FREEDOM OF INFORMATION AND PRIVACY ACTS

SUBJECT: CUSTODIAL DETENTION
SECURITY INDEX

FILE NUMBER: 100-358086

SECTION: 32



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

NOTICE

THE BEST COPIES OBTAINABLE ARE INCLUDED IN THE REPRODUCTION OF THE FILE. PAGES INCLUDED THAT ARE BLURRED, LIGHT OR OTHERWISE DIFFICULT TO READ ARE THE RESULT OF THE CONDITION AND OR COLOR OF THE ORIGINALS PROVIDED. THESE ARE THE BEST COPIES AVAILABLE.

list

Office Meriorandium • United State

OVERNMENT

To Mr.

DATE: December 21, 1954

FROM I Mr management

STANDARD PORM NO. 64

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX LIST -

The Security Index list as of December 15, 1954 has been prepared. One copy is attached herewith for transmittal to the SAC, Quantico, for retention in his personal custody with the other vital records maintained at the FBI Academy.

RECOMMENDATION:

1. That this memorandum and attached list be forwarded to the SAC, Quantico, marked Personal and Confidential, Registered, Return Receipt Requested.

2. That upon receipt, the SAC, Quantico, place the attached list with the other vital records maintained at Quantico, personally destroy by burning, the old Security. Index list in his possession and note hereon that such action has been taken.

Mer P. Carlo

3. That thereafter, this memorandum be returned to the Bureau, marked for my attention.

405

6 DEC 30 1954

Ę

cc - Mr.

64 JAN 54710 400

Attachment

Swa

.

December 28, 1954

FROM .

EUBJECT:

REVIEW OF SECURITY INDEX CRITERIA

Reference is made to my interim memorandum of 12/13/54, reflecting the steps taken by me concerning the re-evaluation of the criteria currently being used to place a person on the security index, to determine whether they are sound or should be modified in any respect.

Observations submitted by the SACs at New York, Newark, San Francisco, Los Anceles and Mashington Field, were carefully discussed by me with Messrs.

In a memorandum from Mr., dated 12/17/54, captioned SECURITY INDEX PROGRAM, a complete analysis of these observations is made. The memoranda from the five SACs follow the general practice now in use regarding placing individuals on the security index.

The Newark Division suggested that those imividuals whose names are placed on the security index because of expionage activities should be considered, at the time of an emergency, from the standpoint of permitting such subjects to remain at large, if such action would serve the interests of our espionage investigations. Newark suggests maintenance of a separate list of such individuals, so that careful evaluation can be made in time of an emergency.

The Bureau is directing Newark's attention to Page 30-C of Section 87-C of the Manual of Instructions, wherein provision is made for placing such persons in the Espionage Subdivision of the Special Section of the Security Index. It is also being suggested to Newark that if the Newark Office has such cases not presently in such a subdivision, these cases should be called to the attention of the Bureau, with appropriate recommendations.

Rewark then makes the observation that 109 of their security index subjects are housewives, "some of whom were placed in the security index because they were uncooperative when interviewed."

The Executives Conference, on 12/14/54, unanimously recommended, and you approved, the Domestic Intelligence Division's practice of consider and uncooperative attitude on the part of a subject, on interview, as a significant factor in retaining his name in the

security index. Though it is very unlikely that the Demestic Intelligence Division has ever coproved placing a person on the security index! "because they were uncooperative when interviewed," Newark is being instructed to call to the Eureau's attention any cases wherein! Newark recommended placing on the security index a person who was uncooperative when interviewed, there being no other subversive indications which would warrant inclusion in the security index under the established criteria.

A letter is being directed to the Los Angeles Office onling attention to its observation that, as a general rule, a person should not be included on the security index merely because of his rejusal to identify others who participated with him in subversive activities, and pointing out to Los Angeles that this uncooperative attitude on the part of a subject must be considered, along with all other facts developed in the case, and the extent of his known subversive activities, in arriving at a conclusion as to whether such subject should be included on the security index. This point again pertains to the Executives Conference consideration of the matter of interviews.

The memoranda submitted by the Washington Field. New York and San Francisco Divisions did not contain any comments requiring answer:

Attached is a list of 95 files reviewed for the purpose of determining whether it was felt those persons were properly included in the security index. In my memorandum of 13/13/55, I pointed out that I had selected five horderline types of cases for consultation with Messrs.

of the reasoning employed by the field in recommending, and the Pureau in approving, the placing of these persons on the security index. For the sake of discussion and analysis, I raised certain questions in the cases captioned

After ord discussion, I had Mr. . prepare an analysis memorandum, justifying the inclusion of these subjects in the security index. I feel that these persons have been properly included in the Security Index.

In the case, I raised the question as to shy the subject had not been interviewed, in view of a lack of information

- 8 -

co to Community devicty since 1840. The Executives Conference to 12/14/54 unentwously recommended, and you coproved, that subject: concerning when we have been unable to develop subversive activities subsequent to January 1, 1949, should be required to be interviewed for the purpose of determining their present attitude, to provide a further basis for evaluation as to whether they should continue to be retained on the security index. An SAC letter is being sent to the field covering this point.

i :

In the cases captioned with alics (

), and , with alias (, pointing out I diotated memoranda dated 12/20/54 to Mr. pertain disorepanoies and weaknesses in either reporting or interlutewing, in connection with the results of contacts with informants. The Hilwaukee, New York and Albany offices are being instructed to explain the discrepancies noted and indicate whether there was an inadequacy of reporting or interviewing; also to make any administra-tive recommendations indicated. As soon as these explanations are received they will be carefully reviewed and recommendations concerning administrative action will be made.

, pothiting out that In the case of I diotated a memorandum 12/16/54 to 17. there had been a failure on the part of the San Diego office to submit a summary report which was due in January, 1954. The San Diego Office has been requested to submit explanation for failure to prepare this report, and the Domestic Intelligence Division was asked to justify its failure to follow up this case.

The Domestic Intelligence Division has pointed out, in a menoranium dated 12/21/54, that the case is in a closed status, and for the Bureau to follow up closed cases would require a tickler on each security index subject wherein is case had been closed, which would require more than 26,000 tisalers. The Domestic Intelligence Division pointed out that the Eracutives Conference, on 10/19/51, approved an instruction to the field to follow acres for the yearly summary report by administrative ticklers, and recommended that during field inspections, the inspectors check to see whether these cases were being properly followed.

I believe the Demestic Invelligence Division's explanation is acceptable. Upon receipt of explanation from the San Disgo loffice, consideration will be given as to any administrative action which need be taken as to their failure to submit the report.

Thick reference to the Director's lautenation shad the should try to find out from what criteria his division is following. The internal Security Division of the Internal Security Division of the Department, stated that a reply will come to use in the near day or so in response to our recent memorandum, and that the Department will indicate clearly that it is using the same criteria as used by the FBI. Upon receipt of this really, will confor with the Department as to the Department's thinking in applying these criteria, using as a basis the case.

OBSERVATIONS:

From theforegoing review, it is my conclusion that the criteria are adequate, are sound, and need no modification at this time. It may be necessary to make modifications from time to time in the future, depending upon changing conditions. This is evidenced by the fact that the Demestic Intelligence Division recently presented to the Executives Conference a modification requiring the field to interview persons on the security index concerning whom no evidence had been developed reflecting subversive activities since January, 1949. The primary thing is insure is that the Department is aware of and approves the criteria being used by the FBI. Steps are presently being taken to assure this. It is further believed incorporate to consinue to insist that the Department review and adjudicate threases pertaining to those individuals placed on the security index.

As indicated in the body of this memorandum, I found two files reflecting including of sither reporting or interviewing, and explanations are being requested from the field. I plan to discuss before the Inspectors' Conference, January 4, 1955, the necessity for the inspectors carefully reviewing files in the field for any indications of inadequate reporting and/or interviewing and emphasizing at the inspection conferences with field agents the need for being most thorough in the interviewing and reporting of results of contacts with informants. It obviously does very little good to develop a large number of informats unless these informats are "milked dry" of information of value in their possession concerning subversive activities.

I also plan to include in the talk on investigative techniques which I will be making before the inservice training groups these same points, with a view to endeavoring to improve the field handling of these informants.

- 4 --

Upon receipt from the Meld of employeesions resumming the inadequates above referred to, appropriate recommendations will be node conforming any delinquencies noted.

ACTION:

For your information.

- 5 -

FILES EXAMINATE IN COUNTRIES OF SECURITY INDEX CRIPERIA

100 00000		100 410500	100 100-01
100-335523		100-410766	100-183701
100~03571 0	<i>*</i> :	100- 30839 8	100-377013
100-254909	•	100m200108	10 5-350?
100-3 3018 3		100-339237	100-3 65110
100~00050		100-273478	100-385228
100-170753		100×230975	100-337037
100-373731		100-253166	100-134064
100-11560		100-373590	100-333
100-3 65830		100-393752	100-385507
100-30840		100-211639	100-212625
160-042609·		100-250435	100-212023
100-214294		100-361577	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	100-301377	100-388267
100- 74859			100-382882
100-170239		100-34502	100-410123
10 0-273376		100-365592	100- 403925
100-21546		100-366178	
100-1570 23		100- 287164	
100-3 62339		100-105437	
10 0~381135		<i>10026</i> 6899	
100-169916		100-51178	•
100-335014		100-611220	
100-085871		100-330279	
100-169047		100-325460	
100-371003		100-039021	
100-53349		100-300038	
100~303003		100~201551	
		100~355041	•
100-205035		100-100009	·
		100-000000	
.1004100100			1
100-400043		100- 397297	
100-29179		100-140176	
100-070040		100~30880	
100-405047	•	100-369358	
100-384763	••	~100~ 370433	
100-381323		100~ 398766	
100-303737		100-963297	
100-337536		100-337043	
100-334497		100-265134	•
100-335157		100-394779	•
100-363561		100-402343	

ENCrosunal

ENGLOSURE

(L) CONTACTS WITH EMPLOYERS -- At a recent conference of industrial officials and Government representatives, a representative of a large manufacturing company which handles military contracts, commented that it was his understanding that certain employees of his company

12/21/54 SAC LETTER NO. 54-71

who were under investigation by the FBI had continued to be employed by his company at our request in order to make their whereabouts readily available to the FBI.

Further inquiry into this matter established that the industrial official was in error and that no such requests had been made of his company and no such arrangements existed.

Nevertheless, I want to again emphasize that no Special Agent should either directly or by inference indicate to any employer or employer's representative that an employee should be retained as a matter of convenience to the FBI or to assist us in our investigation.

In connection with security-type investigations in your area, the Bureau is holding you responsible to see that there is no misunderstanding by employers and plant officials as to Bureau policy on this subject matter. It should be made clear that the FBI can make no recommendations regarding what security measures, if any, concerning the employee should be taken by the company and that the FBI makes no request as to either separation or continued employment of the employee.

This matter should be discussed with all Special Agents of your office and the contents of SAC Letter 68, Series 1952, (J), dated July 18, 1952, should be thoroughly reviewed.

Very truly yours,
John Edgar Hoover

____ Director

December 27, 1954

PROPOSED CHANGES
HANUAL OF INSTRUCTIONS
SECTION 87C

Page 27a - The following changes should be made immediately following the heading (c) Security Index Subjects. The first paragraph should be amended to read as follows:

' I. Security Index subjects who have defected from a subversive movement.

The following paragraph should be inserted as the second paragraph:

Security Index subjects who have not been reported engaged in subversive activities since January 1, 1949, must be interviewed unless there are compelling reasons dictating against an interview in individual cases. In the latter circumstances, each such case should be called to the specific attention of the Eureau, together with the facts rendering an interview undesirable or impossible. Eureau authority must be procured for these interviews in accordance with 67C 6b(7) (d), below.

The third paragraph should be amended to read as follows:

Individuals included in the Security Index are removed at such times as it is definitely indicated that their activities no longer warrant their inclusion in the Index because of defection from and resulting inactivity in a subversive movement.

Upice IVIemorandum · United States Government

TO

DATE: December 283

FROM !

K5,

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX

From 5:15 p.m. to 6:40 p.m. on December 27, 1954, Sections Chief , Supervisor , and discussed with first and of the Department the application of criteria used by the Department and the FRI to place or retain indivion the Security Index. You will recall that Mr. previously stated that the Department was using the same criteria as the FRI. sateday that the Department had sent the Bureau a memorandum today stated that the Department used the same criteria as the Bureau.

In opening the discussion I stated that it was, of course, important that the reasoning behind the application of the criteria in Security Index cases should be similar in the Department and the FRI and we were desirous of discussing this with the Department. I pointed out that the Department had reviewed over 3,000 Security Indecases and had approved the retention of the subjects of these cases in the Security Index. I told Wr. that as we had previously repeatedly pointed out to the Department, we feel that the Department should review all Security Index cases, and that we would continue to hold this viewpoint until the Department would review all cases. Said this was an appropriation matter.

I pointed out that recently the Department had reviewed the case of and had expressed the opinion that he should be removed from the Security Index, which we did. However, as a starting point, I told and we would like to have the Department's reasoning in the case. We went over the salient points in the

case, namely, that a known Soviet agent, had introduced to his Soviet superior in the 1930's, although there was no indication that knew the purpose of the meeting or that accepted an assignment from the Soviet; that Dr. had strongly identified as the individual visited by and his wife; and her mother, ct home in 1946 and that the had praised as an individual friendly to

the Communist Party (CP) and of value as a good friend of the party of movement and "it was good to have him in the position he was in"; that had associated with CP members, although he claimed this to be in

12-28-54

a social way only; that had attended a CP meeting, although he explained this by saying it had not been identified as such; that was not fully cooperative when interviewed by agents and first denied registration in the American Labor Party for a period of 8 years, but later admitted same.

, who had made the decision for the Department case, stated that from a careful review of the reports in the case there was no showing of actual Communist activities on in the the part of ... and, therefore, the allegations by the alone with no supporting data. 1... said that he felt that should not be on the Government pay roll, but that this was a matter for the Loyalty Board to decide; however, . felt that there was no definite showing that was a potential danger in the event of an emergency. It was pointed out to Mr. and Mr. that the significant factor in the case was the identification of as the individual to whom the remarks of the ... applied, the significance of which lay in the espionage or potential sabotage field rather than Communist activities; that if is what the allege, he would be in a position in an emergency to perform sabotage or espionage at the Brooklyn Navy Yark where he has a sensitiv position. There was considerable discussion of the various factors involved in this case; however, we did not attempt to argue the case or change the Department's position. We stated that we wanted the Department's reasoning as it might effect other cases on the Security 1

Mr. stated that in his opinion there were no principle:

laid down in the Department's decision on the case, that the

Department's decision had been arrived at in the main from the lack of

Communist activities on the part of

OF SERVATION:

Insofar as the case is concerned, I personally feel that the Department reached its conclusion first, through a failure to recognize the espionage and sabetage potentials in the case and second, as a result of the Loyalty Board hearing which had already ruled that was eligible for continued employment. We are continuity the investigation on a special basis for the purpose of developing any possible additional facts which the Department should further consider in the case.

2 -

and the second second to the second s

12-28-54

INTERVIEWS WITH SECURITY INDEX SUBJECTS:

We further discussed with Messrs. in line with Executive Conference Memorandum dated December 14, 1954, the policy we are following regarding uncooperative interviews as a factor to retain or place incividuels on the Security Index. We advised that we interview Security Index subjects and persons under investigation for several specific reasons, such as: To develop informants; to cause disruption within the CP; to ascertain the attitude and current activities of the subject when this appears to be necessary. We stated that where the subject is completely uncooperative with the Government or where the subject indicates positively that he is still active as a Communist or on the other hand where he is completely cooperative there is no problem as to the action to be taken. However, in between those two extremes we have persons who upon interview fall into the following three categories: A. Interviews where the subject is entirely uncooperative, such as refusing to even talk to the interviewing agent; B. Interviews where the subject cooperates partly by admitting his past Communist activities, but refuses to discuss other information in his possession concerning the CP; and C. Interviews wherein subjects pretend to cooperate by lying to the interviewing agent as borne out by definite information in our files.

policy of considering interviews in the store three categories as factors to retain or place on the Security Index providing, of course, that other information developed during the investigation warrants such action. In other words, if investigation has developed subsuch action. In other words, if investigation has developed subsuch activities, past or present, on the part of the subject versive activities, past or present, on the part of the subject and if he is interviewed to bring his activities up to date or to and if he is interviewed to bring his activities we consider interviews provide an additional factor for consideration we consider interviews resulting along lines of A, B and C above as a factor in retaining or placing an individual on the Security Index.

Messrs. Foley and Doherty were in complete agreement with the Bureau in this respect. I told them we would verify in writing our discussion on the subject of interviews in order that the Department can consider this during their review of Security the Department can consider this during their new weak during their review of Security the Department can consider this during their new weak during their review of Security the Department can consider this during their new would be set for the above. Foley and Doherty agreed with the policy set forth above.

ACTION:

We are drafting a memorandum to the Attorney General with copies to Rygers and Pampkins along this line.

December Ad. 1854

Dictoria, For

PROGRAW FOR APPRENIATION AND DETENTION OF PERSONS CONSIDERS FORENCIALLY VAROLEDUS FO THE NATIONAL DEFENSE AND NUBLIC SAFELY OF THE UNITED STATES:

eccordance with your request, the ceneral criteric or exendends utilized in describing whether or not individuals should be included on the list of persons to be considered for opprehension in an emergency under the above-captioned program. The fol has conducted and continues to conduct invertions with subjects of recurity type investigations where the investigations where the investigation is primarily for the purpose of determining the potential dangerousness of the individual. These interviews are primarily directed theory coales to develop informants within the communist Party or other subversive organizations; to further disrupt the dottuities of subversive organizations; and to assist in a current evaluation of the potential dangerousness of the porticular subject.

where the subject of one of these investigations, upon interview, is completely cooperative with the covernment and furnishes all information in his possession and assists the government, or where the subject is uncooperative and aimive continued Communist or subversive cotivities, where is no problem in so for as the Leaurity Index is concerned. In the first instance the subject is removed from the Courity Index and its the account instance he is pleased or retained on the Security Index. Setween these two extremes, interviews conducted of subjects may be broken down into three types, is follows:

- (1) Incorptous where the subject to entirely unecoperative, such as refuting even to talk to the intervicuing Apents;
- (2) Interviews where a subject cooperates
 partially by admitting his own past Communist or
 other subversive equivities, but refuses to identify
 his appointed is the demounist farey or other
 subversive organizations and refuses to discuse ather
 information in his passasion concerning such organisations; and.

....

12-28-54

The Atlanta actal

(8) Interviews wherein a subject precouse to cooperate by lying to the interviewing typhia, as borge out by definite information in our files.

This Bureau has followed the policy of considering any interviews folling within the about inter-casesuries as a factor we be gonsidered in connection with wlasting or resulating a subject's name on the Leourity Indea. In other bords, if investigation has developed subjerative costuicion, pass ar present, an the park of a subject, and if he is interviewed to bring his optivities up to date or to provide an edditional factor for bushideration, we consider inverviews in the couve three categories as a factor in retainist or placing an individual on the Jecurity Index. Our policy in this respect vokes into account Communica terry insurantions to its members that, chara avossamy, they admis their can past Communica artivities in an effort to allay the suspicious of the FAL. Fo also take famo occamil the Communist Forty "alsoper" program whereby Commentat members are therreated se disampooluts onemselves satirely from Dessuniat Party activities and remain quiescent until such time to the Perug has need to cull upon them for corton. In addition, we take commissione of the Countries harby's "actonication" progress whereby Cormunian forcy numbers, and publicly thorns no such, are ingspected to secure positions in industry and elecabers, and in so doing to remain alsof from Communios activities in arder what when the appropriate with cores they any on in a pecision to assist the Commission farty through the positions attained DY THEM.

A discussion and hald with Weders.

and of the length week and letanear My 1954, generating this number, at which time the above data were note available to Mesers. Felsy and laberby. They empermed themselves as in amalete agreement with the library's policy, as and forek above. The presentant with the accommum is to acquaint you with the presentance being fullowed, the agreement of the layertance relative thereof new you will all the transfer of the layertance relative these procedures for the casingle in writing to the Copseinest these procedures for the casing by the Department.

B of - Mr.
Beputy Attornoy Concral

A co - Assiphant Advaracy Ceneral

-

Office Men

. UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

/ DIRECTOR, FBI (

DATE:

FROM : (

SAC, LOS ANGELES (

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX SEMI-ANNUAL VERIFICATIONS In compliance with Manual of Instructions, Vol. 3,

Section 87C, page 31A: The Los Angeles Office currently has 4171 active Security Index cards. This office continues to verify information on one-sixth of the Security Index cards 3300 month.

All Security Index cards of the Los Angeles Office have been verified or are now in process of being verified for the period of the past six months.

(F) SECURITY INDEX - INTERVIEWS OF SUBJECTS - In some of our Security Index cases there have been no reported activities in subversive groups over extended periods. Further, no indications versive groups over extended periods. Further, no indications of defection have been received in these cases and as a result the subjects have been retained in the Security Index.

In order to resolve the question of the continued administrate to subversive principles by these individuals, the following instructions are to be placed into effect immediately. Index subjects who have not been identified with any All Security Index subjects who have not been identified with any subversive activities since January 1, 1949, must be interviewed subversive are compelling reasons dictating against an interview unless there are compelling reasons dictating against an interview in individual cases. In the latter circumstances, each such case in individual cases. In the latter circumstances, each such case should be called to the specific attention of the Bureau, together with the facts rendering an interview undesirable or impossible. With the facts rendering an interview undesirable or impossible. Bureau authority must be procured for these interviews in accord-Bureau authority must be procured for these interviews in accordance with Section 87C, 6b (7) (d) of the Manual of Instructions.

It is not desired that a project be undertaken to determine the cases falling into the above category. Offices having a relatively small number of Security Index subjects should, however, proceed to review their Security Index cases as circumhowever, proceed to review their Security Index cases as circumstances permit. Larger offices should review the Security Index cases as they are reopened for the required annual investigative cases as they are reopened for the required annual investigative report or when the cases are processed in connection with the semiannual verification of residence and employment addresses. The New York Office should follow the latter procedure - i.e., review

its Security Index cases to determine the identities of subjects, falling into the above category in connection with periodic verifications.

All offices are instructed to advise the Bureau by July 1, 1955, that the cases in which no subversive activities have been reported since January 1, 1949, have been identified and appropriate communications directed to the Bureau concerning interviews with these subjects in line with the preceding instructions herein.

Office Memo....

• UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

DATE: 1/7/55

FROM !

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX G

During the past week 64 cards were added to the Security Index and 15 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 49 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is

. 26,552.

ACTION:

None. This is for your information.

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

JOVERNMENT

TO

Director, FBI

DATE: January 13, 1955

FROM:

SAC, Pittsburgh

, SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX - COMSAB TABBLING

Re SAC Letter No. 54-70 (K) dated 12-14-54.

Subsequent to a review of the files of all Security Index subjects tabbed CONSAB, all FD-122's necessary to delete the CONSAB tabbing from the Security Index cards of subjects, in accordance with instructions set out in relet, have been forwarded to the Bureau.

Office Memorandum · United STATES GOVERNMENT

DATE: January 5,

FROM I

TO

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX

of the Department called me on January 5, 1955, to advise that the Internal Security Division had received the Bureau's letter of December 20, 2002, to the Attorney General, setting forth the criteria or standards which the Bureau uses in determining dangerousness or potential dangerousness of an individual in connection with possible inclusion in the Security Index. Tr. Internal Security Division is studying these criteria. He said that the criteria as set forth in this memorandum to the littorney (General appear to be more complete than the criteria being used by the Department and, therefore, Departmental representatives will probably want to get together with us to discuss these criteria. I asked Mr. whether there was one area of disagreement. He said none to his knowledge but Mr. and he would like to discuss the criteria for the Department's clarification. Mr. ___ said the criteria which the Department has used are based on memoranda previously sent by the Bureau to the Department.

I told Mr. _ that we are ready at any time to come over and discuss the criteria and at such time as he is ready, we will do so.

You will recall that we followed the Lapartment for years in order to pin the Department down as to the Security Index standards. Finally, by memorandum dated October 8, 1952, Index standards. Finally, by memorandum dated October 8, 1952, the Department approved the standards we were using and specifically referred to Bureau memoranda relating to the standards dated referred to Bureau memoranda relating to the Department, in their September 16, 1949, and July 27, 1950. The Department, in their communication failed to mention the Bureau memorandum of June 28, 195 communication failed to mention the Bureau in the bureau in in which we discussed the standards used by the Bureau in considerable detail, including our over-all concepts of the considerable detail, including our over-all concepts of the Emergency Detention Program. This oversight was called to 1952, the Department's attention and by memorandum dated November 25, the Department approved the Bureau's concepts of the Emergency Detention Program and the Security Inlex standards as Emergency Detention Program and the Security Inlex standards as outlined in the Bureau memorandum of June 28, 1951.

In our memorandum to the Attorney General dated December 23, 1954, we set forth the Security Index criteria and standards used by the Bursau, the data being taken directly from Section 876 of the Hanual of Instructions. The standards submitted to the Attorney General are a compilation of the data furnished to the Department in previous memoranda and there has been no change in the basic criteria.

I believe we should hold a conference with Departmental officials to discuss all phases of the standards we are using and to answer any questions they may raise.

ACTION:

A conference will be held with Mr. and Departmental representatives at such time as they are ready.

Ast and to is mit his Pivinon about do

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

EMPLOYEE SUGGESTION

			EMPLO	EE DOOGEST	and the second		
					note Janu	<u> 1955</u>	
					Date	AND THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY ADDRESS OF THE PROPER	
		Director,	7BI				
	To: _	Directori					
1		SA	,				
b^{*}	From: _	<u> </u>		•			
•	_	rield Office or	Division	Butte		~	
	. 1	field Office of	D11101010			(). 	177 + + T
		mhat 1	nformation I	resently	typed on	back of Sec	oand oand
	SUGGEST	rion: That	ald Office A	be typed i	Instead on	a separate	When
	Index car	rion: That 1 rds by the Ricely attached	to the Seci	arity Inde	em card, e	e.g. stapred	d no
	a new Sec	cely attached curity Index are necessary	th the inf	ormation a	going on t	ne back of	and and
	changes a	are necessary	he removed	from the	old Secur	ith Tuder c	aru and
,	the sepai	to the new S	Security Ind	ex card.			·
	attached	to the new c)COUL = 0)				
							(م)
							(1
							•
		vantages are:	m1	o don't to 0	f SI chang	sea are for	emblohmenr
	Its ad	vantages are:	The Vaso	tont of t	he card.	The informa	ition on the
						- 10000 75 12	
	to a la of	the card which	C11 1D CC CC CC	•	• L laa (cama intorius	101011 000-
		A DOMESTIC TOTAL CONTROL OF THE CONT	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11			- 1 / 2 - 2 / 2 / 2 / 3 / 3 / 3 / 3 / 3 / 3 / 3 /	
	OT card	where no cha	1150 20 1100		211 ONG 115	mally emplo	Yeen Till one
,	those ch	narged With m	dinoarnans	annual l	v (Tmposs	ible for me	to compute,
	higher J	22 V = F. A. S. G C - Pt. 1 e	ast \$				
	\dot{O}	The use by the	United States	or my sugge	rs or assis	gns upon the U	nited States.
)`	The use by the further claim o	f any nature b	y me, my nei	, 02	- ·,'	•
(،	1/4	^	•	•			

(Signature of Suggistor)

Comments and recommendation of Supervisor, SAC, or Assistant Director:

It is believed that considerable savings on a Bureau-wide basis can be effected with the adoption of the above suggestion, consequently, favorable recommendation is made that it be adopted.

1823



PEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

EMPLOYEE SUGGESTION

Date: 1/6/55

To:

Director, FBI

From: SA

Division of assignment: BUTTE

SUGGESTION: That information presently typed on back of Security Index cards by the Field Office be typed instead on a separate card and securely attached to the Security Index card, e.g. stapled. Then a new Security Index card is received for the same Subject, and no changes are necessary in the information going on the back of the card, the separate card can be removed from the old Security Index card and attached to the new Security Index card.

Current practice or rule (includo manual citation as well as facts):

Advantages of suggestion: The vast majority of SI changes are for employment and residence which are on the front of the card. The information on the back of the card which is added by the Field Office remains fairly constant. Above procedure will eliminate typing the same information over and over each time the Subject changes residence and/or employment. Savings to the Eureau will be the amount of salary equal to the amount of time it takes a typist to retype the information on the back of an SI card where no change is made in that information. In this connection is card where no change is made in that information the SI cards are usually employees in the higher pay grades.

The use by the United States of my suggestion shall not form the basis of a further claim of any nature by me, my heirs, or assigns upon the United States.

(Signature of suggestor)

Recommendations and comments of Division Head:
It is believed that considerable savings on a Bureau-wide basis can be effected with the adoption of the above suggestion, consequently, favorable recommendation is made that it be adopted.



1824 Changed to

STANDARD FORM NO. 84

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO : DIRECTOR, FAI (MIGISTRAVO MAIL)

DATE: 1/14/55

FROM :

SAC, SAN ANTENTO

SUBJECT:

SUCCESAR TARRIES

Re: SAC Letter 5h-70 (K) dated 12/14/5h.

All files of Security Index subjects tabbad for COMSAB in this office have been reviewed. FD-122 has been submitted in each case. If approved by the Eureau, there will be no COMSAD subjects in this office.

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

TO : DIRECTOR, TEL

DATE: JANUARY 17, 1955

FROM

SAC, ATLANTA .

SUBJECT: SHOUDITY INDEX
ATLANTA DIVISION

Re SAC Letter #47, dated 5-21-51.

The addresses and employment of all Security Index subjects has been verified in accordance with existing instructions, with the following exceptions:

Photographs are available on all Security Index subjects of the Atlanta Division.

Office Memorandum • united states government

To . The Director

DATE: January 14, 1955

FROM :

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX BUFILE

The following is a report of the increase in the Security Index since the last count was furnished to you on December 10, 1954.

Week of	New Cards	Cards	Net
	Added	Cancelled	Increase
December 11-17 December 18-24 December 25-31 January 1-7 January 8-14	113 46 37 64 <u>66</u> 320	10 13 7 15 21 66	103 33 30 49 25 200

For your information, during the preceding four-week period 243 cards were added and 59 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 184 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is

26,597.

ACTION:

This is for your information.

Office Memorandum • United States Government

DATE: January 12, 135h

TO

FROM :

USE June 12 1- GEN

SUBJECT:

DELINQUENCY IN SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS

SYNOPSIS:

Each month we follow the field closely on the status of security work by analyzing the backlog of pending cases and delinquency in Espiona: Internal Security, Security Matter and foreign intelligence cases in classifications, and . Status of these cases as of December 31, 1954, is set forth herein.

Pending active matters in the entire field in the security classifications remained virtually unchanged, increasing slightly from 23,179 to 23,181. Delinquent matters decreased from 6,918 to 6,697, with the resultant over-all statistical decrease from 29.4 per cent to 28.8 per cent. The delinquency in security cases in the New York Office decreased substantially from 1,577 to 1,492, reducing delinquency from 29.3 to substantially from 1,577 to 1,492, reducing delinquency from 29.3 to 26.4 per cent. The number of pending active matters in the classification increased in the New York Office from 4,021 to 4,117 and the tion increased in this classification decreased from 1,323 to 1,232 or delinquent items in this classification decreased from 1,323 to 1,232 or statistical delinquency of 29.9 per cent. It is noted that the 26.4 per statistical delinquency in the security classifications of the New York Offic cent of delinquency in the security classifications of the New York Offic is the lowest percentage of delinquency in these classifications since is the lowest percentage of delinquency in these classifications since the Bureau has, on a regular basis, been following the field in this matter.

Letters are being sent to 18 offices either instructing them to reduce their backlog and delinquency, or in some cases commenting upon their progress in bringing the security cases to current status.

RECOMMENDATION:

The field will continue to be followed closely in this matter and you will be advised of the results of the review of the January, 1955 administrative reports.

DETAILS:

Since December, 1951, we have been following, on a monthly basis, the twelve field offices having a large proportion of our pending security work and since March, 1952, all offices. We have written letters to the twelve offices and to other offices where progress in reducing the backlog of work and delinquency has not been satisfactory.

The December, 1954, administrative reports from all offices reflect that the total pending active matters in the classifications and increased by only two items this month from 23,179 to 23,182.

The delinquent items decreased from 6,918 to 6,897, which represents a statistical decrease of from 29.4 per cent to 28.8 per cent.

The following statistics reflect the status of pending work including both pending active and pending inactive metters and delinquency for all field divisions as of December 31, 1951, and the progress for the last four months:

TOTAL PENDING MATTERS CLASSIFICATIONS 65, 100 AND 105 ALL FIELD DIVISIONS

					-0 07 51
Classification	12-31-51	9-30-54	<u> 10-31-54</u>	<u>11-30-54</u>	<u>12-31-54</u>
Classification	1,314 32,812 2,895	1,060 21,807 3,588	1,066 21,911 3,428	1,035 22,419 3,493	1,050 22,075 3,565
Total	37,021	26,455	26,405	26,947	26 , 690

TOTAL DELINQUENT MATTERS CLASSIFICATIONS , AND ALL FIELD DIVISIONS

Total	24,762	6,720	6,413	ુ , ૦1૩	<i>5</i> ,697
	1,719	790	670	730 ———	671
	22,51 8	5,7 58	5,580	6,018	5,890-
	<i>525</i>	172	163	170	136
Classification	<u>12-31-51</u>	9-30-54	10-91-54	12-50-54	20.53.54

The following statistics reflect the total of pending active matters, delinquency and percentage of delinquency of all offices since January 31, 1953.

	MTARG		AND
CLASSIFICA	TITONS	٠ و	1
ATT	FTFID	DIVISI	CMS
עעא	FILLID	DIVIDE	

Date Active Natters Mathers Delination 1-31-53 24,098 11,772 48.69 2-28-53 22,935 11,233 46.99 3-31-53 22,033 10,890 47.33 4-30-53 22,100 9,120 41.3 5-31-53 21,740 9,730 44.8 6-30-53 20,970 9,558 45.6 6-30-53 20,970 9,558 45.6 7-31-53 19,493 8,495 43.6 8-31-53 19,493 8,495 43.6 8-31-53 19,224 7,571 39.4 9-30-53 19,141 5,580 34.3 10-31-53 19,141 5,580 34.3 11-30-53 19,947 6,749 33.2 12-31-54 20,520 7,494 36.5 2-28-54 21,868 6,617 30.2 3-31-54 21,863 7,822 35.8 5-31-54 21,863 7,822 35.8 <th></th> <th>ALL FIEL</th> <th>DD DIVIBIONS</th> <th></th>		ALL FIEL	DD DIVIBIONS	
1-31-53	Date			Percentage <u>Delinquent</u>
22,452 0,413 20 V	1-31-53 2-28-53 3-31-53 4-30-53 5-31-53 6-30-53 7-31-53 8-31-53 10-31-53 11-30-53 12-31-54 2-28-54 3-31-54 4-30-54 5-31-54 6-30-54 7-31-54 8-31-54	24,098 22,935 23,033 22,100 21,740 20,970 20,180 19,493 19,224 19,141 19,833 19,947 20,520 21,488 21,848 21,752 21,863 22,278 22,977 22,361	11,772 11,233 10,890 9,120 9,730 9,558 9,411 8,495 7,571 5,580 6,655 6,749 7,494 6,007 6,617 6,757 7,822 7,407 7,163 7,010 6,720	48.87 47.37 41.37 41.37 41.37 44.66 45.67 45
00 700	10-31-54 11-31-54	22,452 23,179	6,91 8	29.7% 29.8%

Status of Security Work in Twelve of the Larger Offices

The following statistics reflect the progress of the twelve larger offices we have been closely following since December, 1951. These offices have approximately 80 per cent of the total Security Index cards and a high percentage of the pending work and delinquency in classification and - .

STATISTICS FOR TWELVE OFFICES SECURITY INDEX COUNT AND FOR AND MATTERS

	Security Index Cards	Pending Katte	rs	Percen Delinq 11-30-54	
n f f i n a	12-15-54	<u>11-30-54</u>	<u> 12-31-54</u>	11-30-34	
<u>Office</u> * New York	7,015	5, 349	5, 640	29.3%	26.4%
* Los Angeles	4,170	2,167	2,332	34.0%	32.0%
# San Francisco	2,33 8	1,886	1,814	39.0%	42.0%
# Chicago	1,5 <u>44</u>	1,454	1,416	50.0%	46.0%
* Detroit	1,356	943	965	20.0%	38.0%
Philadelphia	1,210	9 99	982	22.0%	24.0%
* Newark	900	1,140	1,130	. 37.0%	29.0%
Cleveland	684	489	492	28.0%	23.0%
•	679	722	702	. 29.0%	28.0%
# Boston	677	394	393	25.0%	27.0%
# Seattle	539	<i>5</i> 89	<i>565</i>	46.0%	30.0%
# New Haven	525	280	301	21.0%	13.0%
Vi nneapolis	21,637	16,412	16,732	•	

Letters being sent to offices indicated. See page 8 herein.

The over-all statistics for the eleven offices other than the New York Office since August 31, 1952, are as follows:

<u>Date</u>	Pending Active Vatters (Delinquent Katters	Percentage Delin- quent (
9-30-52 10-31-52 11-30-52	12,611 11-367 11-266 11,148	7,589 5,732 4,957 4,844 4,578	50 • 4% 43 • 9% 43 • 5% 41 • 9% 43 • 8%
12-31-52 1-31-53 2-28-53 3-31-53	10,937 10-730 10,721 10,069 9,411	4,696 4,358 4,019 2,887 3,447	40 • 6% 39 • 9% 30 • 7% 37 • 2% 39 • 4%
4-30-53 5-31-53 6-30-53 7-31-53 8-31-53	9,277 8,964 8,908 8,547 8.601	3,532 3,758 3,618 3,249 2,785	42.2% 42.3% 37.8% 31.4% 30.4%
9-30-53 10-31-53 11-30-53 12-31-53 1-31-54	9,434 9,552 9,814	2,871 3,261 3,318 3,021 2,998	34.1% 33.6% 29.4% 29.4% 31.5%
2-28-54 3-31-54 4-30-54 5-31-54 6-30-54	10,188 10,201 9,840 8.839	3,209 3,920 3,679 3,348	39.8% 37.5% 32.4% 33.4%
7-31-54 8-31-54 9-30-54 10-31-54 11-31-54 12-31-5	10,048 10,669 10,557 11,063	3,364 3,488 3,376 3,822 3,728	32.7% 31.9% 34.5% 33.6%

During 1953, the New York Office made a steady reduction in delinquent matters in the security classifications as well as a steady. New York Office reduction in the case backlog. During November, 1953, the security delinquency dropped below 50 per cent for the first time in 1953 to delinquency dropped below 50 per cent for the first time in 1953 to

47 per cent. This was reduced even further in December to 43 per cent

as compared with January, 1953, delinquency of 76 per cent in the

security classifications. In January, 1954, the New York delinquency

stood at 53 per cent. It was reduced, however, in February, 1954, average

stood at 53 per cent. It was reduced, through September, 1954, average

stood at 53 per cent. In October, 1954, the New York security delinquency dropped 38 per cent. In October, 1954, the New York security delinquency dropped

to 20.9 per cent and on November 30, 1954, to 29.3 per cent, the figure in each successive instance representing the lowest percentice of delinquency in the security classifications which had existed in the New York Office since this matter has been followed on a regular basis by the Bureau.

The December, 1954, administrative report of New York reveals still further progress. Its security delinquency decreased during the past month from 29.3 per cent to 26.4 per cent, a new low for that office. Although pending active security cases increased somewhat in the New York Office from 5,840 for November, 1954, to 5,640 for December, 1954, delinquent items decreased from 1,577 as of November, 1954, to 1,492 as of December, 1954.

The New York Office has approximately 24 per cent of the pending active matters in the and classifications in the entire field. This office also has approximately 28 per cent of the number of delinquent items in these classifications throughout the number of delinquent items in these classifications throughout the field. The average delinquency in all other offices at the end of November, 1954, was approximately 29.7 per cent. The New York delinquency was 26.4 per cent as previously noted.

The bulk of the New York backles and delinquency is in the classification. During December, 1954, the number of pending active matters in the classification in the New York Office active matters in the classification in the number of delinquent matters in increased from 4,021 to 4,117. The number of delinquent matters in this classification decreased from 1,323 to 1,232 with a statistical this classification decreased from 1,323 to 1,232 with a statistical decrease from 32.9 per cent for November, 1954, to 29.9 per cent as of Iecember 31, 1954.

Status of Security Work in the Remaining Forty Offices

The remaining forty offices are listed according to the percentage of delinquency on December 31, 1954, in total matters in classifications and . These statistics reflect the progress during December, 1954. It should be noted that many of the smaller offices have no pending matters in classifications and smaller entire pending backlog is in classification. — matters.

		Matters 12-31-54	Percentage <u>11-30-54</u>	Delinquent 12-31-54
Office			೨೨ರ	44%
* Albany * Little Rock * Vilwaukee * Dallas * Baltimore * Charlotte * El Paso * Norfolk Indianapolis Knoxuille Oklahoma City Salt Lake City Buffalo Denver Washington Field * Louisville Pittsburgh Savannah St. Louis Atlanta Cincinnati Kansas City Wiami Phoenix Houston Mobile New Orleans	Active 11-30-54 340 37 269 92 690 110 43 51 168 40 51 59 824 187 947 51 367 34 289 76 360 103 195 72 62 45 138	12-31-54 363 31 269 82 632 113 41 66 172 35 42 70 578 211 922 52 326 28 276 91 373 89 202 78 68 52 145	Percentage 11-30-54 2655-88888888888888888888888888888888888	11-54 12-54
Birthingham San Diego San Juan San Antonio Anchorage Memphis Richmond Portland Butte Honolulu Omaha Springfield Albuquerque	38 220 156 53 32 45 87 111 61 34 60 93 77	35 205 149 51 34 35 96 127 56 34 58 104 58	32% 226% 29% 29% 21% 29% 16% 147% 29%	15% 15% 14% 12% 11% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10

^{*} Letters sent to offices indicated regarding delinquent status.

See page 8 herein.

The following figures illustrate the progress of the forty offices as a whole since June 30, 1952, as reflected in the administrative reports.

	Pending	Delinquent	Pana an taga
Dota	Active Matters	Matters)	Percentage Delinquent
Date			
6-30-52	8 , 417	3, 842	45.6%
7-31-52	7, 778	3, 156	40.6%
8-31-52	6, 928	<i>3,054</i>	44.0%
9-30-52	6, 1 98	2, 109	34.0%
10-31-52	6, 126	1,279	20,8%
11-30-52	6 , 129	1,703	27.8%
12-31-52	6,2 28	1,691	27 . 2%
1-31-53	6,0 80	1,554	<i>25</i> .6%
2-26-53	6,103	1,515	24.6%
3-31-5 3	5, 936	1,542	26.0%
4-30-53	. 5, 864	414 و 1	24.1%
5-31- 53	4,897	1,726	29.3%
6-30-53	5,611	1,577	28.1%
7-31- 53	5,146	1,358	26.4%
6-31-53	5,095	1,323	26.0%
9-30-53	5,0 50	1,219	24.1%
10-31-53	4,987	1,087	21.8%
11-30-53	5,180	1,355	26.1%
12-01-50	5,260	1,301	24.6%
1-31-54	5, 562	1,444	25.9%
2-28-54	5,997	1,347	22.5%
3-31- 54	6,079	1,431	23.5%
4- 30 - 54	6,174	1,327	21.5%
5-31-54	6,733	1,710	25.4%
6-30-54	7,022	1,522	21.6%
7-31-54	6,910	1,590	23.0%
8-3.1-54	6,728	1,520	22.5%
9-30-54	6,710	1,410	21.0%
10-31-54	6,594	1,39 8	21.2%
11-30-54	6,767	1,51 9	22.4%
12-31-54	6,449	1,477	22.4%

Letters to the Field

As noted previously, on page one herein, we are sending letters to 18 offices either instructing them to take appropriate measures to bring the investigations in the security classifications to, or maintain them in a current status. Letters are being directed to the offices indicated by asterisks on pages four and seven herein. It will be noted that the security delinquency of those offices for the month of December, 1954, appears in the extreme right hand column of the two lists on pages four and seven.

5110 10TT - # 50 %

(I) MISTAKEN IDENTITIES - SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS -- Your attention is specifically directed to Section D, SAC Letter Number 121, Series 52, dated November 13, 1952. Therein is pointed out the necessity of avoiding submission of reports containing erroneous identifications. I wish to repeat at this time the imperative obligation of all employees to be sure that all activity attributed to the subjects of investigations does, in fact, pertain to the individuals under investigation. Several instances have been noted recently wherein activities of individuals with names similar to those of subjects under investigation were incorrectly attributed to the persons under investigation. In each instance addresses were available for these persons and had appropriate background investigation been conducted the errors would have been avoided. Investigative conclusions must be based on facts rather than unsupported assumptions if we are to maintain our standards of investigative accuracy. At your next conference of security Agents you should bring this matter forcefully to the attention of all Agent personnel.

:c - LT. ·cc - Mr.

Assistant Attorney Ceneral

January 19, 1955

Director, FBI

O SECURITY INDEX LIST

There is attached hereto a current list of the names of the individuals maintained in the Security Index. Hames included in the main portion of the list are arranged alphabetically. The names of individuals coming within five designated groups are listed on separate pages. Following each name is information reflecting the race, sex, birth date, Bureau file number and the Eureau field office covering the residence of the individual.

It is requested that this list be given utmost security.

Attachment (1)

Note on Yellow Only: Espionage Section of the Special Section not included. The five designated groups are:

WGO: mjt (6)

Foreign Government Employees United Nations Employees Pro-Tito Yugoslavs U. S. Government Employees Atomic Energy Program Employees

13 JAN 22 1955 an Ko 1975 JAM 2 %

Office Memorandum • united states government

DATE: 1/21/55

FROM !

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX-

During the past week 52 cards were added to the Security Index and 9 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 43 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is

26,640.

ACTION:

None. This is for your information.

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT DATE: 1/19/55 MR . 1. FROM ! SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX LIST The Security Index list as of January 14, 1955, has been prepared. One copy is attached herewith for transmittal to the SAC, Quantico, for retention in his personal custody with the other vital records maintained at the FBI Academy. RECOMMENDATION: 1. That this memorandum and attached list be forwarded to the SAC, Quantico, marked Personal and Confidential, Registered, Return Receipt Requested. 2. That upon receipt, the SAC, Quantico, place the attached list with the other vital records maintained at Quantico, personally destroy by burning the old Security Index list in his possession and note hereon that such action has been taken. 3. That thereafter, this memorandum be returned to the Bureau, marked for my attention. RECONDED-32 Attachment (1) WGO:mjt

JAN 22 18 1

(6)

£ > 3 :

cc - Mr. B. C. cc - Mr. C. cc

60 JAN 12101-

cc - SAC, C. Tigg

January 26, 1955

PROPOSED CHANGE IN SECTION E7C. TABLETO OF INSCRIPTIONS

The sentence under (3) (A) on page 29A of Section 87C of the Manual of instructions should be amended in its entirety to read:

(A) Any individual known to be currently engaged in espionage activities.

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO :

DATE: 1/28/55

FROM 1 ...

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX - Carr

During the past week 37 cards were added to the Security Index and 19 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 18 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 26,658.

ACTION:

None. This is for your information.

Director, FBI

PROTECTION AND DESIRETION, OF THE PROTECTION AND DESIRETION, DANS AND PROTECTION OF THE ENTITY OF THE ENTERS

Reference is made to my memorandum to the Attorney Conoral acted December 23, 1954, relating to the general criteria or standards utilized in determining whether or not names should be included on the list of persons to be considered for exprehension in an emergency under the above-captioned Program.

Pursuent to the request of Ar. of your Division, a conference was held on Junuary 24, 1955, between representatives of the Department and this Bureau at which time a general discussion was held on the Occupity Infor oritoria or eterroren. The conference assided that there was no erea of disagreement between the Department and this Eureau on the criteria or concepts regarding dangerousness. It was recognized that there ore varying dagrees of revolutionar dispositions and subversive activities and that the criteria or standards listed in my memorandum of December 23, 1954, are not all inclusive but are used as guides to explot in determining mather there are readqueste greature carporace by three of qualque for considering a person achigerous or potentially dangerous to the internal security of the country at the time of an emergency.

felt that consideration should be given to report in \$\frac{1}{2}\$ tem A as listed in ny memoration. Item A in that for the margace of clarification. Item A in that communication reads as follows: "Any subject of a current espionage investignation."

in the matter has been considered and item A in the criteria used by this Dereau is leiny unamied to read as follows: "Any indivioual known to be our gotly engaged in espionage activities."

This change morely clarifies the point and in no way affects names already on the Security Index by resum of each contains since in every include of the factions in the first factority of the title in appropriate in current copionage Index total the capacitable by incentigations activity has been sutablished by incentigations

You will be promptly adviced of any consideration given in the juture to changing the Security ladex criteria.

- 2 -

STATIST PERM HO. 64

Office Memorandum • united states government

DATE: 1/26/55

TO

K FROM ! SUBJECT: SECURITY INVEX Bufile

In my memorandum to you dated 1/5/55, I advised that Lir. of the Department had contacted me in connection with the Bureau's letter of 12/23/54 to the Attorney General, setting forth the criteria or standards which the Bureau uses in determining dangerousness or potential dangerousness of an individual in connection with possible inclusion in the Security Index. At that time Mr. the Internal Security Division was studying these criteria and that there appeared to be no area of disagreement with the Department's criteria but that after further study he would like to discuss the criteria with Eureau representatives for the purpose of clarification.

I recommended that a conference be held with Mr. -Department representatives at such time as they were ready. The Directo approved the recommendation and commented that it is not up to us to what his Division should do. advise

and I met with Mr. - ., of the Department's Internal Security On 1/24/55, Supervisor stated that they had carefully reviewed the and Mr. Security Index criteria a: listed in the Bureau's letter to the Attorney General dated 12/23/54, and that there was no area of disagreement between the Bureau's criteria and the criteria used by concurred. the Department. Ir.

I pointed out that the criteria as listed in the 12/23/54 letter to the Attorney General were taken directly from our Lanual of Instructions to field offices and were in sufficient detail to afford assistance to our field personnel in evaluating cases and recommending cases for the Security Index. Mr. intended to recommend that the Department adopt a criteria exactly as set out in the referenced letter and requested to be advised at any time amendments or changes to the criteria are being considered. I told him that we would see that the Department is so advised.

item A in the criteria for the purpose of clarification. It reads.
"Any subject of a current espionage investigation." I told him
we would be glad to consider the point. I explained to him that
in every instance of that type names have not been sood to
Security Index until engagement in current espionage activity has
been established by investigation.

Both Mr. and Mr. understand that there are varying degrees of revolutionary dispositions and subversive activities and that the Security Index criteria or standards are not all-inclusive but are used as guides to assist in determining whether there are reasonable grounds subjected by investigation for considering a person dangerous or potentially dangerous.

It is recommended that item A in the criteria be changed to read as follows: "Any individual known to be currently engaged in espionage activities." This merely clarifies the point and in may affects existing Security Index cards.

ACTION:

If you approve there is attached a letter to Mr. and a Manual change. An SAC Letter is not necessary on this change since there is no change in policy.

okh

Office Memorandum • United States Gover

TO

DATE: 1/21/5

FROM :

SUBJECT SECURITY INDEX CARD STATISTICS

The Security Index has cards for 26,597 persons as of January 14, 1955. This is a net increase of 229 or .9% over last month. Of the total, 24,758 or 93.1% are communists.

A detailed table is attached in duplicate. The table shows as of January 14, 1955, the distribution of the subjects by field office, nationalistic tendencies, dangerousness, sex, race, and citizenship. Also the number on "special lists" are shown. A key to abbreviations is attached.

KEY TO ABBREVIATIONS USED IN SECURITY INDEX

DC Detcom Key Figure KF NA Naturalized NB Native Born TF Top Functionary

Alien

Comsab

CS

UN Unknown

AEP Atomic Energy Program Employees
BUL Bulgarian
COM Communist Party, USA
ESP Espionage Subjects

FGE Foreign Government Employees
GOV United States Government Employees
HUN Hungarian
ISL Independent Socialist League

JFG Johnson Forest Croup MCI Muslim Gult of Islam MIS Miscellaneous

NPR Nationalist Party of Puerto Rico

PPA Proletarian Party of America RUS Russian

SUA Socialist Union of America SWP Socialist Workers' Party

UNE United Nations Employees
UPR Union of the People for the Establishment
of the Republic of Puerto Rico

YUG Yugoslavian

• UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

DATE: January 31. 1955

FROM !

Pursuant to the recommendations contained in the memorandum from the Executives' Conference to the Director dated January 25, 1955, captioned "Security Index," a proposed SAC Letter and appropriate Manual changes have been prepared. The Executives' Conference memorandum is attached.

ACTION:

The proposed SAC Letter and Manual changes are attached for approve1.

For the information of the Training and Inspection Division, the SAC Letter should be prepared and sent to the field immediately due to the urgency of this matter.

January 31, 1995

				MANUAL OF INSTRUUTIONS
	•			SECTION ETC SECTION ETC O, , sie y, C.,
ノ.	Pago	28	b -	Pollowing the heading "(1) Purpose," under "B. Security Indom." the third paragraph should be alloaded to read as Pollows:
) -		,	"No action shall be taken against any individuals included in the Security Intertent upon authorization of varrants for arrest or authorization
	•			- for other setter sustant such in itriausia in the
				Alicenses Concerts of the second of the seco
	-			
4				
	Fase	29	-	Insert the following as a separate paragraph immediately after the heading "(2) Determination of Langerousness of an Individual:"
				"The following is a list of factors which will be of assauration in the relative to the careful and the commence of the commen
				liston in occulon eye 75(3).
	Page	29	h	Income the following as a constant parameter because incominately
			.	Insert the following as a separate paragraph immediately after the hending "(4) heachmendations for, and propuration of Security Index cards:"
			.	of Security Index cards:" "The Special Azent in Charge shall personally reservable to a resultance of Security Index."
				of Security Index cards:" "The Special Azent in Charce shall personally recommend the abitation of security Index cards:" "The Special Azent in Charce shall personally recommend the abitation of some state of the security Index and the personal of the security Index and the personal of the security Index. Comment to the security Index. Comment to the security Index.
•	•			of Security Index cards:" "The Special Azent in Charge shall personally recommon the application of security Index cards:" "The Special Azent in Charge shall personally recommon the application of security Index application of security Index. Charges in the security Index. Cha
•	•			of Security Index cards:" "The Special Azent in Charge shall represently recommend the application of Security Index cards:" "The Special Azent in Charge shall represently recommend the application of

VARION

Fago 32 a - At top of page, immediately after caption "General Lauton and removal of cards from the active Geometry Incom." insert the following as a sequente paragraph:

"The Spacial (sont in Charge shall erromally record from a section of the conditions are a in action to the Charge and the income of the conditions are a in action to the Calebratia."

- 2 -

PERSONAL AMARGITION STRICT / CONFIDENCIAL UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to File No.

February 2, 1955 TASHINGTON 25, D.C.

(A) SECURITY INDEX -- Due to the present grave and tense international situation I am deeply concerned over our Security Index Program which in time of national emergency represents the Nation's most powerful weapon in combating espionage, sabotage and insurrection by preventing dangerous or potentially dangerous individuals from remaining at liberty.

I want you to know that the Attorney General has advised me that he does not have the funds or manpower to review the Security Index cases but that in an emergency he will direct that all persons whose names are then included in the Security Index be promptly apprehended. Therefore, the Bureau for all intents and purposes is responsible for the listing of all names included in the Security Index and for the arrests which will follow at the time of an energency. We must be certain at all times that the listing of every name in the Security Index is supported and justified by sufficient information concerning subversive activities or revolutionary tendencies developed during thorough investigations to establish grounds for detention in the event of a national crisis.

The failure to have the names of all dangerous or potentially dangerous individuals in the Security Index at the time of an emergency means we have not fulfilled our responsibilities in the security field and we will not be in a position to prevent sabotage, espionage, sedition, insurrection and strikes or slowdowns directed by subversive elements. On the other hand it is essential that only dangerous or potentially dangerous individuals are listed for apprehension in an emergency.

In that connection I want to emphasize to you again the absolute necessity for bringing all pending security cases to a logical conclusion where investigation remains to be conducted before a determination can be made regarding inclusion in the Security Index.

In view of the importance of this matter I am holding each Special Agent in Charge personally responsible for each Security Index listing in his office to be certain that each listing is based on sound grounds.

The following instructions shall be placed into effect immediately:

- 1. Each Special Agent in Charge shall personally recommend the inclusion of each name for the Security Index and the removal of any name from the Security Index, except in those offices having more than 1,000 Security Index subjects in which instances the more than 1,000 Security Index subjects in which instances the recommendations must be made personally by the Special Agent in recommendations must be made personally by the Special Agent in Charge, Assistant Special Agent in Charge or Bureau-approved Security Supervisor.
- whose name is presently included in the Security Index shall be made at this time. Although the recommendations for inclusion of the names that are in the Security Index at this time have emanated the names that are in the Security Index at this time have emanated from your office in most instances and under present requirements from your office in most instances and under present requirements these cases are reviewed in connection with the preparation of annual these cases are reviewed in connection with the preparation of annual these cases are reviewed to evaluate each case to be certain been specifically instructed to evaluate each case to be certain been specifically instructed to evaluate each case to be certain the Security Index card is warranted, I am initiating this comprete Security Index card is warranted, I am initiating this comprete security Index card is warranted, I am initiating this comprete security Index card is warranted, I am initiating this comprete security Index card is warranted, I am initiating this comprete security Index card is warranted, I am initiating this comprete security Index card is warranted, I am initiating this comprete security Index card is warranted, I am initiating this comprete security Index card is warranted, I am initiating this comprete security Index card is warranted, I am initiating this comprete security Index card is warranted, I am initiating this comprete security Index card is warranted, I am initiating this comprete security Index card is warranted, I am initiating this comprete security Index card is warranted.

disapprove the retention of the names of all subjects in the Security Index at this time, except in those offices having more than 1,000 Index at this time, except in those offices having more than 1,000 Security Index subjects in which instances the approvals or disapprovals must be made personally by the Special Agent in Charge, provals must be made personally by the Special Agent in Charge, provals must be made personally by the Special Agent in Charge, provals must be approval or disapproval small be submitted to the Bureau visor. The approval or disapproval small be submitted to the Bureau visor. The approval or disapproval small be submitted to the Bureau in an individual memorandum for each Security Index case. Each in an individual memorandum for each Security Index case forth and shall reference this SAC Letter. Each memorandum must set forth and shall reference this SAC Letter. Each memorandum must set forth the reasons why the subject should be retained in or removed from the Security Index in sufficient detail to clearly reflect the soundness of your decision.

The reviews must be completed within 60 days from the receipt of this communication by all offices having less than 1,000 Security Index cases. All the remaining offices must complete the reviews within 90 days from the receipt of this letter with the exception of the New York Office where the reviews must be completed within 6 months.

For your information the following offices have more than 1,000 names on the Security Index at this time: Chicago, Detroit,

2/2/55 SAC LETTER NO. 55-8

- 2 -

Francisco. In connection g names to or deleting

Los Angeles, New York, Philadelphia and San Francisco. In connection with the above instructions both as to adding names to or deleting names from the Security Index and the review of all Security Index cases the Bureau is making the exception in offices having more than 1,000 Security Index subjects because of the volume of work in those offices. The Bureau instructs that the reviews and recommendations in these matters in such offices be shared proportionately by the Special Agent in Charge, Assistant Special Agent in Charge and Bureau-approved Security Supervisor.

Each Special Agent in Charge must keep an accurate record of the progress of the reviews. An airtel must be submitted to the Bureau each Friday after the receipt of this communication until the project is completed setting forth: (1) the total number of cases to be reviewed during this project and (2) the number of cases in which the required data has been forwarded to the Eureau. Hach airtel is to be captioned "Security Index Review."

1275

; Memorandum · UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

DATE: 2/4/55

TO

Y FROM !

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX

1,11,01

During the past week 56 cards were added to the Security Index and 9 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 47 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is

26,705.

ACTION:

None. This is for your information.

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO

DIRECTOR, FBI

DATE: 2-7-55

FROM:

SAC, PORTLAND

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX; — (... / COMSAR PARPING PORTLAND DIVISION

Re SAC letter 54-70 dated 12/14/54, paragraph (K) captioned "SECURITY INDEX - COMSAE TABRING".

In consonance with Bureau instructions, the files of all Security Index subjects tabled Comsab have been personally reviewed by me and the appropriate adjustments have been made.

As of possible interest, on receipt of referenced SAC letter, this office had a total of 67 Security Index subjects tabbed for Comsab. Upon the completion of the review, we now have 23 Security Index subjects tabbed Comsab; or, a reduction of 44 such tabbings. Work list is being retained in instant file with copy of this letter.

Office Palemorandum • United States Government

DATE: Feb. 2, 1955

FROM !

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX -

In accordance with the Director's instructions there is attached an air-tal to all offices instructing that the residence and business addresses of all Detcom and Comsab subjects in the Security Index be immediately verified with the exception of those that have been checked out within the past 90 days. (The Detcom and Comsab classification include all Security Index subjects who are considered exceedingly dangerous who should be apprehended prior to others in the Security Index.) Total as of 1-17-55 10,820

All offices will advise the Bureau by str-tel
February 11, 1955, that the checks have been made. Any
office which is unable to complete the work by February 11,
1955, will advise by cir-tel the number they have been able
to verify and the number remaining to be checked out.

The offices will also list the names of those Detcom and Comsab subjects who cannot be located together with the date the last known address was verified.

The original of the above air-tel is on Plastiplate and is being retained in Room 1503. It may be procured for initialing by calling Mr. on extension 2355.

FLANUAR 3, 1955

TO: ALL CAG's

Y INDLES THE DUTTAU CARIS TO 25 ABSURED INAT THE CHI DAT ADDARGOES OF ALL SECTION IN INDUX SUBJECTS TARRAD BIRTON ON CONTAR A. S. E. STE. MILLIAN LINE, LOU E. C. MAD INVEDIATELY CARCA CURRENT REGIDENCE AND AUGINESS ADDRESSES OF ALL SHOR SUBJECTS ENION MAYD NOT BLED YERIFIDD WITHIN SO DATE FLOW THE DATE OF THIS COUNTRICATION. THIS PROJECT . Alike ar och tippod, ip poskibis, by fillicial 11, 1885. CA 1907 LOST AND OFFICUS TRICH HAVE COUPLETED THE CHECK HOST BUESTY AN AIR-THE DO ADVISTAG AND LIGHTED THE MADE OF EXCH SUBJECT AND GALLET TO LECATED TOGETHER WITH THE DATE THE LAST ENGLE ADDRESS WAS VIRICIED. ANY OFFICES WATCH ARE UNARLE TO COMPLETE TATE CRECK OF FEER CARE &1 1985, MUST KOTISE OF KIR-TEL ON TEKT DATE THE ENGLISH SP THE NUMBER DUMAINING TO BE CHICKED. THIS MATTLE STORY OF GIVAN TOUR TERSONAL ASTERTION AND THE ABOVE INSTRUCTIONS MUST BY ADUSTED TO STRICTLY. CONTINUOUS INVESTIGATIVE ATTENTION UNDER TOUR PERSONAL DIRECTION MOST BY CIVER TO THOUS PROCESS ON COUSAB STEJECTS LEGGE ADDITIONS CALLOTTED VERIFIED DURIEG THIS CHECKS

To: COMMUNICATIONS SECTION. FEERUARY 9, 1955

RADIOGRAL!

Transmit the following message to: SAC, SAN DIEGO ATTENTION: INSPECTOR

SECURITY INDEX. THE TELEPHONE CALL FROM INSPECTOR TO BUREAU FERRUARY EIGHT LAST. INSPECTOR'S WRITE-UPS WITH SAC'S CONTINUE RECARDING APPROVAL OR DISAPPROVAL OF SECURITY INDEX CASES IS SATISFACTORY IN COMPLIANCE WITH SAC LETTER NUMBER FIFTY FIVE DASH EIGHT PROVIDING SAC HAS PERSONALLY REVIEWED EACH CASE FILE AND REASONS FOR RETAINING IN OR REMOVING FROM STOURITY INDEX ARE SET OUT IN SUFFICIENT DETAIL TO CLEARLY REFINCT THE SOUNDNESS OF DECISION. EACH RECOLUENDATION SHOULD BY FURNISHED IN INDIVIDUAL CASE BY COVER LELORANDUM. RE SAC RECOLSENDING CANCELLATION OF EXISTING SECURITY INDEX CARD. SITUATION SHOULD BE HANDLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH EXISTING INSTRUCTIONS THAT PRIOR TO CANCELLATION THE SUBJECT SHOULD BE CONSIDERED FOR INTERVIEW UNLESS REASONS AND FURNISHED BUREAU WAY INTERVIEW IS INADVISABLE OR ILPOSSIBLE. NO INTERVIEW SHOULD BE CONDUCTED WITHOUT PRIOR BUREAU AUTHORITY.

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • united states government

TO

DATE: February 10, 1955

FROM !

SUBJECT: REVIEW

REVIEW OF SECURITY INDEX

SAC spoke to me on February 9 regarding they review being made of the Security Index cases in the field. He advised that there are some problem cases and he wondered whether the Bureau was in any way changing its standards for persons to be included in the Index.

changed as of this time, that the Bureau recognizes there are some borderline cases and we are going over some of them to see whether any changes should be made. However, at this time there is no change and the review desired is exactly in accordance with the SAC Letter which calls for the review. I told him that the Bureau is considering, and will probably adopt, a change in our DETCOM procedures whereby those persons tabbéd for prior apprehension will be restricted to individuals on whom a strong case can be made showing immediate potential danger of espionage, sabotage, or disruption in the event of an emergency.

I told him that instructions would be coming out to the field on this I thought within a few days and that his review of the cases should take this into account.

Office Tylemoranows . United states sovermented

TO

0.000 : Telegrand 9.000 1955

FROM !

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX '

By reference from Mr. office I talked with Inspector at San Disyo, at 3:50 Feb. on Debends 6, 1955, regarding certain Security Index matters. Mr. advised that during the anappetion teams conduct fat In Disyo, advised that during the anappetion team conduct fat In Disyo, the Inspectors were reviewing all Security Index cases and preparing individual write-ups for each case looking into the sufficiency of the Security Index Listing,

Inspector stated that the SAC will be required to comment in each case regarding the Inspectors recommendations in the matter. He inquired as to whether a copy of the Inspector's write-up and the SAC's comments submitted to the Eureau by cover memorandum in each case would be surficient to answer the requirements set out in SAC Letter Number 55-8, dated February 2, requirements set out in SAC Letter Number 55-8, dated February 2, 1955. That SAC letter required a memorandum from the SAC for each Security Index case advising whether the SAC approved or disapproved the Security Index listing.

recommending reintergrews or interviews be conducted prior to a final decision concerning retention or cancellation of Jecurity Index cards.

Inspector inquired as to the procedure to be followed in connection with the review of all Security Index cases as outlined in SAC Letter Number 55-8 which in part states that each SAC shall personally approve or disaprove the retention of names presently in the Security Index. He inquired as to whother the SAC should request Bureau authority to interview a Security Index subject prior to recommending the removal from the Security Index as is required under existing instructions in Section 670 of the Manual of Instructions or whether the removal should be recommended without a request to interview.

Inspector requested to be advised concerning the questions raised by radiogram.

RECOMMENDATIONS: -

- that the Inductor's write-up in such security Index case along with the SAC's comments will be sufficient to answer the requirements as set out in SAC Letter Number 55-8 providing the SAC has personally reviewed the individual case fills and each write-up sets—forth the reasons why the subject should be retained in or removed from the Security Index in sufficient detail to clearly reflect the soundness of the decision.
- (2) It is recommended that Inspector be advised that prior to recommending the cancellation of an existing Security Index card the SAC should consider requesting Bureau authority to interview the subject or furnish a satisfactory reason to the Bureau reflecting that such an interview is inadvisable or impossible in accordance with existing instrictions in Section 87C of the Manual of Instructions.
- (3) If you approve, there is attached a radiogram to the SAC at San Diego, marked for the attention of Inspector advising him in accordance with the above recommendations.

1843

Office Memorandown • United States Government

TO

DATE: February 9,1955

A FROM : THE EXECUTIVES' CONFERENCE

SUBJECT:

OSECURITY INDEX - General

The Executives' Conference on February 8, 1955,

consisting of Messrs.

affecting the Security Index including the concept of dividing the Index into two categories; the first group tabled for priority apprehensions in the event of a limited but grave emergency and the second group to be arrested in the event of an all-out emergency.

BACKGROUND:

As of February 4, 1955, we had listed on the Security Index for detention, in the event the Attorney General's Emergency Detention Program goes into effect, a total of 26,705 individuals. Of these, 10,820 (as of January 14, 1955) were listed for priority apprehension based on leadership in subversive organizations such as the Communist Party, Socialist Workers Party, Nationalist Party of Puerto Rice, et cetera; past training in espionage or sabotage; information reflecting past or current activities indicating that the individual may be violent; or persons on the Security Indix employed in or having access to Key Facilities.

Security Index varies and consequently the potential dangerousness of these individuals varies accordingly. In the event of a limited but grave emergency, such as war with Soviet Russia wherein the fighting took place outside the continental limits of the United States, it is suggested that apprehensions under the Emergency Detention Program be limited to those persons having a strong potential for espionage, sabotage, or disruptive activities, leaving the remainder of the Security Index for apprehension in the event the emergency assumes such proportions that the security of the nation demands extension of the arrests to all persons potentially dangerous to the country. This latter situation will exist in the event of imminent invasion or attack on the continental United States itself.

In order to implement this concept of the Processey
Detention Program it will be necessary to change our priority
classification in the Security Index to include only those
individuals who would clearly constitute an immediate and strong
danger to the country as potential saboteurs, espionage agents, and
disruptionists. This group would be confined to the following, all
of whom could be shown to be engaged in strong subversive activities,
current or during the recent past:

- 1) All top functionaries and key figures (These are the leaders of subversive organizations who implement and carry out the policy of the organizations.)
- 2) Currently active Communists (active within the rast year) or members of any basic revolutionary organization when there is evidence of one or more of the following:
 - (a) local organizers or leaders;
 - (h) special training at the Lonin School or Far Eastern Institute in Moscow; (These were special Marxist-Leninist schools held in Russia for picked individuals.)
 - (c) experience as picket captains, strong-arm men, or active participation in violent strikes, riots, or demonstrations;
 - (d) persons who have made violent statements or who are known to have strong revolutionary tendencies as evidenced by their activities.
 - 3) Persons active in the Communist Party underground;
- 4) Any other individual whose activities, past or present, regardless of membership in a revolutionary group, clearly and unmistakably depict that he is a potential saboteur, espionage agent, or disruptionist in the event of an emergency.

In each of the above categories the individual case will stand on its own merits. It is estimated that this group tabbed for priority apprehension will be less than 5000.

The remainder of the individuals on the Security Index will be listed for apprehension in the event of an all-out energency wherein the country is faced with the necessity of taking complete security measures. Each of these individuals will, nevertheless, present a potential danger to the security of this country and there will be a calculated risk in holding up his apprehension at the time of an emergency which would require the apprehension of the priority of one emergency which would require the apprehension of the priority group. There are, however, certain definite advantages to this procedure, such as:

- 1) The Bureau would concentrate immediately on those individuals labelled most dangerous during the first few days of an emergency.
- point of actual invasion or devastation of the United States itself, the normal police controls may be sufficient to control the activities the normal police controls may be sufficient to control the activities of the remaining individuals on the documenty Index. It is a fundamental principle that the liberties of citizens should not be infringed upon unless absolutely necessary and thus, unless arracve are absolutely necessary of all persons on the Index, this procedure should be avoided. The Bureau would be showing its continued observance of respecting the rights of individual citizens to the greatest extent possible consistent with the security of the nation and would thus avoid criticism for stern restrictions subject to criticism at a later date.
- a position to answer possible criticism in the future by pointing out that it sought to avoid apprehensions of the full Security Index until the overriding considerations of security based on the all-out emergency demanded that this be done.

We should take cognizance of certain disadvantages to this procedure:

1) As all persons on the Security Index are considered potentially dangerous, there is a risk that those individuals not arrested, if arrests are restricted to a priority group, will commit acts of sabotage, espionage, et cetera, detrimental to the security of the country.

- 2) If the apprehensions are restricted to a priority group, the remaining subjects are very likely to flee or go into hiding, making their ultimate arrest, if necessary, most difficult.
- 3) The subjects who will be tabled for priority apprehension are, to a large extent, subjects who will be most difficult to locate in the event of an emergency. Many of them are in the underground now or at least have experience in underground activities. Therefore, our percentage of apprehensions in this group will logically be smaller than it would be in the over-all group.

If this breakdown for priority apprehension is put into effect, the field should be advised immediately in order that the field may include in its current review of Security Index subjects a recommendation as to those persons who should be included for priority apprehension.

Executives' Conference Recommendation (Unanimous):

The Executives! Conference unanimously recommended that the above procedure be put into effect at once; that is, the Security Index be split into two groups; one designated for apprehension in a limited but grave emergency short of actual invasion or imminent attack on the continental United States, and the other listed for apprehension in the event of all-out emergency which would require apprehension in the event of all-out emergency which would require this country to put into effect the most stringent security measures for self-preservation purposes.

If you agree, an SAC Letter implementing this is attached.

OK. VENDO

m inthat

. 4 -

Office Memorandum . united states government

TO : THE DIRECTOR

DATE: January 25, 1955

FROM : THE EXECUTIVES CONFERENCE

SUBJECT:

OSECURITY INDEX = G-ol.

On January 25, 1955, the Executives' Conference, consisting of Messrs.

, considered certain problems raised by Mr. in connection with the Security Index.

(1) The Manual of Instructions, Volume III, Section 878, page 28b, where the purpose of the Security Index is set forth, states in part "In preparing and maintaining the Security Index, the Bureau is acting only in the capacity of assembling the facts concerning the individuals involved for referral to the appropriate officials of the Department of Justice for their consideration and decision as to action to be taken at a time of national emergency."

Executives! Conference Recommendation (Unanimous):

That inasmuch as the Attorney General has advised the Bureau that he does not have the funds or the manpower to review cases on the Security Index, to all practical effects, the decision rests with the FBI as to who will be apprehended in an emergency. Therefore, the above phraceology should be changed to read as follows: "However, in preparing and maintaining the Security Index, to all practical effects, the Bureau is making the decision as to those persons who will be apprehended in the event of an emergency, inasmuch as the Attorney General has advised the Bureau that he does not have the funds or manpower to review the Security Index cases."

be considered in assisting in a determination as to an individual's potential dangerousness. Among these factors Mr. felt that the following were very weak: subscriptions to publications of a subversive organization; contributions to or collection of funds for the organization; and refusal to furnish information or to testify regarding membership or activities in a subversive movement.

In the instructions following the listing of these and other factors, the Manual states "It is not intended

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR

"that an individual shall be deemed dangerous merely because one or more of the foregoing factors are applicable in his case. However, these factors should be considered as indicating loyalty to a subversive organization, thereby assisting in determination of the dangerousness or potential dangerousness of an individual subject and whether he should be included in the Security Index under the standards set forth below." Thereafter the standards utilized by the Bureau for consideration for inclusion in the Security Index are set forth in detail. Mr. Tolson felt that the Manual should more clearly state that the factors listed in Section 87C (2), and particularly the three factors set forth above, do not of themselves constitute a basis for inclusion in the Security Index.

Executives' Conference Recommendation (Unanimous):

That following the title under Section 87C (2),
"Determination of Dangerousness of an Individual," the
following be set forth in order that these factors will not be
confused with the standards under which we place persons on
the Security Index: "The following is a list of factors which
will be of assistance in determining the dangerousness of an
individual. An exercisely standards are not to be considered as standards
for placing/on the Security Index, as such standards are listed
in Section 87C (3)."

(3) At the present time although by SAC Letters the field has been instructed that the SAC is responsible for proper investigation and evaluation of Security Index cases, there is no specific requirement that the SAC shall personally review and approve the recommendation that a person be included in the Security Index. Further, while there is a requirement that cases be brought up to cate, analyzed and evaluated, at least annually, by the submission of a field report (except in the case of the sw york Office), there is no specific requirement for a renewed recommendation from the field at any time for the continuance of the subject on the Security Index.

Executives Conference Recommendation (Unanimous):

That an SAC Letter be sent to the field, cautioning the field as to the seriousness of inclusions of names of persons on the Security Index and pointing out that the Bureau is, in effect, making the decisions for arrests, since the

1844

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR

Department of Justice has not and apparently will not arreade for attorneys to review and approve such inclusions. Also, that this SAC Letter make it the personal responsibility of the SAC to make recommendations to include or cancel subjects for the Security Index, except in those offices having more than 1,000 Security Index subjects in which instance the recommendations must be made by the SAC, ASAC, or Sureau-approved Security Supervisor. (This would include Chicago, Detroit, Los Angeles, New York, Philadelphia and San Francisco.)

This SAC Letter will further require that an immediate review be made by the field of all cases on the Security Index at this time and that the SACs (except as indicated in the above six offices) personally approve or disapprove the security of the present subjects in the Security Index, this approval or disapproval to be set forth in individual memoranda on each case to the Bureau setting forth the reasons why the subject should be retained in or removed from the Security Index.

The Executives' Conference recommended that this review be completed within sixty days by all offices naving less than 1,000 cases; all the remaining offices within 90 days, with the exception of New York which will have a deadline of six months.

If you agree with the above, an appropriate SAC Letter will be prepared.

(4) Relative to approval of cases for inclusion in the Security Index, the present system is that the individual Supervisor handling the substantive case reviews the file and either approves or disapproves recommendations from the field as to inclusion of a subject in the Security Index. The recommendations of the individual Supervisors then flow through one experienced Supervisor who likewise reviews the file and makes his recommendation. If there is any disagreement at this point, or if there is not a clear showing as to the action to be taken, the case is referred to Section Chief Baumgardner, and if necessary to Assistant Director Belmont for a decision.

Executives' Conference Recommendation: (Unanimous)

The Executives' Conference unanimously felt that an additional step is desirable at this time to insure that

- 3 -

T

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR

the placing of individuals on the Security Index is approved at a high level. Therefore, the Conference recommended that in the future the review and approval of the inclusion of the names of individuals be personally handled by Supervisor, the Supervisor in Charge of this work; the Chief of the Internal Security Section or Inspector, who is in charge of the Internal Security-Liaison Branch of the Domestic Intelligence Division. In cases of disagreement the case will come to Assistant Director noted that this will throw an additional burden on representatives of the Division already handling a very heavy assignment. However, it will be worked out.

The Conference further noted that as the results of the field review of the current Security Index subjects are received at the Seat of Government, the cases will be reviewed by the Demestic Intelligence Division.

(5) Mr. further recommended that a group of five specially qualified Agents be brought in from the field for the purpose of instituting an independent and continuous raviau of the Security Index and to act in the role of "devil's advocate" in making such a review. The remainder of the Conference recommended that this not be done, as it was felt that the steps outlined above were a more desirable approach to the problem.

If you agree, we will be guided by the recommendation of the majority in this instance.

Respectfully, For the Conference

majority.

2. What concerns me is that armse there defects in the procedure. I have reducted the process of the

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : DIRECTOR

DATE: 1/24/55

FROM !

CD

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX - Gan/.

I have studied the current instructions to the field relative to the Security Index and the criteria to be used in placing the names of persons on this index. The Bureau abutously placing the names of persons on this index. The Bureau abutously placing the names of persons on this index. The Bureau abutously is responsible for more than assembling facts for referral to the persons. Department of Justice for its decision with respect to the person listed on this index; since the situation now is that the FBI, from all practical standpoints, is making the decision that the person all practical standpoints, is making the decision that the person whose name is placed on the index will be taken into custody in the event of hostilities and the FBI will be held responsible for any event of hostilities and the FBI will be held responsible for any event of hostilities and the FBI will be held responsible for any event of hostilities and the FBI will be held responsible for any event of hostilities and the FBI will be held responsible for any event of hostilities and the FBI will be held responsible for any event of hostilities and the FBI will be held responsible for any event of hostilities and the FBI will be held responsible for any event of hostilities and the FBI will be held responsible for any event of hostilities and the FBI will be held responsible for any event of hostilities and the FBI will be held responsible for any event of hostilities and the FBI will be held responsible for any event of hostilities and the FBI will be held responsible for any event of hostilities and the FBI will be held responsible for any event of hostilities and the FBI will be held responsible for any event of hostilities and held held responsible for any event of hostilities and held held responsible for any event of hostilities and held held responsible for any event of held he

policy with respect to reacing the names of persons on this index.

The manual provisions in this respect are somewhat misleading. They state, for example "In preparing and maintaining the security index, the Bureau is acting only in the capacity of assembling the facts concerning the individuals involved for referral to the appropriate concerning the individuals involved for their consideration and officials of the Department of Justice for their consideration and decision as to action to be taken at a time of national emergency."

It seems to me that we in the Bureau must conform to the basic premise that any person to be placed on the index is considered as potentially that any person to be placed on the index is considered as potentially and any person to be placed on the index is considered as potentially that any person to be placed on the index is considered as potentially that any person to be placed in the EBI is in possession of facts of a national emergency and that the FBI is in possession of facts of a national emergency and that the FBI is in possession of facts of a national emergency and that the EBI is in possession of facts of a national emergency and that the EBI is in possession of facts of a national emergency and that the EBI is in possession of facts of a national emergency and that the EBI is in possession of facts of a national emergency and that the EBI is in possession of facts of a national emergency and that the EBI is in possession of facts of a national emergency and that the EBI is in possession of facts of a national emergency and that the EBI is in possession of facts of a national emergency and that the EBI is in possession of facts of a national emergency and that the EBI is in possession of facts of the EBI is in possess

Some of the factors to be considered in determining the dangerousness of the individual, as covered in the manual, seem to me to be very weak, such as Section 87C (2-D) Subscriptions to publications of a subversive organization; (2-I) Contributions to publications of funds for the organization, and (2-Q) Refusal to or collections of funds for the organization membership or activities furnish information or to testify regarding membership or activities furnish information or to testify.

The manual, with respect to the handling of these cases in the field, merely states that the Agent handling the case in the

•

office of origin should submit a form recommendation (Form FD-122.) There is no apecific requirement that the Special Agent in Charge shall personally review and approve the recommendation that the Special Agent in Charge provide in the interpretation of the same of the responsibility of the individual SAC to insure that his cases are proporty invostigated and evaluated.

I would like to recommend an SAC Letter cautioning the field as to the seriousness of inclusions of names of persons on this list and pointing out that we are in effect making the decisions for arrests since the Lepartment of Justice has not and apparently will not arrange for attorneys; to review and approve such inclusions.

I recommend that we make it the personal responsibility

of the SAC to make such recommendations and that we hold them responsible for such actions, except as to New York as indicated below. margin antimin. 11.

At the Seat of Government T'am advised that at present the approval of placing the name of a person on the index is made by an individual supervisor; one of many who handle this type of work. The recommendation and approval of this individual sup visor is reviewed one approved by one of the internal Security Section, whose responding the internal Security Section, whose responding to its to review and pass upon such recommendation. and pass upon such recommendations. Questionable or couptful cases, or cases of disagreement are then referred to the office of the Section Chief of the Internal Security Section, Mr. and then if no decision is reached, or in cases of particular importance, , for a decision they are referred to Mr. for decision.

At the Seat of Government it is my recommendation that in the future the review and opproval of the inclusion of the names of individuals be personally handled by Supervisor supervisor in charge of this work, the Internal Security Section, or Inspector the Chief of in overall charge of the Internal Tecurity - Livison Branch of the Domestic, Intelligence, Division. in cares of desagramento. It.

In the field both active and inactive subjects on this list are checked each 6 months as to their whereabouts. With respect to inactive subjects, there is a requirement that the case be brought up to date, analyzed and evaluated annually by the submission of a field report. However, there is no specific requirement for a renewed recommendation from the field at any time for the continuance of the person on the index.

I would like to recommend an annual consideration by the field on both active and inactive subjects as to whether the person should be continued on the index and that this, in line with the above recommendation, be the personal responsibility of the Special Acnt in Charge.

I am advised that in New York City there are approximately 7128 security index subjects. It is not required that the New York Office respon their inactive cases for the purpose of bringing them up to date annually as it required of other offices. A moratorium was declared for New York in this connection because of personnel limitations. New York does have a program of reactivating the cases which are observed to be the oldest at the time they make their 6 months check on whereabouts.

In New York City, in view of the volume of work, it would be my recommendation that the responsibility for reviewing recommended inclusions on the security index be that of the Special Agent in Charge, an Assistant Special Agent in Charge, or a qualified security super-

If the foregoing recommendations are approved, within a comparatively short period of time we would be able to effect a review of all security index subjects in the light of our current responsibilities, as pointed out above.

Subject to your approval, I will discuss the above recommendations with the Executives Conference to see whether there are any reasons why they should not be placed into effect.

at promptly.

In the copy terms incommutional circusting relative to China, deepet neglecture to nave westering in these as all times of some construction of subject to the property of the property of the property of the construction of the

Desting in mind winds of the control of the control

As weekenical means of further immerines, it is proposed that field. In resolvention now subjects for mean rit, index, index, that field. In resolvention for the subject is of white of their but face - Other - Chinese" where subject is of White of rustal estraction. This will tional connected a subject is of vertical by Standard at Least of their of personal on township from the of Chinese restal extraction. It is not personal on township field to a subject to end of the proposition of the courity mentions in consemications resolventing demandiating of the Index ward that subject to of thinese rustal outlooks.

Fith respect to non-Chimens appointhatic to Corrustation of Chima, the field will be required to wall to absorbe up the Stream new successful added to Describy Endow in this entegory

by including statement on recommendation form under Miscellaneous Subject sympathetic to Communist Unina, as additive to any other notations under this heading. With respect to cases already on Security Index where evidence is developed of professed Uninese sympathies, field will be required to direct separate letter to Bureau pointing out this development and recommending consideration of subject under this program. These requirements will enable of subject under this program. These requirements additions Bureau to keep close check on these lists and to make additions and deletions when necessary.

RECONDINGATIONS:

1. Attached is proposed SAC letter to field (a) explaining purpose of program to them, (b) instructing where subject of recommended Security Index card is of Chinese racial extraction to indicate on form under Race, "Other - Chinese," (c) instructing indicate on form under Race, "Other - Chinese," (c) instructing that all communications recommending cancellation of Security Index that all communications recommended extraction must specifically cards on persons of Chinese racial extraction aspect, (d) instructing that mention the Chinese rucial extraction aspect, (d) instructing that mention the Chinese racial extraction aspect, (d) instructing that the with respect to now cases recommended for Security Index on non-with respect to now cases recommended form FD-122 must indicate Chinese sympathetic to Communist China form FD-122 must indicate this under afficultaneous," and (e) instructing further that in this under afficultaneous, and (e) instructing further that in existing cases on non-Chinese on Security Index where investigation existing cases on non-Chinese on Security Index where investigation existing cases on non-Chinese warranting consideration under this program separate latter must be directed to Bureau pointing this out and recommending consideration under program.

2. It is recormended the Statistical Section make arrangements to record on the IBM equipment the connotation Race "Other - Chinese" on all future approved FD-122 forms. Attached is a list of all persons on Security Index now of Chinese racial extraction which should be so recorded. The recording of the list extraction which should be so recorded. Should enable us to run attached and all future "Other - Chinese," should enable us to run off when needed complete list of persons on Security Index of Chinese racial extraction.

-2-

C TELLEY NO. 22-15

L ATED STATES DEPARTMENT CA JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Rejer to File No.

February 10, 1955 WASHINGTON 25, D.C.

(A) SECURITY INDEX -- In view of recent developments in the international bitablian it has become necessary to re-evaluate the priorit of arrest flatures of our Security Index Prompan. At the propent time subjects who will be considered for priority of arrest to the time of an emergency are tabled Detcom and the Detcom classification includes subjects who are tabled Comsab.

Henceforth, it is planned that the individuals in the Security Index tabbed Detcom will be arrested first in the event of a limited but grave emergency. It is not anticipated at this time that the other subjects in the Security Index will be arrested under such a situation. (In the event of an all-out emergency all subjects whose names are included in the Security Index will be considered for immediate apprehension.)

In order that the most dangerous persons will be apprehended during a limited but grave emergency, there are set forth below the new standards to be used in deciding who should be listed for priority of apprehension and Detcom tabbing.

- 1. The Compab tabbing is to be discontinued.
- 2. Each Security Index subject should be considered for Detcom tabbing (priority of arrest) who falls within one or more of the following categories:
 - a. All Top Functionaries and Key Figures.
 - b. Currently active Communists (active within the past year) or members of any basic revolutionary organization when there is evidence of one or more of the following:
 - (1) Activity as local organizers or leaders in the organization.
 - (2) Special training at the Lenin School or Far Eastern Institute in Moscow.
 - (3) Experience as picket captains, strong-arm men or active participation in violent strikes, riots or demonstrations.
 - (4) Violent statements or strong revolutionary tendencies as shown by their activities.

- c. Persons active in the Communist Party underground.
- d. Any other Security Index subject whose activities, past or present, regardless of membership in a revolutionary organization, clearly and unmistakably depict the individual as a potential saboteur, espionage agent or disruptionist in the event of an emergency.

Subjects who will be tabbed Detcom should comprise a hardcore of subversives. Each individual case should stand on its own and the decision to consider a subject for priority of apprehension should be based on the subject's subversive activities and revolutionary tendencies. Employment in a key or vital facility will no longer be a reason alone for Detcom tabbing.

In evaluating each Security Index case it will be necessary to review each case file. This should be done in connection with the review of all Security Index cases in accordance with the instructions in SAC Letter Number 55-8 dated February 2, 1955. In submitting your personal recommendation for retaining a subject in the Security Index you should also submit your recommendation regarding the Detcom tabbing as indicated above along with your reasons why the subject meets the new Detcom standards in sufficient detail to justify your recommendation. If a subject is tabbed Detcom and Comsab at this time and you are not recommending the Detcom tabbing in accordance with the above instructions you should submit a Form FD-122 attached to your Security Index recommendation in order to delete the Detcom and Comsab tabbings. If you are recemmending the Detcom tabbing in accordance with the above instructions and the subject is already tabbed Detcom, you should so advise the Bureau and Form FD-122 need not be submitted. In instances in which you are recommending the Detcom tabbing and the subject is already tabbed Detcom and Comsab you should attach a Form FD-122 in order that the Comsab tabbing can be deleted. Of course, if the subject is not already tabbed Detcom and you are recommending such tabbing under the new instructions, you should attach a Form FD-122 to your Security Index recommendation in order that the Detcom tabbing can be added to the Security Index card.

There will be instances in which you have already submitted your recommendations that a Security Index subject should be retained in the Security Index. In those instances it will be necessary for you to recheck the individual case files to determine whether a Detcom tabbing is necessary and to advise the Bureau in accordance

2/10/55 SAC LETTER NO. 55-12

- 2

1,1,1,200 (1.5) 19-10-57

with the above instructions.

In order that the Security Index cards for the subjects who are to be tabbed Detcom for priority of apprehension, as indicated above, will be readily available for emergency action in your office you should be guided by the following instructions regarding the arrangement of your Security Index.

- l. Each Security Index case should be considered for Detcom tabbing whether the subject's Security Index card is filed in the Geographical, Special or Unavailable Sections of your Security Index. However, there is no change being made in the instructions regarding the Security Index cards which are to be filed in those three sections.
- 2. The Geographical Section of your Security Index should be divided into two distinct parts. Part I of the Geographical Section shall include only the Security Index cards for subjects who are tabbed Detcom under the new instructions set out above. Part II of the Geographical Section will contain the Security Index cards for all subjects that are not tabbed Detcom.
- 3. In offices having a Geographical breakdown by residence and by employment it will be necessary to have two breakdowns for each of those categories in order that the cards tabbed Detcom will be separated and maintained apart from the cards not so tabbed.
- 4. You should continue to file one Security Index card for each subject in the Alphabetical Section in strict alphabetical order with no other breakdown whatsoever. To assist you in readily identifying Security Index cards in the Alphabetical Section that will be tabbed Detcom under the new instructions a colored metal tab shall be fastened to each such card.

For your information, in the future as Detcom tabbings are approved the Security Index cards forwarded to you will not only carry the code letters DC in the first line on the cards but each such card will be stamped Detcom in large red letters. This procedure will assist you in being absolutely certain that cards tabbed Detcom are properly filed.

2/10/55 SAC LETTER NO. 55-12

.

- 3 -

UNRECOVER

The Detcom tabbing of a Security Index subject should be afforded your personal consideration and you should submit your personal resommendations for such tabbing during this review and personal resommendations for such tabbing during this review and in the future. In handling this matter in connection with your in the future. In handling this matter in connection with your review of all Decurity Index cases you should meet the deadlines as review of all Decurity Index dated February 2, 1955. Security Index cards presently tabbed Detcom should not be placed in the new Detcom cards presently tabbed Detcom should not be placed in the new Detcom tabbing in your Security portion of the Geographical Section of your Security Index until the Bureau has approved your recommendations under the Index until the Bureau has approved your recommendations under the new procedure. In this manner the entire Security Index will be reviewed and reconsideration given to Detcom tabbings by the periods indicated in SAC Letter Number 55-8.

In view of the new and separate breakdown in the Geographical Section of your Security Index for Detcom subjects it will no longer be necessary to place a separate tab on such cards as you have done in the past.

Very truly yours,

John Edgar Hoover

Director

2/10/55 SAC LETTER NO. 55-12

- 4 -

ST MONTO EDGIN NO. 64

Office Mesmorandrum . united states government

10

DATE: February 1k, 1955

FROM 'EXECUTIVES CONFERENCE

SUBJECT SECURITY INDEX

The Executives' Conference on February 8, 1955, consisting of Messrs.

the mechanics to be used by Mr.

of the Security Index Unit; Mr.

Internal Security Section; or Inspector

charge of the Inversal Security-Licison Branch of the Democratic

Intelligence Division, in reviewing for approval, the names of

individuals for inclusion in our Security Index.

BACKGROUND:

on January 25, 1955, the Executives' Conference recommended and the Director approved that Messrs.

would have to personally approve the inclusion of all names of individuals which are placed in our Security Index and in case of disagreenent the case will be referred to Assistant Director

for decision.

In order that this additional work, which will be considerable in volume, can be handled by Massrs.

, the following administrative procedures were presented to the Executives' Conference on February 8, 1955:

SUGGESTED FROGUETRE:

they have completed their review of a case and have decided that the name of the subject should be included in the Security Index, will prepare a memorandum which will set forth briefly and succintly the facts on which they based their conclusion. The case will then be forwarded, as is now done, to one experienced Supervisor who reviews all cases before the subject's name can be considered for inclusion in the Security Index. He will review the case, as in the past, and from that point an equal division will be made of the cases for review by Messrs.

The memorandum will assist then in their review in that the cases which are clear-cut can be handled in a minimum of time from a review of the memorandum. They will rely completely on the facts set forth in the memoranda in reaching their decisions. In controversial cases they, of course, will review the reports in order to reach a decision.

The Supervisors in the Internal Security Section cannot, for any sustained period, prepare these summary-type memoranda and still keep their work in a current status. They are receiving a large volume of mail and this extra work of having to prepare a memorandum in each case they review will create a delinquency in the Security Index Unit which will be most undesirable. Therefore, in the future, the investigating Agent at the conclusion of his investigation will attach to the Form 122 (this is the form used to recommend the inclusion of a name in the Security Index) a succinct summary of the facts on which he based his recommendation for including the name of the subject in the Security Index. In line with existing instructions, the Special Agent in Charge will personally approve each case wherein a recommendation is made to include the subject's name in our Security Index.

When the report and the Form 122 are received at the Seat of Government, the Supervisors in the Security Index Unit will review the case file as they have done in the past. They will then put an addendum on the summary attached to the Form 122 in which they will indicate any other pertinent factors not listed and indicate their agreement or disagreement with the recommendation from the field. The case will then be sent to the experienced Supervisor in the Security Index Unit who reviews all cases before the names of the subjects can be considered for inclusion in the Security Index and from his dock the cases will be sent to Messes.

and for review and approval.

EXECUTIVES' CONFERENCE RECOMMENDATION (Unanimous):

The Executives' Conference unanimously recommended that the above-outlined procedure be put into effect.

If you agree, there is attached an SAC letter which sets forth instructions to the field with respect to the portions of this procedure which will be implemented by the field.



Office Memorandum · UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

DATE: 2/11/55

TON

TO

DELINQUENCY IN SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS

SYNOPSIS:

Each month we follow the field closely on the status of securit work by analyzing the backlog of pending cases and delinquency in Espior Internal Security, Security Latter and foreign intelligence cases in classifications and . Status of these cases as of January 3. 1955, is set forth herein.

Pending active matters in the entire field in the security classifications decreased substantially from 23,181 to 22,873. Delinque matters increased from 6,697 to 6,806 with the resultant over-all statistical increase from 28.8 per cent to 29.7 per cent. The delinque security cases in the New York Office increased from 1,492 to 1,629, security cases in the New York Office increased from 1,492 to 1,629, security cases in the New York Office increased from 1,492 to 1,629, security cases in the Classification increased in the of pending active matters in the classification increased in the New York Office from 4,117 to 4,373 and the delinquent items in this classification increased from 1,232 to 1,332 or statistical delinquency 30.5 per cent.

Although over-all pending and pending active security cases we decreased substantially in January, delinquent cases increased somewhat particularly in some of the 12 large offices noted on page 4 herein. The 40 smaller offices listed on page 7 had a total of 6,216 pending active security cases of which 1,201 were delinquent, approximately 19 per cent as contrasted to 33.6 per cent security delinquency of the 12 large offices, as computed from 5,605 delinquent cases of a total of 16,662 pending active items in those 12 combined offices.

Letters are being sent to the large offices indicated by aster on page 4, pointing out to them forcefully that security delinquency must be reduced. Similar letters are being sent to the smaller offices indicated by asterisks on page 7 herein. A total of 13 letters are being sent to the field in this matter.

RECOMMENDATION:

The field will continue to be followed closely regarding secur

delinquency and you will be advised of the results of the review of the February, 1955, administrative reports.

DETAILS:

Since December, 1951, we have been following, on a monthly basis, the twelve field offices having a large proportion of our pending security work and since March, 1952, all offices. We have written letters to the twelve offices and to other offices where progress in reducing the backlog of work and delinquency has not been satisfactory.

The January, 1955, administrative reports from all offices reflect that the total pending active matters in the classifications, and decreased substantially from 23,181 to 22,878. The delinquent items increased from 6,697 to 6,806, which represents a statistical increase of from 28.8 per cent to 29.7 per cent.

The following statistics reflect the status of pending work including both pending active and pending inactive matters and delinquency for all field divisions as of December 31, 1951, and the progress for the last four months:

letter. Letter. In Ruje - Contra	CLA	SSIFICATIO	DING MATTE NS DIVÍSIONS	and	
Classification	<u>12-31-51</u>	10-31-54	11-30-54	12-31-54	1-31-55
	1,314	1,066	1,035	1,050	1,017
	32,812	21,911	<i>22,4</i> 19	22,075	21,790
	2.895	<u>3,428</u>	<u> 3.493</u>	3.565	<u>3,556</u>
Total	37,021	26,405	<i>26,947</i>	26,690	<i>26,363</i>

TOTAL DELINQUENT MATTERS CLASSIFICATIONS ALL FIELD DIVISIONS

	A	LL FIRED DE			1-31-55
	12-31-51	10-31-54	11-30-54	<u>12-31-54</u>	
Classification		163	170	136	137
. 1	525		6,018	5,890	5,969
	<i>22,5</i> 18	5,580	<i>,</i>	601	700
	1,719	670	730	0/1	
47		6,413	6,918	6 , 697	6,806
${\it Total}$	24,762	3 , 1-3		of nending	active

The following statistics reflect the total of pending active matters, delinquency and percentage of delinquency of all offices since January 31, 1953.

O Crown g 5			
	CLASSIFICATIONS	TTOTONS	
	CLASSIFICATIONS ALL FIELD DI	VISIONS	
		Delinquent	Percentage
	Pending	<u>Matters</u>	Delinquent
	Active Matters	PACTOCIO	
<u>Date</u>		11 002	48.8%
	24,098	11,772	46.9%
1-31-53	22,935	11,233	47.3%
2 -28-5 3	23,033	10,890	41.3%
3- 31-53	22,100	9,120	44.8%
4-30-5 3	21,740	9,730	45.6%
5-31-53	20,970	9,558	46.6%
6-30-53	20,180	9,411	43.6%
2-31-5 3	19,493	8,495	39.4%
8-31-5 3	19,224	7,571	34.3%
- 9- 30-53	19,141	5,580	33.5%
10-31-53	19,833	6,655	33.2%
- 11-30-53	19,033	6,749	36.5%
12-31-53	19,947	7,494	27.9%
1-31-54	20,520	6.007	30.2%
2- 28-54	21,488	6.617	
3-31-54	21,848	6 . 252	31.6%
4-30-54	21,752	7,822	37.0%
<u>-5-31-54</u>	21,863	7,407	33.2%
6-30-54	22,278	7,16 3	31.0%
0-30-54	22,977	7.010	313%
7-31-54	22,361	6,720	29.1%
8-31-54	23,011	6,413	28.5%
9-30-54	22,452	6,918	29• <i>7</i> %
10-31-54	23,179	6,697	28.8%
11-30-54	23.181	. 6, 806	29.7%
12-31-54	22,878	. 0,000	
1-31-55	-	•	

Status of Security Work in Twelve of the Larger Offices

The following statistics reflect the progress of the twelve larger offices we have been closely following since December, 1951. These offices have approximately 80 per cent of the total Security Index cards and a high percentage of the pending work and delinquency in classifications

STATISTICS FOR TWELVE OFFICES SECURITY INDEX COUNT AND FOR MATTERS

Office	Security Index Cards 1-14-55		g Active ters <u>1-31-55</u>	Percentage Delinquent 12-31-54 1-31-5		
* New York	7,128	5 , 640	5,890	26.4%	27.7%	
* Los Angeles	4,220	2,332	2,301	32%	39%	
* San Francisco	2,352	1,814	1,584	42%	49%	
* Chicago	1,539	1,416	1,487	46%	49%	
* Detroit	1,358	965	965	3 <i>8</i> %	43%	
Phil adelphia	1,210	982	915	24%	22%	
* Newark	918	1,130	1,090	29%	29%	
* Cleveland	682	492	<i>5</i> 36	23%	26%	
* Boston	689	702	701	28%	26%	
Seattle	684	393	365	27%	21%	
* New Haven	<i>5</i> 39	<i>565</i>	517	30%	36%	
<i>Minneapolis</i>	531	301	311	13%	18%	
4-ji-j- Total	21,850	16,732	16,662			

^{*} Letters being sent to offices indicated. See page 8 nerein.

The over-all statistics for the eleven offices other than the New York Office since August 31, 1952, are as follows:

. .

Date	Pending Active Matters ()	Delinquent Matters	Percentage Delinquent
8-31-52 9-30-52 10-31-52 11-30-52 12-31-53 2-28-53 3-31-53 4-30-53 5-31-53 9-30-53 10-31-53 11-30-53 12-31-54 2-28-54 3-31-54 4-30-54 5-31-54 10-31-54 10-31-54 11-30-54 11-30-54 11-30-54	12,611 11,367 11,286 11,148 10,937 10,730 10,721 10,069 9,411 9,277 8,964 8,908 8,547 3,601 8,845 9,434 9,552 9,814 10,288 10,188 10,201 9,840 8,839 10,317 10,048 10,669 10,557 11,063 11,092 10,772	7,589 5,732 4,957 4,844 4,578 4,588 4,019 2,487 3,588 4,019 2,849 2,871 3,988 3,988 3,988 3,988 3,988 3,988 3,988 3,988 3,988 3,988 3,988 3,988 3,988	60.26 50.46 50.46 50.46 50.47 41.56 43.56 43.56 43.56 43.56 43.56 43.56 43.56 43.56 43.56 44.56 45.66 46

New York Office

In January, 1954, the New York delinquency stood at 53 per cent. In January, 1954, the New York delinquency stood at 33 per cent.

It was reduced, however, in February, 1954, to 32 per cent and during the period March through September, 1954, averaged 38 per cent. In October, 1954, the New York security delinquency dropped to 30.9 per cent, on November 30, 1954, to 29.3 per cent, and on December 31, 1954, to 26.4 per cent, the figure in each successive instance representing the lowest percentage of delinquency in the security classifications which *lowest percentage of delinquency in the security classifications which had existed in the New York Office since this matter has been followed on a regular-basis by the Bureau.

New York Office since August 3-, 405-1

The January, 1955, administrative report of the New York Office reveals that security delinquency has increased from 26.4 per cent in December, 1954, the lowest figure ever computed for that office, to 27.7 per cent as of January 31, 1955. The latter figure resulted from increases in both pending active matters from 5,640 to 5,690 and delinquent items, from 1,492 to 7,629.

The New York Office has approximately 25 per cent of the pending active matters in the classifications in the entire field. This office also has approximately 23.9 per cent of the number of delinquent items in these classifications throughout the field. The average delinquency in all other offices at the end of January, 1955, was approximately 30.5 per cent. The New York delinquency was 27.7 per cent as previously noted.

The bulk of the New York backlog and delinquency is in the classification. During January, 1955, the number of pending active matters in the classification in the New York Office increased from 4,117 to 4,373. The number of delinquent matters in this classification increased from 1,232 to 1,332 with a in this classification increased from 1,232 to 1,332 with a statistical increase from 29.9 per cent for December, 1954, to 30.5 per cent as of January 31, 1955.

Status of Security Work in the Remaining Forty Offices

The remaining forty offices are listed according to the parcentage of delinquency on January 31, 1955, in total matters. These statistics reflect the in classifications It should be noted that many of progress during January, 1955. It should be noted that many of progress during January, no pending matters in classifications where smaller offices have no pending backlog is in classification and their entire pending backlog is in classification

It was required. The section of the

Office	Active <u>12-31-54</u>	Matters 1-31-55	Percentage <u>12-31-54</u>	Delinquent 1-31-55
* Little Rock * Cincinnati * Baltimore * Miami Milwaukee Albany Charlotte Mobile San Juan Houston Indianapolis Kansas City Omaha Phoenix Oklahoma City Pittsburgh Washington Field Richmond Salt Lake City Buffalo Springfield El Paso San Diego Birmingham Louisville Norfolk Men Orleans It. Louis Albuquerque Knoxville Nallas Denver San Antonio Atlanta Butte Portland Anchorage Savannah Memphis Honolulu	31 373 229 3132 263 1132 1682 1788 1788 1788 1788 1788 1788 1788 17	20 3 49 7 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	42% 20% 20% 20% 34% 15% 15% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 11% 11% 11%	40% 332% 22% 22% 22% 22% 22% 22% 22% 20% 20% 2

^{*} Letters sent to offices indicated regarding delinquent status.

See page 8 herein.

The following figures illustrate the progress of the forty offices as a whole since December 31, 1952, as reflected in the administrative reports:

Date	Pending Active Matters	Delinquent Latters	P ercent age <u>Delinquent</u>
Date 12-31-52 1-31-53 2-28-53 3-31-53 4-30-53 5-31-53 6-30-53 7-31-53 9-30-53 11-30-53 11-30-53 12-31-54 2-28-54 3-31-54 4-30-54 5-31-54 6-30-54 7-31-54 8-31-54 9-30-54	6,228 6,080 6,103 5,936 5,864 4,897 5,146 5,095 5,050 4,987 5,180 5,562 5,997 6,079 6,174 6,733 7,022 6,710	1,691 1,554 1,515 1,542 1,414 1,726 1,577 1,358 1,323 1,219 1,087 1,355 1,301 1,444 1,347 1,431 1,527 1,710 1,522 1,590 1,520 1,410	Delinquent 27.2% 25.6% 24.6% 24.1% 29.3% 28.1% 26.4% 26.4% 26.6% 24.6% 24.6% 25.5% 21.5% 21.6% 21.0%
10-31-54 11-30-54 12-31-54 :.1-31-55	6,594 6,767 6,449 6,216	1,398 1,519 1,477 1,201	21.2% 22.4% 22.4% 19.3%

Letters to the Field

Attanta Although over-all pending and pending active security cases were decreased substantially in January, delinquent cases increased somewhat, particularly in some of the 12 large offices noted on page 4 herein. The 40 complete offices listed on page 7 had a total of 6,216 pending active security cases of which 1,201 were delinquent, approximately 19 per cent as montrasted to 33.6 per cent security delinquency of the 12 large office as monduted from 5,605 delinquent cases of a total of 16,662 pending active items in those 12 combined offices.

Letters are being sent to the large offices indicated by *asterisks on page 4, pointing out to them forcefully that security delinquency must be reduced. Similar letters are being sent to the smaller offices indicated by asterisks on page 7 herein. A total of 13 letters are being sent to the field in this ma++--

. *8* -

1000 - 10



PERSONAL ATTENTION STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL SAC LETTER LA SOLO

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to File No.

February 17, 1955 WASHINGTON 25, D.C.

(A) SECURITY INDEX -- In the future, at the time a Form FD-122 is submitted to the Bureau recommending the inclusion of a name in the Security Index, there must be attached to it an additional page or pages setting out a succinct summary of the facts on which you have based your recommendation for including the name in the Security Index. These facts must be in sufficient detail to clearly reflect the soundness of your recommendation. Statements of conclusion are not enough and the sources from which the information came must be properly characterized as to reliability. The summary must also contain portinent dates with respect to the activities of the subject.

In those instances when, at the conclusion of a security investigation, you are not recommending the inclusion of the subject's name in the Security Index, there shall be set forth on the administrative page of the closing report a brief summary statement which will reflect the reasons upon which you have based your decision.

Office Memoran Jum . United States Government

TO

DATE: 2/17/55

FROM I

SUBJECT :

SECURITY INDEX

This is in response to Mr. inquiry about machine listings by Statistical Section that might be requested under an emergency in the Red China situation.

- (1) We are recording and can list Chinese aliens and citizens in the index.
- (2) Pursuant to memo cited below we are not recording non-Chinese subjects sympathetic to Communist China. This information is being kept in a typed card index in the Domestic Intelligence Division.

Current instructions contained in memo of Mr. to Mr., 2/9/55, Chinese Communist Activities In The United States. Internal Security - CH and SAC letter Number 55-14, 2/15/55, paragraph (I).

DATE: 2/17/55

10

FROM !

SUBJECT: CHINESE COLLUNIST ACTIVITIES
IN THE UNITED STATES
INTERNAL SECURITY - CH

At a conference in the office of the Attorney General on February 7, 1955, the Attorney General ruled that in the event of an emergency by reason of the Formosa crisis, the Chinese aliens on our emergency by reason of the Formosa crisis, the Chinese aliens on our emergency by reason of the Formosa crisis, the Chinese aliens on our emergency by reason of the picked up by the FBI on deportation warrants.

Security Index should be picked up by the FBI on deportation warrants.

Based upon this, so warrants of arrest dated February 11, 1955, signed were delivered to the parent.

Bureau. On February 14, 1955, we conferred with Mr.

Of the Internal Security Division concerning certain procedural questions regarding the execution of the warrants of arrest. These warrants were furnished to our interested Fiell Offices by letter are february 15, 1955.

and met with Mr. , Chief of Special Investigations, Immigration and Maturalization Service, (INS); Mr. tions, Immigration and Maturalization Service, (INS); Mr. Assistant Chief of Special Investigations, INS; Mr. In the latter's office this after-Departmental Attorney, and Mr. In the latter's office this after-noon. At that time we again discussed procedures to be followed in noon. At that time we again discussed procedures to be followed in executing the deportation warrants. As a result thereof, upon advice of the Immigration representatives the following steps were directed by Mr.

- 1. At the time the warrant is served by the Bureau Agents on the Chinese alien, the alien should be advised of his right to counsel and should be advised that the Attorney General is holding him in custody without bond. The alien should be furnished a copy of the warrant and the Agent should read to him the charges as they appear on the face of the warrant. The alien should also be advised where he will be held in custody.
 - 2. The alien should then be removed by Eureau Agents to the nearest Federal approved jail and booked for INS.
 - 3. Immediately following the incarceration of the alien, the Bureau Agents should notify the nearest District Director of the 1843 on the nearest Officer

in Charge of an IMS Suboffice, whichever is closest.

(For example, if an alien is picked up at Newark and lodged in a Federal approved jail at Newark, notification should be made to the Officer in Charge of the IMS Suboffice at Newark, New Jersey, rather than to the District Director of Immigration who is located at Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.) At the time of notification the Agent should advise the proper IMS official that the alien has been taken into custody upon a warrant for deportation executed by the Attorney General and that the Attorney General has ruled that the alien is to be held without bond. The Agent should also advise where the alien has been incarcerated.

4. Following notification, the original warrant executed by the Bureau Agents should be delivered to the nearest District Director of INS or the nearest Officer in Charge of an INE Suboffice, whichever is closest.

as to the charges which were cited against the various aliens. It was pointed out that probably some of the aliens for whom warrants had been issued were already subjects of deportation proceedings by had been issued were already subjects of deportation proceedings by reason of which substantive questions would arise which would have to be resolved between the Department and INS. The INS, at the to be resolved between the Department and INS. The immigration status request of Nr. It is presently determining the immigration status of the 36 Chinese oftens and at the arging of Nr. It is stated that they would have it available for him early next week at which time the Department could then consider each case individually.

The INC representatives also pointed out that in deportation proceedings it was essential to have legally admissable evidence. Mr. , stated that when the immigration status of these individuals was accertained the Department would study this problem with respect to each individual case.

INS representatives stated it would materially expedite their inquiries as to the immigration status of the aliens of the could be furnished with the aliens current residence addresses. This is so because since INS has decentralized their records, it is necessary for them to make inquiry of their District Directors.

Since the residence addresses of these aliens are readily available at the Bureau, INS was advised that we would furnish such list to them through Liaison. This has been done.

ACTION:

(1) There is attached a teletype to our interested Field Offices advising them of the changes in the procedure for executing the warrants.

(2) We are also preparing a letter to Assistant Attorney General Tompkins, to the attention of Mr., with a carbon copy to INS, attention Mr., which will confirm the procedures as outlined above.

OK H

Office Menorandum • United States Government

TO

DATE: February 21, 1955

FROM !

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX

The Director instructed in connection with the 2-day Espionage Conference in New York City on February 17 and 18, 1955, that we take this opportunity to also get over the views about care and discriminating screening of the Security Index.

On Thursday morning, February 17, I discussed this problem with the Conference. I pointed out that the maintenance of persons on our Security Index is on the Bureau's responsibility and that the Department is not reviewing such designations. It was emphasized that in the current review of the Security Index, it is imperative that subjects be eliminated if information concerning them is not solid and does not clearly justify their continuance on the Index. I emphasized that in reviewing these cases, the Supervisors and Special Agenes in Charge should hear in mind that in the event of a national emergency the subjects will in all probability be jailed for a reriod of up to thirty days before there is an opportunity for a hearing board to consider the cases and that such incarreration will lbe strictly the responsibility of the FPI: consequently, maintenance on the Index should be considered in light of the responsibility of the Eureau in taking this action of jailing the subjects on its own responsibility. It was emphasized that when this review is completed there should be, no doubts in the minds of the supervising officials and Special Agents in Charge that our Security Index is sound and that apprehensions at the time of a national emergency soan be, thoroughly justified in all respects. I noted to the Conference that there is no change in the standards by which persons are considered for the Index and that each case must be considered on its own merits.

February 21, 195.

Director, FBI

SECURITY INDEX LIST- YELL

There is attached hereto a current list of the names of the individuals maintained in the Security Index. Names included in the main portion of the list are arranged alphabetically. The names of individuals coming within five designated groups are listed on separate pages. Following each name is information reflecting the race, sex, birth date, Bureau file number and the Bureau field office covering the residence of the individual.

It is requested that this list be given utmost recurity.

Attachment (1)

NOTE ON YELLOW ONLY: Espionage Section of the Special Section not included. The five designated groups are:

Foreign Government Employees United Nations Employees Pro-Tito Yugoslavs U. S. Government Employees Atomic Inergy Program Employees

WGO:mjt

EA FEB 23 1955

(6)

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

DATE: 2/18/55

FROM :

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX

During the past week 20 cards were added to the Security Index and 6 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 14 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is

26,718.

ACTION:

None. This is for your information.

. The Director

DATE: 2/11/55

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX Bufile

The following is a report of the increase in the Security Index since the last count was furnished to you on January 14, 1955.

Week of	New Cards	Cards	Net
	Added	Cancelled	<u>Increase</u>
January 15-21 January 22-28 January 28-Feb. 4 \February 5-11	52 37 56 14 159	9 19 9 15 52	43 18 47 (-1) 107

week period 326 cards were added and 66 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 260 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 26,704.

ACTION:

This is for your information.

(I) CHINESE COMMUNIST ACTIVITIES IN THE UNITED STATES - INTERNAL SECURITY - CH - SECURITY INDEX - In view of the current international situation the Bureau has seriously considered the possibility that instructions may be received to apprehend persons on the Security Index of Chinese extraction and non-Chinese sympathetic to Communist China, in the event of an emergency involving Communist China. Henceforth, in recommending a subject for Security Index who is of Chinese extraction it is essential that you show on the recommendation "Other - Chinese." Similarly, in any communication recommending cancellation of a Security Index card where the person is of Chinese extraction the communication must specifically mention this fact.

With respect to non-Chinese (persons not of Chinese extraction) who have shown definite sympathies with Communist China and who are being recommended for the Security Index you should include a statement on the form (FD-122) under "Miscellaneous" to the effect "sympathetic to Communist China." This statement should be in addition to any other data which you would normally include at this point on the form. This statement should be made only after careful reflection as it will constitute your recommendation that the sympathies of this subject with Communist China are such that he merits consideration for apprehension in the event of an emergency. The Bureau recently solicited your recommendations with respect to existing cases on the Security Index on non-Chinese sympathetic to Communist China and your recommendations have been reviewed. However, your continuing investigations of existing Security Index subjects may develop information on subjects additional to those previously recommended showing definite sympathies with Communist China. On such cases you should direct a communication to the Bureau in the individual case, pointing out the information developed, and submitting your recommendation as to his inclusion in this

2/15/55 SAC LETTER NO. 55-14

UN KICK 25

It is possible that any order for the apprehension of Security Index subjects in the event of an emergency with Communist China may be directed solely at aliens or may include citizens. It is absolutely essential that the citizenship status of each subject be definitely verified and that the Security Index card accurately reflect his status at all times.

You should see that all personnel in your office engaged in security work are thoroughly familiar with these instructions.

Very truly yours,
John Edgar Hoover

Director

2/15/55 SAC LETTER NO. 55-14

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO

DATE: December 3, 1954

FROM !

SUBJECT: DE SUGCESTION 41-53
DESCRIPTION ON REVERSE SIDE OF SECURITY INDEX CARDS
BUFILE

On February 17, 1953, the Executive Conference recommended favorably as to the suggestion of SA then assigned to the Los Anneles Office and now assigned as a supervisor in the Internal Security Section at the Bureau, that when the paper stock for Security Index Cards is next ordered, there be placed on the reverse side along the left-hand margin printed words such as name, weight, height, hair, eyes and so forth, beside which descriptive data may be entered by the appropriate offices. At that time, there was over a year's supply of plain Security Index Card paper stock on hand.

Princed stock in line with the suggestion is now being used for Security Index Cards sent to the field and the Training and Inspection Division has requested to be advised the approximate savings resulting from the use of the printed data on the back of each gard.

It is estimated that approximately 30,000 sets of Security Index Cards are sent to the field each year by the Bureau to add new cards or amend cards in existence. Therefore, approximately 30,000 descriptions are typed on the back of Security Index Jards received in the field each year. The printed material consists of 27 words which requires approximately one minute of typing time. This represents a total field savings of C2½ days typing time per year or approximately \$710 based on a Trade GS-3 tubist salary. The additional cost of printing the words on the back of the paper stock is \$50. The estimated net savings per year due to this change in procedure is approximately \$660.

ACTION:

If you approve, this memorandum should be routed to the Training and Inspection Division for their information.

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO : DIRECTOR

DATE: 2/25/55

FROM :

SUBJECT:

with respect to the survey of the Security Index subjects I would like to personally review the cases of any persons now on the Index wherein the Domestic Intelligence Division proposes to overrule the recommendation of the SAC that the name of the subject be removed from the Index.

Jok. hud it in most table on this burden.

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO

DATE: 2/21/55

FROM :

SUBJECT:

AUTACHAR

SHOUTHTY INDEX CARD STATISTICS

Index (as of February 15, 1955). This is a net increase of 105 or 4/10ths of 1 percent over last month. Of the total, 24,843 or 93.0 percent are communists.

The race of Chinese in the index are now shown separately in the race breakdown on the attached table.

A detailed table is attached in duplicate. The table shows as of February 15, 1955, the distribution of the subjects by field office, nationalistic tendencies, dangerousness, sex, race, and citizenship. Also the number on "special lists" are shown. A key to abbreviations is attached.

Attachments

KRY TO ABEREVIATIONS USED IN SECURITY INDEX

AL Alien

CS DO KF	Comsab Declem Key Figure
NB TF	Naturalized Native Born Top Functionary Unknown
BUL Com	Atomic Daggy Program Ampleyees Enlocation Communist Party, USA Espionage Subjects
GOV HUN	Foreign Government Employees United States Government Employees Humgarian Independent Socialist League
MCI MIS	Johnson Forest Group Muslim Gult of Islam Miscellaneous Nationalist Party of Puerto Rico
RUS	Proletarian Party of America Russian Socialist Union of America Socialist Workers' Party
UNE UPR YUG	United Nations Employees Union of the People for the Establishment of the Republic of Puerto Rico Yugoslavian

E).

SECURITY INDEX CARRO OF TROTTES - BY FIELD OFFICE PARTS ON STATISTICAL ORDITOR HIGH OND AS OF FRENCH MILE.

25 2

						BASEL	ON STATE LICA!	CECTION IN COLUMN AS OF FEITH	FY 15.		(a)	
	* (1)	(2)		amosustic to	(5) TENCT OR OPERATION	AFFILIATION	_ 1	(4)•	(E) SEX	CHRESTED STATE SE NA AL IN	(7) 54 1 811 See Charles Value	سننه
:	5 32 37577 8	2771 <u>1</u> 28,702	24,545 1	2 215 69 1	E ES SPE FFA	45 57 768	7 26	9,795 7,088 1,140 46	16,804 9,758	20,398 5,587 585 132	23,800 2,476 105 155	
	TOTAL TOURS OF THE PROPERTY OF	277	279 37 26 13		9	3 1 1 2		105 50 19 16 14 4 8 4 13 12 1 177 106 42	173 104 21 16 21 6 18 4 218 127	216 49 7 5 7 3 2 2 2 2 1 5 1 21 1 262 75 7 1	35 i 1 25 2 15 5 2 287 58	- 8 ^{2*}
	505103 31 2 7 5 2 311 12 1375	12 4k7 293 *3	12 657 245 43 47	1 1		2 7 3 ft	1	8 2 3 185 211 26 3 178 101 31 1 27 14 10 28 21 1	8 4 442 245 191 102 59 24 31 16	12 529 150 4 4 245 36 8 4 77 6 47	647 40 253 40 82 1 39 8	
:	To Shatt To Shatt	1544 203 680 1 56	1391 184 628 56 185	. 12	11 15 18 16 17 1 2	8 51 1 36	7	652 547 121 6 94 44 15 322 225 39 2 20 15 4 64 27 16	1052 492 138 65 467 213 39 17 121 66	1109 377 43 15 168 32 3 497 172 9 2 50 4 2 160 13 7 7	1253 227 4 153 50 600 79 1 51 5 173 12 2	*
-	19 27	1353 14 83 75 278	1217 14 83 70 279	21 20	6 1 2 3	•	1	693 534 53 3 10 7 2 4C & 14 41 29 9 115 95 15	946 407 9 5 66 17 55 20 193 85	924 3.76 49 4 12 2 70 5 7 1 67 5 3 200 66 8 4	1147 200 4 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
	TO THE TIPE FOUNDATION OF AMERICA TO MARKETS TO THE TOTAL	17 17 15 14242 29	42 11 15 4041 29	1 37 26	1 1 :	1 6 126		14 6 4 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	34 14 8 4 12 3 2368 1874 19 10	46 2 11 1 13 2 3164 994 79 5	45 3 11 1 11 4 3990 226 2 34 27 2	1
	9 2715 924 \$ 17: 172 \$ 1 4115	72 118 299 531	22 113 273 454	1 2	1	5 25 2 73	1	21 3 1 21 9 3 71 69 10 51 143 22 1	16 6 80 38 213 86 354 177 8 1	21 1 68 45 4 1 237 58 4 449 72 7 3	16 6 115 2 1 284 12 3 519 11 1	
	S S LATEN S S LATEN S S TOP S TO S	927 532 74 7184	885 524 74 6762	1 5	1 6 3 52 3 113	1 21 1 4 25 6 156		452 33% 89 1 304 72 34 1 36 17 6 2151 1585 162 18	620 307 330 202 50 24 4452 2732 13 2	720 188 14 5 373 148 7 4 61 10 2 1 5248 1717 179 40	845 76 5 1 489 43 57 16 1 6532 573 58 21	
-	Total Marita	1211	33 43 1134 93 383	12 3	18 2 -	1 1 1		14 7 4 5 2 2 416 358 50 5 32 8 2 105 79 32 1	72 12 31 12 761 450 66 30 302 109	33 1 41 2 949 251 11 66 25 2 3 286 110 13 2	27 7 39 4 1679 130 1 1 91 5 359 52	
	1 (2) (17) (18) (18) (18) (18) (18) (18) (18) (18	220 29 175 78 26	216 27 169 75 25	2	1 1			35 21 15 12 17 4 94 62 20 36 24 7 6 3	151 69 20 0 117 58 50 28 15 11	192 72 5 1 25 3 1 147 24 3 1 74 4 22 2 2	218 1 24 5 135 40 73 5 25 1	
	45 1750 4 1 144250 5 4 45 5 4 45 5 4 45	218 2349 414, 11 681	215 2242 96 11 654	19 ' 3	1 312 1	1 5 9 4	6	92 41 6 1 36 77) 83 2 266 111 14 1 188 231 20 1	125 93 1368 981 377 37 8 3 434 247	163 44 9 2 1929 353 58 9 410 3 1 11 606 53 16 6	200 15 1 2 2094 215 22 16 350 57 7 6 3 631 44 1 5	:
and the	SULVERING STATES	90 256	85 248	2	1 4	2	3 1	2(2) 6 71 4/ 14	64 26 148 108	74 16 191 57 6 2	79 11	

Items do not aid to total column but are included in Sections 3, 5, 6 and 7.
 2 THE carried in Fil of Special Section.

Office Memorina 1977 . United States Government

TO

Director, FBI

DATE: February 28, 1935

FROM: SUBJECT:

SAC, San Antonio (

SECURITY INDEX;
CONTROL INDEXIS,
SQUROLS AND WITHESSES

AIR MAIL

Re SAC Letter 55-15, A and B, dated 2/17/55.

Matters covered in that SAC letter have been discussed in detail with all Relief Supervisors in this office, with each new Agent during weekly conferences and with each headquarters and resident Agent while reviewing cases assigned to those Agents. Memoranda and ticklers have been prepared to those Agents. Memoranda and ticklers have been prepared placing in effect instructions regarding addition of names placing in effect instructions of indications of unreliability to the Security Index, recording of indications of unreliability or instability of informants and sources, recording of information tion furnished by informant and reporting derogatory information concerning prospective witnesses. The entire matter has like-concerning prospective witnesses. The entire matter has like-wise been scheduled for detailed discussion at the semi-annual wise been scheduled for detailed discussion at the Semi-annual Agent's conference to be held April 6, 1955. The Bureau's Agent's conference to be held April 6, 1955. The Bureau's instructions regarding these protective steps are thus being emphasized and reliterated to all Agents in this Division.

L'EMENIO PORM NO. 64

TO

DATE: February 24; 1555

FROM :

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX REVIEW Pufile :

> By SAC Letter 55-8 of February 2, 1955, a review of all Security Index cases was initiatel. The field was instructed to submit airtel reports each Friday of the progress until the review is completed. Offices with loss than 1,000 Security Index subjects were instructed to complete the project in sight days. The remaining offices were instructed to complete the neview in minety days with the exception of New York which is to complete this project within six months.

There were 26,718 subjects included in the Security Index as of February 18, 1955.

The girtels of February 18, 1955, from the field reflect that 1,509 cases have been reviewed as of that date, approximately 5.6 per cent, and the required data forwarded to the Eureau.

As	of	February	24.	<i>1055</i> .	262	<u> cases 7</u>	ava heen
longlused on !	277	1000000 3		o Inte	rnaI	Negrota	y ction.
Or this not:		10 E 10 E 10 E	2977			<u> </u>	
67 662	- C. 194.						
at the Eurea	и.						

ACTICN:

You will be kept advised of the progress of the reviews on a weekly basis.

Office Mismorandum • United States Government

DATE: Warch 1, 1955

FROM :

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX REVIEW Bufile

Attached is my memorandum of February 24, 1955, pertaining to captioned project. It is stated that as of February 24, 1955, 262 cases has been analyzed and processed in the Internal Security Section. Further, of the 262 cases, nine cancellations had been recommended by the SAC's and approved for cancellation in the review at the Bureau.

Wr. has noted: "If this is typical of the results of this processing, we will have to try a different approach."

The Director commented: "I certainly actree.

Div. is not critically screening these. Just how many of
the 262 were recommended by the SAC's for cancellation? H."

In response to the Director's inquiry, rine cases of the 262 processed were recommended for cancellation by the SAC's. In other words, every recommendation for cancellation made by the SAC's in the 262 cases was concurred in at the Bureau with no exceptions.

In response to Mr. comment that we will have to try a different approach, this is to advise that I recommended to the Executives' Conference today certain specific guides to be sent to the field for use in evaluating the Security Index cases. The recommendation, which was approved by the Executives' Conference, is being submitted by a separate memorandum.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Transmit the following Teletype message to: STEAU

INDIANAPOLIS

2-25-55 · 1 7:00 PM CST

HA

DIRECTOR, FBI

SECURITY INDEX PLVIENS. RE SAC LETTER 55-8, DATED FERRUARY 2, 1955. IP

HAS TOTAL OF 275 SI CARD SUBJECTS. AS OF FRIDAY, FRERUARY 25, 60 HAVE

BEEN COMPLETED AND REQUIRED DATA SENT BUREAU.

٦,

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

AIRTEL
Transmit the following METERYM message to:

FBI, LOS ANGELES

2/25/55

DIRECTOR, FBI (

SECURITY INDEX REVIEW. RE SAC LETTER 55-8. TOTAL NUMBER OF CASES TO BE REVIEWED AT BEGINNING - 4216. TOTAL COMPLETED AS OF 2/25/55 - 495.

BALANCE TO BE DONE - 3721.

18 58

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION NITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Transmit the following Talabype message to:
AIRTEL

FBI, SPRINGFIELD

2-25-55

PIRECTOR, FBI

SECURITY INDEX REVIEW. RE SAC LETTER 55-8, DATED 2-2-55.

1. TOTAL NUMBER OF CASES TO BE REVIEWED - 92. 2. TOTAL NUMBER OF CASES DATA FORWARDED TO BUREAU - 49.

1

Office Memorandum , united states government

TO

DATE: 2/25/55

FROM :

SUBJECT:

CECUMITY INDEX 🥞 🕛

During the past week 43 cards were added to the Security Index and 13 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 30 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is

26,748.

ACTION:

None. This is for your information.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

EMPLOYEE SUGGESTION

10:	DIRECTO						
From:	SA		art.				
Fie	eld Office or	Division	SAN	FRANCISCO)		
cation and file	on: Due to by the Fiel les will be logical and ness of the thority be	d of the c analyzed necessary	orrectne in the into intendication	mmediate rview the . It is	future in subject suggested	n which i to resold in such	t wi ve t
in each	ntages are: situation mpted with	and will b	a in a r	ocition '	to institu	C to H to Li	
the app would b Bureau dental establi	g Bureau au ropriatenes e effected and in the to the savished much s	thority as so of such in stenograms. It is like the stenograms of emplacements of the sooner.	rable saves is now Security raphic and additic loyee time	necessary Index cond clerical Into the definition to the definition of the definitio	y) in ord lassifica al time, monetary	er to restion. A both at the saving in	solve savi the
the app would b Bureau dental establi It shoul	g Bureau au ropriatenes e effected and in the to the savi shed much s	sthority as so of such in stenogram Field. In ing of emplacement in the second	sable saves is now Security raphic and additional contraction of the same same same same same same same sam	necessary Index conditions of the derical terms of the quality.	y) in ord lassifica al time, monetary uality of	er to restion. A both at tasting in the SI tasti	solve savi the inci- vill
the app would b Bureau dental establi	g Bureau au ropriatenes e effected and in the to the savished much s	sthority as so of such in stenogram Field. In ing of emplacement is sooner.	sable saves is now Security raphic and additional contractions of my saves and saves and saves are saves sav	necessary Index condition to the quality.	y) in ord lassifica al time, monetary uality of	er to restion. A both at tasting in the SI was orm the base	solve savi the inci- vill
the app would b Bureau dental establi	g Bureau au ropriatenes e effected and in the to the savi shed much s d save at les	sthority as so of such in stenogram Field. In ing of emplacement is sooner.	sable saves is now Security raphic and additional contractions of my saves and saves and saves are saves sav	necessary Index condition to the quality.	y) in ord lassifica al time, monetary uality of shall not fo assigns upo	er to restion. A both at the SI worm the basen the Unite	solve savi inci- vill
the app would b Bureau dental establi	g Bureau au ropriatenes e effected and in the to the savi shed much s d save at les	sthority as so of such in stenogram Field. In ing of emplacement is sooner.	sable saves is now Security raphic and additional contractions of my saves and saves and saves are saves sav	necessary Index condition to the quality.	y) in ord lassifica al time, monetary uality of shall not fo assigns upo	er to restion. A both at tasting in the SI was orm the base	solve savi inci- vill
the app would be Bureau dental establi It shoul	g Bureau au ropriatenes e effected and in the to the savi shed much shed much shed by the rther claim of and recomments and recomments.	thority as so of such in stenogram Field. In Ing of employment in State of any nature	sable save is now Security raphic and addition and annual soft my save by me, my save upervisor,	necessary Index conditions in the clerical clerical control the quality. Index conditions the quality. Index conditions the quality. Index conditions the quality. SAC, or Accordance to the quality of the quality of the quality.	y) in ordersificated time, monetary uality of shall not fassigns upo	er to restion. A both at a saving in the SI was not the base of Suggerector:	sis of story
the app would b Bureau dental establi It shoul Th fu	g Bureau au ropriatenes e effected and in the to the savi shed much s d save at les e use by the rther claim o	thority as so of such in stenograms of employed the sooner. United State of any nature	cable save is now Security raphic and addition and annual soft my soft	necessary Index condition to the deficient to the desire the desir	y) in order the property of th	er to restion. A both at saving in the SI worm the base of Sugger rector:	sis of story
the app would b Bureau dental establi It shoul Th fu	g Bureau au ropriatenes e effected and in the to the savi shed much shed much shed by the rther claim of and recomments are recomments and recomments and recomments and recomments are recomments and recomments and recomments and recomments and re	thority as so of such in stenograms of employed the sooner. United State of any nature	cable save is now Security raphic and addition and annual soft my soft	necessary Index condition to the deficient to the desire the desir	y) in order the property of th	er to restion. A both at saving in the SI worm the base of Sugger rector:	sis of story

• UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

DATE: February 15, 1955

TO

FROM !

/ SUBJECT: SUCCESTION NUMBER 75-55 TELIMINATION OF BUREAU AUTHORITY PRIOR TO INCERVISES LITE SECURITY SUBJECTS)

> of the San Francisco Office has recommended that in view of current Bureau instructions which require certifications by the field of the porrectness of each Security Index card, many files will be analyzed in the immediate future in which it will appear logical and necessary to interview the subject to resolve the cuestion as so whether his name should be retained on the Security Index. He suggests that in such cases authority should be delegated to the Special Agent in Charge to direct Agents to conduct interviews.

The SAC of the San Francisco Office feels that this suggestion deserves favorable consideration since certification of the correctness of the Security Index is a deadlire manner.

The Executives Conference on September 27, 1954, considered the above suggestion and recommended in unanimously that the present procedure of requiring Bureau authority prior to interviews with security subjects not be changed.

RECOMMENDATION:

That this memorandum be referred to the Training and Inspection Division to indicate that the Internal Security Section does not favor the adoption of the above suggestion.

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO

DATE: 2/23/55

SUBJECT:

FROM !

SUGGESTION #75-55
MADE BY SA

SAN FRANCISCO OFFICE

Due to current Bureau instructions that require certification by the field of the correctness of each Security Index card, many files will be analyzed in the immediate future in which it will appear logical and necessary to interview the subject to resolve the correctness of the Security Index classification. It is suggested in such cases that authority be given the SAC to direct Agents to conduct interviews.

ADVANTAGES:

The suggester states the SAC will be familiar with the facts involved in each situation and will be in a position to instruct an interview be attempted with a considerable savings in time in order to resolve the appropriateness of such Security Index classification. A savings would be effected in stenographic and clerical time, both at the Bureau and in the field. In addition to the monetary saving incidental to the saving of employee time, the quality of the Security Index will be established much sooner.

DISADVANTAGES:

None noted by suggester.

OBSERVATIONS:
SAC, San Francisco, feels this suggestion deserves favorable consideration.

Mr., Domestic Intelligence Division, agrees with the unfavorable recommendation of Mr.

— points out that the Executives Conference on September 37, points out that the Executives Conference on September 37, considered the above suggestion and recommended unanimously that the present procedure of requiring Bureau authority prior to interviews with security subjects not be changed.

Inasmuch as the Bureau's position is obvious, this matter will not be presented to the Executives Conference unless you so instruct. Mr. has already been thanked for submitting this suggestion.

RECONSENSATION:

Inasmuch as the Executives Conference unfavorably considered this matter as recently as September 27, 1954, it is recommended that this suggestion not be adopted.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

MR. A. H.

DATE: 2/17/55

FROM ! SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX LIST

The Security Index list as of 2/15/55, has been prepared. One copy is attached herewith for transmittal to the SAC, Quantico, for retention in his personal custody with the other vital records maintained at the FBI Academy.

RECOLLENDATION:

That this memorandum and attached liet be forwarded to the SAC, Quantico, marked Personal and Confidential, Registered, Return Receipt Requested.

That upon receipt, the SAC, Quantico, place the attached list with the other vital records maintained at Quantico, personally destroy by burning the old Security Index list in his possession and note hereon that such action has been taken.

That thereafter, this memorandum be returned to the Bureau, marked for my attention.

Attachment (1) RECORDED - 60 WGO:mjt (6) cc - Ar. E 13 MAR 2 1955 cc - Mr. E cc - Mr.

cc - SAC, cc - Lr.

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO

DATE: 3/4/55

FROM I

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX

During the past week 9 cards were added to the Security Index and 70 cards were cancelled, a net decrease of 61 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is

26,687.

ACTION:

None. This is for your information.

DIRECTOR, FBI (_

SUBJECT:

Attached hereto is a rough draft letter from Eughes Aircraft Company at Culver City, Calif., which has been prepared by that company and forwarded to this office to ascertain whether the Bureau has any objections to a policy which Hughes wishes to adopt.

The Plant Protection Division of Eugnes Aircraft Company is suby? recruiting highly-trained engineering personnel from various sections a the country. They have advised that they realize that some of the personnel they hire might be missing security subjects from other field offices in the Bureau who have availed themselves of the opportunity of becoming employed by Hughes in California to completely drop from sight in their home cities.

Both Mr. and Mr. , who are heads of the plant security setup at Hughes, are ex-Bureau personnel and are fully aware of the confidential nature of the Bureau's files. Both Messrs. are extremely cooperative with the Los Angeles Office and, as a matter of information, forward a large emount of data which they accumulate in their own investigations which they think might be of interest to this office on a. F. Wick is winner on

The proposed letter is under no circumstances to be considered as a request for information. The letter is merely to be forwarded to the various field offices where the subject might have resided when he was hired. According to Mr. , this is not a wholesale project on the part of Hughes but will be done in only those cases where there is derogatory information which has been brought to light in the course of background investigations conducted by them which are necessary to clear their employees.

, who contacted the office, was advised that this matter would be referred to the Bureau for an opinion and that he would be appropriately advised as to whether or not the Bureau objected to his organization's adopting such a policy.

HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY

Division Hughes foot Company

CALIFORNIA (Date)

Special Agent in Charge Federal Bureau of Investigation U. S. Department of Justice (City and State)

RE: (Subject's name)

Dear Sir:

The Hughes Aircraft Company is a key facility engaged in the Research, Development, and Production of fire control systems, guided missiles, and other electronic devices for the armed forces. The Office of Special Investigations, 18th District, Cheli Air Force Depot, Maywood, California has security cognizance.

It should be noted that all employees of this Company are cleared for access to Confidential data; many are cleared for access to Secret; in some instances, clearances are given to Top Secret. Hence, the next for care in the selection of personnel is obvious.

Since the F.B.I. is charged with the investigation of violations of Espionage, Sabotage, and related matters, we are taking this means of bringing to your attention that captioned individual:

() Has made application for employment here.
() Was employed here on _____(Date) ____ as a ____(Position)

The subject's attached PSQ reflects that he (sh) resided in your area for a period of time. It, therefore, is possible that you may have information which would assist the Government in arriving at a decision relative to the subject's clearance for access to classified information. It is stressed that this organization realizes that your records are confidential, and has no desire to be advised in this matter. Our only thought is to assist the Bureau in the fulfillment of its obligations, and to assure that this individual's employment is clearly consistent with the best interests of national security.

In the event information of an unfavorable nature is discovered here concerning this individual, your Los Angeles Division will be advised.

Yours very truly,

ENC 1

Director of Industrial Security

HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY

CALLEGENIA

16 February 1955

Mr.
Federal Bureau of Investigation
510 South Spring Street
Los Angeles, California

RE: INFORMATION LETTERS TO YOUR OFFICES

Dear

Reference is made to our telephone conversation February 16 at 8:45 a.m. at which time I broadhed the feasibility of our sending information letters to Eureau offices covering prior residence of our applicants whose application papers reflect Russian or satellite background.

My thinking is based on the understanding that many individuals in this category have subversive records; employment or
application for employment in a defense industry enhances the significance of such subversive records; transfer of "run of the mill"
subversives from one state or locality to another would not necessarily be brought to your attention; such transfer, if it involves
seeking employment in a defense facility, might be of interest to
the Bureau office covering prior residence to the extent that they
would wish to reopen their case and submit any information in their
possession to your office for your consideration and action.

In view of the above, I am enclosing a rough draft copy of the letter we propose to send to Bureau offices subject, of course, to your complete approval.

After you have examined the contents of this letter and attachment, I will welcome an opportunity to further discuss the matter with you.

Yours very truly,

7, - 2

Dersover, 12 (

4 Chiunal Ar. Latte

TO CONTRACTORY AT ALL

Tsurlet icted February 24, 1955.

The form letter attached to relet to be put out over as mothy to signature cannot be accounted. The numeronce the seas a leaver would place on additional administrative burden on the Bureau. The use of such a form lotter appears to be an attempt by Hughes Aircraft Company to develop a direct coners to Sureau files in cay marker in which they may be conserned. You are well aware that we cannot have such a development exist. In addition, the form letter proposed is undesirable on its own merits since the purpose it would serve is underthe uslimitations Agreenent, the prisary responsibility of the Office of Could Investigations (vou), united States Air Force watch has security responsibility at Hughes Aircraft Company. List a request of OSI, name checks are made at the Bureau and where subversion in formation is developed, OSI must refer the case to us for investigation. The form letter proposed copears to be motivated by a desire to circumvent the OSI and allow dughes Aircraft Consany to refer matters directly to the Bureau. This would furnish Hughes Liveraft & Company the opportunity at some later date to state they had ? referred the natter to the FBI.

Cannot be accepted by the FBI and (2) that the dest interest of all concerned will best be served through continued proper coordination with CBI which has security responsibility at a dughes Aircraft Company.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Transmit the following Teletype message to:

REGISTERED

SECURITY INDEX REVIEW. RE SAC LET 55-8. (1) TOTAL NUMBER OF CASES TO BE REVIEWED - 139. (2) NUMBER OF CASES IN WHICH REQUIRED DATA FORWARDED TO BUREAU TO DATE - 36.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Transmit the following Teletype message to:

FDI, CHARLOTTE (100-6582) 3-4-55 ATRTEL

SECURITY INDEX MAYIEWED DURING PROJECT 47

1. TOTAL CASES TO BE REVIEWED DURING PROJECT 47.

2. NUMBER CASES IN WHICH REQUIRED DATA FORWARDED TO BUREAU 47.

Office Memorandum • united states government

TO

DATE: 2/21/55

FROM !

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX

Attached is SAC Letter Number 55-15 (page one) of 2/17/55 providing that at the time a Form FD-122 is forwarded to the Bureau recommending a subject for the Security Index, there must be attached to it an additional page or pages setting out a succinct summary of the facts on which such recommendation is based. Further, it provides that in a case in which the subject is not being recommended for the Security Index, that the administrative page of the closing report shall contain a brief summary statement which reflects the reasons upon which such decision is based.

Proposed Manual changes are attached.

RECOMMENDATION:

If you approve, the attached proposed changes will be made in the Manual of Instructions. The FBI Handbook and the Manual of Rules and Regulations are not affected in this matter.

This was approved by Exec. Conf. themo 2-14-1-1



PERSON - ATTENTION STRICT_/ CONFILENTIAL SAC LETTER 10. 00-10

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to File No.

February 17, 1955 WASHINGTON 25, D.C.

(A) SECURITY INDEX -- In the future, at the time a Form FD-122 is submitted to the Bureau recommending the inclusion of a name in the Security Index, there must be attached to it an additional page or pages setting out a succinct summary of the facts on which you have based your recommendation for including the name in the Security Index. These facts must be in sufficient detail to clearly reflect the soundness of your recommendation. Statements of conclusionare not enough and the sources from which the information came must be properly characterized as to reliability. The summary must also contain pertinent dates with respect to the activities of the subject.

In those instances when, at the conclusion of a security investigation, you are not recommending the inclusion of the subject's name in the Security Index, there shall be set forth on the administrative page of the closing report a brief summary statement which will reflect the reasons upon which you have based your decision.

Enc 1

February 21, 1955

PROFOSED CLAMMES
ALUTION OF ANDERDOTIONS

Page 29a-b - Under the heading "(4) Recommendations for, and preparation of Security Index cards," the following should be inserted following the existing first paragraph:

*At the time a Form FD-122 is submitted to the Furency recommend was includion of a name in the Decourity 1972x, there was be ettraced to be a process of the jacks setting out a process of the jacks of the contact was in the jacks of the jacks of the force was in the wall to clearly regiset the sounders of the First was also a fine field to clearly regiset the sounders of the first region or increase your as from the characterized as to realizable. The information or increase was as from the characterized as to realizable the characterized as to realizable. The characterized as to realizable the characterized as to realizable the characterized as to realizable the continuous of the subject."

"In those instances when at the conclusion of a received in the conclusion of a received in the conclusion of a received in the conclusion of a relative part of the conclusion on the administrative part of the closing report a trief which rundies when the conclusion has been based."

Under the heading f.(1)(a) pertaining to "Investigative reports" insert the following as the third and separate paragraph, immediately preceding the heading "(b) Summary Reports":

*Upon completion of a security investigation of an individual not already included in the

ENC2



Security Irdex, the procedure outlined in \$70 (2) (4) . The procedure on appropriate recommendation of the political is that antipost is not a subject is not be expected in the contract of the reasons for such accidion."

page 44a - Add the following as the last paragraph on the page:

"As noted in 870 7b(4), in those instances when as the convention of a mallettic investionation, addicate a note being recordented for instruction in the becarity Intex, there could be seen the closing report administrative occases the closing report of the print and because the color will regard the print of the respect of the respec

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO

DATE: Feb. 25,1955

FROM :

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX

In connection with the review of the entire Security Index which is currently being made by the field, we are receiving thousands of letters from our field offices which contain recommendations regarding the continuance or deletion of subjects from our Security Index.

In order that consistent evaluation will be afforded to the recommendations made by the various field offices after the Bureau Supervisor reviews the field recommendation, his work will be reviewed by Supervisor in Charge of the Security Index Unit Supervisor

three men is eminently qualified to handle this assignment and it these cases alone: especially, since he along with Inspector and passing on all new additions to the Security Index.

from the Security Index. is also personally handling all cancellations

This system has been set up in order that we may keep as current as possible with the tremendous volume which is being received in the Internal Security Section while at the same time affording careful consideration to each individual case.

್ರಾಣಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣದ ಕ್ರಾಣಕ್ಕೆ ಮಾಡುವ ಕ್ರಾಣಕ್ಕೆ ಕ್ರಾಣ with the conjerence neld in the Attorney seneral's office starting at 3:00 pm, February 7, 1955, relative to the Justice Segarament's plane in the sound of remoder open hostilities. In addition to the domain with the second of remoder of the last littles. Director, the following were present: The way was a wine

. *ಇಳಳ್ಳಲ್ಲಿ ಇ*ಲ್ಲಿ ಮತ್ತಡಮ

From the Department - Deputy Attomage (progress) Legal Counsel

Counsel:

From Immigration & Laturalization Service - _ econg! and Jeneral y

From FBI -

The Attorney General followed the aprice set forto in his memorandum to dated February 3, 1988:

A. Supervision of the Activities of Alien Chinese in this Country, Particularly Alien Chinese Scientists and Students

General stated there were about 15,000 nonimmigrant Shinese in this country, of which sumbwingsely 5,000 are soudents. de said preme mere apout it, pour outer Chinese who are perminent residents, or a sotal of about 45,000 Chinese aliens in the "nited States. of Immigration and Maturulization Service, addited us on February 4, 1918, that there were acout 43,000 on the aliens. We are checking as to the difference in these figures.

The Director pointed out that of the π i Chin so Istudents and scientists who have been temporarily restained from leaving this country, S are on our decurious in er, and [therefore; is would be very desirable whit looks it in sh is

instable at as of the Sydon sounders in goden count congressing toe nnecked out as so tangerousness. Ceneral stated what say carrie on the se S, 000 soudents are mixed in with the 15,000 cards on nonimmigrant Chinese as Jentral selliphinting out that he could pick the cards out in about 24 hours in the event of an imagency. The Director pointed out that we should not wait until a "shooting war" has hit us, but that this information should be available now. General said he would stort a project to get the names to us. The Director pointed out this should not be delayed in order that we would have a chance to do our checking in advance of any emergency.

The Director further pointed out that under the revised Portfolio the arrest of dangerous aliens and a number of other functions performed during World or II by the United States Attorneys and the FBI have been placed under the jurisdiction of ISMS. The Attorney General questioned the advisability of this and after discussion made the ruling that the apprehension of any dangerous individuals under an emergency program should be the function of the FBI and the Portfolio is to so state.

B. Chinese on Security Index

The Director stated that there are 101 persons of Chinese national emergesion in this country on our Jeourity Index, 37 of which are aliens and FA United States accidens. The Director pointed out that as to the cliens. There was n problem, inasmuch as in an emergency they could be picked up on deportation varrants. As to the citizens, he pointed out that they could not be arrested unless the writ of subsuc corpus was suspended but that the FBI could intensity its office age of these of in the event of an emergency which was chort of implementing the entire Security Index program.

After discussion, the Attorney General agreed that in Withe event of a Formosan evergency, the 57 aliens would be picked up by the PBI on deportation marrants; that the FBI would see that the warrants were prepared for the Attorney General's isignature and that we would intensify the coverage on the citizens. The Director pointed out we had located all of the cliens mentioned above within the last 48 hours.

we are checking with the Department as to the form of these warrants.

WENT OF VITTE FALL IN PROJECTION

C. Chinese at the Whited Various

The Director stated that of the Chinese employed at the UN, our investigations lave reflected 11 to be dangerous and that the Department of State adviced us on February 1, 1955, that the Chinese employed by the UN Sepretariat should be considered as advices of a prisable tener and each size would be considered in its remits. Increjors, as these will individuals cannot be arrested, if an enement process, we will insensify our obverage of them and impediately time their cases up with Assistant Attorney General ..., who can directed them with the Department of State.

The Attorney General agreed with the at a procedure.

D. Non-Chinese on the Security Index Who Are Sympathetic to Communist China

The Director stated that there are 34 individuals on our Security Index who are not Chinese but who are strongly sympathetic to Johnnist China. The Director coincid out that logically these would be treated in the same manner is the American citizens of Chinese extraction and, therefore, the FBI would increase and intensify its coverage on them in the levent of an emergency.

The Attorney Coneral agreei.

F. Status of Portfolio

working on the Portfolio and is re-working the relised Portfolio in line with the comments made by the P3I. He said it would be necessary to take the matter up again with IENS. Heneral stated that as the question of apprehensions has been settled by the Attorney General, he saw no need to have a long discussion with IENS. The Attorney General asked when this would be completed. said that Part I was practically finished, but that Part II would take longer to get into your and he would try to complete the Portfolio by February 13. Meanwhile, the Attorney General stated that the Tortfolio as it weamwhile, the Attorney General stated that the Tortfolio as it stood prior to the revisions would be operative in the event of an emergency.

The Director pointed out that the revised fortfolio contains a number of fundamental changes us to who would take

- Unitable is to a contract of the contract of

arrests and as to decisions and control of the Alica Ameny Frogram; that unlike during the last war, these functions were being shifted over to 1445, rather than requiring with the United States Actorneys and the FBI. The Director pointed out to had questioned this procedure in our comments to the Decartors.

I again cash total man being worked out in accordance with the Actorney General's lecision that arreads should be made by the FBI. The Director pointed but that the infection of the United States Marshals and others who would be involved.

The Director pointed out that it was of a utmost importance to resolve this matter of the portfolio so that responsibilities in an emergency rould be clearly unconstant and carried out.

Fortfolio are located. advised him that is to the original constall, has one copy; and a whirl copy is at Quantico. The Director verified this. As to the Portfolio being revised, it said that for all efficient has one copy, and the FBT are one copy. It have verified the above, in so far as the FBT are a are conversed.

F. resouring true to Place Partial

Security Inter Operations into Sylving

in the Absence of Martial Lan

3. Emecusive Chien Processo Inices, sto., ...

this.

The Apportuge General, it and it associated

law. Wordener, the Automne, weneral state i that any Europeat Crden should be made ready to be used in the event the Europeat Crdesines. Order to immediately hold the line on priors, dujer, esc., in the event of an emergency.

Suit is would prepare this.

URRIVER ES 2-3-65

(a) we are checking with the learnthead at the for form of the deprice to be about in the event alter this ear are night up and the parameters of the present and the parameters.

(0) SECURITY INDEX -- The Purcau has approved a suggestion made by a field employed that the descriptive data presently appearing on the back of Security Index cards (in geographical section of the Index) be placed insucad on separate card which will be securely attached to the Security Index card by staples. When a revised Security Index card is received from the Bureau and no changes are necessary in the descriptive data, the separate card can be removed and attached to the back of the new Security Index card to be filed in the geographical section of the Index. This will eliminate the necessity for retyping the descriptive data each time the Security Index card is revised.

It is not desired that a project be undertaken to prepare separate cards for all Security Index cards. The change in procedure should be made at the time of the next revision in each card. As each card comes up for revision, the Security Index data appearing on the card being replaced should be <u>carefully</u> crossed out. The back of the card being replaced already contains the necessary descriptive data; therefore, if no change is required in it, the back of the card being replaced can serve as the separate card which is to be stapled to the new Security Index card. This will make it unnecessary to retype the descriptive data on another card.

where a new Security Index card is received from the Bureau on a person not presently listed, or where a change is required in descriptive data on an existing eard, the necessary descriptive data should be typed on a separate 5x8 card which will be attached by staples to the back of the Security Index bard. For use in such instances, you should obtain from the Bureau an adequate supply of these cards on which have been printed the same descriptive items now appearing on the back of the Security Index card. The subject's photograph will, or course, be attached to the separate descriptive card.

It is intended that the separate card bearing the descriptive data continue to be filed with the copy of the Security Indexcard which is placed in the geographical section of the Index.

\$3/1/55 SAC LETTER NO. 55-19

Appropriate manual changes will be made to reflect this new method of maintaining the descriptive data and photograph, but the procedure of using the replaced card as the separate card upon the inauguration of the new method will not be set out in the manual since eventually there will be no replaced Security Index cards useable in this manner.

SECURITY INDEX - G-, h. BUFILE

Attached is a letter from SAC of Sen Trancisco Attached is a letter from SAC of Sen Trancisco is a commenting upon the current review of describy Index cases. Act commenting upon the current review of described upon nor; fully below, has offered certain suchescions, dominanted upon nor; fully below, has offered certain suchescions (Giver careful consideration, H. to which the Director has noted "Giver careful consideration."

- (1) SAG ... states that, based on his personal review of some 200 Security Index capual, he had found that the cases are overwhelpingly in accord with the standards now in existence. he thus proposes that, if we are to reduce the existence over-all me her of Decarley Index subjects, the existing ever-all me her of Decarley Index subjects, the existing standards and its review. This natural has almostly been standards and its Durage and Executives! Conference members considered at the Durage and Executives! Conference in Geoupity of March 2, 1955, deals with proposed modifications in Geoupity Index standards.
 - (2) With recard to 50 pecond surgestion concerning the maintenance of cancelled accuracy indicated in the maintenance of cancelled accuracy indicated in noted that of solid cards are in fact filed accuracy under existing printiple. The Stationical Section, upon the remarking of subjects first the factor, retains the cincelled cards in a separate section waitly according for respection is noted event of an all out-war. The date of cancellation is noted exect of an all out-war, and the that reason recourse specifically on each cancelled card and for that reason recourse may always be also to this a dords biaring the most recent causes may always be also to this a dords biaring the most recent causes may always be also to this a dords biaring the most recent causes may always be also to this a dords biaring the most recent causes.
- whose Security Index cares have been cancelled could be reviewed regularly, yearly or every eighteen months, "to determine whether or noth their activity has increased to the point where have should be returned to the focurity index, and possibly retired to the should be returned to the focurity index, and possibly remarks, could be given also to developing them as potential informants.

roposed new criteria, we will consider having the field keep the cancelled cards separately for future reference. The field will be advised on this procedure, if approved, when the new criteria are sent to the field so if be instituted immediately.

I do not believe that this particular suggestion chould be followed. First of all, the administrative details in running ticklers on the conselled cases would present a transmitted problem. Secondly, should one of these individuals again become active in a subversive group, the critical deversive rould bring his to appropriate attention. Tog instance, above a that a subject is removed from the Security Index in March 1955. In June 1996 an informant identifies the subject as empayed in Communist activities and a memorandumis channeled to the subject's case file. This memorandum will come to the attention of the Security supervisor and at that point the case will be reopened for investigation.

It is further noted that we resolve the informant possibilities of each subject in working these cases. No person is removed from the Security Index until he has been interviewed unless, of course, there are specific overrising considerations which dictate against interview.

RECOMMENDATION:

If you approve, there is attached a letter to SAC Whelan acknowledging his memorangum of March 1, 1955, and commenting upon his suggestions in line with the foregoing observations.

1/NR EDURAL

422 Federal Office Building Civic Center San Francisco I, California March 1, 1955

PEPCONAL

Mr. J. Edgar Hoover Director Federal Bureau of Investigation U. S. Department of Justice Washington 25, D. C.

Dear Mr. Hoover:

In your talk with me in January, you emphasized that there is a need to review the Security Index cases, keeping in mind always that 1) we must protect the security of the nation on the one hand and 2) we must not open curselves to criticism by having persons on the Security Index who do not belong there. It was your view that the number of persons on the Security Index at the present time should be substantially reduced.

Since returning to the office, I have reviewed approximately 200 Security Index cases. I don't know what the experience of the other field offices is in this regard but I would say generally that the recommendations of the San Francisco Office, and which have been concurred in by the Bureau, are overshelmingly in accord with the standards which we have set up in our regulations.

I would respectfully and very seriously like to suggest and recommend that, if we are to reduce the over-all number of persons who are on the Security Index, to do it in an objective manner, the first step should be a revision of the standards which we consider sufficient to place a person upon the Security Index. In all frankwess, I must admit that this is a change in my thinking. I had previously recommended to Mr. , when he inquired, that I didn't think a change in the standards was appropriate or necessary.

The Bureau has taken already one stop in this direction by establishing the new standards for those personswho are to be given a priority of apprehension designation. The details of how the standards should be revised can be accomplished by those who are familiar with the problem.

I would further like to suggest that the Security Index Cards of the subjects whom we delete or cancel under the new standards be maintained separately. The files on these people could be reviewed perhaps every year or eighteen months to determine

whether or not their activity has increased to the point where they should be returned to the Security Indox, and consideration could be given also to developing them as potential informants. If the cold war becomes more intense, we might want some day to be able to identify in a larger this group of people on whom we now have some substantial information upon which to question their loyalty. The IBM cards have already been preserved on these people and, by maintaining them in a separate section, we would be able to continue to have control of them and have the information on these cards at our fingertips.

Very truly yours,

Special Agent in Charge

Director, TI

PERSONAL ATTRIBUTION

Oscolly ildex _ 1/2 1

Reference is made to your letter of Merch 1, lede, commenting upon the review of Security Index cases.

You note that you have reviewed approximately 200 Security Index cases and have found that they are ever-wholmingly in accord with the standards as they exist at the present time. You have successed that, if the over-all number of persons in the december Index is to be reduced, existing standards should be revised.

For your information, this matter is under study at the Bureau. You will be advised prouptly of any developments in this matter.

You have supposted that the Security Index cards of the subjects removed from the Security Index as a result of any revision of the standards be maintained separately. The Security Index cards of persons removed from the Security Index are maintained security at the Fureau under existing procedure. Since each cancelled card bears the date of cancellation, it will be possible at a later date to review the files of these subjects on a masteratic basis should an each cards of these subjects on a masteratic basis should an each energy situation exist. Separator the will be given to separate maintenance by the field of cancelled Security Index cards for future reference.

Regarding your suggestion that the files of the cancelled subjects be reviewed periodically, this succtice would entail a tremendous administrative burden in your office in following these cases. It is observed that a ould any of the cancelled subjects resume their activities in subversive groups your informant coverage should bring to appropriate notice in your office. Assume, for instance, that a given subject is removed from the Security aniex in March 1955. If an informant in June 1956 should recort this subject as active in the Communist Party, the investigation would, at that point, be recogned in your office and appropriate recommendations made to the Bureau removeding his Security Index status.

MRECHERO

Leadly, it is noted that, we make more and periodic review of concerted for mity Index cases, consideration might be given to developing these persons to extensial informants. You are reminded that under extating incorrections Security Index cases are not especially unless the subjects are considered for interview. The religious are, in fact, interviewed unless specials, evently, a factors are present; which dictate against the desirebility of interviewe in fadivious cases. In other words, the information with each fecurity Index subject is expland in connection with cancellation of the courity Index care.

Your comments and observations in this matter are appreciated.

Office Memorandum • united states government

TO

ZINIEMRE P. MINE, TA

DATE: 2/16/55

FROM !

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX

By SAC Letter Number 55-12 of 2/10/55, attached, comprehensive changes were made in Security Index procedures regarding the priority to be given in apprehending the subjects. The classification of "Comsab" by which certain subjects were previously designated was discontinued entirely. Instead, the Detcom classification was established as the single basis of priority and that persons so established will be arrested first in the event of a limited but classified will be arrested first in the event of a subject grave emergency. In the event of an all-out emergency all subjects whose names are included in the Security Index will be considered for immediate apprehension.

Attached are Manual changes which are required under the changes brought about by the above SAC Letter. These changes relate exclusively to provisions under Section 870 of the Manual of Instructions and pertain to numerous phases of the Security Index Program which have now been altered pursuant to the aforementioned SAC Letter.

RECOLLENDATION:

If you approve, the attached proposed changes will be made in Section 870 of the Manual of Instructions.

. FROPOSED CHANGES IN SECTION SAI 1000 OF INSCREDE

- page 30a Item VI should be made to read as follows:
 - VI. Impercuences classification (where epilipies)

 Detcom
- page 30b After the hording (6) (b) Tr. Congression! Continue insert the following as the first and separate paragraphs
 - *Procedure Control of Control of
- page 30c The third full paragraph beginning "Then a subject is scheduled for priority apprenantion " chould be eliminated in its entirety.
- page 30c Under the heading "II. Inhabatical wation" insert the following as the third limit party party reports immediately preceding one next heading "II. Epocial Section."
 - *Fracedures affection the Alminhetical Section of the decerning to the reservoir of the included of subject to the reservoir of the reservoir
- page 30e The first paragraph, heginning Then a Special Section subject..." should be amended to read as follows:

When a special Section subject is scheduled for priority apprehension under the letcom program, the Special Section card shall be appropriately talbed.

Enc 1

page 31b - In first paragraph after item (8) amend to read as follow:

Once a Jecurity Index card has been prepared, any information developed which affects the little appearing on the Little Jacob card has be promptly submitted to the Eureau by form the 100. This includes any changes, additional or additional involving the name, alianes, accordantly, involving the name, alianes, accordantly, access or place teniencies, fator chantification, access or place teniencies, fator chantification, accessor place of birth, has decribed to prompt and against security responsibility abbreviation (see 670 70(2) below) as tall as residence of origin will be effected by summedian of form ID=125.)

ENC 2

- 10 -

- page 32 Item (c) under "Subjects Tabled For Camab and/or Detcom" should be amonded to read:
 - (c) Subjects Tabled For Petcom
- page 32 Item (c) under above heading as changed chould be amended to read as follows:

Upon the transfer of office of origin of a subject who has been tabled for Detoom, the Lecurity Index card will recain tabled in the new office of origin as it was in the old office of origin. This chall apply in all inviances including cases concerning Key Figures and Top Functionaries as well as case carried under the Decurity Latter - C classification or cases carried under any other character such as Espionage - R or Internal Security - L.

page 32 - paragraph 2 under Item (c) above should be amended to read as follows:

The Security Index card shall continue to be tabled for priority apprehension in the new office of origin until such time as it is determined by receipt of information by that office that the priority designation is not warranted under the provisions of Section 678 75 (11), 2588.

- page 32 paragraph 3 under Item (c), above, beginning
 "The only exception to the above instructions...."
 should be deleted in its entirety.
- pages 32b through 33b -- The existing unit under the heading "(11) Triority Apprehension Program" beginning at the bottom of page 32b and extending through the first paragraph on page 33b, is being completely revised to read as follows:
 - (11) Priority Apprehension Program (PETCOM)

(a) Purpose

Janu individuals included in the Security

Joseph Company of the Administration of the Conference of t

Enc3- --

• . .

of even increased in the contract of the contr to others in the legacity later. The mode of prior controlled in the control later is a make controlled in the controlled in the later in the later is a mode of the controlled in the controlle It is planted that the individuals in the Security I ask to deer count will be arrested first in the security of a today of a today out arous energeson. The is the security of a today of the security of the be crreated toper since a stillible. In the event of an all-and a present, all subjects to see to be and institute in the Geometria of an all he considered for immediate apprenension. Subjects tobbed for Petcom should comprise

a large-core of the energy sold and the late of the control of the (b) Subjects to be Tabbed Pateon From Security Index subject should be compidered for intend the interference of creation of creeking a pull-within one or creeking as pull-All Top Punctionaries and Ken Figures Į. II. Currently active Communists (active within the plate to T) of the of the time. revolucion ru or. sin sion time incluis evidence of one or core of the collection.

ENC 4

101	lotivity on local ordenizers or -
<u>(")</u>	Condai training at the Tenin Colonia or or matern Americana in America
(C)	Imprisons on violet contains, strong-arm arm or agains participation in violent contacts, rests or
<u>(D)</u>	denouseres long. Violent statements or strong res bearing a solution of the state
(E)	Fersons active in Communist Party
<u>(F)</u>	Any other decurity Index subject those or delicity, some or transat, recording or transations in a recording recording to the subject of the
	and unitarizable derive the initial as a property is a some or interpolation in the second constant of the second
	-

.. ENC 5

(c) Penn-endations for and Removal of Detcom

I. Approval of Special Agent in Charge

The decimation of each subject for the Detcom program or the respect of any subject for the su

In recommendations for Detcon designation
of antible color included in the security
Index, the Descial Amend the Marrie (or in
offices account nor: then I, and ecurity
Index amplease, the bad, made or arreadcolor action accounts account to
indicated on or: 10-122 by amplified in
in the acceptable of large account.

such graroual must be noted specifically on a cour letter, transmitting the relief as an englushed the continuity of the recease for designating the cubject for the recease classification.

II. Key Picure and Top Punctionary Cases

As roted in 670 74 (2) and (3), it is necessary to the translate a point in any control to the property of the formation as citizen a Key ricare or a log lanctionary. It is

ENC 6

	Emorita of account of the control of
4	II. Cecarathical Section
	I. Fach Preservity In text case enough to constant
	In the state of th
	in the second of
(2)	In order that the Security Index ourd for the subject
	00 0 1990
•	in Ger & Cor
	English of publishing from Monthson of
	ting with the same of the same
	the serent room to the control of the
	Part I am a second a

100-1-100 1 100-1-

Part II of the Concretion! Postion of 11
ounces a commence in a commence in the second commence in the commence of the commence in the
guilten it that the cold become thoo :
In collinary with the pro-
6004 r. 1. 10. 1 - 0.00 10 1.00. 4006 r 10. 1-
emples sent enc / escreptioni-resisence
10.77
<u>vill la repast ru po plat alla alla.</u> Guerro assal appasta proces into lerta I
Guardo da 14 de desembro de 1900 de 19
OF COT
order — 1
the civer of which it on a roll.
родить - доброшення облить на профессион на невы поставления выправления при при при при при при при при при п При при при при при при при при при при п
Under the above errors were in will not
be necolosary to willin colored tabe so the
Geographical Marian.
Almholatical rection
Ora Copyrity Injan agai tan ayah ayah
One Frontitu Index card for each cubject in the last of the last o

<u>ir damanam bankasar.</u>
And the state of t
A colored tab will be affired to each Security
IN AN ACTUAL TO A STREET FOR A CONTRACT OF THE STREET
Internal Formation of the state
$in\ r$ and $in\ n$. Let n it n in n and n and n and n
the coras filed in the Almandrical cotion.
And The Annual And S. S. San and Annual State of the Stat
As Detoon tobbines are suproved at the Sure u.
the sources of the source of t
March March and the state of th
Butte o residi la sico suo della del
rod Icocora.
Managaria de primera de primera de primera de la companya del la companya de la c
Spacial Section and Unavailable Section
The second and account for a contract of the second contract of the
lecurity Index cords of Cateon cubjects file!
In the account of the same and
lesionaded on assimine colored and i

III.

IV.

Enc 8

(e)	Ceneral
(6)	Cerera.

I.

Removal from Section Satagory II.

- page 33c Under the heading "(2) Inclusion in the Security Index," the fourth paragraph beginning "The Security Index card for each Key Lacility subject ... should be deliced in its antirety.
- page 33e First paragraph on the page beginning "If a subject discontinues ..." should be deleted in its entirety.

Under the heading "(1) Ceneral" the following chould be inserted as the fourth and separate paragraph:

As note: under CTT Zh (21) herein, the Security Intex cores co off the lawred and the free number of the number of the number of the content of the content

Under the heading "(1) Designation and deletion of Key page 35 Figure status," the following should be inserted as the first and separate puragraph:

The following provisions about to red in conjugation of the Detective rectal, sources sectific reserved

1871

page 35 - Existing paragraph one, beginning "An individual is designated a New Figure...." should be amended to read as follows:

An individual is designated a Kay Figure by the Field Office by directing a letter to the Eureau advising that an individual has been so classified. Deletions are made in the same manner. The letter designating or deleting a Key Figure should carry the name of the subject in the caption and contain a brief statement as to the reason for such designation or deletion.

page 36 - Under the heading "VII. Maintenance of Key Figure List," the first paragraph beginning "Tach office must maintain..." should be amended to read as follows:

Fach office must maintain a list of Key Figures including for Functionaries. The list may be hept by a simple list system, by maintaining a file wherein all additions and additions to the list are reported or by a card index system. The number of Key Figures a particular office has will govern the method which is used. The Dureau does not desire, however, that the Jecurity Index cards of Key Figures be tabbed except to denote the Detcon classification as outlined in and In (11), herein. The Key Ligure list should be waintained separate from the Security Index.

page 36 - Immediately after the heading "(3) Top Functionaries" at the bottom of the page, the following should be inserted as a separate paragraph:

The following provisions should be read in conjunction this end 70 (11) non raing the Dateon in the read in specific reservance is made to sop sunctionary subjects.

ENC 10

page 44a - Jecond full paragraph under heading"(a) Ceneral"
under issu (11), onotile be amended to read as follows:

Any reference to the Communist Inlow, Decarity Index, file numbers, titles of other cases, priority apprehension program, Detcon classification, Key Figure or Top Tunctionary status, Decurity Flash Notice (TD-105), Porms ID-122 and ID-123, Bureau instructions for hamiling cases, or any information contained in Eureau or field correspondence which is not investigative in nature but refers to the administrative handling of the case.

Face 11

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to File No.

February 10, 1955 WASHINGTON 25, D.C.

(A) SECURITY INDEX -- In view of recent developments in the international situation it has become necessary to re-evaluate the priority of arrest features of our Security Index Program. At the present time subjects who will be considered for priority of arrest at the time of an emergency are tabbed Detcom and the Detcom classification includes subjects who are tabbed Comsab.

Henceforth, it is planned that the individuals in the Security Index tabbed Detcom will be arrested first in the event of a limited but grave emergency. It is not anticipated at this time that the other subjects in the Security Index will be arrested under such a situation. (In the event of an all-out emergency all subjects whose names are included in the Security Index will be considered for immediate apprehension.)

In order that the most dangerous persons will be apprehended during a limited but grave emergency, there are set forth below the new standards to be used in deciding who should be listed for priority of apprehension and Detcom tabbing.

- 1. The Comsab tabbing is to be discontinued.
- 2. Each Security Index subject should be considered for Detcom tabbing (priority of arrest) who falls within one or more of the following categories:
 - a. All Top Functionaries and Key Figures.
 - b. Currently active Communists (active within the past year) or members of any basic revolutionary organization when there is evidence of one or more of the following:
 - (1) Activity as local organizers or leaders in the organization.
 - (2) Special training at the Lenin School or Far Eastern Institute in Mescow.
 - (3) Experience as picket captains, strong-arm men or active participation in violent strikes, riots or demonstrations.
 - (4) Violent statements or strong revolutionary tendencies as shown by their activities.

=1671

ANCLOSUME 12

- c. Persons addite in the Communist Party underground.
- d. Any other Sectrity Infer subject whose activities, past or present, regardless of membership in a revolutionary organization, clearly and unmistakably depict the individual as a petential caboteur, espithage agent or disruptionist in the event of an emergency.

Subjects who will be tabbed Detcom should comprise a hardcore of subversives. Each individual case should stand on its own and the decision to compider a subject for thiotity of apprehension should be based on the subject's subversive activities and revolutionary tendencies. Employment in a bay so witch additity will no longer be a reason alone for Detect tabling.

In evaluating each Security Index case it will be necessary to review each case file. This should had no in connection with the review of all Security Index cases in accordance with the instructions in SAS letter Number 9544 dated Retriary &, 1955. In submitting your personal recommendation for retaining a subject in the Security Index you should also submit your restance land regarding the Detcom tabbing as indicated above along with your reasons why the subject meets the new Detcom standards in sufficient detail to justify your recommendation. It a subject to taboca Detcom and Comsab at this time and you are not recommending the Detcom tabbing in accordance with the above instructions you should submit a Form FD-123 attached to your Decarity Index precommendation in order to delete the Detcor, and Comsab tarbings. If you are recommending the Detcom tabbing in accordance with the above instructions and the subject is already tabbed Detcom, you should so advise the Pureau and Form FD-122 need not be submitted. In instances in which you are recommending the Detcom tabbing and the subject is already tabbed Detcom and Comsab you should attach a Form FD-122 in order that the Comsab tubbing can be deleted. Of course, if the subject is not already tabbed Descem and you are recommending such tabbing under the new instructions, you should attach a Form FD-122 to your Security Index recommendation in order that the Deveom tabbing can be added to the Security Index card.

There will be instances in which you have already submitted your recommendations that a Security Index subject should be retained in the Security Index. In those instances it will be necessary for you to recheck the individual case files to determine whether a Detcom tabbing is necessary and to advise the Bareau in accordance

2/10/55 SAC LETTER NO. 55-12

Enc 13

with the above instructions.

In order that the Security Index cards for the subjects who are to be tabbed Detcem for priority of apprehension, as indicated above, will be readily available for emergency action in your office you should be guided by the following instructions regarding the arrangement of your Security Index.

- l. Each Security Index case should be considered for Detcom tabbing whether the subject's Security Index card is filed in the Geographical, Special or Unavailable Sections of your Security Index. However, there is no change being made in the instructions regarding the Security Index cards which are to be filed in those three sections.
- 2. The Geographical Section of your Security Index should be divided into two distinct parts. Part I of the Geographical Section shall include only the Security Index cards for subjects who are tabbed Deveom under the new instructions set out above. Part II of the Geographical Section will contain the Security Index cards for all subjects that are not tabbed Detcom.
- 3. In offices having a Geographical breakdown by residence and by employment it will be necessary to have two breakdowns for each of those categories in order that the cards tabbed Detcom will be separated and maintained apart from the cards not so tabbed.
- 4. You should continue to file one Security Index card for each subject in the Alphabetical Section in strict alphabetical order with no other breakdown whatsoever. To assist you in readily identifying Security Index cards in the Alphabetical Section that will be tabbed Detcom under the new instructions a colored metal tab shall be fastened to each such card.

For your information, in the future as Detcom tabbings are approved the Security Index cards forwarded to you will not only carry the code letters DC in the first line on the cards but each such card will be stamped Detcom in large red letters. This procedure will assist you in being absolutely certain that cards tabbed Detcom are properly filed.

2/10/55 SAC LETTER NO. 55-12

ENC14

The Determ tabbing of a Security Index subject should be afforded your personal consideration and year could be in the future. In handling this matter in connection with your review of all Security Index cases you should meet the abilities as set forth in SAC Letter 55-8 dated February 2, 1935. Security Index cards presently tabbed Determ should not be placed in the new Determ portion of the Geographical Section of your Security Index until the Bureau has approved your recommendations. There should be no changes made regarding the present Detcom tabbings in your Security Index until the Bureau has approved your recommendations under the new procedure. In this manner the entire Security Index will be reviewed and reconsideration given to Detcom tabbings by the periods indicated in SAC Letter Number 55-8.

In view of the new and separate broakdown in the Geographical Section of your Security Index for Detcom subjects it will no longer be necessary to place a separate sub on such cards as you have done in the past.

Very truly yours,

John Edgar Hoover

Director

2/10/55 SAC LETTER NO. 55-12

ENC15