Refund and exchange restrictions and penalties for failure to cancel unwanted travel may apply. If your travel plans change, call us before departure to change your reservation. If you do not board your train, your entire reservation from that point will be canceled. If you board a different train without notifying us, you will have to pay for it separately; the conductor cannot apply the money paid for your prior reservation. For all travel on or after March 1, 2014, for most Acela Express Business class reservations and Reserved Coach class reservations, you must cancel your reservation at least 24 hours prior to the train's departure in order to be eligible for a full refund. If the reservation is canceled within 24 hours of departure, a refund fee will apply. If the reservation is not canceled prior to scheduled departure ("no

show"), the entire amount paid for the reservation will be forfeited. See the refund/exchange policy at Amtrak.com/refund.

- Summary of Conditions of Contract: Ticket valid for carriage or refund (subject to the refund rules of the fare purchased) for twelve months after date of issue unless otherwise specified. Amtrak tickets may only be sold or issued by Amtrak or an authorized travel agent/tour operator. Tickets sold or issued by an unauthorized third party will be voided by Amtrak. This ticket is a contract of carriage between Amtrak and the ticket holder, which is subject to specific terms and conditions, which are available for inspection at Amtrak ticket counters, on the Amtrak website at Amtrak.com/conditionsofcontract, or by calling 1-800-USA-RAIL. Tickets sold for non-Amtrak service are subject to the tariffs of the providing carrier.
- Questions? Contact us online at Amtrak.com/contact or call 1-800-USA-RAIL (1-800-872-7245) or TDD/TTY (1-800-523-6590).

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

Richman Daniel 201511092223240607.pdf
16K

b6 -4
b7C -4

Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)

From: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, May 18, 2016 9:41 AM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1
Cc: [redacted] (DO) (FBI); [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Fordham Stein Prize

Copy. Thanks!

From: [redacted] (DO) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1 b7E -3
Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2016 3:33 PM
To: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Cc: [redacted] (DO) (FBI [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Subject: FW: Fordham Stein Prize

Jim, pls see below regarding Fordham.

UC [redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1
Director's Coordination and Operations Unit, FBIHQ
Desk: [redacted]
Mobile: [redacted]

From: [redacted] (DO) (FBI) b6 -1,2 b7C -1,2
Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2016 11:07 AM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] [Staff]
Subject: RE: Fordham Stein Prize

Let's go with Jan 25th.

SSA [redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Director's Office
Coordination and Operations Unit
Office: [redacted]
Mobile [redacted]

From: [redacted] b6 -1,2 b7C -1,2
Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2016 10:58 AM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI); [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] [Staff]
Subject: Re: Fordham Stein Prize

Dear [redacted] and [redacted]

I have three dates on hold right now:
First Choice: Monday, January 30th
Second Choice: Monday, January 23rd
Third Choice: Wednesday, January 25th

Before I reach out to the University President to get one of these on his schedule, can you please triple confirm that all work on Director Comey's? Thank you both for your patience!

Best,
[redacted]

b6 -1,2
b7C -1,2

On Fri, May 13, 2016 at 1:39 PM, [redacted] wrote:
Dear [redacted]

Thank you for letting me know. We will take a look and be in touch Monday. Have a great weekend!

Best,
[redacted]

b6 -2
b7C -2

--
[redacted]
Assistant Director of Alumni Relations and Special Events
Fordham Law School
150 West 62nd Street, Room 8-152
New York, NY 10023
Tel: [redacted]
Fax: [redacted]

From: [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, May 13, 2016 8:41 AM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Cc: [redacted] [Staff] [redacted]
Subject: RE: Fordham Stein Prize

b6 -1,2
b7C -1,2
b7E -3

[redacted]

Unfortunately the Director's schedule changed late yesterday afternoon and he will be out of the country on Nov 7. Is it possible to move the event to December or January?

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

SSA [redacted]
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Director's Office
Coordination and Operations Unit
Office: [redacted]
Mobile: [redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, May 09, 2016 3:58 PM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] [Staff] [redacted] (DO) (FBI)

b6 -1,2
b7C -1,2

Cc: [redacted] [redacted] (DO) (FBI) b6 -1,2
Subject: Re: Fordham Stein Prize b7C -1,2

Great! Monday, November 7th is confirmed and held on all of our calendars here as we wait for approval from the Ethics team.

Thanks,
[redacted] b6 -1,2
b7C -1,2
b7E -3

On Fri, May 6, 2016 at 1:31 PM, [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:
Hello [redacted] November 7th looks great!

[redacted] let's lock that date down pending concurrence from AD Kelley.

Thanks,
[redacted] b6 -1
b7C -1

UC [redacted]
Director's Coordination and Operations Unit, FBIHQ
Desk: [redacted]
Mobile: [redacted]

From: [redacted] b6 -1,2
Sent: Friday, May 06, 2016 1:14 PM b7C -1,2
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] [Staff]; [redacted] (DO) (FBI)

Subject: RE: Fordham Stein Prize

[redacted] You can add November 7th into the mix as well. b6 -1,2
b7C -1,2

--
[redacted]
Assistant Director of Alumni Relations and Special Events
Fordham Law School
150 West 62nd Street, Room 8-152
New York, NY 10023
Tel: [redacted]
Fax: [redacted]

From: [redacted] b6 -1,2
Sent: Thursday, May 05, 2016 3:23 PM b7C -1,2
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI); [redacted] b7E -3
Cc: [redacted] [Staff]; [redacted] (DO) (FBI);
[redacted]
Subject: RE: Fordham Stein Prize

Dear [redacted]

No need to apologize! I know how busy you all are. Unfortunately, those dates do not work for our Dean and University President. It is difficult to get everyone in one place at one time! Moving to the following month- how about **Tuesday, November 15th**?

Best,
[redacted]

b6 -2
b7C -2

--
[redacted]
Assistant Director of Alumni Relations and Special Events
Fordham Law School
150 West 62nd Street, Room 8-152
New York, NY 10023
Tel: [redacted]
Fax: [redacted]

From: [redacted] (DO) (FBI) (mailto [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, May 05, 2016 3:09 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted] [Staff] [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
[redacted]
Subject: RE: Fordham Stein Prize

b6 -1,2
b7C -1,2
b7E -3

Hello [redacted]

Thanks so much for reaching out. I apologize for not contacting you sooner, but we are still trying to work a few things out on our end. While we await Mr. Kelley's response, perhaps you can look at a few alternate dates in October that may work, as the 25th is not possible at this time. Can you pls let me know if Oct. 3rd, 4th, 5th, or 24th are possible?

I look forward to working with you and your team!

Take care,
[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

UC [redacted]
Director's Coordination and Operations Unit, FBIHQ
Desk: [redacted]
Mobile: [redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, May 05, 2016 11:03 AM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] [Staff]
Subject: RE: Fordham Stein Prize

b6 -1,2
b7C -1,2

Dear [redacted]

I hope you're well! I am following up on my e-mail below regarding a potential October 25th date.

I have been in touch with Patrick Kelly regarding the Ethics approval so we are all set on that end.

Thank you and I look forward to working with you on this event!

Best,
[redacted]

b6 -2
b7C -2

--
[redacted]
Assistant Director of Alumni Relations and Special Events
Fordham Law School
150 West 62nd Street, Room 8-152
New York, NY 10023
Tel: [redacted]
Fax: [redacted]

b6 -2
b7C -2

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2016 4:19 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted] [Staff] [redacted] ; 'Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)' [redacted]
Subject: RE: Fordham Stein Prize

b6 -1,2
b7C -1,2
b7E -3

Jim, that's great. Thank you for making the connection.

[redacted] pleasure to meet you! I had e-mailed Jim, below, about a potential event date and offered my assistance for anything your Ethics Team may need. Please let me know if you have any questions for me at all regarding my below e-mail. Thank you!

b6 -1,2
b7C -1,2

Best,
[redacted]

--
[redacted]
Assistant Director of Alumni Relations and Special Events
Fordham Law School
150 West 62nd Street, Room 8-152
New York, NY 10023
Tel: [redacted]
Fax: [redacted]

b6 -2
b7C -2

From: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2016 4:06 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted] [Staff] [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Subject: RE: Fordham Stein Prize

b6 -1,2
b7C -1,2
b7E -3

Hi [redacted]

b6 -1,2
b7C -1,2

I've cc'd [redacted] on this e-mail. Maria heads up the Director's Coordination and Operations Unit who help to take care of the logistics. She should be able to work the rest of the process.

If you need anything from me, please let me know.

Thanks!
Jim

From: [redacted]

b6 -2
b7C -2

Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2016 2:31 PM
To: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Cc: [redacted] [Staff] [redacted]
Subject: RE: Fordham Stein Prize

b6 -2
b7C -2
b7E -3

Dear Mr. Rybicki,

It is a pleasure to meet you virtually and I want to thank you for working with us on this event. We are thrilled to be able to honor Director Comey and want to make something work for his schedule. We *may* be able to host the event on Tuesday, October 25th and before I move forward on my end, I wanted to see if that date would work for his schedule.

Please let me know if there is anything I can assist with in regards to your Ethics Team. Do you have a sense of when they would give you the "OK" to accept?

Thank you!

Best,
[redacted]

b6 -2
b7C -2

--
[redacted]
Assistant Director of Alumni Relations and Special Events
Fordham Law School
150 West 62nd Street, Room 8-152
New York, NY 10023
Tel: [redacted]
Fax: [redacted]

From: Matthew Diller [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2016 9:59 AM
To: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Cc: [redacted] [Staff] [redacted]
Subject: Re: Fordham Stein Prize

b6 -2,4
b7C -2,4
b7E -3

Jim,

Terrific! I am adding [redacted] to this email, who will coordinate from our end. We will get you some alternate dates shortly.

I hope we can make this work as we would be delighted to be able to honor Director Comey in this way.

Best,

Matthew

Matthew Diller
Dean
Paul Fuller Professor of Law
Fordham University School of Law
150 West 62nd Street
New York, NY 10023

[redacted]

b6 -2
b7C -2
b7E -3

On Tue, May 3, 2016 at 9:41 AM, Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

Dean Diller-

Thank you very much for your e-mail. My apologies for the delay in responding. The Director is appreciative of this honor and is interested in attending the event. The Director will be on travel the weeks of October 10 and 17 so the 18th will not work, but would like to work with you if another date is a possibility. We also need to run this all past our ethics team before formally accepting. Is there someone on your staff that you would like our office to work with going forward?

Thanks again,
Jim Rybicki

From: Matthew Diller [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, April 26, 2016 5:47 PM
To: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Cc: [redacted] (Staff) [redacted]
Subject: Fordham Stein Prize

b6 -2,4
b7C -2,4
b7E -3

Dear Jim,

I appreciate Dan's making this connection. I am delighted that Director Comey has been selected as the 2016 winner of Fordham Law School's Stein Prize - one of our nation's premier awards for integrity, ethics and excellence in the legal profession. I am attaching a copy of the letter which went out to the Director today. The presentation is made at a dinner at Fordham Law School in New York at which the winner accepts the award. If Director Comey is able to accept, we would propose the evening of October 18th.

This will be the 40th anniversary of the inauguration of the Stein Prize. To give you a sense of the aspirations of the Award, I am attaching a list of the past winners.

I am happy to discuss with you directly or with Director Comey if that is helpful.

Please convey my congratulations to Director Comey. I very much hope that he can join us next Fall.

With warm regards,

Matthew

Matthew Diller
Dean
Paul Fuller Professor of Law
Fordham University School of Law
150 West 62nd Street
New York, NY 10023

b6 -2
b7C -2

--

--

b6 -2
b7C -2

Assistant Director of Alumni Relations and Special Events

Fordham Law School

150 West 62nd Street, Room 8-152

New York, NY 10023

Tel:

Fax:

--

--

b6 -2
b7C -2

Assistant Director of Alumni Relations and Special Events

Fordham Law School

150 West 62nd Street, Room 8-152

New York, NY 10023

Tel:

Fax:

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, December 27, 2016 12:11 PM
To: [redacted](DO) (FBI)
Subject: Fwd:

[redacted]

b6 -1,2
b7C -1,2

Merry Christmas and Happy New Year! I hope you are doing nothing. I would like to visit with Dan Richman and [redacted] on January 3 some time.

Hope you have a great week. We are off to [redacted] to sort, clean, and pack. Good times.

b6 -1
b7C -1

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: **Daniel Charles Richman** [redacted]
Date: Mon, Dec 26, 2016 at 6:54 PM
Subject:
To: Jbc[redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

Hope you all had a lovely Christmas.

[redacted] and I are booked for DC Jan 2-4. If you could say the magic words to [redacted] and/or Jim R, they can give me a time to come by your office on Jan 3. I'll also tell Jim R & [redacted] that I'm coming.

b6 -1,2
b7C -1,2

[redacted] (DO) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1

From: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, January 03, 2017 9:07 AM
To: Daniel Charles Richman
Subject: RE: Meeting w/Director Comey

Hello Mr. Richman,

We look forward to seeing you shortly. FYI, please make sure you and [redacted] enter though the Business/Visitor Entrance located between the 9th and 10th side of Pennsylvania Ave! b6 -2 b7C -2

See you later!

[redacted] b6 -1,4 b7C -1,4 b7E -3

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, December 28, 2016 2:32 PM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Subject: Re: Meeting w/Director Comey

I do you had a lovely Christmas
Either time on the 3rd is fine for me. So I might as well come at 11.
thx!
d

On Wed, Dec 28, 2016 at 2:11 PM, [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted] wrote: b6 -1,2 b7C -1,2 b7E -3

> Hello Mr. Richman,
>
> I am holding 11am and 1:00pm on Director Comey's calendar for your meeting. Please let me know which option works best for you.
>
> We look forward to seeing you and [redacted] on January 3, 2017!
>
> Happy New Year!
>
> Thank you,
>
> [redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1
>
> --
> BEGIN-ANTISPAM-VOTING-LINKS
> ---
>
> Teach Email if this mail (ID 01Spvbj0b) is spam:

Spam: https://antispam.law.columbia.edu/canit/b.php?i=01Spvbj0b&m=d4fc931bca63&t=20161228&c=s

Not spam: https://antispam.law.columbia.edu/canit/b.php?i=01Spvbj0b&m=d4fc931bca63&t=20161228&c=n

Forget vote: https://antispam.law.columbia.edu/canit/b.php?i=01Spvbj0b&m=d4fc931bca63&t=20161228&c=f

---

END-ANTISPAM-VOTING-LINKS

--

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

[redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, April 10, 2015 10:09 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Richman
Attachments: FW: Professor Richman --- UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~.msg; FW: Personal Services Contract.msg; Re: RE:.msg; Re: Meeting w/ Daniel Richman.msg

[redacted] (OGC) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, April 09, 2015 5:48 PM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: FW: Professor Richman --- UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~
Attachments: v3 DRAFT Richman appointment.docx; Summary_of_Ethical_Requirements_Applicable_to_Special_Government_Employees_00x1.pdf

Originally sent on the high side.

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1
Sent: Thursday, April 09, 2015 2:14 PM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Professor Richman --- UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~
==========
TRANSITORY RECORD
TRANSITORY RECORD

Jim,

In follow up to our discussion this morning, below I have attached a draft of the letter we would need AD Turgal (or any of a handful of other folks with this delegated authority) to sign and Richman to countersign to make him official. I didn't know who to put down as a contact, so I bracketed that. [redacted] is great about getting Turgal to sign things in short order, so this could go quickly once it is set b6 -1 b7C -1

Would it be helpful to have Chuck or somebody run Richman through this since he happens to be here today? I haven't run anything by Richman other than the general approach, and that was a while ago.

I also haven't heard about the status of his background investigation in weeks, so I asked for an update after the meeting this morning. I know OSO was working with SecD to expedite it and run at least his poly through NYO, so I am hoping it is close or maybe even complete. On a related note, in addition to this letter which covers us from a legal and HR stand-point, OSO will have the standard security on-boarding forms for him to sign when he actually comes on board. They also facilitate getting him a SACS badge and systems access.

One other consideration that the D's office may or may not have already addressed is where he will actually work. The work he does in furtherance of this engagement should be done on FBI systems for both security and Records Act purposes. I noted his duty station will be NY in the letter, to make clear travel her would be reimbursable. I haven't reached out to NYO to see if they could provide any space at NYO-HQ or the Brooklyn RA for him to access FBINet or SCINet. Having space in NY might be especially

helpful in the fall when he will be able to devote significant time to this. For day-to-day unclass work, it might be best to get him a laptop for UNet access so he could work from anywhere. Unless you or the D's office want me to run the traps on any of that, though, I'll leave it to them to work out.

I've also attached some ethics guidance put out by the Office of Government Ethics (OGE) that goes into the Special Government Employee status as general background. You'll see in the letter, he will have to take web-based OGE training, too. That is available online (low side) at [redacted] (I'll ask [redacted] to transfer and forward this email to the low side in case you want to forward it.) I'll also give Pat Kelley a heads up in case Richman has any ethics-related questions.

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

Please let me know if there is anything else I should be doing on this.

Thanks,
[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

(U) [redacted]
Associate General Counsel
FBI OGC
direct [redacted]

(U) Confidentiality Statement:
(U) This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

==========
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

Office of Government Ethics

00 x 1

**Memorandum dated February 15, 2000,
from Stephen D. Potts, Director,
to Designated Agency Ethics Officials,
General Counsels and Inspectors General
Regarding Summary of Ethical
Requirements Applicable to Special
Government Employees**

In recent years, we have noted increasing demand from agency officials for guidance concerning the various ethical requirements applicable to special Government employees (SGE). In response, we have prepared the attached summary: "Conflict of Interest and the Special Government Employee." It is our hope that this document will become a convenient tool for all agency officials who have to counsel SGEs or otherwise deal with the unique ethical issues posed by SGEs. In this connection, we specifically ask that you disseminate this summary to other components within your own organization, such as regional offices, that you think may encounter questions pertaining to SGEs.

Attachment

FBI 18-CV-1833-3317

CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND THE SPECIAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE

A Summary of Ethical Requirements Applicable to SGEs

The Office of Government Ethics (OGE) frequently receives questions about the ethical requirements applicable to special Government employees (SGE). Many agencies use SGEs, either as advisory committee members or as individual experts or consultants, and OGE knows that these SGEs pose unique challenges for agency ethics officials. SGEs typically are recruited for temporary service to the Government because they provide outside expertise or perspectives that might be unavailable among an agency's regular employees. Frequently, however, these SGEs have substantial outside activities and financial interests that may raise difficult ethics questions. In order to help agencies resolve such questions, OGE is issuing this summary, which attempts to digest, in one place, the various conflict of interest laws and ethics regulations applicable to SGEs.

DEFINITION OF SGE

The SGE category was created by Congress as a way to apply an important, but limited, set of conflict of interest requirements to a group of individuals who provide important, but limited, services to the Government. SGEs were originally conceived as a "hybrid" class, in recognition of the fact that the "simple categories of 'employee' and 'non-employee' are no longer adequate to describe the multiplicity of ways in which modern government gets its work done." B. Manning, *Federal Conflict of Interest Law* 30 (1964). It is crucial to distinguish SGEs both from regular employees and from individuals who are not Federal employees at all. These distinctions are important because SGEs are subject to less restrictive conflict of interest requirements than regular employees, but are subject to more restrictive requirements than non-employees, who generally are not covered by the conflict of interest laws at all.

The first and perhaps most important point to emphasize is that SGEs *are* Government employees, for purposes of the conflict of interest laws. Specifically, an SGE is defined, in 18 U.S.C. § 202(a), as "an *officer or employee* . . . who is retained, designated, appointed, or employed" by the Government to perform temporary duties, with or without compensation, for not more than 130 days during any period of 365 consecutive days.

FBI 18-CV-1833-3318

The terms "officer" and "employee" are not themselves defined in section 202(a). Nevertheless, the definitions of those terms in Title 5 of the United States Code have long been consulted for general guidance in determining whether a given individual should be considered an SGE or a non-employee. *See* 4B Op. O.L.C. 441, 442 (1980).[1] Three criteria for Government employment are identified in 5 U.S.C. §§ 2104 and 2105: (1) appointment in the civil service; (2) performance of a Federal function; and (3) supervision by a Federal official. With respect to the appointment element, however, it has been held that an appointment or other formal employment paperwork, "while perhaps the norm, is not a condition of special government employment as statutorily defined," *Association of American Physicians and Surgeons v. Clinton*, 187 F.3d 655, 662 (D.C. Cir. 1999); in order for an individual to be "retained, designated, appointed or employed" as an SGE, under section 202(a), it is sufficient that the circumstances indicate "a firm mutual understanding that a relatively formal relationship existed." 1 Op. O.L.C. 20, 21 (1977).[2] Moreover, with the respect to the supervision element, it should be remembered that SGEs, who often work as "specialists for short-term projects," sometimes need not be subject to the same level of "close supervision" as regular employees. *Aluminum Co. of America v. FTC*, 589 F. Supp. 169, 175-76 (S.D.N.Y. 1984).[3] Nevertheless, supervision or operational control remains an important attribute of employee status, and an agency may consider numerous factors when determining whether an

---

[1] As the Office of Legal Counsel has observed, "'the Title 5 definition is frequently used as a starting point for any analysis of whether the conflict of interest laws apply to a particular individual . . . although the Title [5] definition is not necessarily conclusive for conflicts purposes.'" 17 Op. O.L.C. 150, 154 n.12 (1993)(quoting Memorandum of Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, to Deputy General Counsel, Department of Commerce, at 10 (Dec. 15, 1982)).

[2] *See also* OGE Informal Advisory Letter 95 x 8 (possibility of *de facto* SGEs); Manning at 29-30 (occasional informal advice vs. more formal services).

[3] In a similar vein, it is recognized in various contexts that the "threshold level of control necessary to find employee status is generally lower when applied to professional services than when applied to nonprofessional services." *Weber v. Comm'r of IRS*, 60 F.3d 1104, 1111 (4th Cir. 1995).

FBI 18-CV-1833-3319

individual is subject to the requisite degree of supervision to be deemed an SGE.[4]

Two of the more common types of non-employees from which SGEs must be distinguished are "representatives" and independent contractors. Representatives, as described more fully in OGE Informal Advisory Letter 82 x 22, typically serve on advisory bodies, and they represent specific interest groups, such as industry, consumers, labor, etc. Like SGEs, representatives can be appointed by the Government for a specified term on a Federal advisory committee, and they may make policy recommendations to the Government. *See* OGE Informal Advisory Letter 93 x 30. However, representatives can provide only advice. Moreover, unlike SGEs and other Federal employees, representatives are not expected to render disinterested advice to the Government. Rather, they are expected to "represent a particular bias." OGE Informal Advisory Letter 93 x 14. Therefore, representatives are not deemed employees of the Government for purposes of the conflict of interest laws.

Likewise, independent contractors are not deemed Government employees. True independent contractors are not employees because they are not subject to the supervision or operational control, described more fully above, that is necessary to create an "employer-employee relationship" with the Government. OGE Informal Advisory Letter 82 x 21. It should be noted, however, that persons who truly function like Federal employees will not avoid the application of the conflict of interest laws merely because their agency fails to designate them as employees or designates them as contractors. *See* 4B Op. O.L.C. at 441-42; Association of the Bar of New York City, *Conflict of Interest and Federal Service* 239-40 (1960).

---

[4] *E.g.*, OGE Informal Advisory Letter 82 x 22, at 334-35 (focusing on degree of agency scrutiny and guidance); 17 Op. O.L.C. at 155-56 (looking to limits on power of removal and other aspects of specific legislation creating particular Federal position); *see generally* GAO, *Civilian Personnel Manual*, Title I, Chapter 10, at 14-15 (1990) (discussing six factors indicating supervision for certain Federal personnel purposes); *Juliard v. Comm'r of IRS*, 61 T.C.M. (CCH) 2683 (1991) (various factors indicating sufficient agency control over professional employee for certain tax purposes); *Hospital Resource Personnel, Inc. v. United States*, 68 F.3d 421, 427-28 (11th Cir. 1995) (discussing non-exclusive list of twenty common law factors identified by IRS for purpose of determining supervision); *Restatement (Second) of Agency* § 220(2) (1958) (ten factors to determine control).

FBI 18-CV-1833-3320

Even though SGEs clearly are employees, agencies must be careful to differentiate them from regular Government employees. For most purposes, SGEs are distinguished from regular Government employees on the basis of the number of days of expected service to the Government.[2] Specifically, an SGE is expected to perform temporary duties for no more than 130 days during any period of 365 consecutive days. 18 U.S.C. § 202(a).

The determination of SGE status must be made prospectively, at the time the individual is appointed or retained. Employees should be designated as SGEs only where the agency makes an advance estimate of the number of days the employee is expected to serve during the ensuing 365-day period. This is done so that employees are on notice with respect to the rules that will apply to them. As the Office of Legal Counsel has stated, "as a general matter, employees are *presumed to be regular government employees* unless their appointing Department is comfortable with making an estimate that the employee will be needed to serve 130 days or less." 7 Op. O.L.C. 123, 126 (1983)(emphasis added). If an agency designates an employee as an SGE, based on a good faith estimate, but the employee unexpectedly serves more than 130 days during the ensuing 365-day period, the individual still will be deemed an SGE for the remainder of that period. However, upon the commencement of the next 365-day period, the agency should reevaluate whether the employee is correctly designated as an SGE, i.e., expected to serve no more than 130 days. Indeed, any time an SGE serves beyond one year, the agency should perform a new estimate of the expected number of days of service for the next 365-day period; this is true whether the employee is actually reappointed for a new one-year term, which is the ordinary procedure, or is merely completing an indefinite or multiyear term. *See, e.g.*, OGE Informal Advisory Letter 81 x 24.

The executive branch has long observed certain criteria for counting the number of days of expected service, based on a Presidential interpretation of 18 U.S.C. § 202(a) published shortly after enactment. Presidential Memorandum, "Preventing Conflicts of Interest on the Part of Special Government Employees," 28 *Federal*

---

[2] The full definition of SGE also includes employees and officers in certain miscellaneous positions who are deemed SGEs *per se*, without regard to the number of days of service. 18 U.S.C. § 202(a). *See United States v. Baird*, 29 F.3d 647, 650 (D.C. Cir. 1994). In addition, individuals occupying other positions are specifically designated as SGEs in certain organic legislation. *See, e.g.*, 42 U.S.C. § 12651b(e) (members of Board of Directors, Corporation for National and Community Service).

FBI 18-CV-1833-3321

Register 4539, 4541 (May 2, 1963); *see also* Federal Personnel Manual, Chapter 735, Appendix C (sunset); 3 Op. O.L.C. 78, 81-82 (1979); OGE Informal Advisory Letter 84 x 4.[6] OGE continues to use the same criteria, as follows: A part of a day is counted as an entire day. Work to be performed on weekends or holidays is counted. Where an employee is expected to serve in more than one agency, the expected number of days for both agencies must be aggregated in order for the employee to be considered an SGE for either agency. Where the second position commences at a later date, the number of days already served in the first agency must be added to the number of days expected to be served in both agencies for the remainder of the first 365-day period, in order to determine whether the employee may be considered an SGE for either agency during that remaining period.

A word is in order concerning two fairly common questions pertaining to SGE status and the applicability of the conflict of interest requirements. First, SGEs (and others) sometimes ask whether the ethics restrictions apply to them if they receive no pay from the Government. It is important to remember that the definition of SGE expressly includes those who serve "without compensation." 18 U.S.C. § 202(a). SGEs generally are covered by the ethics laws and regulations without regard to their pay status.[7]

Second, SGEs occasionally may ask whether the restrictions on their outside activities apply on days when they perform no Government services. SGEs must be advised clearly that any restrictions concerning their private activities (representational

---

[6] The Presidential Memorandum was drafted by the Office of Legal Counsel, Department of Justice, and "reflects a contemporaneous interpretation" of the 1962 conflict of interest legislation. 2 Op. O.L.C. 151, 155 n.3 (1978). The history of the Presidential Memorandum, including its rescission and replacement by other documents, is described in OGE Informal Advisory Letter 82 x 22, at 329-32. Much of the substance of the Presidential Memorandum was reproduced in Appendix C, Chapter 735 of the Federal Personnel Manual (FPM), itself now sunset. To the extent that much of the guidance contained in these documents reflects longstanding interpretations of 18 U.S.C. § 202(a) and other provisions of the conflict of interest laws, OGE continues to follow many of the same principles.

[7] One obvious exception would be certain narrow post-employment restrictions applicable only to employees paid at relatively high levels, as discussed below.

FBI 18-CV-1833-3322

services, expert witness activities, etc.) apply equally on days when they serve the Government and days when they do not.[8] Where the Government has not used an individual's services for some time, but has not specified a termination date in the appointment or otherwise, the individual might question whether he or she even remains an SGE. In such cases, the individual must seek a formal resolution of the matter before engaging in conduct prohibited for an SGE. As one early commentator observed, "presumably the consultant will remain an employee until the expiration of the designated term," but a "special government employee whose appointment is for a long or indefinite period would be well advised to submit a written resignation as soon as he thinks there may be a substantial hiatus in his services." R. Perkins, *The New Federal Conflict of Interest Law*, 76 Harv. L. Rev. 1113, 1126 (1963).

CRIMINAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATUTES AND RELATED RESTRICTIONS

Agency ethics officials regularly deal with five conflict of interest statutes found in Chapter 11, Title 18 of the United States Code: 18 U.S.C. §§ 203, 205, 207, 208, 209. Each of these criminal statutes makes at least some special provision for the treatment of SGEs. The application of these statutes is discussed below, in addition to certain related requirements found in other provisions of law.

A. Restrictions on Representation

Two statutes, 18 U.S.C. §§ 203 and 205, impose related restrictions on the outside activities of SGEs, particularly activities involving the representation of others before the Federal Government. Section 203 prohibits an employee from receiving, agreeing to receive, or soliciting compensation for representational services, rendered either personally or by another, before any court or Federal agency or other specified Federal entity, in connection with any particular matter in which the United States is a party or has a direct and substantial interest. It should be noted that section 203 applies not only to representational services provided by the employee personally, but also to services provided by another person with whom the employee is associated, provided that the employee shares in the

---

[8] In this respect, the conflict of interest restrictions differ from the restrictions on employee political activity described in 5 C.F.R. part 734 (Hatch Act regulations). *See* 5 C.F.R. § 734.601 (SGE subject to restrictions on political activity only "when he or she is on duty").

FBI 18-CV-1833-3323

compensation for such services, for example, through partnership income or profit-sharing arrangements. *See* 4B Op. O.L.C. 603 (1980).

Section 205 prohibits an employee from personally representing anyone before any court or Federal agency or other specified Federal entity, in connection with any particular matter in which the United States is a party or has a direct and substantial interest. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 205(a)(2). Unlike section 203, this prohibition in section 205(a)(2) applies whether or not the employee receives any compensation for his or her representational activity. Furthermore, section 205(a)(1) prohibits an employee from representing anyone in the prosecution of a claim against the United States, or from receiving any gratuity, or share or interest in a claim, as consideration for assistance in prosecuting the claim.

Both section 203 and section 205 are limited, however, in their application to SGEs. 18 U.S.C. § 203(c) and 18 U.S.C. § 205(c) contain identical provisions that substantially narrow the prohibitions with respect to SGEs. One of the most significant limitations is that SGEs are restricted by sections 203 and 205 only in connection with "particular matters involving specific parties." Such matters typically involve a specific proceeding affecting the legal rights of parties, or an isolatable transaction or related set of transactions between identified parties; examples would include contracts, grants, applications, requests for rulings, litigation, or investigations. Unlike regular employees, SGEs may represent others or receive compensation for representational services in connection with particular matters of general applicability--such as broadly applicable policies, rulemaking proceedings, and legislation--which do not involve specific parties. *See* 14 Op. O.L.C. 79 (1990); 5 C.F.R. § 2640.102(l)(m); 5 C.F.R. § 2637.201(c)(1).

Furthermore, the restrictions on SGEs are narrowly drawn to focus only on those matters in which the SGE actually participated for the Government, as well as, in some cases, those matters actually pending in the SGE's own agency. More specifically, all SGEs are subject to the prohibitions of sections 203 and 205 with respect to those matters in which the SGE "at any time participated personally and substantially as a Government employee or special Government employee." 18 U.S.C. §§ 203(c)(1), 205(c)(1). Guidance on what constitutes personal and substantial participation may be found in regulations construing the same phrase in related conflict of interest statutes. *See* 5 C.F.R. § 2640.103(a)(2); 5 C.F.R. § 2637.201(d). Likewise, guidance on what constitutes participation in the *same particular matter* as the matter with

FBI 18-CV-1833-3324

respect to which an SGE seeks to provide representational services may be found in regulations construing the analogous requirement in 18 U.S.C. § 207(a). *See* 5 C.F.R. § 2637.201(c)(4).[9]

SGEs who have served the Government for more than 60 days during the immediately preceding period of 365 consecutive days are subject to an additional restriction. Such SGEs are subject to the prohibitions of sections 203 and 205 in connection with any covered matter that "is pending in the department or agency of the Government in which [the SGE] is serving." 18 U.S.C. §§ 203(c)(2), 205(c)(2). It should be noted that the 60-day standard for determining the application of this additional restriction is a standard of actual past service, as contrasted with the 130-day standard of estimated future service for determining SGE status discussed above.[10] Thus, for example, an SGE may represent another person before the agency in which he or she serves until the point at which the SGE has actually served 60 days in any prior period of 365 days; once the 61st day of service is reached, the SGE must discontinue the representation.

Beyond these basic limitations on the application of sections 203 and 205, SGEs also may be eligible for a special waiver that permits certain representational activity in connection with work under Federal grants and contracts. Identical provisions, in 18 U.S.C. §§ 203(e) and 205(f) allow an agency head to authorize an SGE to represent another before the Government "in the performance of work under a grant by, or a contract with or for the benefit of, the United States." The legislative history indicates

---

[9] The regulatory guidance found in 5 C.F.R. part 2637 was promulgated prior to amendments to section 207 enacted by the Ethics Reform Act of 1989 and thereafter; however, "[e]xcept where the underlying statutory provision has changed, part 2637 remains persuasive concerning the interpretation of the newer version of 18 U.S.C. § 207." OGE Memorandum to Designated Agency Ethics Officials, General Counsels, and Inspectors General (Nov. 5, 1992).

[10] Nevertheless, certain similar rules apply to counting the number of days: a partial day worked should be counted as a full day, and work performed on weekends and holidays should be counted. However, unlike the 130-day standard for determining SGE status, the 60-day standard under sections 203(c) and 205(c) does not require that service at more than one agency be aggregated; in other words, only service at the agency before which the SGE intends to represent someone should be counted in determining whether the 60-day standard has been exceeded with respect to that agency.

FBI 18-CV-1833-3325

that the purpose of this exception is "to take care of any situations involving the national interest where an intermittent employee's special knowledge or skills may be required by his employer or other private person to effect the proper performance of a Government contract [or grant] but where his services may be unavailable in the absence of a waiver." S. Rep. No. 2213, 87th Cong., 2d Sess. (1962), *reprinted in* 1962 U.S.C.C.A.N. 3852, 3860. Such a waiver may be granted only by the agency head and must be based on a written certification, published in the *Federal Register*, that it is required by the national interest. Such a waiver covers representation only during the "performance of work under" a grant or contract and therefore would not apply to representational activity prior to the awarding and commencement of work on a grant or contract. *See* Presidential Memorandum, 28 Federal *Register* at 4542 (waiver provision covers "situation which may arise *after* a Government grant or contract has been negotiated").[11]

Finally, even where the narrow restrictions of section 203 and section 205 are inapplicable, agencies should be aware that certain representational activities of SGEs may implicate 5 C.F.R. § 2635.702, which prohibits the use of public office for private gain. The need for administrative action to prevent SGEs from abusing their inside position for the benefit of private persons was addressed in the legislative history of sections 203 and 205, as well as in subsequent issuances and opinions of the executive branch.[12] In some circumstances, private representational activity

---

[11] SGEs, like regular employees, also may be eligible for other exceptions to sections 203 and 205. *See* 18 U.S.C. §§ 203(d),(f), 205(d), (e), (g), (i).

[12] Discussing proposed sections 203 and 205, the Senate Report stated that, beyond the limited criminal prohibitions, "agency watchfulness and regulation" may be necessary to "make certain that persons serving [an agency] part time who also appear on behalf of outside organizations do not abuse their access to the agency for the benefit of those organizations." S. Rep. No. 2213, 1962 U.S.C.C.A.N. 3859. Similar concerns were voiced in a Presidential Memorandum issued shortly after the legislative enactment: "It is desirable that a consultant or adviser or other individual who is a special Government employee, even when not compelled to do so by sections 203 and 205, should make every effort in his private work to avoid any personal contact with respect to negotiations for contracts or grants with the department or agency which he is serving if the subject matter is related to

(continued...)

FBI 18-CV-1833-3326

by SGEs can raise at least the appearance that they are using their official position to gain special access or attention from Government decisionmakers, which would be unavailable to the general public. *Cf.* OGE Informal Advisory Letter 91 x 17 (appearance that SGE made certain contacts through Government connections for benefit of outside organization). Such concerns are more likely to arise when the subject matter of the private representation is related to the subject matter of the SGE's official duties and the representational contacts are made to the SGE's own agency, especially to the same agency personnel with whom the SGE works in an official capacity. These issues must be addressed on a case-by-case basis, with adequate consideration of the legitimate interests and demands of an SGE's outside professional life.

B. Post-Employment Restrictions

The criminal post-employment statute, 18 U.S.C. § 207, imposes a number of different restrictions on the activities of former Government employees. Several of these restrictions provide no special treatment for SGEs. The provisions of section 207 that apply in the same way to both SGEs and regular employees include:

> (1) 18 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1), the lifetime prohibition on representing others in connection with the same particular matter involving specific parties in which the former employee participated personally and substantially;
>
> (2) 18 U.S.C. § 207(a)(2), the two-year prohibition on representing others in connection with the same particular matter involving specific parties that was pending under the employee's official responsibility during the last year of Government employment; and
>
> (3) 18 U.S.C. § 207(b), the one-year prohibition on representing, aiding, or advising others about certain

---

[12](...continued)
the subject matter of his consultancy or other service." 28 *Federal Register* at 4542. The Presidential Memorandum recognized that it may not be practicable for SGEs to avoid all such representational activity, depending on the circumstances, but advised that SGEs at least alert a "responsible government official" when contemplating such activities. *Id.; see also* Federal Personnel Manual (sunset), Chapter 735, Appendix C, at 3; 10 Op. O.L.C. at 82-83; 7 Op. O.L.C. at 125 n.3.

FBI 18-CV-1833-3327

ongoing trade or treaty negotiations on the basis of certain nonpublic information.[13]

Other parts of section 207 do contain special provisions for SGEs. The most significant provision is found in section 207(c), the so-called "one year cooling off period" for former "senior employees." Section 207(c) prohibits former senior employees from representing anyone before their former agency or department for one year after terminating their senior position, in connection with any matter. This restriction generally applies to: positions for which the rate of pay is fixed according to the Executive Schedule; positions for which the rate of basic pay is equal to or greater than the rate of basic pay for level 5 of the Senior Executive Service;[14] positions with appointment by the President under 3 U.S.C. § 105(a)(2)(B) or by the Vice President under 3 U.S.C. § 106(a)(1)(B); and positions held by an active duty commissioned officer of the uniformed services serving at pay grade 0-7 or above. 18 U.S.C. § 207(c)(2). However, with respect to SGEs, the application of section 207(c) is limited, based on the number of days the individual served during the last year in a senior position. Specifically, the one year cooling off period applies only to former SGEs who served 60 days or more during the one-year period before terminating their services as a senior employee.[15]

---

[13] Additionally, 18 U.S.C. § 207(d) imposes a one-year prohibition on "very senior employees" against representing others before their former agency or before any official appointed to an Executive Schedule position. On its face, section 207(d) makes no special provision for SGEs; however, it is unclear whether an SGE would occupy a position that falls within the "very senior" category, as described in the statute. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 207(d)(1). Agencies with specific questions concerning the applicability of section 207(d) to a particular SGE or class of SGEs are advised to consult with OGE or the Office of Legal Counsel, Department of Justice.

[14] Because SGEs often are paid on an hourly or daily basis, it may be necessary to prorate the basic pay for level 5 of the SES, either on an hourly or a daily basis, in order to determine whether the SGE's hourly or daily rate is equivalent.

[15] The Director of OGE also has authority to waive the prohibition of section 207(c) with respect to certain senior positions, under limited circumstances. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 207(c)(2)(C); 5 C.F.R. § 2641.201(d).

FBI 18-CV-1833-3328

Section 207(f), which restricts certain post-employment activities with foreign entities, is similarly limited with respect to SGEs. Section 207(f) generally imposes a one-year prohibition on representing, aiding, or advising certain covered foreign entities in connection with any official decision of an officer or employee of the United States. However, section 207(f) applies only to "senior employees" who are subject to section 207(c) and "very senior employees" who are subject to section 207(d). Therefore, SGEs who are not subject to section 207(c) or section 207(d)--for example, "senior employees" who served fewer than 60 days during the last year before they terminated from their senior position--are likewise exempt from section 207(f).[16]

Apart from 18 U.S.C. § 207, Executive Order 12834 (January 20, 1993) imposes a number of related post-employment restrictions on "senior appointees" and certain trade negotiators. These restrictions include, among other things, certain five-year cooling off requirements that are similar in scope to the one-year restrictions of 18 U.S.C. §§ 207(c) and 207(b), as well as a lifetime ban on certain activities as a foreign agent. The requirements of Executive Order 12834 apply only to "full-time, non-career appointees." Although it is possible for an SGE to provide temporary services on a "full-time" basis, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 202(a), and certain SGEs could be considered "non-career" for certain purposes,[17] SGEs are not covered by the requirements of Executive Order 12834. The Executive order was not intended to cover employees who perform only temporary duties. It was not contemplated that the significant contractual obligations imposed by the Executive order would apply to persons who serve in the relatively limited capacity of an SGE.

Finally, former SGEs are subject to the provisions of the Procurement Integrity Act, 41 U.S.C. § 423, to the same extent as all former Federal employees. *See* 48 C.F.R. § 3.104-3 (definition of "official" includes SGEs). The act prohibits a former SGE from accepting compensation as an employee, officer, director, or consultant of a contractor within the one-year period after the SGE

---

[16] Additionally, SGEs, like all employees, may be eligible for a number of exceptions to the various restrictions of 18 U.S.C. § 207. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 207(h),(j),(k).

[17] *See* OGE Informal Advisory Letter 90 x 22 (Presidentially appointed member of board of directors of agency is noncareer officer or employee and may be SGE depending on estimate of number of days of service); *see generally* OGE Informal Advisory Letter 89 x 16 (indicia of non-career status).

FBI 18-CV-1833-3329

participated in certain procurement matters pertaining to that contractor. *See* 41 U.S.C. § 423(d). This statute also imposes certain sanctions, including criminal penalties, on former SGEs who disclose certain information pertaining to Federal procurements. *See* 41 U.S.C. § 423(a), (e).

C. Financial Conflicts of Interest

18 U.S.C. § 208 prohibits all employees, including SGEs, from participating personally and substantially in any particular matter that has a direct and predictable effect on their own financial interests or the financial interests of others with whom they have certain relationships. In addition to an employee's own personal financial interests, the financial interests of the following persons or organizations are also disqualifying: spouse; minor child; general partner; organization which the individual serves as officer, director, trustee, general partner or employee; person or organization with which the employee is negotiating or has any arrangement concerning prospective employment.[12] Because SGEs typically have substantial outside employment and other interests, which are often related to the subject areas for which the Government desires their services, issues under section 208 frequently arise.

In certain circumstances, however, SGEs are eligible for special treatment under section 208. SGEs who serve on advisory committees, within the meaning of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), 5 U.S.C. app., are uniquely eligible for a particular waiver of the prohibitions of section 208(a). Under 18 U.S.C. § 208(b)(3), an SGE serving on a FACA committee may be granted a waiver where the official responsible for his or her appointment certifies in writing that the need for the SGE's services outweighs the potential for a conflict of interest posed by the financial

---

[12] Related provisions in the Standards of Ethical Conduct for Executive Branch Employees also disqualify an employee, including an SGE, from participating in matters affecting the financial interests of a person or organization with which the employee is "seeking" employment, even if there have been no actual negotiations or arrangements for prospective employment, within the meaning of section 208. *See* 5 C.F.R. part 2635, Subpart F. Furthermore, a provision in the Procurement Integrity Act, which applies equally to SGEs and regular employees, imposes disqualification and reporting requirements on employees who participate in certain agency procurement matters and who receive employment contacts from bidders or offerors in those procurements. *See* 41 U.S.C. § 423(c).

FBI 18-CV-1833-3330

interest involved. 18 U.S.C. § 208(b)(3). The standard for granting such waivers is more liberal than the standard for other employees, under 18 U.S.C. § 208(b)(1), which requires a determination that the financial interest is not so substantial as to be deemed likely to affect the integrity of the employee's services. *Compare* 5 C.F.R. § 2640.301 (requirements for waivers under section 208(b)(1)); 5 C.F.R. § 2640.302 (requirements for waivers under section 208(b)(3)). Agencies should remember that Congress reserved this special waiver authority only for those SGEs who serve on advisory committees; SGEs who do not serve in connection with a FACA committee may be granted a waiver only in accordance with section 208(b)(1). *See* Report of The President's Commission on Federal Ethics Law Reform 30 (1989) (advisory committees warrant different approach under section 208 because FACA provides important safeguards and members only make nonbinding recommendations).

SGEs serving on FACA committees also are covered by certain exemptions from section 208 that have been promulgated by OGE, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 208(b)(2). The most significant of these is 5 C.F.R. § 2640.203(g), which pertains to certain financial interests arising from the SGE's outside employment. Specifically, this exemption permits SGEs serving on FACA committees to participate in particular matters of general applicability--such as the development of general regulations, policies, or standards--where the disqualifying interest arises from the SGE's non-Federal employment or prospective employment. Agencies should note, however, that this exemption is subject to several important limitations: (1) the matter cannot have a "special or distinct effect" on either the SGE or the SGE's non-Federal employer, other than as part of a class;[19] (2) the exemption does not cover

---

[19] When we promulgated this exemption, we explained the "special or distinct effect" limitation as follows: "[I]t is not OGE's intent that the exemption apply only where the effect of the matter on members within a class is identical. Normally, the matter would have a 'special or distinct effect' when its impact would be unique to the employee or his employer, or where the effect would be clearly out of proportion in comparison to the effect on other members of the class." 61 *Federal Register* 66829, 66839 (December 18, 1996). Although the examples following section 2640.203(g) do not specifically address the "special or distinct effect" limitation, guidance as to the meaning of that phrase may be found in an example following another exemption that uses the same language. *See* 5 C.F.R. § 2640.203(b) (Example 2) (even though grant announcement open to all universities, employee's university

(continued...)

FBI 18-CV-1833-3331

interests arising from the ownership of stock in the employer; (3) and the non-Federal employment must involve an actual employee/employer relationship, as opposed to an independent contractor relationship (such as certain consulting positions). *See* 61 *Federal Register* at 66838. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that section 2640.203(g) does not apply to all SGEs, but only to those serving on advisory committees within the meaning of FACA.

Two other exemptions also specifically cover SGEs serving on FACA committees, although these are much more narrow in scope. One covers certain SGEs participating in matters pertaining to medical products, 5 C.F.R. § 2640.203(i), and the other covers a very limited class of SGEs serving on certain advisory committees of the Food and Drug Administration, 5 C.F.R. § 2640.203(j). Additionally, OGE expects to promulgate other exemptions in the near future, some of which may apply to specific situations involving SGEs serving on certain advisory committees.

Another exemption, 5 C.F.R. § 2640.203(c), is not specifically limited to SGEs but can be helpful in resolving certain issues particularly common among SGEs. Section 2640.203(c) permits any employee to participate in a particular matter affecting one campus of a multi-campus institution of higher education, where the disqualifying interest arises from the individual's employment with a separate campus of the same institution, provided that the individual has no multicampus responsibilities at the institution. SGEs frequently are drawn from universities, including large universities with multiple campuses. These SGEs may be called upon to participate in official matters--such as grants, contracts, applications, and other particular matters--that affect the financial interests of another campus in the same university system where they are employed. Hence, section 2640.203(c) may have particular utility for many SGEs.[28]

Finally, because divestiture of a disqualifying interest is one of the remedies for a potential violation of section 208, it is important to note that SGEs are *not* eligible for a Certificate of

---

[28](...continued)
one of just two or three likely to receive grant because very few universities known to have necessary facilities and equipment).

[28] Of course, SGEs also may take advantage of the other generally applicable exemptions promulgated by OGE, including the exemptions for certain interests in publicly traded securities. *See* 5 C.F.R. part 2640, Subpart B.

FBI 18-CV-1833-3332

Divestiture (CD). A CD is a tax benefit that allows the deferral or nonrecognition of capital gain where an employee divests a financial interest in order to comply with conflict of interest requirements. However, Congress specifically excluded SGEs from the definition of "eligible person," and consequently SGEs may not take advantage of this benefit. 26 U.S.C. § 1043(b)(1)(A).

D. Supplementation of Federal Salary

18 U.S.C. § 209 prohibits Federal employees from receiving "any salary, or any contribution to or supplementation of salary" from an outside source as compensation for their Government services. SGEs, however, are completely exempt from this prohibition. 18 U.S.C. § 209(c). This means, for example, that SGEs may continue to collect their regular salary from an outside employer for days on which they are providing services to the Government (whether their Government service is paid or unpaid).

SGEs should be advised, nevertheless, that there may be other restrictions on the receipt of compensation in connection with the performance of their official duties. For example, 5 C.F.R. § 2635.807 prohibits all Federal employees, including SGEs, from receiving outside compensation for teaching, speaking, or writing when "the activity is undertaken as part of the employee's official duties." 5 C.F.R. § 2635.807(a)(2)(i)(A). SGEs also are subject to the criminal bribery and illegal gratuity statute, which prohibits, under certain circumstances, the receipt of anything of value in connection with official acts. 18 U.S.C. § 201(b), (c).

OTHER ETHICS STATUTES

Apart from the five major criminal conflict of interest statutes in Chapter 11 of Title 18, there are other ethics statutes, some of which apply to SGEs and some of which do not.

As discussed above, SGEs are subject to the bribery and illegal gratuity statute, 18 U.S.C. § 201. SGEs also are covered by 5 U.S.C. § 7353, which prohibits the acceptance of gifts from certain sources. Likewise, SGEs are subject to 5 U.S.C. § 7351, which prohibits certain gifts to official superiors and gifts from employees receiving less pay. Both section 7353 and section 7351 are specifically implemented by the Standards of Ethical Conduct for Employees of the Executive Branch, discussed more fully below. *See* 5 C.F.R. part 2635, subparts B and C. Similarly, SGEs are covered by the financial disclosure provisions of the Ethics in Government Act of 1978, 5 U.S.C. app. §§ 101-111, as implemented by

FBI 18-CV-1833-3333

5 C.F.R. part 2634, discussed below. The restrictions of the Procurement Integrity Act, 41 U.S.C. § 423, also apply to SGEs, as discussed above.[21]

Agencies also should note that SGEs are subject to 18 U.S.C. § 219, a criminal statute that prohibits employees from acting as an agent of a foreign principal under certain circumstances. Unlike regular employees, however, SGEs may be eligible for a special exemption from the prohibitions of section 219, where the agency head certifies that employment of the SGE "is required in the national interest." 18 U.S.C. § 219(b). The Department of Justice also has held that SGEs may be subject to the Emoluments Clause of the United States Constitution, U.S. Const., art. I, § 9, cl. 8, which prohibits persons who "hold offices of profit or trust" in the Federal Government from having any position in or receiving any payment from a foreign government. *See* 15 Op. O.L.C. 65 (1991); 17 Op. O.L.C. 114 (1993). OGE does not render opinions concerning section 219 or the Emoluments Clause, and agencies are advised to consult with the Department of Justice if they have any questions about the application of these provisions to SGEs.

SGEs are not, however, subject to 5 U.S.C. app. § 501, which imposes limits on the outside earned income of certain noncareer employees. *See* 5 U.S.C. app. § 505(2)(B).[22] Nor are SGEs covered by 5 U.S.C. app. § 502, which imposes a number of restrictions on the outside activities of certain noncareer employees. *See id.* Moreover, as discussed above, SGEs are not covered by the statutory

---

[21] Also in the procurement area, we note that SGEs are covered by a provision in the Federal Acquisition Regulation that generally prohibits the award of Federal contracts "to a Government employee or to a business concern or other organization owned or substantially owned or controlled by one or more government employees." 48 C.F.R. § 3.601(a). However, unlike regular employees, SGEs are covered by this prohibition only if: (1) the contract arises directly out of the SGE's official activities; (2) the SGE is in a position to influence the award of the contract in his or her official capacity; or (3) some other conflict of interest is determined to exist. 48 C.F.R. § 3.601(b).

[22] Similarly, SGEs are not subject to the related prohibition on outside earned income applicable to certain Presidential appointees, under Executive Order 12674, § 102 (1989), as modified by Executive Order 12731 (1990). This provision, which covers certain "full-time non-career" appointees, is inapplicable to SGEs for reasons similar to those discussed above with respect to the applicability of Executive Order 12834.

FBI 18-CV-1833-3334

provision authorizing certificates of divestiture for the nonrecognition of capital gain in cases where employees sell property to comply with ethics requirements. *See* 26 U.S.C. § 1043(b)(A). SGEs also are not subject to 26 U.S.C. § 4941, which imposes tax sanctions on certain Government employees who engage in specified acts of "self-dealing" in connection with a private foundation. *See* 26 U.S.C. § 4946(c).

STANDARDS OF ETHICAL CONDUCT FOR EMPLOYEES OF THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH

Generally, SGEs are treated the same as regular employees under the Standards of Ethical Conduct for Employees of the Executive Branch, 5 C.F.R. part 2635. *See* 5 C.F.R. § 2635.102(h) ("employee" includes SGEs, and employee status not affected by fact that SGE does not perform official duties on given day). There are, however, a few notable exceptions. Only those exceptions, as well as a few other items of particular relevance to SGEs, will be discussed below.[23]

A. Gifts from Outside Sources

SGEs, like all employees, are subject to 5 C.F.R. § 2635.202(a), which prohibits the acceptance of gifts from a "prohibited source" and gifts given because of an employee's official position. The definition of "prohibited source" includes any person seeking official action from the employee's agency, doing or seeking to do business with the employee's agency, conducting activities regulated by the employee's agency, or having interests that may be substantially affected by the employee's official duties; the definition also includes organizations the majority of whose members fall within any of the aforementioned categories. 5 C.F.R. § 2635.203(d). From this definition, it should be immediately apparent that SGEs pose unique issues, because many SGEs are employed by, or have substantial professional and business relationships with, such prohibited sources. For this reason, OGE originally proposed an exception, 5 C.F.R. § 2635.204(e)(2), specifically to permit SGEs to accept various benefits resulting from outside business or employment activities, where it is clear that such benefits are not offered or enhanced because of the employee's official position. *See* 56 *Federal Register* 33777, 33782 (July 23, 1991). Although the final version of section 2635.204(e)(2) was broadened to cover all employees, not

---

[23] See also the discussion above of 5 C.F.R. § 2635.702, in connection with the representational activities of SGEs.

FBI 18-CV-1833-3335

just SGEs, this exception continues to be of particular importance to SGEs.

B. *Presidential Appointees and Covered Noncareer Employees*

5 C.F.R. § 2635.804 references certain outside earned income restrictions on specified Presidential appointees and other covered noncareer employees. These restrictions are inapplicable to SGEs.[24]

C. Outside Expert Witness Activities

Employees generally may not participate as an expert witness, other than on behalf of the United States, in any proceeding before a Federal court or agency in which the United States is a party or has a direct and substantial interest. 5 C.F.R. § 2635.805(a). This prohibition applies whether or not the employee receives compensation for the activity. The Designated Agency Ethics Official may authorize an employee to serve as an expert witness where such service is determined to be in the interest of the Government or where the subject matter of the testimony is determined to be unrelated to the employee's official duties. 5 C.F.R. § 2635.805(c).

For SGEs, the restrictions of section 2635.805 are substantially narrowed. With respect to most SGEs, section 2635.805 applies only where the SGE actually participated officially in the same proceeding or in the particular matter that is the subject of the proceeding. 5 C.F.R. § 2635.805(a). A somewhat more restrictive standard applies to a smaller class of SGEs who are deemed to have particularly significant Federal positions, i.e., those either appointed by the President, serving on a commission established by statute, or serving (or expected to serve) for more than 60 days in a period of 365 days. 5 C.F.R. § 2635.805(b). For this class of SGEs, the restriction on expert service also applies to any proceeding in which the SGE's own agency is a party or has a direct and substantial interest.

D. Outside Teaching, Speaking and Writing

5 C.F.R. § 2635.807(a) generally prohibits an employee from receiving outside compensation for speaking, teaching or writing activities that relate to the employee's official duties. Such activities may relate to an employee's official duties in several different ways: if the activity is performed as part of the employee's official duties (discussed above in connection with

---

[24] See footnote 22 and accompanying text.

FBI 18-CV-1833-3336

supplementation of Federal salary); if the invitation to engage in the activity was extended primarily because of the employee's official position rather than expertise in the subject matter; if the invitation or offer of compensation was extended by someone with interests that may be affected substantially by the employee's duties; or if the information conveyed through the activity draws substantially on nonpublic information obtained through the employee's Government service. 5 C.F.R. § 2635.807(a)(2)(i)(A)-(D). SGEs, like all employees, are prohibited from receiving compensation for activities that are related to their official duties in any of these ways.

Additionally, pursuant to paragraph (E) of the definition of relatedness, there are several other ways in which teaching, speaking and writing may relate to an employee's official duties, and SGEs receive special treatment in this connection. *See* 5 C.F.R. § 2635.807(a)(2)(i)(E). Under paragraph (E)(1), an activity is related if it deals, in significant part, with any matter to which the employee is currently assigned or has been assigned during the previous year. Under paragraph (E)(2), an activity is related to an employee's official duties if it deals, in significant part, with any ongoing or announced policy, program or operation of the employee's agency. Moreover, under paragraph (E)(3), with respect to certain noncareer employees, an activity is related to the employee's duties if it deals, in significant part, with "the general subject matter area, industry, or economic sector primarily affected by the programs and operations" of the employee's agency.

The scope of paragraph (E) is substantially narrowed, however, with respect to SGEs. First, SGEs are completely exempt from paragraphs (E)(2) and (E)(3). *See* 5 C.F.R. § 2635.807(a)(2)(i)(E)(4). Thus, for example, nothing in section 2635.807(a)(2)(i)(E) prohibits an SGE from accepting compensation for speaking, teaching, or writing simply because the activity relates to the programs or the general subject area of the SGE's agency. Second, even with respect to paragraph (E)(1), which covers matters in which the employee has been personally involved during the past year, the restriction is limited. For all SGEs, paragraph (E)(1) is limited only to the matters to which the SGE is currently assigned or had been assigned during his or her *current* appointment. Moreover, for SGEs who have not served (or are not expected to serve) more than 60 days during the first year of appointment or any subsequent one-year period of appointment, the restriction is even narrower: paragraph (E)(1) applies only to "particular matters involving specific parties" in which the SGE "has participated or is participating personally and substantially." Thus, for example, nothing in section

FBI 18-CV-1833-3337

2635.807(a)(2)(i)(E) prohibits an SGE from accepting compensation simply because the activity pertains to a policy matter that does not involve specific parties, even though the SGE may be assigned to such matter.[26]

Another provision in section 2635.807 has special significance for SGEs, even though it applies equally to regular employees. There is a specific exception to the ban on compensation for activities that are related to an employee's duties under either section 2635.807(a)(2)(i)(B)(invitation primarily because of official position) or section 2635.807(a)(2)(i)(E)(activity deals with personal assignments, etc.). This exception permits employees to accept compensation, otherwise prohibited by these two provisions, for teaching a course requiring multiple presentations offered as part of: (a) the regularly established curriculum of various specified types of educational institutions; or (b) educational or training programs sponsored and funded by Federal, State, or local government. 5 C.F.R. § 2635.807(a)(3). Because SGEs so often are employed by universities and other institutions of higher learning, on a full-time or adjunct basis, this exception may have particular relevance.

E. Fundraising

All employees, including SGEs, are equally subject to certain restrictions on personal fundraising for nonprofit organizations. These include restrictions on the use of official title, position and authority, and the solicitation of subordinates. 5 C.F.R. § 2635.808(c). Additionally, employees may not personally solicit funds or other support from a person known by the employee to be a "prohibited source." (The definition of prohibited source is discussed in more detail above, under "Gifts from Outside Sources.") With respect to SGEs, however, this restriction is limited to a narrower subset of the definition of prohibited source. SGEs are prohibited only from personally soliciting persons whose interests may be affected substantially by the performance or nonperformance of the SGE's official duties. 5 C.F.R. § 2635.808(c)(1)(ii).

---

[26] As discussed above (under "Supplementation of Federal Salary"), however, section 2635.807 still prohibits an SGE from receiving compensation for teaching, speaking or writing activities that are undertaken as part of the employee's official duties.

FBI 18-CV-1833-3338

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

As a general rule, all SGEs must file either a public financial disclosure statement or a confidential financial disclosure statement.

A. Public Reporting

SGEs are required to file a public financial disclosure report if they meet two criteria. First, they must perform the duties of their office, or be expected to perform those duties, for more than 60 days in the calendar year. *See* 5 C.F.R. § 2634.204. Second, they must meet the pay conditions for public filing, i.e., they must be paid at least the equivalent of 120% of the minimum rate of basic pay for GS-15 of the General Schedule (or, if they are members of the uniformed service, they must be at or above pay grade 0-7). *See* 5 C.F.R. § 2634.202(c). SGEs meeting both of these criteria file the same new entrant, incumbent, and termination reports as regular employees. Additionally, any prospective SGE who is nominated by the President to a position requiring Senate confirmation--regardless of pay level or expected number of service days--may be required by the confirming committee to file an initial "nominee" report. *See* 5 U.S.C. app. § 101(b)(1).

Unlike regular employees, certain SGEs may be eligible for a special waiver of the public availability of their financial disclosure reports. In "unusual circumstances," the Director of OGE may grant a waiver of the public availability requirement for a financial disclosure report submitted by an SGE who has neither performed, nor is expected to perform, official duties for more than 130 days in a calendar year. 5 C.F.R. § 2634.205(a). Such a waiver may be granted only if the Director determines that the individual is able to provide services specially needed by the Government, it is unlikely that the SGE's outside employment or financial interests will create a conflict of interest, and public financial disclosure is not otherwise necessary. *Id.* Requests for such waivers are subject to a number of very specific procedural requirements, including deadlines for submissions, so SGEs and their agencies should consult 5 C.F.R. § 2634.205(b) carefully. Moreover, it should be understood that such waivers are rarely granted.

B. Confidential Reporting

Generally, any SGE not required to file a public financial disclosure report must file a confidential financial disclosure

FBI 18-CV-1833-3339

report. 5 C.F.R. § 2634.904(b). The SGE must submit the standardized OGE Form 450 and any OGE-approved supplement, unless the SGE's agency has received approval to use an alternative reporting system. 5 C.F.R. § 2634.907 (standard form prescribed by OGE); § 2634.905(c) (OGE-approved alternative). However, SGEs may not use the standardized OGE Optional Form 450-A (Confidential Certificate of No New Interests). 5 C.F.R. § 2634.905(d)(1).

SGEs must file a new entrant report no later than 30 days after assuming the position or office. 5 C.F.R. § 2634.903(b)(1). However, an SGE serving on an advisory committee may be required to file even earlier, *i.e.*, before any advice is rendered by the individual and prior to the first meeting of the committee. 5 C.F.R. § 2634.903(b)(3).

SGEs do not file incumbent confidential reports. Instead, they are required to file an additional new entrant report each year, upon their "reappointment or redesignation" as an SGE for a new 365-day period. 5 C.F.R. § 2634.903(b)(1). In cases where an SGE is appointed for a term in excess of one year, the agency still must at least "redesignate" the individual as an SGE annually by estimating the number of days the employee is expected to serve in the next 365-day period (as discussed in more detail under "Definition of SGE" above). Ordinarily, this would mean that each SGE with a multiyear term would file an additional new entrant report each year within 30 days of the anniversary of that employee's appointment date. However, OGE recognizes that agencies with many SGEs might have to keep track of multiple filing dates for these "follow-on" reports, corresponding to the multiple appointment anniversaries of different SGEs. Therefore, in order to reduce administrative burden, OGE permits agencies to specify one date each year on which to collect follow-on new entrant reports from all SGEs (or discrete groups of SGEs, such as all members of a given advisory committee) who serve for terms in excess of one year. OGE DAEOgram DO-95-019 (April 11, 1995).

Finally, an SGE may be excluded from any confidential reporting requirement, under appropriate circumstances. An agency may exclude an SGE from such reporting requirements where it determines, based on the duties of the SGE's position, that: (1) the possibility of a real or apparent conflict of interest is remote, or (2) the SGE's level of responsibility is sufficiently low to make reporting unnecessary. 5 C.F.R. § 2634.905(a),(b).

FBI 18-CV-1833-3340

Daniel Charles Richman

From: Daniel Charles Richman
Sent: Friday, January 16, 2015 1:23 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1
Cc: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Re: Meeting w/ Daniel Richman

Dear [redacted] and Jim -- The SGE approach makes perfect sense. Let's do it. I've yet to hear from the security folks and am quite looking forward to an excruciating trip down memory lane.
best
dan r

On Fri, Jan 16, 2015 at 10:15 AM, [redacted] (OGC)(FBI) [redacted] wrote: b6 -1 b7C -1 b7E -3

> Dan,
>
> Thanks for taking the time to speak with Jim and me last week. It was nice to meet you, if only over the phone for now. In following up on the call and after discussing the options further on our end, we have settled on what we think is the most appropriate vehicle through which to bring you on. We would appoint you as an expert serving without compensation and designate you a Special Government Employee. This was the primary vehicle we discussed last week. For various reasons, the other possibilities won't work or don't make as much sense.
>
> You'll find the authority for us to appoint experts and consultants at 5 U.S.C. § 3109, with the implementing regulations at 5 CFR Part 304. Focusing your work for the FBI on the discrete Going Dark project and related issues would allow us to fall squarely within this authority, and within the delegation that allows us to accept uncompensated work for the federal government. To comply with these authorities, we would initially appoint you for a year or until the project is finished, whichever comes first. We also can renew the appointment if necessary.
>
> As a general rule, an appointment under this expert authority would subject the individual to all of the federal employee ethics and related restrictions and rules. As we discussed last week, however, designating an expert as a "Special Government Employee" would mean the expert is subjected to a limited subset of those rules. The SGE authorization is at 18 USC § 202. We are confident that your work will fall within the limits for SGEs (130 days per year). Keeping your work days to under 130 also provides us with the most flexibility in reappointing/renewing your appointment as necessary (5 CFR § 304.101(c)(2)(i)). For more on the SGE rules, and what requirements and restrictions would apply even under this designation, please see <http://www.oge.gov/Topics/Selected -Employee-Categories/Special-Government-Employees/>. We can put you in contact with our ethics chief if you have any particular ethics concerns you would like to discuss.

If all of this sounds good to you, I'll start running the traps to get the appointment docs in order. Meanwhile, our security folks should have reached out to you already to get you started on the security clearance process. If you haven't heard from them yet, please let me know that, too. Let's hope your third grade teacher won't have anything bad to say about you. I'm kidding, of course; the clearance process isn't quite that bad, but gathering all of the info can be a bit of a pain.

Thanks,

[redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1

[redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1

Associate General Counsel

Federal Bureau of Investigation

[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:

This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto[redacted] b6 -1,4 b7C -1,4
Sent: Wednesday, January 07, 2015 1:41 PM
To: Baker, James A.
Cc: [redacted] (OGC)(FBI)
Subject: Re: Meeting w/ Daniel Richman

Looking forward to chatting tomorrow. Should I just call you office at 1 pm?

thx

dan richman

On Fri, Jan 2, 2015 at 3:22 PM, Daniel Charles Richman [redacted] wrote: b6 -4 b7C -4

Thurs at 1 is fine
Thx
Dan r

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted] b6 -4
Cell: [redacted] b7C -4
b7E -3

> On Jan 2, 2015, at 2:59 PM, Baker, James A. [redacted] wrote:
>
> When: Thursday, January 08, 2015 1:00 PM-1:30 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada).
> Where: FBI HQ Room 7427
>
> Note: The GMT offset above does not reflect daylight saving time adjustments.
>
> *~*~*~*~*~*~*~*~*~*~*
>
> I apologize for the date/time change. GC Baker now has a conflict at 2pm on Monday. Please let me know if Thursday at 1pm works for you!
>
> Thank you,
>
> [redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1
>
>
> Good afternoon Mr. Richman,
>
> Happy New Year! Per your emails with [redacted] and Jim Baker, I have blocked off time on the GC's calendar for Monday at 2:00pm. Please let me know if this date/time is convenient for you.
>
> Thank you,
>
> [redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1
> Office of the General Counsel
> Federal Bureau of Investigation
> Phone: [redacted]
>
> <meeting.ics>

--

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

[redacted] (OGC) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1

From: [redacted] OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, November 20, 2014 6:01 PM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: FW: Personal Services Contract
Attachments: Consultant Appointment Letter.pdf

FYSA.

From: [redacted] (OGC)(FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1
Sent: Thursday, November 20, 2014 6:00 PM
To: Rosenberg, Chuck P.
Subject: RE: Personal Services Contract

[redacted] b5 -1,2

[redacted] b5 -1,2

I hope this helps. [redacted] Let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

[redacted] b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted] b6 -1
b7C -1

From: Rosenberg, Chuck P.
Sent: Thursday, November 20, 2014 2:49 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC)(FBI) b6 -1
Subject: Personal Services Contract b7C -1

[redacted] What is the process to bring someone into the FBI (in this case, a law professor) on an unpaid personal services contract for a fixed period (less than one year)? Thanks. Chuck

Daniel Charles Richman

From:	Daniel Charles Richman
Sent:	Friday, January 02, 2015 1:55 PM
To:	[redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc:	Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject:	Re: RE:

b6 -1
b7C -1

Happy New Year to you too.

On the particulars: A "consultant" appointment sounds just fine. (I guess I have to give up on my dream titles of "super special assistant to the Dir" or "royal steward.") As I told Jim B, I think we should keep remuneration to a minimum. In the Fall, I will be "on sabbatical," getting my full salary from Columbia. And while I hope to start being useful to the Bureau well before then, I'm perfectly ready for the work to be "pro bono." Where money may come in may be for the reimbursement of travel expenses if I end up going to DC a lot. But perhaps that matter can wait.

Some things to flag on the ethics side:
1. I regularly get contacted by journalists -- particularly the NYTimes, Wall St J and Financial Times -- to explain things, and they often end up quoting me. Obviously, I would not plan to say anything -- on or off the record -- about matters I work on for the Bureau. The only issue (that I can think of) is whether I can keep up my public profile as a Columbia academic. If possible, I'd like to continue doing this, as it's good for the school and, in my humble opinion, makes criminal justice coverage slightly more accurate than it would otherwise be.
2. From time to time, I have been paid to provide legal advice about federal criminal cases. I'm not involved in anything right now -- except for a lawyer who emails inquires every month or so that I spend about 15 minutes on. But we should clarify what, if anything, I can continue to do.

On the clearance front: I suspect that I don't have any active clearances. I left the SDNY USAO in 1992. In the 1990s, I worked as a "consultant" for the Treasury Department -- as part of the Waco Investigative Review run out of the Undersec for Enforcement's office -- and for the DOJ Office of Inspector General. In connection with my DOJ OIG work, I received "secret" clearance for the report on [redacted] More recently, I consulted for the Interior Department's Deepwater Horizon inquiry, run out of the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Regulation and Enforcement, but I don't imagine that involved a heavy-duty clearance.

b6 -4
b7C -4

You guys will obviously be the best judges of what's needed, but my current dive into the "going dark" public literature has highlighted the level of secrecy that attends the government's side of the debate. So I suspect that the higher my clearance the more use I can be. And that usefulness will hopefully transfer into other areas too.

look forward to talking
dan richman

On Fri, Jan 2, 2015 at 12:01 PM, [redacted] (OGC)(FBI) [redacted] wrote:

b6 -1
b7C -1

> Happy New Year!

I'll ask Jim's scheduler block out some time on Jim's calendar to discuss this further.

As for the particulars of bringing you onboard, we would appoint you as a consultant. The formal mechanism would be a letter that you would countersign. The letter would contain a brief blurb about what the FBI would be bringing you on to do and the authority under which we would appoint you.

There also will be the oath and some ethics-related paperwork. If there are any particular ethics or conflicts concerns, we can bring in our ethics folks to address those. I doubt this will be an issue at all.

Our security folks would have some other standard forms down the road, too. But even those should be pretty painless, especially if you already have a security clearance. Do you have an active clearance? If so, which agency holds it?

Thank you.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Associate General Counsel

Federal Bureau of Investigation

[redacted]

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, December 31, 2014 11:11 AM
To: Baker, James A.
Cc: [redacted] (OGC)(FBI)
Subject: Re: RE:

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

Dear Jim and [redacted] - as classes don't start til jan 20, my schedule is particularly flexible. Since you both have real jobs, just pick a time convenient to you and odds are that I can do it. In the meantime, all the best for the new year

b6 -1
b7C -1

Dan r

Daniel Richman

Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law

Columbia Law School

Office: [redacted]

Cell: [redacted]

b6 -4
b7C -4
b7E -3

On Dec 31, 2014, at 9:27 AM, Baker, James A. [redacted] wrote:

Dan:

I hope all is well.

I'm copying [redacted] from OGC to help work out the details of how to make this happen. Let's also find time in the next week or two to discuss this a bit more.

b6 -1
b7C -1

Thanks.

Jim

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, December 19, 2014 12:18 PM
To: Baker, James A.
Subject:

b6 -4
b7C -4

Dear Jim -- To follow up on our call - I'm indeed interested in working with you along the lines we discussed. And I'm planning to use a chunk of my winter break diving into the literature.

best

Dan Richman

--

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Baker, James A.

From: Baker, James A.
Sent: Wednesday, December 10, 2014 9:14 AM
To: Daniel Charles Richman
Cc: [redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1
Subject: Phone call?

Dan:

In an effort to move things forward, I'm wondering if we can set up a phone call Monday or Tuesday next week (I'm out of town tomorrow and Friday).

I've copied my assistant [redacted] to help put something together. I look forward to talking. Thanks. b6 -1 b7C -1

Jim

Daniel Charles Richman

From: Daniel Charles Richman
Sent: Wednesday, December 10, 2014 10:28 AM
To: Baker, James A.
Cc: Daniel Charles Richman; [redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1
Subject: Re: Phone call?

Jim - sorry for my delay picking up your message. I just accepted the invite and look forward to chatting mon at 3:15

Dan r

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted] b6 -4
Cell: [redacted] b7C -4
b7E -3

On Dec 10, 2014, at 9:14 AM, Baker, James A. [redacted] wrote:

> Dan:
>
> In an effort to move things forward, I'm wondering if we can set up a phone call Monday or Tuesday next week (I'm out of town tomorrow and Friday).
>
> I've copied my assistant [redacted] to help put something together. I look forward to talking. Thanks. b6 -1 b7C -1
>
> Jim

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

[redacted](OGC)(FBI)

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC)(FBI)
Sent: Friday, January 02, 2015 12:07 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: Baker, James A.; [redacted]
Subject: FW: RE:

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Jim might have already asked you to set-up time for a call with this professor who is going to come onboard as a consultant to work on some legislative issues relating to the going dark issue. If so, please disregard this email. If not, would you please block time next week for them to speak as discussed below? I doubt it will take more than 30 minutes. Based on his email reply, it looks like the professor's schedule is open for the next few weeks.

Thank you!

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC)(FBI)
Sent: Friday, January 02, 2015 12:01 PM
To: 'Daniel Charles Richman'
Cc: Baker, James A.
Subject: RE: RE:

Happy New Year!

I'll ask Jim's scheduler block out some time on Jim's calendar to discuss this further.

As for the particulars of bringing you onboard, we would appoint you as a consultant. The formal mechanism would be a letter that you would countersign. The letter would contain a brief blurb about what the FBI would be bringing you on to do and the authority under which we would appoint you.

There also will be the oath and some ethics-related paperwork. If there are any particular ethics or conflicts concerns, we can bring in our ethics folks to address those. I doubt this will be an issue at all.

Our security folks would have some other standard forms down the road, too. But even those should be pretty painless, especially if you already have a security clearance. Do you have an active clearance? If so, which agency holds it?

Thank you.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation

[redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, December 31, 2014 11:11 AM
To: Baker, James A.
Cc: [redacted] (OGC)(FBI)
Subject: Re: RE:

Dear Jim and [redacted] - as classes don't start til jan 20, my schedule is particularly flexible. Since you both have real jobs, just pick a time convenient to you and odds are that I can do it. In the meantime, all the best for the new year
Dan r

b6 -1
b7C -1

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted]
Cell: [redacted]

b6 -4
b7C -4
b7E -3

On Dec 31, 2014, at 9:27 AM, Baker, James A. [redacted] wrote:

> Dan:
>
> I hope all is well.
>
> I'm copying [redacted] from OGC to help work out the details of how to make this happen. Let's also find time in the next week or two to discuss this a bit more.
>
> Thanks.
>
> Jim

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Friday, December 19, 2014 12:18 PM
To: Baker, James A.
Subject:

b6 -4
b7C -4

Dear Jim -- To follow up on our call - I'm indeed interested in working with you along the lines we discussed. And I'm planning to use a chunk of my winter break diving into the literature.
best
Dan Richman

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, January 02, 2015 12:09 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC)(FBI)
Cc: Baker, James A.; [redacted]
Subject: RE: RE:

Hi [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

I'm on it! Thank you!

[redacted]
Legal Administrative Specialist
Office of the General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Phone: [redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC)(FBI)
Sent: Friday, January 02, 2015 12:07 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: Baker, James A.; [redacted]
Subject: FW: RE:

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]

Jim might have already asked you to set-up time for a call with this professor who is going to come onboard as a consultant to work on some legislative issues relating to the going dark issue. If so, please disregard this email. If not, would you please block time next week for them to speak as discussed below? I doubt it will take more than 30 minutes. Based on his email reply, it looks like the professor's schedule is open for the next few weeks.

Thank you!

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC)(FBI)
Sent: Friday, January 02, 2015 12:01 PM
To: 'Daniel Charles Richman'
Cc: Baker, James A.
Subject: RE: RE:

Happy New Year!

I'll ask Jim's scheduler block out some time on Jim's calendar to discuss this further.

As for the particulars of bringing you onboard, we would appoint you as a consultant. The formal mechanism would be a letter that you would countersign. The letter would contain a brief blurb about what the FBI would be bringing you on to do and the authority under which we would appoint you.

There also will be the oath and some ethics-related paperwork. If there are any particular ethics or conflicts concerns, we can bring in our ethics folks to address those. I doubt this will be an issue at all.

Our security folks would have some other standard forms down the road, too. But even those should be pretty painless, especially if you already have a security clearance. Do you have an active clearance? If so, which agency holds it?

Thank you.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, December 31, 2014 11:11 AM
To: Baker, James A.
Cc: [redacted] (OGC)(FBI)
Subject: Re: RE:

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

Dear Jim and [redacted] - as classes don't start til jan 20, my schedule is particularly flexible. Since you both have real jobs, just pick a time convenient to you and odds are that I can do it. In the meantime, all the best for the new year
Dan r

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted]
Cell [redacted]

b6 -4
b7C -4
b7E -3

On Dec 31, 2014, at 9:27 AM, Baker, James A. [redacted] wrote:

Dan:

I hope all is well.

I'm copying [redacted] from OGC to help work out the details of how to make this happen. Let's also find time in the next week or two to discuss this a bit more.

b6 -1
b7C -1

Thanks

Jim

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Friday, December 19, 2014 12:18 PM
To: Baker, James A.
Subject:

b6 -4
b7C -4

Dear Jim -- To follow up on our call - I'm indeed interested in working with you along the lines we discussed. And I'm planning to use a chunk of my winter break diving into the literature.
best
Dan Richman

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

[redacted] b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, January 02, 2015 12:39 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: RE: RE:

Is everything pretty quiet today?

--

-------- Original message --------
From: [redacted] b6 -1
Date:01/02/2015 12:09 PM (GMT-05:00) b7C -1
To: [redacted] (OGC)(FBI)" [redacted] b7E -3
Cc: "Baker, James A." [redacted]
Subject: RE: RE:

Hi [redacted] b6 -1
b7C -1

I'm on it! Thank you!

[redacted]
Legal Administrative Specialist
Office of the General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Phone: [redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted] b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC)(FBI) b6 -1
Sent: Friday, January 02, 2015 12:07 PM b7C -1
To: [redacted]
Cc: Baker, James A.; [redacted]
Subject: FW: RE:

[redacted]

Jim might have already asked you to set-up time for a call with this professor who is going to come onboard as a consultant to work on some legislative issues relating to the going dark issue. If so, please disregard this

email. If not, would you please block time next week for them to speak as discussed below? I doubt it will take more than 30 minutes. Based on his email reply, it looks like the professor's schedule is open for the next few weeks.

Thank you!

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted](OGC)(FBI)
Sent: Friday, January 02, 2015 12:01 PM
To: 'Daniel Charles Richman'
Cc: Baker, James A.
Subject: RE: RE:

Happy New Year!

I'll ask Jim's scheduler block out some time on Jim's calendar to discuss this further.

As for the particulars of bringing you onboard, we would appoint you as a consultant. The formal mechanism would be a letter that you would countersign. The letter would contain a brief blurb about what the FBI would be bringing you on to do and the authority under which we would appoint you.

There also will be the oath and some ethics-related paperwork. If there are any particular ethics or conflicts concerns, we can bring in our ethics folks to address those. I doubt this will be an issue at all.

Our security folks would have some other standard forms down the road, too. But even those should be pretty painless, especially if you already have a security clearance. Do you have an active clearance? If so, which agency holds it?

Thank you.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, December 31, 2014 11:11 AM
To: Baker, James A.
Cc: [redacted](OGC)(FBI)
Subject: Re: RE:

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

Dear Jim and [redacted] - as classes don't start til jan 20, my schedule is particularly flexible. Since you both have real jobs, just pick a time convenient to you and odds are that I can do it. In the meantime, all the best for the new year
Dan r

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law

Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted]
Cell: [redacted]

b6 -4
b7C -4
b7E -3

On Dec 31, 2014, at 9:27 AM, Baker, James A. [redacted] wrote:

Dan:

I hope all is well.

I'm copying [redacted] from OGC to help work out the details of how to make this happen. Let's also find time in the next week or two to discuss this a bit more.

b6 -1
b7C -1

Thanks,

Jim

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, December 19, 2014 12:18 PM
To: Baker, James A.
Subject:

b6 -4
b7C -4

Dear Jim -- To follow up on our call - I'm indeed interested in working with you along the lines we discussed. And I'm planning to use a chunk of my winter break diving into the literature.
best
Dan Richman

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, January 02, 2015 1:11 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: RE: RE:

Hey [redacted] - Happy New Year!

b6 -1
b7C -1

Yes, extremely. I think the phone rang once.

Enjoy your long weekend!

[redacted]
Legal Administrative Specialist
Office of the General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Phone: [redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, January 02, 2015 12:39 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: RE: RE:

Is everything pretty quiet today?

--

-------- Original message --------
From: [redacted]
Date:01/02/2015 12:09 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: [redacted] (OGC)(FBI)' [redacted]
Cc: "Baker, James A." [redacted]
Subject: RE: RE:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

Hi [redacted]

I'm on it! Thank you!

[redacted]

Legal Administrative Specialist
Office of the General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Phone: [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC)(FBI)
Sent: Friday, January 02, 2015 12:07 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: Baker, James A.; [redacted]
Subject: FW: RE:

[redacted]

Jim might have already asked you to set-up time for a call with this professor who is going to come onboard as a consultant to work on some legislative issues relating to the going dark issue. If so, please disregard this email. If not, would you please block time next week for them to speak as discussed below? I doubt it will take more than 30 minutes. Based on his email reply, it looks like the professor's schedule is open for the next few weeks.

Thank you!

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC)(FBI)
Sent: Friday, January 02, 2015 12:01 PM
To: 'Daniel Charles Richman'
Cc: Baker, James A.
Subject: RE: RE:

Happy New Year!

I'll ask Jim's scheduler block out some time on Jim's calendar to discuss this further.

As for the particulars of bringing you onboard, we would appoint you as a consultant. The formal mechanism would be a letter that you would countersign. The letter would contain a brief blurb about what the FBI would be bringing you on to do and the authority under which we would appoint you.

There also will be the oath and some ethics-related paperwork. If there are any particular ethics or conflicts concerns, we can bring in our ethics folks to address those. I doubt this will be an issue at all.

Our security folks would have some other standard forms down the road, too. But even those should be pretty painless, especially if you already have a security clearance. Do you have an active clearance? If so, which agency holds it?

Thank you.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, December 31, 2014 11:11 AM
To: Baker, James A.
Cc: [redacted](OGC)(FBI)
Subject: Re: RE:

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

Dear Jim and [redacted] - as classes don't start til jan 20, my schedule is particularly flexible. Since you both have real jobs, just pick a time convenient to you and odds are that I can do it. In the meantime, all the best for the new year
Dan r

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted]
Cell: [redacted]

b6 -4
b7C -4
b7E -3

On Dec 31, 2014, at 9:27 AM, Baker, James A. [redacted] wrote:

Dan:

I hope all is well.

I'm copying [redacted] from OGC to help work out the details of how to make this happen. Let's also find time in the next week or two to discuss this a bit more.

b6 -1
b7C -1

Thanks,

Jim

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Friday, December 19, 2014 12:18 PM
To: Baker, James A.
Subject:

b6 -4
b7C -4

Dear Jim -- To follow up on our call - I'm indeed interested in working with you along the lines we discussed. And I'm planning to use a chunk of my winter break diving into the literature.
best
Dan Richman

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, January 02, 2015 2:09 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC)(FBI)
Subject: RE: RE:

Hi [redacted]

I blocked off Monday at 2:00pm for them to speak. Would you like to correspond with Mr. Richman directly or can I forward him the calendar invite?

Thank you very much,

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Legal Administrative Specialist
Office of the General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Phone: [redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC)(FBI)
Sent: Friday, January 02, 2015 12:07 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: Baker, James A.; [redacted]
Subject: FW: RE:

[redacted]

Jim might have already asked you to set-up time for a call with this professor who is going to come onboard as a consultant to work on some legislative issues relating to the going dark issue. If so, please disregard this email. If not, would you please block time next week for them to speak as discussed below? I doubt it will take more than 30 minutes. Based on his email reply, it looks like the professor's schedule is open for the next few weeks.

Thank you!

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC)(FBI)
Sent: Friday, January 02, 2015 12:01 PM
To: 'Daniel Charles Richman'
Cc: Baker, James A.

Subject: RE: RE:

Happy New Year!

I'll ask Jim's scheduler block out some time on Jim's calendar to discuss this further.

As for the particulars of bringing you onboard, we would appoint you as a consultant. The formal mechanism would be a letter that you would countersign. The letter would contain a brief blurb about what the FBI would be bringing you on to do and the authority under which we would appoint you.

There also will be the oath and some ethics-related paperwork. If there are any particular ethics or conflicts concerns, we can bring in our ethics folks to address those. I doubt this will be an issue at all.

Our security folks would have some other standard forms down the road, too. But even those should be pretty painless, especially if you already have a security clearance. Do you have an active clearance? If so, which agency holds it?

Thank you.

[redacted] b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted] b6 -1,4
Sent: Wednesday, December 31, 2014 11:11 AM b7C -1,4
To: Baker, James A.
Cc: [redacted](OGC)(FBI)
Subject: Re: RE:

Dear Jim and [redacted], as classes don't start til jan 20, my schedule is particularly flexible. Since you both have real jobs, just pick a time convenient to you and odds are that I can do it. In the meantime, all the best for the new year
Dan r

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted] b6 -1
Cell: [redacted] b7C -1
b7E -3

On Dec 31, 2014, at 9:27 AM, Baker, James A. [redacted] wrote:

Dan:

I hope all is well.

I'm copying [redacted] from OGC to help work out the details of how to make this happen. b6 -1
Let's also find time in the next week or two to discuss this a bit more. b7C -1

Thanks,

Jim

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Friday, December 19, 2014 12:18 PM
To: Baker, James A.
Subject:

b6 -4
b7C -4

Dear Jim -- To follow up on our call - I'm indeed interested in working with you along the lines we discussed. And I'm planning to use a chunk of my winter break diving into the literature.
best
Dan Richman

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, January 02, 2015 2:25 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: RE: RE:

Enjoy the slow day!

--

-------- Original message --------
From: [redacted]
Date:01/02/2015 1:10 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: [redacted]
Subject: RE: RE:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

Hey [redacted] Happy New Year!

Yes, extremely. I think the phone rang once.

Enjoy your long weekend!

[redacted]
Legal Administrative Specialist
Office of the General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Phone: [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, January 02, 2015 12:39 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: RE: RE:

Is everything pretty quiet today?

--

-------- Original message --------
From: [redacted]
Date:01/02/2015 12:09 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: [redacted] (OGC)(FBI)" [redacted]
Cc: "Baker, James A." [redacted]
Subject: RE: RE:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

Hi [redacted]

I'm on it! Thank you!

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Legal Administrative Specialist
Office of the General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Phone: [redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC)(FBI)
Sent: Friday, January 02, 2015 12:07 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: Baker, James A.; [redacted]
Subject: FW: RE:

[redacted]

Jim might have already asked you to set-up time for a call with this professor who is going to come onboard as a consultant to work on some legislative issues relating to the going dark issue. If so, please disregard this email. If not, would you please block time next week for them to speak as discussed below? I doubt it will take more than 30 minutes. Based on his email reply, it looks like the professor's schedule is open for the next few weeks.

Thank you!

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC)(FBI)
Sent: Friday, January 02, 2015 12:01 PM
To: 'Daniel Charles Richman'
Cc: Baker, James A.
Subject: RE: RE:

Happy New Year!

I'll ask Jim's scheduler block out some time on Jim's calendar to discuss this further.

As for the particulars of bringing you onboard, we would appoint you as a consultant. The formal mechanism would be a letter that you would countersign. The letter would contain a brief blurb about what the FBI would be bringing you on to do and the authority under which we would appoint you.

There also will be the oath and some ethics-related paperwork. If there are any particular ethics or conflicts concerns, we can bring in our ethics folks to address those. I doubt this will be an issue at all.

Our security folks would have some other standard forms down the road, too. But even those should be pretty painless, especially if you already have a security clearance. Do you have an active clearance? If so, which agency holds it?

Thank you.

[redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted] b6 -1,4 b7C -1,4
Sent: Wednesday, December 31, 2014 11:11 AM
To: Baker, James A.
Cc: [redacted] (OGC)(FBI)
Subject: Re: RE:

Dear Jim and [redacted] - as classes don't start til jan 20, my schedule is particularly flexible. Since you both have real jobs, just pick a time convenient to you and odds are that I can do it. In the meantime, all the best for the new year
Dan r

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted] b6 -4 b7C -4
Cell: [redacted] b7E -3

On Dec 31, 2014, at 9:27 AM, Baker, James A. [redacted] wrote:

Dan:

I hope all is well.

I'm copying [redacted] from OGC to help work out the details of how to make this happen. b6 -1 b7C -1
Let's also find time in the next week or two to discuss this a bit more.

Thanks.

Jim

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Friday, December 19, 2014 12:18 PM
To: Baker, James A.
Subject:

b6 -4
b7C -4

Dear Jim -- To follow up on our call - I'm indeed interested in working with you along the lines we discussed. And I'm planning to use a chunk of my winter break diving into the literature.
best
Dan Richman

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

[redacted] (OGC)(FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC)(FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, January 07, 2015 1:53 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: FW: Meeting w/ Daniel Richman

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto [redacted] b6 -1,4 b7C -1,4
Sent: Wednesday, January 07, 2015 1:41 PM
To: Baker, James A.
Cc [redacted] (OGC)(FBI)
Subject: Re: Meeting w/ Daniel Richman

Looking forward to chatting tomorrow. Should I just call you office at 1 pm?
thx
dan richman

On Fri, Jan 2, 2015 at 3:22 PM, Daniel Charles Richman [redacted] wrote: b6 -4 b7C -4
Thurs at 1 is fine
Thx
Dan r

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted] b6 -4 b7C -4
Cell: [redacted] b7E -3

> On Jan 2, 2015, at 2:59 PM, Baker, James A. [redacted] wrote:
>
> When: Thursday, January 08, 2015 1:00 PM-1:30 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada).
> Where: FBI HQ Room 7427
>
> Note: The GMT offset above does not reflect daylight saving time adjustments.
>
> *~*~*~*~*~*~*~*~*~*
>
> I apologize for the date/time change. GC Baker now has a conflict at 2pm on Monday. Please let me know if Thursday at 1pm works for you!
>
> Thank you,
>
> [redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1
>
>
> Good afternoon Mr. Richman,
>

> Happy New Year! Per your emails with [redacted] and Jim Baker, I have blocked off time on the GC's calendar for Monday at 2:00pm. Please let me know if this date/time is convenient for you. b6 -1 b7C -1
>
> Thank you,
>
> [redacted]
> Office of the General Counsel
> Federal Bureau of Investigation
> Phone: [redacted]
>
> <meeting.ics>

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

[redacted] b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

From: [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, January 07, 2015 1:58 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: Phone Call with FBI GC Tomorrow

Good afternoon Mr. Richman,

Our office number is [redacted] If it is more convenient for GC Baker to call you, please provide a phone number for him to reach you! b6 -1 b7C -1

Thank you,

[redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1
Legal Administrative Specialist
Office of the General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Phone: [redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, January 07, 2015 1:58 PM
To: [redacted](OGC)(FBI)
Subject: RE: Meeting w/ Daniel Richman

I'm on it- thank you!

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Legal Administrative Specialist
Office of the General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Phone: [redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC)(FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, January 07, 2015 1:53 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: FW: Meeting w/ Daniel Richman

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, January 07, 2015 1:41 PM
To: Baker, James A.
Cc: [redacted](OGC)(FBI)
Subject: Re: Meeting w/ Daniel Richman

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

Looking forward to chatting tomorrow. Should I just call you office at 1 pm?
thx
dan richman

On Fri, Jan 2, 2015 at 3:22 PM, Daniel Charles Richman [redacted] wrote:
Thurs at 1 is fine
Thx
Dan r

b6 -4
b7C -4

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted]
Cell: [redacted]

> On Jan 2, 2015, at 2:59 PM, Baker, James A. [redacted] wrote: b7E -3
>
> When: Thursday, January 08, 2015 1:00 PM-1:30 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada).
> Where: FBI HQ Room 7427
>
> Note: The GMT offset above does not reflect daylight saving time adjustments.
>
> *~*~*~*~*~*~*~*~*~*~*
>
> I apologize for the date/time change. GC Baker now has a conflict at 2pm on Monday. Please let me know if Thursday at 1pm works for you!
>
> Thank you,
>
> [redacted] b6 -1
> b7C -1
>
>
> Good afternoon Mr. Richman,
>
> Happy New Year! Per your emails with [redacted] and Jim Baker, I have blocked off time on the GC's calendar for Monday at 2:00pm. Please let me know if this date/time is convenient for you.
>
> Thank you,
>
> [redacted] b6 -1
> b7C -1
> Office of the General Counsel
> Federal Bureau of Investigation
> Phone: [redacted]
>
> <meeting.ics>

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

Daniel Charles Richman

From: Daniel Charles Richman
Sent: Wednesday, January 07, 2015 1:59 PM
To: [redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1
Subject: Re: Phone Call with FBI GC Tomorrow

perfect. I will call
dr

On Wed, Jan 7, 2015 at 1:57 PM, [redacted] wrote: b6 -1 b7C -1 b7E -3

> Good afternoon Mr. Richman,
>
> Our office number is [redacted] If it is more convenient for GC Baker to call you, please provide a phone number for him to reach you!
>
> Thank you,
>
> [redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1
>
> Legal Administrative Specialist
>
> Office of the General Counsel
>
> Federal Bureau of Investigation
>
> Phone: [redacted]
>
> Confidentiality Statement:
>
> This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Daniel Charles Richman

From: Daniel Charles Richman
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 11:45 AM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: Rosenberg, Charles P. (DO) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1
Subject: Re: Dc trip

Thanks to you all for making time to meet with me. I'll be seeing the Director Thurs 4/9 at 3 pm, and Jim B & crew on Fri 4/10 at 3 pm. If any of you would find it useful for me to come at other times as well, particularly on the friday, please just let me know. I'm happy to use my free time to read, fill out forms, get printed and do whatever else advances the project
thx
dan r

On Wed, Mar 25, 2015 at 7:48 AM, Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote: b6 -1 b7C -1 b7E -3

Dan:

I hope we can make all this work. I'm copying my assistant [redacted] to help with scheduling. Thanks.

[redacted]

[redacted] and [redacted] should attend, along with [redacted]

Thanks.

Jim

-----Original Message-----
From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted] b6 -4 b7C -4
Sent: Tuesday, March 24, 2015 10:01 PM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); Rosenberg, Charles P. (DO) (FBI)
Subject: Dc trip

Dear Jim and Chuck - I'd like to make my mid April trip to DC (for a clerks reunion) productive. Since Jim c can see me thurs but not fri, I'm planning (if my host, [redacted] from the dc Usao, agrees) to come April 9 (to make a 3 pm slot with the dir) making me available april 10. Might we meet that fri to help me get up to speed? b6 -5 b7C -5
Thx
Dan Richman

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted] b6 -4 b7C -4
[redacted]

Cell: [redacted]

b6 -4
b7C -4

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Daniel Charles Richman

From: Daniel Charles Richman
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 8:39 AM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (CON)
Subject: Re: RE:

b6 -1,2
b7C -1,2

Jim et al - I'm driving [redacted] to DC on the 5th, and can meet on the 6th. If it would be productive, I can stay thereafter.

I've not arranged where to stay and need to do that. Please let me know ASAP so I can move on that.

In the meantime, please let me know if there's anything I can do to get up to speed
Looking forward
Dan Richman

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted]
Cell: [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4
b7E -3

On Jun 29, 2015, at 7:54 AM, Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

> Dan and [redacted]
>
> Can we set up a time for Dan to come down to discuss next steps? Copying [redacted] and [redacted] to help with that as well.
>
> Thanks.
>
> Jim
>
> **From:** Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
> **Sent:** Monday, June 01, 2015 5:24 PM
> **To:** Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
> **Subject:**
>
> Dear Jim -- Just returned from a fascinating trip to Rio -- where prosecutors, defense lawyers and students are deeply interested (for all the obvious reasons) in the US's reliance on cooperation for conspiracy cases.
> Now that I'm back, I'm trying to plan my summer projects. Have you thoughts in that regard?
> thx
> dan richman

b6 -4
b7C -4

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937728

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

[redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 3:56 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: FW: RE:

Hi [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted] and I were waiting for you to see Mr. Richman's email below. Let me know how you want us to proceed. We can clear Jim's schedule either from 10:00 – 10:30am on 7/6 or from 4:00 – 5:00pm that day.

[redacted]
Management and Program Analyst
Office of the General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Phone: [redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1,2,4
b7C -1,2,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 8:39 AM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (CON)
Subject: Re: RE:

Jim et al - I'm driving [redacted] to DC on the 5th, and can meet on the 6th. If it would be productive, I can stay thereafter.

I've not arranged where to stay and need to do that. Please let me know ASAP so I can move on that.

In the meantime, please let me know if there's anything I can do to get up to speed
Looking forward
Dan Richman

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted]
Cell: [redacted]

b6 -4
b7C -4
b7E -3

On Jun 29, 2015, at 7:54 AM, Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

Dan and [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Can we set up a time for Dan to come down to discuss next steps? Copying [redacted] and [redacted] to help with that as well.

Thanks.

Jim

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 5:24 PM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject:

b6 -4
b7C -4

Dear Jim -- Just returned from a fascinating trip to Rio -- where prosecutors, defense lawyers and students are deeply interested (for all the obvious reasons) in the US's reliance on cooperation for conspiracy cases.
Now that I'm back, I'm trying to plan my summer projects. Have you thoughts in that regard?
thx
dan richman

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 7:54 AM
To: Daniel Charles Richman; [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (CON)
Subject: RE:

b6 -1
b7C -1

Dan and [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Can we set up a time for Dan to come down to discuss next steps? Copying [redacted] and [redacted] to help with that as well.

Thanks.

Jim

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto [redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 5:24 PM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject:

b6 -4
b7C -4

Dear Jim -- Just returned from a fascinating trip to Rio -- where prosecutors, defense lawyers and students are deeply interested (for all the obvious reasons) in the US's reliance on cooperation for conspiracy cases.
Now that I'm back, I'm trying to plan my summer projects. Have you thoughts in that regard?
thx
dan richman

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

[redacted] (OGC) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 4:01 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: RE:

Whichever would work best for Jim. I will be out Monday, so it will just be Jim and Dan. I'm hoping to hear back from security to see if they can have him get his badge and such in NY later this week. That will make things smoother for him when he comes here next week. But we might have to do his badge, etc., next week. In light of that, I would lean toward the 4-5 slot. That way, he can come in early and get everything done before he meets with Jim.

[redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 3:56 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: FW: RE:

Hi [redacted]

[redacted] and I were waiting for you to see Mr. Richman's email below. Let me know how you want us to proceed. We can clear Jim's schedule either from 10:00 – 10:30am on 7/6 or from 4:00 – 5:00pm that day.

[redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1
Management and Program Analyst
Office of the General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Phone: [redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 8:39 AM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (CON)
Subject: Re: RE:

b6 -1,2,4
b7C -1,2,4

Jim et al - I'm driving [redacted] to DC on the 5th, and can meet on the 6th. If it would be productive, I can stay thereafter.

I've not arranged where to stay and need to do that. Please let me know ASAP so I can move on that.

In the meantime, please let me know if there's anything I can do to get up to speed
Looking forward
Dan Richman

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted]
Cell: [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4
b7E -3

On Jun 29, 2015, at 7:54 AM, Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

> Dan and [redacted]
>
> Can we set up a time for Dan to come down to discuss next steps? Copying [redacted] and [redacted] to help with that as well.
>
> Thanks.
>
> Jim

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 5:24 PM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject:

b6 -4
b7C -4

Dear Jim -- Just returned from a fascinating trip to Rio -- where prosecutors, defense lawyers and students are deeply interested (for all the obvious reasons) in the US's reliance on cooperation for conspiracy cases.
Now that I'm back, I'm trying to plan my summer projects. Have you thoughts in that regard?
thx
dan richman

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]

b6 -4
b7C -4

You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

[redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 4:02 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: RE:

Got it -- thank you for the info! Let me know how it goes with Security, and I will block off Jim's calendar from 4-5.

[redacted]
Management and Program Analyst
Office of the General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Phone: [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 4:01 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: RE:

Whichever would work best for Jim. I will be out Monday, so it will just be Jim and Dan. I'm hoping to hear back from security to see if they can have him get his badge and such in NY later this week. That will make things smoother for him when he comes here next week. But we might have to do his badge, etc., next week. In light of that, I would lean toward the 4-5 slot. That way, he can come in early and get everything done before he meets with Jim.

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 3:56 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: FW: RE:

b6 -1
b7C -1

Hi [redacted]

[redacted] and I were waiting for you to see Mr. Richman's email below. Let me know how you want us to proceed. We can clear Jim's schedule either from 10:00 – 10:30am on 7/6 or from 4:00 – 5:00pm that day.

[redacted]
Management and Program Analyst
Office of the General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Phone: [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1,2,4
b7C -1,2,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 8:39 AM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (CON)
Subject: Re: RE:

Jim et al - I'm driving [redacted] to DC on the 5th, and can meet on the 6th. If it would be productive, I can stay thereafter.

I've not arranged where to stay and need to do that. Please let me know ASAP so I can move on that.

In the meantime, please let me know if there's anything I can do to get up to speed
Looking forward
Dan Richman

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted]
Cell: [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4
b7E -3

On Jun 29, 2015, at 7:54 AM, Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

> Dan and [redacted]
>
> Can we set up a time for Dan to come down to discuss next steps? Copying [redacted] and [redacted] to help with that as well.
>
> Thanks.

Jim

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 5:24 PM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject:

b6 -4
b7C -4

Dear Jim -- Just returned from a fascinating trip to Rio -- where prosecutors, defense lawyers and students are deeply interested (for all the obvious reasons) in the US's reliance on cooperation for conspiracy cases.
Now that I'm back, I'm trying to plan my summer projects. Have you thoughts in that regard?
thx
dan richman

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

[redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 4:50 PM
To: Daniel Charles Richman; Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (CON)
Subject: RE: RE:

Dan,

That is good news. I'm working with our on-boarding folks to see if we can get you in for a badge and any other related first day matters up in our NY Field Office this week. It helps immensely that you are already read in for your security clearance. Would you be available later this week in NY if they can swing it? If that works for everyone, we will make that to-be-determined day later this week your first official day. If not, we'll try to shoot for doing it down here on Monday and make that your official first day.

I also hope to have your appointment letter ready for you to countersign later today or tomorrow. I'll send that by PDF as soon as I do.

Thank you.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1,2,4
b7C -1,2,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 8:39 AM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (CON)
Subject: Re: RE:

Jim et al - I'm driving [redacted] to DC on the 5th, and can meet on the 6th. If it would be productive, I can stay thereafter.

I've not arranged where to stay and need to do that. Please let me know ASAP so I can move on that.

In the meantime, please let me know if there's anything I can do to get up to speed

Looking forward
Dan Richman

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office [redacted]
Cell: [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4
b7E -3

On Jun 29, 2015, at 7:54 AM, Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

Dan and [redacted]

Can we set up a time for Dan to come down to discuss next steps? Copying [redacted] and [redacted] to help with that as well.

Thanks.

Jim

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto [redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 5:24 PM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject:

b6 -4
b7C -4

Dear Jim -- Just returned from a fascinating trip to Rio -- where prosecutors, defense lawyers and students are deeply interested (for all the obvious reasons) in the US's reliance on cooperation for conspiracy cases.
Now that I'm back, I'm trying to plan my summer projects. Have you thoughts in that regard?
thx
dan richman

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

Daniel Charles Richman

From: Daniel Charles Richman
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 5:04 PM
To: [redacted]. (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (CON)
Subject: Re: RE:

b6 -1
b7C -1

Excellent! I can swing by the NYFO tomorrow afternoon; or anytime on Wednesday; or thurs until 10 (have to be at school at 11). And if these don't work, I'm ready to cancel/move things around to make sure this happens. (I can even shave and show up at 26 Fed right now).

I will plan to come to DC HQ on Monday the 6th. Please tell me when/where/to whom to report. Also, while I can around to see if i can stay with a friend, it would certainly be more efficient if I could get a govt rate at some place near the Bu. Would that be possible If that can't be done this time around, perhaps the next?

Thanks so much for getting this moving
dan richman

On Mon, Jun 29, 2015 at 4:50 PM, [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

> Dan,
>
> That is good news. I'm working with our on-boarding folks to see if we can get you in for a badge and any other related first day matters up in our NY Field Office this week. It helps immensely that you are already read in for your security clearance. Would you be available later this week in NY if they can swing it? If that works for everyone, we will make that to-be-determined day later this week your first official day. If not, we'll try to shoot for doing it down here on Monday and make that your official first day.
>
> I also hope to have your appointment letter ready for you to countersign later today or tomorrow. I'll send that by PDF as soon as I do.
>
> Thank you.
>
> [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Associate General Counsel

Federal Bureau of Investigation

[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:

This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 8:39 AM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (CON)
Subject: Re: RE:

b6 -1,2,4
b7C -1,2,4
b7E -3

Jim et al - I'm driving [redacted] to DC on the 5th, and can meet on the 6th. If it would be productive, I can stay thereafter.

I've not arranged where to stay and need to do that. Please let me know ASAP so I can move on that.

In the meantime, please let me know if there's anything I can do to get up to speed

Looking forward

Dan Richman

Daniel Richman

Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law

Columbia Law School

Office: [redacted]

Cell: [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4
b7E -3

On Jun 29, 2015, at 7:54 AM, Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

Dan and [redacted]

Can we set up a time for Dan to come down to discuss next steps? Copying [redacted] and [redacted] to help with that as well.

Thanks.

Jim

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 5:24 PM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject:

b6 -4
b7C -4

Dear Jim -- Just returned from a fascinating trip to Rio -- where prosecutors, defense lawyers and students are deeply interested (for all the obvious reasons) in the US's reliance on cooperation for conspiracy cases.

Now that I'm back, I'm trying to plan my summer projects. Have you thoughts in that regard?

thx

dan richman

--

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

[redacted] (OGC) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2015 6:35 PM
To: Daniel Charles Richman
Cc: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (CON)
Subject: RE: RE:

Thanks for getting this back so quickly.

It looks like NYO *should* be able to on-board you on Thursday morning first thing. Unfortunately, I don't have final confirmation of that yet. I'll let you know as soon as I do. I believe they will be able to read you into SCI access then, too. That would be the last HR/Security processing step in the long journey that is FBI on-boarding. Once you are on-board, you are ours, so we can have confidential conversations with you as needed and start actually getting you into things.

As for Monday, coming off of the holiday, Jim only has a half hour open on his calendar, 10-10:30 a.m. He is out of the office on business the rest of this week, so things tend to back up. [redacted] put you down for the slot, but I'm not sure it will be worth your trouble. (Of course, talking to Jim for a half hour could never be a waste of time!) I am out Monday, too, so I would again fail to meet you in person, for which I apologize in advance. And I also am not sure we will have computer access for you by then. I'm afraid all of these factors would combine to make for a poor use of your time and also not be worth you staying an extra night, but I'll leave that to you and Jim to mull over and decide. b6 -1 b7C -1

Thanks again. I'll email you as soon as I confirm a time and contact for Thursday morning.

[redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted] b6 -1,4 b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2015 2:58 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Re: RE:

Letter is attached here.
Dentist was as exciting as ever

d

On Tue, Jun 30, 2015 at 2:40 PM, Daniel Charles Richman [redacted] wrote: b6 -4 b7C -4
I'll have the letter back to you in a few minutes. And i'm leaving tomorrow open to go to the NYFO.
In any event, I'm planning to come to HQ monday, once I know where/when etc. And I'll get a hotel room for Sun night, and we can deal with per diem etc next week,
thx
dr

On Tue, Jun 30, 2015 at 2:28 PM, [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote: b6 -1 b7C -1
I hope the dentist went well!

I still don't have word on getting you in for your official on-boarding. I do have your appointment letter and an ethics pamphlet from our HR folks, though. Please read and send me back via email or fax [redacted] at your earliest convenience. I'll let you know as soon as I hear back from the on-boarding folks.

Thanks,
[redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted] b6 -1,4 b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 5:27 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Re: RE:

i could also go to NYFO tomorrow am, so long as I can leave by 10:15 (for the dentist)

On Mon, Jun 29, 2015 at 5:04 PM, Daniel Charles Richman [redacted] wrote: b6 -4 b7C -4
Excellent! I can swing by the NYFO tomorrow afternoon; or anytime on Wednesday; or thurs until 10 (have to be at school at 11). And if these don't work, I'm ready to cancel/move things around to make sure this happens. (I can even shave and show up at 26 Fed right now).

I will plan to come to DC HQ on Monday the 6th. Please tell me when/where/to whom to report. Also, while I can around to see if i can stay with a friend, it would certainly be more efficient if I could get a govt rate at some place near the Bu. Would that be possible If that can't be done this time around, perhaps the next?

Thanks so much for getting this moving
dan richman

On Mon, Jun 29, 2015 at 4:50 PM, [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote: b6 -1 b7C -1 b7E -3

Dan,

That is good news. I'm working with our on-boarding folks to see if we can get you in for a badge and any other related first day matters up in our NY Field Office this week. It helps immensely that you are already read in for your security clearance. Would you be available later this week in NY if they can swing it? If that works for everyone, we will make that to-be-determined day later this week your first official day. If not, we'll try to shoot for doing it down here on Monday and make that your official first day.

I also hope to have your appointment letter ready for you to countersign later today or tomorrow. I'll send that by PDF as soon as I do.

Thank you.

[redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted] b6 -1,2,4 b7C -1,2,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 8:39 AM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (CON)
Subject: Re: RE:

Jim et al - I'm driving [redacted] to DC on the 5th, and can meet on the 6th. If it would be productive, I can stay thereafter.

I've not arranged where to stay and need to do that. Please let me know ASAP so I can move on that.

In the meantime, please let me know if there's anything I can do to get up to speed
Looking forward
Dan Richman

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted] b6 -4 b7C -4
Cell: [redacted]

On Jun 29, 2015, at 7:54 AM, Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

Dan and [redacted]

Can we set up a time for Dan to come down to discuss next steps? Copying [redacted] and [redacted] to help with that as well.

Thanks,

Jim

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto [redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 5:24 PM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject:

b6 -4
b7C -4

Dear Jim -- Just returned from a fascinating trip to Rio -- where prosecutors, defense lawyers and students are deeply interested (for all the obvious reasons) in the US's reliance on cooperation for conspiracy cases.
Now that I'm back, I'm trying to plan my summer projects. Have you thoughts in that regard?
thx
dan richman

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

--
Daniel Richman

Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

[redacted] (OGC) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2015 6:44 PM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Prof. Richman

Jim,

[redacted] and I talked through Richman's proposed Monday visit. In just thinking through it, I now really don't think it makes sense for him to stay in DC an extra night (having dropped off [redacted] just to come in for a half hour meeting with you. This is all the more so if NYO can take care of everything related to his badge, access, and any other on-boarding up there this week. b6 -1 b7C -1

Since he seemed bent on making plans, I wanted to get back to him as quickly as possible. In doing so, I tried to preview the possibility that his coming in on Monday might not makes sense, but also leave open the possibility if you really think we should invite him in. Either way, if you would rather I get back to him one way or the other, I certainly will.

[redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2015 6:35 PM
To: 'Daniel Charles Richman'
Cc: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (CON)
Subject: RE: RE:

Thanks for getting this back so quickly.

It looks like NYO *should* be able to on-board you on Thursday morning first thing. Unfortunately, I don't have final confirmation of that yet. I'll let you know as soon as I do. I believe they will be able to read you into SCI access then, too. That would be the last HR/Security processing step in the long journey that is FBI on-boarding. Once you are on-board, you are ours, so we can have confidential conversations with you as needed and start actually getting you into things.

As for Monday, coming off of the holiday, Jim only has a half hour open on his calendar, 10-10:30 a.m. He is out of the office on business the rest of this week, so things tend to back up. [redacted] put you down for the slot, but I'm not sure it will be worth your trouble. (Of course, talking to Jim for a half hour could never be a waste of time!) I am out Monday, too, so I would again fail to meet you in person, for which I apologize in advance. And I also am not sure we will have computer access for you by then. I'm afraid all of these factors would combine to make for a poor use of your time and also not be worth you staying an extra night, but I'll leave that to you and Jim to mull over and decide. b6 -1 b7C -1

Thanks again. I'll email you as soon as I confirm a time and contact for Thursday morning.

[redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2015 2:58 PM
To: [redacted], (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Re: RE:

Letter is attached here.
Dentist was as exciting as ever
d

On Tue, Jun 30, 2015 at 2:40 PM, Daniel Charles Richman [redacted] wrote:
I'll have the letter back to you in a few minutes. And i'm leaving tomorrow open to go to the NYFO.
In any event, I'm planning to come to HQ monday, once I know where/when etc. And I'll get a hotel room for Sun night, and we can deal with per diem etc next week,
thx
d

b6 -4
b7C -4

On Tue, Jun 30, 2015 at 2:28 PM, [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:
I hope the dentist went well!

b6 -1
b7C -1

I still don't have word on getting you in for your official on-boarding. I do have your appointment letter and an ethics pamphlet from our HR folks, though. Please read and send me back via email or fax (202-324-5366) at your earliest convenience. I'll let you know as soon as I hear back from the on-boarding folks.

Thanks,
[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]

Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 5:27 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) b6 -1,4
Subject: Re: RE: b7C -1,4

i could also go to NYFO tomorrow am, so long as I can leave by 10:15 (for the dentist)

On Mon, Jun 29, 2015 at 5:04 PM, Daniel Charles Richman [redacted] wrote:
Excellent! I can swing by the NYFO tomorrow afternoon; or anytime on Wednesday; or thurs until 10 (have to be at school at 11). And if these don't work, I'm ready to cancel/move things around to make sure this happens. (I can even shave and show up at 26 Fed right now).

I will plan to come to DC HQ on Monday the 6th. Please tell me when/where/to whom to report. Also, while I can around to see if i can stay with a friend, it would certainly be more efficient if I could get a govt rate at some place near the Bu. Would that be possible If that can't be done this time around, perhaps the next?

Thanks so much for getting this moving
dan richman

On Mon, Jun 29, 2015 at 4:50 PM, [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote: b6 -1
Dan, b7C -1

That is good news. I'm working with our on-boarding folks to see if we can get you in for a badge and any other related first day matters up in our NY Field Office this week. It helps immensely that you are already read in for your security clearance. Would you be available later this week in NY if they can swing it? If that works for everyone, we will make that to-be-determined day later this week your first official day. If not, we'll try to shoot for doing it down here on Monday and make that your official first day.

I also hope to have your appointment letter ready for you to countersign later today or tomorrow. I'll send that by PDF as soon as I do.

Thank you.

[redacted] b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted] b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto [redacted]

Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 8:39 AM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (CON)
Subject: Re: RE:

b6 -1,2
b7C -1,2

Jim et al - I'm driving [redacted] to DC on the 5th, and can meet on the 6th. If it would be productive, I can stay thereafter.

I've not arranged where to stay and need to do that. Please let me know ASAP so I can move on that.

In the meantime, please let me know if there's anything I can do to get up to speed
Looking forward
Dan Richman

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office [redacted]
Cell [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4
b7E -3

On Jun 29, 2015, at 7:54 AM, Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

Dan and [redacted]

Can we set up a time for Dan to come down to discuss next steps? Copying [redacted] and [redacted] to help with that as well.

Thanks.

Jim

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto [redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 5:24 PM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject:

b6 -4
b7C -4

Dear Jim -- Just returned from a fascinating trip to Rio -- where prosecutors, defense lawyers and students are deeply interested (for all the obvious reasons) in the US's reliance on cooperation for conspiracy cases.
Now that I'm back, I'm trying to plan my summer projects. Have you thoughts in that regard?
thx
dan richman

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

Daniel Charles Richman

From: Daniel Charles Richman
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2015 6:49 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1
Cc: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (CON)
Subject: Re: RE:

Excellent on the Thursday probability. I'll await your signal.

As for Monday: I'd *love* to meet with Jim Monday 10, even if only for a hour. I'll plan to return to NYC Monday afternoon (driving back with [redacted], unless other people want to talk to me. If I could give [redacted] a sense of how long I'll be staying, that would be great. b6 -2 b7C -2

Also if there are any materials that I can take out of the building to read up on the project, I'd be grateful if I could collect them Monday.
Thanks again for all your help in this. Sorry I'll miss you Mon., but I look forward to seeing you on future visits.
dan r

On Tue, Jun 30, 2015 at 6:34 PM, [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote: b6 -1 b7C -1 b7E -3

> Thanks for getting this back so quickly.
>
> It looks like NYO *should* be able to on-board you on Thursday morning first thing. Unfortunately, I don't have final confirmation of that yet. I'll let you know as soon as I do. I believe they will be able to read you into SCI access then, too. That would be the last HR/Security processing step in the long journey that is FBI on-boarding. Once you are on-board, you are ours, so we can have confidential conversations with you as needed and start actually getting you into things.
>
> As for Monday, coming off of the holiday, Jim only has a half hour open on his calendar, 10-10:30 a.m. He is out of the office on business the rest of this week, so things tend to back up. [redacted] put you down for the slot, but I'm not sure it will be worth your trouble. (Of course, talking to Jim for a half hour could never be a waste of time!) I am out Monday, too, so I would again fail to meet you in person, for which I apologize in advance. And I also am not sure we will have computer access for you by then. I'm afraid all of these factors would combine to make for a poor use of your time and also not be worth you staying an extra night, but I'll leave that to you and Jim to mull over and decide. b6 -1 b7C -1
>
> Thanks again. I'll email you as soon as I confirm a time and contact for Thursday morning.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

[redacted]

Associate General Counsel

Federal Bureau of Investigation

[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:

This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2015 2:58 PM

To: [redacted](OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Re: RE:

Letter is attached here.

Dentist was as exciting as ever

d

On Tue, Jun 30, 2015 at 2:40 PM, Daniel Charles Richman [redacted] wrote:

b6 -4
b7C -4

I'll have the letter back to you in a few minutes. And i'm leaving tomorrow open to go to the NYFO.

In any event, I'm planning to come to HQ monday, once I know where/when etc. And I'll get a hotel room for Sun night, and we can deal with per diem etc next week,

thx

dr

On Tue, Jun 30, 2015 at 2:28 PM, [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

I hope the dentist went well!

I still don't have word on getting you in for your official on-boarding. I do have your appointment letter and an ethics pamphlet from our HR folks, though. Please read and send me back via email or fax (202-324-5366) at your earliest convenience. I'll let you know as soon as I hear back from the on-boarding folks.

b6 -1
b7C -1

Thanks,

[redacted]

. ...

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Associate General Counsel

Federal Bureau of Investigation

[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:

This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 5:27 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Re: RE:

i could also go to NYFO tomorrow am, so long as I can leave by 10:15 (for the dentist)

On Mon, Jun 29, 2015 at 5:04 PM, Daniel Charles Richman [redacted] wrote:

b6 -4
b7C -4

Excellent! I can swing by the NYFO tomorrow afternoon; or anytime on Wednesday; or thurs until 10 (have to be at school at 11). And if these don't work, I'm ready to cancel/move things around to make sure this happens. (I can even shave and show up at 26 Fed right now).

I will plan to come to DC HQ on Monday the 6th. Please tell me when/where/to whom to report. Also, while I can around to see if i can stay with a friend, it would certainly be more efficient if I could get a govt rate at some place near the Bu. Would that be possible If that can't be done this time around, perhaps the next?

Thanks so much for getting this moving

dan richman

On Mon, Jun 29, 2015 at 4:50 PM, [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

Dan,

That is good news. I'm working with our on-boarding folks to see if we can get you in for a badge and any other related first day matters up in our NY Field Office this week. It helps immensely that you are already read in for your security clearance. Would you be available later this week in NY if they can swing it? If that works for everyone, we will make that to-be-determined day later this week your first official day. If not, we'll try to shoot for doing it down here on Monday and make that your official first day.

I also hope to have your appointment letter ready for you to countersign later today or tomorrow. I'll send that by PDF as soon as I do.

Thank you.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

. ...

[redacted]

Associate General Counsel

Federal Bureau of Investigation

[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:

This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 8:39 AM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (CON)
Subject: Re: RE:

b6 -1,2,4
b7C -1,2,4

Jim et al - I'm driving [redacted] to DC on the 5th, and can meet on the 6th. If it would be productive, I can stay thereafter.

I've not arranged where to stay and need to do that. Please let me know ASAP so I can move on that.

In the meantime, please let me know if there's anything I can do to get up to speed

Looking forward

Dan Richman

Daniel Richman

Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law

Columbia Law School

Office: [redacted]

Cell: [redacted]

b6 -4
b7C -4
b7E -3

On Jun 29, 2015, at 7:54 AM, Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

Dan and [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Can we set up a time for Dan to come down to discuss next steps? Copying [redacted] and [redacted] to help with that as well.

Thanks.

Jim

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 5:24 PM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject:

b6 -4
b7C -4

Dear Jim -- Just returned from a fascinating trip to Rio -- where prosecutors, defense lawyers and students are deeply interested (for all the obvious reasons) in the US's reliance on cooperation for conspiracy cases.

Now that I'm back, I'm trying to plan my summer projects. Have you thoughts in that regard?

thx

dan richman

--

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

--

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

--

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

[redacted] (OGC) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2015 9:16 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE:

He would not get per diem. It is only available when the majority of a work day is being spent on work business. Jim's calendar for Monday is already over full so we're going to have to cancel Daniel anyway.

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2015 9:14 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: FW:

[redacted]

Can I punt this to you? Please!

[redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted] b6 -1,4 b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2015 7:46 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject:

Bothering you with yet another question: would I get a per diem to cover (at least in part) a hotel sun evening? Otherwise I'll see if a friend can put me up

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted] b6 -4 b7C -4
Cell: [redacted]

On Jun 30, 2015, at 6:34 PM, [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

Thanks for getting this back so quickly.

It looks like NYO *should* be able to on-board you on Thursday morning first thing. Unfortunately, I don't have final confirmation of that yet. I'll let you know as soon as I do. I believe they will be able to read you into SCI access then, too. That would be the last HR/Security processing step in the long journey that is FBI on-boarding. Once you are on-board, you are ours, so we can have confidential conversations with you as needed and start actually getting you into things.

As for Monday, coming off of the holiday, Jim only has a half hour open on his calendar, 10-10:30 a.m. He is out of the office on business the rest of this week, so things tend to back up. [redacted] put you down for the slot, but I'm not sure it will be worth your trouble. (Of course, talking to Jim for a half hour could never be a waste of time!) I am out Monday, too, so I would again fail to meet you in person, for which I apologize in advance. And I also am not sure we will have computer access for you by then. I'm afraid all of these factors would combine to make for a poor use of your time and also not be worth you staying an extra night, but I'll leave that to you and Jim to mull over and decide.

b6 -1
b7C -1

Thanks again. I'll email you as soon as I confirm a time and contact for Thursday morning.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2015 2:58 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Re: RE:

Letter is attached here.
Dentist was as exciting as ever
d

On Tue, Jun 30, 2015 at 2:40 PM, Daniel Charles Richman [redacted] wrote:

b6 -4
b7C -4

I'll have the letter back to you in a few minutes. And i'm leaving tomorrow open to go to the NYFO.
In any event, I'm planning to come to HQ monday, once I know where/when etc. And I'll get a hotel room for Sun night, and we can deal with per diem etc next week,
thx
dr

On Tue, Jun 30, 2015 at 2:28 PM, [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

I hope the dentist went well!

I still don't have word on getting you in for your official on-boarding. I do have your appointment letter and an ethics pamphlet from our HR folks, though. Please read and send me back via email or fax (202-324-5366) at your earliest convenience. I'll let you know as soon as I hear back from the on-boarding folks.

Thanks,
[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 5:27 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Re: RE:

I could also go to NYFO tomorrow am, so long as I can leave by 10:15 (for the dentist)

On Mon, Jun 29, 2015 at 5:04 PM, Daniel Charles Richman [redacted] wrote:

b6 -4
b7C -4

Excellent! I can swing by the NYFO tomorrow afternoon; or anytime on Wednesday; or thurs until 10 (have to be at school at 11). And if these don't work, I'm ready to cancel/move things around to make sure this happens. (I can even shave and show up at 26 Fed right now).

I will plan to come to DC HQ on Monday the 6th. Please tell me when/where/to whom to report. Also, while I can around to see if I can stay with a friend, it would certainly be more efficient if I could get a govt rate at some place near the Bu. Would that be possible. If that can't be done this time around, perhaps the next?

Thanks so much for getting this moving
dan richman

On Mon, Jun 29, 2015 at 4:50 PM, [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

Dan,

That is good news. I'm working with our on-boarding folks to see if we can get you in for a badge and any other related first day matters up in our NY Field Office this week. It helps immensely that you are already read in for your security clearance. Would you be available later this week in NY if they can swing it? If that works for everyone, we will make that to-be-determined day later this week your first official day. If not, we'll try to shoot for doing it down here on Monday and make that your official first day.

I also hope to have your appointment letter ready for you to countersign later today or tomorrow. I'll send that by PDF as soon as I do.

Thank you.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1,2,4
b7C -1,2,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 8:39 AM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (CON)
Subject: Re: RE:

Jim et al - I'm driving [redacted] to DC on the 5th, and can meet on the 6th. If it would be productive, I can stay thereafter.

I've not arranged where to stay and need to do that. Please let me know ASAP so I can move on that.

In the meantime, please let me know if there's anything I can do to get up to speed
Looking forward
Dan Richman

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted]
Cell: [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4
b7E -3

On Jun 29, 2015, at 7:54 AM, Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

Dan and [redacted]

Can we set up a time for Dan to come down to discuss next steps? Copying [redacted] and [redacted] to help with that as well.

b6 -1
b7C -1

Thanks.

Jim

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 5:24 PM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject:

Dear Jim -- Just returned from a fascinating trip to Rio -- where prosecutors, defense lawyers and students are deeply interested (for all the obvious reasons) in the US's reliance on cooperation for conspiracy cases.
Now that I'm back, I'm trying to plan my summer projects. Have you thoughts in that regard?
thx
dan richman

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]

b6 -4
b7C -4

cellphone [redacted] b6 -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729 b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

[redacted] (OGC) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2015 9:14 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: FW:

[redacted]

Can I punt this to you? Please!

[redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted] b6 -1,4 b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2015 7:46 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject:

Bothering you with yet another question: would I get a per diem to cover (at least in part) a hotel sun evening? Otherwise I'll see if a friend can put me up

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted] b6 -1,4
Cell: [redacted] b7C -1,4 b7E -3

On Jun 30, 2015, at 6:34 PM, [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

> Thanks for getting this back so quickly.
>
> It looks like NYO *should* be able to on-board you on Thursday morning first thing. Unfortunately, I don't have final confirmation of that yet. I'll let you know as soon as I do. I believe they will be able to read you into SCI access then, too. That would be the last HR/Security processing step in the long journey that is FBI on-boarding. Once you are on-board, you are ours, so we can have confidential conversations with you as needed and start actually getting you into things.

As for Monday, coming off of the holiday, Jim only has a half hour open on his calendar, 10-10:30 a.m. He is out of the office on business the rest of this week, so things tend to back up. [redacted] put you down for the slot, but I'm not sure it will be worth your trouble. (Of course, talking to Jim for a half hour could never be a waste of time!) I am out Monday, too, so I would again fail to meet you in person, for which I apologize in advance. And I also am not sure we will have computer access for you by then. I'm afraid all of these factors would combine to make for a poor use of your time and also not be worth you staying an extra night, but I'll leave that to you and Jim to mull over and decide.

b6 -1
b7C -1

Thanks again. I'll email you as soon as I confirm a time and contact for Thursday morning.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2015 2:58 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Re: RE:

Letter is attached here.
Dentist was as exciting as ever
d

On Tue, Jun 30, 2015 at 2:40 PM, Daniel Charles Richman [redacted] wrote:
I'll have the letter back to you in a few minutes. And i'm leaving tomorrow open to go to the NYFO.
In any event, I'm planning to come to HQ monday, once I know where/when etc. And I'll get a hotel room for Sun night, and we can deal with per diem etc next week,
thx
dr

b6 -4
b7C -4

On Tue, Jun 30, 2015 at 2:28 PM, [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:
I hope the dentist went well!

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

I still don't have word on getting you in for your official on-boarding. I do have your appointment letter and an ethics pamphlet from our HR folks, though. Please read and send me back via email or fax (202-324-5366) at your earliest convenience. I'll let you know as soon as I hear back from the on-boarding folks.

Thanks,
[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 5:27 PM
To: [redacted](OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Re: RE:

i could also go to NYFO tomorrow am, so long as I can leave by 10:15 (for the dentist)

On Mon, Jun 29, 2015 at 5:04 PM, Daniel Charles Richman [redacted] wrote:
Excellent! I can swing by the NYFO tomorrow afternoon; or anytime on Wednesday; or thurs until 10 (have to be at school at 11). And if these don't work, I'm ready to cancel/move things around to make sure this happens. (I can even shave and show up at 26 Fed right now).

b6 -4
b7C -4

I will plan to come to DC HQ on Monday the 6th. Please tell me when/where/to whom to report. Also, while I can around to see if i can stay with a friend, it would certainly be more efficient if I could get a govt rate at some place near the Bu. Would that be possible If that can't be done this time around, perhaps the next?

Thanks so much for getting this moving
dan richman

On Mon, Jun 29, 2015 at 4:50 PM, [redacted](OGC) (FBI)
[redacted] wrote:
Dan,

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

That is good news. I'm working with our on-boarding folks to see if we can get you in for a badge and any other related first day matters up in our NY Field Office this week. It helps immensely that you are already read in for your security clearance. Would you be available later this week in NY if they can swing it? If that works for everyone, we will make that to-be-determined day later this week your first official day. If not, we'll try to shoot for doing it down here on Monday and make that your official first day.

I also hope to have your appointment letter ready for you to countersign later today or tomorrow. I'll send that by PDF as soon as I do.

Thank you.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement.
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1,2,4
b7C -1,2,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 8:39 AM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (CON)
Subject: Re: RE:

Jim et al - I'm driving [redacted] to DC on the 5th, and can meet on the 6th. If it would be productive, I can stay thereafter.

I've not arranged where to stay and need to do that. Please let me know ASAP so I can move on that.

In the meantime, please let me know if there's anything I can do to get up to speed
Looking forward
Dan Richman

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted]
Cell: [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4
b7E -3

On Jun 29, 2015, at 7:54 AM, Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

> Dan and [redacted]
>
> Can we set up a time for Dan to come down to discuss next steps? Copying [redacted] and [redacted] to help with that as well.
>
> Thanks.
>
> Jim

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 5:24 PM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject:

b6 -4
b7C -4

Dear Jim -- Just returned from a fascinating trip to Rio -- where prosecutors, defense lawyers and students are deeply interested (for all the obvious reasons) in the US's reliance on cooperation for conspiracy cases.
Now that I'm back, I'm trying to plan my summer projects. Have you thoughts in that regard?
thx
dan richman

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

Daniel Charles Richman

From: Daniel Charles Richman
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2015 9:53 PM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: Daniel Charles Richman; [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (CON)
Subject: Re: RE: RE:

b6 -1
b7C -1

Perfect. I do look forward

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted]
Cell: [redacted]

b6 -4
b7C -4
b7E -3

On Jun 30, 2015, at 9:29 PM, Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

Hey Dan,

Let's meet on Monday even if it is only for a short time. I'd like to meet you and start to get you up to speed.

Thanks.

Jim

--

-------- Original message --------
From: Daniel Charles Richman [redacted]
Date:06/30/2015 5:48 PM (GMT-06:00)
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]
Cc: "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted] (OGC) (CON)" [redacted]
Subject: Re: RE:

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4
b7E -3

Excellent on the Thursday probability. I'll await your signal.

As for Monday: I'd *love* to meet with Jim Monday 10, even if only for a hour. I'll plan to return to NYC Monday afternoon (driving back with [redacted], unless other people want to talk to me. If I could give [redacted] a sense of how long I'll be staying, that would be great.

b6 -2
b7C -2

Also if there are any materials that I can take out of the building to read up on the project,

I'd be grateful if I could collect them Monday.
Thanks again for all your help in this. Sorry I'll miss you Mon., but I look forward to seeing you on future visits.
dan r

On Tue, Jun 30, 2015 at 6:34 PM, [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] [redacted] wrote:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

Thanks for getting this back so quickly.

It looks like NYO *should* be able to on-board you on Thursday morning first thing. Unfortunately, I don't have final confirmation of that yet. I'll let you know as soon as I do. I believe they will be able to read you into SCI access then, too. That would be the last HR/Security processing step in the long journey that is FBI on-boarding. Once you are on-board, you are ours, so we can have confidential conversations with you as needed and start actually getting you into things.

As for Monday, coming off of the holiday, Jim only has a half hour open on his calendar, 10-10:30 a.m. He is out of the office on business the rest of this week, so things tend to back up. [redacted] put you down for the slot, but I'm not sure it will be worth your trouble. (Of course, talking to Jim for a half hour could never be a waste of time!) I am out Monday, too, so I would again fail to meet you in person, for which I apologize in advance. And I also am not sure we will have computer access for you by then. I'm afraid all of these factors would combine to make for a poor use of your time and also not be worth you staying an extra night, but I'll leave that to you and Jim to mull over and decide.

b6 -1
b7C -1

Thanks again. I'll email you as soon as I confirm a time and contact for Thursday morning.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]

Associate General Counsel

Federal Bureau of Investigation

[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:

This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2015 2:58 PM

To: [redacted](OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Re: RE:

Letter is attached here.

Dentist was as exciting as ever

d

On Tue, Jun 30, 2015 at 2:40 PM, Daniel Charles Richman [redacted] wrote:

b6 -4
b7C -4

I'll have the letter back to you in a few minutes. And i'm leaving tomorrow open to go to the NYFO.

In any event, I'm planning to come to HQ monday, once I know where/when etc. And I'll get a hotel room for Sun night, and we can deal with per diem etc next week,

thx

dr

On Tue, Jun 30, 2015 at 2:28 PM, [redacted](OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

I hope the dentist went well!

I still don't have word on getting you in for your official on-boarding. I do have your

appointment letter and an ethics pamphlet from our HR folks, though. Please read and send me back via email or fax (202-324-5366) at your earliest convenience. I'll let you know as soon as I hear back from the on-boarding folks.

b6 -1
b7C -1

Thanks,

[redacted]

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Associate General Counsel

Federal Bureau of Investigation

[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:

This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted].

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 5:27 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Re: RE:

i could also go to NYFO tomorrow am, so long as I can leave by 10:15 (for the dentist)

On Mon, Jun 29, 2015 at 5:04 PM, Daniel Charles Richman [redacted] wrote:

b6 -4
b7C -4

Excellent! I can swing by the NYFO tomorrow afternoon; or anytime on Wednesday; or thurs until 10 (have to be at school at 11). And if these don't work, I'm ready to cancel/move things around to make sure this happens. (I can even shave and show up

at 26 Fed right now).

I will plan to come to DC HQ on Monday the 6th. Please tell me when/where/to whom to report. Also, while I can around to see if i can stay with a friend, it would certainly be more efficient if I could get a govt rate at some place near the Bu. Would that be possible If that can't be done this time around, perhaps the next?

Thanks so much for getting this moving

dan richman

On Mon, Jun 29, 2015 at 4:50 PM, [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

Dan,

That is good news. I'm working with our on-boarding folks to see if we can get you in for a badge and any other related first day matters up in our NY Field Office this week. It helps immensely that you are already read in for your security clearance. Would you be available later this week in NY if they can swing it? If that works for everyone, we will make that to-be-determined day later this week your first official day. If not, we'll try to shoot for doing it down here on Monday and make that your official first day.

I also hope to have your appointment letter ready for you to countersign later today or tomorrow. I'll send that by PDF as soon as I do.

Thank you.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Associate General Counsel

Federal Bureau of Investigation

[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:

This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1,2,4
b7C -1,2,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 8:39 AM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted](OGC) (FBI);[redacted](OGC) (FBI);[redacted](OGC) (CON)
Subject: Re: RE:

Jim et al - I'm driving [redacted] to DC on the 5th, and can meet on the 6th. If it would be productive, I can stay thereafter.

I've not arranged where to stay and need to do that. Please let me know ASAP so I can move on that.

In the meantime, please let me know if there's anything I can do to get up to speed

Looking forward

Dan Richman

Daniel Richman

Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law

Columbia Law School

Office: [redacted]

Cell: [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4
b7E -3

On Jun 29, 2015, at 7:54 AM, Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

Dan and [redacted]

Can we set up a time for Dan to come down to discuss next steps? Copying [redacted] and [redacted] to help with that as well.

Thanks.

Jim

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 5:24 PM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject:

b6 -4
b7C -4

Dear Jim -- Just returned from a fascinating trip to Rio -- where prosecutors, defense lawyers and students are deeply interested (for all the obvious reasons) in the US's reliance on cooperation for conspiracy cases.

Now that I'm back, I'm trying to plan my summer projects. Have you thoughts in that regard?

thx

dan richman

--

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

--

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

--

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

[redacted] (OGC) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2015 9:18 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE:

It's already gone.

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2015 9:17 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE:

I blocked off an hour for him from 10:00 – 11:00am, but let me know if that needs to be removed.

[redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1
Management and Program Analyst
Office of the General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Phone: [redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2015 9:17 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE:

He would not get per diem. It is only available when the majority of a work day is being spent on work business. Jim's calendar for Monday is already over full so we're going to have to cancel Daniel anyway.

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2015 9:14 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: FW:

[redacted]

Can I punt this to you? Please!

[redacted]

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2015 7:46 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject:

Bothering you with yet another question: would I get a per diem to cover (at least in part) a hotel sun evening? Otherwise I'll see if a friend can put me up

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted]
Cell: [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4
b7E -3

On Jun 30, 2015, at 6:34 PM, [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

Thanks for getting this back so quickly.

It looks like NYO *should* be able to on-board you on Thursday morning first thing. Unfortunately, I don't have final confirmation of that yet. I'll let you know as soon as I do. I believe they will be able to read you into SCI access then, too. That would be the last HR/Security processing step in the long journey that is FBI on-boarding. Once you are on-board, you are ours, so we can have confidential conversations with you as needed and start actually getting you into things.

As for Monday, coming off of the holiday, Jim only has a half hour open on his calendar, 10-10:30 a.m. He is out of the office on business the rest of this week, so things tend to back up. [redacted] put you down for the slot, but I'm not sure it will be worth your trouble. (Of course, talking to Jim for a half hour could never be a waste of time!) I am out Monday, too, so I would again fail to meet you in person, for which I apologize in advance. And I also am not sure we will have computer access for you by then. I'm afraid all of these factors would combine to make for a poor use of your time and also not be worth you staying an extra night, but I'll leave that to you and Jim to mull over and decide.

b6 -1
b7C -1

Thanks again. I'll email you as soon as I confirm a time and contact for Thursday morning.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]

Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2015 2:58 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Re: RE:

Letter is attached here.
Dentist was as exciting as ever
d

On Tue, Jun 30, 2015 at 2:40 PM, Daniel Charles Richman [redacted] wrote:
I'll have the letter back to you in a few minutes. And i'm leaving tomorrow open to go to the NYFO.
In any event, I'm planning to come to HQ monday, once I know where/when etc. And I'll get a hotel room for Sun night, and we can deal with per diem etc next week.
thx
dr

On Tue, Jun 30, 2015 at 2:28 PM, [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

b6 -1
b7C -1

I hope the dentist went well!

I still don't have word on getting you in for your official on-boarding. I do have your appointment letter and an ethics pamphlet from our HR folks, though. Please read and send me back via email or fax (202-324-5366) at your earliest convenience. I'll let you know as soon as I hear back from the on-boarding folks.

Thanks,
[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 5:27 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Re: RE:

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

i could also go to NYFO tomorrow am, so long as I can leave by 10:15 (for the dentist)

On Mon, Jun 29, 2015 at 5:04 PM, Daniel Charles Richman [redacted] wrote:
Excellent! I can swing by the NYFO tomorrow afternoon; or anytime on Wednesday; or thurs until 10 (have to be at school at 11). And if these don't work, I'm ready to cancel/move things around to make sure this happens. (I can even shave and show up at 26 Fed right now).

I will plan to come to DC HQ on Monday the 6th. Please tell me when/where/to whom to report. Also, while I can around to see if i can stay with a friend, it would certainly be more efficient if I could get a govt rate at some place near the Bu. Would that be possible If that can't be done this time around, perhaps the next?

Thanks so much for getting this moving
dan richman

On Mon, Jun 29, 2015 at 4:50 PM, [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

Dan,

That is good news. I'm working with our on-boarding folks to see if we can get you in for a badge and any other related first day matters up in our NY Field Office this week. It helps immensely that you are already read in for your security clearance. Would you be available later this week in NY if they can swing it? If that works for everyone, we will make that to-be-determined day later this week your first official day. If not, we'll try to shoot for doing it down here on Monday and make that your official first day.

I also hope to have your appointment letter ready for you to countersign later today or tomorrow. I'll send that by PDF as soon as I do.

Thank you.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly

without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 8:39 AM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (CON)
Subject: Re: RE:

Jim et al - I'm driving [redacted] to DC on the 5th, and can meet on the 6th. If it would be productive, I can stay thereafter.

I've not arranged where to stay and need to do that. Please let me know ASAP so I can move on that.

In the meantime, please let me know if there's anything I can do to get up to speed
Looking forward
Dan Richman

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted]
Cell [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4
b7E -3

On Jun 29, 2015, at 7:54 AM, Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

> Dan and [redacted]
>
> Can we set up a time for Dan to come down to discuss next steps? Copying [redacted] and [redacted] to help with that as well.
>
> Thanks.
>
> Jim
>
> ---
>
> **From:** Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
> **Sent:** Monday, June 01, 2015 5:24 PM
> **To:** Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
> **Subject:**
>
> Dear Jim -- Just returned from a fascinating trip to Rio -- where prosecutors, defense lawyers and students are deeply interested (for all the obvious reasons) in the US's reliance on cooperation for conspiracy cases.
> Now that I'm back, I'm trying to plan my summer projects. Have you thoughts in that regard?
> thx
> dan richman
>
> --
> Daniel Richman

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]

b6 -4
b7C -4

You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

[redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2015 9:17 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE:

I blocked off an hour for him from 10:00 – 11:00am, but let me know if that needs to be removed.

[redacted]
Management and Program Analyst
Office of the General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Phone: [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2015 9:17 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE:

He would not get per diem. It is only available when the majority of a work day is being spent on work business. Jim's calendar for Monday is already over full so we're going to have to cancel Daniel anyway.

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2015 9:14 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: FW:

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]

Can I punt this to you? Please!

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:

This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted] b6 -1,4 b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2015 7:46 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject:

Bothering you with yet another question: would I get a per diem to cover (at least in part) a hotel sun evening? Otherwise I'll see if a friend can put me up

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted] b6 -1
Cell: [redacted] b7C -1
b7E -3

On Jun 30, 2015, at 6:34 PM, [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

Thanks for getting this back so quickly.

It looks like NYO *should* be able to on-board you on Thursday morning first thing. Unfortunately, I don't have final confirmation of that yet. I'll let you know as soon as I do. I believe they will be able to read you into SCI access then, too. That would be the last HR/Security processing step in the long journey that is FBI on-boarding. Once you are on-board, you are ours, so we can have confidential conversations with you as needed and start actually getting you into things.

As for Monday, coming off of the holiday, Jim only has a half hour open on his calendar, 10-10:30 a.m. He is out of the office on business the rest of this week, so things tend to back up. [redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1 put you down for the slot, but I'm not sure it will be worth your trouble. (Of course, talking to Jim for a half hour could never be a waste of time!) I am out Monday, too, so I would again fail to meet you in person, for which I apologize in advance. And I also am not sure we will have computer access for you by then. I'm afraid all of these factors would combine to make for a poor use of your time and also not be worth you staying an extra night, but I'll leave that to you and Jim to mull over and decide.

Thanks again. I'll email you as soon as I confirm a time and contact for Thursday morning.

[redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly

without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted] b6 -1,4 b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2015 2:58 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Re: RE:

Letter is attached here.
Dentist was as exciting as ever
d

On Tue, Jun 30, 2015 at 2:40 PM, Daniel Charles Richman [redacted] wrote:
I'll have the letter back to you in a few minutes. And i'm leaving tomorrow open to go to the NYFO.
In any event, I'm planning to come to HQ monday, once I know where/when etc. And I'll get a hotel room for Sun night, and we can deal with per diem etc next week,
thx
dr

On Tue, Jun 30, 2015 at 2:28 PM, [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote: b6 -1 b7C -1
I hope the dentist went well!

I still don't have word on getting you in for your official on-boarding. I do have your appointment letter and an ethics pamphlet from our HR folks, though. Please read and send me back via email or fax (202-324-5366) at your earliest convenience. I'll let you know as soon as I hear back from the on-boarding folks.

Thanks,
[redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted] b6 -1,4 b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto [redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 5:27 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Re: RE:

i could also go to NYFO tomorrow am, so long as I can leave by 10:15 (for the dentist)

On Mon, Jun 29, 2015 at 5:04 PM, Daniel Charles Richman [redacted] wrote: b6 -4 b7C -4
Excellent! I can swing by the NYFO tomorrow afternoon; or anytime on Wednesday; or thurs until 10 (have to be at school at 11). And if these don't work, I'm ready to cancel/move things around to make sure this happens. (I can even shave and show up at 26 Fed right now).

I will plan to come to DC HQ on Monday the 6th. Please tell me when/where/to whom to report. Also, while I can around to see if i can stay with a friend, it would certainly be more efficient if I could get a govt rate at some place near the Bu. Would that be possible If that can't be done this time around, perhaps the next?

Thanks so much for getting this moving
dan richman

On Mon, Jun 29, 2015 at 4:50 PM, [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) b6 -1
[redacted] wrote: b7C -1
b7E -3
Dan,

That is good news. I'm working with our on-boarding folks to see if we can get you in for a badge and any other related first day matters up in our NY Field Office this week. It helps immensely that you are already read in for your security clearance. Would you be available later this week in NY if they can swing it? If that works for everyone, we will make that to-be-determined day later this week your first official day. If not, we'll try to shoot for doing it down here on Monday and make that your official first day.

I also hope to have your appointment letter ready for you to countersign later today or tomorrow. I'll send that by PDF as soon as I do.

Thank you.

[redacted] b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted] b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto [redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 8:39 AM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (CON)

Subject: Re: RE:

Jim et al - I'm driving [redacted] to DC on the 5th, and can meet on the 6th. If it would be productive, I can stay thereafter. b6 -2 b7C -2

I've not arranged where to stay and need to do that. Please let me know ASAP so I can move on that.

In the meantime, please let me know if there's anything I can do to get up to speed
Looking forward
Dan Richman

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted]
Cell: [redacted] b6 -1,4 b7C -1,4 b7E -3

On Jun 29, 2015, at 7:54 AM, Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

> Dan and [redacted]
>
> Can we set up a time for Dan to come down to discuss next steps? Copying [redacted] and [redacted] to help with that as well.
>
> Thanks.
>
> Jim
>
> ---
>
> **From:** Daniel Charles Richman [mailto [redacted] b6 -4 b7C -4
> **Sent:** Monday, June 01, 2015 5:24 PM
> **To:** Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
> **Subject:**
>
> Dear Jim -- Just returned from a fascinating trip to Rio -- where prosecutors, defense lawyers and students are deeply interested (for all the obvious reasons) in the US's reliance on cooperation for conspiracy cases.
> Now that I'm back, I'm trying to plan my summer projects. Have you thoughts in that regard?
> thx
> dan richman
>
> --
> Daniel Richman
> Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
> Columbia Law School
> office [redacted]
> cellphone [redacted] b6 -4 b7C -4
> You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

[redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2015 9:19 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE:

Got it - we have to communicate that to Jim because he keeps telling the professor he wants to meet with him.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Management and Program Analyst
Office of the General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Phone: [redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1,2
b7C -1,2

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2015 9:18 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE:

This meeting shouldn't happen so we're trying to get this guy to stop getting a free ride to DC to drop off [redacted]

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2015 9:17 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE:

b6 -1
b7C -1

I blocked off an hour for him from 10:00 - 11:00am, but let me know if that needs to be removed.

[redacted]

Management and Program Analyst
Office of the General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Phone: [redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of

Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2015 9:17 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE:

He would not get per diem. It is only available when the majority of a work day is being spent on work business. Jim's calendar for Monday is already over full so we're going to have to cancel Daniel anyway.

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2015 9:14 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: FW:

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]

Can I punt this to you? Please!

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2015 7:46 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject:

Bothering you with yet another question: would I get a per diem to cover (at least in part) a hotel sun evening? Otherwise I'll see if a friend can put me up

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted]
Cell: [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4
b7E -3

On Jun 30, 2015, at 6:34 PM, [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

Thanks for getting this back so quickly.

It looks like NYO *should* be able to on-board you on Thursday morning first thing. Unfortunately, I don't have final confirmation of that yet. I'll let you know as soon as I do. I believe they will be able to read you into SCI access then, too. That would be the last HR/Security processing step in the long journey that is FBI on-boarding. Once you are on-board, you are ours, so we can have confidential conversations with you as needed and start actually getting you into things.

As for Monday, coming off of the holiday, Jim only has a half hour open on his calendar, 10-10:30 a.m. He is out of the office on business the rest of this week, so things tend to back up. [redacted] put you down for the slot, but I'm not sure it will be worth your trouble. (Of course, talking to Jim for a half hour could never be a waste of time!) I am out Monday, too, so I would again fail to meet you in person, for which I apologize in advance. And I also am not sure we will have computer access for you by then. I'm afraid all of these factors would combine to make for a poor use of your time and also not be worth you staying an extra night, but I'll leave that to you and Jim to mull over and decide.

b6 -1
b7C -1

Thanks again. I'll email you as soon as I confirm a time and contact for Thursday morning.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement.
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2015 2:58 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Re: RE:

Letter is attached here.
Dentist was as exciting as ever
d

On Tue, Jun 30, 2015 at 2:40 PM, Daniel Charles Richman < [redacted] wrote:
I'll have the letter back to you in a few minutes. And i'm leaving tomorrow open to go to the NYFO.
In any event, I'm planning to come to HQ monday, once I know where/when etc. And I'll get a hotel room for Sun night, and we can deal with per diem etc next week,
thx
dr

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

On Tue, Jun 30, 2015 at 2:28 PM, [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

[redacted] wrote: b6 -1 b7C -1

I hope the dentist went well!

I still don't have word on getting you in for your official on-boarding. I do have your appointment letter and an ethics pamphlet from our HR folks, though. Please read and send me back via email or fax (202-324-5366) at your earliest convenience. I'll let you know as soon as I hear back from the on-boarding folks.

Thanks,
[redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted] b6 -1,4 b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto [redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 5:27 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Re: RE:

i could also go to NYFO tomorrow am, so long as I can leave by 10:15 (for the dentist)

On Mon, Jun 29, 2015 at 5:04 PM, Daniel Charles Richman [redacted] wrote: b6 -4 b7C -4
Excellent! I can swing by the NYFO tomorrow afternoon; or anytime on Wednesday; or thurs until 10 (have to be at school at 11). And if these don't work, I'm ready to cancel/move things around to make sure this happens. (I can even shave and show up at 26 Fed right now).

I will plan to come to DC HQ on Monday the 6th. Please tell me when/where/to whom to report. Also, while I can around to see if i can stay with a friend, it would certainly be more efficient if I could get a govt rate at some place near the Bu. Would that be possible If that can't be done this time around, perhaps the next?

Thanks so much for getting this moving
dan richman

On Mon, Jun 29, 2015 at 4:50 PM [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1 b7E -3
[redacted] wrote:
Dan,

That is good news. I'm working with our on-boarding folks to see if we can get you in for a

badge and any other related first day matters up in our NY Field Office this week. It helps immensely that you are already read in for your security clearance. Would you be available later this week in NY if they can swing it? If that works for everyone, we will make that to-be-determined day later this week your first official day. If not, we'll try to shoot for doing it down here on Monday and make that your official first day.

I also hope to have your appointment letter ready for you to countersign later today or tomorrow. I'll send that by PDF as soon as I do.

Thank you.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1,2,4
b7C -1,2,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto [redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 8:39 AM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (CON)
Subject: Re: RE:

Jim et al - I'm driving [redacted] to DC on the 5th, and can meet on the 6th. If it would be productive, I can stay thereafter.

I've not arranged where to stay and need to do that. Please let me know ASAP so I can move on that.

In the meantime, please let me know if there's anything I can do to get up to speed
Looking forward
Dan Richman

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted]
Cell: [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4
b7E -3

On Jun 29, 2015, at 7:54 AM, Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

Dan and [redacted]

Can we set up a time for Dan to come down to discuss next steps? Copying [redacted] and [redacted] to help with that as well. b6 -1,4 b7C -1,4

Thanks.

Jim

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 5:24 PM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject:

Dear Jim -- Just returned from a fascinating trip to Rio -- where prosecutors, defense lawyers and students are deeply interested (for all the obvious reasons) in the US's reliance on cooperation for conspiracy cases.
Now that I'm back, I'm trying to plan my summer projects. Have you thoughts in that regard?
thx
dan richman

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4

You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

[redacted] (OGC) (FBI) b6 -1,2 b7C -1,2

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2015 9:18 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE:

This meeting shouldn't happen so we're trying to get this guy to stop getting a free ride to DC to drop off [redacted]

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) b6 -1
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2015 9:17 AM b7C -1
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE:

I blocked off an hour for him from 10:00 - 11:00am, but let me know if that needs to be removed.

[redacted]
Management and Program Analyst
Office of the General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Phone: [redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2015 9:17 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE:

He would not get per diem. It is only available when the majority of a work day is being spent on work business. Jim's calendar for Monday is already over full so we're going to have to cancel Daniel anyway.

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) b6 -1
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2015 9:14 AM b7C -1
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: FW:

[redacted]

Can I punt this to you? Please!

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]

Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2015 7:46 PM
To: [redacted](OGC) (FBI)
Subject:

Bothering you with yet another question: would I get a per diem to cover (at least in part) a hotel sun evening? Otherwise I'll see if a friend can put me up

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted]
Cell: [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4
b7E -3

On Jun 30, 2015, at 6:34 PM, [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

> Thanks for getting this back so quickly.
>
> It looks like NYO *should* be able to on-board you on Thursday morning first thing. Unfortunately, I don't have final confirmation of that yet. I'll let you know as soon as I do. I believe they will be able to read you into SCI access then, too. That would be the last HR/Security processing step in the long journey that is FBI on-boarding. Once you are on-board, you are ours, so we can have confidential conversations with you as needed and start actually getting you into things.
>
> As for Monday, coming off of the holiday, Jim only has a half hour open on his calendar, 10-10:30 a.m. He is out of the office on business the rest of this week, so things tend to back up. [redacted] put you down for the slot, but I'm not sure it will be worth your trouble. (Of course, talking to Jim for a half hour could never be a waste of time!) I am out Monday, too, so I would again fail to meet you in person, for which I apologize in advance. And I also am not sure we will have computer access for you by then. I'm afraid all of these factors would combine to make for a poor use of your time and also not be worth you staying an extra night, but I'll leave that to you and Jim to mull over and decide.
>
> Thanks again. I'll email you as soon as I confirm a time and contact for Thursday morning.
>
> [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto[redacted]]
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2015 2:58 PM
To: [redacted](OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Re: RE:

Letter is attached here.
Dentist was as exciting as ever
d

On Tue, Jun 30, 2015 at 2:40 PM, Daniel Charles Richman [redacted] wrote:
I'll have the letter back to you in a few minutes. And i'm leaving tomorrow open to go to the NYFO.
In any event, I'm planning to come to HQ monday, once I know where/when etc. And I'll get a hotel room for Sun night, and we can deal with per diem etc next week,
thx
dr

On Tue, Jun 30, 2015 at 2:28 PM, [redacted](OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

b6 -1
b7C -1

I hope the dentist went well!

I still don't have word on getting you in for your official on-boarding. I do have your appointment letter and an ethics pamphlet from our HR folks, though. Please read and send me back via email or fax (202-324-5366) at your earliest convenience. I'll let you know as soon as I hear back from the on-boarding folks.

Thanks,
[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify

the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 5:27 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Re: RE:

i could also go to NYFO tomorrow am, so long as I can leave by 10:15 (for the dentist)

On Mon, Jun 29, 2015 at 5:04 PM, Daniel Charles Richman [redacted] wrote:
Excellent! I can swing by the NYFO tomorrow afternoon; or anytime on Wednesday; or thurs until 10 (have to be at school at 11). And if these don't work, I'm ready to cancel/move things around to make sure this happens. (I can even shave and show up at 26 Fed right now).

I will plan to come to DC HQ on Monday the 6th. Please tell me when/where/to whom to report. Also, while I can around to see if i can stay with a friend, it would certainly be more efficient if I could get a govt rate at some place near the Bu. Would that be possible If that can't be done this time around, perhaps the next?

Thanks so much for getting this moving
dan richman

On Mon, Jun 29, 2015 at 4:50 PM, [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
[redacted] wrote:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

Dan,

That is good news. I'm working with our on-boarding folks to see if we can get you in for a badge and any other related first day matters up in our NY Field Office this week. It helps immensely that you are already read in for your security clearance. Would you be available later this week in NY if they can swing it? If that works for everyone, we will make that to-be-determined day later this week your first official day. If not, we'll try to shoot for doing it down here on Monday and make that your official first day.

I also hope to have your appointment letter ready for you to countersign later today or tomorrow. I'll send that by PDF as soon as I do.

Thank you.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

.....

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau

of investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1,2,4
b7C -1,2,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto[redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 8:39 AM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted](OGC) (FBI); [redacted](OGC) (FBI); [redacted](OGC) (CON)
Subject: Re: RE:

Jim et al - I'm driving [redacted] to DC on the 5th, and can meet on the 6th. If it would be productive, I can stay thereafter.

I've not arranged where to stay and need to do that. Please let me know ASAP so I can move on that.

In the meantime, please let me know if there's anything I can do to get up to speed
Looking forward
Dan Richman

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted]
Cell: [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4
b7E -3

On Jun 29, 2015, at 7:54 AM, Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

> Dan and [redacted]
>
> Can we set up a time for Dan to come down to discuss next steps? Copying [redacted] and [redacted] to help with that as well.
>
> Thanks.
>
> Jim
>
> ---
>
> **From:** Daniel Charles Richman [mailto[redacted]
> **Sent:** Monday, June 01, 2015 5:24 PM
> **To:** Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
> **Subject:**
>
> Dear Jim -- Just returned from a fascinating trip to Rio -- where prosecutors, defense lawyers and students are deeply interested (for all the obvious reasons) in the US's reliance on cooperation for conspiracy cases.
> Now that I'm back, I'm trying to plan my summer projects. Have you thoughts in that regard?
> thx
> dan richman

b6 -4
b7C -4

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,

Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

[redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2015 9:19 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE:

He can contact me with other questions, if that is helpful. There is no reasonable way that the FBI can reimburse any expenses for coming to DC this time, and I can probably say that nicely.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2015 9:14 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: FW:

[redacted]

Can I punt this to you? Please!

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2015 7:46 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject:

Bothering you with yet another question: would I get a per diem to cover (at least in part) a hotel sun evening? Otherwise I'll see if a friend can put me up

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School

Office: [redacted]
Cell: [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4
b7E -3

On Jun 30, 2015, at 6:34 PM, [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

Thanks for getting this back so quickly.

It looks like NYO *should* be able to on-board you on Thursday morning first thing. Unfortunately, I don't have final confirmation of that yet. I'll let you know as soon as I do. I believe they will be able to read you into SCI access then, too. That would be the last HR/Security processing step in the long journey that is FBI on-boarding. Once you are on-board, you are ours, so we can have confidential conversations with you as needed and start actually getting you into things.

As for Monday, coming off of the holiday, Jim only has a half hour open on his calendar, 10-10:30 a.m. He is out of the office on business the rest of this week, so things tend to back up. [redacted] put you down for the slot, but I'm not sure it will be worth your trouble. (Of course, talking to Jim for a half hour could never be a waste of time!) I am out Monday, too, so I would again fail to meet you in person, for which I apologize in advance. And I also am not sure we will have computer access for you by then. I'm afraid all of these factors would combine to make for a poor use of your time and also not be worth you staying an extra night, but I'll leave that to you and Jim to mull over and decide.

b6 -1
b7C -1

Thanks again. I'll email you as soon as I confirm a time and contact for Thursday morning.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2015 2:58 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Re: RE:

Letter is attached here.
Dentist was as exciting as ever
d

On Tue, Jun 30, 2015 at 2:40 PM, Daniel Charles Richman [redacted] wrote:
I'll have the letter back to you in a few minutes. And i'm leaving tomorrow open to go to the NYFO.
In any event, I'm planning to come to HQ monday, once I know where/when etc. And I'll get a

b6 -4
b7C -4

hotel room for Sun night, and we can deal with per diem etc next week,
thx
dr

On Tue, Jun 30, 2015 at 2:28 PM, [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

b6 -1
b7C -1

I hope the dentist went well!

I still don't have word on getting you in for your official on-boarding. I do have your appointment letter and an ethics pamphlet from our HR folks, though. Please read and send me back via email or fax (202-324-5366) at your earliest convenience. I'll let you know as soon as I hear back from the on-boarding folks.

Thanks,
[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 5:27 PM
To [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Re: RE:

i could also go to NYFO tomorrow am, so long as I can leave by 10:15 (for the dentist)

On Mon, Jun 29, 2015 at 5:04 PM, Daniel Charles Richman [redacted] wrote:
Excellent! I can swing by the NYFO tomorrow afternoon; or anytime on Wednesday; or thurs until 10 (have to be at school at 11). And if these don't work, I'm ready to cancel/move things around to make sure this happens. (I can even shave and show up at 26 Fed right now).

I will plan to come to DC HQ on Monday the 6th. Please tell me when/where/to whom to report. Also, while I can around to see if i can stay with a friend, it would certainly be more efficient if I could get a govt rate at some place near the Bu. Would that be possible If that can't be done this time around, perhaps the next?

Thanks so much for getting this moving
dan richman

On Mon, Jun 29, 2015 at 4:50 PM, [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

Dan,

That is good news. I'm working with our on-boarding folks to see if we can get you in for a badge and any other related first day matters up in our NY Field Office this week. It helps immensely that you are already read in for your security clearance. Would you be available later this week in NY if they can swing it? If that works for everyone, we will make that to-be-determined day later this week your first official day. If not, we'll try to shoot for doing it down here on Monday and make that your official first day.

I also hope to have your appointment letter ready for you to countersign later today or tomorrow. I'll send that by PDF as soon as I do.

Thank you.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1,2,4
b7C -1,2,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 8:39 AM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (CON)
Subject: Re: RE:

Jim et al - I'm driving [redacted] to DC on the 5th, and can meet on the 6th. If it would be productive, I can stay thereafter.

I've not arranged where to stay and need to do that. Please let me know ASAP so I can move on that.

In the meantime, please let me know if there's anything I can do to get up to speed
Looking forward
Dan Richman

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted]

b6 -4
b7C -4

Cell: [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

On Jun 29, 2015, at 7:54 AM, Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

Dan and [redacted]

Can we set up a time for Dan to come down to discuss next steps? Copying [redacted] and [redacted] to help with that as well.

Thanks.

Jim

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto [redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 5:24 PM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject:

b6 -4
b7C -4

Dear Jim -- Just returned from a fascinating trip to Rio -- where prosecutors, defense lawyers and students are deeply interested (for all the obvious reasons) in the US's reliance on cooperation for conspiracy cases.
Now that I'm back, I'm trying to plan my summer projects. Have you thoughts in that regard?
thx
dan richman

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

[redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2015 9:21 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE:

Agreed!

[redacted]
Management and Program Analyst
Office of the General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Phone: [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2015 9:20 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE:

He will, and frequently, but not on Monday. I'll own it if Jim gets pissy.

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2015 9:19 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE:

b6 -1
b7C -1

Got it – we have to communicate that to Jim because he keeps telling the professor he wants to meet with him.

[redacted]
Management and Program Analyst
Office of the General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Phone: [redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of

Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2015 9:18 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE:

This meeting shouldn't happen so we're trying to get this guy to stop getting a free ride to DC to drop off [redacted]

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2015 9:17 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE:

I blocked off an hour for him from 10:00 - 11:00am, but let me know if that needs to be removed.

[redacted]
Management and Program Analyst
Office of the General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Phone [redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2015 9:17 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE:

He would not get per diem. It is only available when the majority of a work day is being spent on work business. Jim's calendar for Monday is already over full so we're going to have to cancel Daniel anyway.

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2015 9:14 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: FW:

[redacted]

Can I punt this to you? Please!

[redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2015 10:38 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: FW: RE: RE:

Based on the last few emails below, are we sure we are good to cancel? If so, can [redacted] do it, noting his schedule filled unavoidable, etc.?

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2015 9:53 PM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: Daniel Charles Richman; [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (CON)
Subject: Re: RE: RE:

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

Perfect. I do look forward

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted]
Cell: [redacted]

b6 -4
b7C -4
b7E -3

On Jun 30, 2015, at 9:29 PM, Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

> Hey Dan,
>
> Let's meet on Monday even if it is only for a short time. I'd like to meet you and start to get you up to speed.
>
> Thanks.
>
> Jim
>
> --
>
> -------- Original message --------
> From: Daniel Charles Richman [redacted]
> Date:06/30/2015 5:48 PM (GMT-06:00)
> To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]
> Cc: "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted] (OGC) (CON) [redacted]
> Subject: Re: RE:

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4
b7E -3

Excellent on the Thursday probability. I'll await your signal.

As for Monday: I'd *love* to meet with Jim Monday 10, even if only for a hour. I'll plan to return to NYC Monday afternoon (driving back with [redacted] unless other people want to talk to me. If I could give [redacted] a sense of how long I'll be staying, that would be great. b6 -4 b7C -4

Also if there are any materials that I can take out of the building to read up on the project, I'd be grateful if I could collect them Monday.
Thanks again for all your help in this. Sorry I'll miss you Mon., but I look forward to seeing you on future visits.
dan r

On Tue, Jun 30, 2015 at 6:34 PM, [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote: b6 -1 b7C -1 b7E -3

Thanks for getting this back so quickly.

It looks like NYO *should* be able to on-board you on Thursday morning first thing. Unfortunately, I don't have final confirmation of that yet. I'll let you know as soon as I do. I believe they will be able to read you into SCI access then, too. That would be the last HR/Security processing step in the long journey that is FBI on-boarding. Once you are on-board, you are ours, so we can have confidential conversations with you as needed and start actually getting you into things.

As for Monday, coming off of the holiday, Jim only has a half hour open on his calendar, 10-10:30 a.m. He is out of the office on business the rest of this week, so things tend to back up. [redacted] put you down for the slot, but I'm not sure it will be worth your trouble. (Of course, talking to Jim for a half hour could never be a waste of time!) I am out Monday, too, so I would again fail to meet you in person, for which I apologize in advance. And I also am not sure we will have computer access for you by then. I'm afraid all of these factors would combine to make for a poor use of your time and also not be worth you staying an extra night, but I'll leave that to you and Jim to mull over and decide. b6 -1 b7C -1

Thanks again. I'll email you as soon as I confirm a time and contact for Thursday morning.

[redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted] b6 -1,4 b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2015 2:58 PM

To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

Subject: Re: RE:

Letter is attached here.
Dentist was as exciting as ever
d

On Tue, Jun 30, 2015 at 2:40 PM, Daniel Charles Richman [redacted] wrote: b6 -4 b7C -4
I'll have the letter back to you in a few minutes. And i'm leaving tomorrow open to go to the NYFO.
In any event, I'm planning to come to HQ monday, once I know where/when etc. And I'll get a hotel room for Sun night, and we can deal with per diem etc next week.
thx
d

On Tue, Jun 30, 2015 at 2:28 PM, [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1
[redacted] wrote:
I hope the dentist went well!

I still don't have word on getting you in for your official on-boarding. I do have your appointment letter and an ethics pamphlet from our HR folks, though. Please read and send me back via email or fax (202-324-5366) at your earliest convenience. I'll let you know as soon as I hear back from the on-boarding folks.

Thanks,
[redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted] b6 -1,4 b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 5:27 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Re: RE:

I could also go to NYFO tomorrow am, so long as I can leave by 10:15 (for the dentist)

On Mon, Jun 29, 2015 at 5:04 PM, Daniel Charles Richman [redacted] wrote:
Excellent! I can swing by the NYFO tomorrow afternoon; or anytime on Wednesday; or thurs until 10 (have to be at school at 11). And if these don't work, I'm ready to cancel/move things around to make sure this happens. (I can even shave and show up at 26 Fed right now).

I will plan to come to DC HQ on Monday the 6th. Please tell me when/where/to whom to

report. Also, while I can around to see if I can stay with a friend, it would certainly be more efficient if I could get a govt rate at some place near the Bu. Would that be possible If that can't be done this time around, perhaps the next?

Thanks so much for getting this moving
dan richman

On Mon, Jun 29, 2015 at 4:50 PM [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

Dan,

That is good news. I'm working with our on-boarding folks to see if we can get you in for a badge and any other related first day matters up in our NY Field Office this week. It helps immensely that you are already read in for your security clearance. Would you be available later this week in NY if they can swing it? If that works for everyone, we will make that to-be-determined day later this week your first official day. If not, we'll try to shoot for doing it down here on Monday and make that your official first day.

I also hope to have your appointment letter ready for you to countersign later today or tomorrow. I'll send that by PDF as soon as I do.

Thank you.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1,2,4
b7C -1,2,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 8:39 AM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (CON)
Subject: Re: RE:

Jim et al - I'm driving [redacted] to DC on the 5th, and can meet on the 6th. If it would be productive, I can stay thereafter.

I've not arranged where to stay and need to do that. Please let me know ASAP so I can move on that.

In the meantime, please let me know if there's anything I can do to get up to speed
Looking forward
Dan Richman

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: [redacted]
Cell [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4
b7E -3

On Jun 29, 2015, at 7:54 AM, Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

Dan and [redacted]

Can we set up a time for Dan to come down to discuss next steps? Copying [redacted] and [redacted] to help with that as well.

Thanks,

Jim

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 5:24 PM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject:

b6 -4
b7C -4

Dear Jim -- Just returned from a fascinating trip to Rio -- where prosecutors, defense lawyers and students are deeply interested (for all the obvious reasons) in the US's reliance on cooperation for conspiracy cases.
Now that I'm back, I'm trying to plan my summer projects. Have you thoughts in that regard?
thx
dan richman

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted]
cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4 b7C -4

cellphone [redacted]
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

b6 -4
b7C -4

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

[redacted] (OGC) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2015 11:08 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: RE: RE:

We can do 10-10:30, but he has to be clear that we can't pay any travel expenses. It's as if he is dropping by because he happens to be in town, not a business trip.

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1
Sent: Wednesday, July 01, 2015 10:38 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: FW: RE: RE:

Based on the last few emails below, are we *sure* we are good to cancel? If so, can [redacted] do it, noting his schedule filled unavoidable, etc.?

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto: [redacted] b6 -1,4 b7C -1,4
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2015 9:53 PM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: Daniel Charles Richman; [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (CON)
Subject: Re: RE: RE:

Perfect. I do look forward

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office: 212 854 9370
Cell: 917 693 1918

On Jun 30, 2015, at 9:29 PM, Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote: b7E -3

Hey Dan,

Let's meet on Monday even if it is only for a short time. I'd like to meet you and start to get you up to speed.

Thanks.

Jim

-------- Original message --------
From: Daniel Charles Richman [redacted]
Date:06/30/2015 5:48 PM (GMT-06:00)
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]
Cc: "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted] OGC) (FBI)" [redacted] (OGC) (CON) [redacted]
Subject: Re: RE:

b6 -1,2,4
b7C -1,2,4
b7E -3

Excellent on the Thursday probability. I'll await your signal.

As for Monday: I'd *love* to meet with Jim Monday 10, even if only for a hour. I'll plan to return to NYC Monday afternoon (driving back with [redacted] unless other people want to talk to me. If I could give [redacted] a sense of how long I'll be staying, that would be great.

Also if there are any materials that I can take out of the building to read up on the project, I'd be grateful if I could collect them Monday.
Thanks again for all your help in this. Sorry I'll miss you Mon., but I look forward to seeing you on future visits.
dan r

On Tue, Jun 30, 2015 at 6:34 PM, [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

Thanks for getting this back so quickly.

It looks like NYO *should* be able to on-board you on Thursday morning first thing. Unfortunately, I don't have final confirmation of that yet. I'll let you know as soon as I do. I believe they will be able to read you into SCI access then, too. That would be the last HR/Security processing step in the long journey that is FBI on-boarding. Once you are on-board, you are ours, so we can have confidential conversations with you as needed and start actually getting you into things.

As for Monday, coming off of the holiday, Jim only has a half hour open on his calendar, 10-10:30 a.m. He is out of the office on business the rest of this week, so things tend to back up. [redacted] put you down for the slot, but I'm not sure it will be worth your trouble. (Of course, talking to Jim for a half hour could never be a waste of time!) I am out Monday, too, so I would again fail to meet you in person, for which I apologize in advance. And I also am not sure we will have computer access for you by then. I'm afraid all of these factors would combine to make for a poor use of your time and also not be worth you staying an extra night, but I'll leave that to you and Jim to mull over and decide.

b6 -1
b7C -1

Thanks again. I'll email you as soon as I confirm a time and contact for Thursday morning.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2015 2:58 PM

To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Re: RE:

Letter is attached here.
Dentist was as exciting as ever
d

On Tue, Jun 30, 2015 at 2:40 PM, Daniel Charles Richman [redacted] wrote:
I'll have the letter back to you in a few minutes. And i'm leaving tomorrow open to go to the NYFO.
In any event, I'm planning to come to HQ monday, once I know where/when etc. And I'll get a hotel room for Sun night, and we can deal with per diem etc next week,
thx
dr

On Tue, Jun 30, 2015 at 2:28 PM, [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] wrote:

b6 -1
b7C -1

I hope the dentist went well!

I still don't have word on getting you in for your official on-boarding. I do have your appointment letter and an ethics pamphlet from our HR folks, though. Please read and send me back via email or fax (202-324-5366) at your earliest convenience. I'll let you know as soon as I hear back from the on-boarding folks.

Thanks,
[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 5:27 PM

To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Re: RE:

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

i could also go to NYFO tomorrow am, so long as I can leave by 10:15 (for the dentist)

On Mon, Jun 29, 2015 at 5:04 PM, Daniel Charles Richman [redacted] wrote:
Excellent! I can swing by the NYFO tomorrow afternoon; or anytime on Wednesday; or thurs until 10 (have to be at school at 11). And if these don't work, I'm ready to cancel/move things around to make sure this happens. (I can even shave and show up at 26 Fed right now).

I will plan to come to DC HQ on Monday the 6th. Please tell me when/where/to whom to report. Also, while I can around to see if i can stay with a friend, it would certainly be more efficient if I could get a govt rate at some place near the Bu. Would that be possible If that can't be done this time around, perhaps the next?

Thanks so much for getting this moving
dan richman

On Mon, Jun 29, 2015 at 4:50 PM, [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
[redacted] wrote:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

Dan,

That is good news. I'm working with our on-boarding folks to see if we can get you in for a badge and any other related first day matters up in our NY Field Office this week. It helps immensely that you are already read in for your security clearance. Would you be available later this week in NY if they can swing it? If that works for everyone, we will make that to-be-determined day later this week your first official day. If not, we'll try to shoot for doing it down here on Monday and make that your official first day.

I also hope to have your appointment letter ready for you to countersign later today or tomorrow. I'll send that by PDF as soon as I do.

Thank you.

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]
Associate General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: Daniel Charles Richman [mailto[redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 8:39 AM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Cc:[redacted] (OGC) (FBI);[redacted](OGC) (FBI);[redacted](OGC) (CON)
Subject: Re: RE:

b6 -1,2,4
b7C -1,2,4

Jim et al - I'm driving[redacted]to DC on the 5th, and can meet on the 6th. If it would be productive, I can stay thereafter.

I've not arranged where to stay and need to do that. Please let me know ASAP so I can move on that.

In the meantime, please let me know if there's anything I can do to get up to speed
Looking forward
Dan Richman

Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law
Columbia Law School
Office:[redacted]
Cell:[redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4
b7E -3

On Jun 29, 2015, at 7:54 AM, Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)[redacted]wrote:

> Dan and[redacted]
>
> Can we set up a time for Dan to come down to discuss next steps? Copying[redacted] and[redacted]to help with that as well.
>
> Thanks.
>
> Jim

> **From:** Daniel Charles Richman [mailto[redacted]
> **Sent:** Monday, June 01, 2015 5:24 PM
> **To:** Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
> **Subject:**
>
> Dear Jim -- Just returned from a fascinating trip to Rio -- where prosecutors, defense lawyers and students are deeply interested (for all the obvious reasons) in the US's reliance on cooperation for conspiracy cases.
> Now that I'm back, I'm trying to plan my summer projects. Have you thoughts in that regard?
> thx
> dan richman
>
> --
> Daniel Richman
> Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
> Columbia Law School

b6 -4
b7C -4

office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

--
Daniel Richman
Paul J. Kellner Professor of Law,
Columbia Law School
office [redacted] b6 -4
cellphone [redacted] b7C -4
You can download my papers at http://ssrn.com/author=937729

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote

[redacted] (OGC) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 9:20 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Fwd: DOJ Honors Final Offers Meeting
Attachments: FBI Interview HP Interview Selects.pdf

The attachments.

...

-------- Original message --------
From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted] b6 -1 b7C -1
Date: 11/17/2015 6:08 PM (GMT-05:00) b7E -3
To: "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted] "Anderson, Trisha B.(OGC) (FBI)" [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]
Subject: RE: DOJ Honors Final Offers Meeting

Hi all,

As an FYI, I'm attaching the applications submitted by the Honors candidates we interviewed. On Friday we'll give an overview of who we recommend making offers to for the original 1-2 openings, and can discuss whether it makes sense to try and bring on any additional candidates. If anyone jumps out at you from this group, that would certainly help inform that decision. Please note that from this list [redacted] dropped out of the process before being interviewed (accepted other offers). b6 -1,2 b7C -1,2

Thanks

[redacted]
Unit Chief
FBI OGC, Cyber Law Unit
[redacted]

Confidentiality Statement:
This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by telephone.

-----Original Appointment-----
From: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, November 16, 2015 11:26 AM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1
Subject: DOJ Honors Final Offers Meeting
When: Friday, November 20, 2015 10:00 AM-10:30 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada).

Where:

When: Friday, November 20, 2015 10:00 AM-10:30 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada).

Note: The GMT offset above does not reflect daylight saving time adjustments.

~~*~*~*~*~*~*~*~*~*~*

will present the final candidates. We need to decide:

b2 -1
b5 -1
b6 -1
b7C -1