



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

BUGSY SIEGEL

PART # 3 OF 11

PAGES AVAILABLE THIS PART 285

FILE DESCRIPTION

BUREAU FILE

SUBJECT BUGSY SIEGEL

FILE NO. 62-81518

SECTION NO. 6

SERIALS 172

to

200

Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice
Los Angeles (13) California
August 28, 1946

Mr. Tolson
Mr. Clegg
Mr. Glavin
Mr. Ladd
Mr. Nichols
Mr. Rosen
Mr. Tracy
Mr. Carson
Mr. Egan
Mr. Gurnea
Mr. Harbo
Mr. Hendon
Mr. Pennington
Mr. Quinn Tamm
Mr. Nease

APPROPRIATE AGENCIES
AND FIELD OFFICES
ADVISED BY ROUTING
SLIP(S) OF Declass
DATE 11/26/85 ADP

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Re: BENJAMIN "BUGS" SIEGEL
MISCELLANEOUS; INFORMATION
CRIME SURVEY

DECLASSIFIED ON 5/30/83

Dear Sir:

Reference is made to the report of Special Agent [redacted] dated August 20, 1946 at Los Angeles in the above-captioned matter.

Your attention is directed to Page 56, Paragraph 2, line 8 which reads as follows:

....." This sentence should be changed in the copies of the referenced report to read as follows: [redacted]

Very truly yours,

RECORDED
INDEXED
HANDLED BY
SIX

A. E. Ostholthoff
A. E. OSTHOLTHOFF

62-2837

AIR MAIL

CC: Chicago (Attention: Assistant Director E. J. CONNELLEY)
Salt Lake City
San Francisco
New York

RECEIVED
FBI
JUL 30 11 11 AM '46

RECEIVED-ROSEN

RECORDED

62-81518-192



60 SEP 23 1946

SEP 1 1946

TELETYPE

WASH FROM LOSA 8 11

2-57 PM

DIRECTOR URGENT

BENJAMIN "BUGS" SIEGEL, MISC., INFO CONCERNING, CRIME SURVEY

AUTHORITY REQUESTED TO PLACE SIXTY DAY MAIL COVER ON

OSTHOLTHOFF

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 10/10/85 BY 92-1000000

RECORDED & INDEXED

37 SEP 20 1946

Shine - Los Ang.
9-18-46

62-81518-173
FBI

Mr. Tolson
Mr. E. A. Tamm
Mr. Clegg
Mr. Glavin
Mr. Ladd
Mr. Nichols
Mr. Rosen
Mr. Tracy
Mr. Carson
Mr. Egan
Mr. Gurnea
Mr. Harbo
Mr. Hendon
Mr. Pennington
Mr. Quinn Tamm
Mr. Nease
Miss Gandy

5

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

EX-42

Mr. Rosen

To: COMMUNICATIONS SECTION.

SEPTEMBER 18, 1946 (URGENT)

Transmit the following message to:

62-81518-173

SAC, LOS ANGELES
ATTENTION: A. E. OSTENHOFF

BENJAMIN HUGH STEEL, MISCELLANEOUS, INFORMATION CONCERNING, CRIME SURVEY.

REURTEL SEPTEMBER SEVENTEEN LAST AUTHORITY GRANTED TO PLACE SIXTY DAY MAIL

COVER ON

HOOVER

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 10/10/85 BY SP-9 mac/pdc

COPIES DESTROYED
44 NOV 16 1964

- Mr. Tolson
- Mr. E. A. Tamm
- Mr. Clegg
- Mr. Coffey
- Mr. Glavin
- Mr. Ladd
- Mr. Nichols
- Mr. Rosen
- Mr. Tracy
- Mr. Carson
- Mr. Egan
- Mr. Hendon
- Mr. Pennington
- Mr. Quinn
- Mr. Nease
- Miss Gandy

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

SEP 18 1946

TELETYPE

SENT VIA 6:54 P M Per me

SEP 18 6 20 PM '46

RECEIVED

EAL

Q/S

SEP 21 1946

6

CONFIDENTIAL

The letter from the Los Angeles Field Division dated August 29, 1946, made reference to the report of Special Agent [redacted] St. Paul, dated August 14, 1946, in the CAPCA case, which contains information concerning [redacted] that letter makes reference to that report listing [redacted]

This similar information also appears on page 29 of the report of Special Agent [redacted] Los Angeles, dated September 3, 1946, copies of which report were furnished all field divisions who are receiving a copy of this letter.

b7c HAN
RTV

Accordingly, it should be noted that subject SIEGEL is not identical with [redacted]

APPROPRIATE AGENCIES
AND FIELD OFFICES
ADVISED BY ROUTING
SLIP(S) OF Declass
DATE 11/26/25026

- 62-11550
- 2 cc - Los Angeles (62-2837)
 - 3 cc - Chicago (2 cc CAPCA)
 - 1 cc - New York
 - 1 cc - Salt Lake City
 - 1 cc - San Francisco

RECORDED
INDEXED
162-81518-174
F B I
7 SEP 18 1946

68 SEP 23 1946

7

FBI SAN FRANCISCO 9-13-46 10-39 PM

DIRECTOR AND SAC LOS ANGELES URGENT

BENJAMIN "BUGS" SIEGEL, WAS., MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION CONCERNING,
CRIME SURVEY. [REDACTED] FURNISHED FOLLOWING

INFORMATION REGARDING PROCEEDINGS YESTERDAY. QUOTE ABOUT NOON, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] APPOINTED [REDACTED] FOR

INDEPENDENT ADVICE, REVIEWED PLANS AND PHOTOS IN EVIDENCE AT CPA [REDACTED]
OFFICES, ONE THREE FIVE FIVE MARKET STREET. AT ABOUT TWELVE THIRTY PM

[REDACTED] WAS CALLED TO CPA OFFICE

FROM PLACE OF HEARING AT WHITCOMB HOTEL TO CONFER WITH [REDACTED]

HAD AGREEMENT TO HAVE HIS ARCHITECT [REDACTED] PRESENT IF [REDACTED] ME

WITH [REDACTED] BUT [REDACTED] NEGLECTED TO NOTIFY HIM OF THE MEETING. AT ABOUT

ONE FORTY FIVE PM, [REDACTED] RETURNED TO WHITCOMB HOTEL

AND HEARING STARTED. [REDACTED] TESTIFIED HE WAS ARCHITECT FOR CASINO [REDACTED]

BUILDING AND TOOK OVER ENTIRE FLAMINGO PROJECT [REDACTED] A FEW

WEEKS AGO. HE TESTIFIED THAT AT ALL TIMES CORRIDORS WERE CONTEMPLATED

BETWEEN HOTEL AND CASINO AND SHOPS, WHICH HE REFERRED TO SEVERAL TIMES

AS THE QUOTE CONNECTING LINK UNQUOTE. HE ALSO TESTIFIED THAT THE

UTILITIES TUNNELS PREVIOUSLY MENTIONED WERE ACTUALLY VAULTS LEADING

INTO THE BUILDING THROUGH THE FOUNDATION WALL FOR PIPES AND WIRES AND

THE LARGEST OPENING WAS TEN INCHES IN DIAMETER. HE ALSO TESTIFIED

AS TO CONNECTING ROOFS BETWEEN HOTEL AND CASINO AND SHOPS. [REDACTED]

TESTIFIED THAT VARIOUS PLOT PLANS IN EVIDENCE WERE IN EFFECT ON THE [REDACTED]

RECORDED 23 1946 [REDACTED] HE WAS ABLE TO SAY FURTHER [REDACTED]

JANUARY TWELVE, 1961, DATED MARCH TWENTY TWO, REPRESENTED BUILDING
AT PRESENT TIME. [REDACTED] HE TESTIFIED AT FIRST HEARING THAT PLAN OF
JANUARY TWELVE WAS ONLY ONE WHICH WAS FOLLOWED IN CONSTRUCTION.
[REDACTED] THEN TESTIFIED FOR GOVERNMENT AND POINTED OUT THAT PHOTOGRAPHS
SHOWING WINDOWS IN END OF HOTEL AND CASINO, FINISHED WALLS AND ROOFS
SHOWED THERE HAD BEEN NO INTENTION TO JOIN OTHER BUILDINGS, THAT PLAN
SHOWED BUILDINGS WERE STRUCTURALLY INDEPENDENT AND GAVE OPINION THAT
BUILDINGS WERE SEPARATE. [REDACTED] THEN TOOK STAND AND, ACCORDING TO [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] FOLLOWED [REDACTED] TESTIMONY QUOTE RIGHT DOWN THE LINE UNQUOTE
IN GIVING HIS EXPERT, INDEPENDENT TESTIMONY AND OPINION. [REDACTED] THEN
RENDERED HIS DECISION, STATING THAT PHOTOGRAPHS AND PLANS GAVE A GOOD
IDEA AS TO WHAT THE PROJECT WAS AT PRESENT, BUT STATED THEY HAD TO
ARRIVE AT WHAT THE FINISHED PRODUCT WOULD BE. HE ACCEPTED [REDACTED] OPINION
THAT THE PROJECT WOULD BE ONE BUILDING AND FOUND FOR SUBJECT AND HIS
ASSOCIATES THE RESPONDENTS. HE STATED THAT EXHIBIT FOUR, THE PLAN OF
MARCH TWENTY TWO, APPEARED TO MOST CLOSELY REPORT WHAT WAS IN THE
PROCESS OF CONSTRUCTION ON MARCH TWENTY SIX. [REDACTED] STATED IT HAD
BEEN POINTED OUT IN HEARING THAT [REDACTED] HAD SHOWED [REDACTED] THE PLAN OF
JANUARY TWELVE, EXHIBIT SIX A, AS REPORTING BUILDING BEING CONSTRUCTED
ON MARCH TWENTY SIX BUT [REDACTED] DID NOT NOTE THIS IN HIS OPINION AND HE
FOUND THERE WAS NO MISREPRESENTATION. [REDACTED] STATED THAT AT THE
CONCLUSION OF THE HEARING [REDACTED] APPEARED TO BE EXHAUSTED, ALMOST TO
THE POINT OF COLLAPSE. [REDACTED]

b7c

PAGE THREE

HERE STATED HE CONTEMPLATED NO FURTHER ACTION. THE LOAN OF TRANSCRIPT
OF THE HEARINGS OF AUGUST THIRTEEN, FOURTEEN AND SEPTEMBER FIVE AND
SIX, AS WELL AS THAT OF SEPTEMBER TWELVE WHEN COMPLETED WERE REQUESTED
BUT HE STATED THAT HE DESIRED TO GET AUTHORITY FROM [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] WASHINGTON, D. C. TO LEND THE TRANSCRIPTS. HE
STATED THAT HE WOULD CALL [REDACTED] MONDAY MORNING, SEPTEMBER SIXTEEN,
TO GET THIS AUTHORITY. [REDACTED] WANTED TO LEND TRANSCRIPTS
IMMEDIATELY BUT HAD ONLY HIS ONE COPY, FOR WHICH HE WAS ACCOUNTABLE TO
THE COMPLIANCE DIVISION, WHICH PAID FOR SAME. b7c

KIMBALL

END

LA OK FBI LA RCC

WA 1-50 AM OK FBI WASH DC VH

DISC

18 6 42 18
10

STATION 51 AND LOSAN 51 FROM STATION 5-3-45 9-12 PM - 51
DIRECTOR AND SAC FOR LOSAN
BENJAMIN WHITE RUCS BROOKE SIEGEL, WAS, MISCELLANEOUS, INFORMATION
CONCERNING, CRIME SURVEY.

HERE, INTERVIEWED AT HIS OFFICE THIS AFTERNOON, HE ADVISED
THAT THE FOLLOWING ATTORNEYS REPRESENTED RESPONDENTS AT THE HEARING
SEPTEMBER FIFTH AND SIXTH LAST

SIEGEL WERE PRESENT
BUT DID NOT TESTIFY, CPA
INVESTIGATORS, AND CPA ENGINEER FROM WASHINGTON

TESTIFIED FOR THE GOVERNMENT AND PUT INTO EVIDENCE PHOTOGRAPHS OF
THE FLAMINGO BUILDINGS, SHOWING FINISHED ENDS WITH WINDOWS THEREIN.
THEY ALSO PUT IN THE PLANS DATED MARCH EIGHT, NINETEEN FORTYSIX WHICH
WERE FILED IN CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA.

TESTIFIED FOR RESPONDENTS AND PUT INTO EVIDENCE A PLAN
OF THE SHOPS DRAWN BY

WHICH WERE SAID TO HAVE JUST BEEN RECEIVED FROM ALSO
INTRODUCED A PAINTING OF THE SHOPS DRAWN FROM THE
PLANS, SHOWING THE SHOPS ROOF CONNECTING WITH THE HOTEL AND
CASINO. STATED THAT HAD DRAWN THIS PICTURE FOR THE
HEARING. STATED HE FELT THERE WAS POSSIBLE EVIDENCE.

TESTIMONY, AND RECALLED THAT HAD TESTIFIED THERE HAD
BEEN NO CHANGE IN THE PLANS SINCE AUGUST FOURTEEN THE DATE
OF THE LAST HEARING. HE ALSO ADMITTED THAT A LINE WHICH HE HAD
PREVIOUSLY STATED HAD REPRESENTED THE WALL SUPPORTING A ROOF.

PAGE TWO

THE HOTEL AND CASINO ~~WOMAN~~ WAS ACTUALLY A ~~BARBER~~ SIDEWALK HE ALSO ADMITTED UPON BEING SHOWN A PHOTOGRAPH OF A SMALL OPENING FOR PIPES AND WIRES LEADING INTO THE END OF THE HOTEL BUILDING ABOUT FIVE FEET LONG WAS ACTUALLY THE TUNNEL WHICH ~~HE~~ HE HAD PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED AS BEING THE UTILITY TUNNEL CONNECTING THE HOTEL AND CASINO THROUGH WHICH QUOTE A MAN COULD CHASE A RABBIT UNQUOTE. ~~HE~~ ADVISED THAT HE ~~HAD~~ JUST RECEIVED WORD THAT THE COMMISSIONER HAD SET THE DATE FOR THE ~~PROCEEDING~~ CONTINUATION OF THE HEARING FOR ONE PM NEXT THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER TWELFTH. ~~HE~~ STATED THAT RESPONDENTS INDICATED THAT ~~HE~~ WOULD BE PRESENT AT THE NEXT ~~HEARING~~ b7c
HEARING WITH A DETAILED PLAN OF THE SHOPS SHOWING FOOTINGS AND ~~THE~~ b7c
~~THE~~ STRUCTURAL DETAILS WHICH WILL BE SUPPLIED THE ARCHITECT APPOINTED ~~BY~~ b7c
BY THE COMMISSIONER ~~THAT~~ IN THE EVENT HE NEEDS ANY FURTHER TECHNICAL INFORMATION. ~~HE~~ STATED THAT THE CPA, TOO, WILL HAVE AN ~~ARCHITECT~~ ARCHITECT PRESENT. ~~HE~~ b7c
~~HE~~ b7c

KIMBALL

CORR PAGE 1 SECON LINE FROM BOTTOM SEVENTH WORD IS ADMITTED
END ACK PLS

26.10 2 35 11.7

cc ~~HE~~ b7c

TELETYPE
CONF WASH 25 AND LOS ANGELES 25 FROM WASH 9-25-46 15 PM

DIRECTOR AND SAC, LOS ANGELES
BENJAMIN "BOGS" SIEGEL, JR., DISC., INFO CONCERNING, CRIME SURVEY

TELEPHONICALLY CONTACTED AT 11

PHONE THIS MORNING. HE ADVISED THAT [REDACTED] HAD DECIDED

TO REFER TECHNICAL QUESTIONS RE FLAMINGO PROJECT TO AN INDEPENDENT

ARCHITECT WHOM HE INDICATED HE WOULD PERSONALLY SECURE, POSSIBLY

FROM AN ARCHITECTS ASSOCIATION HERE. HE CONTINUED THE HEARING

TO AN INDEFINITE TIME, PROBABLY THE LATTER PART OF THE WEEK.

SIEGEL DID NOT TESTIFY BUT WAS PRESENT AND QUOTE ACTIVE IN

BACKGROUND UNQUOTE. [REDACTED] OF DEL WEBB CO. TESTIFIED

FOR RESPONDENTS. [REDACTED] STATED THAT [REDACTED] WAS CALLED BY

THE COMMISSIONER BUT HIS TESTIMONY WAS MORE FAVORABLE TO

RESPONDENTS IN THAT HE STATED THAT RESPONDENTS HAD PLANS FOR

A CASINO AND HOTEL IN DECEMBER FORTY FIVE. [REDACTED] TESTIFIED,

HOWEVER, THAT OPEN PASSAGEWAYS BETWEEN BUILDINGS WERE PLANNED.

[REDACTED] STATED THAT HIS NOTES AND PAPERS WERE IN HIS OFFICE

AND HE COULD FURNISH MORE DEFINITE INFORMATION REGARDING HEARING

PARTIES, AND WITNESSES AFTER REFERRING TO THEM. ARRANGEMENTS

MADE TO MEET HIM AT HIS OFFICE AT THREE THIRTY PM TODAY. BUREAU

AND LOS ANGELES WILL BE PROMPTLY ADVISED OF RESULTS

62-81518-17
F B I
RECORDED 17 MAR 21 8 1946

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 10/30/95 BY SP7TMA/for

58 SEP 23 1946

bx [REDACTED]

13

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

SEP 16 1946

TELETYPE

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 10/30/85 BY SP-7 mac/100

Mr. Tolson	
Mr. E. A. Tamm	
Mr. Clegg	
Mr. Glavin	
Mr. Ladd	
Mr. Nichols	
Mr. Rosen	
Mr. Tracy	
Mr. Carson	
Mr. Egan	
Mr. Gurnea	
Mr. Harbo	
Mr. Hendon	
Mr. Pennington	
Mr. Quinn Tamm	
Mr. Nease	
Miss Gandy	

CONF TWO STATS WASH 812 AND LOSAN 83 FROM SFRAN 9-16-46 8-00 PM RFM
DIRECTOR AND SAC LOS ANGELES

BENJAMIN FRANK BUGS SIEGEL WAS. MISC. INFO. CONCERNING, CRIME SURVEY
[REDACTED] ON VACATION FROM STANFORD UNTIL SEPTEMBER TWENTY
THIRD AND UNAVAILABLE FOR INTERVIEW TODAY. EFFORTS TO CONTACT FRANK HIM
CONTINUING.

KIMBALL

END ACK PLS
66 SEP 25 1946

RECORDED

62-81518-178
F B I
7 SEP 18 1946

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

SEP 12 1946

TELETYPE

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 10/30/83 BY SP-7 msc/ba

Mr. Tolson
Mr. E. A. Tamm
Mr. Clegg
Mr. Glavin
Mr. Ladd
Mr. Nichols
Mr. Rosen
Mr. Tracy
Mr. Carson
Mr. Egan
Mr. Gurnea
Mr. Harbo
Mr. Hendon
Mr. Pennington
Mr. Quinn Tamm
Mr. Nease
Miss Gandy

WASHINGTON FROM SAN FRANCISCO 82 9-12-46

7-54 PM

DIRECTOR AND SAC LOS ANGELES URGENT

BENJAMIN "BUGS" SIEGEL, MISCELLANEOUS, INFORMATION CONCERNING
CRIME SURVEY. SIEGEL [REDACTED] AND TWO OTHER
MEN POSSIBLY [REDACTED] ARRIVED HERE VIA WESTERN AIR LINES
NINE AM TODAY. IMMEDIATELY PROCEEDED TO ST. FRANCIS HOTEL
WHERE REGISTERED FOR DAY ONLY.

KIMBALL

LOS ANGELES ADVISED

RECORDED

162-81518-179
F B I
7 SEP 18 1946

58 SEP 23 1946

cf 11/11/46
[REDACTED]

CC: [REDACTED]

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

F.B.I. TELETYPE

CLASSIFIED BY: *10/10/85*
DECLASSIFY ON: *DATE*
Born

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN
OTHERWISE

- Mr. Tolson
- Mr. E. A. Tamm
- Mr. Clegg
- Mr. Coffey
- Mr. Glavin
- Mr. Ladd
- Mr. Nichols
- Mr. Rosen
- Mr. Tracy
- Mr. Carson
- Mr. Egan
- Mr. Hendon
- Mr. Pennington
- Mr. Quinn Tamm
- Mr. Nease
- Miss Gandy

WASHINGTON FROM SAN FRANCISCO 81

1:51 PM RM

DIRECTOR AND SAC LOS ANGELES URGENT

BENJAMIN QUOTE BUGS UNQUOTE SIEGEL, MISC, INFORMATION CONCERN-
ING, CRIME SURVEY. MICROPHONE SURVEILLANCE OF SUBJECT, ROOM
401, ST. FRANCIS HOTEL, SAN FRANCISCO, INSTALLED 11:00 AM TO-
DAY.

L A ADVISED
RECEIVED

5:09 PM EST

KIMBALL

162-81578-48

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 12/30/85 BY SP-1000/100

SEP 18 1965

RECEIVED

WASH AND SAN F FROM LOSA
INFO CONCERNING
SOURCE ADVISES THAT LAST NIGHT SIEGE INFORMED
THAT QUOTE WE WERE THE CASE UNQUOTE
FURTHER INFORMATION AVAILABLE HERE CONCERNING NATURE OF TEST
PRESENTED AT C.A. HEARING. SAN FRAN WILL SECURE DE-
TAILS OF HEARING AND FURNISH SAME TO BUREAU AND L.A. AS
SOON AS POSSIBLE.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 12/30/85 BY SP-1000/100

RECORDED

162-4104-1
F B I
SEP 18 1965

63 SEP 21

63-11111-5713

SEP 13 1946
ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/20/86 BY 4-7122/100-1

ONE TWO STATIONS WASH DC AND LOSAN ST FROM SFRA 9-12-46 11-07 PM RFM

DIRECTOR AND SAC LOS ANGELES URGENT

REURK BENJAMIN EUGS SIEGEL, WAS., MISC. INFO CONCERNING, CRIME
SURVEY. CPA HEARING AT ~~UNRECORDED~~ WHITCOMB HOTEL

LASTED UNTIL EIGHT
FORTY PM, SIEGEL, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] TOOK TAXIS TO AIRPORT ~~UNRECORDED~~ IMMEDIATELY AFTER.
THEY LEFT VIA WESTERN AIR LINES FLIGHT THREE THIRTY FIVE AT TEN PM DUE
TO ARRIVE LOS ANGELES AT MIDNIGHT. A SEVENTH RESERVATION, MADE BY

[REDACTED] WAS CANCELLED AND

[REDACTED] IS SPENDING NIGHT IN ROOM FOUR ZERO ONE, ST FRANCIS HOTEL,
FOR WHICH SIEGEL HAD REGISTERED THIS MORNING. [REDACTED]

ALSO CANCELLED THEIR RESERVATIONS ON FLIGHT ~~THREE~~ THREE THIRTY FIVE

[REDACTED] UNAVAILABLE FOR INTERVIEW TONIGHT HE WILL
BE INTERVIEWED TOMORROW MORNING AND COMMISSIONER-S DECISION, IF ANY
PROMPTLY FURNISHED BUREAU AND LOS ANGELES. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] DISCONTINUED NINE PM, SEPTEMBER TWELVE 62-81578-183

END ACK PLS

58 SEP 23 1946

RECORDED

INDEXED 341

7 SEP 18 1946

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

SEP 1 1946

TELETYPE

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 10/30/85 BY SP-7 MCE/PLS

CONF TWO STATIONS WASH 813 AND LOSAN 85 FROM SFRAN 9-12-46 8-45 PM
DIRECTOR AND SAC LOS ANGELES URGENT
BENJAMIN BUGS BIEGEL WAS, MISC. INFO. CONCERNING, CRIME SURVEY
[REDACTED] ADVISED SUBJECT [REDACTED] AND OTHERS DISCUSSED
TESTIMONY IN FLAMINGO CASE FROM 11 AM TO NOON TODAY IN SAME VEIN AS
PREVIOUS DISCUSSIONS HERE. SUBJECT, [REDACTED] AND OTHERS HAVE
BEEN AT CPA [REDACTED] HEARING HELD AT WHITCOMB HOTEL, 1231 MARKET
ST. SAN FRANCISCO SINCE 1 PM. HEARING STILL CONTINUING AS
OF 8 PM. AT THAT TIME [REDACTED] CALLED WESTERN AIR LINES AND RESERVED
SEVEN SEATS IN HIS NAME FOR FLIGHT 335 LEAVING HERE AT 9-30 PM. HE
ALSO REQUESTED SEATS FOR [REDACTED] ON SAME [REDACTED] FLIGHT
SHORTLY BEFORE [REDACTED] MADE CALL AND SAID HEARING MIGHT GO ON UNTIL
11 PM. INVESTIGATION CONTINUING.

SEP 23 1946
END ACK PLS

Copy to [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

KIMBALL

RECORDED & INDEXED
162-81518-184
FBI
7 SEP 18 1946

b2c 21cc [REDACTED]



**Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice
Los Angeles (13) California
August 28, 1946**



IN REPLY, PLEASE REFER TO

FILE NO. 62-2837

~~PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL~~

Director, FBI

DECLASSIFIED BY SP-7 mac/RS
ON 10/30/85

Attention: Assistant Director ROSEN

Re: BENJAMIN "BUGS" SIEGEL
MISCELLANEOUS; INFORMATION CONCERNING;
CRIME SURVEY

Dear Sir:

This will confirm my telephone conversation of August 27, 1946 with Assistant Director ROSEN.

On August 26, 1946, former Special Agent [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] requested an appointment with Special Agent in Charge R. B. HOOD and came to the Los Angeles Office and advised Mr. HOOD that he had been acquainted with [REDACTED] for a long period of time and had done some investigation work for him recently as well as for [REDACTED]. He said that the fact that agents interviewed [REDACTED] on the Flamingo Hotel project, had been brought to the attention of [REDACTED] had, therefore, requested that [REDACTED] come to the Los Angeles Office and advise us that [REDACTED] was ready at any time to come into the office and answer any questions we might desire to ask of him. Mr. HOOD advised [REDACTED] that he did not know of any questions that we desired to put to [REDACTED] at this time, but stated that the case was assigned to me without, of course, disclosing to [REDACTED] that this matter was being handled as a special assignment.

I then interviewed [REDACTED] together with Mr. HOOD. I asked [REDACTED] exactly what his position was in this matter and he stated that, "You might say that I have been hired as public relations counsel to come to you and let you know what their attitude is". He said that [REDACTED] had convinced SIEGEL that the three of them should come into the F.B.I. and answer any questions that might be put to them. He said that they are willing to admit that at this point "they are whipped"; that they are unable to secure a loan anywhere on the Flamingo Hotel because all bankers are turning them down due to the C.P.A. and F.B.I. investigation. [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] had brought SIEGEL into the Flamingo Hotel deal because [REDACTED] did not know how to operate a gambling casino, but that SIEGEL had had

HANDLED BY
STOP DESK

57 SEP 24 1946

COPIES DESTROYED
44 NOV 20 1964

RECORDED & INDEXED
EX-117
303

162-81518-1
F B I
7 SEP 18 1946

August 28, 1946

considerable experience along this line. [redacted] realizes now that he made a bad bargain because of the character SIEGEL is and he wants to try to buy SIEGEL out. [redacted] said that SIEGEL is willing to take a 25% loss and sell his interest in the Hotel. If [redacted] cannot raise the money then he plans to sell out to SIEGEL.

In connection with the financial difficulties of
and SPEGEL

A. E. OSTROTHOFF
A. E. OSTROTHOFF

- 2 -

WASH 3 AND LOS ANGELES 2 FROM SFRA 9-13-46 10-20 AM
DIRECTOR AND SAC LOS ANGELES

BENJAMIN QUOTE BUGS UNQUOTE SIEGEL, WAS, MISC. INFO, CONCERN
CRIME SURVEY. [REDACTED] TELEPHONICAL

CONTACTED AT HIS HOME THIS MORNING. HE ADVISED THAT [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] APPOINTED BY COMMISSIONER [REDACTED]
GAVE HIS OPINION THAT FLAMINGO PROJECT WAS ONE BUILDING AND THAT
AT CONCLUSION OF HEARING YESTERDAY [REDACTED] DECIDED IN FAVOR OF
SIEGEL AND ASSOCIATES. [REDACTED] STATED THAT

[REDACTED] FOR
RESPONDENTS, HAD TESTIFIED AND INTRODUCED A NUMBER OF OTHER
PLANS AND THAT CPA HAD AN ARCHITECT [REDACTED] FOR
REBUTTAL. [REDACTED] FURTHER ADVISED THAT [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] AND [REDACTED] KNEW EACH
OTHER PERSONALLY. AT ABOUT FOUR P. M. YESTERDAY [REDACTED]
CALLED [REDACTED] IN LOS ANGELES AND TOLD HIM NOT TO LET [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] MAKE AN APPEARANCE AT TWO P. M. TODAY OR THEY WOULD ALL
QUOTE THROWN IN WITH THE CAN UNQUOTE. AT SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT
LAST NIGHT [REDACTED] AND SIEGEL MENTIONED [REDACTED]
THAT [REDACTED] COULD NOT REMEMBER ANYTHING AND DID NOT EVEN
MAKE NOTES AS A PERSON WITH A POOR MEMORY USUALLY DOES.

APPOINTMENT MADE TO MEET [REDACTED] AT CPA OFFICE AT TWO P. M.
THIS AFTERNOON. BUREAU AND LOS ANGELES WILL BE ADVISED OF
RESULTS OF INTERVIEW.

52 SEP 23 1946

END PLS ACK

RECEIVED-ROCK

SEP 23 1946
KIMBALL

RECORDED

INDEXED

SEP 18 1946

317

23

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

5 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☒ Deleted under exemption(s) b6, b7c, b7d with no segregable material available for release to you.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
- ☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):

☐ For your information: _____

- ☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

62-81518-171 per 6/20/65

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

MAIL COVERS

In accordance with Bureau authorization, 60 day mail covers were placed on the following addresses with the Post Office Department:

1. [REDACTED]
 2. VIRGINIA HILL
10814 Wilshire Boulevard
Los Angeles, California.
 3. All mail received at
721 North Doheny Drive
Los Angeles, California
- [REDACTED]

- P E N D I N G -

THE NEW YORK CITY FIELD DIVISION

At New York City, will identify and furnish information relative to [REDACTED] In this regard reference is made to the teletype to the Bureau, Boston, and New York Field Offices, dated August 13, 1946, in which information regarding [REDACTED] was set forth. b7c

Will furnish information available regarding MEYER LANSKY, telephone no. BRafalgar 7-2629, New York City. With reference to LANSKY, particular effort should be made to secure information concerning the shipment of one car of beer [REDACTED] at the Nevada Beverage Company, Las Vegas. It will be noted under the caption of the Flamingo Hotel, information is set forth concerning a contact had between BEN SIEGEL and LANSKY on August 9, 1946 when SIEGEL instructed LANSKY to send a car of beer [REDACTED] b7c

In connection with the case entitled "Pacific Coast Bank Sneak Cases; [REDACTED] with aliases, et.al., Bank Robbery, Larceny, Conspiracy, Harboring, N.S.P.A.," in which [REDACTED] is a subject, it is desired that your office give consideration to interviewing and developing [REDACTED] as an informant for information relative to the whereabouts of [REDACTED] b7c b7d

THE SAN FRANCISCO FIELD DIVISION

At San Francisco, California, will report the results of the investigation conducted by that office with reference to the subject's activities while there as well as the information developed regarding the hearings before the C.P.A.

THE LOS ANGELES FIELD DIVISION

At Los Angeles, California, this case is receiving constant attention and the daily activities of SIEGEL and his associates are being followed closely by a special squad at Los Angeles and Las Vegas, Nevada.

CONFIDENTIAL

b7F
DEA

The above are being carried as temporary confidential informants
inasmuch as they requested their names to be kept most confidential.

INDEX GUIDE

TITLE: BENJAMIN SIEGEL

CHARACTER: INFORMATION CONCERNING
MISCELLANEOUS: CRIME
SURVEY

S. A. [REDACTED]

b7c

DATE: 8-20-46

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 10/24/85 BY SP-7 mac/KOB

TABLE OF CONTENTS

NAMES:

PAGES:

ADONIS, JOE
ALDERMAN, WILLIE

23;
53,45;
8,58;
36;
36;
1,32,38,39;
38;
45;

41;
7;
7;
58;
7,8,20,23,28,29,58,60;
58;
1,58;
20,22;
22,23,28,29;
58;
6,17;
43;
20,58;
20,29,58;
54;
36;
42;
45;
51;
42;
57;
48;
15;

46;
5,6;

62-81518-171

b7c 28

NAMES:

[REDACTED]

PAGES:

46;
43;
43;
48;
53;
42,45;
37;
5,7;
33,34;
20;
35,45,46,50;
7;
46;
50;
45;
5,6,7,16,55;
47,48,49,56;
56;
6,9,16,46,57,59,60;
7,8;
8;
7,15;
48;
37;
7;
35;
1,32,39;
37;
7;
7;
53;
46;

46;
52,54;
49;
33;
42;
33;
39;
32;
42;
32,37,39;
1;
54;

b2
b7c
b7d

29

NAMES:

PAGES:

[REDACTED]

GREENBAUM, GUS

[REDACTED]

7;
43;
36,37;
36,37;
51,52,53,55;
54;
20;
47;
1,19,28,29,30,59;
39;
36,37;
49;
43;
40;
49;
1;
1;
47,48;
37;
61;
8;
22,23;
49;
49;
46;
49;
54;
49;
21;
21;
37;
37;
18;
58;
6,7,8,20,22,28,57,58;
41,43;
51;
32;
32;

16;
9,16;
43;
44;
37;
37;
16;
37;
37;

b7c

30

NAMES:

PAGES:

[REDACTED]

ROCK, VIRGINIA

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

LANSKY, MEYER

[REDACTED]

LUCIANO, LUCKY

LUCIANO, CHARLES

[REDACTED]

52;
54;
54;
52;
41;
54;
54;
54;
54;
52;
54;
54;
2, 41, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 66;
54;
54;
38;
49;
42;
54;
15;
6, 16, 21, 58;
46;
41;

2, 56;
41;
38;
16;
6;

63;
43;
43;
54;
57;
36;
60;
22, 25;

7, 42, 56, 59;
7;
44;
43;
33;
33;
41;
61;
61;
55;

b7c

31

NAMES:

PAGES:

[REDACTED]

41,42,43;
18;
7;
32;
41,54;
16,45;
54;
23,25;
50;
41;
41;
40;
40;1;
46;
42;
49;
37,38;
43;

[REDACTED]

53;
48;
55;
54;
54,55;

[REDACTED]

43;
43;
19,27,30;
32,1,38,39;
32,39;
43;

[REDACTED]

37;
38;
28;
28;
38;
44;

RAFT, GEORGE
RAGEN, JAMES M.

45,47;
56,59;
18,17;
17;
18;
53;
43;
37;

[REDACTED]

bx 22

NAMES:

PAGES:

[REDACTED]

38;
52;
45;
42;
57;
17;
4;
4;
5,15,17,47,57;
57;
60;
60;
48;
23;

[REDACTED]

21,25,58;
1;
1;
47;
4,5,6,7,8,16,20,21,22,58;
49;
49;
63;
60;
43;

SIEGEL, E.
SIEGEL, ESTHER

[REDACTED]

43;
63;
63;
63;
63;
63;
49;
57;
44,64;
44,45,54,64,66;
1;
64,1,44,45,46,63;
44,64;
32;
37;
40;
53;
65;
65;
63;
65;
65;
49,65,66;
46,65;
43;
65;

bx 33

NAMES:

PAGES:

[REDACTED]

37;
43;
43;
43;
43;
36,37;
36,37;
33;
37;
36,37;

[REDACTED]

49;
38;
47;
37;
42;

[REDACTED]

51;
52,53;
38;
38;
37;
37;

[REDACTED]

54;
8;
32;
33;
39;
8,9,15;
4,5,10;
43;
53;
46;
42;
29;
5;
4;
38;
38;
38;
21;
38,37;
37;
21,24;
39;

[REDACTED]

38;
21;

[REDACTED]

37;

bx 3

Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice
Los Angeles (13) California
September 4, 1946

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 12/3/85 BY SP-7 mac/bs

Director, FBI

Attention: ASSISTANT DIRECTOR ROSEN

Re: BENJAMIN "BUGS" SIEGEL
INFORMATION CONCERNING;
MISCELLANEOUS; CRIME SURVEY

Dear Sir:

I am transmitting herewith a portion of the transcript covering the hearing before C.P.A. Commissioner OWENS at San Francisco, California August 13 and 14, 1946. This portion covers only the testimony of FRED G. TADLOCK, Investigator of the Civilian Production Administration. These pages were copied from the original transcript by the Secretary of GORDON MC KENZIE, Legal Counsel of the C.P.A., who presented this matter before Commissioner OWENS. Mr. MC KENZIE stated that he would make available to us a complete transcript of the hearing, but since there were only three copies in existence and they were needed by the Civilian Production Administration until after the hearing of September 5th, he would in the meantime have his secretary type pertinent portions of the testimony. You will note that the testimony of TADLOCK represents practically the entire case of the C.P.A. in this matter. There are blue prints and plot plans referred to in this testimony which are not available at the present time. However, I am transmitting herewith a photostatic copy of the blue print which was submitted to BENDER of the C.P.A. Construction Division at Reno, Nevada by LOUIS WIENER on April 29, 1946 when WIENER first sought the authority of the C.P.A. to continue construction of the Flamingo Hotel. I have marked on this photostat in red pencil the letter "A" which designates the gambling casino and restaurant and with the letter "B" the hotel building. That is the designation which TADLOCK refers to in his testimony, although at many points in the testimony he refers to a plan of March 22, 1946; whereas, the plan transmitted herewith is a plan of January 12, 1946. Generally speaking, however, by referring to the January 12, 1946 plan you can secure an intelligent idea of the points in controversy.

I am also transmitting herewith a copy of Civilian Production Administration VHP-1 dated March 26, 1946 which is the order allegedly violated by SIEGEL and his associates in the construction of the Flamingo Hotel.

RECORDED & INDEXED

As further portions of the transcript before the C.P.A. Commissioner in San Francisco are received they will be transmitted to the Bureau.

EX-1

Very truly yours,

A. E. Ostholthoff
A. E. OSTHOLTHOFF



Encl. (3)

AMASD

62-81518-187

In the Matter of:

C O S Y

9

GUN GRABBAUM,
WESB CONSTRUCTION,
and
WILLIAM H. WILKINSON

(FRED G. TADLOCK)

1

2

3

4

5

6

FRED G. TADLOCK

7

a witness called by and on behalf of the Civilian Production

8

Administration, was examined and testified as follows:

9

DIRECT EXAMINATION

10

Q (By Mr. McKenzie) State your name, please.

11

A Fred G. Tadlock.

12

Q Spell your last name, please.

13

A T-A-D-L-O-C-K.

14

Q Your occupation, Mr. Tadlock?

15

A Investigator for the Civilian Production Administration.

16

Q How long have you been employed in that capacity?

17

A Approximately four years.

18

Q What area do you cover in the scope of your duties?

19

A I cover from approximately Central California south, and

20

part of Nevada and Arizona.

21

Q Now, are you familiar with the project in Nevada, known as

22

the Flamingo Hotel Project?

23

A Yes, sir.

24

Q Where is that located, Mr. Tadlock?

25

A It is located on the highway leading toward Los Angeles,

26

approximately 6 miles toward Los Angeles.

62-81578-187

In the Matter of:

Q. O. L. Y.

10

GUY G. L. B. U. M.,
WEBB CONSTRUCTION,
and
WILLIAM H. HARRINGTON

(PAGE 8. T. EL. CX)

1 Q When were you there?

2 A I was first there on the 16th day of April, 1946.

3 Q Did anyone accompany you?

4 A Yes; Mr. A. M. Value.

5 Q What is his occupation?

6 A The same as my own.

7 Q Who did you see upon the occasion of that visit, Mr.

8 Tedlock?

9 A We saw Mr. Harrington, who represented himself as the Office
10 Manager, in charge of the office of the Webb Construction Company,
11 on the location of the project.

12 Q What was the purpose of your visit on this occasion?

13 A To determine if any construction was being carried on in
14 violation of Order VHP-1.

15 Q Just where did you see Mr. Harrington?

16 A He was in a little office which they referred to as the
17 field construction office on the right hand side of the project
18 as you drive in off of the highway.

19 Q What was the subject of the conversation with Mr. Harrington
20 at that time?

21 A He noticed when we drove in there that there appeared to be
22 two separate and distinct buildings going up. The one closest
23 to the highway had progressed to the extent that they were just
24 about getting ready to start up with the steel construction for
25 the walls.

26 The second project, which was beyond that, we found to have

Q. Now, when you saw Mr. Warrington, did you see him at the same time as you saw the other two men?

A. Yes, I did.

Q.

A. Yes. That particular portion, as I said, was shown to me by the man who was in charge of the project, where the office was located. He pointed to this particular little construction office and said that Mr. Warrington, the manager, could be found over there.

Q. Now, just a minute. He showed you the plans, and who did you discuss that with?

A. With Mr. Warrington.

Q. Now, I would like to interject here an objection, Mr. Owens, on the ground that these answers are not specific enough to permit of proper cross examination. For instance, he hasn't identified, in the first place, the relationship between Mr. Warrington and either one of these respondents, other than he is a field officer.

A. I would like to interject one or two questions to develop that information on Mr. Warrington, that is, as to who he was and what he was doing on the premises, and what relation he had to any one of these respondents, if I may.

Q. Mr. McKenzie: It is my understanding he did mention that, but he could repeat it.

Q. (By Mr. McKenzie) Do you know what capacity Mr. Warrington was in there?

A. Yes, this woman when we first drove in there to find out where the contractor was, we asked him who was in charge of the project, where the office was located. He pointed to this particular little construction office and said that Mr. Warrington, the manager, could be found over there.

Q. We went in and asked for Mr. Warrington, and this gentleman answered to his name. We asked him who he was. He stated he

1 was the officer who gave me the letter from the company.

2 Q I will like to develop that point. Didn't
3 he tell you his name was Harrington and he was the foreman in
4 the premises?

5 THE WITNESS: No.

6 MR. WHITE: He didn't tell you that?

7 THE WITNESS: No.

8 MR. WHITE: You are sure his name was Harrington?

9 THE COMMISSIONER: I suggest you reserve that for cross
10 examination.

11 MR. WHITE: I just wanted to develop that.

12 THE COMMISSIONER: Proceed.

13 A (Continuing) From my notes -- I might be pronouncing the
14 name wrong but I can give you the way the gentleman told us he
15 spelled his name and pronounced it. I might be pronouncing it
16 wrong.

17 Q (By Mr. McKenzie) What was the conversation with Mr.
18 Harrington that you haven't stated so far?

19 A We asked Mr. Harrington what they were constructing. He
20 explained to us that at that time that as far as the Lebb
21 Construction Company were concerned they were constructing the
22 main hotel building of the project.

23 He pointed to the project nearest the highway and stated,
24 "That is being constructed by the Halston Construction Company
25 of Los Angeles, and we have nothing to do with that." Then he
26 said, "That particular part of the project is to house the

FRA. TILDER)

1 dining room, and the service kitchen."

2 He went on to explain that the position of the project which
3 the Webb Construction Company was engaged in constructing was
4 to be the main hotel building.

5 He asked him to see the plans of the project, and he asked
6 us what plans we wished to see. He told him we would like to
7 see the plans that would show us what the project would look
8 like when completed.

9 At that time he said, "This is the plan then you want to
10 see," and he pointed to a particular plan nailed on the wall of
11 the construction office.

12 Q I show you a piece of paper here that has the notation,
13 "Hotel Building, Las Vegas, Nevada, Richard Stadelman, Archi-
14 tect, Las Vegas, Nevada," and I will ask you if you have ever
15 seen that before.

16 A (Examining plan) Yes. This is either the plan that was on
17 the wall, or an exact duplicate of it.

18 Q That was indicated to you by Mr. Warrington on this date?

19 A That is correct.

20 Q Mr. Warrington then pointed out —

21 MR. MCKINIE: (Interposing) Just a minute. The Government
22 desires to introduce that in evidence as next exhibit in order.

23 MR. BAUTLER: No objection.

24 THE COMMISSIONER: It is received as Exhibit No. 3.

25 (The plan referred to was received
26 in evidence and marked Exhibit
No. 3.)

H

Page 1, T 11 (A)

1 Q (E. J. [redacted] that police [redacted] to that [redacted], R.
2 [redacted]

3 A Mr. [redacted] Mr. [redacted] I spent [redacted] time
4 going over the [redacted], with Mr. [redacted] pointing out the
5 different buildings and locations, and he pointed out that the
6 building next to the highway was the kitchen, casino, or the
7 dining room, casino and service quarters, and was a project
8 which was being constructed by the [redacted] Construction
9 Company.

10 Q Could you indicate that portion that you are talking about
11 for the benefit of the Commissioner and myself?

12 A Yes. It is the building shown on this plan (indicating)
13 as Building "A", the "A" being in red and made by a red pencil.

14 He then went ahead and, on the map, pointed out the building
15 which is marked on this map as Building "B", and stated, "This
16 is the project which the Webb Construction Company is construct-
17 ing."

18 Running at somewhat of an angle from the hotel entrance here
19 (indicating) are outlines of other buildings, and when I asked
20 what those particular buildings were, which are represented on
21 this map as "C" and "C-1", he stated those were the stores and
22 shops.

23 There is another outline toward the center of the project
24 which he pointed out, stating that was to be the swimming pool.

25 Then the ten outlines of buildings at the extreme rear of
26 the project, he stated those were to be cottages, which were to

(Page 11 of 12)

1 be constructed later.

2 Q Now, those red lines on the exhibit, they are those there?

3 A The red lines (in the plan) in heavy red pencil were put on
4 there by myself.

5 There are some other orange colored markings on the right
6 hand side of the map here that were on there. One of them states,
7 "70# on fire main" and some crosses marked in orange pencil
8 which were on the map at the time we secured it.

9 Q Calling your attention to the area that is indicated by
10 the red letter "B" on the map, did you have occasion to examine
11 that area?

12 A Yes. After going over the map itself with Mr. Warrington,
13 we stated we would like to go over the project with him. The
14 three of us walked out of the door of the office and Mr.
15 Warrington stated, "Well, we might as well start at this end,"
16 pointing to the end of the project closest to the highway.
17 Q Will you indicate that on the map?

18 A That would be this end (indicating) up here on the left.

19 Q You may continue.

20 A We went up there and walked down in the excavation, and
21 when we got down there we asked Mr. Warrington where the first
22 part of the construction started relative to the use of
23 materials, and he pointed to a rather large footing and stated
24 that was the first footing they put in, that it was more or less
25 their test footing, as they had to make numerous soil tests to
26 determine the type of footing they would use in that particular

1 portion of the building.

2 From there we went right down in the excavation, clear
3 to the other end of the building, and in various places in the
4 bottom of the excavation there were footings and foundations,
5 and some of them had been just freshly poured with concrete, and
6 others were in the process of curing concrete, and some of them
7 had only the reinforcing steel in them.

8 We continued from the point of entrance at one end of the
9 excavation clear to the other end, and then from there we left
10 the excavation and got back on the ground again, and then walked
11 around the buildings again on the outside.

12 Mr. Warrington then pointed out to us where they expected to
13 put the swimming pool, and the shops, stores, and so forth.

14 MR. BARTER: One question there. If we can get these direc-
15 tions as they started out, I think it will make it clearer.

16 Designating the first place that you started, that would be
17 the northerly end of the west portion of the building?

18 THE WITNESS: Quite frankly, I am turned around over in Las
19 Vegas.

20 MR. BARTER: It would be the area represented by the red
21 letter "B", would it not?

22 THE WITNESS: I will have to turn this map around. The way
23 that curve appears to me over there -- (indicating) this is the
24 highway.

25 THE COMMISSIONER: The bottom of the map, you are indicating?

26 THE WITNESS: Correct. This structure "A" is the one

1 closest to the highway and is the Halston Construction Company
2 construction.

3 Then the other portion of the building lays, to my mind,
4 over here (indicating) and, to my sense of direction, is south
5 of this project, but it is not due south.

6 MR. WIENER: The portion of the building designated "A"
7 would be the westerly portion of the building, as we consider
8 it.

9 THE WITNESS: That is the one next to the highway. The part
10 started by Halston.

11 MR. WIENER: Correct.

12 THE WITNESS: That is north, to me, over there.

13 MR. WIENER: The portion of the building designated as "B"
14 would be the easterly portion of the building.

15 THE WITNESS: This end over here (indicating) is where we
16 started to examine the excavation. If you can tell me what
17 direction --

18 MR. WIENER: (Interposing) That would be the portion of
19 the building known as Portion "B", is that correct?

20 THE WITNESS: Yes.

21 MR. WIENER: That would be the northerly end of the building
22 going this way. It would be a southerly direction. That is
23 the way we designate that up there.

24 THE COMMISSIONER: You described the portion marked "B"?

25 THE WITNESS: That is correct.

26 MR. WIENER: You started in the northerly portion of the --

15

1 The H.M.B.: (interrupting) He started in this end in di-
2 recting, as here.

3 Q. Then: Did you find any more of, you said that a
4 portion of the wall in between at "A" in your map?

5 A.M.H.M.B.: That is correct.

6 The three of us then walked over the project, and Mr.
7 Harrington pointed out that the stores and shops had not been
8 started, ground hadn't been broken for those yet, and neither
9 had ground been broken for the cottages in the rear of the
10 project.

11 Q. (Ey. Mr. Schenck) During the course of your examination
12 did you observe any tunnels connecting these two projects?

13 A. No. On April 16, when we made this inspection, there were
14 no connections of any type between Building "A" and Building
15 "B".

16 Q. Did you see any pipes or any other connections between
17 those two buildings?

18 A. No. After we finished our inspection we went back in the
19 construction office and I asked Mr. Harrington when they incor-
20 porated the first materials, which would be a permanent part
21 of the building.

22 Mr. Harrington referred again to that main footing that I
23 described previously and stated, "That is the first part of the
24 structure started," and he said, "We put in steel there last
25 Wednesday or Thursday," which would have made it April 10 or
26 April 11.

1 I pressed him for the date, whether it was Wednesday or
2 Thursday, and he finally stated, "I will tell you this: it was
3 not before Wednesday, and it was not after Thursday." In other
4 words, it was not prior to April 10 or subsequent to April 11

5 when they put in the first steel and concrete in the excavation.

6 Q You referred to Mr. Harrington's office. Where is that
7 located on this map?

8 A It is located in one of these buildings (indicating) over on
9 the extreme right of the print here.

10 Q That would be the westerly section, I believe, as we have
11 discussed it?

12 A Yes, I think so.

13 Q How long a period of time did you spend examining this
14 property, Mr. Tadlock?

15 A I judge we were there between two and three hours on that
16 particular date.

17 Q Was Mr. Value with you all the time?

18 A Yes.

19 Q Was anyone else there?

20 A There were various workmen on the project. There was no
21 one with us, as far as our conversation was concerned.

22 Q Did you ever see that project again?

23 A Yes.

24 Q When was that?

25 A Well, we inspected the project again on July 3, 1946.

26 Q Who was with you on that occasion?

1 A Mr. Value.

2 Q How did you see on the project?

3 A At that time we saw Mr. Varrington again, and also Mr.
4 Thomas, who Mr. Varrington introduced to us as General Superin-
5 tendent for the Webb Construction Company.

6 Q Did Mr. Thomas identify himself to you as the superintendent
7 of the Webb Construction Company?

8 A Yes.

9 Q Now, at that time did you see any plans, were you shown any
10 plans?

11 A Yes. We noted, when we went into this same construction
12 office, that this plan (indicating) or a duplicate of it was
13 not on the wall. In other words, Mr. Varrington turned us over
14 to Mr. Thomas. We told Mr. Thomas we would like to see the
15 plans of the building, how it was progressing, and so forth.

16 Q Mr. Thomas produced another print, similar to the one that
17 we had seen on our first visit, except the stores and shops had
18 been moved from one location to another.

19 Q I show you this document entitled, down in the lower right
20 hand corner, "Plot Plan. Hotel for Mr. A. W. Wilkerson & Asso-
21 ciates, Las Vegas, Nevada," and bearing the date of 3-22-46.
22 I show you this and ask if you have seen it before.

23 A Yes. Mr. Thomas produced this plan for us, and when we
24 called his attention to the fact that it was not the same plan
25 that we had seen on April 16, he stated he knew it; since our
26 visit there on April 16 they had changed the project somewhat

1 The first plan was a general plan of the
2 area, showing the location of the buildings and the
3 location of the main entrance to the area.
4 The second plan was a detailed plan of the
5 area, showing the location of the buildings and the
6 location of the main entrance to the area.

7 The third plan was a detailed plan of the
8 area, showing the location of the buildings and the
9 location of the main entrance to the area.

10 The fourth plan was a detailed plan of the
11 area, showing the location of the buildings and the
12 location of the main entrance to the area.

13 The fifth plan was a detailed plan of the
14 area, showing the location of the buildings and the
15 location of the main entrance to the area.

16 The sixth plan was a detailed plan of the
17 area, showing the location of the buildings and the
18 location of the main entrance to the area.

19 The seventh plan was a detailed plan of the
20 area, showing the location of the buildings and the
21 location of the main entrance to the area.

22 The eighth plan was a detailed plan of the
23 area, showing the location of the buildings and the
24 location of the main entrance to the area.

25 The ninth plan was a detailed plan of the
26 area, showing the location of the buildings and the
27 location of the main entrance to the area.

28 The tenth plan was a detailed plan of the
29 area, showing the location of the buildings and the
30 location of the main entrance to the area.
31 The eleventh plan was a detailed plan of the
32 area, showing the location of the buildings and the
33 location of the main entrance to the area.
34 The twelfth plan was a detailed plan of the
35 area, showing the location of the buildings and the
36 location of the main entrance to the area.
37 The thirteenth plan was a detailed plan of the
38 area, showing the location of the buildings and the
39 location of the main entrance to the area.
40 The fourteenth plan was a detailed plan of the
41 area, showing the location of the buildings and the
42 location of the main entrance to the area.
43 The fifteenth plan was a detailed plan of the
44 area, showing the location of the buildings and the
45 location of the main entrance to the area.
46 The sixteenth plan was a detailed plan of the
47 area, showing the location of the buildings and the
48 location of the main entrance to the area.
49 The seventeenth plan was a detailed plan of the
50 area, showing the location of the buildings and the
51 location of the main entrance to the area.
52 The eighteenth plan was a detailed plan of the
53 area, showing the location of the buildings and the
54 location of the main entrance to the area.
55 The nineteenth plan was a detailed plan of the
56 area, showing the location of the buildings and the
57 location of the main entrance to the area.
58 The twentieth plan was a detailed plan of the
59 area, showing the location of the buildings and the
60 location of the main entrance to the area.

1 ... the location of the Plan 1, of
2 "AN", "B", "C", the stores on the first floor

3 ... the location of the stores.

4 ... the location of the stores, the location of the

5 ... the location of the stores; etc.

6 In our conversation with Mr. Thomas he pointed out, just in
7 generalities, with respect to the plan being shown to us, how they
8 proposed to build these stores and shops between these two
9 buildings; pointed out the parking lot area, and where the
10 cottages and so forth were to go.

11 We then noticed, in moving these stores and shops from the
12 one location to another, that the shops did not become a portion
13 of any building, of either Building "A" or Building "B"

14 We questioned him quite extensively relative to the space
15 between Buildings "A", "B", "C", and "C-1", and Mr. Thomas
16 produced an architect's rule. We asked him how wide the space
17 was. He produced this rule and measured it and stated the plan
18 was drawn to a scale of 50 feet to an inch, and on that basis

19 there was a space of approximately 12 1/2 feet between Buildings
20 "B", "C" and "C-1", and between Buildings "A", "C" and "C-1".

21 (P. Mr. McKenzie) Would you indicate those spaces for the
22 benefit of all present?

23 A Yes. This Building "C" and "C-1" on this plan, the stores
24 and shops that were formerly in the center, they have now been
25 moved out here. (Indicating) The space referred to as this
26 space in here between Building "B", "C" and "C-1", and the

1 space between "C" and "C-1", and "C-1" is
 2 "C-1" and "C-1" is "C-1" and "C-1" is
 3 the "C-1" and "C-1" is "C-1" and "C-1" is
 4 "C-1" and "C-1" is "C-1" and "C-1" is
 5 "C-1" and "C-1" is "C-1" and "C-1" is
 6 connects to the rear of the last building of "C" and "C-1" and
 7 up into the end of building "A".

8 He mentioned Mr. Thomas about that and asked him what type
 9 of construction was going to be between Building "A" and the
 10 group of buildings labeled as "C" and "C-1". He stated they
 11 were going to put an obstruction there of some kind -- he
 12 didn't then know what it was that they didn't want people running
 13 back and forth through there; that they would put a gate of
 14 some type in there, and they might or might not cover it with
 15 a canopy. In other words, it was to be a passageway which could
 16 be locked, excluding people from passing through if they so
 17 desired. But there is no connection of any type between
 18 Building "E", the main hotel building, and this group of
 19 buildings (indicating), and Mr. Thomas stated that there was no
 20 connection of any type contemplated between that passageway.

21 MR. BROTHMAN: No connection between what -- Give the
 22 designations.

23 LAF WITNESS: Between building "A" and the group of build-
 24 ings labeled as "C" and "C-1".

25 MR. BROTHMAN: Thank you.

26 A (Continuing) The map does not show any connection there.

1 "However, I am not sure if it is the same building as the one
2 the "A" building was built on. I am not sure if it is the same
3 building as the one that was built on the site of the building
4 there.

5 In addition, I am not sure if it is the same building as the one
6 the "A" building was built on. I am not sure if it is the same
7 building as the one that was built on the site of the building
8 that Mr. Nelson was no longer the contractor for the building
9 shown as Building "A"; that the West Construction Company had
10 paid off the Nelson Construction Company and were now the
11 contractors, or the construction company, for the entire project.

12 We then asked if he could tell us the date when they started
13 on Building "A".

14 He stated, "Yes, I can tell you the exact date we started
15 and I can tell you also what work was performed on each and
16 every day, even to the temperature and the type of weather it
17 was."

18 He then handed us a book, "I will show you these records,
19 which are on the project sheets, and if you will look on
20 April you will notice I have a notation there that investi-
21 gators from the Civilian Production Administration called on
22 us."

23 We got these records for us, and they started back at
24 the time that was involved in the job, and continued, showing
25 brief work done each and every day.

26 I have these records here to refer to on that.

Q Now, I am going to ask you to go back to the portion you saw in the notes, "On April 10th, I believe it was, where there was a notation, 'Some reinforcing steel arrived from the Ceca Steel Company, Los Angeles.'"

A They arrived on the project there, according to the notes, I believe in the middle of April. I think it was about the 10th if they said construction was started. A day or two after that it referred to the fact that Butler people were up, and the latter records continued on to the date of April 11th, I believe it was, where there was a notation, "Some reinforcing steel arrived from the Ceca Steel Company, Los Angeles."

THE WITNESS: Spell the name of that company, please.

THE WITNESS: If I may refer to some notes I can give you the exact spelling of it.

Mr. BAUTMAN: C-c-c-o.

Mr. McKENZIE: C-c-c-o.

A (Continuing) That is correct -- the Ceca, C-c-c-o, Steel Company. And, further, "On April 11th, I believe it was, poured some concrete for footings". It continued on describing the various types of construction that had taken place on the different dates.

This reference to reinforcing steel used on April 10th and concrete being poured on April 11th was the first notation that was made of any materials having been used in the building.

A (By Mr. McKenzie) No, on this occasion of your second visit, could you describe the state of construction as compared with your first visit?

1 A Yes. On our first visit there was just the excavation
2 done, this one footing, rather large footing completed, and some
3 of the other footings in the various stages of construction.
4 At that time there was no construction above ground at all.

5 Thereafter, on July 3, they were up with construction, up to
6 the first story and appeared to be they might have been starting
7 the second and third story, that is, putting up the reinforcing
8 steel for the second and third story.

9 The second and third story part of that building, according
10 to Mr. Warrington and Mr. Thomas, part of that building was to
11 be one story, part two stories, and part three stories.

12 Q Now, calling your attention to the portion you have desig-
13 nated as "C" and "C-1" on the maps that are in evidence, did
14 you observe any construction on both premises at any time at
15 all?

16 A No, there was no construction at any time we visited the
17 project on Building "C", or "C-1", and on July 3 there was no
18 construction on any of the cottages. The ground had not been
19 broken for any of those.

20 MR. WHITE: Do I understand you only made two visits?

21 MR. McKENZIE: We will come to that.

22 A (Continuing) On April 16 and on July 3, construction had
23 not started on Building "X" and "C-1", or on any of the cottages
24 to the rear of the project.

25 Q (By Mr. McKenzie) Now, did you talk with Mr. Stadelman on
26 this date?

1 A Yes. Immediately after talking to Mr. Thomas at the site of
2 the construction, we left there and went down and saw Mr.
3 Stadelman at his office down in the town of Las Vegas

4 Q Who was with you on that occasion?

5 A Mr. Valne.

6 Q Did you have a discussion with Mr. Stadelman relative to
7 this construction project?

8 A Yes. We were interested, then, after having found one
9 change in the plans, in determining if there were any other
10 changes, so we went to Mr. Stadelman and asked him to see a copy
11 of all plans that had been drawn in connection with the project.

12 THE COMMISSIONER: Who is Mr. Stadelman?

13 THE WITNESS: The architect. Mr. Stadelman said, "I will
14 have to dig them out for you. I just recently moved into this
15 present location and I haven't got them out and in order yet."

16 So he went and produced quite a number of plans, and we made
17 him acquainted with the two plans that Mr. Thomas had given us.

18 Then Mr. Stadelman stated, "Well, the only change that has
19 been made since the start of the project is the fact that we
20 have moved these stores and shops from the center of the location
21 over to the side," and on his pencilled drawing, which I presume
22 they made the prints from, he showed us there where he had erased
23 the outline of the buildings in the center, and had transferred
24 them over to between the two buildings. He stated, however,
25 "This plan is in the process at this time of being changed, as
26 we have discontinued the idea of building the stores and shops

1 on the cottages. I will have the plan put in the next few
2 days and if you want it I will mail you one of the new
3 plans."

4 He asked him then if he would make the notations on the
5 last plan that they had, if he would make a notation of the
6 changes they contemplated making, and in that way it would save him
7 the trouble of sending the new plan.

8 Q Did he make any notations at that time?

9 A Yes, he made notations relative to not constructing Building
10 "C" and Building "C-1" and the cottages at the present time.

11 MR. BAUTLER: There is one thing that might be important
12 here. I note that one of the notations here, Mr. Stadelman's
13 notations, states, "These shops are temporarily held up."

14 I believe you stated that --

15 THE WITNESS: (Interposing) Yes, I did.

16 MR. BAUTLER: (Continuing) -- that he stated that they were
17 going to abandon them.

18 THE WITNESS: As near as I can recall his exact words, he
19 said, "I am in the process now of drawing a new plan which will
20 eliminate the stores, shops and cottages."

21 MR. BAUTLER: And you asked him to state in writing here
22 the changes that were contemplated?

23 THE WITNESS: Yes. I asked if he would show what they con-
24 templated, the manner in which they contemplated making the
25 change, in order that we would have a map that was up to date,
26 or a print, rather, that was up to date. He didn't question

1 the retention of the property of the Government.

2 THE COMMISSIONER: (Interposing) I think that is all right, isn't it,
3 is that correct?

4 THE WITNESS: Correct.

5 Q (By Mr. Tolson): How long did you remain on the project
6 at that time?

7 A I was out there, I believe, an hour and a half or two
8 hours.

9 Q Have you ever returned to the project since that date?

10 A Yes.

11 MR. McLELLIN: Withdraw that question.

12 Q (By Mr. Tolson): Calling your attention again to your
13 visit of July 3, and your conversation with Mr. Thomas, did you
14 have any discussion relative to the Civilian Production Adminis-
15 tration?

16 A Mr. Thomas told us at that time they had been pretty worried
17 for fear they would be stopped and --

18 MR. BAUTLER: (Interposing) I think that is extraneous
19 as to whether or not they were worried. The statement here, we
20 haven't any tieup showing any agency, but we let it come in.
21 I don't think that statement is proper in a proceeding like
22 this. We have no objection to what he saw, what was told him
23 as to the facts, but not his state of mind concerning the
24 Civilian Production Administration. He can testify to what he
25 saw, what anyone told him about the proposed plans.

26 THE COMMISSIONER: I am very liberal in letting this in.

1 I will give it what weight I think it is worth.

2 You may proceed.

3 A (Continuing) He stated that at the start they were quite
4 worried for fear they would be stopped, but by this time they
5 thought they had everything straightened out, and that they had
6 materials and men out there, and they were all very happy.

7 Q (By Mr. McKenzie) Now, what was the date of your next
8 visit?

9 A It was a week ago last Monday. That would have been--

10 MR. WHITE: (Interposing) August 5th?

11 A (Continuing) Yes; August 5th.

12 Q (By Mr. McKenzie) Was Mr. Value with you at that time?

13 A Yes. We were by there and in front of the project, on the
14 morning of August 5 and in the afternoon of August 5.

15 Q Now, what did you observe on this occasion?

16 A We observed that construction was continuing on both Build-
17 ings "A" and "B" and, in addition, a new building had been
18 started subsequent to July 3 at the -- I don't know whether I
19 have my directions straight -- but on the side of the project
20 toward Los Angeles there was a building towards the rear of the
21 project, and on the Los Angeles side, which had been started and
22 from the highway, it appeared to be some 50 or 60 feet long and
23 probably 30 or 40 feet wide. At this time the studding was up
24 for the walls and ceiling joints had been put in place.

25 THE COMMISSIONER: Approximately back of what building
26 designated was that?

1 THE WITNESS: Well, I will show you on the map, Mr.
2 Commissioner. It was up in this portion (indicating) of the
3 project.

4 THE COMMISSIONER: To the right of the building designated
5 as "E"?

6 THE WITNESS: That is correct. It was right out here
7 (indicating).

8 Q (By Mr. McKennie) Had you noticed this building before?

9 A No. We were there on July 3 and the building was not there
10 because we drove out back all around in here (indicating) at the
11 time we went into the project.

12 Q Now, at any time after your first visit on April 16 did you
13 check the area you have designated as "E" for tunnels or other
14 connections with the area you have designated as "A"?

15 A On April 16, of course, we went down in the project itself.
16 On that date there were no tunnels, pipes, utility connections,
17 or any other type of connection, going in or leading from
18 Building "E".

19 On July 3, when we were on the project, when construction
20 was going on, we were not in any portion of Building "E".
21 However, we were entirely around it and there were no visible
22 signs of any tunnels, pipe lines, or anything of a like nature.

23 I can say definitely on April 16 there were no such connec-
24 tions because at that time we could see by being down in the
25 project itself.

26 Q Who did you see on August 5 when you arrived there?

1 A He didn't converse with anyone on that date.

2 Q How long did you remain on the site of the project?

3 A Except being out right on the edge of the highway, we
4 didn't drive back on the project on that date.

5 Q Was there any construction on the site that you have indi-
6 cated as "C" and "D"?

7 A No; at least none was visible on this last trip.

8 Mr. McEWEN: No further direct examination.

9 CROSS EXAMINATION

10 Q (By Mr. Bantner) Mr. Tadlock, with respect to this last
11 visit on August 5, you stated that there were no visible
12 tunnels or other appurtenances connecting one portion of the
13 building, which you designated as "B" to the other portion of
14 the building which you designated as "A". Did you make any
15 inquiry as to whether or not such tunnels existed since you
16 didn't see them?

17 A On August 5 th we didn't converse with anybody on the
18 project at all.

19 Q Did you make any inquiry upon July 5, when you didn't see
20 any of the tunnels?

21 A No.

22 Q You didn't inquire?

23 A No.

24 Q Did you make any request of anyone on the property, the
25 General Superintendent, the Architect or anyone else, for a
26 mechanical plan?

1 I was not at all concerned with building "A" because very
2 obviously, building "A" had been started quite some time prior
3 to March 16. I was not concerned with building "A".
4 Q I did not ask you about that. I asked you if you were not
5 aware that the portion of this building which you have desig-
6 nated as "A" had to be a service for all of its utilities,
7 air conditioning, water, heat, from some other portion of the
8 building.

9 A No, I wasn't aware of it because I didn't investigate
10 Building "A". Had I investigated through Mr. Holston, I could
11 tell you more about Building "A", but I was not interested in
12 anything that was in Building "A".

13 Q You were interested, were you not, in finding whether or
14 not there was any connection between the portion of the building
15 which you have designated as "B" and the portion of the building
16 you designated as "A"?

17 A That is correct. On April 16 there were no such connections.

18 Q You were interested, were you not, in finding whether or
19 not there was any connection between the portion of the building
20 which you have designated as "B" and the portion of the building
21 you designated as "A"?

22 A That is correct. On April 16 there were no such connections.

23 Q You were interested, were you not, in finding whether or
24 not there was any connection between the portion of the building
25 which you have designated as "B" and the portion of the building
26 you designated as "A"?

A. I was not at all concerned with building "A" because very obviously, building "A" had been started quite some time prior to March 16. I was not concerned with building "A".

Q -- I didn't ask you about that. I asked you if you were not aware that the portion of this building which you have designated as "A" had to be a service for all of its utilities, air conditioning, water, heat, from some other portion of the building.

A No, I wasn't aware of it because I didn't investigate Building "A". Had I investigated through Mr. Holston, I could tell you more about Building "A", but I was not interested in anything that was in Building "A".

Q You were interested, were you not, in finding whether or not there was any connection between the portion of the building which you have designated as "D" and the portion of the building you designated as "A"?

A That is correct. On April 16 there were no such connections.

1 the fact that the building is a structure of steel and
2 reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
3 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
4 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
5 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
6 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
7 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
8 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
9 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
10 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
11 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
12 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
13 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
14 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
15 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
16 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
17 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
18 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
19 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
20 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
21 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
22 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
23 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
24 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
25 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
26 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
27 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
28 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
29 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
30 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
31 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
32 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
33 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
34 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
35 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
36 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
37 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
38 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
39 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
40 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
41 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
42 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
43 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
44 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
45 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
46 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
47 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
48 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
49 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
50 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
51 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
52 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
53 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
54 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
55 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
56 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
57 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
58 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
59 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
60 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
61 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
62 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
63 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
64 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
65 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
66 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
67 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
68 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
69 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
70 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
71 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
72 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
73 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
74 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
75 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
76 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
77 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
78 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
79 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
80 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
81 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
82 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
83 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
84 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
85 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
86 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
87 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
88 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
89 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
90 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
91 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
92 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
93 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
94 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
95 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
96 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
97 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
98 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
99 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of
100 steel and reinforced concrete, and that the building is a structure of

11, you have testified that nothing except statements that
2 were made by the respondent, Mr. Tadlock, in the whole
3 basis of your testimony.

4 A I might add --

5 Mr. Wadsworth (interposing) I object to that question,
6 or statement, by counsel.

7 Mr. B. T. H.: That is what we have had from the witness.
8 statements made by the respondent. This is certainly consist-
9 ent with his direct examination.

10 THE WITNESS: I would be glad to answer that question.

11 Q (By Mr. Baurier) I will put it this way to you: You are
12 aware at the present time, are you not, Mr. Tadlock, that in
13 so far as this building is concerned, the portion which you
14 have designated as "B" and the portion which you have designated
15 as "A", are to be connected in so far as tunnels are concerned,
16 one portion of the building designated as "B" connecting to one
17 portion designated as "A" -- Are you aware of that at the
18 present time?

19 A The only way I am aware of that is through a letter that one
20 of your attorneys wrote. I wasn't made aware of that by either
21 Stadelman, Thomas or Harrington.

1 Q You were aware that that letter was written on April 29 to
2 the Civilian Production Administration?

3 A That is right.

4 Q Is that correct?

5 A That is correct.

6 Q You were aware the letter was written on April 29. After

7 you became aware of the contents of that letter, which set
8 forth the connections, the tunnels, the use of facilities from
9 one part of the building to another part of the building, did
10 you then, upon either of your subsequent visits through the
11 property, make any inquiry in that respect?

12 A I wasn't particularly interested, on April 29, if the con-
13 nections were made then because they were not made on March 26,
14 at which time this Order went into effect. From your line of
15 reasoning there, in six months from now, you might have a
16 string of buildings down here for four miles.

17 Q We have got to start to build some place, and we have got
18 to house the facilities some place in a portion of the building.

19 I asked only this question: If, after you were aware of the
20 contents of that letter of April 29, if subsequent to that, upon
21 either of your investigations, you asked any of the people
22 associated with the project whether or not there were plans,
23 mechanical plot plans, or otherwise showing the connections.

24 A I wasn't interested because on April --

25 Q (Interposing) Can't you tell me -- Did you make any inquiry
26 or not? That is the question.

1 A I didn't have to.

2 Q I just want to know if you were up in air.

3 A No, I told you I didn't, because they didn't come on
4 April 19.

5 THE COMMISSIONER: I think in your questions and answers if
6 you avoid becoming argumentative we will save time.

7 Q (By Mr. Baughner) You had a discussion about the contents
8 of the letter of April 19, did you not, which was addressed to
9 the CPA?

10 A Not with anyone except members of our own organization.

11 Q That is what I mean. And you made your two investigations,
12 the one of July 3 and the one of August 5, following discussions
13 concerning the contents of that letter which was sent to the
14 CPA, is that correct?

15 A No, not as far as I am concerned. I merely received instruc-
16 tions from my immediate superior to obtain certain facts. We
17 are merely a fact-finding agency. What discussion may have gone
18 on between our bosses I do not know.

19 Q Did you notice the type of construction in that part of the
20 building designated by you as "B" when you made your second
21 investigation?

22 A Yes. At that time the only type of material you had incor-
23 ported in it was steel and concrete, together with the
24 necessary lumber that goes in that type of a building.

25 Q That type of construction was essentially different from
26 the type of construction in the other part of the building

66

1 which you designated "A", was it not?

2 A You are using, basically the same material. In other
3 words, you are using lumber, concrete and steel.

4 Q Did you, at any of the times that you made your investiga-
5 tions, ask for, or see any plot plans which showed the utility
6 setup insofar as the building was concerned?

7 A I believe that my answer to a previous question would cover
8 that. The first time we made the investigation, the first time
9 we made the contact, we asked Mr. Harrington to show us a plan
10 which would represent the building when completed. On our
11 second trip the same question was asked of both Mr. Thomas and
12 Mr. Stadelman.

13 Q When you had your conversation with Mr. Stadelman, he in-
14 formed you of the moving -- This is the investigation, I think,
15 on July 3 -- which was the time, was it not, that Mr. Stadelman
16 informed you of the moving of the stores and the shops from the
17 original plan, Exhibit 3, set forth there?

18 A That is correct.

19 Q That is, to the place where they are shown up on Exhibit 4?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Did he tell why they were going to be moved?

22 A No, he did not.

23 Q Did you ask him?

24 A I didn't ask him particularly. Mr. Thomas said they thought
25 it would make a better looking building out of it.

26 Q When you asked him to make these notations which you

1 ...to have made pursuant to your test, did he make
2 that statement to you?

3 A That is correct.

4 Q Is it at that time you saw that the shops were being
5 temporarily held up as against the original plan? That is the
6 notation, is it not?

7 A That is the notation. However, that is not the first
8 statement that Mr. Stadelman made to us. He never question
9 anyone electing to put anything in writing. We are not em-
10 powered to question what he might want to put down in his own
11 handwriting. He never dictate what they shall write out.

12 Q I don't you ask him to write down the changes that were going
13 to be made so that you wouldn't have to have a third plan sent
14 to you?

15 A Correct.

16 Q Those were the statements that he wrote down pursuant to
17 that request?

18 A That is the notation he made on there. However, it is not
19 the same statement that he made to us relative to moving the
20 stores and shops.

21 Q Was the writing down here at the bottom in the lower right
22 hand corner, reading, "This is a copy of original drawings
23 which was drawn 1/12/46", was that made at the same time?

24 A I believe it was; yes.

25 Q In this conversation with Mr. Stadelman you testified that
26 Mr. Stadelman told you -- I believe it was Mr. Stadelman and,

...were
concerned with the...
...they
...direct

...the
...proceed, did it not?

...the...
...anything...
...recall.

...But you knew at that time that the...
...the CPA to proceed, did you not?

...No, to my knowledge they have never received permission from
...the CPA.

...Were you ever aware of a response to the letter of April 29
...to which you testified?

...Yes.

...Did not the response to that letter sent to the CPA, grant
...permission to proceed?

...MR. McENZIE: He will object to that.

...MR. BAUTZER: I want to know if he is aware of it. He said
...he didn't know anything about that.

...MR. McENZIE: I would like to object to that. It is outside
...the scope of the direct examination. I believe it is properly a
...part of the Respondent's case, however.

...THE COMMISSIONER: I believe that is correct. I am not

