FREEDOM OF INFORMATION AND PRIVACY ACTS

SUBJECT: CUSTODIAL DETENTION SECURITY INDEX

FILE NUMBER: 100-358086

SECTION: 23



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

NOTICE

THE BEST COPIES OBTAINABLE ARE INCLUDED IN THE REPRODUCTION OF THE FILE. PAGES INCLUDED THAT ARE BLURRED, LIGHT OR OTHERWISE DIFFICULT TO READ ARE THE RESULT OF THE CONDITION AND OR COLOR OF THE ORIGINALS PROVIDED. THESE ARE THE BEST COPIES AVAILABLE.

Office Memorandum • United States Governml

TO

DATE: December 3, 1952

___FROM ;

subject:

SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS INVOLVING INDIVIDUALS HAVING ACCESS TO TOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION FACILITIES ATOMIC ENERGY ACT SECURITY INDEX PROGRAM .

Reference is made to your memorandum to the Director dated November 28, 1952, pointing out that the memorandum on , the only individual presently on the Security Index who has access to Atomic Energy Commission (AEC) facilities, would be delivered to AEC Commissioner . Also to be given to was a list of 228 names of individuals on whom we maintain Security Risk cards.

Liaison Agent delivered these items to ASC Commissioner on December 2, 1952. He commented that he was point to take immediate steps to see if could be removed from the ABC program. was advised in connection with the Security Aisk list what the persons on this list were not scheduled for apprehension and that this list was used so that the Bureau would have a record in and place of all persons on the Atomic Energy program on whom there is some deregatory or security risk information.

Some deregatory or security risk information in the security risk in the security risk information in the security risk in the security

ACTION:

None. This is submitted for your information.

Office Memora dum • united states government

TO

DATE: 12/2/52

FROM :

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX ANALYSIS

(M

PURPOSE:

For possible use in the Director's budget material analysis has been made of the Statistical Section's Security Index punched tabulating cards.

DETAILS:

As of 11/21/52 there were 19,711 subjects represented in the Security Index. Of these, 15,082 or 76.5 percent are native born citizens of the United States and 3,972 or 20.1 percent are naturalized citizens. The 510 aliens represented in the index constitute 2.6 percent of the total. There are 147 individuals whose citizenship is unknown.

Of the 4,629 foreign-born subjects, 1,487 or 32.1 percent were born in Russia.

Members of the white race number 17,532 or almost 69 percent while Negroes represent 1,939 or 9.8 percent of the persons in the index. The balance of 240 (1.2 percent) are of races other than the white and Negro.

Males number 13,452 or 68.2 percent of the subjects and 6,259 or 31.8 percent are females.

A detailed tabulation is attached.

CHCURITY INDEX AMALYSIS

Of the 19,711 subjects in the security index as of Hovember 21, 1952, 15,002 or 76.5 percent are native born citizens, and 3,972 or 20.1 percent are naturalized citizens, while aliens constitute 2.6 percent of the total subjects in while aliens constitute 3.6 percent of the index whose citizenthe index. There are 147 subjects in the index whose citizenship is unknown.

CITIZECHIP All subjects Native born Naturalized	Total 19,711 15,082 3,972 510	Percent Distribution 100% 76.5 20.1 2.6
Maturalized Alien Unknown	5,972 510 147	2.6 •7

		RACE		•
	Page	<u>naon</u>	<u>Total</u>	Percent Distribution
Citizenship	Race			1.00
Native Born			<u>15,082</u>	86.6
	White Negro Other		13,063 1,878 141	12.5 •9
Naturalized			3,972	<u>1009</u> 90•3
	White Negro Other		3,905 39 28	•98 •70
Alien	White Negro		510 430 11 69	100% 24.3 2.1 13.5
	Other	,	69 1 ¹ +7	1.00."
Unlinown	• The deba	•	134	91.2
	White Negro Other		11 2	7.5 1.3

ENC 1

•

	Total	<u> Males</u>	Formalos	Percent <u>Distribution</u>				
"Mative born"	15 , 082	10,005	5,077	10100 66.3	33.6			
Maturalized	3,972	2,962	1,010	7 ¹ +.6	25.4			
Alien	510	1+01	109	78.6	21.3			
Unknown	147	84	63	57.1	42.9			

PLACE OF BIPTH (Mationality)

Of the 19,711 subjects in the index the Native Born, 15,082 were born in the United States or its possessions except those subjects born of American parents abroad and it is estimated these do not exceed 10 in number.

The remaining 4,629 subjects are the Haturalized, Aliens or those of unknown citizenship and a tabulation of the countries of their birth follows:

COUNTRY OF DIRTH	NUMBER	COUNTRY OF BIRTH HU	BUR
Total Russia Poland Yugoslavia Austria Canada	1,487 556 253 204 202	British West Indies Morway France Holland, Bolgium Bulgaria South America	300007h
Hungary England Italy Germany Lithuania, Latvia, and Estonia	167 166 161 149	Denmark Cuba Korea Philippine Islands Australia Albania Africa	21 10 17 17 12 10
Rumania Czechoslovakia Greece China Finland	112 • 108 70 58 56	Japan Switzerland Panama Canal Zone Armonia India	SS755
Sweden Scotland Mexico Turkey Spain, Portugal Ireland	49 45 45 44 38	Persia Egypt Central America Manchuria Sicily Dominican Republic Palestine	54-32

ST MOARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • united stages government

TO

DATE: 12/3/52

FROM:

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX CARD STATISTICS

There is attached hereto a table showing by Field Office the total number of Security Index cards in our files. This table indicates the nationalistic tendency, the dangerousness classification, sex, race, citizenship status, and others on one of the "special lists."

These statistics are based on Statistical Section Records as of November 15, 1952.

SECURITY INDEX CAND STATISTICS - BY FIFLD OFFICE BASED ON STATISTICAL SECTION PECONDS AS OF MOVEMBER 15, 1952

10, 617 18 10, 617 18 277 277 28 -13 547 10 475 264 76 54 1060 47 172	273 50 26 13 339 10 453 219 76 54 982 189 549 47	1		1 P1	A EX	. RUS	POL	SWP 641 2 1 5		8 0				,	0 41	49 1		0 15011		509 148 7 2		1	4 1	1.12	12 23 46 4		<u>ts</u>	1.0 2.1
2771 501 28 -13 547 10 475 264 76' 54 1060 190 600 47 172	273 50 26 13 339 10 453 219 76 54 982 189 47	1	1 3	-		·	2	2 1 5	18	8 0	1	. 1		137 9 21 1 9	0 41		1A2 91 32 10	212	49	7	9 249	26	2	The second of the second of	46 4		26	. 15
10 475 264 76 54 1060 190 600 47	50 26 13 3339 10 453 219 76 54 982 189 47		2	6	2			1 5						21 1	5 6	:	32 10	46	2		48	1		- Carlotte				
475 264 76' 54 1060 190' 600 47 172	453 219 76 54 982 189 549			6	2		•							209 11	-	2	A .) 12) 259	1 79	9	12 307	40				2 .	+-	
190° 600 47 172	189 549 47		15	6										40 2	4 30	3		367 2 214 3 70	97 36 5	9 10 1	2 449 4 219 76 1 41	45		Salaran de la composition della composition dell		3		
			12	-	(1	36 1 33	7	_		1.2		332 23 24 1	2 24	3	131 5	149	319 36 142 4	42 2 10 2 7	4 AR8 3 152 1 533 42 5 155	38 67 5	3	\$1.4 A.	1	1	2	
- 72	90 68		16		5			57 5 1 4	2	•	-	2		43 38 2	4 1 7 28 6 13		53 1	77	352 2 6 7 59	48 1 7 1 9	5 1047 12 1 31 57 2 208	1 . 9	59	A Company of State Company of the Company of State Company of State Company of the Company of th	. 1		. 1	
42 9 21 3055	2912		1 '4 23	-	2		7	100		•			`	13 1110 83	3 4. 1 3 71	1	6 16 839 121	3 7 5 20 5 2284	2 2 1 6A3 1	64	40 9 12 24 2F33 22	6 193	1			5	2	
15 93 299 488	15 93 272 414		1	٠.		•		24 73	-					47 3 131 11 175 15	1 5 # 11 9 27		62 3 216 A 330 15	1 57 3 232 3 413	34 61 64			4						
445	479 68	. 1	3 20	,	•	•	1	14 5 67	4			1		174 15 33 7 1005 62	0 34 0 8 5 178	1	313 17 48 2 535 101	2 328 0 60 8 2608	149			47 21 237			24	1 1 1 7	24 4	
499 47	842 86		12	2	ı		1 2	41 21						16 377 32 31	2 4 8 57 14 5		31 605 29 57 3	7 35 4 699 0 62	1 188 20 100	7 2	34 5 A01 3 A0	97	1			1 2		
192 23 195 Y #0	191 22 187 80		1 2					6	:			1		11	10 4 51 27 30 13		16 137 5 51 2	7 20 8 158 9 76	22 2 34 3	1 2 2	21 1 151 1 70	44	2			2		
1983. 379 5.	1909 167 5		16	264	•		2	56 24		۶				1047 76 213	95 13	2 1	239 74 348 3	4 1616 1 374 1 5	2	6 53 3	12 1719 332	221				1		
103	101	•	-	•				2												1 6			1	4 1 1 1 1 1 1	20	3	2	
	12 91 72 243 92 13055 24 15 93 299 488 3553 20 50 50 626 485 645 645 645 645 645 645 645 645 645 64	12 12 12 12 12 12 13 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	12 12 19 19 172 68 243 236 42 41 9 9 9 12 12 13055 2912 24 24 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	12 12 12 19 172 68 243 236 42 41 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	12 12 12 19 10 772 6A 243 236 42 41 1 1 9 9 9 14 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	12 12 12 19 10 772 68 23 23 65 5 626 606 3 3 3 4 4 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68	12 12 91 90 72 68 243 236 5 5 42 41 1 1 9 9 9 14 21 21 23 22 24 24 24 25 25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26	12 12 91 90 772 68 243 236 5 5 42 41 1 1 9 9 9 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	12 12 19 190 14 1 1 190 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	12 12 12 19 19 1 1 19 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	12 12 19 90	12 12 19 19 1 1 19 10 14 14 15 16 17	12 12 19 19 1 1 1 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	12 12 91 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	12 12 19 0 38 2 2 38 2 38 2 38 2 38 2 38 2 38 2	12 12 190 140 150 160 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 17	11 17	12 12 12 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13	1	1	1	17 17 17 18 19 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	101 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	101 40	10 46

7 2

KEY

FIELD OFFICE

The first four letters of the field office are used in allcases except St. Louis, which is coded STLO.

MATICHALISTIC TENTENCY OR OPPANIZATIONAL APPILIATION

CCM	Cormunist Party, MSA
BIL	သူမရိက္ကာကို ရည္
	inderendent Socialist Learus
ISL	Mationalist Party of Pagroo Rico
NPR	Mationalia The Mation
PPA	Proletarian Party of America
HWL	Revolutionary Workers League
RUS	Russian
POL	Polish
SMP	Socialist Workers Party
YTG	Yugoslavian
UPR	Union of the people for the establishment
	of the Republic of Puerto Rico
ULP	United Labor Farty
HUN	Hungarian and a mondancy or
	and the state of t
MIS	Organizational Affiliation not listed)
	Organizational Allilition noo 22000,

DANGERCUSNESS CLASSIFICATION

DC	Priority Detention in the event of an Emergency (DetCom)
CS	Potential Communist Saboteurs (ComSab)
KF	Key Figures Top Functionary

CITIZENSHIP STATUS

NВ	Native Born
NA	Katuralizod
AL	Alien
UX	Unknown

SPECIAL SECTION

.

AEP	'Atemic lnergy From am coployees
ESP	Espionare subjects
FGE	Foreirn donardment empleyees
GOV	United States Government employees
PRO	Frominotic remova
UNE	United Liticus omployed
V:://2	Pro-Tito

ENC 2

Office Memorandum • United States Gove

TO : DIRECTOR, FRI

12-12-52

FROM

SAC, CHARA

SUBJECT: SHOURITY INDEX

The new Security Index furnished with Bulet of 11-24-52 has been received. The old list has been destroyed by burning.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT STANDARD FORM NO. 64

TO : THE DIRECTOR

DATE: December 12, 1952

FROM :

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the total cards in the Security

Index.

DETAILS:

The following is a report on the increase in the Security Index since the last count was furnished to you on November 14, 1952.

on November 129	New Cards Added	Cards Cancel led	Ne t Increase
November 15-21 November 22-28 November 29-Dec. 5	118 102	24 17 27 14	94 85 39 82
December 6-12 Total	382	8 2	300

The Security Index count as of today is 19,915.

For your information, during the preceding fourweek period 180 cards were added and 55 cards were cancelled, a net increase during the period of 125 cards.

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO

DATE: 12/16/52

FROM:

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX CAPD STATISTICS

There is attached hereto a table showing by Field Office the total number of Security Index cards in our files. This table indicates the nationalistic tendency, the dangerousness classification, sex, race, citizenship status, and others on one of the "special lists."

These statistics are based on Statistical Section Records as of December 12, 1952.

Attachment

Y Y

FIELD OFFICE

The first four letters of the field office one wood in all cases except St. Louis, which is coded STLO.

MATICNAMISTIC COMPANY OR COCCUENCE AND ADDRESS OF COCCUENCE OF CONTRACTOR AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR AND ADDRE

COM	Communict Party, USA
BUL	nul anning
ISL	trakrendent Socialist Locard
npr	retionalist Forty of I or 10 1450
PPA	Probetarian Party of United
EVL	Revolutionary Workers League
RUS	Russian
POL	Polish
SUP	Socialist Morkers Party
YUG	are made and an
	thing of the meople for the established
UPR	of the Republic of Fuerto Rico
*** 5	United Labor Party
ULP	••
HUN	Miscellaneous (any Mationalistic Tendency or
MIS	Organizational Arfiliation not listed)
	Organizational Alliliation

DANGEROUSNESS CLASSIFICATION

DC	Priority Detention in the event of an
cs	Emergency (DetCom) Potential Communist Enboteurs (ComDab)

KF Key Figures
Top Functionary

CITIZENSHIP STATUS

NЭ	Native Born
NA	Raturalized
AL	Alien
un	Unknown

SPECIAL SHOTION

AEP	Atomic Inerry Lacronic Legitores
ESP	Enylonage supi ata
FGE	Foreign day my and o wlogger
COV	This field at the modern of the complete of
PRO	1 mon4 m m 1 0 m m m m
11112	United Nations employed
YUG	rro-Tito

Jan 10 1 1

\$ 00007 1000 242 5007 510 4 57 5121 00775 DB 1 3 1507 100 1 70 4 70 102 10 10 1 2, 1952

GIETH ;	77.1 C.N 1.11 1/1/19	27 ISL 3 146		BAT 71.2	CTANDATE TO 0 657 15	IL GRE	E KL	<u></u>	يعث	<u> </u>	TE MALA L.	Late V		2141 C	# T:	62 2 CT		13 E		<u></u>
AC FENNE AC FENNE AC AC	34 34 20 40 20 40 310 310	ì	<u> </u>	-13,	1	•		1 17 21 0		•:	179 32 26	48 31 0 14 44 2 33 4 17	2	7 6	746 48 23 12	1 1 5		Company of the Compan		
BALTINGAE BISHTUGAN	10 10	6			•				117	4^	7 715 1	3 1	77		165	1	<u>i</u>	<u> </u>		
8 1 W BUTTALO BUTTE CHARLOTTE	183 467 160 216 76 76 56 56	1 2,	2	·. •	21 .			2 2 1 14 14 10	2*	1 *				1 -	21h 75		C * 60 to 194524	, and a	. 3	
CHICAGO CIN INNATE CLEUCAND	100 1017 167 191 610 557 65 65 170 169	17. 1 14	÷ 12	1 1	1 36		2	1,7	: •	77	7 #67 2 132 3 423 ; 33 120	67	34 34#	7 10 1	163 643 60 163	7		1	i	
0678718 61.7457 61.7457 61.7458 61.745487618	1277 1191 12 12 99 86 16 70 203 261	37			1			.2	26 102	27 - 13		21 74	2 + 7	1 1	1056 2 12 31 50 6 216	1 57	And the second of	Al ale a series		ENGINEEN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN
48 - 11.6 48 - 11.6 48 - 11.6 48 - 16.5 48 - 16.5 48 - 16.5	41 40 9 9 21 21 3162 3013 23 23	1,	2	7	115	,		11.0	17		4 14 1#61 12	11 34 3 7 4 20 7: 23.6 7 21	2 2 1 705	^* 23 1	• 0		1	A STATE OF THE STA		
# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	14 14 68 98 311 277 400 414	1	•		76 75				1	, * , *) >16 ·		1 14 11 85	1 2	- •	4 4 7 1	* * *			
nemare nemarka nemarka nemarka nemarka nemarka nemarka	A15 614 481 477 68 68 3647 3406 20 20		*1	1	14		1 2	1 4	147 149 21	11	1 314 1) · · ·	148	5 2	46 1737 7			· /-	:) .
CELANDA CITY CTIA PHILATELPHIA PHILATELPHIA	49 48 40 49 907 841 88 85 338 373	12	2 1	1 2	2 21			, 1:	13 7 13 7 13 13 7	• • •	4 604 2 FR	11 60 8 16 717 63 63 61 40 61	1 ac 21	2 1 7 3 ; 3	39 36 810 80 317	, , ,	The second second second	Section Section 1	1	
SAND SAND SAND SAND SAND SAND SAND SAND	1/1 106 24 23 104 186 80 80 25 25	1 ; 2 ;			•		:	• 3 • 1 • 1 12	11	2 4	1,0	* 71 * 71 * 157 29 76 11 2'	23 2 36 3	1 1	1-1	3 3 7 2	Control of the contro	Practice and Property and Prope	,	epitanistras Augustapis auto auc auc
SAN DIETO SAN FRANCISCO SAN FLAN SALAMAH SEATTLE	134 134 197-1903 344 112 A A	14	3*0	2	21	,	•	211	;;; ;;;;	;;	2 1734 - 7	1, 14.	3(^	*3 11) 10)		* ************************************	:	•
SPATHAFIELD	102 100		2		· >				::		1 1-4	;- ·-			** }''*	i #	i	-		

.

December 23, 1952

PROPOSED CHANCE IN PARAGRAPH 4, 7 B (4), CHCTION 87 C, PA E 20B MARCHAR OF INSTRUMENTALS

It will be noted that Form FA-182 has two uses, i.e.,

(4) to recommend a subject for inclusion in the facurity

Index and (8) to submit additions, delevious or changes to

data which should appear on the face of factive index

cards already existent. Proper selection should be made

in the opening purefraph option in each instance.

Form FI-182 should be submitted with appropriate used

to recommend a conject for instances in the rich faces

and without approves usen used to include the existing,

Celetions or changes in cava appearing on establing.

PROPOSED CHANGE IN PARACHARY 8, 7 B (8), Section 87 C, Page SIA MANUAL OF INSTRUCTIONS

Care should be taken to select the proper opening paragraph option when submitting FD-122. When utilizing the form for the purpose of only recommending changes, additions, or deletions of data on a security Index card already in existence, only the item or idems being changed or added chall be cot forth in the Form FC-122. Form FC-122 should be submitted without chainsons were near to the lower of the property of the court of the contracts when near to the lower of the contracts when near the court of the contracts when near the contracts of the contract of the co

Office Memora: luin • United States Government

DATE: December 16, 1952

TO

SUBJECT: DELINQUENCY IN SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS

PURPOSE:

To submit a summarization of information set out in the attached memorandum regarding the progress which has been made in reducing the delinquency and backlog of work in the security field.

SYNOPSIS:

The November administrative reports from all offices reviewed regarding status of work in classifications 65, 100 and 105. The total pending active matters in those classifications decreased from 25,401 to 24,936 matters and delinquent from 12,909 to 12,797. The percentage of delinquency increased from 50.8% to 51.36. Twenty-five offices reduced the total number of pending active matters and thirteen offices the delinquency in those classifications. Letters were sent to twelve field offices where progress is not considered satisfactory.

All field offices have been requested to advice the Bureau as to the number of pending cases in those classifications which require investigation to determine whether Security Index cards are warranted. As soon as advice from all offices is received the problem will be analyzed and you will be advised. Status of security work in the field will be analyzed again when December administrative reports are received.

ACTION:

As soon as advice is received from all offices regarding the number of pending cases which require investigation to determine whether Security Index cards are warranted we will analyze the situation in the field in that matter and advise you.

We will continue to follow the field closely in this matter and will review the December administrative reports as soon as they are received.

Attachment

Office Memora, dum • United STALES GOVERNMENT

) :

DATE: December 16, 1952

FROM : Mr.

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

.....

SUBJECT: DELINQUENCY IN SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the progress which has been made in reducing the delinquency and backlog of work in the security field.

DETAILS:

As you know, since December 1951 we have been closely following, on a monthly basis, the twelve field offices having a large proportion of our pending security work and since Warch 1952 all offices. We have written letters to the twelve offices and to other offices where progress in reducing the backlog of work and delinquency has not been satisfactory.

The following statistics reflect the status of pending work including both pending active and pending inactive matters and delinguency for all field divisions on the first of the year and the progress for the last four months:

TOTAL PENDING MATTERS CLASSIFICATIONS 65, 100 and 105 ALL FIELD DIVISIONS

<u>Classification</u>	<u> 12-31-51</u>	8-31-52	9-30-52	10-31-52	<u>11-30-52</u>
65	1,314	1,163	1,117	1,128	1,095
100	32, 612	27,406	26,151	25,743	25,655
105	2,895	2,346	2,167	2,229	2,194
Total	37,021	30,915	29,435	29,100	28,944

Attachment

Bufile

PLC:mjt 7/1/2

1300

•

TOTAL DELINQUENT MATTERS CLASSIFICATIONS 65, 100 and 105 ALL FIELD DIVISIONS

Classification	12-31 <u>-51</u>	8-31-52	9-30-52	<u>10-31-52</u>	<u>11-30-52</u>
65	525	404	286	250	323
	22,518	16,432	13,767	11,884	11,643
100	1,719	1,180	853_	775	831
105 Total	24,762	18,016	14,906	12,909	12,797

The following statistics reflect the total of pending active matters, delinquency and percentage of delinquency for all offices for the past the months.

CLASSIFICATIONS 65, 100 and 105 ALL FIELD DIVISIONS

Da ta	Pending Active Matters	Delinquent Vatters	Perc entage <u>Delinquent</u>
Date 52	25,976	14,906	57.4
9-30-52	25,401	12,909	50.8
10-31-52	•	12,797	51.3
11-30-52	24,936	123101	

Status of Security Work in Twelve of the Larger Offices

The following statistics reflect the progress of the twelve larger offices we have been closely following since December 1951. These offices have approximately 77, of the total Decurity Index cards and a high percentage of the pending work and delinquency in classifications 65, 100 and 105.

i

STATISTICS FOR TWELVE OFFICES SECURITY INDEX COUNT AND FOR 65, 100 and 105 MATTERS

	Security Index Cards	Pending Matt		Percentage Delin quent		
Office	<u>11-15-52</u>		11-30-52	<u> 10-31-52</u>	<u>11-30-52</u>	
New York Los Angeles San Francisco Detroit Chicago Philadelphia Seattle Newark Cleveland Winneapolis New Haven Boston	3,553 3,055 1,983 1,263 1,060 899 644 626 600 488 485 475	7,989 2,241 1,618 1,145 1,415 1,051 291 1,097 630 709 386 703	7,659 2,018 1,668 1,203 1,423 1,074 292 1,054 648 704 378 686	84% 31% 53% 70% 38% 43% 26% 33% 69% 19% 58%	81.6% 40% 42% 54% 40% 43% 30% 44% 39% 40% 29% 65%	
Total	15,131	19,275	18,807			

The over-all statistics for the eleven offices other than the New York Office since March 31, 1952, are as follows:

<u>D</u> ate	Pending Active Matters (65-100-105)	Delinquent	Percentage Delinquent (65-100-105)
3-31-52	15,144	10,347	68.3%
4-30-52	14,978	9,628	64.3%
5-31-52	14,246	9,008	63.2%
6-30-52	14,157	9,103	64.3%
7-31-52	13,117	7,965	60.7%
8-31- 52	12,611	7,5 89	60 . 2%
9-30-52	11,367	5,732	50.4%
10-31-52	11,286	4,957	43.9%
11-30-52	11,148	4,844	43.5%

During November, six of the twelve offices reduced the total number of pending active matters in the three classifications and four reduced the delinquency in the total matters in those classifications.

In regard to the New York Office you will note it has a high percentage of the pending active matters and delinquency in the twelve offices listed. However, during November the New York Office reduced the number of pending active matters in classifications of, 100 and 105 by 230 matters and those delinquent by 433 matters.

New York letter dated December 4, 1952, advised that Security Matter - C cases have been assigned to approximately 600 Agents and on that date approximately 343 rough draft reports were awaiting typing in the stenographic pool. New York's delinquency in the three classifications is approximately 81.6%.

Status of Security Work in the Remaining Forty Offices

The remaining forty offices are listed according to the percentuge of selfinguency in livember 30, 1953, in total matters in classifications 65, 100 and 100. These statistics relief that many of the smaller offices have no pending matters in classifications 65 and 105 and their entire pending backlog is in classification 100 matters.

	Pendin	g Active		Perc entage			
	l'at	ters		Delin quent			
<u>Office</u>	<u>10-31-52</u>	<u>11-30-52</u>	<u> 10-31-52</u>	<u>11-30-52</u>			
Mobile	<i>55</i>	5 9	7%	47%			
Denver	191	16 8	17%	41%			
Savannah	3 9	43	41%	40%			
San Juan	373	344	23%	<i>38%</i>			
Omaha	113	101	21 %	37%			
Anchorage	29	26	34%	<i>35%</i>			
Albany	<i>304</i>	331	25%	33%			
L ilwaukee	234	247	29%	3 3%			
Pittsburch	322	294	21%	<i>38</i> %			
San Antonio	87	94	2 0%	334			
El Paso	<i>3</i> 7	42	14%	32%			
Little Rock	20	22	15%	3 <i>2</i> %			
Saint Louis	397	3 98	22%	31%			
Indianapolis	280	275	11,5	೨೦ನ			

ysa af om

oeeina	Pending <u>M</u> att 10-31 <u>-52</u>	Active e rs <u>11-30-52</u>	Perce Delin <u>10-31-52</u>	ntage iquent <u>11-30-52</u>
Lousville Washington Field Baltimore Oklahoma City Buffalo Charlotte Albuquerque Knoxville Cincinnati Salt Lake City San Diego Honolulu Birmingham Dallas Wiami Phoenix Butte Atlanta New Orleans Norfolk Portland Houston Richmond Kansas City Memphis Springfield	72 880 407 59 249 78 58 16 286 75 189 126 54 63 115 80 84 75 132 36 89 87 65 126 42 102	65 843 465 67 243 74 73 16 293 73 218 116 41 74 130 90 73 69 132 38 79 89 58 107 37 128	22% 30% 20% 25% 25% 26% 14% 16% 13% 15% 16% 15% 16% 16% 16% 16% 18% 37% 0% 5%	29% 29% 29% 29% 28% 27% 26% 27% 26% 25% 20% 20% 20% 19% 18% 17% 17% 15% 8%
Total	6,126	6,129	•	

During November, nineteen offices were able to reduce their pending active work load and nine offices reduced their celinquency. The following figures reduced the progress of the forty offices as a whole illustrate the progress of the forty offices as a whole since March 31, 1952, as reflected in the administrative reports:

Pending Active Matters (65-100-105)	Delinquent Matters (65-100-105)	Percentage Delinauent
	5,011	53.2%
	_	47.7%
9,138	•	
8,724	3,990	45.7%
8,417	3,842	45.6%
	Active Matters (65-100-105) 9,421 9,138 8,724	Active Matters (65-100-105) 9,421 9,138 8,724 Matters (65-100-105) 4,355 3,990

Date		Pending Active Matters (65-100-105)	Delinquent Matters $(\varepsilon 5-100-105)$	Percentage Delinquent
7-31-52	?	7,776	3,156	40.6%
8-31-52	?	6, 928	3,054	44.0%
9-30-52	?	6,1 98	2,109	34.0%
10-31-3	5 <i>2</i>	6,126	1,279	20.8%
11-30-	5 <i>2</i>	6,129	1,703	27. 8%

OBSERVATIONS:

i

From an analysis of the statistics set out above the following general trends are noted:

- 1. The over-all percentage of delinquency in the total pending active matters in classifications 65, 100 and 105 increased from 50.8% to 51.3% during November. However, the total number of delinquent matters actually decreased from 12,909 to 12,797.
- 2. The field continued to reduce the total number of pending active matters in classifications 65, 100 and 105. During November those matters were reduced from 25,401 to 24,936 matters, a reduction of 465 matters.

Progress and Follow-Up: With Certain Offices

On November 17, 1952, letters were directed to Boston, Detroit and Minnecpolis, requesting explanations as to why substantial reductions had not been made in the backlog of work and delinquency in the security field. Detroit and Minneapolis advised that positive steps were being taken to accomplish the reduction and that this would be reflected in the November administrative reports. The November administrative reports for Detroit and Minneapolis indicate a reduction in the delinquency at Detroit of approximately from 70% to 54% and at Minneapolis from approximately 69% to 40%. Letters have been directed to Detroit and Minneapolis instructing those offices to reduce the delinquency further.

Boston has advised that the security section of the office has recently been reorganized and for some time had been undermanned because of special oriminal investigations and a

definite shortage of manpower in the Boston Office. Due to the assignment of additional personnel to the Boston Office the security section of that office has been increased and Agents with no experience in security investigations are receiving training in those matters. The delinquency at Boston in the total pending active matters in classifications 65, 100 and 105 increased from approximately 58% to 64.7% during November. Boston letter dated December 9, 1952, pointed out Boston had anticipated a decrease in the delinquency during November but that during that month the security squad expended 150 man-hour days on surveillances in connection with Smith Act subjects and an espionage case. The Special Agent in Charge at Boston stated that he was following the security work in the Boston Office very closely and was making every effort to drastically reduce the backlog and delinquency in that field. A letter has been sent to Boston instructing the Special Agent in Charge to take the action necessary to bring about reductions and that progress should be made during December.

In addition to the three offices mentioned above letters have been directed to nine other field divisions in which it does not appear that steady progress is being made in bringing security work into line.

Analysis of Pending Active matters in Classifications 65, 100 & 105

November 19, 1952, the Bureau requested each office to analyze each pending active matter (in which the office is the office of origin) to determine the number of matters pending in which investigation remains to be conducted before final determination can be made as to whether or not a subject should be recommended for the Security Index. This survey is being made to determine the percentage of the 24,936 pending active matters in the field in classifications 65, 100 and 105 in which investigation remains to be done before we can determine the dangerousness of the subjects. As soon as the letters from all offices have been received an analysis will be made and you will be advised in the matter.

ACTION:

1. As soon as advice is received from all offices regarding the number of pending cases which require investigation to determine whether Security Index cards are warranted, we will analyze the situation in the field in that matter and advise you.

a 7 m

2. We will continue to closely follow the field in this matter and will review the December administrative reports to determine the field's progress in the matter.

W)

....

, OK

Office Memor ndum • United St. 'ES GOVERNMENT

TO

DATE: December 19, 1952

FROM:

----- SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX ----

PURPORE:

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

To advise you of the total cards in the Security Index.

DETAILS:

During the past week 81 new cards were added to the Security Index and 34 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 47 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 19,962.

48-47

Office Wiemorandum • United States Gover

TO

DIRECTOR, FEI

DATE:

1/2/53

FROM

SAC, SAN JUAN

CONFIDENTIAL

SUBJECT:

SUCTARY SECORTS
SECURITY INDEX CASES

Re No Number SAC Lister I, Series 1952. There is set forth below the required information reflecting current status of ceptioned project in this Office:

Category		Number of Initial Surmary Reports Submit- ted to Data	Number of Summary Reports Expected to be Submitted Date		
2	2	2	0		
4	2	2	o		
5	327	231	96 •	2/15/53	

The above figures do not include 1. Cases in which the subjects are incorporated awaiting trial on charges involving extended sentences or awaiting appeal of extended sentences already received; 2. Cases involving subjects for whom Eureau permission for interview has been requested or received; or 3. Cases involving subjects in the inactive section of the Security Index.

11-42

Office. Me...

m · UNITED

OVERNMENT

To : Director, FBI

DATE: December 30, 1952

FROM : SAC Cleveland (

SUBJECT: SUBMARY REPORTS IN SECURITY INDEX CASES

Crownia in Comments - Comments

Re SAC Letter No. 95 dated September 22, 1951, and No Number SAC Letter 1, Series 1952, dated March 14, 1952.

There is set forth below the following information regarding the status of the summary report project in categories 2, 4 and 5 in the Cleveland Office:

Category	Total Number	Number of Initial Summary Reports Submitted to Date	Number of Summary Re- ports to be Submitted	Expected Date of Completion
2	2	1	· ı	Бу 3/1/53
Ţī .	5	5		Subject of remaining case has been inter- viewed - to be deleted from SI.
5	<u>.</u>	275	169	By 7/1/53

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO

DATE: December 24, 195.

FROM:

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the total cards in the Security

Index.

DETAILS:

During the past week 97 new cards were added to the Security Index and 15 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 82 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 20,044.

7577

Assistant Attorney General Charles B. Murray Criminal Division

December 23, 1952

Director, FEI

SECURITY INDEX LIST _ 4 5 AV F

There is attached hereto a current list of the names of the individuals maintained in the Security Index. This list is subdivided alphabetically under the field offices of this Bureau covering the residences of the individuals listed.

It is requested that this list be given utmost security.

Attachment

NOTE ON YELLOW ONLY:

Espionage Section of the Special Section not included.

37 16-30

- sign

RECORDED-12

EC CO SECURITY INFORMATION

"-love and spile

AN 7-1053

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memor andum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO

DATE: January 2, 1953

FROM:

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX

To advise you of the total cards in the Security

Index.

DETAILS:

During the past week 63 new pards were added to the Security Index and 15 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 48 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 20,092.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVE

TO : Director, F3I (

DATE: December 30, 1952

kr:

SAC, St. Louis

PERSONAL AND COL

subject:

O SECURITY INDEX CASES

Re No No. SAC Letter I, Series 1952 dated March 14, 1972.

Pursuant to instructions in the above SAC Letter, a complete review has been made of Security Index cases in this office and the following schedule for the submission of summary reports therein drawn up:

Cat egor <u>y</u>	Total Number of Cases	Number of Initial Summary Reports Submitted to Date	Number of Summary Reports to be Submitted	Expected Date of Completion
2	-	-	-	
<u>.</u>	1 5	-	15	3-1- 53
4			132	6-1-53
- 5	138	b	.	

1401

Office Memorandum • United States Government

DATE: December 2, 1952

TO

FROM:

STAT DARD FORM NO. 64 .

SUBJECT:

SUGGESTION OF SA BE SECURITY INDEX VERIFICATION PROJECT

Y rk Office, has suggested the formation of a special squad of Agents to handle the verification of address and employment of Agenus to handle the relification of address and employment of Security Index subjects. Verification of this information on each Security Index subject is required each six months. At the present time verification is "farmed out" among Agents on a number of squads in the office. SA suggests suggests that a squad of specialists would be more efficient.

This suggestion has been thoroughly discussed by the Aides assisting in the New Y rk inspection. In view of the disruptive influence of any farmed out project, plus the looser supervision resulting from such method, it is recommended that the New York Office be authorized to set up a squad of from 10 to 15 Agents to experiment for the next six months on this project. At the end of that time New Y rk should report fully to the Eureau on the results, and make appropriate recommendations for the future of the project.

Based upon the experience in the New Y rk Office, the Bureau can decide whether the system should be adopted in other offices having a large number of Security Index subjects.

SAC, NEW YORK

12/29/52

- DIRECTOR, FEI

SECURITY INDEX VERIFICATION PROJECT

Reference is made to a suggestion by agent Eupervisor of fore of five to Industry that a special squad of Agents be organized to handle, on a six-months trial sacis, verification of addresses and places of employment of security index subjects.

The Bureau agrees that this procedure may be given a three-months trial. You should set up a squae of won to fifteen Agents to handle these cases. The Dureau feels that no additional supervisory personnel need be designated, but we procedure should be supervised by one of the existing desks in your office.

If any inexperienced Agents are designated to work on this project, they should first be thoroughly inabstrinated in the methods previously used in your office to verify the residences and employments of security index subjects. They should be impressed with the necessity of exercising discretion in making such verifications to avoid undue disclosures of the Bureau's interest in the subject.

At the conclusion of this three-month period, you should advise the pureau of your recommendations as to the of-ficiency of the plan, and, in tabular form, the production statistics, comparative delinquency figures, and accompare and disadvantages.

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO

DATE: December 10, 1951

FROM:

SUBJECT:

SUGGESTION NO. SECURITY INDEX VERIFICATION PROJECT

DETAILS:

The attached suggestion of Special Agent, Supervisor in the New York Office, has been referred to us by Inspector for our views.

suggests that a special squad of Agents be formed in the New York Office to hindle the verification of addresses and places of employment of Security Index subjects every six months rather than distribution of these assignments among approximately 430 Agents which is the present procedure. A copy of the suggestion is attached.

The Internal Security Section agrees with the observations and recommendations as set forth in the attached
memoranda to dated November 25, 1952, and December 2,
1952. We agree that the suggested procedure should be alopsed
for a trial period of six months. In addition, it is recommended
that if any Agents inexperienced in security-type investigations
are designated to handle this assignment that they first be
thoroughly indoctrinated in the methods previously used in the
New York Office to verify the residence and employment of Security
Index subjects and that they be impressed with the necessity of
discretion in making such verifications to avoid undue discrept
to the subjects of the Bureau's interest in them.

ACTION:

If you agree with the above, this memorandum, together with the attached copies of the suggestion and memoranda, should be returned to Inspector for any further action.

12/18/52

- For Conference

SECURITY INDEX VERIFICATION PROJECT

of the New York Office suggested setting up a special squad of ten or fifteen Agents, whose sole function would be to verify addresses and employments of security index subjects.

F PRESENT PROCEDURE (IN NEW YORK CITY)

These cases are "farmed out" among Agents on a number of different squads, who work the cases along with regularly assigned work.

The disadvantages of the present system are:

- 1. Disruptive influence on regular work.
- 2. Looser supervision.

The claimed advantages of the suggestion system

are:

- 1. One Agent would handle a large number of verifications in one area, saving considerable transportation time.
- 2. It would reduce the commoner types of pretexts and lessen the possibility of a pretext back-firing.
- 3. Increased production anticipated development of various techniques and sources of information would expedite matters.
- . 4. Reduces administrative problems and simplifies fixing of supervisory responsibility.
 - 5. Serves as a training groundwork toward more complicated security work.

Enc)

DISADVANTAGES ANTICICATED

1. Since each Agent so assigned would handle a large number of subject cases, ne would have to review the file each time ne rechecked each subject, because he would be unable to remember the details of so many cases.

James in

- 2. It would limit the Agent's experience in handling regular investigative matters and in writing reports.
- 3. The assignment would be monotonous and tends toward over-specialization.

RECONTENDATIONS

- 1. Inspector recommends a 6-month experimental trial.
- 2. Domestic Intelligence Division recommends a 6-month trial.
- 3. Training Division recommends a 6-month trial.
 but do not account any additional supervisory personnel.

4. If approved, attached letter to SAC New York
be sen Sives approval of intractions

Cache for production statistics

and comparative delinguency

figures.

C

Office Memorandum . United States Government

TO :

DATE: 12/24/52

FROM:

The Executives Conference

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX VERIFICATION PROJECT

The Executives Conference on December 19, 1952, at which were present Messrs.

considerea a

suggestion made by Special Agent Supervisor
ssault of New York City, that a special squad of ten to fifteen
Agents be organized whose sole function would be to verify addresses and employments of security index subjects.

PRESENT PROCEDURE

These cases are "farmed out" among Agents on a number of different squads, who work the cases along with regularly assigned work.

The disadvantages of the present system are:

- 1. Disruptive influence on regular work.
- 2. Looser supervision.

SUGGESTED PROCEDURE

Set up a special squad of ten to fifteen agents to handle the six-months verification of addresses and employments of security index subjects. (There are approximately 8,000 security index cards in New fork now, with an actioipat of the crease up to between six and seven thousand cords.)

The claimed advantages of the suggestion of the

- 1. One agent would handle a large number of vertafications in one area, saving constaerable truesportation time.
- 2. At would reduce the commoner types of pretends and lessen the possibility of a protect beong roung.

1404

- 3. Increased production anticipated aevelopment of various techniques and sources of information would expedite matters.
- 4. Reduces administrative problems and simplifies fixing of supervisory responsibility.
- 5. Serves as a training groundwork toward more conplicated security work.

The admitted disadvantages of the system are:

- 1. Since each Agent so assigned would handle a large number of subject cases, he would have to review the file each time he reche hed each subject, because he would be unable to remember the details of so many cases.
- 2. It would limit the Agent's experience in handling regular investigative matters and in writing reports.
- 3. The assignment would be monotonous and tends toward over-specialization.

EXECUTIVES CONFERENCE RECOMMENDATION

~;

The Executives Conference unanimously favors a three-months trial in New York City. If approves, there is attached a letter to the SAC in New York, giving authorization and instructions for a three-months trial of this plan.

attached is analysis of peakling of problem of primited for Impution staff consideration good arguments - positively with represent to comments - positively mith represent to comment addresses is a right time ourself which have a acres of almost a should list which have an acres of a represent to the landled by many hintel # 7 capet.

DECUNITY LINEX - GENERAL,

RE: DECURITY INDEX VERIFICATION PROJUCT

In considering the suggestion of , set forth in a memorandum dated November 6, 1952 concerning the organization of a special squad to handle verification of address and employment of security index subjects, the following points are mentioned:

Disadvantages

- l. It is felt that if a limited number of agents were used on this project, it would probably be necessary for these agents to review every file they handle each time they rechecked the subject's address and employment. This would be necessary due to the large number of cases they would handle and the probable inability of the agent to remember any background regarding the person whose address he would verify. If continued as at present, and if the same agent were given the same individuals to recheck every six months, it is reasonable to believe he would recall the general background of the subject due to the small number of verifications he is handling and would need to make only a brief file review prior to conducting his verification.
- 2. The assignments of agents to this squad for any great length of time, which appears to be the plan under the submitted suggestion, would deprive these individuals of the opportunity to gain experience in the writing of reports and the handling of regular investigative matters.
- 3. It is believed that any permanent assignment to this squad would tend to be monotonous for the agents involved and lead to over-specialization.

Advantages ·

1. The adoption of the suggested special squad would appear to be advantageous in that it is believed it would result in a saving of time in the obtaining of the necessary verifications. This would be accomplished by one agent handling a large number of verifications in one given area. In this regard the assignment of the verifications, if the suggestion is placed into effect, should be made on a geographical basia.



- 2. It is further believed that this plan will result in increased production, inasmuch as the agents assigned to the project should be able to develop various technics, including the use of sources of information to complete the verifications in a more expedite manner.
 - 3. The formation of a special squad to handle verifications exclusively should result in increased production and the maintaining of this phase of the work in a more current condition, inasmuch as the agents assigned to this squad would not be obliged to handle other expedite matters and defer the handling of the verifications.

4. The suggested procedure should reduce the over-all administrative problem and simplify the fixing of supervisory responsibility for delinquencies in the program.

Conclusions and Recommendations

In view of the above and in considering the other various thoughts set forth in the referred-to memorandum of Supervisor Marchessault, it is recommended that the special squad be established under Section 7 on a trial basis for a period of at least six months. The over-all production and problems concerning this system should be carefully analyzed during this period of time in order to determine the feasibility of continuing this squad for an indefinite period.

Consideration should be given to periodic transfers of agents to and from this squad. It is suggested that part of the personnel should be made up of agents newly assigned to the section as a means of furnishing them background information in security matters, which would be gained through the necessary file reviews. The members of this squad should handle only these verifications in order to accomplish the desired results. If extensive investigation or surveillances are necessary to determine the current residence or employment of a subject, the case should be reopened and assigned to gents other than those assigned to handle the verifications.

TIMI

Mew York, N.Y. Movember 6, 1952.

i.ELIO

RE: SUGGESTION ON SECURITY INDIX VERIFICATION PROJECT.

In connection with the verification of residence addresses and employment of Security Index subjects every six months, the following change is being recommended in the manner of handling the project:

The Bureau requires that these addresses be verified every six months. The project is presently being handled on a staggering basis throughout the period so that we do not have an excessively large arount to handle at any one time. These verifications are made by the agents in Division I of this office, involving approximately 430, which agents are assigned, generally speaking, to Security Type work. This staggering system, which was recently set up, contemplates the same agent making the verification entails every six months. The subjects of pending cases are verified by the agent to whom the case is assigned. It is to be noted that the New York Office has been exempted by the Bureau from assigning various subjects to agents for the purpose of viewing in order to facilitate the apprehension.

I am of the opinion that this project can be more efficiently handled by having these verifications assigned to a small number of agents which agents would have no other assignments than these verifications. This conclusion is based on the following rescns:

Large numbers of Security Index subjects may be found concentrated in a comparatively limited area in the various boroughs of New York. Limiting the number of agents involved would result in one agent handling a much larger group of verifications in the one area thus saving considerable time especially from the standpoint of reducing transportation time. There are approximately 3500 Security Index cards with an anticipated increase resulting in a total of between six and seven thousand. This would clearly incleate that in one small areacould be located numerous Security Index subjects which addresses could be verified on a mass production basis.

which would lessen to a considerable entent the pessibility of some of those protects backfiring. The possibility can readily be some where a person presently in the open could conceivably to in the underground in subject become every of the FRI if such occurred. A smaller number of agency working on that year to would reduce that possibility.

Enc 3

Memo
Re: Suggestion on Security Index
Verification Project

Further these agents concentrating on that type of work could develop techniques on the verifications which would reduce the amount of work necessary resulting in the verifications being handled by the most expedient manner. I have in mind that possibly common sources could be developed which would give us the desired information covering a large group of subjects, such as voting registrations, etc.

The production of these agents would be much greater than in the case of other agents in Division I due to the fact that the verifications will be familiar to them and after they have once learned requirements will not have to spend time refreshing their minds or these instructions which would be the case if these verifications were continued to be handled by all the agents in Division I.

The verifications could be kept in a more current status as these agents would be held strictly responsible for these verifications whereas when they are assigned to all the agents in Division I, they have other expedite work that must be performed, such as handling espionage, key figure and top functionary cases and, therefore, must divide their time consistent with the various responsibilities given them.

The administrative work involved in the handling and distribution of these assignments would be reduced to some extent.

The agents assigned to such a project would have a tendency to develop more interest in their particular assignment than the agent who has many other expedite assignments. This would result in higher production and an increased over all efficiency.

Tt is to be noted that this suggestion would not apply to the pending cases in the office, such as top functionaries and key figures. Since the agents are held responsible for cases assigned to them this responsibility of necessity requires that they have knowledge of the subjects whereabouts at all times.

I would recommend that if this suggestion is approved that the group of agents number from 10 to 15 on an experimental basis. Once fairly accurate figures can be secured on the number of verifications which can be handled by an agent, the group of agents can either be increased or reduced accordingly.

ENC 4

Office Mem.

lum • UNITED 5

OVERNMENT

TO : THE DIRECTOR

DATE: January 6, 1953

FROM : THE EXECUTIVES CONFERENCE

SUBJECT: PREPARATION OF SUMMARY REPORTS IN

SECURITY INDEX CASES

The Executives' Conference of January 6, 1953, consisting of Messrs.

discussed the problem as to whether the moratorium on the summary report project for Security Index cases should be lifted at this time. You will recall that on September 3, 1952, this moratorium was declared on the project to be reinstituted in all offices on January 1, 1953. In view of the personnel situation those offices having personnel available to the project were instructed on October 1, 1952, to reinstitute the project at that time.

Executives' Conference Recommendation:

The Executives' Conference was of the uncnimous opinion that we should not reinstitute the project in all offices at this time but rather should continue under the present procedure whereby offices are working on summary reports as they are able. To do otherwise would necessitate the transfer of personnel between offices which the Conference did not consider warranted for economy reasons.

The Conference recommended that a letter be directed to the field instructing that they prepare summary reports as personnel becomes available within each office. It was recommended that the field continue to submit the quarterly status letters concerning their progress in the project. It was also recommended that we again consider reinstituting the project in all offices on July 1, 1953.

If you approve, there is attached hereto a proposed SAC Letter for your signature. This matter will again be brought before the Executives' Conference on July 1, 1953.

Respectfully, For the Conference

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

DATE: December 23, 1952

TO

FROM:

SUBJECT: SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS - SUBMISSION OF FORM FD-122 REQUIRING ADJUSTMENTS TO SECURITY INDEX CARDS

SYNOPSIS:

Form FD-122 is used (1) to recommend a new Security Index card or (2) to indicate changes to existing Security Index cards. In order to effect a saving in clerical time in the Records Section, the Executives' Conference on November 5, 1952, approved the submission of the form without abstracts when submitted under (2) above; namely, when indicating changes to existing Security Index cards. In those instances the forms would not be serialized but would be retained in the case file. The Internal Security Section previously recommended that FD-122 forms recommending new Security Index cards be serialized because of the importance attached to that form when used for that purpose. Some offices are now submitting all FD-122 forms without abstracts. A proposed SAC Letter is attached to clarify the instructions contained in SAC Letter Number 120 dated November 14, 1952. Appropriate manual changes attached. It is recommended that this memorandum be routed to the Records Section in order that the FD-122 form when used to recommend new Security Index cards will be serialized.

PURPOSE:

To submit a proposed SAC Letter advising the field that abstracts should be submitted with Form FD-122 when the form is used to recommend the preparation of a Security Index card and appropriate manual changes.

DETAILS:

Form FD-122, a copy of which is attached, is used by the Security Index (1) to recommend the preparation of Security Index cards or (2) to advise the Bureau regarding changes to be made to existing Security Index cards.

During the recent inspection of the decords Section, the Internal Security-Section was contacted recarding the elimination of abstracts with Form FD-122 in those instances

coming under (2) above; namely, when the form is used to make changes or adjustments on existing Security Index cards. This recommendation was considered in order to effect a saving of the cost of operation in the Records Section by not sericlizing the forms that fall within that category. The abstract is not needed at the Bureau when a piece of mail is not to be sericlized. However, after appropriate action has been taken on the form and changes have been made to the existing Security Index cards the form would not be destroyed but would be filed in the appropriate case file. Approximately 15,000 FD-122 forms are received annually reflecting changes to be made to existing cards.

In discussing this matter the Internal Security Section strongly recommended against using the same procedure in regard to the incoming FD-122 form when the jorm contains the recommendation that a Security Index card be prepared. You will note that the form on its face readily indicates a new card is being recommended or an existing card is to be changed. Considerable importance is attached to the form when used to recommend a new Security Index card inasmuch as it is the authority to prepare the card and contains the initials and instructions of the approving Bureau Supervisor as well as the initials of the clerical employee handling the preparation of the card. The approval for preparation of the Security Index card and its preparation are tantamount to the actual authorization by the Bureau of the arrest of the subject in the event of a sudden emergency and until such time as the Department approves the listing of the name of a particular subject in the Security Index. As you know the Department has advised us that all Security Index cases will be reviewed eventually by the Department and the Bureau advised in each case as to whether the Department approves or disapproves the listings in the Security Index. Because of the importance attached to the form when used to recommend a new Security Index card it is felt that abstracts should be submitted when the form is so used in order that it can be serialized into the appropriate case file and be accounted for at all times. Of course, this has always been the practice in the past.

Item (L) SAC Letter Number 120 dated November 14, 1952

The above matter in connection with FD-122 forms submitted by the field to make adjustments or changes to existing Security Index cards was considered by the Executives' Conference on November 5, 1952. The Conference unanimously recommended that abstracts not be submitted in those instances which, of course, results in the form not being serialized. Such serials will be

filed however in the case file and will not be destroyed.

Item (L) in SAC Letter Number 120 dated November 14, 1952, advised the field in that matter.

Certain field offices are now submitting all FB-122 forms without abstracts and the Records Section is not serializing those forms received to recommend new Security Index cards. In order to clarify instructions in the matter there is attached hereto a proposed SAC Letter and an appropriate manual change is also attached.

ACTION:

1. If you approve there is attached hereto a proposed SAC Letter and an appropriate manual change.

2. If you approve, this memorandum should be routed to the Records Section in order that appropriate instructions can be issued in order that each FD-122 recommending a new Security Index card will be appropriately serialized.

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • United States Government

	DATE:
FROM:	
SUBJECT:	
	, ·
***************************************	It is recommended that a Security Index Card be prepared on the above-captioned individual.
	The Security Index Card on the captioned individual should be changed as follows: (Specify change only)
NAME	
ALIASES	
- Constitute	
	NATIVE BORN NATURALIZED ALIEN
COMMUNIST	
-	
MISCELLANE	CUS (Specify)
TAB FOR DE	TCOM TAB FOR CC'SAB RACE SEX
MATE OF BI	RTHPLACE OF BIRTH
BUSINESS A	
	DDRESS (Show name of employing concern and address)
	SDRESS (Show name of emp. oying concern and address)
	SDRESS (Show name of emp. oying concern and address)
	NDUSTRY OR BUSINESS (Specify from Vital Facility List)
NATURE OF	NDUSTRY OR BUSINESS (Specify from Vital Facility List)
	NDUSTRY OR BUSINESS (Specify from Vital Facility List)
NATURE OF	NDUSTRY OR BUSINESS (Specify from Vital Facility List)
NATURE OF	NDUSTRY OR BUSINESS (Specify from Vital Facility List)
NATURE OF	NDUSTRY OR BUSINESS (Specify from Vital Facility List)
NATURE OF	NDUSTRY OR BUSINESS (Specify from Vital Facility List) DDRESS
NATURE OF	NDUSTRY OR BUSINESS (Specify from Vital Facility List)

- *14*

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO:

DATE: January 16, 1953

FROM:

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX - CONTROL OF THE SECURITY INDEX - CONT

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the total cards in the Security

Index.

DETAILS:

During the past week 74 new cards were added to the Security Index and 21 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 53 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 20,195.

STANDARD FORM NO. 6

Office Memorandum . United States Government

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

DATE: 1-7-53

FROM SAC, OMAHA

SUBJECT: CECURITY INDEX

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

GENERAL

ReBuLet 12-23-52.

The new Security Index List has been received by this office and the old list has been destroyed by burning.

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO : THE DIRECTOR

DATE: January 9, 1950

FROM :

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the total cards in the Security Index and of the total number approved by the Department to date.

DETAILS:

The following is a report on the increase in the Security Index since the last count was furnished to you on December 12, 1952.

New Cards	Cards	Net
<u>Added</u>	Cancelled	<u>Increase</u>
81	34	47
97	15	82
63	15	48
<u>74</u>	<u>24</u>	50
315	88	227
	Aaded 81 97 63 74	Added Cancelled 81 34 97 15 63 15 74 24

For your information, during the preceding four-week period 382 cards were added and 82 cards were cancelled, a net increase during the period of 300 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 20,142.

To date the Department has specifically approved in writing the listing of 135 names in the Security Index.

(B) SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS - SUBMISSION OF FORM FD-122 REQUIRING ADJUSTMENTS TO SECURITY INDEX CASES -- Reference is made to Item (L) in SAC Letter Number 120, Series 1952, dated November 14, 1952, which advised, under the above caption, that in the future Form FD-122 advised, under the above caption, that in the future Form FD-122 should be submitted to the Bureau without abstracts.

Since receipt of that SAC Letter certain offices have been submitting all FD-122 forms without abstracts and have not limited the instructions to those instances in which the farm is submitted to make adjustments or changes to existing Security Index cards.

FD-122 forms should be submitted with abstracts when used to recommend the preparation of Security Thiex cards. The forms should be submitted without abstracts when used to advise the Eureau regarding the changes to be made to existing Security Index cards.

(H) SECURITY INDEX - PREPARATION OF SUMMARY REPORTS -- Reference is made to SAC Letwers Number S5 (F) dated September 3, 1952, and Number 106 (A) dated October 14, 1952, which instructed that the summary report project was to be reinstituted in all offices on January 1, 1953.

This is to advise that the moratorium shall remain in effect in those offices not having personnel available to the project until further instructions are received from the Eureau.

By SAC Letter Number 106 (A) those offices having personnel available to the project were instructed to reinstitute the preparation of summary reports on their Security Index subjects. Those instructions remain in effect on a continuing basis. As personnel instructions remain in effect on a continuing basis. As personnel becomes available within your office you are to devote this manpower to the preparation of summary reports until you have completed the project.

These instructions are not to be construed by you as minimizing the importance of preparation of summary reports on Security Index subjects. It is expected that you will make every effort to assign personnel to this project in your office as soon as practical.

During the moratorium some offices have not promptly submitted the quarterly status letters concerning the summary report project as instructed in No Number SAC Letter I dated Morch 14, 1952. A quarterly status letter was due on January 1, 1953. It is requested A quarterly status letter was due on January 1, 1953. It is requested that all offices which have not done so submit this letter promptly that all offices which have not done so submit this letter promptly and each three months hereafter setting forth the statistics in accordance with No Number EAC Letter I. Mon should submit the status cordance with No Number EAC Letter I. Mon should submit the status cordance the project during the pertinent period in order that the stituted the project during the pertinent period in order that the Bureau will be kept advised of the status of the project in the entire field.

Very truly yours,
John Edgar Hoover
Director

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

DATE: January 13, 1953

FROM :

SUBJECT: _DELINQUENCY IN SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS

PURPOSE:

To summarize the information set out in the attached memorandum regarding the progress of the field in reducing the delinquency and backlog of security work.

SYNOPSIS:

The December administrative reports from all offices reflect that the total pending active matters in the 65, 100 and 105 classifications decreased during the month from 24,936 to 24,770. The number of delinquent matters was reduced from 12,797 to 12,267. The percentage of delinquency decreased from 51.3% to 49.5%. Twenty-two offices reduced their total number of pending active matters and thirty-one offices reduced their delinquency in these classifications. Letters were sent to sixteen offices directing them to further reduce their backlog and delinquency in these matters.

During the twelve-month period from Documber 31, 1951 to December 31, 1952, the number of pending matters in these classifications was reduced by 8,089 from 37,021 to 28,932 or by 21.8%. In that time the number of delinquent matters in these classifications was reduced by 12,495 from 24,762 to 12,267 or by 50.5%.

ACTION:

We will continue to closely follow the field in this matter. You will be advised of the results of the review of the January administrative reports.

Attachment

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

DATE: January 13, 1953 TO

FROM :

SUBJECT: DELINQUENCY IN SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS

PURPOSE :

To advise you of the progress which has been made in reducing the delinquency and backlog of work in the security field.

DETAILS:

As you know, since December 1951 we have been closely following, on a monthly basis, the twelve field offices having a large proportion of our pending security work and since March 1952 all offices. We have written letters to the twelve offices and to other offices where progress in reducing the backlog of work and delinquency has not been satisfactory.

The following statistics reflect the status of pending work including both pending active and pending inactive matters and delinquency for all field divisions on the first of the year and the progress for the last four months:

TOTAL PENDING MATTERS CLASSIFICATIONS 65, 100 and 105 ALL FIELD DIVISIONS

	<u>Classification</u>	<u>12-31-51</u>	9-30-52	<u> 10-31-52</u>	<u>11-30-52</u>	<u> 12-31-52</u>
	65	1,314	1,117	1,128	1,095	1,067
	100	32, 812	26,151	25, 743	25,655	25, 570
r r	105	2,895	2,167	2,229	2,194	2,295
4: Cu u i	Total	37,021	29,435	29,100	28,944	28,932
1,0	Attachment					

TOTAL DELINGUENT MATTERS CLASSIFICATIONS 65, 100 and 105 ALL FIELD DIVISIONS

<u>C</u>	lassification	<u>12-31-51</u>	<u>9-30-52</u>	<u>10-31-52</u>	<u>11-30-52</u>	<u>12-31-52</u>
•	65	525	286	250	323	229
	100	22,5 18	13,767	11,884	11,643	11,254
	105	1,719	<u>853</u>	775	831	784
	Total	24,762	14,906	12,909	12,797	12,267

The following statistics reflect the total of pending active matters, delinquency and percentage of delinquency for all offices for the past four months.

CLASSIFICATIONS 65, 100 and 105 ALL FIELD DIVISIONS

Date	Pending Active Matters	Delinquent <u>Matters</u>	Percentage Delinguent
9-30-52	25, 976	14,906	57.4
10-3 1-52	25,401	12,909	50. 8
11-30-52	24, 93	12,797	51. 3
12-31-52	24,770	12,267	49.5

Status of Security Work in Twelve of the Larger Offices

The following statistics reflect the progress of the twelve larger offices we have been closely following since December 1951. These offices have approximately 77% of the total Security Index cards and a high percentage of the pending work and delinquency in classifications 65, 100 and 105.

STATISTICS FOR TWELVE OFFICES SECURITY INDEX COUNT AND FOR 65, 100 and 105 MATTERS

	Security Index Cards	Pending Matte	rs	Deli n	entage iquent
Office	<u>12-15-52</u>	<u>11-30-52</u>	<u>12-31-52</u>	<u>11-30-52</u>	<u>12-31-52</u>
New York	3,647	7,659	7,605	81.6%	79%
Los Angeles	3,162	2,018	1,898	40%	29%
San Francisco	1,979	1,668	1,713	42%	<i>36%</i>
Detroit	1,272	1,203	1,120	54%	5 8%
Chicago	1,094	1,423	1,504	40%	<i>53</i> %
Philadelphia	907	1,074	1,079	43%	48%
Seattle	645	292	310	30%	28%
Newark	635	1,054	1,049	44%	42%
Cleveland	610	64 8	570	3 9%	41%
¥inne apolis	4 9 0	704	715	40%	30%
New Haven	4 8 3	378	3 60	29%	40%
Boston	483	686	619	65%	54%
Total	15,407	18,807	18,542		

The over-all statistics for the eleven offices other than the New York Office since March 31, 1952, are as follows:

Date	Pending Activ: Matters (65-100-105)	Delinquent Matters (65-100-105)	Percentc ge Delinquent (65-100-105)
3-31- 52	15,144	10,347	68.3%
4-3 0-52	14,978	9,628	64.3%
5-31- 52	14,246	9,008	63.2%
6-3 0-52	14,157	9,103	64.3%
7-31- 52	13,117	7,965	60.7%
8-31- 52	12,611	7,589	60.2%
9-30-52	11,367	5,732	50.4%
10-31- 52	11,286	4,957	43.9%
11-30-52	11,148	4,844	43.5%
12-31-52	10,937	4,578	41.9%

During December, six of the twelve offices reduced the total number of pending active matters in the three classifications and seven reduced the delinquency in the total matters in those classifications.

1411

In regard to the New York Office you will note it has a high percentage of the pending active matters and delinquency in the twelve offices listed. During December the New York Office reduced the number of pending active matters in classifications 65, 100 and 105 by 54 matters and those delinquent by 252. New York's delinquency in the three classifications is approximately 79%.

Status of Security Work in the Remaining Forty Offices

The remaining forty offices are listed according to the percentage of delinquency on December 31, 1952, in total matters in classifications 65, 100 and 105. These statistics reflect the progress during December 1952. It should be noted that many of the smaller offices have no pending matters in classifications 65 and 105 and their entire pending backlog is in classification 100 matters.

	Pendi ng Active Matters		Perc entage Deli nquent	
Office	<u>11-30-52</u>	<u> 12-31-52</u>	11-30-52	<u>12-31-52</u>
Memphis	37	<i>33</i>	8%	18%
Omaha	101	96	37%	44%
Saint Louis	3 98	418	31%	44%
San Antonio	94	86	33%	41%
Albany	331	280	38%	40%
Savannah	43	44	40%	36%
Cincinnati	2 93	2 98	24%	34%
Louisville	65	65	29%	34%
Denver	168	190	41%	31%
New Orleans	132	145	18%	31%
San Juan	344	289	38%	31%
<i>Indianapolis</i>	275	<i>2</i> 95	29%	30%
Milwaukee	247	241	33%	30%
Washington Field	843	913	29%	28%
Baltimore	465	4 28	2 8%	27%
Buffalo	243	241	27%	27%
Butte	73	73	19%	27%
Houston	89	9 <i>2</i>	17%	26%
Norfolk	3 8	44	18%	25%
Albuquerque	73	66	26%	24%
Charlotte	74	<i>85</i>	27%	24%
Phoenix	90	95	· 20%	23%
Honolulu	116	90	21%	19%
Pittsburgh	294	3 45	33%	19%
Salt Lake City	73	73	23%	19%
Little Rock	22	22	32%	16%

	Pendi ng Nat t			entage nquent
Office		<u>12-31-52</u>		<u>12-31-52</u>
Viami	130	174	20%	18%
Portland	73	90	18%	18%
Anchorage	26	<i>25</i>	35%	16%
Oklahoma City	67	71	2 8%	15%
Atlanta	69	71	18%	13%
Richmond	5 8	60	17%	13%
San Diego	218	217	23%	13%
Springfield	128	120	<i>3</i> %	13%
Mobile	5 9	<i>52</i>	47%	12%
Dallas	74	72	20%	10%
Kansas City	107	142	15%	8%
El Paso	42	28	32%	7%
Birmingham	41	43	20%	2%
Knoxville	16	16	25%	8% 7% 2% 0%
Total	6,129	6,228		

During December, sixteen offices were able to reduce their pending active work load and twenty-four offices reduced their delinquency. The following figures illustrate the progress of the forty offices as a whole since March 31, 1952, as reflected in the administrative reports:

	Pending Active Matters	Delinquent Matters	Percentage
Date	(65-10?-1.05)	(65-100-105)	<u>Delinquent</u>
3-31- 52	9,421	5,011	53.2%
4-3 0 - 52	9,238	4,355	47.7%
5-31- 52	8,724	3,9 90	45.7%
6-30-52	8,417	3,842	45.6%
7-31-52	7,776	3, 156	40.6%
8-31-52	6,928	3,054	44.0%
9-30-52	6,198	2,109	34.0%
10-31-52	6,126	1,279	20.8%
11-30-52	6,129	1,703	27.8%
12-31-52	6,228	1,691	27.2%

- 5 -

....

OBSERVATIONS:

- 1. It should be noted that from December 31, 1951 to December 31, 1952, the number of pending matters in the 65, 100 and 105 classifications was reduced from 37,021 to 28,932. This is a reduction of 8,089 such matters or 21.8% in the twelve-month period.
- 2. During the preceding twelve-month period the number of delinquent matters in these classifications was reduced from 24,762 to 12,267. This is a reduction of 12,495 delinquent matters or 50.5%.
- 2. During December 1952, the percentage of delinquency in the total pending active matters in the 65, 100 and 105 classifications decreased from 51.3% to 49.5%. The total number of delinquent matters decreased by 530 from 12,797 to 12,267.
- 4. During December 1952, the field continued to reduce the total number of pending active matters in classifications 65, 100 and 105. During December such matters were reduced by 166 from 24,936 to 24,770.

ACTION:

As a result of the review of the December administrative reports letters have been directed to sixteen offices instructing them to further reduce their backlog and delinquency in 65, 100 and 105 matters.

We will continue to closely follow the field in this matter and will review the January administrative reports to determine the progress of the field. You will be advised of the results of that review.



Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO

DATE: January 23, 1953

FROM:

SUBJECT: CECURITY INDEX - 1.

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the total cards in the Security

Index.

DETAILS:

During the past week 89 new cards were added to the Security Index and 21 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 68 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 20,263.

Office Memorardum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

DATE: January

TO : The Director

FROM : The Executives' Conference

SUBJECT HANDLING OF BIMONTHLY DELINQUENCY LISTS
BY THE SECURITY INDEX DESK

The Executives' Conference on January 12, 1953 consisting of Messrs.

discussed the problem of handling the bimonthly delinquency lists insofar as the lists pertain to the Security Index Desk in the Domestic Intelligence Division. Present requirements are that all listed delinquent matters be followed on an individual case basis. The 19 Supervisors on the Security Index Desk would be required to handle approximately 26% of the pending matters in the Bureau in all classifications and approximately 39% of all the delinquent matters in the Bureau in all classifications.

Through the drive which the Domestic Intelligence Division has been placing on all offices to reduce delinquency in security cases the delinquency in classification 100 has dropped from 22,518 on December 31, 1951, to 11,643 matters on November 30, 1952. We are continuing to follow the progress of all offices on a monthly basis to be certain that the backlog of work and delinquency is reduced. In order to follow all delinquent matters on an individual case basis additional personnel would be needed on the Security Index Desk. Therefore, we have attempted to work out a program to follow up the field delinquency in these matters from a practical standpoint without requiring additional personnel. Our analysis shows the biggest problem in this matter is in the 8 offices that reported 9,124 delinquent matters in classification 100 on December 31, 1952. If we eliminate the 8 offices from listing delinquent matters on individuals with the characters Security Matter and Internal Security - C, SWP, ISL and N and continue to follow them by monthly letters to drive the delinguency down we can handle this matter without additional personnel.

The analysis further reflects that if we are going to follow all delinquent matters on an individual case basis we would flood the larger offices with form follow-ups and would not accomplish the desired results of this program.

Executives' Conference Recommendation:

The Executives' Conference was of the unanimous opinion that we eliminate Boston, Chicago, Detroit, Los Angeles, Newark,

CWT

1415

New York, Philadelphia and San Francisco Offices from listing Security Matter and Internal Security - C, SMP, ISL and N cases from the bimonthly delinquency lists and follow the over-all progress of those offices in regard to their delinquency on a monthly basis. The Executives' Conference also recommended monthly basis those offices to cease listing the types of that we advise those offices to cease listing the types of cases listed above on their bimonthly delinquency lists.

Conference also recommended that we advise those offices to cease listing the types of that we advise those offices to cease listing the types of cases listed above on their bimonthly delinquency lists.

Conference also recommended to the field offices in line with the recommendation.

Respectfully, For the Conference

Clyde Tolson

۵۱^{۲.}

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO

DATE: January 2, 1959

FROM:

SUBJECT:

COMMUNIST SABOTAGE CAPABILITIES IN KEY FACILITIES; G-2 LIAISON

PURPOSE:

To advise that G-2 has requested a breakdown showing the number of Communists employed in various industries appearing on the Key Facilities List.

DETAILS:

G-2, advised that recently at an Army Commanders' Conference, the subject of the number of Communists employed in various vital facilities over which the Army has security responsibility was discussed. A number of Army Commanders expressed a keen interest in the number of Communists in various of the plants appearing on the Key Facilities List.

It was pointed out to that approximately two years ago the Bureau compiled a report showing the number of Communists in various types of vital facilities. advised, however, that this type of report would not suffice for their purposes and requested that a current report be compiled showing the names of the plants appearing on the Key Facilities List, the identity of the military establishment having security responsibility in each facility and showing the number of Communists employed in each facility.

It was pointed out to that a new Key Facilities List has recently been published and that this will cause considerable work in the Bureau revising Bureau records based upon the code appearing in the Key Facilities List. For this reason he was advised that the information which he requests cannot be compiled for approximately 50 to 90 days.

It is believed that a report of the type requested by could be prepared suitable for dissemination to G-2, ONI and OSI. It may also be desirable to consider

74/6

disseminating such information to the Munitions Board, the National Security Resources Eoard, the Facilities Protection Board and the Industry Evaluation Board, all of which have a direct interest and some jurisdiction in physical plant security matters.

to

Inasmuch as such a report would necessarily be classified Top Secret, it is not believed that dissemination of this information would create a security risk.

ACTION:

It is requested that this memorandum be forwarded to the Internal Security Section for their consideration.

- 2 -

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO

DATE: January 26, 1953

FROM:

PURPOSE:

To advise of contemplated action concerning the request of G-2 for the number of Communists employed in plants appearing in the Key Facilities List.

DETAILS:

from dated January 2, 1953, of
G-2 requested that a report showing the names of the plants appearing on the Key Facilities List, the identity of the military establishment having security responsibility for each facility and the number of Communists employed in each facility. Liaison pointed out to that inasmuch as the new Key Facilities List has been prepared and considerable work is involved in revising Bureau records the information which he requested cannot be compiled for 60 to 90 days.

After we have completed the conversion to the new Key Facilities List we will prepare a list identifying by geographical reference number the facilities for which the Army has security responsibility in which Security Indem subjects are employed. This list will identify such subjects by name.

It is not believed that we should furnish G-2 with the identities of Security Index subjects employed in very facilities for which the Army does not have security responsibility as indicated in the attached memorandum inasmuch as such responsibility has been specifically delegated to other agencies.

The suggestion in the attached memorandum that such a list be voluntarily submitted to other agencies having security responsibility for certain facilities will be considered after we have completed our conversion of the Security Index to the new Key Facilities List and are in a better position to evaluate the complete picture.

ACTION:

٦;

Further steps will be taken to comply with the request of G-2 when we have completed our conversion of the Security Index to the new Key Facilities List.

1416 X naum • united s tes government

DATE: January 12, 1953

FROM

SUBJECT TOTALITY FLASH

INDEX GEN'L

To consider the suggestion set forth in the attached memorandum from irs. Dawson to Mr. Tracy dated December 30, 1952, to delete some of the information now furnished to the Field and the Domestic Intelligence Division as the result of Security Flash Motices placed in the Identification Division

DETATLS:

Of the various items of information listed from A to O on pages two and three of the attached memorandum, in connection with a positive identification, it is believed that the description is of no value to the Field or the Domestic Intelligence Division as the investigation should produce a much better description than any put together from the information appearing on fingerprint cards.

Occupations also are believed to be of no value as this information also should be produced by the investigation.

The rest of the items, however, it is believed, are valuable to the rield and the Domestic Intelligence Division and should continue to be furnished upon receipt of the request for a Security Flash Notice.

In connection with a "maybe" identification, however, the description and occupations should be furnished together with the other information as of possible help to the field in establishing identity.

Upon receipt of a current incuiry or fingerprint card on the subject of a Security Flash Notice, the information concerning the inquiry or arrest is the only thing of interest to the Field and the Domestic Intelligence Division and it is believed unnecessary to furnish the Field and the Domestic Intelligence Division items A to O above again.

RECOURTING:

1. That the Identification Division, in response to a request for a Security Flash Motice, no longer furnish the Field or the Domestic Intelligence Division a description or occupations, in connection with positive identifications.

2. That after the Field or Domestic Intelligence Division once have been ${
m fur}$ nished the information contained in a fingerprint jacket in which a Security Flash Notice has been posted when a current inquiry or fingerprint card is received the Tield or the Panestic Intelligance Division be furnished only the information me the current inquiry or arrest and not a recapitulation of the information, errorious-If you agree, this memorandum should be described to r. Train AR 26 1953 RECORDED-107

Office Memora dum . UNITED STA ES GOVERNMEN

FROM

SUBJECT:

SECURITY FLASH NOTICES

DATE: December 30, 1952

SUGGESTION:

That the attached instructions regarding the handling of Security flash notices in the Typing Section be referred to the Domestic Intelligence Division with a request that they be reviewed to determine if the data now furnished can be reduced. It will be noted that the physical description and personal history furnished in follow-up copies, which might be sent several times, duplicates the data furnished at the time the flash is posted. It should be noted further that the same detailed information is sent whether or not a positive identification is established.

Advantages:

If the data furnished could be reduced, or omitted in certain instances, it would save a great deal of time for both the typist and the reader. Many of the jackets handled on these cases involve lengthy records and because of the background information now furnished much time is consumed in reviewing the fingerprint file in order to type such data. It takes as much as 50 minutes of the typist's time to handle a single jacket in some instances; reading time usually amounts to about one-third as much as typing time.

Disadvantages:

To be determined by the Domestic Intelligence Division.

Savings:

The savings will depend on the final recommendation of the Domestic Intelligence Division and cannot be figured until it is determined what portions of this work, if any, can be eliminated or reduced.

DPV:ad

Attachments

CURRENTLY RECEIVED

8

Upon receipt of Form FD-165, which is a request that a security flash be placed in the files of the Identification Division against the record of a certain individual, the following procedure is applied:

PRIOR TO RECEIPT IN THE TYPING SECTION:

- 1. The appropriate searches are conducted in the files of the Identification Division in an effort to locate the fingerprint record of the individual in whom the Field Office is interested.
- 2. If a positive identification or a good "may be" identification is established a flash notice is placed in the individual's fingerprint file and the case is forwarded to Typing Section for further handling.

If a record is located which appears to be that of the individual in whom the Field Office is interested but there is not a sufficient degree of identification to consider it a good "may be" identification, a flash notice is not placed, however, the Posting Section will forward the case to Typing Section with instructions to furnish the Field Office copies of the "may be" ident record and request the Field to resubmit the notice if it is determined that the record pertains to the individual in whom they are interested.

If a search through the Identification Division files is negative the case is handled entirely by the Posting Section by returning the FD-165 to the Field Office with an appropriate notation stamped thereon.

HANDLING IN THE TYPING SECTION: (see samples #1, #2, and #3 attached)

Upon receipt of these cases in the Typing Section a reply is prepared on Form 1-221 and, in addition, subject's fingerprint record is furnished when requested, together with all background information and physical description appearing in his fingerprine file. This data is furnished whether or not a positive identification is established and consists of the following:

a. Complete fingerprint record (including all Bureau information and Personal Identification prints).

b. All pertinent information included in previous replies to Bureau Field Divisions (i.e., cartions, file numbers, etc.) and whether such replies were furnished as "ident" or "may be" ident. NOTE: If such information was previously furnished the Field Office receiving the current reply it need not be repeated.

c. Advise if Bureau Field Divisions have been furnished record

because of a request on an old fingerprint card. d. Description (including conflicting data). MCTE: It will not be necessary to quote conflicting dates of birth or difference in age unless there is a discrepancy of two years or more. The state of the s

e. Residences

BICTOCHE

f. Compar ; and occupations.

g. Relatives (including person to be notified in case of emergency).

h. Aliases

i. Associates or accomplices

j. Fingerprint classification

k. Additional numbers, i.e., Service, Social Security, etc.

1. Additional pertinent information regarding the return of Service prints or other prints of a strategic nature.

m. Additional applicant information appearing on a Loyalty or Civil Service print if it has not been completely quoted because of the present manner of listing such prints.

n. Advise if fingerprint file contains, or does not contain, a photograph or if one can be obtained by contacting another

agency.

 Any subversive data that would not appear as part of the typed record.

OLD SECURITY FLASHES (From Bureau Field Offices)

When a current inquiry or fingerprint card is being acknowledged and the jacket contains a Security flash the usual current reply should be prepared and, in addition, two copies of the record should be furnished the Field Office responsible for the notice. In addition to the fingerprint record, all information should be included as instructed under flash notices currently received. Each time a current tingerprint card or inquiry is received this procedure is repeated.

If a current inquiry is acknowledged, a special paragraph should be added to the docket advising the Field Office the nature of the inquiry as well as any pertinent information received in the inquiry.

If an Alien Registration Form G-58 is to be acknowledged and the case is a "may be" ident, all information appearing on the G-58 should be quoted to the Field Office.

Whenever additional information of importance is added to a fingerprint file by another section and a Security flash is involved a Form 1-221 and two copies of the complete fingerprint record should be prepared for the Field Division. The form letter should advise the Field Division that additional information has been added to subject's record subsequent to the Bureau record furnished (date).

OLD SECURITY FLASHES (Posted to notify the "Security Division")

Handled in the same manner as those received from Eureau Field Divisions except that the copies are forwarded to the Domestic Intelligence Division instead of a Field Office.

See Sample #4.

SAMPLES



Un .. ed States Department of Jus. e Rederal Tureau of Livestigation Washington, D. C.

IN REPLY, PLEASE REFER TO

Director Federal Bureau of Investigation Washington, D. C.

Dear Sir:

It is requested that a security flash be placed in the files of the Identification Division against the record of the following individual:

Aliases (for additional space use reverse side)

(or) Local Registry number F.P.C.

The above individual is subject of a SECURITY INDEX CASE

In the event any information concerning this individual is received in the Identification Division notify

The above individual may be described as follows (not necessary if F3I number is furnished):

> 13-Occupation: l+Age: 2-Date of birth: 14-Marital status: 15-Residence: 3-Place of birth: 4. Height: 5-Weight: Scars, marks and peculiarities

Is identification record 9-Complexion: desired? Yes____ No____ 10-Race: 11-Sex:

Subject is not identical with FBI number

Approximate date fing ergrints forwarded to Federal Bureau of Investigation:

> Very truly yours, Port of the second of the seco

EXCLCOURT

Special agent in Charge

6-Build: 7-Eyes: 8-Hair:

12-Nationality:

SMPII 11

FD-16F currently received in the Identification Division requesting that a Security

Carte Caraca Car

a Nov. 1-111 is present in to a survive of the germent lequity. To calitica, subject's conflict interestable record, including physical description, personal discory, etc. is furnished such that a copolitive increation has been established. Non time a consequent findeneming once or include the record, including supposition the Fight Conflow is furnished. ----

.c a Page 3 Per a Ultional Data auction.

TO: SAC, Detroit

Date 12-16-52	
---------------	--

RE:	Was.	Your in	iquiry 10-23-52 and 11-4-52
7	SECURITY MATTER - C		
XX	Attached furnished in reply to your inquiry		A wanted notice has not been placed in this subject's record as he appears to be in custody.
	Only record available attached.	·	When you no longer desire your
	Records FBI# are furnished since it is believed that one may be identical with	-	wanted (or flash) notice maintained please advise this Bureau.
	in whom you are interested Fingerprint files fail to disclose		If you determine subject to be in custody, please advise this Bureau so that your notice may be cancelled.
	This matter is receiving attention and you will be advised at an early date.		If it is determined that the subject of this record is not the
	Supplementing FBI Laboratory report dated		individual wanted please advise this Bureau.
	Confirming FBI wire of		If the subject of the attached record is determined to be identical with the subject of your wanted
	Your communication is returned herewith.	٠	(or flash) notice and you will RESUBMIT YOUR NOTICE with advice to that effect, an appropriate
	Enclosure (2)		entry will be made.
	Copy to:		

John Edgar Hoover
Director

14/6X

TW-165 currently received in the Identification Division many edity that a Sa posted and subject's fingerprint record be furnished.

Good "magribe" identification astablished.

t Form 1-271 is prepared in admonification of the current in day and the of the property of the formula of the individual in whom they are interested. (Plant retion in process in the individual in whom they are interested. (Plant retion in process in the individual in subject's fingerprint file unless the field Office advises he to meet the and remains in subject's fingerprint file unless the field office advises he to meet's individual in whom they are interested). Subject's complete Lingerprint and acceptant lingerprint description, percent distance, atc. is familied. Each time a subject that the linger print card or insurance and type in species in the least to entities the Livi int, and the is furnished a copy of the record including liserable on, atc.

Note Pages 3 and 4 for additional information passed.

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION WASHINGTON, D. C. 12-16-52 Date To: SAC, Chicago (L) Ç... 11-3-52 Your inquiry SECURICIA INCINE - C RE: (Bufile and and) A wanted notice has not been placed Attached furnished in reply to your inquiry in this subject's record as he appears to be in custody. Only record available attached. When you no longer desire your wanted (or flact) notice chimtains please advise this Bureau. Records FBI# are furnished since it is believed that one may be identical with in whom you are interested If you determine subject to be in custody, please advise this Eureau so that your notice may be Fingerprint files fail to disclose cancelled. This matter is receiving attention and you If it is determined that the will be advised at an early date. subject of this record is not the individual wanted please advise this Bureau. Supplementing FBI Laboratory report dated If the subject of the attached record is determined to be identic with the subject of your wanted Confirming FBI wire of (or flash) notice and you will RESUBMIT YOUR NOTICE with advice Your communication is returned herewith. to that effect, an appropriate entry will be made. Enclosures (2) IX If it is determined that the subject of this recent is no

Copy to:

John Edgar Hoc. or Director

THIT

be so airdead.

tim publicat of your committee flood bearing the

SILIPLE #3

FD-165 currently received in the Adentification Division requesting that a Security Clash be posted and subject's fingerprint record be furnished.

"May be" inentification actablished but sufficient degree of Identification as a taken we have

A Form 1-221 is proposed in degree ledge and of the current involve and the incoming latter is returned to the field Office with a request that they resubmit the notice if it is determined that the subject of the record lumnished is the subject in domethay are interested. The fingerprint record is furnished together with physical description, parsonalistory, etc.

See Page 3 for additional data quoted.

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 'EDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATI WASHINGTON, D. C.

** TEDERAL BUREAU OF WASHINGTO	ON, D. C.
TO: SAC, Baltimore (12.2.2.2.2.)	Date <u>12-16-52</u>
RE: , was.	Your inquiry 10-29-52
Bureau file	•
Attached furnished in reply to your inquir	y A wanted notice has not been placed in this subject's record as he appears to be in custody.
Only record available attached.	When you no longer desire your
Records FBI# are furnished since it is believed that one	wanted (or flash) notice maintained please advise this Bureau.
may be identical with in whom you are interested	If you determine subject to be in custody, please advise this Bureau
Fingerprint files fail to disclose	so that your notice may be cancelled.
This matter is receiving attention and you will be advised at an early date.	If it is determined that the subject of this record is not the individual wanted please advise
Supplementing FBI Laboratory report dated	this Bureau.
Confirming FBI wire of	If the subject of the attached record is determined to be identicated with the subject of your wanted
Tour communication is returned nerewith.	(or flash) notice and you will RESUBMIT YOUR NOTICE with advice to that effect, an appropriate entry will be made.
Enclosures (3)	CHOLY WILL DO MARCO.
Copy to:	
	Ş
	•
	:

STANDARD FORM NO. 6

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO Director, FBI.

DATE: 1/16/53

FROM : SAC, New York.

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX

VERIFICATION PROJECT

ATT.:

Rebulet 12/29/52 in which the Bureau instructed that a special group of agents numbering from 10 to 15 be assigned exclusively to the verification of addresses of Security Index subjects.

Please be advised that it has recently been necessary to assign more than 100 agents on a special project involving the investigation of waterfront activities. These agents were taken from various sections of the New York Office. As a result it is not possible at this line to set up a group of agents for that purpose and it is, therefore, requested that the Bureau defer our setting up this special group for a 60 day period. UACB, this group will not be set up at this time.

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO

DATE: January 30, 1953

FROM:

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the total cards in the Security

Index.

DETAILS:

During the past week 59 new cards were added to the Security Index and 19 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 40 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 20,303.

SAC, Albany

February 5, 1951

Director, FDI

SECURITY INTUSTICATIONS
PENDING ACTIVE INVESTIGATIONS CONSIDERING
SUBJECTS FOR THE GROWNITY INDEX

It is necessary that we bring to a legical conclusion a cases in which introdigations remain to be conducted prior to determining whether the subject should or should not be recommend for the Security Ender.

In this connection it is obvious that these cases must investigated and all dangerous individuals included in the Security Index if we are to afferd the Security Index program maximum effectiveness in time of a national avergency. Large numbers of these unworked cases remain in the larger field offices. However 21 of the field offices receiving copies of this communication has approximately 25 to 155 cases in this category. It is fully realized that offices having effective informant coverage will continually open new cases in this field and that as a result the will always be a cortain number of pending cases of this type.

Each office receiving copies of this communication show carefully analyze this problem insofar as it affects the office a direct investigative attention to reduce the number of cases in a category to an absolute minimum. Insomuch as you are now under instructions to assign all pending matters in your office, the

Bureau's desire in this matter should be called to the attention of all personnel assigned to this type of investigative work and to all supervisory personnel.

The Bureau expects all offices receiving copies of this communication to drastically reduce the number of cases in this category between now and May 1, 1953. By that time, if you are one of the 21 offices having from 25 to 135 cases in this obtaining you should have the backlog of these cases at an absolute minimum. Following the preparation of your April administrative report each office should surnish the Eureau with the number of penaing cases in this category. Only the number of such cases is desired and it is not necessary to identify these cases. Each office should consider only the cases in which it is office of origin and include in the number only those cases in which active investigation or file reviews remain to be conducted before determination can be made as to whether the subject should be recommended for the Security Index.

The memorandum requested above setting forth the status in this matter should be captioned as set out above and should reach the Bureau by May 11, 1953.

- 2 -

Office Mernorandum • united states government

TO : ALL SECURITY INDEX DESK SUPERVISORS

DATE: (489 7 1983

FROM :

SUBJECT:

٠,

SECURITY INDEX KEY FACILITIES
ADJUSTMENT TO 1953 KEY FACILITIES LIST
Bufile

Your attention is directed to the attached SAC Letter which sets forth instructions to the field regarding steps to be taken by the field to adjust the Security Index to the 1953 Key Facilities List.

The many changes made in the Key Facilities List makes it necessary that the following steps be taken by personnel on the Security Index Desk handling Key Facility cases to assure that such cases are properly handled in the Section.

You will note that the field is instructed to check the entire Security Index against the 1953 KFL to determine the identity of each subject employed in a facility which is included in that list. Form FD-122 is to be submitted by each office on each subject employed in a Key Facility irrespective of whether he has previously been a Key Facility subject. This form will bear the Key Facility geographical reference number, the abbreviation for the agency having security responsibility for the facility and the identities of the interested agencies.

Upon receipt of these forms new Security Index cards will be prepared and furnished to the field. Thereafter, the forms will be routed to the proper personnel on the Security Index Desk to be handled as follows.

The case file of each subject must be reviewed to determine if we have disseminated information in the case to the proper agency or agencies in accordance with the 1953 KFL. If the proper dissemination has not been made it should be made at this time according to the following instructions.

Army, Navy, Air Force, Coast Guard

If the Army (A), Navy (N), Air Force (A.F.) or the Coast Guard (C.G.) have security responsibility and

420

have not been furnished previous reports, one copy of each pertinent report should be disseminated by routing slip to the appropriate intelligence agency of the Armed Service indicated. The Coast Guard will be furnished reports in those cases where they have security responsibility.

It will not be necessary for you to disseminate to these agencies in Key Facility cases where they are solely interested agencies having no security responsibility for the Key Facility.

Atomic Energy Commission (A.E.C.)

Dissemination of pertinent reports must be made to the Atomic Energy Commission in any Key Facility case where that Agency has security responsibility or is an interested agency as reflected in the Key Facilities List.

Marine Corps (H.C.)

No direct dissemination of reports is to be made to the Marine Corps. In those Key Facility cases where the Marine Corps has security responsibility or is an interested agency, copies of pertinent reports should be disseminated to the Office of Naval Intelligence.

Armed Forces Special Weapons Project (SWP)

In those cases where the Armed Forces Special Weapons Project has security responsibility or is an interested agency, copies of pertinent reports should be furnished by cover memorandum, addressed to the Chief of Intelligence and Security, Headquarters, Armed Forces Special Weapons Project, Pentagon Building, Washington 25, D.C.

Research and Development Board (RDB)

The Key Facilities List does not identify any facility for which the Research and Development Read has security responsibility. That appnay is an interested agency in some of the facilities. No direct dissemination need be made by you to the RDB. Dissemination to the agency having security responsibility will be sufficient.

- 2 -

Maritime Administration (M.A.)

The Maritime Administration should not be furnished copies of reports in cases involving facilities for which that agency has security responsibility or in which it is an interested agency. Pertinent information in such a case should be set forth in a memorandum which should be transmitted to the Maritime Administration via Liaison and addressed to Mr. The Jr.,

No. 10. S. Maritime Administration, Room 4837, U. S. Department of Commerce Building,
Washington 25, D. C. It is not anticipated that it will be necessary to disseminate to the Maritime Administration in very many instances.

The above instructions regarding dissemination of information concerning subjects of security investigations who are employed in Key Facilities apply to all such subjects whether they are or are not in the Security Index.

Office Memorandum • United States Government

DATE: January 28, 1953

TO

FROM:

SUBJECT: SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS
PENDING ACTIVE INVESTIGATIONS CONSIDERING
SUBJECTS FOR THE SECURITY INDEX

PURPOSE?

To submit a proposed letter to 30 field offices advising that pending investigations necessary to determine whether an individual should be recommended for the Security Index should be completed as rapidly as possible.

DETAILS:

During December all field offices submitted the results of a survey to determine the number of investigations pending in which investigation remains to be conducted prior pending a determination as to whether a Security Index card to making a determination as to whether a 9,497 cases in should be recommended. The field reported 9,497 cases in that category. The New York Office reported 4,708 or almost that category. The New York Office reported 614, Detroit 501, 50 percent of the total. Los Angeles reported 614, Detroit 501, Chicago 438, San Francisco 387, Philadelphia 336, Boston 284, Chicago 438, San Francisco

In view of the instructions to the field to continue the moratorium on summary reports in Security Index cases and to concentrate on reducing the backlog of work in the security to concentrate on reducing the backlog of work in the security field unless personnel is available to prepare summaries, it is recommended that the attached letter be sent to the 30 offices recommended that the attached letter be sent to the 30 offices who reported more than 25 cases in this category. The letter is not being directed to the 22 offices having 25 or fewer cases of this type because those offices present no particular problem in the matter and should normally have a certain number of cases of this type at all times.

ACTION:

If you approve there is attached hereto a letter to Albany with copies to 29 other offices.

position and the first of

subjects with the continues of the Salar IS.

r : 3'

Pacificles List. This list shows in the second of the second seco bratistics Specion to trepure bloomity index card. Classe apon i cordecione so fiel. Ascasced is a process SAC Letter.

To enterior and more sed with Leater to the control of the control

The Department of Defense has now load to a The Department of Defense has now and the company of province to the company of t

contained a minimum of information, which we cut up and distributed to a propriate officed. In distribution one distributed to a propriate officed. In distribution one factorished to a propriate officed. In distribution one furnished sufficient additional copies of various butternished sufficient additional copies of various butternished sufficient additional copies of various butternished sufficient of facilities in a state covered in a complete issuing of facilities in a state covered in whole or in that by a particular office. The Department of Defence has also immunished sufficient copies of the office whose of the heart facilities List containing appropriate descriptive data, to enable complete dissemination. This will in effect enable up to furnity a secondaries with a complete May Facilities List insofer as it relates to the territory covered by that office.

Each office will have to be certain that the guide cards in the plant informant index conform with the new list of Key Facilities. When this has been done, new list of Key Facilities completed, the 1932 Mey Facilities and changes and additions completed, the 1932 Mey Facilities and changes and additions completed, the Eureau not later list should be destroyed in accordance with the insuructions from the Department of Defense. Hack office has tions from the Department of Defense the Eureau not later therefore been instructed to advise the Eureau not later than March 1, 1933, that alle pares and parts of pares than March 1, 1933, that alle pares and parts of pares of the 1952 Key Facilities Dist have been destroyed. This same signation applies to the copies of the 1952 Key same signature that Insurance at the Bureau. However, it is Facilities List maintained at the Bureau. However, it is believed mean the 1952 Mey Facilities List should be believed mean the Field and we have been notified by the field that all copies of the 1952 Mey Facilities List have been destroyed.

The attracted SAC Letter contains instructions remarding the steps to be taken by the rield to adjust the Security Index to the 1933 May Pacifities List. Because of numerous changes in the List it will be necessary for the field to creek Security Index subjects against the List and submit appropriate changes in Decurity Index Cardy to the Impact. Adjustments of the Security Index to the Tield papears no enjoy problem in the endured about the Purson. The Statistics dection has made herevision the Purson. The Statistics dection has made herevision for proparing new Security Index cards based upon data submitted by the field on Forms FD-122 in accordance with the instructions contained in the SAC Letter.

* ;

In the future, Security Index cards for Key Facilities subjects will contain the geographical reference number and responsibility designation, as reflected in the May Facilities biot, for onch facility in which each subject is employed. This data will be coded on the IBM Machine by the Statistics Section. This will enable us to determine the number and identities of Security Index subjects employed in any facility aspearing on the Key Facilities List. We will thus be able to handle any future requests received from other intelligence agencies for such data.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

It is recommended that appropriate sections of the 1953 Rey Facilities List be distributed to the Field as enclosures to an SAC Letter. It is also recommended that the instructions in the attached proposed SAC Letter be transmitted at the same time.

ACTION:

The proposed SAC Letter is attached for your

Before transmittal of this SAC Letter to the should be contacted for the enclosures.

3h)

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO

DATE: February 6, 1953

FROM:

SUBJECT:

OSECURITY INDEX - GO OF A

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the total cards in the Security Index.

DETAILS:

During the past week 100 new cards were added to the Security Index and 27 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 73 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 20,376.

S. CURITY INFORMATION - TO THE STATE OF THE

Assistant Attorney Ceneral Warren Olney III Criminal Division

February 3, 1953

Director, FBI

SECURITY INDEX LIST

There is attached hereto a current list of the names of the individuals maintained in the Security Index. This list is subdivided alphabetically under the field offices of this Bureau covering the residences of the individuals listed.

It is requested that this list be given utmost security.

Attachment

NOTE ON YELLOW ONLY:

Espionage Section of the Special Section not included.

RECORDED - 59

SECURITY INFORMATION - (

1425

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

то

DATE: January 23, 1953

FROM :

J.C.

SUBJECT: BIMONTHLY DELINQUENCY LASTS AND GENTLE SUPERVISION OF CASES ON SECURITY INDEX-DESK

SYNOPSIS:

Eight of the larger field offices have been specifically exempted from including on bimonthly delinquency lists delinquent matters bearing the characters Security Matter or Internal Security - C, SWP, ISL or N. It is recommended that we initially follow all matters on the Security Index Desk on a 60-day basis rather than a 90-day basis. In regard to the bimonthly delinquency lists from the 44 offices, it is recommended that where we are already following the case by tickler we will use a 60-day basis for the initial follow-up and that in those matters on which we do not presently maintain a tickler, whether identified with an existing file or not, we will follow on a 60-day basis unless the matters have been opened in the field more than 120 days. In the latter cases the matters will be followed by 0-1 Form at the time the delinquency list is reviewed. If you approve, this procedure will be adopted.

PURPOSE:

To make recommendations in regard to handling the bimonthly delinquency lists in 44 offices insofar as the Security Index 's concerned.

PDETAILS:

As you know the Executives' Conference on January 12, 1953, considered the problem of handling the bimonthly delinquency lists insofar as the Security Index Desk is concerned. Because of the large volume of delinquent matters bearing the characters security Matter or Internal Security - C, SWP, ISL or N, eight Security Matter of Internal Security - C, SWP, ISL or N, eight of the larger offices have been instructed not to list those of the larger offices have been instructed not to list those of the larger offices have been instructed not to list those of the larger offices have been instructed not to list those offices we will continue to follow the over-all delinquency on a monthly basis but all delinquent cases will not be followed. a monthly basis but all delinquent cases in those offices known to be we will, however, follow all cases in those offices known to be pending other than from the delinquency lists on a 60-day basis pending other than on a 90-day basis as we have in the past.

The eight offices exempted are Boston, Chicago, Detroit, Los Angeles, Newark, New York, Philadelphia and San Francisco.

FLC:mjt 7

TOT - OBOUDED - TOT

for some time the Security Index Desk has been following pending cases initially on a 90-day basis or sooner if the facts warranted. It is believed that the importance of security investigations warrant following all cases initially onas 60-day basis. Of course, this will apply to the cases known to be pending in the 8 larger offices mentioned above as well as the other 44 offices. This will accelerate considerably the number of 0-1 Forms and follow-up letters sent to the 8 offices and afford closer case supervision.

Recommendation Number 1:

That all cases handled on the Security Index Desk be followed initially on a 60-day basis or sooner and, thereafter, as the facts warrant.

It is suggested that the following procedure be used on the Security Index Desk in handling the delinquency lists from the remaining 44 offices. Each delinquent matter listed would come within one of the following three categories:

Delinquent Cases Presently Followed by Tickler On Security Index Desk

The delinquency lists will be checked against ticklers presently being maintained on the Security Index Desk. If a tickler is maintained the procedure of initially following routine cases by 0-1 Form on a 60-day basis will be followed.

If a case is being followed presently on tickler by the Supervisor handling the office of origin and the same subject matter is listed as delinquent by an auxiliary office the delinquency list will be routed to the Supervisor handling the office of crigin in order that appropriate attention can be given to following the auxiliary office listing the delinquent matter.

2. Delinauent Cases on Lists With Main Bureau Subversive File But not Presently Followed on Tickler

There are many names in this group on the delinquency lists which will require a Records Section check to determine whether there is a main subversive file at the Bureau. The Bureau will not know the field's basis for having the cases pending and the Bureau's files will be in a closed status. Such cases will have been

opened in the field to prepare summary reports or locate subjects in Security Index cases, to consider for interviews, to report additional derogatory information, etc.

If a main subversive file is located a tickler will be prepared and set forward 60 days providing the delinquent matter is shown to have been opened in the field less than 120 days. If this type of case appears on the next bimonthly list from the field the matter will be followed by 0-1 Form as indicated under number 1 above. Each case file in this group should be reviewed at the time the tickler is prepared to be certain the file does not contain information which directs that the pending investigation be expedited.

If a matter in this category is shown on the delinquency list to have been opened in the field office for 120 days or more, the matter will be followed by an 0-1 Form requesting the status and when the results of the investigation can be expected.

If the main Bureau subversive file reflects an office of origin which differs from the office reporting the delinquent matter, the matter will be followed by the Supervisor handling the field office shown as the office of origin in the Bureau case file.

2. Delinquent Cases on Lists For Which No Main Bureau Subversive File Can Be Located

Records Section check. In the past the field has not advised the Bureau each time a classification 100 case is opened. Many of these cases have been opened on complaints received which after preliminary inquiry are determined to be unfounded or not to come within the Bureau's standards for conducting security investigations. If those facts are determined the field office will normally close the case administratively without advising the Bureau. It is believed that the supervision in this type of case should remain the responsibility of the Special Agent in Charge who is already under instructions to keep the Bureau advised in all important matters.

It is believed that the most economical and logical approach to following this type of case is to prepare a tickler when the case is listed on the bi-monthly delinquency list and to set the tickler up for

60 days providing the delinquent matter is shown to have been opened in the field office less than 120 days. The tickler will be identified as one prepared where there is no main Bureau file and is on the bimonthly delinquency list. In those instances if the case appears on the next bimonthly delinquency list the matter will be followed by 0-1 Form requesting the status of the matter and when the results of the investigation can be expected. Ticklers for cases of this type which do not appear on the next bimonthly listings will be automatically destroyed by the Supervisor on the Desk since the case has been closed administratively in the field.

If a matter in this category is shown on the list to have been opened in the field office for 120 days or more the matter will be followed by an 0-1 Form at the time the delinquency list is reviewed and the field will be requested to advise regarding the status of the case and when the results of the investigation can be expected.

Recommendation Number 2:

If you approve, the proposed method of handling the delinquency lists by the Security Index Desk will be followed.

OBSERVATION:

No recommendation requesting additional personnel will be submitted until the above procedures have been placed into operation and it can be determined that the changing of the initial follow-up from 90 to 60 days and the handling of the delinquency lists have increased Seat of Government supervision to the extent that additional supervisory and clerical personnel is necessary to keep the work in a current status.

ACTION:

If you approve, recommendations 1 and 2 will be followed on the Security Index Desk.

m, 4 - 4 -

et of

Phe

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO

DATE: 2/3/53

FROM :

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX CARD STATISTICS

There is attached hereto a table showing by Field Office the total number of Security Index cards in our files. This table indicates the nationalistic tendency, the dangerousness classification, sex, race, citizenship status, and others on one of the "special lists."

These statistics are based on Statistical Section Records as of January 15, 1953.

Attachment

	1111		u cir	NATIO PIL 1	NAL IST SL	IC TENT	NCY	ROR	ANTZA	TION AF	171 IA1 53F	TION YIC	Urs	171	HIN	YIS			<u></u>	-: -:	<u> </u>		17	Y111	<u>r:1:</u>	11511	<u>1 - 31-1</u>							<u>stm</u> u	4 3:	CT:CN			
		20,17	18,917	5 1	53	331	24	1	38	0	672	18	7	0	1	10								. FALL			-6-	<u>ئ</u> ا خند -	***			111	كلخند	نين ا	و زو	·	<u> </u>	L.Z	
	ALMANY ALMI JIRA ANIMINASE AILANTA MALTIMIRE)UE 5: 2: 1:	267 2 52 5 24 5 13 5 338		1	ė'			2		1 5				•			. 1		4 6	43 4		177	144 -	267 47 71 -	4 H 2 4		• ••	244 51 23	25 3 1	240 2 1		Same and the same of the same	<u>}</u> "	39		lo	lé	,
	MEMMINUMA M. NELW MLFFALD METTE CMANUSTEE	493 251 76	10 466 211 76 57	1	2		2		•		27 39							,	A (0) [(3)	4	3 24 26 13	3	,	-3 ·	10 378 209		10 10 1	,	215 76	1 27 42			STATE SALES OF THE		,				
	CHICAGO CON MS CON MS	635	1033 140 579 44 166	1	21		12	1	1		39 1 37	2				3			40 4 86 152 7	15 5 4 3 15 4		4 - 4	636. 143.	250 1 h 101	749	14	40 2 9 2 7	:	941 151 565	40 i: 5	A			1	•			! ?	
•	ERTHUTE EL MASO MIMICULLU MIMISTUM INCLANAPOL	12 80 72 .15 248	1201 12 87 68 240		חי	1	5		•	•	1 4	2				2	•		60 4 5 42 38 21 1	.5)	1 27 13	4, 4	444 F7 53	345 4 21	+ 7 h Q 75 64	361 2 6 7	47	5 1	060 12 31 57	1	3 6 6		Active to the control of	. 1				,	
	ENVEY CE	10 21 5 3247	10	:	23		2		į	1	17	2	•						16 4 13 50 A	1	3 1 71	19	29 6 16	11 4 5	38 A 20	2	60	21 30	3A 10 12	2 9 266	30	1	The second second second		5			1	
	dibum() midwl midwaykte miniterioli mimite	100	100 278 416		1	,	a compression of the compression				24 74							1	6 47 30 1 73 1		3 6 11 28		44	4	12 59 236	1 37 61 68	2 5 6	,	A4	3 4 14	4		A STATE OF THE STA						<u>-</u> ن
ı	Norwalde Nor	98.0 83.00	616 481 68 3570 18	1		3			1		16 4 72	•			1	2		13	7A ;	44 21	94 30 9 74	16 26	63 14 48	175 4 172 3	697 61	149	A 5 2	7 4	40 46 08 ;		1		- Control of the Cont	_	2 1 1 6	1.	5		7
	PLAMENA (CMAMA PM LALELPHI PMLENIE PRITTE HOM	43 14 914 90	43	,	12	1	1		1 + 2		41 2 20	٠						3/	19 13 : 33	15	5 4 55 5	4 6	36 34 12 1	11 9 107 7	47 39 111 1	1 194 21	2 7 1	1 2 8	A 2	4 5 7 8	r		The second second		1				
•		24 196 (17 8)			1						6					ı			71 11 22 +1	56 10 53	16 4 29 13	1 1	45 16 38 51	56 1 6 58 1	71 21 60 78	24 2 33 3	11	1 1	97 21 53	61 4 3 4) 7	2		1		2				
	AN UIE-O AN THANCIS AN UUAN ANAMAM EATILE	345			6 27	1	. !		1		56 23		7					104 22	57 10 17 10 16 10	1	A A5 12	2 12	#7 32 7 52 5	53] 46 16 33 3	6 16 3	3	2	2 1 11 17 3	13 2 35 3	3	3 41 10			1	5				
	ord shor stad Abrishusta	5+7 105				2			•		2					•			6 2	A	6	2 4:	74	2A 97 1	84	53 17 52	15 1 6		85	46 17 35	1		And the second second second	17	3	,	i		
•							1						•																				3 3,						
							1													-					•														

. SECURITY INFORMATION -

Wit

Assistant Attorney General Varren Olney III Criminal Division

February 17, 1953.

Director, FBI

Osecurity index list

gin

There is attached hereto a current list of the names of the individuals maintained in the Security Index. Names included in the nain portion of the list are arranged alphabetically. The names of individuals coming within five designated groups are listed on separate pages. Following each name is information reflecting the race, sex, birth date, Bureau file number and the Bureau field office covering the residence of the individual.

It is requested that this list be given utmost security.

Attachment

District PLC:mjt-17

NOTE ON YELLOW ONLY: Espionage Section of the Special Section not included.

The five designated groups are:
Foreign Covernment Employees
United Nations Employees
U. S. Government Employees
Pro-Tito Yugoslavs
Atomic Energy Program Employees

8gorr - 100 ac N°S OF CONTRACT | 18 85 10 ac N°S OF CONTRACT | 18 86 10 ac N°S OF CONTRACT | 18

All

P 26 1003-----

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • united stales government

TO : THE DIRECTOR

DATE: February 13, 1953

FROM :

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the total cards in the Security Index and of the total number approved by the Department to date.

DETAILS:

The following is a report on the increase in the Security Index since the last count was furnished to you on January 9, 1953.

Week of	New Cards	Cards	Net
	Added	Cancelled	<u>Increase</u>
January 10-16	74	21	53
January 17-23	89	21	68
January 24-30	5 9	19	40
Jan. 31 - Feb. 6	100	27	73
Feb. 7-13	124	42	82
${\it Total}$	446	130	316

For your information, during the preceding four-week period 315 cards were added and 38 cards were cancelled, a net increase during the period of 227 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 20,458.

To date the Department has specifically approved in writing the listing of 385 names in the Decurity Index.

STATIDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • United s ates government

TO

DATE: 2/20/53

FROM :

SUBJECT: CHOURISY HIDER CARD STATISTICS

There is attached hereto a table showing by Field Office the total number of Security Index cards in our files. This table indicates the nationalistic tendency, the danger-ourness classification, sex, race, citizenchip status, and others on one of the "special lists." A key to the abbreviations is also included.

These statistics are based on Statistical Section Records as of February 15. 1953.

Alien AL Comsab CS DC Detecn Koy Pigure KF NA Haturalized NBLative Lorn Top Functionary TF Atomic Energy Program employees AEP. Inligation
Communist Party, USA
Czechoslovanian
Espionage subjects
Foreign Government employees
United States Government employees BUL COH CZE ESP FGE GOV HUN Hungarian Independent Labor League
Independent Socialist League
Nationalist Party of Puerto Rico
Proletarian Party of America
Prominent Person
Engantar ILL ISL MPR PPA PRO Rumanian RUM RUS Russian Revolutionary Workers' League Socialist Workers' Party United Labor Party United Nations employees RWL SWP ULP UNE Yugoslavian

YUG

A SAME DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY					ON A FED TANTONS POLSONS	OO - DRP DP	是 到八百四十年
THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY.	A Contract C	the second second second	LAST TOWN				
Z Z Z	160 19716			T S		16 7 Q	
LEANY 5971	Z69 : 265				2 (2.1		7-35-57
ALBUQUERQUE COLA	1249 2.249						
ANCHORAGE ATLANTA	+26 = 24 +13 = 13						200
SALTIMORE 221	346 339	3			4.0		
PERMENGHAN 7 5 5 1	10 10 499 474		,				
RUFFALO. 4445	TELEPOOR C	- 1 2		4.	39		
	74 74						
CHAREOTTE	53 53					al.	
	1137 1045	22	8 12		40 -	6	
CINCINNATE	192 191				SE VENTE		10 No.
LEVELAND TO A	646 - 590 - 44 - 44	2			. 38		
DENVER - 90 9557	168167						
	2-1-1						
EIROIT	1305-1215- 12 12	1000			64		
L PASO AP	87 86						
OUSTON:	72 68				4/-		4400
HED LANAPOLS S	244 237						
MISAS CITY OF	39 38	4445					
OUTVILLE SERVICE	10 %10					7 7 1 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
OS ANGELES	71 - 21 3337 3182						
COULSVILLERE	3331 3102° 3,26 26₌	1973			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
E PRI S	13 13 101 101						
I LEAUKE LANGESTON	303 279				74		
THREAPOLISE SACA	303 279 489 414				74		
OSILE	, 5 ≯ 5						
EWARK.	644 622	3			16		
EN HAVEN	487 484 71 71						
EW ORLEANS SEAR	71:71 3852 3688÷	2 4 7 4					
NEW YORK TAGES	.18 - 18						
KLAHONA CITY							
HILADELPHIAMES	925 870			- 16 × 27 ×	40		
PHOENIX	× 90 87						
TITISBURGH SES	395 -371				20.		40.72
PORTLAND	203 -201						
COMONO STATE	225 24 193 186				THE CASE	是是这些主义	
SAINT-LOUIS	193 I86 80 280						
AN ANIONIO	28 28				**************************************		
				A STATE OF			
AN DIEGO TOUR	146 146						
	1988 1915 380 - 102		27				
	200						
EATTLE NOT	-648 616	9					
The second secon							
SPRINGFIELD://Eq.	102 100						在19

