

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

JOSEPH McCARTHY

PART 17 OF 28

BUFILE NUMBER: 121-23278

SUBJECT COUPLY McCarthy
FILE NUMBER: 121-23278
SECTION NUMBER 9

121. 23278-273X3 273X4
273X5 273X6 273X7, 273X8
273X7, 273X10, 273X11

CHANGED TO

121. 41668-46, 47,48,49,58
51, 52,53,54

NOV 1 7 1952

Nov. 10, 1950

MR. TOLSON

L. B. NICHOLS

this afternoon.

received a copy of Max Lowenthal's book through the mail and he wants to get squared away because he was quite positive that he could not overlook the book in his news program.

I told them briefly about Lowenthal's background and sent copies of the Dondero speech on September 1, 1950, to both, although I previously talked to about the book.

wants to neet with me in the next week or 10 days before the book is published in order to work out a line of attack. has always been very friendly.

told me something that max somewhat amaxing - that about a month and he talked to who has been If the State Department for about the last three months, who told Tin response to a blunt question as to whether the McCarthy charges were true, that in effect they a ? were true but that there was no evidence against the individuals who were involved, that they had been careful not to permit themselves to be openly connected with the Party and it was very difficult to if get at them.

stated he thought he would have a further talk with this individual and use that as a springboard of going into the Lowenthal book, illustrating how stooges do the Communists work, I told him I thought it would be a good idea.

LBN: FML

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED EXCEPT THE SHOW OTHERWISE.

THITIALS ON ORIGINA

うつきEC2、来的

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Mernorandum · UNITED

GOVERNMENT

DATE: December

TO

The Director

FROM

L. B. Nichols

SUBJECT:

Ben Mandel of the House Committee on Un-American Activities called Mr. Holloman on December 9, 1950, and stated that there was an individual who had on his own free time prepared a very comprehensive index of all Senate and House hearings. This index is in typewritten form and is about 5,000 pages in length. This individual is suffering from a severe heart condition and is expected to die at almost any moment. He is willing to make this index available if we are agreeable to photostating it. Mandel wondered if we wanted this index and if so he could make it available to us if we would give him, Mandel, a photostatic copy.

Mandel went on to state that this individual had not asked any compensation for this index but that he, Mandel, thought this individual was in financial stress and would appreciate anything that could be paid to him for it.

Mr. Holloman advised Mr. Mandel that we normally did not have appropriations available for such expenditures. Mandel stated that such payment, of course, was not necessary.

me that that he was certain if we asked the would be glad to loan us a copy to be photostated. He stated that the index was exceedingly good as it not only indexed the Congressional Record but indexed numerous Congressional hearings and the like.

I see no purpose to be served in trying to get a copy of this.

LPN: CMC

ALL INTORMATION CONTAINED
FERIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
LYCEPT CHEEK SHOWS
OTHERWISE

RECORDED - 47 INDEXED - 47

B(-1

V21-23278-27 JAN (17 1951

19

- 100 00 10 To

65 JAN 20 1951

5

7

Office Menn. idum . UNITED SIALES GOVERNMENT

Mr. Tolson

FROM :

L. B. Nichols

SUBJECT:

With reference to Ben Mandel's information that had indexed the Congressional Record.

You are advised that in checking further on I have ascertained that he is the individual presently employed in the Civil Service Commission who has been suspected of being the source of information Senator McCarthy has used I have talked to Mr. Ladd and it appears that his so-called index consists of data taken not only from FBI reports but also data from Congressional hearings and the like.

I think it Since we have been investigating would be inappropriate at this time to go to him and no further action will be taken unless the Director and you have a contrar view.

LBN: CMC

CEMIATION CONTAINED HUTEIN IS UNCLASSIFIED EXCEPT WHITE SHOWN DIHERWISE

DATE: January 6, 1951

JAN 17 1951

65 JAN 20 1951



January 12, 1951

Chairman
Foreign Relations Committee
United States Schate
Eashington, D. C.

ALL INTERPATION CONTAINED HELDIN IS ANGLASTIFIED EXCEPT WHIRE SHOWN OTHERWISE

The attached is for your information.

ind Took

bK

1/2/- 23278-277 JAN 15 1951

80

ब्राट्याक्राम्

JAN 12 1051

RELEIVED WAIL ROOM

9. S. PAPT, OF JUSTICE

JAN 12 5 18 PM "51

Tice Men

dum UNITED ...

GOVERNMENT

January 23,

1951

Glevir Michela

Tele. F

DATE:

Mr. Nichols

FROM

u. milages

SUBJECT:

ROBERT JANORRIS

OTHERWISE

In accordance with your request the following was obtained from files regarding Robert J. Morris who was Vinority Counsel of the Subcommittee on Foreign Relations (Tydings') 1026 Committee) United States Senate. Committee) United States Senate. Classif

Exempt from

BACKGROUND:

Morris furnished the following information concerni himself before the Federal Grand Jury in New York City in the Amerasia case.

It is noted that on Karch 21, 1950, Senator Hickenlooper wrote the Director requesting any pertinent information on Morris who was being considered at that time for appointment as Co-Counsel to the Senate Foreign Relations Committee. At that time Assistant Special Agent in Charge Whelan of the New York Office, advised the Bureau that Morris was a New York secretary of Congressman Coudert. and was formerly his secretary when Coudert was a State Senator engaged in Communist investigations. He also stated that Morris was at that time (March 1950) a member of the law firm of Hochwald, Morris and Richmond, at 40 Exchange Place, New York City. that Morris had been a Lieutenant Commander assigned to the third Naval District ONI during World War II. He characterized Morris

RECORDED - 684


3908 (DRC

Wemo from M. A. Jones to Mr. Nichols

January 23, 1951

as a member of the "anti-Communist Intelligencia" of New York.

He advised that Morris is personally known to Special Agent
who considers that Morris has always been
most cooperative with the Bureau and holds the FBI in highest
esteem. Senator Hickenlooper was advised that Morris had not
been investigated by the FBI nor had he been officially
associated with the Bureau.





FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

3	Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.
	Deleted under exemption(s) 66 67(c/(d) with no segregable material available for release to you.
	Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
	Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
	Document(s) originating with the following government agency(ies), was/were forwarded to them for direct response to you.
	Page(s) referred for consultation to the following government agency(ies);as the information originated with them. You will be advised of availability upon return of the material to the FBI.
	Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):
	For your information:
I	The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages: $121-23278-278$, 3, 4, 5
	()

XXXXXX XXXXXX XXXXXX 181-23278-279,280,281,282 283,284,285 286,287,288 289,290,291,292,293 294, CHANGED TO 295-121-41668-55,5657,54,59 6061,62,63 64 65,6667 68,69

NOV 17 1952

Rr. - Peuton Ford Deputy Attorney General -

October 16, 1950

Director, FBI

1946

UNKNOWN SUBJECT (STUDGE OF SENATOR JOSEPH H. McCARTIY'S INFORMATION POGARDING GOVERNHENT EXPLOYERS) REIC VAL OF GOVERNMENT PROPERTY LOYALTY OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYERS

Reference is mide to your momorandum dated September 19, 1950, captioned as above re-westing this Bureau to reopen its investigation in this matter and to interview Resers. Seth W. Richardson, L. V. Feloy and Robert Fenn of the Loyalty Review Board, Nr. I mes E. Batcher. Civil Bervice Commission. Hr. Donald L. Nicholson, State Department, Loualty Review Board.

Furguint to your instructions. Hr. Aichardson was interviewed. He advised that at an roxt tely the time senator HoCarthy's statement scencerning the State Department broke, Nr. Bichardson received a Itelephone call from Drei Peorson, newspiper columnist, with whom he is friendly. Ferrson sid in effect, "I have been told by a member of Senator McC rthy's staff that he has been obtaining information from an individual employed in the Loyalty Review Board. Also an individual earloyed by the Loyalty Review Board has been seen at Senctor i. Corthy's office. And that ab ut this fellow u. " Kr. Nichardson advised that he ganno's say Pearson said had been furnishing information to Senatek Roberthy or had been seen at Senator McCarthy's office, but the infill cation was obvious. At the time of Pearson's call, Kr. Richardsance pot aware of tentity as an employee of the Loyalty Review Boord. During Hr. Fichardson's conversation with Pearson, Pearson Annuared as is where the he might secure a list of n mes of the employees of the Loyalty Leview icerd. As a result of this inquiry, Mr. Richard Son and Mr. L. 2 Heloy. Executive Secretary of the Loyalty Review Board prepare such, a list which was subsequently given to Pearson.

Hr. Richardson advised that he is willing to have the above facts recited to Pearson should the Bureau interview Ur. Peosson in this matter, in order to refresh Hr. Pecrson's memory concerning his previous discussion with Mr. Richardson. Mr. Richardson Striper eduised that Pears n can be informed that he, Richardson, hashno cbjection to Pearson's revealing information concerning this mat 🔂 🕆 in the Burens. RECORDED - 30

It is requested that you advise upsther W kotsyou desire to ave Hr. Drew Pearson Interpleted concerning fastant case.

Mr. Tolson/1

28888

L. B. Nichola Communiste in the STate Department

In a conversation with Congressman Fred E. Busbey
I inquired regarding the statement attributed to him to the
effect there are 65 potential "Remingtons" now in Government
bosts. The Congressman stated he has a list of names which
he would be glad to furnish us on a strictly personal and
confidential basis. I told him we would be glad to receive
the list of names. He will send them to us in the next day or two.

Busbey also told me he received a three page letter from John Stewart Service as a result of his statement to the press, and that this three-page letter in his opinion "hangs" Service. He stated he is now in the process of formulating a reply and will send us copies of Service's letter and of his reply.

He stated the other day he attended a staff conference of the Civil Service Loyalty Review Board. He is very much impressed with the attitude of former Senator Bingham and stated he was asked to say a few words to the staff. He stated in the course of his remarks he told the staff that any member of the Loyalty Review Board or of the staff who opposed Senator Bingham's recent amendment had no right to remain on the Loyalty Review Board, and he has called on Senator Bingham formally to furnish a list of names of persons who have opposed his amendment. Senator Bingham will furnish Busbey with this information.

cc: Mr. Ladd Mr. Belmont

LBN: CMC

ADDENDUM: LBN:mb 5/12/51 There is attached hereto the list of 65 names mentioned above along with an exchange of correspondence which Congressman Busbey has had with Conrad E. Snow, hairman of the State Department Loyalty Board.

Attachment

ANTICOPE ATTACE

B.Ehr. Go

INDEXED-81

121-23278-2967 NOT RECORDED 133 OCT 22 1951

ORIGINAL FILED IN

Jackenson .

April 17, 1951

Wr. Conrad E. Snow Chairman Loyalty Security Board Department of State Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Snows

As a member of the Committee on Appropriations I am particularly interested in the loyalty and security programs of all of the agencies of government. Therefore, in order to obtain information as to the progress of these programs in the Department of State, I would appreciate the answers to the following questions at your earliest opportunity:

- 1. Are you familiar with the provisions of the President's Executive Order #9835 dated March 21, 1947?
- 2. Has the Department of State set up its Loyalty Board as provided in this executive order and the rules and regulations promulgated by the Civil Service Commission Loyalty Board under the order?
- 3. What is the correct name of this board as used in the Department of State and the names of its members?
- 4. When did this Loyalty Board begin to function?
- 5. How many full field investigations by the F.B.I. of the State Department employees have been referred to your Loyalty Board?
- 6. How many of the full field investigation cases have been referred to the Loyalty Review Board?
- 7. How many of these cases have been adjudicated?
- 8. What was the average number of days per case necessary for adjudication counting from the time you received it from the F.B.I. until you reached a decision within the agency?
- 9. How many employees were found to be ineligible by your Loyalty Board under the provisions of Executive Order #9835?

- 10. How many of these employees that were found to be ineligible by your Loyalty Board have been removed?
- 11. How many of your ineligible determinations made by your Loyalty Board were appealed to the Loyalty Review Board of the Civil Service Commission?
- 12. What was the average number of days per appeal to the Loyalty Review Board counting in the time the Loyalty Review Board was advised of an appeal up to and including the time you received a decision by the Loyalty Review Board?
- 13. How many of the ineligible cases processed by your Loyalty Board were reversed by the Loyalty Review Board?
- Ili. Has your Board taken any further action on the cases in which the Department of State Loyalty Board arrived at an ineligible rating which were reversed by the Levalty Neview Board?
- 15. Have you over had occasion to request the F.R.I. to make a further investigation of any Department of State employee who had been previously cleared either by a Loyalty Bourd or by the Loyalty Review Sound?
- 16. In how many cases of your employees did you issue an interrogatory?

Thank you in advance for your cooperation and assistance in this matter.

Sincerely yours,

Fred E. Busbey, K.C.

Mr. Conrad E. Snow Chairman Loyalty Security Board Department of State Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Snows

Under date of April 17 I wrote you for specific information regarding the progress of the loyalty and security progrem of the Department of State. Up to this time I have not been favored with a reply. I call your attention to the sixteen questions which were propounded in the letter of April 17. I shall appreciate your reply at an early date.

Sincerely yours,

Fred E. Busbey, M.C.

Hey 10, 1951

Mr. Conrad E. Snow Chairman Loyalty Security Board Department of State Washington 25, D.C.

Dear Mr. Snows

Thank you for your reply to my letter of inquiry of April 17, 1951.

Inasmich as you have combined your statistics on both the loyalty and security issues, it is necessary that I request clarification of the information and answers to further questions.

It was intended that the questions in my letter would be directed only to cases of applicants and employees coming within the operation of Executive Order No. 9835 and, therefore, your answers to the 5th and 6th questions require further discussion.

How many full field investigations of State Department employees by the FBI under the provisions of Executive Order No. 9835 were referred to the Loyalty Board? There are no security provisions in Executive Order No. 9835, but if the FBI made investigations on a question of loyalty and these cases were cleared by your Loyalty Board but converted into security cases, please say so, but do not confuse security investigations made by the FBI with loyalty investigations under the executive order.

This will undoubtedly call for a review of your answer to No. 6,

From your answer to questions 6 and 7 it appears that 66 cases cleared by your board are pending before the Loyalty Review Board on post audit.

Am I correct in this assumption?

Out of the 323 cases cleared by your board and referred to the Loyalty Review Board for clearance on post audit, how many were denied clearance by the Loyalty Review Board and referred back for your further consideration?

In your response to question 9 you mention one case found ineligible under Executive Order No. 9835 and also found to be a security risk. Was this employee removed, and, if so, was the removal made under the provisions of Executive Order No. 9835 or as a security risk?

Mr. Conrad B. Snow - 2

Further, you mention that 11 employees left the State Department after charges were preferred but before a hearing. Were these 11 employees charged under the provisions of Executive Order No. 98357 Are they included among the 418 full field investigations reported by the FEI?

Also, you say 16 employees were found to be security risks. Are these 16 cases separate and apart from the 418 full field investigations reported by the FRI? You mention that one employee was separated by administration action on grounds of suitability. Was this case separate and apart from the 418 full field investigations? Was the separation effected under the provisions of the Lloyd-LaFollette Act (title 5, sec. 652, U.S.Code)?

Have you any explanation of the ineligible finding of the board in but one case out of 418 full field investigations?

Sincerely yours,

Fred B. Busbey, M.C.

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

MOTOMIEAN

Hay 9, 1951

My dear Mr. Busbert

Reference is made to your letter of April 17, 1951, expressing an interest in the loyalty and security programs of all of the agencies of the Covernment and directing certain questions to me as to the progress of these programs in the Department of State. I am glad to be in a position to answer your questions. Your questions and my answers are set out below.

2. Are you familiar with the provisions of the President's Executive Order #9835 dated March 21, 19477

Tes.

2. Has the Department of State set up its Layalty Board as provided in this executive order and the rules and regulations promulgated by the Civil Service Courdseion Loyalty Found under the order?

Yes, Secretary of State Marchall established a Personnel Security Board on July 9, 1967, five months prior to the erganization of the Loyalty Review Board, Courad 5, Snow was appointed Chairman and Maynard Barnes and Darrell St. Clairs, Mombers of this original Board. On September 17, 1967, procedures were issued governing the operation of the Board. These procedures were revised and expanded on June 8, 1968, to take into account the regulations of the Loyalty Review Board which had been issued subsequent to the Department's initial promulgation of its regulations. A further revision was made on March 11, 1969, in the process of an overall modernization of the Department's Regulations and Procedures, Lastly the Loyalty and Feourity Regulations and Procedures were revised on April 16, 1951 (copy enclosed) to conform

4he

The Honorable
Fred E. Dusbey,
House of Depresentatives

the Board's operations with certain amundments by the Loyalty Review Board of its Regulations and with the requirements of Public Law 733, Blat Congress.

3. What is the correct name of this board as used in the Department of State and the names of its members?

Loyalty Security Board of the Department of State.
The Board's name was changed from Personnel Security
Board to Loyalty Security Board by the revision of the
Roard's Regulations dated June 8, 1919.
The names of the Members of the Loyalty Security Board
are as follows:

Conrad E. Snows Chairman Theodore C. Achilles John O. Ball Home M. Byington, dr. Howard Donovan John W. Jago Berry H. Kelly Philip Lo Kelser Randolph Kidder Arthur A. Kimball Rarold G. Kiesick John E. Loddy Francis T. Murphy William M. Bountree Robert L. Rayth Arthur G. Stevens James W. Swihart

to Then did this Layalty Board begin to function?

The Personnel Security Board, now known as the Loyalty Security Board, becan to function on July 9, 1947. The first case was adjudicated by the Board on September 29, 1947.

5. How many full field investigations by the FeBeY. of the State Department employees have been referred to your Loyalty Board?

As of April 1, 1951, the Legalty Security Board had received 151 full field investigations by the Federal Bureau of Investigation. Of this number 118 were

received

received by the Board for adjudication both as to loyalty and security. The remaining 33 investigative reports were referred to the Board for a determination as to security only, the question of loyalty having been resolved by a Regional Loyalty Board or not being in issue.

6. How many of the full field investigation cases have been referred to the Loyalty Review Board?

As of April 1, 1951, 323 full field investigation cases had been adjudicated by the Loyalty Security Board and referred to the Loyalty Review Board. This figure is a head count only and does not include the cases which have been reconsidered and forwarded to the Loyalty Review Board. Also the figure of 323 does not include the security cases which had been adjudicated because they are not subject to a post-sudit review by the Loyalty Review Board and consequently are not referred to that Board.

7. How many of these cases have been adjudicated?

As of April 1, 1951, the Levelty Review Found had postaudited (adjudicated) 257 of these cases. The figure of 257 likewise is a head count and does not include the post-audit of 5 reconsiderations.

6. What was the average number of days per case necessary for adjulication counting from the time you received it from the F.B.I. until you reached a decision within the agency?

The average time required for the Board to reach a decision, computed from the date of receipt of the case by the Board until a formal decision is reached by the Board is 55.175 days. This computation does not include the additional time that may be required for the reconsideration of a case due to the receipt of supplemental investigative reports subsequent to the

initial

initial adjudication of such case.

9. How many employees were found to be ineligible by your Loyalty Board under the provisions of Executive Order #9835?

One explayee has been found ineligible by the Loyalty Security Board under the provisions of Executive Order 9835. This dotermination was reached on April 19. 1951. Rosewer, the Layalty Security Board has found 16 emloyees to be security risks to the Department and has recommended their removal from employment. The figure of 16 does not include the one case found ineligible under Executive Order 9835 although this individual was also found to be a security risk to the Department of State. Of the 15 security risk cases In have been separated from employment in the Department. Two of the cases determined to be security risks are presently on appeal to the Secretary of States Moreover, it should be peinted out that 11 additional individuals left the Department subsequent to the Board's decision to prefer charges against them and prior to the helding of a hearing. In addition, one employee was separated by administrative action on grounds of suitability on the recommendation of the boards

10. How many of these employees that were found to be inaligible by your Loyalty Board have been removed?

See answer to Question No. 9. Of the 16 cases found to be security risks and therefore ineligible, 14 have been separated from employment in the Department and 2 are on appeal to the Secretary of State. These two employees are presently suspended. The one employee who has been found ineligible on Loyalty and security grounds has not as yet exhausted the appeal privileges and therefore has not been separated. However, this employee is presently suspended.

lle How many of your ineligible determinations made by your Loyalty Board were appealed to the Loyalty Review Board of the Civil Service Commission?

Mone. However, the one ineligible determination on grounds of layalty has not yet reached the stage for appeal to the Layalty Neview Board. -12. What was the average number of days per appeal to the Loyalty Review Board counting in the time the Loyalty Review Board was advised of an appeal up to and including the time you received a decision by the Loyalty Review Board?

Not applicable.

13. How many of the ineligible eases processed by your Loyalty Board were reversed by the Layalty Hovies Board?

Not applicable.

ll. Has your Board taken any further action on the cases in which the Department of State Loyalty Board arrived at an ineligible rating which were reversed by the Loyalty Review Board?

Not applicable.

15. Have you ever had eccasion to request the Y.B.I. to make a further investigation of any Department of State employee who had been previously cleared either by a Loyalty Board or by the Loyalty Review Board?

Yes, in a number of cases. The requests for such further investigation in general arise where supple = mental information is received which, when considered in conjunction with the entire investigative report, requires further investigation to resolve.

16. In how many cases of your employees did you issue an interrogatory?

As of April 1, 1951, the Loyalty Security Board had issued interrogatories to 80 individuals whose eases involved the issue of Loyalty and security. In the cases of 5 of these individuals more than one interrogatory had been issued. In addition, the Fourd had issued interregatories to 3 individuals whose eases involved the issue of security only.

Sincerely yours,

Conrad E. Snow Chairman Loyalty Security Board



į



67C

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

to . Director, FBI

DATE: 6/28/51

FROM : SAC, Milwaukee

SUBJECT:

INFORMATION CONCERNING STATE DEPARTMENT EMPLOYEES

LGE CONTRACTS IN THE STATE D

STATE DOPAKTIVENT

On 6/25/51
Superior, Wis., furnished SA with the enclosed 3 page ink written statement dated 7/6/50 and signed PAUL E SULLIVAN Arlington, Va., and witnessed by DONALD A. SURINE advised SA that this document had been sent to him by one official of Dahlberg Light & Power Co., Solon Springs, Wis. by means of a personal letter to from enclosing the above-mentioned statement and directed to on 6/23/51. In his letter to stated, "enclosed you will find some papers which were picked up by our meter reader, in the town of Minong recently.

"I am sending them to you thinking that perhaps they may be of some use to you or to the FBI office. It looks as though these are copies and might have been lost by someone investigating this matter."

SA contacted who advised that the enclosed statement, together with the stapled brief mimeographed flyer attached thereto, had been found in the driveway of the summer home of one in rural Minong, Wis. The documents, according to were not enclosed in an envelope or protected from the welements in any manner, thus indicating to that they had either been lost or placed there recently.

Advised further that the Power Co. records show

lives at the power consumption at summer home since Oct. 1950, which indicated to that the summer home had not been occupied during the past 8 months.

The Milwaukee Office indices contain no information re

The enclosed is being furnished for the information of the Bureau and no action is being taken at this time by the Milwaukee Office.

Enclosure AMSDIREGISTERED

10 29 1951

EX-130

1

evidence of communistic activitios, etc., from the files of State Department employees. Department employees who worked on the project of removing all Attached is one of four statements received from State

121-23272-2

INPORMATION CONCERNING STATE DEPT. EMPLOYEES

FILE NO. MILEL-O.

1 Emclosures For Bureau oppics

The following information so given by me pooly 0291,2 part

fath as & expense ad them. and I feel it to my potent a duty to furnal the I furnish this beformation because it is the truth and voluntarily willows any promises wholevers.

Color glan, Vagence at the prosent time. far him , at 1902 north Fifteenth shand

into the Western Johnson Ameling of thate soperations.

Let the Western Johnson Ameling of thate whether the expellent in the delice of the Merical to expellent in the delice of the States of the Conte.

Let the delice the Superior of the name of the Conte. in Culydon, A cum to Weakington, A to and while In Cuyun 1946 A was released from the US Mary

I get a tenforung dund jot in the files at the Walter Jehren Blity show files were the Walter

map I hald been going on for constine before P Johner Bloky. Ratured hards on these file in Estations.
When I started for duty throught on that flue the the

Luss nut formuly and abenjuly under the bound of the formuly south of the property of the property of the other clarks of the other clarks of and the other clarks and the other clarks and the cut that and all dury tong the material file and the place and the full and all dury tong the material from the place and the promethy the said all dury the working on the propert. stated. There were at land 8 promo who were

Operat fulling and and distring of of De contre 1946 working on the file sont of new separa. The was thousand of. I wond despond of. auturine organzation which petitoled some of being a thetopother and member of done thate detentioned employer who was usual peron or in wone wing upleted or two obten. Layothy & recall one thise report or one of the file pertained to wither the mondo of the file & spunned, but the nothing & pulled out I do not recall details of rach personere description material we fulled out from the fact of the boundarys. By. Lox. Adout tonow what huppened to the and some were thoun in the to a condond some was thrown in workloaked by no durgatory. This natural was removed and file any meteries which could be considered The other etectes fulled out of Lock personell The proget was very confused but I and or politically. prom, to per motulus Around, all of the fells all of the fells all models all of the fells all of letters, reports, manorandum concerne, the induidual tional personall forms, the false contained all tends

فصسدا له رسمه EXSTY+SIW July 6, 1950 A rose and the determs of the book 12 paw him timpsiany appending son out and my employend.

Just the state shift and appeared in change of

Chear file was I further the other ones a ving

the time him has a could boardy selegunge limed A papt on dec 31, 1946 and the high It on the the designent motion so per my inductioning ques

Office Mer.

turn • United S

 Ω

TO : A. H. Belmont

FROM : C. E. Hennrich

. C. K. Henneren

SUBJECT: STATE DEPARTMENT EMPLOYEES

<u>MATERIAL FURNISHED BY</u>

LGE; IS - C and ESPIUNAGE

Communists In the STate Department

PURPOSE:

To advise that material furnished by has been reviewed by Espionage Section regarding and Alger Hiss. No information contained therein which requires action.

BACKGROUND:

The material furnished by has been reviewed by the Espionage Section. It is noted that the Loyalty Section is taking action regarding.

Esther Brunauer and Villiam T.

Stone, and that the Internal Security Section has reviewed the material regarding

Aiger Eiss references in the material were reviewed by the Espionage Section. These references do not contain any material which would necessitate any action at this time by the Espionage Section.

RECOLLENDATION:

be placed in the main files on Alger Hiss; and

RECORDED - 8

INDEXED = 8

1/21-23215-298 AUG 1: 195:

57C 32 106

€ SEP 1 1951

5-74

RECORDED COPY FILED

July 10, 1951 MR. A. H. BELMON' DATE: C. H. STANLEY SUBJECT: STATE DEPARTMENT MATERIAL FURNISHED BY

LGE: IS - C AND ESPIONAGE

PURPOSE: CON CONICTS IN The STETE DET SITMONT

To record receipt of material furnished by regarding certain employees and former employees of the State Department; to advis of action taken and contemplated regarding this matter.

BACKGROUND:

Idelivered to the Director On June 15, 1951, office a copy of a memorandum dated June 4, 1951, captioned "Allegations by Former State Department Employee. principally to who reportedly Substantially the allegation is that and are still employed by the Department of State, were appointed by Alger Hiss to positions under his supervision on the Special Political Desk for United Nations Affairs in 1945 or 1946. memorandum also contains information relating to a publication "Postwar Foreign Policy Preparation 1939 - 1945." This publication was prepared at the instigation of the President and covers the period of from the beginning of the war in Europe until the United Nations Conference in San Francisco in 1945. This memorandum notes that said publication listed an index of persons who directly participated in its preparation numbered among whom were Alger Hiss, William T. Esther C. Brunauer, Stone and

For your information the records of the Loualty Section do not disclose that an investigation of either been conducted under the provisions of Executive Order 9835. noted that on the basis of the allegations contained in said memorandum the most that sould be undertaken would be a preliminary inquiry. Bureau files are now being checked relative to with the end in mind of initiating the appropriate action should it be determined that both these persons are employed within the purview of the Loyalty Order

INDEXED-44 /12/-23278- 5

Instant memorandum notes with reference to that he is currently out of government service and is reportedly teaching at Syracuse University.

Esther C. Brunauer has been the subject of extensive investigation under Executive Order 9835. The latest advice available to the Loyalty Section discloses that Brunauer is under suspension from her position with the Department of State as a result of action taken by the Navy Department against her husband, Stephen Brunauer.

is not employed by the United States Government at this time. He reportedly is serving the Government of Columbia, South America, in an advisory capacity on monetary matters.

Alger Hiss, as you know, is currently serving a prison sentence as a result of his conviction on a perjury charge in Federal Court.

The William T. Stone mentioned in this memorandum is believed identical with William Treadwell Stone of the Department of State. Stone, as has Brunauer, has been investigated under the provisions of Executive Order 9835.

is an admitted espionage agent.

For your information photostatic copies of instant memorandum have been made available to the Espionage and Internal Security Sections of the Security Division for their information and whatever action is deemed appropriate regarding the individuals named above and contained in instant memorandum who are not currently government employees.

As is noted above appropriate action will be taken regarding and and copies of this memorandum as well as the material furnished by the are being disseminated for each of the other case files on the individuals mentioned above. Where necessary additional investigation relative to Brunauer and Stone will be initiated by the Loyalty Section.

ACTION:

It is recommended that appropriate inquiry or investigation be initiated regarding and and by the Loyalty Section upon completion of the necessary file review.

It is further recommended that supplemental investigation be initiated if necessary on Brunauer and Stone. U

It is also recommended that the Internal Security and Espionage Sections take the necessary action regarding those individuals named in the purview of Executive Order 9835.

5%

D0-6 OFFICE OF DIRECTOR FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE Mr. Glavin June 15, 1951 Mr. Nichols Mr. Rosen Mr. Tracy Mr. Harbo Mr. Belmen , forwarded the attached Mr. Laughfir document to me, thinking we might be Mr. Jones. interested in reviewing it. He is Mr. Mohr desirous of having it back; therefore, Tele. Room when we are completed with it it Mr. Nease. should be returned to him at Miss Holmes Miss Gandy Attachment DECEMBER OF THE PROPERTY. 6-25-51 INDEXED-44 See Mema Mr. Stanley to Mr. Below out "State Dept, Employees - Material 1 t case files.

76 SEP 8 1951

State Department

June 4, 1951.

Allegations by former State Department Employee

A former employee of the Department called on me and offered assistance relative to exposing the undesirables still on the Department's payroll.

It was indicated that when Hims took over the Special Political Dank for United Nations Affairs in 1945 or 1946 he put on one as one of his principal assistants. He is alleged to be still on the State Department payroll and all during the Hiss opi-minal proceedings he was mysteriously ill. was likewise someoted with the old Special Senate Committee to investigate the Munitions Industry for which Hiss was bounsel.

Hiss likewise put on one who was deputy to Mr.
Hiss at the United Mations conference in San Francisco. He is
ourrently in charge of all appointments to the United Mations and
is alleged to be the right hand man of Warren Austin.

It was further alleged that many people in the Far Eastern Division who were resommended by Hiss have been shifted to cul-tural relations staffs and are still employed by State.

It was likewise indicated that Mr. Riss kept handwritten notes on the Yalta conference which were later used by Department employees in preparing the volume, "Postwar Foreign Policy Preparation". These notes are allegedly still in existence and are in the custody of one "Beckworth".

STATE DEPARTMENT TESTINONY ON THE NATTER OP IMPLOYEES RECOMMENDED BY HISS WHO ARE STILL IN THE DEPARTMENT.

On page 686 of the 1952 State Department hearings before the House Appropriations Committee the following colloquy seems to sower the point:

"Loyalty Check of Mission Personnel"

Mr. Rooney. The next question that I have asked on previous occasions. The mission to the United Mations was organised at a time when Alger Hiss was one of the architects; is that right?

Mr. Humelsine. Yes; he was the office director of this particular organization at the time he left the Government in Vebruary 1947, I believe it was.

Mr. Rooney. Has this office and every one of the personnel been checked with regard to socurity?

Mr. Humelsine. Yes; every one.

Mr. Rooney. Since the conclusion of the Hiss case?

Mr. Humelsine. I think every person in this shop has been checked and rechecked since Mr. Hiss left the Dopartment. That is my recollection. I want to check that for the record to be absolutely sure.

Mr. Rooney. This is a very important matter and I want it to be absolutely accurate in the record.

Mr. Humelsine. If my recollection is correct they have

Mr. Rumelsine. If my recollection is correct they have

been,

Mr. Rooney. And I slap want to know if there is anybody, anyone of the 190 employees who were recommended to the

anyons of the 190 employees who were recommended to the Department by Hiss?

- Mr. Humelaine. I do not believe there is anyone in this group who was recommended by Mr. Hiss. I will check that with the personnel officer. But it is my recollection—I was not in this area at that time, but I believe Mr. Peurifoy and Mr. Rusk, who took over Mr. Hiss's job told me they had checked the entire personnel very carefully, and that very point was investigated because of the fact that Mr. Hiss had occupied this particular post in the Department. Department.

Department.

Mr. Hickerson. May I add this statement, since I took over my present position when the Hiss investigation and trial was under way. I asked Mr. Peurifoy if every individual in the Bureau of United Hations Affairs had been checked since that time and if everybody in the mission in New York had been checked for loyalty, and he told me that they had, every last member of the organisation. That was one of the very points in mind when I was talking to him, and I was told categorically that every Individual had been checked and that the Department was completely satisfied as to their loyalty.

Mr. Rooney. Have you yourself guarded carefully against the possibility of anyone who might be disloyal getting into this agency?

STATE DEPARTMENT REGISTER FOR 1950.

into this agency?

Mr. Bickerson. I have, indeed.

This register confirms the employment of the two individuals mentioned by the informant and gives their background as follows:

SOME COMMENTS ON THE STATE DEPARTMENT PUBLICATION POSTWAR POREIGN POLICY PREPARATION 1939-1945.

This document was prepared at the instigation of the President and covers the period from the beginning of the War in Europe until the United Mations Conference in San Francisco in 1945. The volume was published in Pebruary 1950.

An index of persons listed in the back of the book being sonfined to those directly participating in postwar preparation. This index comprises almost 500 names including Members of Congress, foreign dignitaries and American Government employees.

A casual reading of the list reveals many familiar names of those who have been more or less definitely identified with left wing circles if not with the actual underground Communist movement. Among them are the following:

is currently out of Government and is believed

Esther C. Brunauer -

Mrs. Brunauer will be remembered as the individual who, tocether with her husband, has been before the Loyalty Review Poard
on several occasions. She has been named by a number of former
currently under suspension pending a further review of former
She was also publicly named by Senator McCarthy and testified beTore the Tydings Committee in refutation of the charges.

He is mentioned as a representative o

There are 22 references to Hise in the publication, who had a great many responsibilities in connection with International aprison sentence in connection with his denial of participating the Communist conscious as theread by whitteker Chambers in the Communist conspiracy as charged by Whittaker Chambers. William T. Stone -

He is mentioned as an alternate member of the advisory Committee on Post-War Poreign Policy; member of the sub-committee of the Advisory Committee on Economic Reconstruction.

many years, his case having been before the Loyalty Board on a number of occasions, in each of which he has been cleared.

He is mentioned as a representative o

There are many other individuals whose names have figured in various Communist investigations including Phillip Jessup,

67C bb

Office Mer.

UNITED S..

GOVERNMENT

MR. A. H. BELMONT

DATE: May 15, 1951

C. H. STANLEY

SUBJECT:

COMMUNIST INFILTRATION INTO THE

STATE DEPARTMENT

PURPOSE: In accordance with the Director's request to be advised of the number of the 570 persons in the State Department on whom we have conducted full field loyalty investigations in which there has been some evidence of Communist Party affilia, tions, sympathy or association are still employed in the State Department or some other Government agency, there is attached hereto a blind memorandum listing 142 of these cases to assist our liaison representative with the State Department in determining the present employment status of these individuals.

BACKGROUND: By memorandum dated March 16, 1951, captioned "Communist Infiltration into the Armed Forces, Industrial Plants, and the State Department," the Director was advised that as of March 13, 1951, there had been a total of 570 loyalty cases conducted on applicant and employees of the State Department in which there had been some evidence of Communist Party affiliation, sympathy or association with individuals alleged to be Communist sympathizers at some time. Director noted, "Just how many are still employed in State or some other Government agency. H."

To determine the present employment status of these 570 individuals, files have been reviewed and a list prepared with necessary identifying data on 142 of these cases. This list, in blind memorandum form, is attached for the assistance of our liaison representative with the State Department to determine present employment status. individual is not employed by the State Department and State Department files show transfer to another Government agency, this information should be obtained.

The remainder of the 570 cases are being reviewed and similar identifying data will be furnished to Liaison when additional lists are prepared.

RECOMMENDATION: It is recommended that our liaison representative with the State Department contact that Department to determine the employment status of the individuals identified on the attached list.


RECORDED - 26 121-23278-300X

Attachment



28280 0 Communicate in the -p-Bether Brunsust STate DejarTNENT INCTESTICALE SHORA OTHERWISE ... TY Phillip Josep IS AUG 16 1951 : F24X

2 SEP 15 1951



9/16/51

Office Memorandum • United States Government

TO : $MR \cdot D \cdot M \cdot LADD$

FROM : A. H. Belmont

SUBJECT: THENTY-NINE "SECURITY RISKS" IN

STATE DEPARTMENT ACCORDING TO SENATOR JOSEPH R. McCARTHY

LOYALTY OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES

CommunicTs in The STOTE

PURFOSE:

To advise you of the identity of the 29 individuals Senator McCarthy claims are "security risks" in the State Department.

BACKGROUND:

Recent "ashington, D. C., newspapers have contained articles concerning 29 persons whom Senator McCarthy has claimed are "security risks" in the State Department. Senator McCarthy has been quoted in the newspapers as saying that he had made a "reasonable" request that he be assured that the 29 persons be barred from "secret materials" while their "loyalty" cases were pending before the State Department's Loyalty-Security Board. The State Department, according to these articles, refused to tell Senator McCarthy what it has done about these 29 individuals. McCarthy was said in these articles to have sent a letter to Dean Acheson listing these 29 persons and demanding immediate "assurances" from Acheson that all have been barred from secret information and said unless he got such assurances he would make the names public

Undersecretary of State Carlisle H. Humelsine wrote the Senator that his allegation that the 29 persons he named are involved in pending loyalty cases "is incorrect." Humelsine said that some of these individuals are not State Department employees, some already have been cleared and some are "in process through the Loyalty Program."

The Liaison Section has secured the list of 29 persons furnished by Senator McCarthy to Dean Acheson.

A review of Bureau files reflects the following information concerning these individuals, all of whom have been investigated by the Bureau:

Investigated under Executive Order 9835 - 23
Investigated under Voice of America Frogram - 6

Special Inquiry Section

1 11 to -1 1 7 8





DATE: August 2, 1951

CHOED COPY FILED IN

Employed by State Department - 24
Separated - 2
Employed in another agency
Suspended pending jurther hearings (Esther Brunauer - 2
20

There are set forth below the names as they appear on the list made available by the State Department together with information concerning the investigation conducted concerning these individuals:

CHANANGE 10N

ı.

Investigated under E. O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on June 12, 1948, April 18, 1950, and May 21, 1951, and to Department on March 24, 1949, April 21, 1950 and May 21, 1951, under the caption

Eastern Command, Department of State, Washington, D. C."
The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated September 30,
1948, advised that had been "retained." Was
Senator McCarthy's case #49.

Investigated under provisions of E. O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on June 12, 1948, and April 18, 1950, and to Department on March 24. 1949 and April 27, 1950, under caption

Department of State, Washington, D. C." Loyalty Review Board by letter dated September 30, 1948, advised that

been "retained." Was Senator McCarthy's case #48.

Investigated under the provisions of E.O. 9835 and the results sent to CSC on March 2, 1948, April 29, 1950, June 28, 1950, July 31, 1950 and June 19, 21, 1951, and to the Department on March 9, 1949, April 29, 1950, June 28, 1950, July 31, 1950 and June 19, 21, 1951, under the caption "Dr. Esther Caukin Brunauer, aka Mrs. Stephen Brunauer, U. S. Representative to the Preparatory Commission of UNESCO, Department of State." has Senator McCarthy's case #47. The Evening Star of April 10, 1951, reflects that Carlisle H. Humelsine, Deputy Undersecretary of State for Administration, announced the "suspension of Mrs. Esther Caukin Brunauer because of information received that the Department of the Navy had suspended her husband, Stephen Brunauer, under Navy Department loyalty and security procedures."

bf

Mr. Humelsine added that Mrs. Bruncuer's suspension was "taken automatically pending the outcome of the Department of Navy action concerning Mr. Brunauer." The Evening Star on June 19, 1951, carried an article stating that Dr. Stephen Brunauer had resigned his position with the Department of the Navy to remove a "source of embarrassment" to the Navy. A State Department official, according to the article, stated that Mrs. Brunauer remained under suspension and that a hearing would be afforded her by the Loyalty and Security Board of the State Department.

Investigated under E. O. 9835 and the results sent to CSC on June 18, 1948, April 21, 25, 1950, and hovember 15, 1950, and to the Department on April 21, 25, 1950, and Hovember 15, 1950, under the caption

Office of Chief
Specialist and Professors Branch, Division of International Exchange of Persons, Department of State,
Lashington, D. C." The Loyalty Review Board by letter
dated September 30, 1948, advised that
heen "retained." Las Senator McCarthy's case #55.
(121-3702)

Investigated under Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America, and results sent to CSC on April 27, 1948, and April 20, 1950, and to the Department on April 24, 1950, under the caption

Special Inquiry - State Department, Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America." The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated Avgust 22, 1949, advised that had been declared "eligible on loyalty." has senator McCarthy's case #23.

Investigated under provisions of E. O. 9835 and results sent to CSC and to Department on July 20, 1949, March 14, 1950, May 18, 1950, June 2, 13, 30, 1950, under the caption

Department of State, Cashington, D. C." The Loyalty

Review Board by letter dated March 6, 1950, advised that had been declared "eligible on loyalty." On June 27, 1951 he was suspended by the State Department as a "suspected security risk." On July 30, 1951 the State Department announced that had been cleared of all suspicion and had been reinstated in his old job with the "full confidence" of the State Department.

Investigated under Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America, and the results sent to CSC on August 25, 1948, and November 28, 1950, under the caption Special Inquiry-State Department, Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America." No disposition in file from Loyalty Review Board.

Investigated under E. O. 9835 and the results sent to CSC on September 15, 1948, April 26, 1950, and June 23, 1950, and to the Department on October 27, 1948, April 26, 1950 and June 23, 1950, under the caption

Areas, Department of State, was lington, D. C. The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated October 7, 1949, advised that had been declared "eligible on loyalty." Was Senator McCarthy's case #1.

Investigated under E. O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on May 17, 1948, and April 21, 1950, and to the Department on March 29, 1949, and April 21, 1950, under the caption

Social and Health Affairs, Department of State, Mashington, D. C. The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated September 20, 1948, advised that had been "retained." Was Senator McCarthy's case #80.

Investigated under E.O. 9835 and the results sent to CSC and to the Department on April 20. 1950 and May 2. 1950, under the caption

Division of Fublic Liaison,

Group Relations Branch, Fublic Views and Inquiries Section,

Department of State, Washington, D. C." No disposition

in Tile from Loyalty Review Board. Was Senator McCarthy's case 1440.



では、これでは、一般の大人

Investigated under E.O. 9835 and the results sent to the CSC on June 24, 1948, April 21, 28, 1950, May 8, 1950, June 26, 30, 1950, September 12, 1950, November 14, 1950, March 12, 1951, and April 24, 1951, and to the Department on April 20, 21, 1950, May 3, 1950, June 26, 30, 1950, September 12, 1950, November 14, 1950, March 12, 1951, and April 24, 1951, under the caption "Haldore Eugene Hanson, Executive Assistant to Assistant Secretary for Public and Cultural Relations, U.S. Department of State, Mashington, D.C." The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated September 30, 1948 advised that Hanson had been "retained."

12.

Investigated under E.O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on April 20, 1948, April 21, 1950, July 14, 1950 and November 13, 1950, and to the Department on March 31, 1949, April 21, 1950, July 14, 1950 and February 16, 1951 under the caption

Division of Commercial Folicy, Department of State, Lashington, D. C." The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated June 7, 1949, advised that had been declared "eligible on loyalty." Las Benator Nectarthy's case #7.

Investigated under Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America, and results sent to CSC on April 13, 24, 1950, and July 27, 1950, and to the Department on May 1, 1950 under the caption Special Inquiry-State Department, Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America. The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated Hovember 17, 1950, advised that had "resigned or otherwise separated from Federal service prior to decision on loyalty." Was Senator McCarthy's case #65.

14. FAILLIP JESSUP
Investigated under the provisions of E.O 9835 and the results sent to CSC on October 18, 1948, June 7, 9, 12, 22, 1950, and March 5, 1951, and to the Department on April 10, 21, 24, 29, 1950, June 7, 9, 12, 22, 1950, and March 5, 1951, under the caption "Philip Caryl Jessup, Imbassador at Large, U. S. Department of State, inastington, D. C." has Senator McCarthy's case #15.

Investigated under E.O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on July 14, 1949, September 21, 1949, April 17, 1950, May 22, 1950, September 14, 1950, October 16, 1950 and November 4, 30, 1950, and to the Department on July 14, 1949, September 21, 1949, April 28, 1950, September 14, 1950, October 16, 1950, and November 4. 1950, under the caption, Department of Commerce, washington, D. C. Lo disposition in file from Loyalty Review Board. Was Senator Eccartly 8 case #28.

16.
Investigated under Public Law 402, 80th Congress,
Voice of America and the results sent to CSC on
February 3, 1949, May 11, 1950, June 1, 1950, and
January 4, 1951, under the caption

Special Inquiry-State Department, Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America. No disposition in file from Loyalty Review Board. Was Senator McCarthy's case #24.

- Investigated under the provisions of E.O 9835 and the results sent to CSC and to the Department on December 18, 1950. No disposition in file from Loyalty Review Board. Was Senator McCarthy's case #56.
- 18.
 Investigated under provisions of E.O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on March 5, 1948, April 21, 1948, September 21, 1948, April 17, 1950, August 14, 1950, May 14, 1951, and July 31, 1951, and to the Department on March 28, 1949, April 21, 1950, August 14, 1950, May 14, 21, 1951 and July 12, 31, 1951, under the caption

Social and Health Affairs, Office of International Trade Policy, Department of State, Lashington, D. C." Las Senator McCarthy's case #54. Loyalty Review Board by letter dated February 4, 1949, advised that had been "retained." Reported to have been suspended by State Department in 1951. A Fraud Accinst the Government investigation is pending concerning

6

19.
Investigated under E.O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on March 3, 1948, December 31, 1948 and April 19, 1950, and to the Department on February 28, 1949, and April 21, 1950, under the caption

Affairs. Department of State, Mashington, D. C." The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated July 27, 1949, advised that had been declared "eligible on loyalty." "as Senator McCarthy's case #41.

- Investigated under the provisions of E.O. 9835 and the results sent to CSC on March 27, 1948, and April 17, 1950, and to the Department on April 19, 27, 1950.

 Under the contion

 Department of State, New York, New York." The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated August 17, 1949, advised that had been declared "eligible on loyalty." Was Senator McCarthy's case #34
- Investigated under E.O. 9835 and results sent to CSC and to the Department on May 19, 1950, August 30. 1950, and January 29. 1951, under the caption Division of Research for Europe, Office of the Chief, Department of State, hashington, D. C." No disposition from Loughty Review Board in file. has Senator McCarthy's case #59.
 - Investigated under Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America, and the results sent to CSC on July 22, 1948, April 28, 1950, and February 17, 1951, and to the Department on March 23, 1949, and April 28, 1950 under the caption Special Inquiry-State Department, Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America. No disposition from Loyalty Review Board in file. Was Senator McCarthy's case #50.



22.

Office Mer. *dum* UNITED SI. . GOVERNMENT MR. A. H. BELMONT May 15, 1951 DATE: C. H. STANLEY FROM : COMMUNIST INFILTRATION INTO THE SUBJECT: P. STATE DEPARTMENT PURPOSE: In accordance with the Director's request to be advised of the number of the 570 persons in the State Depart-ment on whom we have conducted full field loyalty investigations in which there has been some evidence of Communist Party affilia, tions, sympathy or association are still employed in the State Department or some other Government agency, there is attached hereto a blind memorandum listing 142 of these cases to assist our liaison representative with the State Department in determining the present employment status of these individuals. By memorandum dated March 16, 1951, captioned BACKGROUND: "Communist Infiltration into the Armed Forces, Industrial Plants, and the State Department," the Director was advised that as of March 13, 1951, there had been a total of 570 loyalty cases conducted on applicant and employees of the State Department in which there had been some evidence of Communist Party affiliation, sympathy or association with individuals alleged to be Communist sympathizers at some time. Director noted, "Just how many are still employed in State or some other Government agency. H." To determine the present employment status of these 570 individuals, files have been reviewed and a list prepared with necessary identifying data on 142 of these cases. This list, in blind memorandum form, is attached for the assistance of our liaison representative with the State Department to determine present employment status. If the individual is not employed by the State Department and State Department files show transfer to another Government agency, this information should be obtained. The remainder of the 570 cases are being reviewed and similar identifying data will be furnished to Liaison when additional lists are prepared. RECOMMENDATION: It is recommended that our liaison representa-

tive with the State Department contact that Department to determine the employment status of the individuals identified on the attached

RECORDED - 26 | 121 - 232 78 - 300 X


Attachment

list.

57 NOV 16 1951

28280 Communists in the --- Sether Brunsuss STate DejarTNENT ANCHE LATER A SHORM OTHERWISE TY Phillip Jessup 18 AUG 16 1951 : F24x

SEP 15 1951



8/16/51

STANSANCE FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum . United States Government

TO : MR. D. M. LADD

FROM : A. H. Belmont

SUBJECT:

THENTY-NINE "SECURITY RISKS" IN STATE DEPARTMENT ACCORDING TO SENATOR JOSEPH R. MCCARTHY LOYALTY OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES

CommunicTs in The STOTE

PURFOSE:

To advise you of the identity of the 29 individuals I THEY Senator McCarthy claims are "security risks" in the State Department.

BACKGROUND:

Recent "ashington, D. C., newspapers have contained articles concerning 29 persons whom Senator McCarthy has claimed are "security risks" in the State Department. Senator McCarthy has been quoted in the newspapers as saying that he had made a "reasonable" request that he be assured that the 29 persons be barred from "secret materials" while their "loyalty" cases were pending before the State Department's Loyalty-Security Board. The State Department, according to these articles, refused to tell Senator McCarthy what it has done about these 29 individuals. McCarthy was said in these articles to have sent a letter to Dean Acheson listing these 29 persons and demanding immediate "assurances" from Acheson that all have been barred from secret information and said unless he got such assurances he would make the names public

Undersecretary of State Carlisle H. Humelsine wrote the Senator that his allegation that the 29 persons he named are involved in pending loyalty cases "is incorrect." Humelsine said that some of these individuals are not State Department employees, some already have been cleared and some are "in process through the Loyalty Program."

The Liaison Section has secured the list of 29 persons furnished by Senator McCarthy to Dean Acheson.

A review of Bureau files reflects the following information concerning these individuals, all of whom have been investigated by the Bureau:

Investigated under Executive Order 9835 - 23
Investigated under Voice of America Frogram - 6

Special Inquiry Section





DATE: August 2, 1951

CONDED COPY FILED IN

Employed by State Department - 24
Separated - 2
Employed in another agency - 1
Suspended pending jurther hearings (Esther Brunauer - 2
29

There are set forth below the names as they appear on the list made available by the State Department together with information concerning the investigation conducted concerning these individuals:

Investigated under E. O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on June 12, 1948, April 18, 1950, and May 21, 1951, and to Department on March 24, 1949, April 1950 and May 21, 1951, under the caption

Far
Eastern Command, Department of State, Washington, D. C."
The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated September 30,
1948, advised that the had been "retained." Was
Senator McCarthy's case #49.

Investigated under provisions of E. O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on June 12, 1948, and April 18, 1950, and to Department on March 24. 1949 and April 27, 1950, under caption

Department of State, Kashington, D. C." Loyalty Review Board by letter dated September 30, 1948, advised that been "retained." Kas Senator McCarthy's case #48.

ESTHER BRUNAUER
Investigated under the provisions of E.O. 9835 and the results sent to CSC on March 2, 1948, April 29, 1950, June 28, 1950, July 31, 1950 and June 19, 21, 1951, and to the Department on March 9, 1949, April 29, 1950, June 28, 1950, July 31, 1950 and June 19, 21, 1951, under the caption "Dr. Esther Caukin Brunauer, aka Mrs. Stephen Brunauer, U.S. Representative to the Preparatory Commission of UNESCO, Department of State." has Senator McCarthy's case #47. The Evening Star of April 10, 1951, reflects that Carlisle H. Humelsine, Deputy Undersecretary of State for Administration, announced the "suspension of Mrs. Esther Caukin Brunauer because of information received that the Department of the Navy had suspended her husband, Stephen Brunauer, under Navy Department loyalty and security procedures."

bf

The state of the s

Mr. Humelsine added that Mrs. Bruncuer's suspension was "taken automatically pending the outcome of the Department of Navy action concerning Mr. Brunauer." The Evening Star on June 19, 1951, carried an article stating that Dr. Stephen Brunauer had resigned his position with the Department of the Navy to remove a "source of embarrassment" to the Navy. A State Department official, according to the article, stated that Mrs. Brunauer remained under suspension and that a hearing would be afforded her by the Loyalty and Security Board of the State Department.

Investigated under E. O. 9835 and the results sent to CSC on June 18, 1948, April 21, 25, 1950, and hovember 15, 1950, and to the Department on April 21, 25, 1950. and dovember 15, 1950, under the caption

Specialist and Professors Branch, Division of International Exchange of Persons, Department of State, washington, D. C." The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated September 30, 1948, advised that had been "retained." Was Senator McCarthy's case #55. (121-3702)

Investigated under Fublic Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America, and results sent to CSC on April 27, 1948, and April 20, 1950, and to the Department on April 24, 1950, under the caption

Special Inquiry - State Department, Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America." The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated August 22, 1949, advised that had been declared "eligible on loyalty." has senator McCarthy's case #23.

Investigated under provisions of E. O. 9835 and results sent to CSC and to Department on July 20, 1949, March 14, 1950, May 18, 1950, June 2, 13, 30, 1950, under the caption

Department of State, Lashington, D. C. The Loyalty

670

大きな 一本

Review Board by letter dated March 6, 1950, advised that had been declared "eligible on loyalty."
On June 27, 1951 he was suspended by the State Department as a "suspected security risk." On July 30, 1951 the State Department announced that had been cleared of all suspicion and had been reinstated in his old job with the "full confidence" of the State Department.

- Investigated under Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America, and the results sent to CSC on August 25, 1948, and November 28, 1950, under the caption

 Special Inquiry-State Department, Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America." No disposition in file from Loyalty Review Board.
- Investigated under E. O. 9835 and the results sent to CSC on September 15, 1948, April 26, 1950, and June 23, 1950, and to the Department on October 27, 1948, April 26, 1950 and June 23, 1950, under the caption

 for Occupied Areas, Department of State, has lington, D. C. The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated October 7, 1949, advised that had been declared "eligible on loyalty." Was Senator McCarthy's case #1.
- Investigated under E. O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on May 17, 1948, and April 21, 1950, and to the Department on March 29, 1949, and April 21, 1950, under the caption

 Social and Health Affairs, Department of State, Washington, D. C." The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated September 20, 1948, advised that had been "retained." Was Senator McCarthy's case #80.
- Investigated under E.O. 9835 and the results sent to CSC and to the Department on April 20. 1950 and May 2. 1950, under the caption Division of Fublic Liaison, Division of Fublic Liaison, Department of State, hashington, D. C." No disposition in Tile from Loyalty Review Board. Was Senator McCarthy's case #40.

11. HALDORE HANSON
Investigated under E.O. 9835 and the results sent
to the CSC on June 24, 1948, April 21, 28, 1950,
May 8, 1950, June 26, 30, 1950, September 12, 1950,
November 14, 1950, March 12, 1951, and April 24,
1951, and to the Department on April 20, 21, 1950,
May 3, 1950, June 26, 30, 1950, September 12, 1950,
November 14, 1950, March 12, 1951, and April 24, 1951,
under the caption "Haldore Eugene Hanson, Executive
Assistant to Assistant Secretary for Public and Cultural
Relations, U.S. Department of State, Mashington, D.C."
The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated September 30,
1948 advised that Hanson had been "retained."

Investigated under K.O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on April 20, 1948, April 21, 1950, July 14, 1950 and November 13, 1950, and to the Department on March 31, 1949, April 21, 1950, July 14, 1950 and February 16, 1951 under the caption Division of Commercial Folicy, Department of State, Lashington, D. C." The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated June 7, 1949, advised that had been declared "eligible on loyalty." Las Senator Locarthy's case #7.

Investigated under Fublic Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America, and results sent to CSC on April 13, 24, 1950, and July 27, 1950, and to the Department on May 1, 1950 under the caption Special Inquiry-State Department, Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of therica. The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated November 17, 1950, advised that had "resigned or otherwise separated from Federal service prior to decision on loyalty." Was Senator McCartly's case #65.

14. FHILLIP JESSUP
Investigated under the provisions of E.O 9835 and the results sent to CSC on October 18, 1948, June 7, 9, 12, 22, 1950, and March 5, 1951, and to the Department on April 10, 21, 24, 29, 1950, June 7, 9, 12, 22, 1950, and March 5, 1951, under the caption "Philip Caryl Jessup, Ambassador at Large, U.S. Department of State, askington, D.C." has Senator McCarthy's case #15.

Investigated under E.O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on July 14, 1949, September 21, 1949, April 17, 1950, May 22, 1950, September 14, 1950, October 16, 1950 and November 4, 30, 1950, and to the Department on July 14, 1949, September 21, 1949, April 28, 1950, September 14, 1950, October 16, 1950, and November 4, 1950, under the caption, Department of Commerce, Masnington, D. C. Department of Commerce, Masnington, D. C. Senator Security & Cuse #28.

16.
Investigated under rublic Law 402, 80th Congress,
Voice of America and the results sent to CSC on
February 3, 1949, May 11, 1950, June 1, 1950, and
January 4, 1951, under the caption

Special Inquiry-State Department, Fublic Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America. No disposition in file from Loyalty Review Board. Was Senator McCarthy's case #224.

- Investigated under the provisions of E.O 9835 and the results sent to CSC and to the Department on December 18, 1950. No disposition in file from Loyalty Review Board. Las Senator McCarthy's case #56.
- 18.

 Investigated under provisions of E.O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on March 5, 1948, April 21, 1948, September 21, 1948, April 17, 1950, August 14, 1950, May 14, 1951, and July 31, 1951, and to the Department on March 28, 1949, April 21, 1950, August 14, 1950, May 14. 21. 1951 and July 12, 31, 1951, under the caption

Social and Health Affairs, Office of International Trade Policy, Department of State, Lashington, D. C." Was Senator McCarthy's case #54. Loyalty Review Board by letter dated February 4, 1949, advised that had been "retained." Reported to have been suspended by State Department in 1951. A Froud Locinst the Government investigation is pending concerning

6

19.
Investigated under E.O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on March 3, 1948, December 31, 1948 and April 19, 1950, and to the Department on February 28, 1949, and April 21, 1950, under the caption

Division of Occupied Areas. Office of Economic Affairs, Department of State, Lashington, D. C." The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated July 27, 1949, advised that had been declared "eligible on loyalty." was Senator McCarthy's case #41.

Investigated under the provisions of E.O. 9835 and the results sent to CSC on March 27, 1948, and April 17, 1950, and to the Department on April 19, 27, 1950.

Under the contion

Department of State, New York, New York." The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated August 17, 1949, advised that had been declared "eligible on loyalty." Was Senator McCarthy's case #34

Investigated under E.O. 9835 and results sent to
CSC and to the Department on May 19, 1950, August 30.
1950, and January 29. 1951, under the caption

Division of Research for
Europe, Office of the Chief, Department of State,
hashington, D.C." No disposition from Loyalty Review
Board in file. has Senator McCarthy's case #59.

Investigated under Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America, and the results sent to CSC on July 22, 1948, April 28, 1950, and February 17, 1951, and to the Department on March 23, 1949, and April 28, 1950 under the caption Special Inquiry-State Department, Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America. No disposition from Loyalty Review Board in file. Was Senator McCarthy's case #50.

b2

22.

23. investigated under E.O. 9835 and the results sent to CSC on July 26, 1948, April 17, 1950, and December 1, 1950, and to the Department on March 23, 1949, April 27, 1950, and December 1, 1950, under the caption ¿ Economist, Deportment of State, mushing-The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated thad "resigned December 8, 1950, a<u>dvised that</u> or otherwise separated from Federal service prior to decision on loyalty." was Senator McCarthy's case #77. The Criminal Division of Justice is presenting this matter to the Grand Jury in mashington, D. C., in connection with possible violation of Section 1001, Title 18. U. S. Code, for alleged false statements made and his wife in proceedings before the Loyalti Bocra for the Department of State on November 4, 1948, and for possible violation of Section 371, Title 18, U. S. Code, for conspiracy to give false information. In connection with this Fraud Against the Government investigation additional information was furnished to the Department on December 4, 1950, January 3, 1951, March 27, 1951, April 7, 1951, Kay 4, 9, 1951, June 4, 6, 12, 23, 26, 27, 30, 1951, and July 2, 6, 11, 12, 18, 1951.

Investigated under E.O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on March 1, 1948, and April 24, 1950, and to the Department on April 20, 24, 1950, under the caption

Department of State, Mexico City, Mexico.'
The Loyalty Review Board advised by letter dated
September 20, 1948, that had been "retained."
That Senator McCarthy's case #52.

Investigated under Public Law 402, 80th Congress,
Voice of America, and the results sent to CSC on
April 11, 1950, and April 18, 1950, and to the
Department on April 24, 1950, under the caption
Special InquiryState Department, Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice
of America." No disposition from Loyalty Review Poard
in file. Was Senator McCarthy's case #32.

一年 一年 一日 日本 日本

Investigated under E.O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on July 22, 1948, April 28, 1950, and February 17, 1951, and to the Department on March 23, 1949, and April 28, 1950, under the caption

Department of State, Washington, D. C. The Loyalty Neview Board by letter dated January 7, 1949, advised that had been "retained."

Was Senator EcCarthy's case #50.

- Investigated under E.O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on July 30, 1948, July 19, 1950, August 4, 1950, November 14, 1950, and July 3, 1951, and to the Department on April 24, 1950, July 19, 1950, August 4, 1950, November 14, 1950, and July 3, 1951, under the cation william Treadwell Stone, Information and Editorical Specialist, (Chairman, Interdepartmental Foreign Information Staff), Department of State, washington, D. C." The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated May 27, 1949, advised that Stone had been "retained." as Senator McCarthy's case #46.
- Investigated under E.O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on March 13, 1948, and April 17, 1950, and to the Department on March 24, 1949, and April 26, 1950, under the caption "

 Department of State, Mashington, D. C." The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated February 4, 1949, advised that had been "retained." Was Senator McCarthy's case #6.
- Investigated under E.O. 9835 and the results sent to CSC and to the Department on August 4, 21, 28, 1950, September 1, 6, 12, 1950, October 24, 1950, March 22, 23, 1951, April 21, 27, 30, 1951 June 1, 7, 13, 1951, and July 19, 1951, under the caption Department of State,

 No disposition in file from Loyalty Review Board. Was Senator McCarthy's case

RECOMMENDATION:

This is for your information.

A copy of this memorandum has been designated for the Special Inquiry Section.