Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO

DATE: May 21, 1952

FROM

SUBJECT:

OSECURITY INDEX - CARENTE REPORTS

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the progress of the Field in submitting summary reports on all Security Index subjects.

BACKGROUND:

Each office is required to submit a quarterly status letter in connection with the project of preparing summary reports reflecting the progress made in submission of summary reports on all Security Index subjects who are not employed in vital facilities or are not key Figures. Summary reports on vital facility employees are to be submitted at the time the regularly scheduled six-month report is due in each case. Summaries on key Figures are being deferred until after summaries are prepared on all other Security Index subjects.

There are 14,606 non-vital facility and non-Key Figure cases in which summary reports have been scheduled for preparation. The Field has reported that as of April 1, 1952, 1,196 summaries had been submitted. This is approximately 8.2% of the reports in such cases.

Four of the offices having a small number of Security Index subjects have completed the project. The majority of the offices anticipate completion of the project by the end of 1952 or the fore part of 1953. The date of completion in each office will, of course, depend upon the manpower made available to the project.

Attached for your information is a breakdown of the status of the project in each office.

We are following those offices who are not making satisfactory progress in this matter on an individual office basis. You will be advised of the progress of the project after receipt of the next quarterly status letters which will be due July 1, 1952.

STATUS OF

SECHBITY	المنافق الماسية الماسية	-	
		7007707	
المراء مناز	J. 12 C		

As of Abril 1, 1958

lecurium Injen elnec en ether than jugal meditim mi Ney Migure publicats

Albany Albany Albanyercue Anchorage Atlanta Baltimore Birningham Boston Buffalo Rutte Charlotte Chicago Cincinnati Cleveland Dallas Denver Detroit El Paso Honolulu Houston Indianapolis Kansas City Knowville Little Rock Los Angeles Louisville Memphis Miani Nilwaukee Minneapolis Mobile Newark	Tumber of Summaries Cohest 126 .for Preservisor 127 .54 .28 .10 .274 .25 .26 .127 .538 .12 .27 .27 .27 .27 .27 .27 .27 .27 .27 .2	Tunber of Cummories ubmit ed as of 17 26 18 10 10 70 72 26 15 36 77 6 15 10 63 40 10 63 40 10 6 22	Humber of Summories to be Fredered. 144 6 20 11 247 1 247 1 248 0 140 507 0 21 44 53 20 0 7 25 6 40 174 264 1 413	### ##################################
•				•

IIII

Office	Number of Eummaries Scheduled for Preparation	Eumber of Summaries Submitted as of April 1, 1952	Number of Evaleries to be <u>Frencred</u>	Percentage <u>Con luted</u>
New Haven New Orleans New York Norfolk Chlahoma City Omaha Philadelphia Phoenix Pitteburgh Portland Bichnond Saint Louis Salt Lake City San Antonio San Diego San Francisco San Juan Savannah Seattle Springfield Washington Field	376 40 2,000 15 61 36 720 87 198 153 18 150 40 13 92 1,627 201 4 543 36 915	26 4 138 15 31 9 21 25 23 35 7 0 20 7 42 20 4 16 20 22	350 44 2,836 0 30 29 699 62 175 118 11 150 20 6 85 1,585 281 0 527 66 193	75 50 51 20 37 20 39 20 39 39 50 54 30 54 30 54 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30
Total	14,606	1,196	13, <u>4</u> 10	C • 15',5

3

123 75

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO:

DATE: May 23, 1952

FROM:

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the total cards in the Security Index.

DETAILS:

During the past week 88 new cards were added to the Security Index and 28 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 60 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 18,594.

Office Mer

m · UNITE

OVERNMENT

TO

DATE: 5/26/52

FROM

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX CARD STATISTICS

There is attached hereto a table showing by Field Office the total number of Security Index cards in our files. This table indicates the nationalistic tendency, the dangerousness classification, sex, race, citizenship status, and others on one of the "special" lists.

These statistics are based on Statistical Section records as of May 15, 1952.

FIELD OFFICE

The first four letters of the field office are used in all cases except St. Louis, which is coded STLO.

NATIONALISTIC TENDENCY OR ORGANIZATIONAL AFFILIATION

Cormunist Party, USA COM Bulgarian BUL Independent Socialist Learue ISL Nationalist Party of Puerto Rico Proletarian Party of America MPR PPA Revolutionary Workers League RWL Russian RUS Polish POL Socialist Workers Party SWP Yuroslavian Al.C Union of the people for the establishment of the Republic of Puerto Rico UPR United Labor Party ULP Hungarian HUN Miscellaneous(any Nationalistic Tendency or MIS Organizational Affiliation not listed)

DANGERO'SHESS CLASSIFICATION

Priority Detention in the event of an DC (DetCom) Emergency Potential Communist Saboteurs (ComSab) CS Key Figures KF Top Functionary

CITIZENSHIP STATUS

TF

Native Born NВ Naturalized NA Alien AL Unknown uk

SPECIAL SECTION.

Atomic Energy Program employees AEP Espionage subjects ESP Foreign Government employees FGE United States Government employees GOV Prominent Person PRO United Nations employee UNE Pro-Tito YUG

ENCI

SECURIAY INDEX CARD STATISTICS - BY FIELD OFFICE BASED OF STATISTICAL SECTION RECORDS AS OF MAY 15, 1952

				WATIC	HALI ST	IC TEN	DENCY (OR ORG	ANIZAT:	ION AF	ILIATI	OF						
PIELD OFFICE	TOTAL	CCM	BUL	ISL.	MI.S	PPA	RML	RUS	POL	EMP	YUG	UPR	ULP	HUN	MIS	_00_	C8	
	18,524	17,384	3	131	308	22	2	42	1	600	16	9	2	1	3	7,784		1,29
ALBANY	262	258	-					2		2						129	88	3
ALBUQUERQUE	49	49														23	18	
ANCHORAGE	28	26		1						1						3	2	
ATLANTA	14	14		_												3 3 3	_	5
BALTIMORE	352	345		3						•						213	127	-
DALITMORE	,,,															••	4	
BIRMINGHAM	11	11														11	95	2
BOSTON	452	433				2		1		16						170		
BUFFALO	277	235	1	2				4		35				•		145	74	3
BUTTE	76	76	•	-												37	25	1
CHARLOTTE	49	49														26	20	
CHARLOTTE	7.7																463	
CHICAGO	1071	993		16	5	12		1	1	38	5					521	451	13
	188	187								1						82	42	
CINCINNATI	522	488	1	6			1			23	2		1			302	207	•
CLEVELAND		45	•	·			-									26	17	
DALLAS	45 158	158														. 47	22	1
DENVER	128	190															4	
DETROIT	1206	1132		15		2				54	1		1		· 1	623	419	•
DETROIT	1205	16		17		-										8	7	
EL PASO	96	96														44	9	
HONOLULU		62								4						34	23	
HOUSTON	66					3		,		1	1					115	95	
INDIANAPOLIS	235	230				_				_	-						- -	
	20	37		1				•		•						16	13	
KANSAS CITY	38			•						•						4	4	
KNOXVILLE	8	8														6	1	
LITTLE ROCK	9	9		• •		2		7		98	3					959	684	•
LOS ANGELES		2410		18		2		•		30	-					11	7	
LOUISVILLE	19	19																
											V .		•			6	5	
MEMPHIS	15	15														47	34	
MIAMI	104	104								23						118	104	
MILWAUKEE	263	240								74						173	157	
MINNEAPOLIS	472	397		1						-						2	1	
MOBILE	5	5												•				
				_					•	13						227	127	•
NEWARK	615	599		3												183	158	:
NEW HAVEN	475	470						1		4						31	17	٠.
NEW ORLEANS	67	67									_			1	2	951	589	1
NEW YORK		3299	1	21	30			16		63	-			•	-	5	3	-
	18	18														•	-	
NORFOLK	••	-						_								32	18	
OKLAHOMA CITY	65	64						1								16	12	
	34	34						_					,			363	309	
OMAHA	848	790		15	:			2		· 40						31.	15	
PHILADELPHIA	98	97				1.										146	119	
PHOENIX	363	340		1						22						. 40		
PITTSBURGH																66	45	
00071 4110	179	178		1												11	10	
PORTLAND	25	25								_						93	53	
RICHMOND	199	191		2						- 6						35	23	
SAINT LOUIS		63														12	6	
SALT LAKE CIT	17	17														••	•	
SAN ANTONIO	4.	• •				,										41	8	
1 - 2 - 2	105	105														1040	_	
SAN DIEGO		1829		16			1	2		.53		_				164	38	
SAN FRANCISCO				• •	270							9				3		
SAN JUAN		104																
SAVANNAH	5			9						23						276	209	
SEATTLE	640	608		7												51	41	•
		100								2						101		
COULNCEIELD	111	109						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						The second second		101	67	
SPRINGFIELD		225																

ENC 2

								4 .				•	•							
							SE			IZENSHI EA		70 3	20100	RACE NFGRO		AEP FSP P	SPEC	OA	UNE	Y00
2 1		3,	7,784 129 23			<u>TP</u> 53 1		FEMALE 5,582 1 86 16			495 7 2		16,408 237 47	1,876 23 1	249 2 1	1		51	32	16
	·.		3 5 213	5	50	2			23 13 264	5 1 80	. 7	1		1 2 45				3	•	
			11 170 145 37 26	95 74 25	24 33 13 4	3		142 84 19 17	11 344 228 69 49	94 37 6	9 9 1	5 3	10 425 229 76 39	1 27 48			•	2		
	. •		521 82 302 26 47	42 207 17	132 - 26 - 55 - 5 - 16	7	135	271 53 141 12 42	702 151 394 38 132	318 31 119 4 13	47 2 8 3 8	4 4 1 5	900 147 461 40 143	164 41 61 5	7 .					5 2
	1	•	623 44 34 115	7 9 23	52 32 13 18	4	896 11 75 47 177	310 21 19 58	523 80 59 168	337 7 7 7	41 2 8	5 1 2	1007 29 51 202	195 1 15 33	66				• .	1
			16 4 - 6 959 11	4 1 684	3 1 79 3	1	27 7 6 1609 16	11 1 3 929 1	36 6 8 1902	2 2 1 552	61	23	36 8 4 2349 18	2 5 160 1	24					. 3
•		•	6 47 118 173 2	34 104 157	3 4 10 26	2 1		5 37 74 139 1	15 65 209 399 5	37 48 61	1 5 11	1 1 1	10 99 245 453 1	5 5 14 18 4	4		1			
1	2	•	227 183 31 951	158 17 589	96 35 7 176 3		454 313 51 2458 15	161 162 16 979 3	481 320 60 2501 12	117 145 5 766 6	8 5 2 131	9 5 39	553 428 48 3151 13	61 47 18 234	1 52	35	1 1 10		30	4
			32 16 363 31 146	12 309 15	7 4 66 5 27	3	65	13 7 267 33 82	63 33 660 72 268	1 178 22 83	1 8 1 10	2 3 2	52 30 747 79 307	13 4 100 19 56	1	1	1 4 2			
	•		66 11 93 35	10 53 23	18 4 27 13 2	1	130 17 139 44	19	152 22 162 60 17	20 1 34 3	, 2	1	175 22 155 57 15	4 3 44 6 2		î	1			
			41 1040 164 3 276	690 38 2	13		70 1207 354 4	694 : 29 1	1556 377 4	18 286 2 1	3 48 4		94 1647 329 4 585	6 217 40 1 46	5 37 14		8 2 2			
			51 101	41	6 12		91 145	20	91 170	18 52	2 7	3	94 195	17 35	2 .	,	3	•	2	1

ENC 3

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

DATE 5/27/32

FROM:

EXECUTIVES CONFURENCE

SUBJECT:

.....

SUGGESTION #209-52

MADE BY SA

-, MEWABELORFICE

AMENDMENT TO FORE FD-154 (Verification of

Information on Security Index Card)

The Executives Conference on 5/26/02 had in attendance Messrs.

The Conference considered the suggestion of 34 -. that Form TD-154, copy attached, be revised. This is a form utilized by a Field Office to obtain the semiannual verification of address, employment and similar information on subjects listed in the Security Indem of the Field Office.

The top portion of the form is filled out by the Field Office showing the name of the subject, his residence, employment address and any pertinent remarks.

The bottom half of the form is filled out by the Agent who verifies the residence, employment address, etc. and the form ultimately is placed in the file of the Security Index subject after being appropriately checked against the Security Index card.

The suggesting employee contemplates the form should be amended to show the name of the person contacted by an Agent who verifies the residence or employment of a Security Index subject and that the form be further amended to describe the pretext utilized on the previous verification and the pretext utilized on the present verification.

This was carefully considered by the Donestic Intelligence of the Internal Security Unit is Division and opposed to the idea. The principal objections are that the prevent simple system of verifying employment and address of the subject wil. be changed to a rather complicated procedure requiring the keeping of additional records, more lengthy file reviews and there will be a tendency for investigative employees to use the same pretexts over. and over again without utilizing ingenuity in verifying employment and residence.

The Executives Conference was unanimously opposed. No further action need be taken for the suggesting employed has already been thanked for his idea.

VERIFICATION OF INFORMATION ON SECURITY INDEX CARD

MEX	IOD	A 27	nti	٦1
AA H A	71 IK	αn	1 71 (NA.

RE:

This Office File

The following is the most recent residence address, place of employment and employment address of the above subject as contained on the subject's security index card.

Residence:

Employment:

Address:

Remarks:

It is requested that the residence address of the subject, place of employment and address of employment be verified and the proper notation be made below:

Residence:

Employment:

Address:

Verified by:

Method of Verification:

Date:

The security index card on this subject should be revised if any changes are noted above.

(YORK PARSEATLY IN USE)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE FEDERAL EUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

EMPLOYEE SUGGESTION

	EWDFOAFF POGGEDIIO
	Date 5/10/52
W/	Anna and a second a
10	To:
7	
	From: SA
	Field Office or Division
	SUGGESTION: On suggested that an amend sent be made to form ID 154, Verification of information on Security Index Card, in order to include thereon the identity of individuals contacted in order to verify restience and place of employment of subject. Also, to include data as to recontacts with the same individuals. The suggestion also was that information relative to the nature of any pretext used in prior contacts be included for the information of Agents handling rechecks at a individuals previously contacted will be inserted at the time ID 154 is prepared as individuals previously contacted will be inserted at the time ID 154 is prepared as will the information relative to the pretext investigation previously used and the will the information relative to the pretext investigation previously used and the advisability of recontacts with individuals who previously verified the required it advantages are: He states it is believed that this procedure will eliminate the necessity of a file review in the majority of cases in order to determine potential contacts for the completion of this form. Attached hereto is a copy of TD 154 with the suggested amendments typed in.
	annually.
	It should save at least \$ annually.
•	The use by the United States of my suggestion shall not form the basis of a further claim of any nature by me, my heirs, or assigns upon the United States.
•	Aggistant Director:
	Supervisors on the Security Squad reductions of file reviews incident to during in that it is felt considerable time saving in way of file reviews incident to during in that it is felt considerable time saving in way of file reviews incident to up it agreement yearly verifications of addresses and employments will be elfected. I am it agreement yearly verifications and feel that the pureau desire to consider amending form re-154 in
	the interests of streadlining.

VERIFICATION OF INFORMATION ON SECURITY INDEX CARD

MEMORANDUM

RE:

This Office File

The following is the most recent residence address, place of employment and employment address of the above subject as contained on the subject's security index card.

Residence:

Previously verified by:

Recontact is . . is not. . recommended

Employment:

Address:

Previously verified by:

Recontact is . . is not . . recommended Remarks: Describe pretext used on previous verification

It is requested that the residence address of the subject, place of employment and address of employment be verified and the proper notation be made below:

Residence:

Employment:

Address:

Verified by:

Residence

Recontact is . . is not . .

recommended

Method of Verification:

Recontact is . . is not . . recommended

Date:

The security index card on this subject should be revised if any

changes are noted above.

Remarks: If pretext investigation utilized, describe fully on reverse side. Enc. 1

Assistant Attorney General Criminal Livision

June 5, 1952

g.

Director, FBI

SECURITY INDEX LIST

There is attached hereto a current list of the names of the individuals maintained in the Security Index. This list is subdivided alphanatically under the field offices of this Bureau covering the residence of the individuals listed.

It is requested that this list be given utmost security.

Attachment

NOTE ON YELLOW ONLY:

Espionage Section of the Special Section not included.

. Office Memorandym • United STATES GOVERNMENT

TO

DATE: May 21, 1952

FROM:

SUBJECT:

EMPLOYEE'S SUGGESTION NO. 209-52

PURPOSE:

To recommend that the suggestion not be adopted.

DETAILS:

Suggestion No. 209-52 submitted by Special Agent, presently assigned to the Newark Office has been considered at the request of the Training and Inspection Division. The adoption of the suggestion would change Form FD-154 which is used in security work. This form is used in field offices to handle the verification of the residence address and employment of each Security Index subject. This is done at least once each six months.

You will note that Form FD-154 (attached) has two parts. The upper part is filled in prior to sending the form to the Agent who is to verify the residence address and employment. The lower part is filled in by the Agent after the verifications have been made. The suggested change in the form would provide for information in both the upper and lower parts of the form to show from whom the residence address and employment was verified and whether at a subsequent six-month verification the individual should or should not be recontacted. It would also provide the nature of the pretext used, if any.

RECOMMENDATION:

Although the suggested form has merit it is believed that the disadvantages outweigh the advantages. It is, therefore recommended that Form FD-154 not be changed as suggested for the following reasons:

1. It appears that rather than simplifying relatively simple verification checks the adoption of the suggested change would make the residence and employment verifications more complicated. If the suggested change to the upper portion of the form were adopted it would be

necessary, in time, for the Agent conducting the verification to review all previous Forms FD-154 when the last form indicated that a recontact was not recommended. Such a procedure would require more time to handle than is warranted in the average verification operation.

2. If the suggestion is adopted as a practical matter we would be instructing, if the original contact for verification was favorable, that the same individual or pretext be used each time the six month verification was made. Even if not considered as an instruction, the tendency would be to reuse the contact each time rather than using initiative to vary the means of verification. The use of the same contact time and again in many instances might not be desirable as the interest in the subject would become too apparent to the individual contacted.

As the form is presently used various methods and approaches are used to verify the residence and employment which is a desirable procedure. It is obvious that when pretexts are used to make the verification under the present form that the type of pretext will vary when different Agents make the verifications.

ACTION:

If you approve, this memorandum should be routed to the Training and Inspection Division.

STANDARD FORM NO. 64 Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

THE DIRECTOR

DATE: June 13, 1952

FROM:

SECURITY INDEX

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the total cards in the Security Index.

DETAILS:

The following is a report on the increase in the Security Index since the last count was furnished to you on May 9, 1952.

Wook of	New Cards	Cards	Net
	Added	Cancelled	<u>Increase</u>
Week of May 10-16 May 17-23 Hay 24-30 May 31 - June 6 June 7-13	84 88 56 64 68 360	22 28 13 26 13	62 60 43 38 55 250

The Security Index count as of today is 18,730.

For your information, during the preceding four-week period 283 cards were added and 33 cards were cancelled, a net increase during the piriod of 320 cards.

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • United States Government

ТО

DATE: June 16, 1952

FROM :

18

SUBJACT:

SICURITY INDIA PROGRAM.
DEPARTMENT ATTORNEYS REVIEWING CASES ...

PURPOSE:

To summarize information in Bureau files concerning four attorneys, in addition to those previously reported, working on the reviews of Security Index cases in the Criminal Division of the Department.

DETAILS:

of the Criminal Division of the Department of Justice has furnished the names of four additional attorneys who have been coded to the staff of Department attorneys working on the reviews of Security Index cases.

RESULTS OF SEARCH

All four individuals were the subjects of Departmental applicant investigations. was also the subject of a discontinued Bureau applicant investigation. No information of a disloyal nature was reported concerning any of these four attorneys.

There are attached four memoranda summarizing information in the Bureau's files concerning each of the four individuals.

ACTION:

None. For your information. The Internal Security Unit will continue to follow the Department concerning the assignment of other attorneys to the review of Security Index cases.

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO

DATE: June 17, 1952

FROM:

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX STANDARDS EMERGENCY DETERTION PROGRAM

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the status of certain matters pending at the Department in the above-captioned matter.

BACKGROUND:

Three main items in connection with the Security Index standards and the Emergency Detention Program are pending at the Department, namely:

- I. The Department has been requested to furnish an opinion on the Security Index standards presently used by the Eureau. You will recall that two revised lists of standards have been furnished by the Department on which there has been considerable discussion.
- 2. The Department has been requested to review the reports for each Security Index subject and to advise us in each instance as to whether the Department approved or disapproved the listing of each name in the Security Index.
- The Department has been requested specifically to advise the Eureau as to whether the S4 individuals formerly carried in the Prominent Individuals Subdivision of the Security Index should be included in the Security Index.

You will recall that on March 14, 1852, a conference was held with and and of the Department, at which time the Penartment's proposed Security Index standards were discussed. At the conclusion of that conference stated that the Department

would draw up a memorandum to the Bureau approving our standards for placing individuals in the Security Index and that he would submit the memoranium to you informally to secure the Bureau's views on the matter before it was sent to the Bureau.

In addition to your contacts with on April 7 and April 24, 1952, when he advised that the memorandum on the standards would be forthcoming promptly, the three items mentioned above were outlined in detail by memoranda dated Hay 12, 1952, for possible use by the Director in contacting the Attorney General on pending unanswered ratters at the Department.

DETAILS:

For your information, when contacted on another matter on June 17, 1952, cavised that of the Department was working on the Security Index standards and had prepared a tentative draft in the matter which was on his desk. Although did not set any certain date the Department's reply will be furnished the Bureau, he did state the matter was receiving attention. stated that until the matter of Security Index standards is decided at the Department, the Department could not advise the Bureau as to whether it approved or disapproved the listing of any name in the Security Index or in regard to the 34 individuals formerly listed in the Prominent Individuals Subdivision of the Security Index.

ACTION:

This is for your information. We will continue to follow the Department on this matter.

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum . United States Government

TO

DATE: May 29, 1952

FROM:

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the total cards in the Security Index.

DETAILS:

During the past week 56 new cards were added to the Security Index and 13 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 43 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 18,637.

Morley To Discuss "Enemy Within"

"The Enemy Within" is the sub-ject of an address to be goen here Monday evening by Form Morley, author, lecturer, news and events. educator.

educator.

Mr. Morley's appearance was arranged by the Maryland Action Guild. The lecture will be given in the Alcazar, starting at 8.30 P.M.

A graduate of Haverford College in 1915, Mr. Morley was awarded a Rhodes scholarship and, after completing his studies in England, received a Gug tenheim Fellowship in political science.

Pulitzer Prize Winner

political science.

Pulitzer Prize Winner
In 1936 he won the Pulitzer Prize
for editorial writing and in 1940
returned to his alma mater to serve
as president of Haverford until
1945.

Mr. Morley's latest book in enditled "The Power of People."

His lecture will be based on his
knowledge of events on the national
acere.

CITY ELECTION BOARD BLASTED BY COURT RULING

Barring of Candidate Called Illegal

BY GEORGE TAGGE

The Chicago election board, controled_by County Judge Jarecki, Democratic nominee for redlection, was blasted yesterday by a of a legislature to vest an elecused against a government agency.

Judge George W. Bristow of the 2d district Appellate court, sitting in Circuit court here, indicated he will order the name of Charles McCord, Negro, a Progressive party candidate, placed on the Nov. 7 ballot for state representative in the 29th senatorial dis-

which ruled McCord off the ballot a month ago, "acted arbitrarily, capriciously, and illegally."

Asserts Board "Failed"

"All evidence at the hearing indicated the objection of the board was without merit," the opinion continued.

"After considering the evidence the petition was supplied by the board. . . ."

McCord was denied a place on the ballot on the ground that his nominating petition contained too remainsted were supposed to nave been those of a normalization of these who had taken part in either the Denniur the or Republican permanents of the Denniur the Computation of the Comp

Lyes Legislature's Alm

The court said "it certainly could not have been the intention downstate Circuit judge in some toral board "with a final decision of the strongest language every where it clearly appears that its ruling is arbitrary, or predicated upon an abuse of power, or even

raudulent," he said.
Arry, John P. Daly of the election board said members will study Judge Bristow's opinion. If there is no appeal, some ballors may have to be reprinted, he said. Chief Clerk John S. Rusch said the first ballots for overseas soldiers should | Judge Bristow's written opin- be air mailed today. Even if this ion stated that the election board, is done, fighters in Kerea may not get their votes back here in time for them to be counted.

Grenville Boardsley, Republican opposing Judge Jarceki, said Ju ize Bristow's opinion does not surprise him.

Claims "Unfair" Rulings

" For too many years Judge fareciti's election board has got by I am of the opinion that the election board failed completely to conduct a legal hearing. No eviconduct a legal hearing. No evidence to support irregularities in the tool of the Democratic made dence to support irregularities in the color of the ballot all possible to the possible ballot all possi sible opponents. Where these opponents have had no strant orn multion that there been brussed in public we'y.

"The board changes its standfew signatures after removal of ords for rulings as it pleases, as unquelified signers. The names in the cases of absermance points

TITLE

Suit

SAC, Omaha

June 5, 1952

Director, FBI

OSECULIER INTER - GENERAL

Progonal, And Con Indiana, Buch as a second of the second

ReBulet dated December 29, 1950.

There is enclosed herewith a sealed package containing a new Security Index list of all subjects naintained in the general and Special Sections of the Security Index.

This package should be unintained in your office cafe in accordance with instructions in referenced memorandum. This new list replaces the list in your possession. It is your personal responsibility to see that the old list is destroyed by burning.

The Bureau should be advised of your receipt of the attached list and the destruction of the old list.

Enclosure

KEUCKUED A

JUN 5 6 48 [7] 52

RECEIVED READING ROOM

F B L

JUN 11

62

V

1.8

JUN 1- 1072

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO :

DATE: June 6, 1952

FROM:

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the total cards in the Security Index.

DETAILS:

During the past week 64 new cards were added to the Security Index and 26 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 38 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 18,675.

1252

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO

DATE: June 20, 1952

FROM :

-- SUBJECT:

INTERVIEUS OF SUBJECTS OF --SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS INTERNAL SECURITY - C

PURPOSE:

To present an analysis of the number of subjects interviewed curing the year beginning June 1, 1951, and ending June 1, 1952.

BACKGROUND:

The program of interviewing subjects of security investigations was initiated by SAC Letter Number 55 dated May 17, 1949. As of June 1, 1951, only 549 such interviews were recorded at the Bureau. During the past year the program has greatly accelerated. The following breakdown of the number of interviews recorded at the Bureau is set forth to illustrate the increase in the number of interviews conducted by the Field during the past year.

Number of interviews recorded at the Bureau:

As of June 1, 1951:

549

897

During 6 months period between June 1, 1951 and December 1, 1951:

As of December 1, 1951:

During 6 months period between December 1, 1951 and June 1, 1952: 1,872

Total as of June 1, 1952:

3,318

1,446

125Z

The following tabulation reflects the number of interviews recorded at the Bureau during each of the past twelve months:

As of J During "" "" "" ""	June 1, 1951 June, 1951 July, " August " September, 1951 October, 1951 November, 1951 December " January, 1952	549 105 115 103 146 147 281 214 299
	January. 1952	
11 11 11	February, 1952 March, 1952 April, " May,	346 236 342 435

Total as of June 1, 1951 3,318

ACTION:

None. Submitted for your information.

Office Meniorandum • United States Government

TO

DATE: June 20, 1952

FROM:

SUBJECT:

O_{SECURITY INDEX}

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the total cards in the Security Index.

DETAILS:

During the past week 78 new cards were added to the Security Index and 23 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 55 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 18,785.

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO : Director, FEI

DATE: June 25, 1952

FROM :

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

210, <u>14 mi</u> (200-390)

REGISTERED MAIL

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX

Re SAC Letter #100, December 28, 1950, Paragraph (C).

Security Index Subjects have been chessed within the past six morths.

1256 22ggg

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO : DIRLCTOR, FBI

DATE: June 26, 1952

FROM:

SAC, LOATELIND

SUBJECT:

mo navalosta ou

Re SAC letter 95, series 1951 and no number 140 letter I, series 1952.

The following statistics obtained for the Portland Division at this time:

 Category
 Cases
 Summaries
 Remaining to be Done
 Date _xpecter

 2
 2
 2
 0

 4
 3
 3
 0

 5
 154
 75
 79
 11/1/52

Office Menzorandun • united states government

TO | DIRECTOR, FEI

DATE: June 30, 1952

FROM

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

SAC, WACHINGTON FIFLD

SUBJECT:

SUMMARY REPORTS IN SECURITY

DIDEX C SES

Re Unnumbered SaC Letter I, Series 1952, and mylet of April 1, 1952.

The following is a taculation of the Security Index cases of this office, falling into Categories 2, h and 5, with respect to the writing of Summary Reports:

Category	Total Number of Cases	Number of Initial Summary Peports Submitted to Date	Number of Summary Reports to be Submitted	Expected Date of Completion
2	26	19	7	Presently under preparation; as soon as possible
4	16	8	8	11 11
5	174	19	过文	Approximately June 1953

Regarding Category 5, this is to advise that 50 of these cases are assigned for the writing of Summary Penorts. Every effort is being made to write nese reports as soon as possible. Of course, the cases in Categories 2 and 4, which occupy a higher priority, will be completed first.

Two cases in Category 5 have been recommended for the cancellation of the Security Index eard and four Cummary deports, in this category, will be written by other offices in econoption with the change of the Office of Origin.

There are, therefore, 30 cases, which are in a closed status and which will be opened on a statistical basis until the program is completed.

C_{l} fice Memorandum • united states government

TO : NUMBER OF STREET DATE:

July 2, 1952

SUBJECT: NUMBER TIDEX
AN INALITAN ON SUBMEY REPORTS

Reference No Number SAC Letter I, Spries 1952, dated 3/11/52, setting out new instructions for the submission of information relative to the status of the above-captioned project.

The following statistics are submitted in conformance therewith:

Category			Number of Summary Resorts to be sub- mitted	
2	ı	1	0	
L	22	3	19	9-1-52
5	532	23	509	7-1- 53

Recently, additional replacements have been assigned to the Security Squad in the Seattle office in an effort to hasten the production of summary reports concerning Security Index subjects in this effice. These now replacements are being used principally on the because Squad for work on the summary report project, and with considued insuraction these replacements should aid considerably in the output of summary reports in the Seattle office. Likewise, constanted effort is being made on the part of the entire Security Squad in an effort to increase the output of summary reports in addition to trying to reduce the ever-all definquency in security matters in the Seattle office, and the handling of deadline cases in security work. Further, the summary report project under category I has been completed, as well as in category 2, and at the present time all of the Security Endem cases under enterpoy I are presently accioned for preparation of summary reports, and as a result, the summary report project should be completed in enterpoy 3 in the

Under cotemary h all comes in which authors reports have not been proved one presently assistant, and it is expected that this onto prystill to complete within the next ourorber

Likewise, under category 6 all easen in which commonly present in which is no expense in the case in the category are presently publicated and its document case.

RE: SECURITY INDEX PROPARATION OF SUPERARY PERFORMS

that the summary reports in these cases will also be completed within this next summer.

Under category 5, which contains the bulk of the work on the summary report project, a slight increase has been noted during this past quarter, however, it is expected that much more improvement will result since, as stated above, several of the other categories will be completed. At the present time a substantial amount of the cases within category 5 are presently assimpled which will result in the preparation of summary reports within this next quarter. As summary reports are prepared and subsitted concerning individuals contained under category 5, additional cases are being reopened under this category for preparation of summary reports. In this way a substantial amount of cases under category 5 will constantly be in an assigned status which will result in a steady flow of summary reports being prepared under this category.

It is believed that during the next quarter a substantial increase in production of sugmary reports should be realized.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

: Director, FBI (

DATE: 7/1/52

FROM ENERC, New York

SUBJECT:

SUMMARY REPORTS IN SECURITY

INDEX CASES

Remylet 4/1/52; re SAC letters #95 and #121, dated 9/22/51 and 12/20/51 respectively; and re No Number SAC letter I, 3/14/52.

Following is the status of the project in this office:

	Total No.	No. of Initial Surmary Reports Submitted to Date	No. of Summary Reports to Be Submitted	Expected Date of Completion
Category 2 4 5	99	77	22	9/1/52
	204	11	193	4/1/53
	2654	72	2582	Indefinite

It will be noted that in thirteen cases surmary reports have also been written, following execution of FD-128. This figure has been deducted from the total number of cases in Category 5.

STANDARD FULL OF 64

TO : Director, I I

DATE: July 1, 1000

FROM (promise none to a construction)

SUBJECT: INC. MAY TO US COME TO A COLUMN T

Project as of dual to accus

Review of SI Onrds reflects the following:

CAMIGORY		TOTAL INLIGER OF CASSIV		NTOTAL OF SUBSTRAY REJUDITS TO BE
2.	Special Section	5*	0	5.4
3.	Vital Facility	49	14	35
4.	No Summary Reports since January 1, 1949	9	. 0	9
5.	All others	183	22	128
6.	Top functionsries and Key Figures	32	0	32
		275.	 53	7200

^{*}Four subjects are in the Ar. ad Services and one subject is presently employed in Bombay, India.

SAÇ, Euffalo (

July 22, 1952

Director, FBI

SECURITY INDEX -PREPARATION OF SUMMER REPORTS

status of the project of preparing successive reports in your office.

schedule for proporting success reports & cases of which you store that the subjects are included in your Special Section and are in the Armed Services. It is point & our what included who have been included in the Security Indea and who have entered the Armed Decurity Indea and who have entered the Armed Dervices should no longer be included in the active portion of the Security Indea aut their cares should be transferred to the fractive Section. It appears that these 4 cases are improperly maintained in your office. They should be placed in the inactive portion of your Security Index and no summary reports should be scheduled for preparation in these cases.

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO

Director, FDI

DATE: C/23/52

FROM

ROM / Dind, Graha

PLESCHAL AND CONTIDUE

SIECT:

Rebulot 6/5/52.

The new Security Index list has been received and the old list has been destroyed by burning.

Office Men...

um • united

GOVERNMENT

TO

DATE: June 27, 1952

FROM:

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the total cards in the Security Index.

PETAILS:

During the past week 51 new cards were added to the Security Index and 27 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 24 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 18,809.

1264 changed to 1-24

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • United States Government

Director, FBI

DATE:

July 1, 1952

FROM : azo

SAC, Albany (

CONFIDENTIAL

SUBJECT:

SHOURITY INDEX PREPARATION OF SUMMARY REPORTS

Re SAC Letter #95, Series 1951, Section B, and No Number SAC Letter "I", Series 1952, 3/14/52.

Following is the status of the project in the Albany

Total Number of Cases	Number of Initial Summary Reports Submitted to Date	No. of Summary Reports to be Submitted	Expected Date of Completion
2	. 2	0	
29	1	28	12/31/52
125	7	118	7/31/53
	Number of Cases 2 29	Number Summary Reports of Cases Submitted to Date 2 2 29 1	Number Summary Reports Reports to be Submitted to Date Submitted 2 2 0 29 1 28

It is to be noted that the foregoing tabulation for Category #5 does not include any cases in which summary reports were written under Category #1 (new recommendations) and security index cards have been authorized by the Bureau.

Since the Bureau instructions do not seem to be entirely clear on this matter, Albany would appreciate Bureau advice as to whether in future quarterly progress letters, Category #1 summary reports, where security index cards have been prepared, should be included in the tabulation for Category #5.

SAC, Albany (

July 21, 1952

CHOURTH INTOX -PREPARATION OF SUBSERVE REFORTS

Reurlet July 1, 1952, inquiring as to whether summary reports in Category 1 should be included in the tabulation of summary reports for Category 5 in your quartically chatus letters.

No Number SAC Letter I claurly specifies the six different catagories for submission of summary reports and specifies that each office shall submit a memorandum reporting the total number of cases in Catagories 2, 4 and 5 only. It is not desired that the quarterly status letters reflect summary reports submitted in Catagory 1 as included in Catagory 5.

Office Memorandum • United States Govern

: Director, FEI

DATE: June 30, 1952

FROM

JAC, Salt Lake City

CONFIDEN

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX - PREPARATION

OF SUMMARY PEPORTS

Re SAC letter #95, Series 1951, dated 9/22/51, and No Number SAC letter I, Series 1952, dated 3/14/52.

The following information is being set out relative to the preparation of summary reports on Security Index Card subjects in the Salt Lake City Division:

CATEGORY	TOTAL NUMBER OF CASES	NUMBER OF INITIAL SUMMARY REPORTS SUBLITTED TO DATE	NUMBER OF SUMMARY REPORTS TO BE SUBMITTED	EXPECTED DATE OF COMPLETION
2	0	o	0	8/1/52
4	5	5	0	
5	35	30	5	

In addition to the above, initial summary-reports have been submitted on the 13 Key Figures in this Division. Also, lh summaries have been submitted on Key Facility Security Index subjects.

44-27

C/11:00 2:201125

TO : DIRECTOR, FEI

DATE: 6/30/52

FROM:

jag, Salt Laka City

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX CARDS

11

Re SAC Letter #100, Series 1950, Sub-Section C.

For the information of the Bureau, the Security Index Cards maintained in the Salt Lake City Office are in an up-to-date status.

The employment, as well as addresses of all Security Index Subjects were checked during June, 1952, and Form FD 122 has been submitted to the Bureau on all changes.

Office Memorandum • united states government

: Director, FBI

DATE: 7-3-52

FROM : SAC, New Haven (

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX_ PREPARATION OF SUMMARY REPORTS

The following reflects the current status of the summary report project in the New Haven Office:

	No. of	No. of Initial Summary Reports Submitted to Date	No. of Summary Reports to be Submitted	Expected Date of Completion
Catego	ory Cases	1	•	Completed.
2	10	1	ıı.	8-30-52•
4	12		342	2-54.
,5	375	33		

changed * 46-23

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (

DATE: 6/30/52

FROM : SAC, San Diego

subject:

SECURITY INDEX SUBJECTS VERIFICATION OF ADDRESSES

ReBulet 10/5/51.

The San Diego Office presently maintains 108 SI cards. All information on these cards is in an up-to-date status and the addresses of all SI subjects were checked during the six-month period prior to 7/1/52.

Office Memorandum • UNITED GOVERNMENT

o. Sirector, fbi (

DATE: 6/3/52

FROM:

- 510, SAN FRANCISCO (

OCCUPITST PARTY USA, UNITERNAL CETRATIONS INTERNAL SECURITY-C

S.I. Cards as currently maintained at the Eureau do not carry a description of the subjects. The investigative aid being suggested hereinafter would be dependent upon the Eureau maintaining IEE cards on S.I. subjects which cards would include punches for descriptive data. The feasibility of the suggestion therefore turns first on the expense involved in setting up the system and the necessity of modifying Form FD-122 so that a subject's description is sent to the Bureau.

The technique or aid suggested is a method of identifying unknown subjects seen in contact with known Communists where all that is available is a description and possibly a given name. If IFM cards were maintained by the Eureau this description could be fed into the machine which would select those individuals most nearly answering it. The number of suspects will of course depend on how large a geographical segment is taken into consideration. There appears to be no reason why such IFM cards could not be maintained by CP Districts or by States. Thus, the description of an unknown person seen in San Francisco would be compared with descriptions of S. I. subjects in California or with those in the three West Coast States or if practical with those of all S. I. subjects.

There is some question whether through geography, race, sex, age, height, weight, hair, etc., the number of suspects arising from such a comparison would be small enough to make elimination through further inquiry feasible. In this regard, for such a method of identification to be practical how many general characteristics should be known and is some particular characteristic; e.g., an amputated finger, considered necessary?

It is realized that the description of many unknown individuals will be insufficient for use in this method of identification, but the possibility of identifying a few persons may justify the effort, and, knowledge of such a procedure will encourage agents to secure more accurate descriptions of persons encountered on surveillances.

Office Memorandum . United States Government

TO

DATE: July 3, 1952

FROM:

SUBJ.3CT:

SECURITY INDEX
CONTUNIST FARTY, USA
UNDERGROUNT OPERATIONS
INTERNAL SECURITY - C

INVESTIGATIVE TECHNIQUE

PURPOSE:

To recommend against the adoption of the suggestion of the Ean Francisco Office regarding the setting-up of a system whereby descriptions of all Security Index subjects will be submitted to the Eureau and placed on IBM cards to serve as a means of identifying persons whose descriptions are the only identifying data available.

BACKCROUND:

Attached is San Francisco memorandum dated June 3, 1952, wherein they suggest a system whereby the descriptions of Security Index subjects be placed on IBM cards whereby such descriptions would be categorized as to sex, age, height, weight, race, etc. This information would then be utilized to determine the identities of unknown subjects seen in contact with known Communists where only descriptions of such unknown subjects were available. The idea behind the suggestion would be such as to enable the Field to cause a search through a "description" index and by the process of elimination possibly identify unknown individuals.

CBSERVATIONS:

This suggestion is not feasible for the following reasons:

in the breakdowns utilized in the IBM machine in direct conjunction with the Security Index cards. Adoption of such an idea would necessitate the setting-up of a

"description" index on IBM cords for over 18,000
Security Index subjects. The Field would have to
submit up-to-date and very accurate descriptions on
all such subjects and continue to submit these
descriptions for the purpose of keeping such an
index up to date.

- 2. The descriptions of individuals are not generally sufficiently accurate to permit a definite category to be established for the various physical characteristics of an individual.
- 2. The cost of establishing and maintaining such a procedure would far outweigh the results which might be obtained.

ACTION:

If you agree, there is attached hereto a letter to San Francisco advising that the suggested procedure is not adaptable.

16-20

Office Memorandum of United States GOVERNMEN.

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: July 11, 1052

FROM :

SAC, El Paso

AIR MAIL - SPECIAL DELIVERY

SUBJECT:

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

TOGURITY INDEX; VERIFICATION OF ADDRESSES.

Reference SAC Letter #100, Series 1950, dated 12-28-50.

This is to advise that within the past thirty days, all of the addresses of Security Index subjects residing in the El Paso area have been verified and appropriate changes made by Form FD 122 in the individual cases.

i .

Office Memo.....um • united st.

ro : Director, FBI

DATE: July 8, 1952

FROM : SAC, Little Rock (

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX
PREPARATION OF SUMMARY REPORTS

Reference No Number SAC Letter I, Series 1952.

The information set forth below reflects the preparation of summary reports and the susmission of same by the Little Rock Division as requested by referenced SAC Letter:

Category	Total Number of Cases	Number of Initial Summary Reports Submitted To Data	Number of Summary Reports to be Submitted	Expected Date
2	0	0	0	
4	0	0 , ?	0	
5	5	ş	5	

1273 charges 5 47-47

STANDARD FORM NO. 6

Office Memorandum • United States Governm

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

REGISTERED

DATE: 7/7/52

FROM : SAC, SAN FRANCISCO

subject: SECURITY INDEX

Re SAC Letter 100 dated 12/28/50.

All Security Index cards maintained on subjects of this office are in an up-to-date status and addresses have been checked for each of the subjects within the past six months.

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memoranaum • united states govern

FROM / DIRECTOR, FBI COMPIDENTIAL DATE: 7-11-52

SUBJECT: CONTINUE DAZZO

Fa SAC Letter No. 100 dated Dadember 24, 1950, pertaining to six months verification of the appresses for all Seburia; Index subjects.

Mais is to advise that the Security Index Cards mainteined at the Fichmond Division are in an up-to-date status and the addresses for all the subjects have been checked within the last six months.

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Men..... • United States Government

TO

DATE: July 2, 1952

FROM:

SUBJECT: SECURIT

SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS OF INDIVIDUALS REVISED SECTION 87C MANUAL OF INSTRUCTIONS

PURPOSE:

To submit for your approval the attached revised Section 87C of the Manual of Instructions dealing with security investigations of individuals.

BACKGROUND:

Attached hereto are three copies of Section 870 as revised in the Internal Security Unit. One of these copies is for your retention, the second is for referral to the Training and Inspection Division for printing and incorporating into the present Kanual of Instructions. The third copy should be recorded in the Bureau's files.

It will be noted that a detailed table of contents appears at the beginning of this revision. It is suggested that when Section 870 is printed in the Training and Inspection Division that the table of contents be included as a portion of that section of the Manual separate and distinct from the general table of contents appearing elsewhere in the Manual of Instructions.

ACTION:

If you approve the content of the revised Section 87C, it should be submitted to the Training and Inspection Tivision for incorporation into the Manual of Instructions and issuance to the Field.

Office Memorandum • United States Governmen

TO

DATE: June 24, 19:

FROM:

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS OF INDIVIDUALS

PHRPOSE:

To advise you that all necessary action which was recommended as a result of a recent analysis of 1,000 of the pending unassigned security matter cases in the New York Office by Eureau Supervisors has been completed and to recommend that the attached SAC Letter be approved.

DETAILS:

Reference is made to the attached memorandum to you dated June 6, 1952, furnishing the results of an analysis of 1,000 of the 4,280 pending unassigned security matter cases in the New York Office. In connection with this survey certain recommendations were made which were approved by the Director. This is to advise that all of the action which is required at the Seat of Government has been taken as follows:

- 1. The appropriate instructions have been issued to New York in an over-all memorandum dated June 10, 1952. This letter was attached to referenced memorandum.
- 2. The New York Office has been furnished with a complete list of the identities of those cases analyzed by Supervisors P. L. Cox and J. L. Schmit.
- 3. The New York Office has been advised by separate memoranda for the case files involved of the results of the observations of the Bureau Supervisors based upon recommendations contained in the attached memorandum. These individual memoranda were transmitted to New York under date of June 12, 1952.
- 4. In accordance with the recommendation contained in the attached memorandum, there is attached hereto an SAC Letter for all offices reiterating

current Bureau standards for opening security matter investigations and instructing the various offices to review all pending security matter cases to be certain that they are sound and do warrant investigation based upon these standards. The New York Office, of course, has already received these instructions in the Bureau's letter of June 10, 1952.

All action which was recommended as a result of the survey of New York cases has now been completed. The New York Office will be closely followed to see that these instructions are carried out.

ACTION:

The SAC Letter is attached for your approval.

4.

Office Memor ndum . United states government

TO

DATE: July 14, 1952

FROM

SUBJECT:

DELINQUENCY IN SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS,

PURPOSE:

To advise of the progress made by the field during the months of April, May and June in reducing the backlog of work and delinquency in the security field.

BACKGROUND:

Since December 1951 we have been closely following the progress of the 12 larger offices having between 70% and 80% of the total pending active matters in the field in classifications 65, 100 and 105 to determine if headway is being made in the handling of security work. Konthly letters have been sent to the 12 offices encouraging those offices that are making progress and forcefully pointing out deficiencies to. those offices who have made no progress. By SAC Letter Number 27, dated March 15, 1952, we pointed out the absolute necessity of reducing the backlog of work and delinquency in the security field and issued specific instructions as a guidance to all offices to bring their security work into line. Progress of all offices has been followed during Warch, April, May and June, letters. being sent to those offices who are not making progress in this matter.

Progress of the Fleven Larger Offices (New York Office Mandled Separately)

The progress of the 11 larger offices

has been analyzed. The New York Office is being considered separately hereinafter. Although the backlog of pending active matters in classifications 65, 100 and 105 remains high, the 11 offices as a whole made some progress in this matter during the months of April, May and June, 1952. The following figures illustrate the progress as reflected from an analysis of the March, April, May and June administrative reports.

Attachment

mir

<u>Date</u>	Active Matters (65 - 100 - 105)	Delinquent Matters	Percentage <u>Delinquent</u>
3-31-52	15,144	10,347	68.3%
4-30-52	14,978	9,628	64.3%
5-31- 52	14,246	9,008	63.2%
6-30-52	14,157	9,103	64.3%

The major portion of the work is in classification 100 matters, in which classification the delinquency is the highest.

During April, 5 of the 11 offices were able to reduce the pending backlog in classifications 65, 100 and 105 and 8 offices reduced the delinquency. Progressive gains were made by Los Angeles, San Francisco, Cleveland and Philadelphia. During May, 9 of the 11 offices reduced their pending backlog in those classifications and 6 offices reduced their delinquency. Progressive gains were made by Los Angeles, Cleveland and Philadelphia. During June, 5 of the 11 offices showed some progress in reducing their pending backlog and 2 offices reduced their delinquency. None of the offices made progressive gains during the month.

Observation:

As you will note above, due to the guidance and direction from the Bureau the 11 larger offices have not only held their ground but have shown some improvement in spite of the heavy responsibilities placed on them in the preparation of summary reports in all Security-Index cases. Although the delinquencies remain high and the backlog heavy there is a continued downward trend in the backlog which had shown a steady rise since the Korean situation. The delinquency has been downward except for the month of June.

From a review of the accomplishments of the 11 offices for the past few months it appears

that with close guidance from the Bureau the offices will be able to work out the backlog and reduce the delinquency in the security field.

Progress of Forty Field Offices (Twelve Larger Offices Excluded)

After reviewing the administrative reports for the months of March, April, May and June, 1952, letters have been directed to the offices not making progress in this matter. After the review of the March 1952 administrative reports letters were directed to 18 field offices. A review of the April 1952 administrative reports prompted letters to 13 field offices. Letters have been transmitted to 15 offices as a result of the administrative reports for May and to 12 of the offices on the June administrative reports.

Twenty-seven of the 40 offices were able to reduce the total pending active matters in classifications 65, 100 and 105 during April 1952. Twenty-nine of the 40 offices reduced their total pending active matters in these classifications during May. During June, 19 of these offices reduced their total pending active matters in these classifications.

The major portion of the security work in the 40 offices is in classification 100, in which classification 26 of the 40 offices were able to make a reduction in their delinquency during April. During Yay, 18 of the offices reduced their delinquency in this classification. In June, 17 such offices reduced their delinquency in this classification.

The following figures illustrate the progress of the 40 offices as reflected from an analysis of the March, April, May and June, 1952, administrative reports:

<u>Date</u>	Pending Active Matters (65 - 100 - 105)	Delinquent <u>L'atters</u>	Percentage Delinguent
3-31-52	9,421	5,011	53.2%
4-30-52	9,138	4,355	47.7%
5-31-52	8,724	3,990	45.7%
6-30-52	8,417	3,842	45.6%

Observation:

Due to the instructions contained in SAC Letter Number 27, dated March 15, 1952, and the guidance and close following of each office who is not making headway in this matter, you will note that there is a favorable trend downward in the backlog of work in the 40 offices. With the exception of the month of June there has been a favorable reduction of the delinquency in these offices.

It is believed that the 40 offices will continue to make progress and will be able to work out from under their problems.

Progress of the New York Office

The problems facing the New York Office are the Bureau's biggest problems in the security field. Estimates of Communist Party membership in the area covered by the New York Office have been approximately 50% of the total field estimates for Communist Party membership. On March 31, 1952, the New York Office estimated the Communist Party membership in New York to be 12,108. As of June 15, 1952, New York had 3,455 Security Index subjects.

The New York Office has 25% of all pending active matters in the field in classifications 65, 100 and 105 and approximately 37% of all delinquent matters in those categories.

The following statistics were taken from the New York administrative reports for March, April, May and June, 1952:





Classification 65:

		Total Pending Active <u>Matters</u>	Pending Active Matters Unassigned	Delinquent <u>Matters</u>	Percentage <u>Delinquent</u>
	March	296	3	121	41%
	April	307	2	127	41%
	May	298	2	160	<i>53</i> %
	June	293	2	150	51%
	Classific	eation 100:			
		Total Pending Active <u>Matters</u>	Pending Active Matters <u>Unassianed</u>	Delinguent <u>Matters</u>	Percentage Delincuent
	March	6,914	3,837	6 , 056	88%
\parallel	April	7,548	4,280	6 , 233	83%
II	May	7,882	4,505	6,812	86%
1.	June	7,990	4,427	6,933	87%
	Classific	cation 105:			
		Total Pending Active <u>Matters</u>	Pending Active Matters Uncasioned	Delinquent <u>Vatters</u>	Percentage Delinauent
	March	614	119	371	60%
)	April	622	117	330	61%
	<i>H</i> ay	<i>65</i> 1	126	409	63%
11	June	693	224	455	66% ·

Observation:

The general trend in the number of panding active matters in classification 100 ct New York has been upward. Since October 31, 1951, the number of pending active matters in this classification has been increased from 6,298 to 7,990, a net increase of 1,692 matters. It will be noted that in this classification 4,427 of 7,990 matters are unassigned.

Attached hereto is my memorandum to you of June 12, 1952, which sets forth in detail action which has been and is being taken regarding this problem in the New York Office.

In connection with the survey conducted at New York it should be noted that they were instructed to review their pending active unassigned cases (other than the 1,000 cases reviewed by Bureau representatives) with a view to closing administratively those cases which do not fall within the Bureau's standards. New York has not yet been able to complete this review. This matter is being closely followed and you will be advised of the results.

ACTION:

We will continue to analyze the progress of security work in all offices and follow them concerning their backlog and delinquency. You will be informed of the progress of the field as reflected in the June administrative reports.

Office Memorandum • United States Government

DATE: June 12, 1952

FROM : 1....

ST'NDAHD FORM NO. 64

SUBJECT: DELT TO BEET IN BECT OF Y INVESTIGATIONS

PUBPOSE:

To advise of the progress made by the field during the months of April and May in reducing the backlog of work and delinquency in the security field.

BACKGROTND:

Since December 1951 we have been closely following the progress of the 12 larger offices having approximately 80% of the total pending active matters in the field in classifications 65, 100 and 105 to determine if headway is being made in the handling of security work. Monthly letters have been sent to the 12 offices encouraging those offices that are making progress and forcefully pointing out deficiencies to those offices who have made no progress. 35 SAC Letter Number 27, dated March 15, 1952, we pointed out the absolute necessity of reducing the backlog of work and delinquency in the security field and issued specific instructions as a guidance to all offices to bring their security work into line. Progress of all of ices has been followed during March, April, and May, letters being sent to those offices who are not making progress in this matter.

Progress of the Eleven Larger Offices (New York Office Eandled Separately)

The progress of the 11 larger offices has been analyzed. The New York Office is being considered separately hereinafter. Although the backlog of pending active matters in classifications 65, 100 and 105 remains tigh, the 11 offices as a whole made some progress in this matter during the months of April and May 1952. The following figures illustrate the progress as reflected from an analysis of the March, April and May administrative reports:

Attachment ED: bh

<u>Dat e</u>	Pending Active Matters (65 - 100- 105)	Delinquent <u>''atters</u>	Percentage Delinguent	
3-31-52	15,144	10,347	<i>63.3</i> %	
4-30-52	14,978	9,628	64.3%	
5-31-5 2	14,246	9,008	63.2%	

The major portion of the work is in classification 100 matters, in which classification the delinquency is the highest.

During April, 5 of the 11 offices were able to reduce the pending backlog in classifications 65, 100 and 105 and 8 offices reduced the delinquency. Progressive gains were made by Los Angeles, Ban Francisco, Cleveland and Philadelphia. During May, 9 of the 11 offices reduced their pending backlog in those classifications and 6 offices reduced their delinquency. Progressive gains were made by Los Angeles, Cleveland and Philadelphia.

Observation:

As you will note above, due to the guidance and direction from the Eureau the 11 larger offices have not only held their ground but have shown some improvement in spite of the heavy responsibilities placed on them in the preparation of summary reports in all Security Index cases. Although the delinquencies remain high and the backlog heavy there is a continued downward trend in the backlog and delinquency which had shown a steady rise since the Korean situation.

From a review of the accomplishments of the 11 offices for the past few months it appears that with close guidance from the Bureau the offices will be able to work out the backlog and reduce the delinquency in the security field.

Progress of Forty Field Offices (Twelve Larger Cylices Excluded)

After reviewing the administrative reports for the months of March, April and May 1952, letters have

been directed to the offices not making progress in this matter. After the review of the March 1952 administrative reports letters were directed to 18 field offices. A review of the April 1952 administrative reports prompted letters to 13 field offices. Letters have been transmitted to 15 offices as a result of the administrative reports for May.

Twenty-seven of the 40 offices were able to reduce the total pending active matters in classifications 65, 100 and 105 during April 1952. Twenty-nine of the 40 offices reduced their total pending active matters in these classifications during May. The major portion of the security work in the 40 offices is in classification 100, in which classification 26 of the 40 offices were able to make a reduction in their delinquency during April. During May, 18 of the offices reduced their delinquency in this classification.

The following figures illustrate the progress of the 40 offices as reflected from an analysis of the March, April, and May 1952 administrative reports:

<u>Da te</u>	Pending Active Matters (65 - 100 - 105)		Percentage Delinguent
3-31-52	9,421	5,011	53.2%
4-30-52	9,138	4,35 5	47.7%
5-31-52	8,724	3,990	45.7%

Observation:

Due to the instructions contained in SAC Letter Number 27, dated march 15, 1952, and the guidance and close following of each office who is not making headway in this matter, you will note that there is a favorable trend downward in the backlog of work and delinquency in the 40 offices.

It is believed that the 40 offices will continue to make progress and will be able to work out from under their problems.

Progress of the Lew York Office

The problems facing the New Tork Office are the Eureau's biggest problems in the security field. Notice the off Communist Party we lead in the area covered by the new Port office and Den in approximately 50, of the total field estimates for Communist Party membership. In Naron 81, 1802, the New Port office estimated the Communist Party membership in New Port to be 12,103. As of may 15, 1952, the New York office had 3,437 Security Index ourds. The New Port office had 3,437 Security Index ourds. The New Port of its has been of all pending active matters in the field in classifications 65, 100 and 105 and approximately 80, of all delinquent matters in those categories. The following statistics were taken from the New Lork administrative reports for March, April and May, 1952.

Classification 65:

			· ·	
	Total Pending Active <u>Matters</u>	Pending Active Patvers Unaccioned	Delinguent <u>, at tar</u> s	Percentage Delinquent
March	2 9 <i>6</i>	3	121	41,5
April	307		127	41,5
lfay	29 <i>8</i>	2	160	53 %
April	Classifica	<u>vion 100</u> :		
	Total Pending Active <u>Katters</u>	Pending Active Matters <u>Massianed</u>	Delinguent Tatters	Percentage Delinguent
March	6,914	3,337	0 , 050	<i>88</i> %
April	7,548	4,280	ರ ್ಕ ಪ33	<i>23,</i> ;
May	7,882	4,505	6 , 312	36,1





Classification 105:

<u> </u>	Total Pending Active <u>Matters</u>	Pending Active Hatters Unassigned	Delinquent <u>Matters</u>	Percentage Delinquent
March	614	119	371	60%
<i>April</i>	622	117	380	61%
May	651	126	409	63%

Observation:

The general trend in the number of pending active matters in classification 100 at New York has been upward. Since October 31, 1951, the number of pending active matters in this classification has been increased from 6,298 to 7,882, a net increase of 1,584 matters. It will be noted that in this classification 4,505 of 7,882 matters are unassigned.

In this connection a survey was recently conducted at New York by Bureau representatives and an examination was made of 1,000 of New York's pending active unassigned security cases to determine whether there is sufficient substance to the allegations or existing information to indicate that these cases should be investigated. It was ascertained from the survey that approximately 25% of the 1,000 cases examined could be closed with a minimum of effort on the part of the New York Office provided the check of file references failed to reveal subversive data bringing such cases within the Bureau's current standards for instituting security investigations.

A memorandum covering the results of the survey has been submitted and New York has been instructed regarding the handling of the cases mentioned above. In addition, New York was instructed to review the remainder of their pending active unassigned cases with a view to closing administratively those cases which do not fall within the Bureau's standards. While it is anticipated that New York may be able to close a number of its 7,882 pending matters in the 100 classification as a result of recent instructions, it must be realized that the New York Office will still have a tremendous backlog of

of security cases which must be worked. In an additional effort to facilibate the handling of security matter cases you will recall that the New York Office was instructed to split Section 12 in the New York Office which handles both loyalty and security matter investigations and to set up a separate section to handle only security matter cases. It is hoped that these instructions will facilitate a reduction in the pending case load and we will closely follow the results in the New York Office for the next 2 months. If substantial progress is not made we will re-evaluate New York's needs in its attempt to reduce this delinquency.

ACTION:

We will continue to analyze the progress of security work in all offices and follow them concerning their backlog and delinquency. You will be informed of the progress of the field as reflected in the June administrative reports.

a nei of 66 agents

have been ordered to

new york in the past
30 days. additional
agents will be sent
to new york.

1278 Angell 7

etandard form no. 64

Office Memorandum • UNITEL

S GOVERNMENT

TO

SUBJECT:

: Director, FBI

DATE: July 14, 1952

Rem : SAC, Dallas (

Re SAC Letter No. 47 dated 5/12/51.

A check has been made and it has been determined that the Security Index cards in the Dallas Office are in an up-to-da'e status and the addresses of all Security Index subjects whose whereabouts are known have been verified within the last six months.

1271

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO

DATE: 6-27-52

FROM :

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX CARD STATISTICS

There is attached hereto a table showing by Field Office the total number of Security Index cards in our files. This table indicates the nationalistic tendency, the dangerousness table indicates the nationalistic tendency, and others on classification, sex, race, citizenship status, and others on one of the "special" lists.

These statistics are based on Statistical Section records as of June 13, 1952.

SECURITY INDEX CARD STATISTIC BASED ON STATISTICAL SPOTICE RES

							PPA	RITL	OR ORGA	POL	ION AF	TUS TUS	UPR	ULP	HUZ	WIS
PIELD	OFFICE	16,729		EUL 8	ISL 135	512	22	2	42	1	604	16	9	0	1	6
				•	200	024		-	2	_	2					
	ALBANY ALBOQUEES IF	265 49	261 49						2		•					
	ANCHORAGE	29	27		1						1					
	ATI ANTA	14	14		•											
	PALTIMORE	351	344		3						•					
	BIRMINGSHA	11	11				2		1		16					
	FOSTON	455 276	436 233	1	2		2		4		36				•	
	BUTTE	74	74	-	_											
	CHARLOITE	47	47				•									
	CHICAGO		1002		16	5	12		. 1	1	38	5				
	CINCIMMATI	194	193	,				· 1			1 25	2				1 .
	CLEVELAN	542 44	504 44	1	8			•	:			-				-
	MENVER	161	161													
	DETROIT	1209	1135		15		2				. 54	1				2
	FL PASC	16	16													
	-ONCLULU	94 67	94 63								4					
	HOUSTON 1 CTANAPOLIL	233	228				3				1	1				
	MAN AS CITY	38	37		1											
	KNOXVILLE	8														
	LITTLE ROCK	8	8				2		. 7		100	. 3				
	LOUISVILLE	19	2476 19		18		•		•		100					
	MEMPHIS	13														
	MIAMI	101 269									22					
	MILWAUKEE MINNEAPOLIC	476			1						74					
	MCBILE	4														
	I.F.ARK	625	605		. 3	3					14					
	FW HAVE	475							1		5					
	INFW ORLESKO	66	66 3319	1	21	31			16		60	4			1	2
	NORFOLK	19		•		•			•							
	OKLAHOTA CITY	62	61						1							
	AMAMA	35	35			_			•		4.3					1
	PHILADELPHIA PHOENIX	866 98			14	1	1		2		43					•
	PITTSEURGH	372			1		•				21					
	PORTLATO	187	185		2											•
	PICHMO"	24									,					
-	SAINT LOUIS	196			2						6					
	SALT LAKE CITY	73 18														
	years missistiffs															
	SAN DIFOS	108			16			1	2		53					
	SAN FRANCI_CO SAN JUAN	1924 386	1852		10	210		•	•				9			
	SAVANNAM	5,110	5													
	SEATTLE	640	609		9						22					
	SPRINGFIFLD	109									2					
	WASHINGTON	233	226			2			5							

ENCI

N FIELD OFFICE AS OF JUNE 15, 1952

																				•	•	
			A TT2	SE	X			P STAT		WHITE	PACE NEGEO	OTHER	AFP	ESP	FOE	SIT.		CHE	TJG	- `		l
<u>c</u>		KP			FINIS		<u> </u>	<u> 11.</u>						273	47	47		32	16	-		
, 687	5,415	1,288	52 1	5,022	5,707	14,340	3,737	498	154 1	6,597	1,894	258	1		47	• /		34	. 10			i
131	89	39		177	88	202	47	• 7	9	238	25	. 2										
23	18	7		33 25	16	44 24	3 5	2		47 27	1 2	· 1 .	•									-
- 5	5		•	9	5	13	í			12	2			17								1
213	124	50	2	229	122	262	80	8	1	306	45			#1	. 1.	2					,	l
11	4	4		9	2	21				10	. 1			!								- 1
174	99	24	3	313	142	350	93	8	4	429	26			1 1		3	,		•			- 1
142	74	32	1	191	85	226	37	10	3	229 74	47		*							1.		
37 26	25 20	12		54 32	20 15	67 47	6	1		37	10			1 1								ĺ
20	20	. ~										_		11		5			5			
527	454	134	7		276 57	708 156	321 32	47. 2	4	907 152	166 42	7 ,		1 1	•	•			-	•		
84 312	43 215	27 54	3	137 393	149	406	127	8	ĭ	479	63			E	1			4	. •2	• ;		
25	18	5		32	12	38	4	2		39	. 5			1 6		1						- [
73	56	16		115	46	135	14	7	5	146	11	•		\$ 30		•						į
023	414	24	4	840	313	024	334	41	כ	IUUY	140	4		8 3	1			•				ĺ
8	7	1		11	5	12	2	2		16		63		1 3		•						
45	9 23	32 13		73 48	21 19	79 59	6 7	8 1	1	. 30 52	1 15	0,5		100	,	Ċ			,			[
34 114	96	17	1		57	166	57	8	2		33			11					1			Ì
		_		27		36	2			36	2											
16	12	3		27 7	11	, J6	2			8	_		1		4.							
6	1	1		6	2	7	1	-	26	2411	4 166	29		8-1					3			- 1
977	705 7	79	1	1638 16	968 3	1952	566	62	. 20	18	1	• ,		2 1		• •	٠.					1
11	•	• •			•	• •								3 1	> .	¥						
5	4	2		9	4	13 61	38	1	1	96	5			多冕		1		•				1
47 118	34 103	5 10	2	67 192	34 77	214	50	5	•	252	14	3		\$ 3	•			•				ì
173	157	26	1	333	143	403	62	.10	1	457	18 4	1			,					•		. }
2	.1			3	1	4 .	•				•					•				•		i
231	126	95		465	160	487	120	9	9	563	60	2		, V		1			•			}
184	158	35	1	_	165	319	146	5 2	5 1	428 47	47 18	1		E 2		i						1
33 964	18 600	7 178	16	50 2465	16 990	58 2511	775	132	-	3170	233	52		- B	24	8		30	4			1
6	4	3	••	16	3	13	6			14	5			1]
		-			12	60	1	1		50	12			1		1						
31 16	18 12	7 4		50 28	17	34	•	i		31	4		•	1								,
372	315	65	3	589	277	675	181	8	2	765 79	100 19	1		1 1		3						_ :
31	15	5		65 288	33 84	72 276	2 <i>2</i> 84	10	2	311	61			4 3		1						- 1
148	124	26	1	200	6.4				_					74		•						
68	47	18	1		51	157	22 1	3 2	5	183	4	•		£ \$		4						
11 91	10 51	4 27		16 137	8 59	21 159	34	. 2	1	152	-	_		7 1								
39	27	13		48	25	69	3		1	64	8	7		1								
12	6	. 2		10	8	18				16	2			C		•						
42	8	7		72	36	85	18	4	1	99	5	3		1		_						
042	693	79	2	1213	711	1574 380	290	48	12	1666 332	219 40	39 14		* *		7						
165	36	13		354		380 5	2	4		332	2	* 7		3		•						
3 277	2 210	30	2	419		562	56	17	5	585	46	9		5 %		2 .		·				
			_				10	,		93	16											
51	41	6 12	,	89 143	20 90	89 172	18 51	2 7	3	196	35	2			20	3		2				
100	65	12		447	,,															,		
																		:				
											-	$\overline{}$										

1273

FIELD OFFICE

The first four letters of the field office are used in all cases except St. Louis, which is coded STLO.

NATIONALISTIC TEMDENCY OR ORGANIZATIONAL AFFILIATION

OCM	Cormunist Party, USA
301.	nul renter
ISL	tha randent Socialist Learus
	rationalist party of rubrub atto
MPR	Proletarian Party of America
PPA	Revolutionary Workers League
RWL	Revolutionary worners 2200
RUS	Russian
POL	Polish
SWP	Socialist Workers Party
YUG	9 1
_	train of the people for the education of
UPR	of the Republic of Puerto Rico
	United Labor Party
ULP	
HUN .	Hungarian Miscellaneous (any Nationalistic Tendency or
MIS	Miscellaneous(any nationalisted)
	Organizational Arfiliation not listed)

DANGEROUSNESS CLASSIFICATION

DC.	Priority Detention in the event of an Emergency (DetCom)
cs	Potential Communist Saboteurs (ComSab)
KP	Key Figures

TF Top Functionary

CITIZENSHIP STATUS

N.B	Native Born
NA	Naturalized Alien
AL	Unknown
UN	C1111.10 1.11

SPECIAL SECTION

AEP	Atomic Energy Program employees
ESP	Espionage subjects
F'GE'	Foreign Government employees
GOV	United States Government employees
PRO	Prominent Person United Nations employee
UNE YUG	Pro-Tito

Iffice Memorian 2 . United States Government

DATE: July 3, 1952

TO

FROM :

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the total cards in the Security Index.

During the past week 56 new cards were added to the Security Index and 24 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 32 cards. DETAILS:

The Security Index count as of today is 18,841.

UNRTROVES

(B) SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS OF INDIVIDUALS -- A recent survey of pending security-matter investigations in the Field indicates that cases are being investigated or scheduled for investigation in which the subversive information available in the office considered along the subversive information available in the office considered along with other factors does not bring the cases within existing Bureau with other factors does not bring the cases within existing bureau standards for conducting such investigations. In view of this it is

believed necessary to reiterate and define existing instructions regarding the opening of security-matter cases. These instructions apply to those security-type investigations initiated solely for the purpose of determining whether the activities of the subjects warrant their inclusion in the Security Index and do not encompass those investigations involving espionage, foreign intelligence, et cetera.

Because of the manner in which the Communist Party and other revolutionary organizations function and because of the great scope and variety of their activities it is not possible to formulate hard-and-fast standards by which the dangerousness of individual members or affiliates may be automatically measured. Sound judgment and discretion must be applied in evaluating the importance and dangerousness of individual members and affiliates of those groups.

In certain cases Bureau authority is necessary under existing instructions before security-matter investigations may be initiated. However, as a matter of general policy security-matter cases shall be opened and a thorough investigation conducted of any individual who comes within one or more of the following categories:

1. Membership in Basic Revolutionary Organizations Subsequent to January 1, 1949.

Any individual reported as having been a member of the Communist Party, Socialist Workers Party, Independent Socialist League, Revolutionary Workers League, Proletarian Party of America or other basic Markist revolutionary organizations or splinter groups or the Nationalist Party of Puerto Rico, on or after January 1, 1949.

2. Espousing Line of Revolutionary Movements

Any individual who since the outbreak of hostilities in Korea (June 25, 1950) continued to espouse the line of one or more of the above-mentioned revolutionary organizations or related groups thereby defining his or her adherence to policies opposed to the best interests of the United States.

The espousal mentioned above encompasses a wide variety and range of activities and as mentioned previously

7/8/52 SAC LETTER NO. 65 Series 1952

- 2 -

it is not possible to formulate any hard-and-fast standards to cover all cases falling in this category that warrant investigation. Membership in one or more of the basic revolutionary organizations is not a prerequisite to the institution of an investigation of an individual within this category.

In connection with espousal expressed by membership and active participation in subversive front groups (whether or not cited by the Attorney General) the nature and type of the front organization as well as the extent of the individual's activities on behalf of the front organization or in support of the Communist Party.must be evaluated in each case. Investigation should be opened in every instance when the derogatory information available indicates the subject is actively engaged in the affairs of a subversive front organization in a leadership capacity or by active participation in the furtherance of the aims and purposes of the front organization.

When there is an allegation of mere membership alone in a front organization and there is no supporting information to indicate active participation or leadership in the group, investigation should not be instituted unless information is available indicating past membership at any time in a basic revolutionary organization or sufficient other derogatory information is known.

of course, in determining whether an investigation should be conducted when an allegation of membership in a front organization is received you should be guided also by the nature and activities in behalf of the Communist Party of the particular front group throughout the country or in a certain area. For example, members of the Labor Youth League should be investigated because of the organization's close affiliation with the Communist Party in carrying out the dictates of the Party. On the other hand, an allegation of routine membership in the International Workers Order alone does not warrant the institution of an investigation, while leadership or active participation in carrying out the subversive aims and purposes of the International Workers Order does warrant investigation.

7/8/52 SAC LETTER NO. 65 Series 1952

- 3 -

UNRECORDES

3. Special Training in a Subversive Movement

Lenin School or has received training in the movement abroad.

Any individual who has received at any time leadership training in one of the basic revolutionary organizations mentioned above.

4. Military Service

Any individual who served with the Abraham Lincoln Brigade. Membership in one of the basic revolutionary organizations is not a prerequisite to initiating investigations of individuals in this category.

Any individual who has been alleged to have been a member of one of the basic revolutionary groups at any time and has served in the Office of Strategic Services or has served in the military forces of any country including the United States Armed Forces.

5. Employment in Vital Facilities

Any individual employed in or having access to a vital facility who is alleged to have been at any time in the past a member of any of the basic revolutionary organizations or against whom sufficient other subversive information is known which warrants investigation.

6. Other Individuals with Revolutionary Beliefs

Individuals who, because of anarchist or revolutionary beliefs, are likely to seize upon the opportunity presented by a national emergency to endanger the public safety and welfare. The individuals in this category should be investigated where sound judgment and discretion dictate. Membership or affiliation in basic revolutionary or front groups is not a prerequisite to initiating investigations of individuals in this category.

7/8/52 SAC LETTER NO. 65 Series 1952

- 4

UMRECORDEL

As you have been instructed previously, complaints alleging subversive activities of individuals which are received from anonymous sources should not be disregarded. If the facts of the complaints are sufficiently specific and of sufficient weight to oring the cases within existing standards for opening cases for investigation, such investigation should be conducted just as it would be if the identity of the source were known.

In this same connection, cases should not be opened for investigation based on nonspecific or vague allegations which do not come within the existing standards in this matter.

In order that we can direct our investigative efforts and resources to the fullest measure on important and necessary matters in accordance with existing Bureau standards for opening investigations and upon sound judgment and discretion, you are instructed to immediately undertake a review of the pending active security-matter cases in your office and you should be guided by the following instructions:

All pending active assigned security-matter cases should be reviewed carefully by the Agents to whom they are assigned and if any of the cases examined are not within the standards requiring investigation such cases should be brought to the attention of the office supervisor in order that they can be closed administratively or by a letter or report.

A project should be instituted to review all pending active unassigned security-matter cases to close administratively, or by appropriate notice to the Bureau, those which do not rall within the Bureau's standards for opening security-matter cases.

In addition, during the examining of case files under the preceding two paragraphs to determine whether there is sound basis for conducting an investigation, an examination should be made of all outstanding leads for auxiliary offices to be certain that auxiliary offices are not being requested to conduct unwarranted and needless investigations. If the examination and re-evaluation of outstanding leads indicates that requested investigations of auxiliary offices are not essential in the matter you

7/8/52 SAC LETTER NO. 65 Series 1952

- 5 -

7-8-52

should immediately advise the auxiliary office to disregard your request.

In connection with the foregoing instructions regarding cases that should be closed because there is no sound basis for the investigation under current Bureau standards, it is realized that there may be cases which do not come within the standards but which good judgment dictates should be investigated. If you have any question in any individual case of this nature you should communicate with the Bureau for guidance and instructions.

The project outlined above should be completed within thirty days of the receipt of this communication. As soon as the project is completed, each Field office should advise the Bureau by a memorandum captioned "Security Investigations of Individuals."

July 15, 1952

SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS OF INDIVIDUALS NATIONAL MILITARY ESTABLISHMENTS

PURPOSE:

To obtain your approval for the attached letter to of the General Electric Company and for the attached SAC Letter.

BACKGROUND:

Attached hereto is nemorandum to the Bureau dated July 9, 1952, wherein he calls the Bureau's attention to the possibility of industrial security personnel developing a complacent attitude regarding subversives employed in industry as a result of contacts by Bureau Agents.

ACTION:

Attached hereto for your approval is the recommended reply to as well as an SAC Letter cautioning the field with regard to the conduct of inquiries of personnel employed in vital facilities concerning subjects of security investigations.

7-18-52

(J) SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS OF INDIVIDUALS - VITAL FACILITIES - NATIONAL MILITARY ESTABLISHMENTS -- The Bureau's attention has been called to the possibility that persons engaged in industrial security in manufacturing plants and other facilities coming in contact with FBI Agents are becoming complacent about the presence of subversives in their facilities because the FBI is aware of the presence of such subversives. An expression has been made to the Eureau indicating that many persons in industrial security may be drawing false conclusions from the reactions of agents in having located subversives in their facilities.

I desire that all agents be instructed that in making contacts with security or other personnel in manufacturing plants and other facilities regarding subjects of security investigations who are or may be employed at such facilities they make absolutely no commitments as to the purpose of their inquiries or discuss information in their possession as to the subversive character of the subjects about whom the inquiries are being made. It is realized that in many instances the personnel of the facilities will be aware of the subversive character of the subjects at the time the contacts are made by the agents. In all instances agents should exercise extreme caution to avoid leaving the impression that the facilities need have no concern because of the presence of such subjects. Agents should not make any expression of satisfaction with having located such subjects which might cause the persons

7/18/52 SAC LETTER NO. 68 Series 1952

being contacted to assume that because of our knowledge of the subject's whereabouts their potential dangerousness is eliminated. Agents should not give any impression to persons being contacted that they desire that the subjects "stay put."

Jurisdiction to make any recommendation, real or implied, regarding the removal from or continuance in the employ of any individual in a vital facility. When contact is made with blant personnel in connection with verifying a subject's employment in a vital facility and the person being contacted expresses concern as to what action should be taken by him he should be informed that any recommendations regarding the continued employment of an individual will necessarily come from the interested agency or agencie; within the Department of Defense and not from the FBI. It should be pointed out that the inquiry by the Bureau Agent should not be interpreted as an indication that action should or should not be taken against the subject of the inquiry.

10-22

TO : 17

na de la composition La composition de la La composition de la

12 83 Chargest 76 48-36

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO

DIRECTOR, FBI

DATE:

7/15/52

FROM

SAC, SAT JUAN +

Confidential

SUBJECT:

STATUS OF SHOURITY INDEX CARDS AND ADDRESSIS SAN JUAN DIVISION

Re SAC Letter No. 100 of 12/28/50. .

Addresses have been checked and S.I. cards were in a current status as of July 15, 1952.

hist

Assistant Attorney General Tuly 3, 1983
Criminal Livision

on)

Director, FBI

SECURITY INLEX LIST

There is attached hereto a current list of the names of the individuals maintained in the Security Index. This list is subdivided alphabetically under the field offices of this Bureau covering the residence of the individuals listed.

It is requested that this list be given utmost security.

Attachment

NOTE ON YELLOW ONLY:

Espionage Section of the Special Section not included.

1285 Langel To 18-19

STANDARD FORM NO. 84

Office Men

1972 • UNITED LILL LOVERNMENT

TO:

Director, Fall

FROM E SAC, Horolulu

SUBJECT:

SECRETAR INDEA

PATEL CONSTRUCTS II

DATE: 3030 74, 3952

Re SAC Letter No. 167, A ries 1950, da ed 12/28/40, and my letter ditad 1/18/51.

In accordance with implimitations converted in referenced SAC letter, the addresses and combined to of all Caparity Index Subjects of this office have been verified within the past six sonths.

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office M..... 2 • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

THE DIRECTOR

July 11, 1952 DATE:

FROM :

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the total cards in the Security Index.

DETAILS:

The following is a report on the increase in the Security Index since the last count was furnished to you on June 13, 1952.

Week of	New Cards	Cards	Net
	Added	Cancelled	Increase
June 14-20 June 21-27 June 28 - July 4 July 5-11	78 51 56 66 251	23 27 24 18	55 24 32 48 159

The Security Index count as of today is 18,889.

week period 276 cards were added and 80 cards were cancelled, a net increase during the period of 198 cards.

Office Memorandum • United States Government

FROM:

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX

PURPOSE:

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

To advise you of the total cards in the Security Index.

DETAILS:

During the past week 70 new cards were added to the Security Index and 18 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 52 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 18,941.

to the authorization of a warrant of arrest, which is a determination which the Department must make and will not be accepted by the Bureau.

(2) Notification to the Department regarding techs, mikes, and unusual techniques in Bureau investigations.

With reference to this subject, it was recalled that an agreement had previously been entered into between the Bureau and Messrs. and 'of the Department as to the manner in which the Department would be notified as to the existence of techs, mikes and similar techniques and thereafter the identities of such techs and mikes as reflected in investigative reports. This agreement was subsequently disauowed by

At the conference on July 9, after discussion of this problem,

ment previously entered into by

continue to handle these matters as previously outlined.

(3) How far shall a Bureau representative go when called upon to testify regarding wire tap evidence in court?

It was agreed during the conference that had indicated that an answer to the Bureau's question regarding how far a Bureau representative should go in testifying to wire tap evidence had been prepared and had been submitted to . . . for approval. indicated that Departmental policy had been formulated and approved only as to testimony in Smith Act cases. His attention was directed to previous overtures to the Department insisting that Departmental policy apply to all testimony regarding wire taps in any type of case. It was position that this should not be done.

I pointed out to that the Bureau still maintained that a fixed policy should be adopted by the Department which will cover wire tap testimony in any type of case, which would be similar to that adopted by the Department in Smith Act cases.

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO

DATE: July 10, 1952

FROM:

SUBJECT:

conferince lith misses.

OF THE DEFLIRE BUY

JULY 9, 1952

of the Department called at my office at 2:00 P.M. today. He said he would like to go over with me matters which have been discussed at the above conference which, you will recall, was attended by and myself. Le reviewed the following items and my comments to are set forth as to each:

(1) Bureau standards for Security Index.

During the conference — had advised that the Bureau's standards for inclusion of individuals on the Security Index were being reviewed by the Department and that the Department will communicate with the Bureau with reference to its approval of the standards which we have adopted. In this connection I pointed out to that the Bureau's position during the conference was that the Bureau will insist that the Department either approve our standards as submitted or will stipulate standards which it will approve which can then be applied by the Bureau. The Bureau further pointed out with reference to — statement that the Department might adopt a set of standards different from that of the Bureau, that the Bureau feels that the Department should operate under the identical standards as those used by the Bureau.

With reference to the review of files by the Department of pirsons to be included on the Beourity Index,
indicated that the Department Lad reviewed
approximately 1200 cases and indicated that after reviewing
several thousand, the Department night decide to accept the
Bureau's decisions on inclusions and not review further files.
I pointed out to that it was the Bureau's position
that the Department should review all those files and advise
the Bureau of their conclusions either approving the inclusion
on the Security Index or disapproving. I told that this
was clearly a function of the Department and was comparable

It was agreed that during the conference we had discussed the various phases of the handling of subjects who are in the United States under 3(1) or 3(7) visas. position that the responsibility It was generally . of the Criminal Division should be limited to a determination of whether there has been a violation of criminal statutes. He said that other phases of these cases, such as the determination as to whether the subject is excludable or deportable, the determination of whether persona non grata would apply, and in double agent and espionage cases the over-all determination as to whether intelligence values of a particular operation were such as to preclude other action, should be handled by someone outside the Criminal Division. He suggested this could be done either in the Attorney General's Office or, as in the case of excludability or deportation, by the Commissioner of the Immigration and Naturalization Service.

that in these cases the I pointed out to Criminal Division had originally accepted the responsibility to make all of the determinations discussed, and that we have, in accordance with this agreement, fulfilled our obligations. He agreed that at the approximate time when had advised the Bureau that the Department that all the functions of the Department pertaining to internal security matters were being vested in the Criminal Division and that since we have received no other advice from the Department since then, we are, of course, bound by instructions to look to the Criminal Division for unswers. I pointed out that at that time the Criminal Division apparently accepted this responsibility and had, in fact, ucted on a number of cases; that recently the position of the Criminal Division appeared to be one of disavowing their responsibility except for determination as to whether criminal prosecution will lie. I told him that the Bureau did not intend to be put in the position of having to go back and re-do our handling of these cases in accordance with some changed policy of the Department, and that we intend to continue to look to the Criminal Division as being responsible for handling these cases until some other plan is worked out.

ACTION:

For your information.

au 41 ---

Director, FBI

ReBulet dated December 20, 1950.

There is enclosed herowith a scaled package containing a non-Security Index live of all subjects maintained in the general and Special Sections of the Lecurity Index.

This puckage chould be reinfained in your office safe in accordance with instructions in referenced memorandur. This new list replaces the list in your possession. It is your personal responsibility to see that the old list is destroyed by burning.

The Bureau should be advised of your receipt of the attached list and the destruction of the old list.

Enclosure

RECORDED - 78 'JUL 24 1952 65 FRUSHLED 11

100 -

1011 31 1901

87ANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Mem.

um · United States Government

TO:

DATE: July 25, 1952

FROM:

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the total cards in the Security Index.

PETAILS:

During the past week 80 new cards were added to the Security Index and 23 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 57 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 18,998.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

)

 $oldsymbol{\mathcal{I}}^{x}$

DATE: July 16, 1952

SUBJECT:

FINLD DELINQUENCY IN SECURITY/
INVESTIGATIONS

At the recent conference of Special Agents in Charge, Assistant Special Agents in Charge, and field Supervisors held at the Bureau on June 26 and 27, 1952, concerning problems in security investigations, considerable stress was given to the question of the field's delinquency in this type of work.

In this regard, it will be recalled that as early as March of 1751, the Domestic Intelligence Division recognized the rising delinquency in security work in the field and noted the large backlog of work that existed in this type of investigation. By SAC Letter dated March 21, 1951, this problem was pointed out and instructions were issued for each office to review the delinquency situation and to place into effect a program which would bring about a reduction of the percentage of delinquency. Thereafter, this program was closely followed hereat the Seat of Government and again in December 1951 an analysis of the over-all situation was made. The results of this analysis indicated that the bulk of our security work was concentrated in twelve of the Bureau's larger field offices and the preponderance of delinquency was also in these offices.

On December 17, 1951, a letter was directed to these offices again instructing them to analyze the problems in their offices and to make concrete plans and take positive steps to bring about a reduction in the delinquency and backlog of work in security-type cases.

On March 15, 1952, an SAC Letter was again directed to the field pointing out the absolute necessity for reducing the backlog in delinquency. This same letter gave specific instructions as a guide to all offices to bring their security work into line.

Since that time, the monthly administrative reports have been carefully studied and letters of encouragement have been directed to those offices which showed progress in reducing the delinquency. At the same time, as to those offices where no progress was being made or there was a retrogression, their deficiencies were forcefully pointed out to them.

WAR:GAS

The above facts were called to the attention of the members of the conference. As was explained to them, the accomplishments in reducing the delinquency have been worthwhile, but that nevertheless, the problem was an ever present one and required their continued attention.

During the discussion which followed, the question of increasing the manpower on security investigations was raised. In doing so, the field representatives stressed the fact that their offices were looking to meeting this situation fact that their offices were looking to meeting this situation with the manpower available and no office had applied adopted a with the manpower available and no office had applied adopted a defeatist attitude that the problem was too big to cope with defeatist attitude that the problem was too big to cope with All of the members were optimistic and felt a solution could be achieved, pointing out that the general trend during the past months has been a decline.

The conference was of the opinion that the inequities in the delinquency and backlogs in the security field as compared with the over-all work of the Bureau is not justified when it is considered that a high proportion of the Bureau's when it is considered that a high proportion of the Bureau's financial appropriations is based on security work and financial appropriations is based on security work and particularly because of the terrific responsibility of the Bureau in the internal security field. The conference felt Bureau in the internal security field. The conference felt that some positive steps should be taken because the Bureau's reputation was at stake.

The members of the conference expressed a hope that as Agents became available through the reduction of our applicant program, these Agents could be assigned to security work. The members of the conference also stated that continued work. The members of the conference also stated that continued attention would be given to streamlining the work both in the attention would be given to streamlining the principle of direct action opening of cases and by applying the principle of direct action to the investigation to insure that those cases were receiving the proper attention.

The conference suggested that in view of this pressing matter and importance of our Security Program and the fact that we have not been able to implement some of the highly important programs within the security field as yet, the Eureau might well again consider whether we are being injudicious in the use of Special Light personnel on investigative matters and programs of Special Light personnel on investigative matters and programs not essential at this time. The conference, of course, was not essential at this time. The conference, of course, was security-ninded as the responsibility for the Bureau's Security Program rests in a large measure on the shoulders of the Program rests in a large measure on the shoulders of the Program rests in a large measure on the shoulders of the Program rests in a large measure of the suggestion that the felt when the representatives advanced the suggestion that the felt when the representatives advanced the suggestion that the function examine its current programs and investigations in other fields to see whether they are essential.

ACTION:

The conference was advised that the Bureau has given this matter most careful consideration and is continually examining its programs to eliminate items which are not essential, even though they may be desirable. For example, we have declared a moratorium on re-contacts in the American Legion Program and have reduced the contacts necessary in rural posts to either the Adjutant or the Post Commander, instead of both. We have declared a moratorium on the re-contact of plant informants for the same reason, namely, to cut down the amount of work in the field to enable the Agents to apply themselves to security cases.

The Bureau sponsored S-2077 for the express purpose of relieving the Eureau of applicant investigations in order that we might devote ourselves to the heavy responsibilities we have in the security field. The IIC sponsored an internal security report which accelerated our security program and resulted in larger appropriations for the Bureau and, of course, additional personnel. The pressing need for additional personnel, particularly in the Washington Field Office and the New York Office has been recognized by the Bureau and additional personnel has been assigned to those offices.

We have instructed the field to analyze carefully the opening of cases to insure that cases are not being opened where the information does not warrant investigation. In the New York Office, in order to assist in clearing up the delinquency and backlog, we conducted a survey of some 1,000 cases and the New York Office is presently analyzing all remaining cases for the purpose of eliminating those cases which either do not warrant investigation or can be closed with a minimum of effort. We further permitted the New York Office to submit investigative reports in certain instances in order to place subjects on the Security Index, rather than insisting that summary reports be prepared at this time in those cases, with the understanding that summary reports would be submitted later.

We will continue to analuze carefully all of our programs and techniques with a view to eliminating, wherever possible, procedures or programs which are not absolutely essential in order that we can direct our efforts to the handling of the heavy backlog and delinquency in the security field.

2000

lit

Assistant Attorney General James M. McInerney Crininal Division

July 22, 1952

Director, TEI

SECURITY INDEX LIST

There is attached hereto a current list of the names of the individuals raintained in the Boarita Index. This list is subdivided althought absorbed under the field offices of this lureau covering the residences of the individuals ligand.

It is requested that this list be given utmost security.

12 18 A ttachment

حاصر

NOTE ON YELLOW ONLY:

Espionage Section of the Special Section not included.

STANDEND FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO

DATE: July 10, 1956

FROM :

SUBJECT:

STOURITY INDEX STANDARDS ELECTROPHICS DETENTION PROGRAM

While discussing other matters with Hr. of the Department, and his assistants,

on July 9, 1952,

brought up two questions we have been attempting to resolve with the Department for some months; namely, the approval by the Department of the standards used by us for placing persons on the Security Index, and the review of our Security Index cases by the Department.

advised that Departmental attorneys have reviewed approximately 1,200 Security Index cases, with the result that the Department is in tentative agreement that all of these cases are warranted for inclusion in the Security Index. He said that the reviewing attornsys have set aside not more than 2% of the cases for further review and, in the event there is any question as to whether they should be retained in the Index, the Bureau will be consulted on each individual case. was asked whether he contemplates reviewing every case in the Index. He advised that he contemplates reviewing several thousand of the cases and if the present pattern continues, reflecting agreement by the Department that the individuals should be included in the Security Index, the remainder of the cases will not be reviewed, but the Department will approve the inclusion of the remaining cases in the Index for Il apprehension.

We have previously requested the Department to review the reports for each Security Index subject and to advice us in each insurance as to whether the Department approved or disapproved the listing of each name in the Security Index. I think we should insist on this procedure, if possible.

Relative to the Amertian of atomicris. Pau will recall we have been insistent that the Department approve our standards or give us a reason to the contrary. Several months ago the Department furnished us a proposed set of standards to be used by them in reviewing these cases. These standards were a patent attempt to apply the detention provisions of the McCarran Act, in spite of the fact the Department has consistently stated the McCarran Act is not workable and that the emergency detention program would be launched under the Department's program rather than the McCarran Act. We returned these standards to the Department, pointing out they were not properly drawn, in that they did not cover potentially dangerous individuals. Since that time the Department has been attempting to work out a set of standards satisfactory to them for review purposes. Because this matter dragged on and on, we insisted that the Department give us written approval for the standards under which we are operating, and we have been pushing the Department for such written approval.

In discussing this with on July 9, 1952, we again asked when this written approval would be forthcoming. stated he understood we have already been given written authority to apprehend anyone on the Security Index, pending the decision on these standards. This is true, as gave us such authority. Nevertheless, we told we must insist on the Attorney General's specific approval of the standards under which we are operating, inasmuch as we are an investigative agency, and the policy as to whom should be apprehended under any detention program must rest with the Attorney General.

advised that for purposes of review, he is thinking in terms of applying a broad general standard rather than a set of standard; that broad standard to sover includingle potentially democrate as saboteurs or assistant against . He will a marrandum is being prepared in this respect.

We reiterated we should have written approval from the Attorney General of the standards used by us which we have furnished to the Department, these standards to be used for the purpose of placing individuals on the Security Index to be apprehended in the event of an emergency.

RE COMME NDATIONS:

(1) That we insist that the Department review all of the cases on the Security $I_n dex$ and give us clearance or denial in each case. This recommendation is made despite the fact Mr. indicated that one of the reasons for not completing the entire review is the imatter of expense to the Department.

(2) | That we insist on written approval from the Department of our standards for purposes of placing subjects on the Security Index and their subsequent apprehension.

(3) That we continue to follow the Department to secure a copy of their standards for review, for the purpose of seeing whether their standards conform with ours. クダ

July 25, 1952

The Attorney General

Director, FBI

PROGRAM FOR APPREHENSION AND DUTENTION OF PERSONS CONSIDERED POTENTIALLY DANGEROUS TO THE NATIONAL DUFTING AND FUELIC SAFETY OF THE UNITED STATES

of the Criminal Division of the Tenerthent and the Eureau the matter of the review by Leparthent attorneys of investigative reports on subjects whose names are listed in the Macurity Indea was discussed. The Tenerthent representatives indicated that to date approximately 1,200 Security Indea cases had been reviewed and that the Department is in tenestive agreement that all of the cases reviewed are warranted for inclusion in the Lecurity Indea except approximately two percent usion have been set aside for further review. They indicated that in the event there is any question as to whether an individual's name should be retained in the Lecurity Indea to ensulted in each individual case.

representatives raised the question as to thether the representatives raised the question as to thether the reportment contemplates reviewing every case in the recurity rade. They were advised that it is contemplated that several thousand cases will be reviewed by the referring attorneys and if the present pattern continues replaceding agreement by the reportment that the individuals should be included in the reviewed and the remainder of the cases will not be reviewed and the remaining individuals in the receiving radio of the names of the remaining individuals in the reserving radio.

It is my opinion that all cases for subjects whose names are livied in the Laurding Index and are coheduled for any robunsian diffice because of a national energonous chauld be revilled by the places at a national all authority for any robuncions in an empressed under the above-or evidenced from a flows at rectile from you. As you know after apprehensions are effected it will be the

4

lepartment's responsibility to handle the appropriate hearings that will be efforted the detainers. If the magaryment masses reviewed a psourity famor case prior to the appropriate to the appropriate to the appropriate to the appropriate of the appropriate to the appropriate of the solution of the nestion, it places this tureous in the position of the nestings, and the proceedor until and time as the legarinest takes over for the purpose of the mearings. Whose this sureous is a fact-finding indeathealists according to decision to dearibe an individual of nersonal liberty in any motion over lased this sureous has investigated for is the responsibility of the reportment. I fuel that it is essential that all decurity Index cases be reviewed by the Sapartment.

In view of this X again wros that all cases for subjects litted in the Lecurity Index to reviewed by Department attorneys to determine whether the Repurtment approves or discourages the liating.

Cuestions relating to the standards used as a basis for including names in the Security Index were discussed at the conference. The importance of this Program in maintaining the internal security of the country at the time of an emercency and the corresponding responsibilities of the Percriment and the Eureau dictate that all quastions relating to the atandards be resolved promotly by the aspertment in order that there can be a complete understanding in the matter. These questions relating to a proval by the Ispartment of the security Index standards presently utilized by this cureau nave been under consideration at the Lepartment for some vina.

Department advised that for the purpose of the review of Department advised that for the purpose of the review of Decurity Index coses by Jecartment attorneys a broad general standard nowed he wood, the broad standard to cover inciviately notentially cangerous as tuboteurs or emission and the sensial etcadard would be used for review numposes of the this standard would be used index standards willised by this suredue. I connot understand the procedure of the Lepartment using one set of standards for review purposes and appropriate a different set of Decurity Index standards for this Eurecu to use in

contidering individuals for the Security-Index. Inless the Court and Can the Termon have common prounts for the Courtine on individual is hanconous or patentially dangerous to the internal security of the country in dangerous to the internal security of the country in the angula of the internal there are he to contain the internal and fire Survivale in understanding between the Jesuretons I firmly besieve that this vital matter. Therefore, I firmly besieve that the Jesurbisho and the Tureou chauld use the same foculty index standards.

in the interest of the metional security, I will appreciate an early reply to this communication.

- cc (3) Leputy Attorney Ceneral
- co (2) Assistant Attorney General Criminal Liuisian

1275X

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office M

" • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO

Director, FBI

DATE: 7/17/52

FROM

SAC, Cmaha

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX

Rebulet 7/3/52 forwarding the new Security Index list. The old list has been destroyed by burning.

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum . United States Government

TO

DATE: July 29, 1952

FROM ;

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX .

-PREPARATION OF-SUMMARY REPORTS

PURPOSE:

To submit for your approval who addached SAC

Letter.

BACKGROUND:

At the recent Internal Facurity - Espicaage Conference the question was raised as to whether it is necessary to completely document information included in summary reports on important Communist functionaries against whom a prima facie case can be made. It was suggested that the reports contain information concerning all of the subjects activities but only that information necessary to make a colid case would be accumented.

OBSERVATIONS:

We have previously issued instructions stating that it will be necessary to document all information specifically reported but have provided for the elimination of repetitious and cumulative items with a view to cutting-down on the work necessary to prepare reports particularly those of important Communist functionaries. The Internal Security Section recommends that these instructions remain in effect and that we continue to document all evidence and intelligence information which is openifically out forth in summary reports.

ACTION:

If you agree, the attached SAC Letter should be sent to the giold.

28--16

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Monnorandom • united

ES GOVERNMENT

.Director, FBI

DATE: 7/2/52

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDOM

PREPARATION OF SUMMARY REPORTS

Reference No 2 SAS Letter I dated 3/1/52.

The following information is being submitted pursuant thereto:

Category	Total of of cases	No. of Initial Substituted to data	No. of Seasary Reports to be Submitted	Unpactor late of Constation
1 2 3 4 5 6	1 0 0 0 9 3	0 0 0 6 3	0 0 0 3 0	10/1/52 0 0 0 10/1/52
TO TAIS	15	9	. 4	

Placed note that the above injures relate to the 51 eases as they exist at this date. This office now has 12 SL subjects and is in process of recommending one additional which will make 13.

/2 98

STANDARD FORM NO. 54

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO :

Director, FBI

DATE: 8/1/52

FROM/

SUBJECT:

SAC, Omaha

SHOURITY INDIX

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

--- Rebulst 7/22/52.

The new Security Index list has been received with relet and the old list received with Bulet of 7/3/52 has been destroyed by burning.

STANDARD FORM NO. 64 Office Memorandum • United States Govern

: Director, FBI (

DATE: July 31, 1952

FROM : SAC, New York (

CONFIDENTI

SUBJECT: INTERGULICY DETERMINENT PROGRAM, APPRENISIONS BY POLICE OFFICERS O Security Index - Genera

Rebulet May 7, 1952 granting authority for the use of police officers alone, without Special igents being present, in effecting the apprehensions of routine Security Index subjects at the time of an emergency.

It is noted that the Master Warrant of Arrest is signed by the Attorney General and directed to "The Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation" authorizing and directing "you and your duly authorized agents to arrest ---",

The Bureau is requested to advise whether the phrase "duly authorized agents" can be said to include officers of the NYC Police Department acting alone under this program.

The question of legality of arrest by police officers alone may come up at some future conference with the NYC Police Commissioner.

August 21, 1952

SAC, New York (

Director, PAI (

THERESECT INTENTION PROCESS AND REMILESIONS OF POLICE OF LOSES

noted that the finter warrant of Arrest is to be eighed by the Attorney Ceneral and direct a to The Director of the Tederal Bureau of Invistington' accounting and circeting the Journal of the Journal o

Ceneral covering the plan of operation for the Amergency letentian process provide that the pureau will and ist the aid and assistance of other law enforcement agencies where needed. The term of the Easter warrant of Arrest on this point is worded as follows: "... I hereby authorize and airect you and your duly authorized Agents to arrest or cause the arrest of the persons set forth ..."

As indicated above, the Attorney General of the time of an emergency will authorize arrests by courtivy Index subjects under the direction of the Eureau and the Eureau will request assistance in the matter where needed.

In connection with the statement in relet that the Conguestion of localism of excess by poulse officers alone may a cone who at come guture conference with the low forb with Police. Some interest, whe ere continued an way for an information of a way for a law guture determents by the first particle of the law engeroments of form the police denouncer continued named by the larged of the the law engineers for more as a continued named of the larged of the first of a large of the larged of the large of the lar

Office Me.....n • Uniti

3OVERNMENT

TO

DATE: August 1, 1952

FROM:

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX

PURPOSE:

To advise you of the total cards in the Security Index.

DETAILS:

During the past week 50 new cards were added to the Security Index and 19 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 31 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 19,029.

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO

DATE: 7-28-52

FROM:

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX CARD STATISTICS

There is attached hereto a table showing by Field Office the total number of Security Index cards in our files. This table indicates the nationalistic tendency, the dangerousness classification, sex, race, citizenship status, and others on one of the "special" lists.

These statistics are based on Statistical Section records as of July 15, 1952.

FIELD OFFICE

The first four letters of the field office are used in all cases except St. Louis, which is coded STLO.

NATIONALISTIC TENDENCY OR ORGANIZATIONAL AFFILIATION

COM	Cormunist Party, USA
BUL	Bulgarian
ISL	Independent Socialist League
NPR	vationalist Party of Puerto Rico
PPA	Proletarian Party of America
RAL	Revolutionary Workers League
RUS	Russian
POL	Polish
SMP	Socialist Workers Party
YUG	Yugoolovian
	Union of the people for the establishment
UPR	of the Republic of Puerto Rico
*** 0	United Labor Party
ULP	**************************************
HUN	Miscellaneous (any Nationalistic Tendency or
MIS	Organizational Affiliation not listed)

DANGEROUSNESS CLASSIFICATION

	Priority Detention in the event of an Emergency (DetCom) Potential Communist Saboteurs (ComSab)
***	Var Pimmes

KF Key Figures TF Top Functionary

CITIZENSHIP STATUS

NB	Native Born
NA	Naturalized
AL	Alien
UN	Unknown

SPECIAL SECTION

1

AEP	Atomic Energy Program employees
ESP	Espionage subjects
FGE	Foreign Government employees
GOV	United States Government employees
PRO	Prominent Person
UNE	United Nations employee
YUG	Pro-Tito

1297

ENC 1

ENCL

SECURITY INDEX CAPO CT THE SS - BUELD OFFI RATIO ON STATE TOTAL SECT IN COURSE JOY JULY 1

FIELD OFFICE	TOTA	_الحاليات	E.	1		ONALIS	nc i	Z. UFNOX	<u> </u>	MAPUTA Sur	Ti-U A	<u> </u>							_
	18,506		3		7 518	£2	2											Fi.	
ALPANY	-	-	•	, 13	/ 320	L	Z	41	1	610	13	9	C	- 3 .	:	-, _U			5
ALBUDDE ROUE	266	262						2		2	•							•	
ANCHOPAGE	47	47						-		•						3 (39	
ATLANTA	2 4	•		1						1						;		7	
BALTIMORE	15	15															5		
CHELLMONE	351	344		3						4						1	5 1 1;	4.0	
PIRMINGHAM	11	11					•												
BOSTON	462					2										1.7		4	
BUFFALO	273		1	2		-		1 4 .		13						7 9		25	
BUTTE	74	74	•	-				4 .	•	36						+		31	
CHARLOTTE	49	49														37		12	
CHICAGO	1072	995		16	5	12		1	1	26	4			•		\.	-		
CINCIMATI	194	193			-	••		•	•	36 1	6					4.7	4.6	135	
CLEVELAND	554	516	1	. 8			1			25	2					86	-4	28	
PALLAS	45	45	-	-			. •			2)	4		•		. }	16,	2.A	51	
THNVED	163	163						•							: .	25 - 7f	18 57	5 18	
THE TROIT	1222	1146		15		2				55	2							-	
IEL PASA	15	15		•		•				22	~				2	5.13	4.29	52	
POMOLULI	92	92				•										7	6	1	
HOUSTON	70	66								. 4						4.5	٩	32	
IN HIM FAULTS	?32	227				3				1	1					114	24 97	1'3 16	
KANSAS CITY	39	38		1			•									_		_	•
KNOXVILLE	8	. 8		•												17	13	3 •	
. TILE ROCK	16.	14														4	4		
LOS ANGELES	2664	2520		21		2		7		102	3					17	. 3	1	
LOUISVILLE	24	34									•					393		77	
MEMPHIS	13	13																•	
MIAMI	102	102												•		•	34	2 5	
MILWAUKEE	283	261								22						_a .⁻6	34 110	10	
MINNEADOLIS	4 80	407		1					•	74							154	26	
MORILE	4	4								7. *						71	1		
NEWARK	628	608		3	3														
NEW HAVEN	477	471		,	•			_		14						31	126	95	
NEW ORLHANS	71	71						1		5						9.0	161	35	
NEW YORK		3334	1	21	33			16						_	_	7.3	18	7	
- NORFOLK	19	19	. •		"			15		61	4			1	2	* 7	605	180	3
	-															6	4	3	
CKLAHCHA C'TY	59	58						1								- 3 0	17	7	
	35	35			_											16	12	4	
OHILAN-CRUIA	880	Alq		14	1	_		2		44						173	320	63	
PHOENIX	9 <i>7</i> 378	91		•		1										3.2	16	5	
i alitemade	316	355		2						21.						. 54	129	29	
5 7: 57: 18: 18: 18	191	189		2												69	47	10	
101640	23	23														: :	10	* /-	
15A181 .	107	183		2						6						a i	51	27	
SALT !		76														40	27	. 3	
54X 61	1 ,	1.5														14			
SAN D	100) 13														1.7	:		
SAN	1441 1			16			1	2		54						144	£45		
CAM J	; a =	5			74		-	-				9				166		• ;	
33. 3. 3.																			
SEATTL	•••	600		a						2 2						175	212	3.0	
c Britain 1		100																	
ZBS [MV+ .					•			-		2						49	39	* ·	
**************************************	/37	200			2.			5								102	67	1.2	•

(Ky Motories)

1

ENC 2

CURITY INDEX CAPO CTOTIC CO - BILLED OFFICE LOW STATE TOAL SECT IN CORES OF JULY 15, 1982.

TOT VAS	•			<u>L!</u>	T	<u> </u>	DALB	_C11	17	TA STAT		WHITE	<u></u>		AEP	ELP.		SPEC	721		Tai
_1				<u>1</u> 58	ა- ವ -1	175	5,80a 91	202	-3,724 4.8	439 7	157 -1	.∺,769- 238	- ,-96 26			A	-45	46	-ئىن		ا نـد
		. ?		7		31	16	42 23	3	.2		45 26	1 2	1				2			I
		1	1 1:	40	2	10 227	124	264	1 78	8	1	. 13 307	. 2 44					•	•		l
	•	2 ? 7 4	10	4 25	3	9 317	2 145	. 11 355	96	7	4	10 436	1 26					3			l
		+2 37 27	2	31 12 4	1	191 54 33	82 20 16	222 67 49	37 - 6	10	4	226 74 39	47 10							•	l
•		~ ['	4.6	135	7	797	275	701	321	46	#	898	167	7			1	5		**	0
1.		86 15	2.8	28 51	3		158	154 415	34 128	10	1	153 488	41 66				1				2
		25 7£	18 57	18		33 118	. 12	39 136	15	7	5	40 147	12	4			•	1			2
2		1.13	429	52 1	4	904	315	833 12	343	41 1 7		1018	200	4. 63			•				
		114	9 24 97	32 13 16	1	72 51 175	20 19 57	78 62 166	6 7 56	1 8	. 1	29 55 199	15 33	62							1
		17	13	3		29	10	37	2			37	2		. 1		•				
		4 11 393	4 3 19	77	•	7 10 1656	1 4 998	6 13 1992	2 1 583	62	27	8 8 2465	ه 169	30	. •			4			- 1
				,,	•	14		23	J J	1	•	22	2	. •							
٠		5 48	34	2 5	•	9 88 304	4 34 79	13 61 271	39 57	1 5	1	8 98 264	5 4 16	3			•	1			
		26 71 2	110 154	10 26	1	204 331 3	151	407	64	10	1	'-	18	í				•			
•	•	31	126	95		464	164	490	120	. 9.	9	568 431	58. 46	2				1			
1 2	•	88 35 170	161 18 605	.35 7 180		313 52 2475	164 19 997	321 61 2529	146 6 774	5 2 131	2	52 3186	18	1 53		H	22	i	•	3′)	4
		- 6	4	3	•	16	3	13	6			. 14	5								
		30 16 173	17 12 320	7 4 63	3	47 28 596	12 7 284	57 34 686	1 184	1 1 8	2	47 31 779	12 4 100	1		H		3			
		32 54	16 129	75 29		61 291	31 87	66 277	22 88	11	2	79 317	13 61			13		1			
		69 11	47 10	1.0	1	140 15	51 8	161	22 1	3 2	5	187 20	4					,			
		91	51 27	27 13		138	59 27	160 77	34 3	2	1	153 67	44	1							
		14	*	3		. 10	8	16	10	4	1	1,7 99	1 6	3		1					
		47 044 166	1" 695 38	1		72 1218 356		85 1587 382	18 295 2	51 4		1680	219	41 13				7 2			
•		279	212			2 418	1	3	54	16.	5	1 586	45'	8		Ħ		1			
		49	39 67	, ¢	,	194	20	86	18 52	2 6	3	89 202	17 34	1		* *	20	,		,	
		102	71	• /	1		7)	110		J	•		,4	•				•		•	

Enc 3

(I) SECURITY INDEX, SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS AND RELATED MATTERS -(The following instructions and suggestions are to be effective immediately:

SECURITY INDEX - VERIFICATION OF CHANGE OF ADDRESSES BY AUXILIARY OFFICES

It has been noted that in certain instances there have been delays by auxiliary offices in verifying information that a Security Index subject has changed residence and is residing within the territory covered by the auxiliary office. Until the verification of the new address is made by the auxiliary office, the office of origin cannot forward the Security Index cards and pertinent information with Form FD-128 to change the office of origin and the Security Index cards are not maintained in the office within whose territory the subject presently resides.

Whenever an auxiliary office receives a request to verify an address of a Security Index subject who is reported to be residing within its jurisdiction, the request shall be assigned immediately and the matter shall receive expeditious attention. The results of the investigative efforts to verify the new address of the Security Index subject must be transmitted to the office of origin within two weeks of the receipt of the request. When the office of origin receives a verification of a new address for a Security Index subject, Form FD-128 should be prepared without delay in order to advise the Bureau and to transmit the Security Index cards and other pertinent information to the new office of origin.

SECURITY-TYPE CASES TRANSFERRED TO A NEW OFFICE OF ORIGIN BY FORM FD-128

When a security-type case is transferred to a new office of origin by the use of Form FD-128, the new office of origin should review the pertinent material forwarded with the Form and any pertinent information in the files of the new office of origin and a determination should be made as to whether additional investigation is warranted at the time in that office. If so, the case should be maintained in a pending status and placed in line for investigation.

If a determination is made that no additional investigation or other action is warranted at the time in the new office of origin, the Bureau should be advised by memorandum that no further investigation or other action is warranted and that the matter is being placed in a closed status. The memorandum should be submitted to the Bureau whether or not the name of the subject of the investigation is in the Security Index. If the subject's name is carried in the Security Index and the old office of origin has not brought the case up to date with a summary report but has indicated on the Form FD-128 that a summary report will be prepared, the new office of origin must in any event maintain the case in a pending status to follow the old office of origin to see that the summary report is prepared. Upon receipt of the summary report from the old office of origin, if no additional investigation is contemplated, the new office of origin should then advise the Bureau the case is being closed administratively in its office.

Of course, cases of subjects who are Key Figures or Top Functionaries should never be placed in a closed status in accordance with existing instructions. Cases of Security Index subjects employed in Key Facilities in the new office of origin should never be placed in a closed status in accordance with instructions set out hereinafter.

UNNECESSARY LEADS IN SECURITY MATTER CASES

It is called to your attention that in many cases leads are being set out requiring auxiliary offices to perform investigations unnecessarily. The needless leads have been noted particularly in security matter cases in which the primary purpose of the investigation is to develop sufficient derogatory data to warrant the subject's name being included in the Security Index. We must not lose sight of our objectives in that type of investigation and each Bureau official or Supervisor initialing outgoing correspondence or reports requiring investigation by an auxiliary office should review the leads to be certain that they are justified in line with the objective.

It is highly important that this phase of our work be closely supervised and that all Agents working on security-type cases be familiar with the objectives of the investigation.

8/5/52 SAC LETTER NO. 75 Series 1952

8-5-52

Before an auxiliary office is requested to conduct an investigation in a case, the office of origin should be certain that every effort has been made to obtain the desired information in the office of origin. It has been noted that in many instances it would have been unnecessary to request investigation in an auxiliary office if the office of origin had used ingenuity to obtain the desired information by its own investigative efforts.

Examples of unnecessary leads being directed to auxiliary offices in security matter cases are set out as follows:

Unnecessary investigations flowing from mail covers or toll calls.

Unnecessary verification of birth records and other vital statistics in cases in which no doubt or suspicion as to the truthfulness of the statistics at hand has been raised during the investigation.

Unnecessary verification of previous employment not pertinent to the period of the subject's Communist activity.

Unnecessary accumulative investigation when the objective of placing the subject's name in the Security Index has already been reached.

Unnecessary requests by the office of origin to check the reputation of a subject's relatives. Many times an indices check by the auxiliary office would be sufficient. In many instances even the indices check appears unnecessary.

It is not the purpose of these instructions to curtail in any way the office of origin in requesting investigations by auxiliary offices when there is a definite reason to conduct the investigations either to reach the objectives of the investigations or to obtain data necessary under Bureau instructions.

DOCUMENTATION IN REPORTS AND USE OF THUMBNAIL SKETCH FILES

It has come to the Bureau's attention that there is a possible misunderstanding in the field in regard to the extent of

8/5/52 SAC LETTER NO. 75 Series 1952

documentation necessary regarding the associates of the subject of an investigation and as to the types of security investigations in which complete documentation of information is necessary.

Your attention is directed to No Number SAC Letter I, dated March 14, 1952, which furnished detailed instructions in regard to documentation in summary and investigative reports. As pointed out in that SAC Letter, it will not be necessary to conduct extensive file reviews to document fully information appearing in these reports concerning persons who have associated with the subjects other than to identify the source of the information as you have in the past. If it is felt desirable to include in a report the identities of individuals who are known Communists and have been associates of the subject to lend weight to the evidence of the subject's potential dangerousness, the source of the information reflecting the associate to be a Communist should be identified, but it is not necessary to document such information further by conducting file reviews to determine the identity of the Agent receiving the information or the location of the original source in the files.

In most cases the desired information to identify associates or organizational affiliations of the subject can be readily obtained from case files in the office. In a very limited number of instances it may be necessary for you to secure a thumbnail sketch or characterization of an individual or an organization from another field office or the Bureau. Your attention is called to the fact that whenever a thumbnail sketch or characterization of an individual or an organization is received in your office the information should be placed in thumbnail sketch files in order that it will be available to and can be readily located by an Agent in the office needing the characterizations. By proper administrative handling of characterization material and by acquainting Agents with the location of such material, repeated requests emanating from the same field division for characterization material on the same individual or crganization will be eliminated inasmuch as an Agent will be able to find the desired material in his own office.

Instructions regarding documentation of information in reports applies only to reports written on individuals and then only in those instances when (1) the investigating Agent has reason

8/5/52 SAC LETTER NO. 75 Series 1952

to believe the subject's name will be included in the Security Index in the future or (2) the subject's name is already included in the Security Index and the report, summary or investigative, is bringing the case up to date.

SECURITY INDEX SUBJECTS EMPLOYED IN KEY FACILITIES

Under existing instructions a report must be submitted each six months in cases in which a Security Index subject in the usual course of his employment has access to facilities which have been designated key facilities by the Secretary of Defense. Pertinent portions of the current Key Facilities List, furnished by the Secretary of Defense, have been forwarded to the field. In SAC Letter #107, dated October 27, 1951, instructions were issued that the cases of all Security Index subjects employed in key facilities shall be closed upon the completion of required active investigation and shall, thereafter, be followed by administrative tickler for the required report due in six months.

This procedure is henceforth changed. After the completion of the required active investigation of Security Index subjects employed in key facilities, the case shall be placed in a pending inactive status in order that the case can be continually assigned to an Agent and that incoming material can be routed to the Agent to whom assigned. You should set up appropriate administrative procedure so that cases will be removed from the pending inactive status and reactivated periodically for the preparation of the six-months' report.

(3) SECURITY INDEX - PREPALATION OF SUMMARY REPORTS -- Documentation and Selection of Information To Be Included In Summary Reports.

At the recent Internal Security - Espionage Conference the question was raised as to whether it is necessary to document completely information in summary reports on important functionaries against whom a prima facie case can be made.

In this connection your attention is called to pages 15 through 17 of No Number SAC Letter I, dated March 14, 1952, which specifically set forth provisions for eliminating repetitious and cumulative items of information in summary reports. As stated therein it is not possible to specify a maximum or minimum number

8/5/52 SAC LETTER NO. 75 Series 1952

of such items which should be included in each instance but that this would be dependent upon the preponderance of other evidence available for inclusion in the report. It was pointed out that our case against a subject must not be weakened by omitting such items. It was further stated that the selection of such items with regard to the period of time is dependent upon all facts developed against the subject.

The provisions in No Number SAC Letter I, were set forth for the purpose of cutting-down on the tremendous amount of Agent time necessary in preparing summary reports, particularly in those cases of important functionaries where the abundance of evidence makes such repetitious and cumulative items of little value when considered along with the amount and quality of other evidence available.

The Bureau believes that if evidence or intelligence information is of sufficient importante to be specifically set forth in summary reports we must be able to locate and produce such evidence should the necessity arise. Therefore, when such information is specifically set forth in reports it must be fully documented. Of course, it will not be necessary to document information appearing in general statements such as the example set forth on page 17 of No Number SAC Letter I.

It is not practical to specify a date prior to which it will not be necessary to report specifically items of information. It should be borne in mind that certain activities of one subject in the early 1940's or prior thereto may be of particular significance in presenting a clear picture of his importance to a subversive movement while activities of another subject at that time may be of such relative unimportance as to add little or nothing to the weight of our case against him. Each summary report must be prepared in light of the evidence we have on the particular subject in question and independent of other cases.

This letter and the instructions contained in pages 15 through 17 of No Number SAC Letter I, dated March 14, 1952, should be brought to the attention of all Agents engaged in security investigations to be certain that they are familiar with the instructions and observations set forth.

Very truly yours.

Attachments for (E) & (F)

John Edgar Hoover

8/5/52 SAC LETTER NO. 75 Series 1952

Director

-6 38-24

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum. • United States Government

TO:

DATE:

ERON

SUBJECT

iam gga se a la companya de la granda de la companya del companya de la companya de la companya del companya de la companya de la companya de la companya del com

There are no require appropriately at percent was a con-

Director, FBI

July 31, 1952

-5AC, San Francisco-----

SECURITY INDEX TO A COMMUNIST PARTY, USA UNDERGROUND OFFRATIONS INTERNAL SECURITY - C

ReBulet July 3, 1952 concerning a suggestion forwarded by mylet of June 3, 1952.

Please be advised that this suggestion was actually made by SA of this office, and this information was inadvertently omitted from my original letter.

For the Bureau's further information, the other suggestion broken into five parts submitted by letter of June 3rd, was made by as well.

į

136 2-

Office M

m · UNITL

OVERNMENT

TO

DATE: July 25, 1952

FROM:

SUFJECT:

SECURITY INDEX -PREPARATION OF SUBMARY REPORTS

SUGGESTION OF SPECIAL AGENT NEW YORK DIVISION

PURPOSE:

To submit the recommendation of the Pomestic Intelligence Division regarding the attached suggestion.

BACKGROUND:

Attached hereto is Special Agent suggestion wherein he recommended:

- (1) Cancellation of the summary report project.
- (2) As a substitute therefor, the adoption of a precedure whereby at the time current investigative reports are submitted, letters will be prepared setting forth in synopsized form all information which would ordinarily be set forth in summary reports under the caption "Communist Party Lembership." This information in the letters would be fully documented in accordance with current procedures.

OBSERVATIOUS:

The suggested procedure by-passes the basic reason for the summary report project, namely, to bring all Security Index cases into a current status with all pertinent information previously developed consolidated into one report with the sources of the evidence and location thereof identified in the reports. One of the end objectives of this project is to bring these cases into a condition which would enable us to proceed against

184 I | 186 | 18 KIND | 18 KIND | 14 KIND | 18 KIND

the subjects in the event of a national emergency without undue delay in preparing the cases for prosecution. Without the preparation of summary reports at this time Without Index cases cannot be brought to a satisfactory status.

The second phase of this suggestion which proposes submission of separate letters at the time that current invertigative reports are submitted which letters would contain pertinent information in synopsized form would only serve to create duplicate synopsized form would only serve to create duplicate work which is now being avoided by the documentation of such information in the reports rather than in separate communications.

The question as to whether the summary report project is necessary and essential to our operations was determined prior to its institution.

ACTION:

It is recommended that this suggestion not be adopted.

If you agree, this memorandum and the attached suggestion should be submitted to the Training and Inspection Division for further handling and acknowledgment to Agent McCarthy.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

EMPLOYEE SUGGESTION JUL 1 6 1952 Date_ Director, FBI From: SA Field Office or Division New York SUGGESTION: Cancellation of summary reports in security-type cases and adoption of a letter limiting documentation of sources of previously reported information to those which would establish membership in a particular subversive organization. Under existing regulations necessitating submission of summary reports in security-type cases, a numerous amount of Agent time is being expended. Accordingly, the following suggestion is being submitted: (See next page). Its advantages are: (Advantages of above suggestion will be subsequently noted herein.) It should save at least \$250,000 annually. The use by the United States of my suggestion shall not form the basis of a further claim of any nature by me, my heirs, or assigns upon the United States. (Comments and recommendation of Supervisor, SAC, or Assistant Director: If something less than a summary report would serve the purposes of the Bureau in these cases, it is believed that this suggestion would warrant study. If it were adopted, it could follow the pattern of the summary briefs that the Bureau presently uses at the SOG on personnel files in order that, if such a system were compted in constructses, 2 copies of the security brief would be maintained as the top serial at the 300 and 2 copies as top (Signature) socials in the Field file; an extra copy. would be . . / available for the Department out the USA.

ENC 1

i

SUGGESTION: (Cont'd)

As set out in no number SAC Letter I, dated March 14, 1952, the expressed purpose requiring submission of summary reports is that at the time of apprehension of Security Index subjects, each Office will be responsible for placing in the hands of the appropriate United States Attorney sufficient evidence and intelligence information which will enable them to present the case of each subject apprehended to the proper legal body for justification of the apprehension and continued detention of the subject during the emergency.

It is felt that the responsibilities of the Bureau, and of each field office, at such time of apprehension will be adequately met by adoption of the following procedures:

report, a separate letter to the Bureau should be prepared setting forth in synopsized form all information which would ordinarily be set forth in the summary report under the caption "Communist Party Membership". This information should be set out in the form of the present instructions for documentating informants on the administrative pages of a summary report with a slightly broader scope given to the description of the evidence under the column "Description and/or Date of Activity", for example:

Identity of Source

Description and/or Date Date of Activity Recei

Date Agent to Received Whom

Agent to File Number Whom Where Furnished Located

where complete documentation of sources are not available, existing instructions for obtaining the same should be followed and an amended letter submitted upon receipt of complete documentation of all such sources.

the 2

The same procedure should be followed in submitting Form FD-128 by preparing a separate letter as previously described, a copy of which would be furnished to the new office of origin.

At the time each new investigative report is submitted subsequent to the submission of the initial letter of documentation of sources of membership, such additional evidence which has been developed should be added to that set out in the initial or previous letter in the form of a complete new letter, thus making available for ready reference a complete listing of information with original sources which would place the subject in a particular subversive organization.

In those instances where no additional evidence of membership has been developed, subsequent to the submission of the initial letter of documentation of sources or membership, a notation to that effect may be placed on the administrative page of the investigative report.

The use of a letter rather than a memorandum for the file is empressly unged in order that the Bureau may not only follow the specific case more closely, but may also request that documentation of sources be extended to include evidence of affiliation with front organizations, etc., where evidence of membership in the particular subversive organization may be limited. The use of the aforegoing procedures could be extended to encompass all types of security cases, including category 5 described in no number SAC Letter I, by continued following of existing policies for the preparation of reports in security—type cases plus the adoption of the aforegoing suggestion, and the Bureau would be able to meet any emergency situation necessitating the furnishing of documented evidence of membership in any particular subversive organization.

ADVANTACES ARE:

The aforegoing suggestion will bring about the rollowing savings:

would eliminate the tremendous amount of Agent time consumed in preparation and documentation of summary reports, as well as that time spent in locating complete documentation of sources for information relating to background, associates and affiliation with front organizations, not only by the office of origin, but by auxiliary offices which are being requested to furnish such documentation of sources. It is firmly believed that the adoption of these suggestions will not only enable the Bureau to satisfactorily meet its obligations for presentation of evidence at the time of apprehension and trial of Security Index subjects, but will also enable the Bureau to be in a more favorable position to fulfill its responsibilities in the security field by adding to the Security Index those subjects whose cases are now being held by loss of available Agent time being consumed in the present mode of handling security cases.

ENC-4-