(b)(2)
(b)(7)(c):(D)

complainant if the complainant would stipulate that there was no discrimination. The attorneys advised that [redacted]." According to the attorneys, they refused to make such pay-offs and the charges were later dismissed. It is noted that this exposé is the latest in a continuing series of disclosures showing corruption on the part of Illinois FEPC Commissioners. One of these Commissioners, [redacted] is an official of the Janitors Union in Chicago. [redacted] furnished information indicating that at one time, MURRAY HUMPHREYS enjoyed a friendly relationship with [redacted] but that at the time of the conversation, in 1959, HUMPHREYS had a very low opinion of [redacted]

In regard to the [redacted] situation, it is noted that although the Executive Board of the Judges of the Criminal Court of Cook County have decided that Judge [redacted] will not be transferred, several legislators in the Illinois General Assembly in Springfield are interested in having a hearing concerning the background of Judge [redacted] and the reasons for his ruling.

One copy of this communication is being furnished to the New York Office in regard to the information above, which appears to concern the [redacted] case. Inasmuch as the New York Office has been requested to recontact the [redacted] brothers, the New York Office is requested to discreetly attempt to learn whether [redacted] or [redacted] has been in contact with [redacted] and [redacted] If such information can be obtained, it would definitely establish that the subject matter of the ALDERISIO [redacted] conversation above is the [redacted] situation. However, the New York Office is requested to be very discreet in attempting to elicit such information so as not to jeopardize this source.

One copy of this communication is designated for the Denver Office in view of the discussion between [redacted] and [redacted] regarding [redacted] (ph). The Denver

Office is requested to advise concerning the background of the subject matter of this discussion, with specific reference to the testimony of this person before the Federal grand jury in Denver. The Denver Office is requested likewise to identify [redacted] (b)(7)(c)

No open inquiry should be made in this regard, however, and the Denver Office is reminded that no mention of this conversation should be disseminated outside the Bureau in deference to this source.

One copy of this communication is being furnished Houston in view of the information contained herein regarding the Houston investigation of the Reed Enterprise ITOM matter.

EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE EXERCISED IN THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION. IT SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE BODY OF A REPORT EVEN THOUGH PARAPHRASED. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED IN LEAD COVERAGE OR AS THE BASIS FOR AN INTERVIEW UNLESS SPECIFIC CLEARANCE IS OBTAINED FROM THE BUREAU AND FROM CHICAGO. THIS IS AN EXTREMELY DELICATE AND SENSITIVE SOURCE WHICH THE CHICAGO OFFICE IS MAKING EVERY EFFORT TO FULLY PROTECT.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

N.A.
4-20-65

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

7:03 PM CST DEFERRED 4-20-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 202130

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY. (b)(7)(c) 5

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE INDICATED HUMPHREYS DEPARTED APRIL 19 EN ROUTE NORMAN, OKLAHOMA WHERE HE WAS SUMMONED TO APPEAR AT HEARING APRIL 20 TO INCREASE ALIMONY PAYMENTS FIRST WIFE. HUMPHREYS INDICATED HE WOULD BE GONE 10 DAYS OR SO UNTIL AFTER FIRST OF MAY. INDICATED HE MAY GO TO HOT SPRINGS FOR BATHS WHILE IN GENERAL AREA.

SECOND WIFE ARRIVED CHICAGO APRIL 17 FROM ST. LOUIS. PURPOSE WAS TO MEET WITH ATTORNEY [redacted] RE TAX MATTERS PERTAINING TO 1959 AND 1960 RETURNS. HUMPHREYS INDICATED HE HAS BEEN IN CONTACT MIAMI ATTORNEY [redacted] RE LOCAL ARREST THERE OF SECOND WIFE. HAS INSTRUCTED [redacted] TO OBTAIN CONTINUANCE AND THEN WILL DISCUSS WITH HIM DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL. CAUTIONED HER ABOUT USE OF PHONE DUE TO ELECTRONIC EAVESDROPPING POLICIES OF LAW ENFORCEMENT AND TOLD HER "WHAT YOU HAVE TO DO IS GET A GUY AND SAY LET'S TAKE A WALK. AND THEN TALK ON THE STREET AS YOU' RE WALKING."

AIRMAIL COPY OKLAHOMA CITY AND LITTLE ROCK INFO.

RECEIVED: 8:11 PM [redacted]

REC-40
92-3088-1356

5 APR 22 1965

F14
60 APR 26 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO XXX TELETYPE

2:47 PM CST DEFERRED 4-21-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 211842

[redacted]

JUNE

(b)(7)(a)

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. ANTIRACKETEERING AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE INDICATED PM APRIL 20 HUMPHREYS DID NOT LEAVE CHICAGO AS PREVIOUSLY INDICATED. APPARENTLY HE HAD DEPARTED RESIDENCE DAY BEFORE HE INTENDED TRAVEL WHICH IS HIS CUSTOMARY PATTERN FOR SECURITY REASONS BUT CHANGED PLANS AND REMAINS HERE. INDICATED APRIL 21 HE WILL LEAVE APRIL 25 TO MEET FIRST WIFE SOMEWHERE, POSSIBLY HOT SPRINGS.

APPARENT CHANGE OF PLANS RESULTED DUE TO [redacted] SITUATION. [redacted] APPARENTLY SUCCESSFUL IN OBTAINING PHOTOS OF MEN USING APARTMENT WHERE HE FEELS HIS PHONE IS BEING MONITORED. GODFREY OBTAINED THESE PHOTOS AND BROUGHT THEM TO HUMPHREYS PM APRIL 20. HUMPHREYS INSTRUCTED GODFREY TO HAVE PHOTOS COPIED AND RETURNED TO HIM SINCE "THESE ARE COUPLE G. MEN. NOW WE'LL ALL KNOW WHAT THEY LOOK LIKE." HUMPHREYS FEELS IRS HAS INTENSIFIED INVESTIGATIONS OF CHICAGO GROUP AND ARE ATTEMPTING ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCES. FEELS IT WAS IRS WHO ATTEMPTED TO "BUG" HOE KOW RESTAURANT ON LAKE STREET AND ARE NOW ATTEMPTING PHONE TAP OF [redacted] HUMPHREYS THEREFORE FEELS PHOTOS OBTAINED ARE OF IRS AGENTS.

EX 109 REC-11 92-3088

11 APR 23 1965

MR. BELMONT FOR THE DIRECTOR

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO XXX TELETYPE

PAGE 2, FROM CHICAGO 211842

(b)(7)(c):(D)

RECENT CONTACT [redacted] THEIR BELIEF IRS PERSONNEL NOT TRAINED IN SOPHISTICATED TECHNIQUES AS TO SECURITY AND EFFECTIVENESS. CHICAGO CLOSELY FOLLOWING. AIR=MAIL COPY OKLAHOMA CITY AND LITTLE ROCK INFO.

RECEIVED: 3:59 PM [redacted]

CC: MR. GALE

CC--MR. SULLIVAN

Mr. Donahue

Enc 12

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

FD-36 (Rev. 5-22-64)

FBI

Date: 4/16/65

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (92-350 Sub 11)

SUBJECT : MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka
AR

JUNE

REC 39

SUMMARY

EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE EXERCISED IN THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION. IT SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE BODY OF A REPORT EVEN THOUGH PARAPHRASED. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED IN LEAD COVERAGE OR AS THE BASIS FOR AN INTERVIEW UNLESS SPECIFIC CLEARANCE IS OBTAINED FROM THE BUREAU AND FROM CHICAGO. THIS IS AN EXTREMELY DELICATE AND SENSITIVE SOURCE WHICH THE CHICAGO OFFICE IS MAKING EVERY EFFORT TO FULLY PROTECT.

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(C):(D)

Remytel 4/14/65.

[redacted] furnished information concerning activities on 4/13 and 4/14/65:

211

At 9:58 p.m., on 4/13/65, HUMPHREYS' close associate, [redacted] arrived. He advised that he had just received a phone call from Springfield top hoodlum FRANK "BUSTER" WORTMAN. BUSTER advised that he had just arrived in the Chicago area and that he was staying at the Towne

3 - Bureau (RM)
1 - Springfield (RM)(AM)
1 - Chicago
[redacted]
(5)

REC 39

92-3088-155-8

21 APR 26 1965

Copied FCA HSCA 8/1/13 2/25/78

C C - [illegible]

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

6 APR 28 1965

SPEC. MAIL RM.

(b)(7)(c)

Hotel in Cicero, Illinois, where he could be contacted through [redacted]." It is noted that the Towne Hotel is owned by Chicago top hoodlum [redacted] and by [redacted] close associate, [redacted]

HUMPHREYS became very upset with this information due to the fact that WORTMAN had called [redacted] at [redacted] residence. HUMPHREYS, who is very cautious about phone calls, felt that WORTMAN should never have involved [redacted] by calling him on [redacted] home phone. [redacted] advised that WORTMAN wanted to meet with HUMPHREYS the following day at 11 a.m. at Pete Fish's Restaurant. HUMPHREYS indicated that he would have WORTMAN contacted at the hotel, but not on the phone, and that since WORTMAN mentioned on the phone that he wanted to meet at Pete Fish's Restaurant, HUMPHREYS would not meet him there under any circumstances.

[redacted] and HUMPHREYS then watched the 10:00 o'clock news on television. [redacted] mentioned that on the Huntley-Brinkley program that evening, mention had been made of the fact that the postal authorities have admitted that they open mail. It is noted that HUMPHREYS and [redacted] previously discussed this same subject this week. On this occasion, HUMPHREYS indicated that he has a copy of a report which was made by the Post Office to the Chicago Police Department concerning a mail cover which the Police Department had requested of the Postal authorities.

[redacted] then informed HUMPHREYS that HUMPHREYS' [redacted] sister-in-law had contacted the paramour of [redacted] on the home phone of [redacted] to inform them that they would be returning to the Chicago area from their winter in Florida sometime around the first of May. This again upset HUMPHREYS, due to the fact that he feels that the use of [redacted] phone by ERNEST HUMPHREYS' wife, [redacted] will bring "investigators onto the trail of my brother."

HUMPHREYS then advised that he was getting "a bill a day" from Internal Revenue Service. He indicated that on that day, he had received a bill in the amount of

$50 for taxes owed for the year of 1960 by his second wife. HUMPHREYS advised that he had checked back and found that his second wife had paid $8,000 in taxes for the year 1960 and now the Government wants another $50. (b)(7)(c)

When the television news covered the funeral of Cardinal MEYER in Chicago, HUMPHREYS was caused to comment, "I don't care what happens after I die. I live my life right now, I don't do anything deceitful, I don't owe nobody nothing. I just go along, I break my (obs) helping people."

When the news covered the Chicago Black Hawks professional hockey team, HUMPHREYS indicated his extreme dislike for ARTHUR M. WIRTZ. From his comments, it appeared that HUMPHREYS was on a close personal basis with WIRTZ many years ago. It is noted that WIRTZ owns the Chicago Black Hawks and millions of dollars in real estate in the Chicago area, including the Palace Theater and the Bismarck Hotel. [redacted] previously was employed as a hotel manager for WIRTZ.

HUMPHREYS then commented that WORTMAN must be staying at the Towne Hotel because "he must be drinking again. That's all you can do if you stay at that place." From HUMPHREYS' comments, it is obvious that he feels that WORTMAN is "down" financially and that he is drinking very heavily for this reason.

This caused [redacted] to initiate a conversation about energies of persons who are in their 50's and 60's. HUMPHREYS advised that he now does not have the pep that he had when he was 30, since when he was 30 years old or so, "I brought the independent stores against the chain stores and I won. And I broke the milk trusts. And I also broke the cleaning trusts. Today I would never have the energy to take on such a fight because that last four or five years and you have to worry about being shot or slugged and things like this." It is noted that in the 1930's, HUMPHREYS owned several dry cleaning establishments and plants. He also had some financial interest and was on

(b)(7)(c)

a management level in the Meadowmoor Dairy in Chicago.

[redacted] then discussed the fact that his paramour, [redacted], a pianist, has been approached to perform in a new night club which is about to open in Chicago in the location of the old Cafe Continental on Walton Street just off Rush Street. Apparently this spot has been taken over by new management, having formerly been owned by Chicago top hoodlum JIMMY ALLEGRETTI and JOE E. LEWIS, well-known entertainer, has agreed to open the night club. HUMPHREYS indicated that he found it hard to believe that JOE E. LEWIS, whom he knows to command a salary of $15,000 a week, would open at a small room like this one. However, [redacted] indicated that LEWIS was doing the new owner a favor in this regard.

2/1.

HUMPHREYS then discussed at some length his efforts to defeat the anti-crime legislation currently pending in the Illinois 74th General Assembly in Springfield. HUMPHREYS indicated that he has had considerable difficulty in this regard, due to "that dirty Republican (obs) from out North here. He's the guy that's causing all the trouble with these bills. I had 35 labor organizations attacking these bills, but they couldn't do a thing. And this guy wrote them all letters threatening them for their backing of these bills."

2/1.

It appears that HUMPHREYS refers to State Senator W. RUSSELL ARRINGTON, the Majority leader of the Senate. ARRINGTON is a Republican from Evanston, a northern suburb of Chicago. It is noted that ARRINGTON has been credited in the Chicago press as being a major supporter of the anti-crime legislation currently pending.

The Springfield Office is requested to comment concerning this interpretation and identification by the Chicago Office.

For the information of the Springfield Office, the Chicago Office also considered the possibility that

(b)(7)(c)

HUMPHREYS spoke of representative ALBERT W. HACHMEISTER, another Republican who has been identified in the Chicago press as a leading supporter of the anti-crime legislation. However, he comes from the near north side of the city of Chicago and would not appear to be indicated by HUMPHREYS' reference to "from out north here."

HUMPHREYS then indicated that because of his problems with the Senate, he is attempting to play one party against the other in order to defeat these bills. He stated that in this regard, he attempted to locate [redacted] on 4/13/65 but found that [redacted] is in Florida. He therefore reached out for some of [redacted] associates in order to "have them maneuver." HUMPHREYS' reference to [redacted] is obviously a reference to State Senator [redacted], a Republican from [redacted], a western suburb of Chicago. [redacted] is known to be interested in race tracks and would be expected to be susceptible to the wishes of HUMPHREYS, although no information which can be documented in this regard has ever been received.

HUMPHREYS then spoke of the gambling bill which has recently been passed by the House and Senate, and is awaiting the signature of Governor KERNER. HUMPHREYS informed [redacted] that he has been advised by Chicago top hoodlum RALPH PIERCE that most of the small bookmakers in the Chicago area will immediately quit booking in the event this bill is signed by the Governor. HUMPHREYS mentioned "everyone of those newsstand guys are taking bets and you can take a bet that they will all quit when that bill is signed."

HUMPHREYS continued his tirade against the forces which are working for the passage of the anti-crime legislation and launched into a heated expression of his feelings against Chicago mayor RICHARD J. DALEY. At this point HUMPHREYS, in a low voice, made a comment which was closely reviewed for accuracy, but which the Chicago Office cannot be certain is the exact quote of HUMPHREYS: "You got a reform trend on. And you got that mayor, that puss-

(b)(7)(c)

headed (obs). You know, we used to give him money and then he quit taking it all of a sudden."

HUMPHREYS then invited [redacted] to dine with him on 4/15/65 at Staley's Restaurant at 6:30 p.m. HUMPHREYS indicated that he, [redacted] and [redacted] would also be there, and that the purpose of the meeting was to cheer [redacted] up a little bit. He's running a little short. [redacted] told me when he was filling out [redacted] tax papers, that [redacted] had to cash some bonds the other day." [redacted] is a close associate of HUMPHREYS who formerly, with [redacted] was in the wire service business in the Chicago area. [redacted] has been one of the leading bookmakers in the Chicago area. HY GODFREY is the bodyguard and chauffeur of HUMPHREYS.

On 4/14/65, HY GODFREY arrived at 8:48 a.m. He was informed by HUMPHREYS that [redacted] is at the Towne Hotel. How can we get ahold of him? We can't talk to him on the phone. Call him on the phone and have him call you back, you be on an outside phone. Tell him to meet me at the St. Clair at 11:30." When GODFREY offered to pick WORTMAN up at the Towne Hotel and bring him to the St. Clair Hotel, HUMPHREYS advised him not to do this, "No, don't spoil him."

GODFREY then delivered to HUMPHREYS a message from Chicago top hoodlums ANTHONY ACCARDO and PAUL DE LUCIA as follows:

GODFREY:

> "Listen, JOE and PAUL and them want you to give them the number of an office where they can get ahold of you. In case they want to come down and meet you."

HUMPHREYS:

> "(obs). None of that. We quit that a couple of years ago."

GODFREY:

"Well, they say they can never get ahold of you."

HUMPHREYS:

"You know, why we quit that at the tailor shop. Everybody calling there and it got to be so every (obs) in the country knew where we were. No, no, no."

GODFREY:

"I know it."

HUMPHREYS:

"The moment the G would get ahold now, again, of something like that, why, it'd be sudden death. You tell them if they want to have an office and set the G up like that, they can go ahead but don't include me in."

GODFREY:

"All right."

HUMPHREYS:

"You see, that used to be all right. But there are too many guys, they say, call me here, here is a phone where you can always get ahold of me, and first thing you know, the G knows more about you than you do. No sir, [redacted]. Tell them no sir! I don't want no telephone. For Christ's sake, if I wanted a phone, I'd answer this one here. They know I won't even answer my own phone." (b)(7)(c)

GODFREY:

"They know that. That's why they're complaining that they can't get ahold of you."

HUMPHREYS:

"Christ! I haven't used my phone once since I lived here."

It would appear that ACCARDO and DE LUCIA are complaining due to the security-consciousness of HUMPHREYS in that he will not establish a headquarters for himself where he can always be reached or where a message can be left for him, such as he had several years ago at Celano's Custom Tailors in Chicago.

HUMPHREYS apparently feels that "the G" would become aware of this headquarters and would be able to surveil it. HUMPHREYS indicates, as is true, that he does not use the telephone located in his apartment. In fact, he has only used this telephone on one occasion, that was when he called a physician to attend his grandson who at the time was staying with him.

GODFREY then delivered a message to HUMPHREYS from Chicago top hoodlum [redacted]

GODFREY:

(b)(7)(c)

"Okay. Now listen, [redacted] landlord got to him. Right around the corner they come and set up a phone tap. In an apartment. I told [redacted] to try and run it down and try to take a picture of them guys. He's gonna post a guy there and see when they go in and out. He's getting a little cooperation from the landlord, but he hasn't cared to press it too much. What he did, he had a guy from the phone company come in and try to find a jump on it, but he couldn't find it."

HUMPHREYS:

"If it's the G, they do it in a perfect way today and you can't find it."

GODFREY:

"Well, this is local that's doing it. It's gotta be MORRIS, or you know, local, ...ah... cops."

HUMPHREYS:

"We gotta catch them in the act."

GODFREY:

"Well, I told him that. He's gonna put a guy there to try to catch them going in and out."

HUMPHREYS:

"Whose apartment is it, do you know?"

GODFREY:

"That I don't know, but it's right around the corner from where he's at."

HUMPHREYS:

"On his phone?"

GODFREY:

"On his phone."

HUMPHREYS:

"You know you can get a warrant and make a citizen's arrest. You don't have to have coppers do it, you can do it yourself."

GODFREY:

"Well, I told him to get a little more data on it. To try to make a pinch while them guys are there."

HUMPHREYS:

"Yeah, but you can't have the coppers pinch them. They'd let them get away on you."

GODFREY:

"How about a private detective agency."

HUMPHREYS:

"No, that won't work either. All the time they work with them. Get a citizen's warrant, go in, take a copper on the street and tell him I got a warrant to go up there, some cock and bull story, see, and so that they will go up with you, but not so they know what they're going into."

GODFREY:

"Well, when this guy he has watching reports some more info, I'll talk to him and we'can see then what to do. I'll see him today and talk to you later. Today."

HUMPHREYS:

"See, he should get a lawyer and get a warrant, a search warrant, to go in. "

GODFREY:

"He had a phone company guy check it."

HUMPHREYS:

"Listen, if they found anything, they wouldn't tell you. Did he know the phone guy?"

GODFREY:

"No, cold."

HUMPHREYS:

"Well, that's no good. If they would find something, they'd just take the lines out and go about their business. That's a tip-off to the guys that they're onto them."

GODFREY:

"All right, I'll see him and see you."

(b)(7)(c)

It would appear obvious from the above conversation that [redacted] landlord has informed him of some type of activity in the immediate vicinity of an apartment which [redacted] may be using in the Chicago area, indicating to the landlord or to [redacted] that the Chicago Police Department has tapped the telephone line of [redacted]. It is noted that [redacted] lives in a large private dwelling in Lincolnwood, a northern suburb of Chicago, and it does not appear that this conversation concerns his residence. However, since [redacted] is known to have hoodlum responsibilities on the near north side of Chicago, and has a headquarters in the A. Abbott Co. in that area, the apartment in question is probably on the near north side of Chicago. The Chicago Office has no coverage of [redacted] and has made no overt action in that direction.

The reference to "MORRIS" is obviously to Deputy Superintendent JOSEPH MORRIS of the Chicago Police Department, whose Bureau of Inspectional Services is known to have attempted wiretapping in Chicago in recent months.

All agents of the Chicago Office who have reason to conduct investigation in the area where [redacted] has organized crime responsibilities have been cautioned against the possibility of photographic surveillances as a result of the above conversation. (b)(7)(c)

One copy of this communication is being furnished to Springfield Office. That office is reminded of the recent conversation of HUMPHREYS with [redacted] wherein [redacted] reported that WORTMAN telephonically advised him that he had committed himself for expenditures of $10,000, apparently in bribes to legislators in Springfield. [redacted] also reported at that time that WORTMAN would be in Chicago during the week of April 11, 1965, in order to obtain $10,000 from HUMPHREYS to be relayed to the legislators. It would therefore appear that WORTMAN was in town to obtain this money and to give a progress report to HUMPHREYS.

The Chicago Office considered physical surveillance of the St. Clair Hotel on 4/14/65, but decided against this course of action for the reason that nothing further would be learned which had not been already obtained from this source. The Chicago Office felt that there was therefore no reason to possibly jeopardize [redacted]

The attention of the Bureau is invited to the fact that this has been the reasoning of the Chicago Office in most of the situations developed by this source and by other sources, particularly since the information recently being received from these sources by the Chicago Office has been of top quality. In view of the fact that there appears to be little to learn in addition to what is supplied by the source, there appears to be no logic in conducting physical surveillances which might tend to jeopardize the future quality of information obtainable.

On 4/12/65, [redacted] advised that at 2:16 in the afternoon on the above date, Chicago top hoodlum FELIX "MILWAUKEE PHIL" ALDERISIO met with his close associate, [redacted] [redacted] reported to ALDERISIO

(b)(7)(c)

that an individual whose identity did not become clear to source, is seeking a loan in the amount of $55,000 from ALDERISIO and that he can furnish securities as collateral. ALDERISIO indicated that he would contact this individual the following day.

Later that afternoon, ALDERISIO and [redacted] conferred with Chicago bondsman [redacted]. [redacted] indicated that he had attempted to locate ALDERISIO at the First National Mortgage Company but that he was unable to do so. It is noted that the First National Mortgage Company was the source of highly confidential coverage of the Chicago Office and has been a headquarters of ALDERISIO. ALDERISIO indicated that he had not been at the First National Mortgage Company in the past couple of days and wondered who might have answered the phone when [redacted] called.

ALDERISIO then indicated that he intended to spend the rest of the afternoon at Postl's Health Club. [redacted] indicated that he intended to meet with another associate of ALDERISIO, [redacted] later in the day since [redacted] is "running short of money." ALDERISIO cautioned [redacted] to be very careful of his activities since he was sure to be "watched."

The attention of the Bureau is invited to the fact that there has been little activity reported by [redacted] during the week of April 11, due to the fact that MIKE BRODKIN has been spending almost his entire time in the Criminal Courts Building of Chicago defending Chicago top hoodlum [redacted]

EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE EXERCISED IN THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION. IT SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE BODY OF A REPORT EVEN THOUGH PARARHRASED. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED IN LEAD COVERAGE OR AS THE BASIS FOR AN INTERVIEW UNLESS SPECIFIC CLEARANCE IS OBTAINED FROM THE BUREAU AND FROM CHICAGO. THIS IS AN EXTREMELY DELICATE AND SENSITIVE SOURCE WHICH THE CHICAGO OFFICE IS MAKING EVERY EFFORT TO FULLY PROTECT.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☒ RADIO ☐ TELETYPE

R-84
DEFERRED 4-22-65
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 221847

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

(b)(7)(c) REC-1

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE INDICATED WHEN BETTY JEANNE HUMPHREYS IN CHICAGO SHE INFORMED HUMPHREYS ONE OF HER FORMER HUSBANDS HAD SAFETY DEPOSIT BOX IN LAKE SHORE NATIONAL BANK IN CHICAGO. HUMPHREYS DECIDED TO USE THIS INFO TO SHOW FORMER HUSBAND KEPT LARGE SUMS MONEY IN THIS BOX WHICH WAS AVAILABLE TO BETTY JEANNE WHEN SHE PURCHASED AND FURNISHED HOME IN KEY BISCAYNE, FLORIDA, WHICH EXPENDITURES ARE BASIS OF IRS SUIT VS. BETTY JEANNE. HUMPHREYS EMPLOYING HY GODFREY TO TALK TO ASSOCIATES OF FORMER HUSBAND TO DEVELOP TESTIMONY THEY KNEW OF SUCH BOX. ONE SUCH ASSOCIATE HAS BUSINESS IN FIRST WARD AND HUMPHREYS WILL PUT PRESSURE ON HIM THROUGH FIRST WARD OFFICIALS IF NECESSARY. ANOTHER FORMER ASSOCIATE IS FORMER BOOKMAKER ON NEAR NORTH SIDE. HUMPHREYS INTENDS TO REACH HIM THROUGH [redacted] CHICAGO AND LAS VEGAS HOODLUM ASSOCIATE, AND [redacted] JEWEL THIEF AND FENCE HERE.

HUMPHREYS APPEARS TO HAVE CANCELLED TRIP TO NORMAN, OKLAHOMA. INTENDS TO MEET FIRST WIFE IN HOT SPRINGS ON SUNDAY APRIL 25 BUT HE MAY LEAVE FOR THERE HIMSELF BEFORE SUNDAY.

REC-1 92-3088-1359

18 APR 23 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☒ RADIO ☐ TELETYPE

PAGE TWO FROM CHICAGO 221847

(b)(7)(c)

INSTRUCTED [redacted] TO CHECK AIRLINE SCHEDULES TO HOT SPRINGS FROM CHICAGO VIA MEMPHIS.

HUMPHREYS SCHEDULED NO LUNCHEON MEETING FOR APRIL 22. GODFREY INDICATED [redacted] IS OUT OF TOWN AND RALPH PIERCE AND LES KRUSE HAD NO REASON TO MEET. HUMPHREYS CONCERNED OVER POSSIBILITY DEATH OF MIKE KENNEDY, IBEW LOCAL 134 HERE, WHILE HUMPHREYS AWAY. COMMENTED "IF HE DIES WE'LL BLOW A LOT OF JOBS FOR OUR GUYS. I BET WE'LL LOSE 30 JOBS." MADE PLANS TO VISIT KENNEDY IN HOSPITAL BEFORE HE LEAVES CHICAGO. AM INFO COPY OKLAHOMA CITY AND LITTLE ROCK.

RECEIVED: 5:04 PM [redacted]

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

2:47 PM CST DEFERRED 4-23-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR AND LITTLE ROCK
FROM CHICAGO 231921

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR DAILY SUMMARY.

(b)(7)(c) [redacted]

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HY GODFREY UNDER IMPRESSION HUMPHREYS LEFT TOWN AS ORIGINALLY PLANNED AND HAS FAILED TO KEEP HUMPHREYS ADVISED AS TO DAILY LUNCHEON MEETINGS OF HUMPHREYS GROUP. WITHOUT GODFREY HUMPHREYS HAS DIFFICULTY CONTACTING PIERCE, KRUSE, [redacted] ET AL. HUMPHREYS THEREFORE ATTEMPTING TO SET UP NOON MEET APRIL 23 BUT NOT SURE HE WOULD BE ABLE TO LOCATE ASSOCIATES TO MAKE MEET FEASIBLE.

HUMPHREYS APPARENTLY HAS HAD ARGUMENT WITH [redacted] HUMPHREYS RETURNED TO APARTMENT 2 AM APRIL 23 IN INTOXICATED CONDITION. ALTHOUGH ALONE HE SHOUTED OBSCENITIES AT [redacted] WHILE TALKING TO HIMSELF. NATURE OF ARGUMENT NOT APPARENT.

SOURCE INDICATES HUMPHREYS PLANS TO MEET FIRST WIFE HOT SPRINGS SUNDAY. [redacted]

LITTLE ROCK REQUESTED TO COVER ACTIVITIES AS DISCREETLY AS POSSIBLE DEFERENCE SOURCE AND INFORMANT. [redacted] IS

REC-3 92-3688-1360

10 APR 26 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

PAGE 2 FROM CHICAGO 231921

(b)(7)(c)

FORMER CHICAGO BOOKMAKER NOW ON FEDERAL PAROLE AND CLOSE ASSOCIATE OF ABOVE. [redacted] ABOUT 60, 5 FEET 5 INCHES, 200 POUNDS, BLACK HAIR, RECEDING.

RECEIVED: 3:58PM [redacted]

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

FD-36 (Rev. 5-22-64)

FBI

Date: 4/21/65

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (92-350 sub 11)

SUBJECT: MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka
AR

JUNE

REC 27

SUMMARY

[redacted] furnished information concerning activities on 4/15,16/65:

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(C)(D)

Source indicated that on the above date, BRODKIN conferred desultorily with Chicago top jewel thief IRVING HORNSTEIN for approximately 15 or 20 minutes regarding the value of jewelry. BRODKIN indicated that a diamond ring which he was then wearing contained 30 carats.

BRODKIN then instructed his secretary to make out his income tax payments to IRS. He indicated that either he or [redacted] were filing for $35,796.10 and the other filed an income of $31,688.11, as their income in 1964.

BRODKIN then received a telephone call and informed the caller that he was going to travel to New York City on 5/16/65 and from New York City, he was then going to Palm Beach on 5/17/65. BRODKIN indicated that he had "made a lot of friends and got a lot of publicity" due to his defense of Chicago top hoodlum [redacted] All

3 - Bureau (RM)
1 - Chicago
[redacted]
(4)

REC 27 1361

16 APR 23 1965

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

6 APR 26 1965

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(C):(D)

through the day BRODKIN accepted telephonic congratulations on his defense of [redacted]

At 3:21 p.m., HY GODFREY arrived. BRODKIN informed him that he had been waiting for Chicago top hoodlum RALPH PIERCE but PIERCE had not as yet arrived. BRODKIN asked GODFREY if he had just been upstairs, a reference to Postl's Health Club, and when GODFREY replied that he had been, BRODKIN asked whether GODFREY had seen PIERCE there. GODFREY replied negatively.

GODFREY then informed BRODKIN that he was looking for "the rest of the guys" inasmuch as Chicago top hoodlum LES KRUSE was unable to locate the daily luncheon meeting. It is noted that [redacted] indicated on 4/16/65 that HUMPHREYS likewise had been unable to locate his confederates and that they were not at Jacque's Restaurant where HUMPHREYS had been led to believe the meeting would take place. Apparently KRUSE had been likewise confused concerning the location of the meeting place.

BRODKIN then instructed his secretary to call the Biltmore Hotel in Palm Beach, Florida, and find out who the manager was and then BRODKIN would talk to him. When his secretary did as instructed, BRODKIN talked to a Mr. [redacted] (ph) of the hotel and made reservations at the hotel commencing either Sunday or Monday, April 17 or 18, and attempted to make the same reservations that he had had for the previous year for ten days or two weeks for Mr. and Mrs. BRODKIN. BRODKIN then made a telephone call to American Airlines and made airplane reservations for New York City. He planned to leave O'Hare Airport at 1:00 p.m., and arrive at Kennedy International Airport at 3:45 p.m., on American Flight #726.

During one of the calls which BRODKIN received relative to his "fine accomplishment" in the [redacted] case, BRODKIN indicated that he had expected the decision of not guilty inasmuch as the State "had no case against this

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

2 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552

☐ (b)(1)
☐ (b)(2)
☐ (b)(3)
_____
_____
_____
☐ (b)(4)
☐ (b)(5)
☐ (b)(6)
☐ (b)(7)(A)
☐ (b)(7)(B)
☑ (b)(7)(C)
☐ (b)(7)(D)
☐ (b)(7)(E)
☐ (b)(7)(F)
☐ (b)(8)
☐ (b)(9)

Section 552a

☐ (d)(5)
☐ (j)(2)
☐ (k)(1)
☐ (k)(2)
☐ (k)(3)
☐ (k)(4)
☐ (k)(5)
☐ (k)(6)
☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1361 (pgs 3 & 4)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

FD-36 (Rev. 5-22-64)

FBI

Date: 4/20/65

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (92-350 sub 11)

SUBJECT : MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka
AR

JUNE

(F)(2)
(F)(7)(C):(D)

SUMMARY

[redacted] furnished information concerning activities on 4/13, 14/65:

This source advised that on 4/13/65, MIKE BRODKIN returned to his office at 5:11 p.m., after spending the entire day in the Criminal Courts Building defending Chicago top hoodlum [redacted] BRODKIN spent the evening of 4/13/65 working on his summation to the jury which he was to deliver on 4/14/65, and arrived in his office at 7:13 a.m. on 4/14/65 in order to conclude this preparation.

It is noted that on the evening of 4/14/65, the jury returned a not-guilty verdict in the [redacted] case. They freed [redacted] and all his co-defendants.

As the Chicago Office has indicated, the HUMPHREYS group of Chicago hoodlums has spent considerable time and effort in an attempt to investigate the background of the jurors in the [redacted] case. Although they completed such investigation, no information was received at any time

3 - Bureau (REGISTERED)
1 - Chicago
[redacted]
(4)

REC-7

92-3088-1362

28

EX 105

2 APR 22 1965

[redacted]

C.C. Wick

Approved: _____ Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M

SPEC. MAIL RM.

Per _____

6 APR 30 1965

(b)(7)(c)

indicating positively that an actual approach was made to any member of the [redacted] jury. It is possible that the HUMPHREYS group intended no such direct contact, but, instead, investigated the background of the jurors prior to the selection of the jury to insure that BRODKIN and his co-counsel, [redacted] would be armed with knowledge concerning the sympathies of the individual jurors which they selected for this jury. In other words, if they were forewarned as to the possibility that a particular jury member would be hostile to the interests of [redacted] they could challenge this juror. If they found through the hoodlums' investigation that there was reason to believe that a particular juror would be sympathetic to the interests of [redacted] and his co-defendants, then BRODKIN would insure that they issued no challenge to that particular juror. Therefore, even though the HUMPHREYS group made no direct approach to any juror, their investigation served a definite purpose. Of course, the possibility also exists that such an approach was made to a juror in the [redacted] case without the knowledge of either of the two sources which provided considerable information regarding this situation. However, it is noted that the jury was locked up by Judge [redacted] on the second day of the trial, and that opportunity to make such an approach was limited.

211.

At 4:38 p.m., on 4/14/65, Chicago top hoodlum FELIX "MILWAUKEE PHIL" ALDERISIO arrived and conferred with Chicago top jewel thief IRVING HORNSTEIN. Although most of this conversation was inaudible to the source, it was obvious that HORNSTEIN was informing ALDERISIO about a $100,000 "score", actually, a "$101,000 score, to be exact." HORNSTEIN mentioned that in this score were pieces containing 900 carats, 800 carats, 900 carats, 600 carats, 1100 carats, and 1500 carats. It appeared that HORNSTEIN has not as yet been offered this score, and definitely had not as yet purchased it. However, ALDERISIO instructed him, "If they come to you with this, and I have an idea they will, I want to know about it." HORNSTEIN replied to this: "You're my man, I'd tell you." ALDERISIO concluded this brief conversation by inviting HORNSTEIN to accompany

211

him upstairs to Postl's Health Club. (b)(7)(c):(e)

Later in the day, HORNSTEIN again appeared in the offices of BRODKIN and conferred with [redacted] about the same situation. [redacted] strongly suggested to HORNSTEIN that HORNSTEIN make the purchase of this score.

This situation is being closely followed. It is felt possible that this discussion may concern a burglary in Pennsylvania and appropriate action concerning the information obtained from this discussion, when warranted, will be taken by the Chicago Office.

The attention of the Bureau is invited to the fact that with the advent of warm weather, the reception from this source becomes less productive than in the wintertime. The reason for this is that when the weather is either extremely hot or cold, the windows are kept shut and the heat or air conditioning is utilized. However, when the weather is mild, the windows are opened, with the result that the elevated trains which continually run around Chicago's Loop make a considerable noise, in that the tracks are located just below the windows of the offices [redacted] Due to the fact that the elevated tracks in the Loop area are situated so that they make a complete circle, the noise from these trains is almost continuous even though the elevated trains may not be at all times directly below the opened windows. Obviously there is not much that can be done to alleviate this situation and it is a fact of life which must be endured.

EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE EXERCISED IN THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION. IT SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE BODY OF A REPORT EVEN THOUGH PARAPHRASED. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED IN LEAD COVERAGE OR AS THE BASIS FOR AN INTERVIEW UNLESS SPECIFIC CLEARANCE IS OBTAINED FROM THE BUREAU AND FROM CHICAGO. THIS IS AN EXTREMELY DELICATE AND SENSITIVE SOURCE WHICH THE CHICAGO OFFICE IS MAKING EVERY EFFORT TO FULLY PROTECT.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☒ RADIO ☐ TELETYPE

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

R-85
DEFERRED 4-26-65
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 261819

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY. (b)(7)(c)

REC 124

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HUMPHREYS DEPARTED CHICAGO AS PLANNED APRIL 25 EN ROUTE HOT SPRINGS. TAKEN TO AIRPORT BY ASSOCIATE [redacted] PRIOR TO DEPARTING GAVE [redacted] SOME PAPERS WHICH ARE VERY IMPORTANT TO ME IN CASE I CALL YOU AND TELL YOU TO BRING THEM TO [redacted]." APPARENTLY ARE PAPERS RE IRS CASE AGAINST HIM AND SECOND WIFE.

BEFORE LEAVING HUMPHREYS GAVE HY GODFREY SOMETHING TO BE DELIVERED TO [redacted] FIRST WARD WHO IS BEING USED BY HUMPHREYS RE ATTEMPTS HUMPHREYS TO BLOCK ANTI CRIME BILLS IN ILLINOIS LEGISLATURE.

GODFREY DISCUSSED WITH HUMPHREYS ARRANGEMENTS HE IS MAKING TO BRING CHICAGO TOP HOODLUM [redacted] "TOGETHER WITH THE COLORED GUY WHO HANDLES ALL THE CAB DRIVERS AT WHITE SOX PARK." PURPOSE WAS FOR [redacted] TO GIVE MONEY TO THIS PERSON IN RETURN FOR HIS INFLUENCE WITH CAB DRIVERS WHO PLY TRADE AT WHITE SOX PARK TO VOTE FOR [redacted] TEAMSTERS UNION TO REPRESENT THEM.

HUMPHREYS INDICATED HE LOST INTEREST IN GAMBLING CASINOS IN CARRIBBEAN ISLANDS UNDER BRITISH CONTROL SINCE GOVERNMENT TAKES 50 PER CENT OF TAKE. IN HUMPHREYS OPINION THIS LEAVES TOO SMALL A PROFIT FOR CHICAGO GROUP.

AM IN COPY LITTLE ROCK.

RECEIVED: 7:30 PM [redacted]

92-3088-1203

REC 124

16 APR 27 1965

EX-109

FILE

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4/28/65

AIRTEL

1 - Mr. [redacted]
1 - Mr. [redacted]

TO: SAC, Chicago

FROM: Director, FBI

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka.
AR

(b)(7)(C)

Attached for your information are two copies of information received through the Internal Revenue Service relative to reported interests of Humphreys in gambling enterprises in the Caribbean.

Chicago remain alert to this report and furnish Bureau any corroborating information received.

Enclosures (2)

[redacted]
(5)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

MAIL ROOM TELETYPE UNIT

REC- 29 92-3088-1364

MAILED 8
COMM-FBI

EX-101

11 APR 29 1965

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

2 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552		Section 552a
☐ (b)(1)	☐ (b)(7)(A)	☐ (d)(5)
☐ (b)(2)	☐ (b)(7)(B)	☐ (j)(2)
☐ (b)(3)	☑ (b)(7)(C)	☐ (k)(1)
_____	☑ (b)(7)(D)	☐ (k)(2)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(E)	☐ (k)(3)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(F)	☐ (k)(4)
☐ (b)(4)	☐ (b)(8)	☐ (k)(5)
☐ (b)(5)	☐ (b)(9)	☐ (k)(6)
☐ (b)(6)		☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-NE

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

ev. 5-22-64)

FBI

Date: 4/27/65

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI "JUNE"

FROM: SAC, CHICAGO (92-350-SUB 11)

SUBJECT: MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, Aka
AR

SUMMARY REC-34

[redacted] furnished information concerning activities on 4/24/65. (b)(2) (b)(7)(c):(D)

Source advised that during the morning of the above date, [redacted] conferred with Chicago hoodlum and gambler [redacted] and another individual. Although this individual was never positively identified by the source, he obviously was involved in the gambling raid of the Cook County Sheriff's Office at the Blue Horizon Motel in River Grove, Illinois on 4/20/65. [redacted] furnished a copy of the search warrant used against the gamblers at the Blue Horizon Motel and advised that he had obtained information that the warrant was never signed by a judge and that it was therefore [redacted].

BRODKIN indicated that he would "check into it with [redacted] son. You know he is the one who prosecutes this. See what he's got to say about it". Obviously [redacted] refers to [redacted] who prosecutes gambling cases in the Niles Division of the Cook County Criminal Courts. It is noted that this raid took place in the area covered by the court where [redacted] is assigned as the prosecutor.

DD: Wick

(3) - Bureau (RM)
1 - Chicago

REC-34

3 APR 30 1965

[redacted]
(4) -

ST-108

Approved: _____ Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

6 MAY 10 1965

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

9 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552

☐ (b)(1)
☐ (b)(2)
☐ (b)(3)
_____
_____
_____
☐ (b)(4)
☐ (b)(5)
☐ (b)(6)
☐ (b)(7)(A)
☐ (b)(7)(B)
☑ (b)(7)(C)
☐ (b)(7)(D)
☐ (b)(7)(E)
☐ (b)(7)(F)
☐ (b)(8)
☐ (b)(9)

Section 552a

☐ (d)(5)
☐ (j)(2)
☐ (k)(1)
☐ (k)(2)
☐ (k)(3)
☐ (k)(4)
☐ (k)(5)
☐ (k)(6)
☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ - file 92-3008-1365 (pgs 2 thru 9 & 11)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

(b)(7)(C):(D)

BRODKIN are located, named [redacted] who is offering protection to the hoodlums and to [redacted] and BRODKIN in the nature of informing them when inquiries are made at the building by law enforcement agencies. It is noted that [redacted] furnished the contents of an conversation between MURRAY HUMPHREYS and HY GODFREY several months ago indicating that the hoodlums were aware of the surveillances of personnel of the Illinois Crime Commission at 188 West Randolph. It would appear that this information was given to them by an employee of the building and the above conversation would indicate the source in this regard is [redacted]. [redacted] obviously is an elevator operator at the building and obviously has recently become involved in a shooting. [redacted] It is expected that in the very near future contact will be made with [redacted] concerning the identity of [redacted]. Indications will also be given to the PCI to be very circumspect in the presence of [redacted].

BRODKIN then indicated he had a bad week insofar as his betting on baseball is concerned. He indicated that he is a regular $25.00 bettor and that last week he had 3 losers in a row, Kansas City, Washington, and Cincinnati. The conversation of [redacted], and the unknown individual then concluded with the following remarks:

[redacted]

FD-36 (Rev. 5-22-64)

FBI

Date: 5/1/65

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM: SAC, LITTLE ROCK (92-149) P

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka
AR
OO: Chicago

Re Chicago radiogram 4/26/65.

(b)(7)(c):(D)

[redacted], Hot Springs, Arkansas (Protect Identity), advised subject registered as L. HUMPHREY, 300 North State Street, Chicago 11, Illinois, at 12:06 P.M., 4/25/65. HUMPHREYS residing in Room 688, and indicated his departure date as 5/9/65. No other individual is residing in room with HUMPHREYS. He listed his occupation as retired.

[redacted] advised subject taking thermal baths and spends most of his time in his room and immediate vicinity of hotel.

A check [redacted] Hot Springs, failed to indicate RALPH PIERCE, aka., and LESLIE EARL KRUSE, aka., are registered at either hotel and have not been observed in Hot Springs.

Investigation continuing regarding activity of HUMPHREYS while in Hot Springs and to ascertain if PIERCE and KRUSE present.

REC- 31 1366

3 - Bureau
2 - Chicago
4 - Little Rock (2 - 92-149)(1 - 92-53)(1 - 92-305)
[redacted]
(9)

6 MAY 3 1965

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

1 cc d

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

3 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552		Section 552a
☐ (b)(1)	☐ (b)(7)(A)	☐ (d)(5)
☑ (b)(2)	☐ (b)(7)(B)	☐ (j)(2)
☐ (b)(3)	☑ (b)(7)(C)	☐ (k)(1)
_____	☑ (b)(7)(D)	☐ (k)(2)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(E)	☐ (k)(3)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(F)	☐ (k)(4)
☐ (b)(4)	☐ (b)(8)	☐ (k)(5)
☐ (b)(5)	☐ (b)(9)	☐ (k)(6)
☐ (b)(6)		☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1367

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

8:38 PM CDST DEFERRED 5-7-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 072043

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY. (b)(7)(c) [redacted]

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HUMPHREYS RETURNED TO CHICAGO 10 P.M. MAY 6 LAST. HY GODFREY ADVISED HIM MAY 7 [redacted] WANTED TO BE PUT IN TOUCH WITH HUMPHREYS IMMEDIATELY UPON RETURN. [redacted] WORKING AGAINST ANTI CRIME BILLS IN ILLINOIS LEGISLATURE FOR HUMPHREYS. GODFREY INDICATED [redacted] WANTED MEET WITH HUMPHREYS. DISCREET PHYSICAL SURVEILLANCE HUMPHREYS THIS DATE DEVELOPED HE MET [redacted] AT PALMER'S PUB IN PALMER HOUSE HOTEL.

HUMPHREYS APPARENTLY ATTENDED FUNERAL OWEN MADDEN WHILE IN HOT SPRINGS AND BROUGHT CLIPPINGS BACK TO SHOW GROUP. AM COPY LITTLE ROCK.

RECEIVED: 9:43 PM [redacted]

92-3088-136

REC-21

25 MAY 11 1965

EX-100

MAY 19 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

icc dod

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

6:58 PM CDST DEFERRED 5-10-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 102040

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

(b)(7)(c)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HY GODFREY AND HUMPHREYS DISCUSSED SUBPOENA SAM GIANCANA. HUMPHREYS AT LOSS TO UNDERSTAND NATURE OF GRAND JURY. GODFREY VISITED RESIDENCE DOMINIC BLASI PRIOR TO MEET WITH HUMPHREYS MAY 10 INSTANT TO LEARN DETAILS OF SERVICE AND SURVEILLANCE OF GIANCANA.

HUMPHREYS SCHEDULED BREAKFAST MEETING CALLY'S RESTAURANT WITH [redacted] THEN SCHEDULED LUNCHEON MEETING AT JACQUE'S RESTAURANT WITH HIS GROUP RE GIANCANA SITUATION.

GODFREY INFORMED HUMPHREYS, MAURICE NORMAN OF PITTSFIELD RESTAURANT RECEIVED MAIL AT HIS RESIDENCE FOR HUMPHREYS AND WOULD SEE HUMPHREYS EVENING MAY 10 AT PETE FISH'S RESTAURANT. NOTED HUMPHREYS CUSTOM TO MEET THERE EVERY MONDAY EVENING.

RECEIVED: 8:06 PM [redacted]

REC 61 92-3088-1369

25 MAY 11 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

6 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552		Section 552a
☐ (b)(1)	☐ (b)(7)(A)	☐ (d)(5)
☑ (b)(2)	☐ (b)(7)(B)	☐ (j)(2)
☐ (b)(3)	☑ (b)(7)(C)	☐ (k)(1)
_____	☑ (b)(7)(D)	☐ (k)(2)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(E)	☐ (k)(3)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(F)	☐ (k)(4)
☐ (b)(4)	☐ (b)(8)	☐ (k)(5)
☐ (b)(5)	☐ (b)(9)	☐ (k)(6)
☐ (b)(6)		☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1370

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

8:21 PM CDST DEFERRED 5-11-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 112145

(b)(7)(c) [redacted]

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED MAY 11 INSTANT HY GODFREY INDICATED TO HUMPHREYS CHICAGO GROUP HAVING LUNCHEON MEETING AT GAY NINETIES ROOM, LA SALLE HOTEL. HUMPHREYS INDICATED HE WOULD JOIN THEM. FOR REASON THIS MEETING NOT SET UP BY HUMPHREYS AND SEVERAL OTHER PEOPLE OBVIOUSLY AWARE, CHICAGO OFFICE FELT SERVICE HUMPHREYS WITH SUBPOENA IN GIANCANA SITUATION WOULD NOT JEOPARDIZE SOURCE. HUMPHREYS SERVICE ACCOMPLISHED TODAY FOR APPEARANCE MAY 19 NEXT.

HUMPHREYS OBTAINED RESERVATIONS SANTA FE RAILROAD MAY 14 FOR NORMAN, OKLAHOMA, TO VISIT FIRST WIFE AND DAUGHTER. WILL RETURN MAY 16 OR 17. RESERVATIONS MADE FOR HUMPHREYS BY [redacted] IN NAME [redacted].

HUMPHREYS KEEPING ABREAST SERVICE OF SUBPOENAS IN GIANCANA SITUATION. ADVISED GODFREY HE CANNOT FIGURE NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS FROM IDENTITY OF HOODLUMS SERVED. HAS NOT YET SPECULATED GIANCANA MAY BE OFFERED IMMUNITY OR DEVISED ANY STRATEGY TO COUNTERACT SUCH OFFER.

AIRMAIL COPY OKLAHOMA CITY.

RECEIVED: 9:55 PM [redacted]

ST-100

REC 30 92-3058-1

MAY 12 1965

7 0 MAY 20 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

5/13/65

AIRTEL

REC-103

1 - Mr. [redacted]

TO: SAC, Chicago

FROM: Director, FBI 92-

(b)(7)(c)

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka.
AR

Reurtel 5/12/65.

Furnish all available details concerning reporting of obtaining of confidential material by [redacted] for Humphreys, and keep Bureau closely advised of further developments.

NOTE: [redacted] close associate of Humphreys, furnished apparently confidential official records of some kine to Humphreys within the past several days. Indications are that Humphreys has caused photostats to be made of this material. Although Chicago does not know the nature of the papers, it appears that they may relate to race track results, which may possibly be used to substantiate the claim of Chicago top hoodlum Paul DeLucia concerning his alleged track winnings in connection with an inquiry currently underway relative to DeLucia's deportation to ITaly.

[redacted]

(4)

[redacted]

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

MAILED 5
MAY 13 1965
COMM-FBI

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

11:45 PM CST DEFERRED 5-12-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 130213

[Anti-racketeering]

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY. (b)(7)(C)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HUMPHREYS DURING PAST SEVERAL DAYS HAS BEEN HAVING [redacted] COPY MATERIAL OBTAINED SURREPTIOUSLY BY SOME ONE FOR HUMPHREYS. INSTRUCTED [redacted] TO BLOCK OFF CERTAIN INFO ON PAPERS SO IT WOULD NOT BE EVIDENT WHERE OBTAINED. INFO APPEARS TO BE FROM FILES SOME AGENCY BECAUSE HUMPHREYS REMARKED "I'VE GOT TO GET THEM BACK IN THERE." ALSO HUMPHREYS COMMENTED "I'VE GOT TO GET THESE OUT OF MY HANDS BECAUSE IF THEY FOLLOW ME AND I GET CAUGHT WITH THEM YOU COULD GET TEN YEARS. OF COURSE I COULD SAY I FOUND THEM, GOVERNMENT PAPERS, AND WAS JUST GOING TO TURN THEM IN."

[redacted] COMMENTED HE WIPED ORIGINAL CLEAN OF FINGERPRINTS BEFORE PHOTOSTATED. AT ANOTHER POINT HUMPHREYS COMMENTED "THIS IS REALLY SECRET INFORMATION." CHICAGO UNABLE TO DETERMINE NATURE OF PAPERS. POSSIBILITY EXISTS HOWEVER THEY ARE PAPERS CONTAINING RACE TRACK RESULTS TO SUBSTANTIATE CLAIM OF PAUL DE LUCIA RE TRACK WINNINGS. THIS MATTER BEING CLOSELY FOLLOWED.

RECEIVED: 1:00 AM 5-13-65 [redacted]

92-3088-1271

REC-103

12 MAY 14 1965

Contd OK 5-13-65 [redacted]

RECEIVED-DATE

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

11:00 PM CDST DEFERRED 5-14-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 142246

also known as Anti-racketeering

MRRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED MAY 15 CHICAGO ATTORNEY [redacted] WAS CONTACTED BY HUMPHREYS MAY 13 AND REQUESTED TO MAKE EFFORST TO OBTAIN LIST OF FEDERAL GRAND JURORS IN GIANCANA CASE. [redacted] ADVISED MIKE BRODKIN [redacted] AND INDICATED HE HAS NO PARTICULAR SOURCE FOR SUCH LIST ALTHOUGH HE IS FORMER AUSA. [redacted] ALSO CONTACTED BY GIANCANA FOR ADVICE. HE RECOMMENDED GIANCANA TAKE FIFTH AMENDMENT [redacted] HOWEVER, FEELS GOVERNMENT IS INTERESTED IN PASSING BROAD IMMUNITY BILL AS REQUESTED BY AG KATZENBACH AND ARE PRESENTING EVIDENCE TO FGJ TO [redacted] BRODKIN DISAGREED STATING HE FEELS GOVERNMENT HAS BUILT UP SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE THROUGH THE YEARS TO INDICT GIANCANA ON A SUBSTANTIVE OFFENSE AND ARE PRESENTING EVIDENCE OF SAME TO GRAND JURY. BRODKIN AGREED WITH [redacted] GIANCANA ET AL HAVE NO OTHER RECOURSE BUT TO TAKE FIFTH.

RECEIVED: 12:10 AM 5-15-65 [redacted]

92-3088-1373

REC-21

9 MAY 18 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

NA 5/14/65

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

1:37 PM CDST DEFERRED 5-14-65 [redacted]

TO DIRECTOR

FROM CHICAGO 141822

(b)(7)(c)

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HUMPHREYS INSTRUCTED GODFREY TO LOCATE SOMEONE NOT KNOWN BY SIGHT TO FBI AND HAVE HIM IN FEDERAL BUILDING MAY 14 PRESUMABLY TO OBSERVE WHO MIGHT BE SECRET WITNESS AND TO OBTAIN LAYOUT OF GRAND JURY FLOOR SO HUMPHREYS, [redacted] AND GROUP SUBPOENAED FOR NEXT WEEK WILL KNOW HOW TO EVADE PHOTOGRAPHERS. GODFREY SUGGESTED BONDSMAN [redacted] BUT HUMPHREYS FELT HE MIGHT BE KNOWN. TENTATIVELY DECIDED TO OBTAIN A FRIEND OF GODFREY WHO WORKS FOR ROGERS MOTORS AUTO AGENCY.

HUMPHREYS LEAVING PM MAY 14 FOR NORMAN, OKLAHOMA. WILL RETURN MAY 16. OKLAHOMA CITY PREVIOUSLY ADVISED. HUMPHREYS GROUP PLANNED MEETING MAY 14 PALMER'S PUB.

RECEIVED: 3:50 PM [redacted]

92-3048 1374

REC-41

MAY 18 1965

MAY 26 1965

If the information contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

5:51 PM CDST DEFERRED 5-13-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 131739

ALSO KNOWN AS Anti-racketeering

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY. [redacted]

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED SINCE SUBPOENA [redacted] OF GIANCANA AND HUMPHREYS, HUMPHREYS HAS SPENT TIME ATTEMPTING TO ANALYZE THEORY OF IMPENDING FGJ (Federal Grand Jury) PROCEEDINGS. CONCLUSION IS "THIS IS SOME KIND OF A SILLY PUBLICITY STUNT. THEY HAVEN'T GOT ANYTHING. THEY'RE FULL OF (OBSCENE)." HUMPHREYS BELIEVES SUBPOENA TO MANNY SKAR EXPOSED "WHAT A PHONY THING THIS IS" SINCE SKAR PRESENTLY UNDER INDICTMENT FOR INCOME TAX EVASION AND "THEY HAVE NO MORE RIGHT TO QUESTION HIM THAN THE MAN IN THE MOON." NOTED SERVICE OF SKAR RECOMMENDATION OF USA'S OFFICE. HUMPHREYS FEELS HE SHOULD NOT BE QUESTIONED SINCE HE IS SUBJECT OF CIVIL SUIT BY IRS (Internal Revenue Service) DISALLOWING DEDUCTIONS. INTENDS TO CHECK THIS WITH ATTORNEY [redacted] HUMPHREYS FEELS "THEY ARE JUST TRYING TO GET EVEN WITH MOONEY. BUT THEY CAN'T PROVE ANYTHING. THIS STUFF IN THE NEWSPAPERS THAT THEY GOT STOOL PIGEONS IS BALONEY. THEY HAVEN'T GOT ANY STOOL PIGEONS." HUMPHREYS ALSO COMMENTED AG KATZENBACH ADMITTED OPENLY LAST FEBRUARY GOVERNMENT UNABLE TO MAKE BREAKTHROUGH INTO ORGANIZED CRIME, CHICAGO AND COMMENTED "I DON'T SEE HOW MUCH COULD CHANGE SINCE THEN TO WORRY ABOUT THIS." NOTED THIS REMARK MADE BEFORE CHICAGO PAPERS REPORTED MAY 13. KATZENBACH INDICATED BETTER PROGRESS. INTERESTING

(b)(7)(c)

92-3088-13

REC-103

1 MAY 18 1965

MR. BELMONT FOR THE DIRECTOR

MAY 21 1965

Intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

PAGE TWO
CHICAGO 131739

(b)(7)(c)

TO NOTE HUMPHREYS INDICATED HE CONTINUES EFFORTS TO DEFEAT IMMUNITY BILL IN ILLINOIS LEGISLATURE. INDICATED HE NEEDS TO CORRUPT STATE SENATOR [redacted] CHICAGO AND ATTEMPTING TO LOCATE SOMEBODY CLOSE TO HIM. AS EXCUSE FOR FAILURE TO ACHIEVE SUCCESS AGAINST OTHER CRIME BILLS HUMPHREYS FEELS "THE G IS TOO POWERFUL NOW." CONTINUING HIS DIATRIBE VS. FBI, HUMPHREYS FEELS FBI POLICY OBTAINING WARRANTS TO RAID LOCAL GAMBLING IS "NOT RIGHT." EXPLAINING, HUMPHREYS SAID "FBI GOES AND GETS WARRANTS AND THEN SAYS TO POLICE YOU'RE GONNA SERVE IT AND THEN THEY GO WITH THEM AND MAKE SURE. AND IT DON'T MATTER WHAT KIND OF THING YOU HAVE WITH THE POLICE, THEY GOT TO DO IT THEN. AND THEN THEY HAMMER THE "OBSCENE" OUT OF THE JOINT AND WHEN THEY GET FINISHED YOU GOT NOTHING LEET. THATS WHAT THESE DAYS HAVE COME TO. NOW YOU TELL ME HOW TO STOP THAT." IN SPECULATING HOW FBI AWARE OF HIS WHERABOUTS TO SERVE SUBPOENA, HUMPHREYS DECIDED DOORMAN AT RESIDENCE "TIPPED THEM OFF", WHICH IS NOT SITUATION. HUMPHREYS DISCONTINUED GROUP'S MEETINGS TEMPORARILY SO HIS ASSOCIATES WILL BE LESS AVAILABLE TO SERVE. FEELS LES KRUSE SURE TO BE SERVED SINCE "THEY KNOW HE MAKES THOSE TRIPS." APPARENT REFERENCE TO TRIPS TO CARIBBEAN FOR GIANCANA AND ALSO FOR HUMPHREYS. GODFREY SCHEDULED TO ENTER WESLEY HOSPITAL MAY 11. GODFREY REQUIRES TREATMENT BUT INTENDS TO ENTER THIS TIME IN ATTEMPT

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

PAGE THREE
CHICAGO 131739

(b)(7)(c)

TO DODGE POSSIBLE SUBPOENA. [redacted] SUGGESTED TO [redacted] HE LEAVE TOWN BECAUSE [redacted]

REC'D: 7:11 PM [redacted]

CC: MR. GALE

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

5/18/65

1 - Mr. [redacted]

AIRTEL

TO: SAC, Chicago (92-350 Sub 11)

FROM: Director, FBI

[redacted]

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka.
AR

(b)(7)(C):(D)

Reurairtel 5/12/65.

Submit letterhead memorandum suitable for dissemination to [redacted] containing information relative to reported plans of Indianapolis hoodlum [redacted] to come back to the Western Hemisphere from Italy, and possibly to settle in Canada.

NOTE: [redacted] a former Indianapolis top hoodlum was deported to Italy, but has recently visited Mexico and Chicago has received information indicating that he desires to return to the Western Hemisphere and possibly to establish residence in Canada.

[redacted]

EX-112 REC-39 92-3088 1376

19 MAY 19 1965

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

MAILED 8
MAY 18, 1965
COMM-FBI

MAY 24 1965

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

FD-36 (Rev. 5-22-64)

FBI

Date: 5/18/65

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (92-350 SUB Z)

SUBJECT: MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka
AR

(b)(7)(C)

ReBUairtel 5/13/65.

All available information concerning the reporting of the obtaining of confidential material by [redacted] for HUMPHREYS was reported in our daily summary tel 5/12/65, and no further information available at this time.

The Chicago Office will remain alert for any further developments and will keep the Bureau advised.

3 - Bureau
1 - Chicago

[redacted]
(4)

REC- 47 92-1377

EX 105

2 MAY 19 1965

C C - WICR

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

10 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552		Section 552a
☐ (b)(1)	☐ (b)(7)(A)	☐ (d)(5)
☑ (b)(2)	☐ (b)(7)(B)	☐ (j)(2)
☐ (b)(3)	☑ (b)(7)(C)	☐ (k)(1)
_____	☑ (b)(7)(D)	☐ (k)(2)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(E)	☐ (k)(3)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(F)	☐ (k)(4)
☐ (b)(4)	☐ (b)(8)	☐ (k)(5)
☐ (b)(5)	☐ (b)(9)	☐ (k)(6)
☐ (b)(6)		☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1378 & 1379

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

-22-64)

FBI

Date: 5/17/65

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (92-350 sub 11)

SUBJECT : MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka
AR

JUNE [redacted]

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(C)(D)

SUMMARY

[redacted] furnished information concerning activities on 5/14/65:

It is noted that the pertinent part of the following conversation was furnished to the Bureau in my daily summary teletype, this caption, dated 5/15/65.

Source advised that at 9:30 a.m., on the above date, Chicago attorney [redacted] conversed with MIKE BRODKIN. It is noted that [redacted] is a former AUSA in Chicago and that he has in the past handled most of the work for the law firm of Bieber & Brodkin in the USDC of the Northern Dist. of Illinois. [redacted] and BRODKIN generally use [redacted] due to the fact that as a former AUSA, he is very familiar with Federal procedure, and for the additional reason that he has a relationship with certain of the Federal officials and judges.

The subject of the conversation between [redacted] and BRODKIN on 5/14/65 concerned the Federal grand jury which commenced on that date. In this regard, the following conversation took place:

REC-84 92-3088-1380

3 - Bureau (RM)
1 - Chicago
[redacted]
(4)

ST-100

1 MAY 19 1965
27

[redacted]

C C • Wick

Approved: 6 MAY 28 1965
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M _____

MIKE: ...what is this about anyway? (b)(7)(c)

[redacted]

MIKE: [redacted]

[redacted]

....

MIKE: Take the Fifth.

[redacted]

(b)(7)(c)

MIKE: Is he coming to represent him?

[redacted]

MIKE: Well, he's going over there today.

[redacted]

MIKE: No, he isn't going today.

[redacted]

MIKE: Next week, what's his name is going today, ah, BUDDY JACOBSON.

[redacted]

MIKE: He got expelled last time, you know.

[redacted]

MIKE: HUMP was over to see ya?

[redacted]

(b)(7)(c)

From the above conversation it is obvious that [redacted] has been contacted by Chicago top hoodlums SAM GIANCANA and by MURRAY HUMPHREYS. Obviously, the contact of [redacted] by GIANCANA was for the advice of [redacted] as to how he should handle himself when questioned by the grand jury, although Washington, D.C., attorney EDWARD BENNETT WILLIAMS is to be the counsel-of-record in this proceeding for GIANCANA. It is noted that when GIANCANA appeared before the grand jury on 5/14/65, he was accompanied by an associate of WILLIAMS, WILLIAMS on 5/14/65 being in Denver, Colorado, to represent Chicago top hoodlum FELIX "MILWAUKEE PHIL" ALDERISIO, who was sentenced for an ITAR-extortion violation to 4½ years on that date.

The contact of [redacted] by HUMPHREYS obviously was to obtain a list of the identities of the Federal grand jurors. From [redacted] conversation, it does not appear that he is immediately able to obtain this list. [redacted] obviously was concerned that he could not ask questions of AUSA's, etc., concerning the nature of the inquiry or make any overt attempts to obtain a list of the grand jurors, without being able to say that he is representing one of the persons who has been subpoeaned to appear before the grand jury. As of 5/14/65, apparently, [redacted] had not been requested to represent any of these people. However, it has now been learned that [redacted] will represent HUMPHREYS. From the above conversation, it appears possible that HUMPHREYS is having [redacted] represent him for the purpose of giving [redacted] a position from which he can operate to obtain information concerning the grand jury.

Following the above conversation, [redacted] and BRODKIN then conversed concerning the purpose of the grand jury investigation. It is [redacted] opinion that the grand jury has called all the witnesses which it has due to the fact that Attorney General NICHOLAS KATZENBACH has requested of Congress passage of an Immunity Bill. It is [redacted] opinion that KATZENBACH desires to use the results of the grand jury testimony in Chicago as a "showcase" to show the necessity for this type of legislation. Although

(b)(7)(c)

[redacted] appeared to be ready to spell out his thoughts in this regard, he was interrupted by BRODKIN, who disagreed with him. BRODKIN feels that SANDY SMITH, in writing in the Chicago "Sun-Times," has "hit the nail on the head," when he indicated that the FBI has built up a case against GIANCANA. BRODKIN feels that the Government has evidence showing a substantive violation against GIANCANA and that the purpose of the grand jury is to return an indictment against GIANCANA. As further evidence of the erroneous thinking of BRODKIN in this regard, he then made the comment: [redacted] is the big man over there in the FBI, and he's the guy that's been on these guys all the time."

[redacted] then switched the topic of his conversation to the Criminal Courts of Cook County, and informed BRODKIN that State's Attorney [redacted] informed him that he was perturbed that only a half-dozen defense attorneys handle all the defense work in the Cook County Criminal Courts, and asked [redacted] to consider defending clients there. This upset BRODKIN, he being one of the half-dozen defense attorneys who represent clients in the Cook County Criminal Courts. This caused BRODKIN to comment that [redacted] has never done a thing for him since he became the Cook County State's Attorney.

BRODKIN then commented to [redacted] that Chicago defense attorney [redacted] has a case before U.S. District Judge [redacted] BRODKIN remarked that [redacted] had better make every effort to make sure that he "gets his case away from [redacted] because I have never seen anybody hate anybody as bad as [redacted] hates [redacted]"

EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE EXERCISED IN THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION. IT SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE BODY OF A REPORT EVEN THOUGH PARAPHRASED. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED IN LEAD COVERAGE OR AS THE BASIS FOR AN INTERVIEW UNLESS SPECIFIC CLEARANCE IS OBTAINED FROM THE BUREAU AND FROM CHICAGO. THIS IS AN EXTREMELY DELICATE AND SENSITIVE SOURCE WHICH THE CHICAGO OFFICE IS MAKING EVERY EFFORT TO FULLY PROTECT.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

6:46 PM CDST DEFERRED 5-18-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 181708

Anti-racketeering

ALSO KNOWN AS

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

(b)(7)(c)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HUMPHREYS RETURNED TO [redacted] RESIDENCE AFTER TRIP TO OKLAHOMA MAY 17 LAST. WITH HY GODFREY IN HOSPITAL HAD NO VISITORS ALL DAY. HOWEVER, RETURNED TO RESIDENCE MID EVENING AND APPEARED TO BE PREPARING SPEECH HE INTENDS TO GIVE TO FGJ (Fed. Grand Jury) BEFORE HE SUBMITS TO QUESTIONS: "MAY I MAKE THIS STATEMENT FIRST. IF THEY HAD A VIOLATION OF THE LAW THEY WOULD TELL YOU WHAT IT IS AND BRING IN WITNESSES TO SHOW YOU THERE HAS BEEN A VIOLATION. THIS IS NOTHIN' BUT DEMOGODIC AND THIS DISTRICT ATTORNEY AND HIS MEN ARE ALL IN A CONSPIRACY TO HAVE EVERYBODY COME IN AND CLAIM THEIR RIGHT UNDER THE FIFTH AMENDMENT TO REFUSE TO TALK SO THEY CAN GO TO WASHINGTON AND ASK THAT THIS BE AMENDED. THIS AFFECTS YOU, YOUR CHILDREN AND YOUR GRANDCHILDREN. I WANT TO SAY THIS TO YOU, THAT YOU ARE BEING USED BY OUR GOVERNMENT, IN A POLITICAL, DEMOGODIC THING." NOTED THIS THINKING IN LINE WITH THAT OF HUMPHREYS' ATTORNEY, [redacted] AS REPORTED BY ANOTHER SOURCE AND SUBJECT MY DAILY SUMMARY MAY 15 LAST. [redacted] FEELS AG KATZENBACH ATTEMPTING TO BUILD "SHOWCASE" TO SUPPORT HIS BILL FOR BROADER IMMUNITY ACT.

REC-16 92-3058-1381

RECEIVED: 8:15 PM [redacted]

EX-112

4 MAY 21 1965

6 4 MAY 27 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

10:15 PM CDST DEFERRED 5-19-65 [redacted]

TO DIRECTOR

FROM CHICAGO 192329

[redacted]

(b)(7)(c)

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

REMYTEL MAY 12 AND BUAIRTEL MAY 13 LAST RE SITUATION HUMPHREYS HAD RECEIVED SOME TYPE OF "GOVERNMENT PAPERS" SURREPTITIOUSLY AND ON MAY 11 HAD [redacted] PHOTOSTAT THESE PAPERS SO THEY COULD BE RETURNED TO UNKNOWN AGENCY. HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED MAY 18 HUMPHREYS UPSET WITH [redacted] SINCE COPIES SOME PAGES NOT CLEAR. INDICATED HE HAD RETURNED ONE SET TO BE PLACED BACK IN FILES BUT WOULD NOW HAVE TO GET IT BACK FOR RECOPYING. DURING CONVERSATION HUMPHREYS REMARKED "HE HAD TO PUT IT BACK WHERE HE GOT IT BECAUSE ALL THE AGENTS WORK ON THIS SET" AND "THE MAIN THING IS TO GET THE NUMBERS OF THE CASES IN ORDER TO LOOK IT UP." AT THIS POINT CHICAGO UNABLE TO DETERMINE NATURE OF PAPERS OR AGENCY INVOLVED. THIS SITUATION BEING CLOSELY FOLLOWED.

WHILE IN NORMAN, OKLAHOMA PAST WEEKEND HUMPHREYS WAS CONTACTED BY [redacted] HAS ACCESS TO 12 MILLION DOLLARS WORTH OF DOMINICAN REPUBLIC PESOS. [redacted] HUMPHREYS OFFERED MILLION DOLLARS BY [redacted] IF HE COULD EFFECT EXCHANGE OF PESOS FOR AMERICAN

REC 27 92-3088-132

MAY 24 1965

EX-116

JUN 1 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

PAGE 2, FROM CHICAGO 192329

(b)(7)(c)(D)

DOLLARS. HUMPHREYS INDICATED INTEREST IN PROPOSITION BUT WILL FIRST INVESTIGATE TO DETERMINE SITUATION AS TO DOMINICAN REPUBLIC PESOS, BACKGROUND OF [redacted] AND BACKGROUND OF [redacted] HE INDICATED TO [redacted] IF HE DECIDES TO ACCEPT PROPOSAL WILL CONTEMPLATE SENDING [redacted] WITH PESOS TO SWISS BANK TO EFFECT EXCHANGE. HAS REQUESTED LES KRUSE WHO IS KNOWLEDGEABLE DOMINICAN REPUBLICAN SITUATION TO ASSIST IN PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATION.

KRUSE INFORMED HUMPHREYS CHICAGO GROUP NO LONGER INTERESTED IN GAMBLING, SANTO DOMINGO.

DETAILED AIRTEL FOLLOWS WITH COPY NEW YORK AND OKLAHOMA CITY.

RECEIVED: 11:30 PM [redacted]

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

ev. 5-22-64)

FBI

Date: 5/18/65

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

JUNE

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (92-350 sub 11)

SUBJECT: MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka
AR

REC 16 (b)(2)
(b)(7)(C):(D)

SUMMARY

EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE EXERCISED IN THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION. IT SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE BODY OF A REPORT EVEN THOUGH PARAPHRASED. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED IN LEAD COVERAGE OR AS THE BASIS FOR AN INTERVIEW UNLESS SPECIFIC CLEARANCE IS OBTAINED FROM THE BUREAU AND FROM CHICAGO. THIS IS AN EXTREMELY DELICATE AND SENSITIVE SOURCE WHICH THE CHICAGO OFFICE IS MAKING EVERY EFFORT TO FULLY PROTECT.

[redacted] furnished information on 5/6/65:

BRODKIN made his first order of business a telephone call instructing [redacted] to come to his office inasmuch as "they had that fellow, [redacted] in, and I don't know why that should be. Did they ever ask you about him? You had better get down here." It is noted that [redacted] has been convicted in New York of bribery of New York State Liquor Authority officials and, as the following conversation will show, [redacted] interrogated [redacted] in his offices in the District Attorney's Office

3 - Bureau (RM)
1 - New York (RM)
1 - Chicago
[redacted]
(5)

REC 16

EX 110

1383

2 MAY 20 1965

NINE

[redacted]

C.C. Wick

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

6 MAY 20 1965

SPEC. MAIL RM.

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

7 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552		Section 552a
☐ (b)(1)	☐ (b)(7)(A)	☐ (d)(5)
☑ (b)(2)	☐ (b)(7)(B)	☐ (j)(2)
☐ (b)(3)	☑ (b)(7)(C)	☐ (k)(1)
_____	☑ (b)(7)(D)	☐ (k)(2)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(E)	☐ (k)(3)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(F)	☐ (k)(4)
☐ (b)(4)	☐ (b)(8)	☐ (k)(5)
☐ (b)(5)	☐ (b)(9)	☐ (k)(6)
☐ (b)(6)		☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1383 (pgs 2 to 4 and 5 thru 9

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

(b)(7)(c)

the grand jury concerning a $50,000 bribe which [redacted] solicited. According to this testimony, [redacted] supposedly informed [redacted] that the $50,000 was not much money since it would have to be split with [redacted] retaining $25,000.

[redacted] advised BRODKIN that he [redacted] the previous day and informed [redacted] that his only connection with the whole thing was that the meeting took place in his room. BRODKIN does not believe that [redacted] will ever attempt to try [redacted] but that he feels certain that [redacted] either will be or has already been indicted. BRODKIN advised that it looks to him like [redacted] the [redacted] and [redacted] from the union, along with a man he referred to as [redacted] (ph) "are absolutely out of it."

BRODKIN then advised that [redacted] "got mad at me for nothing when all the time all I was trying to do was what HUMP and those guys said to me, now we don't want [redacted] or [redacted] in trouble, or [redacted] either."

BRODKIN advised that he has not received a penny and that he has incurred travel expenses in connection with his efforts in this regard, strictly due to the request made of him by HUMPHREYS.

BRODKIN then told [redacted] that he does not feel that [redacted] will be indicted in this situation, since [redacted] put [redacted] to work in [redacted] Office." [redacted] then gave the transcript of the grand jury proceedings to [redacted] and requested that [redacted] mail it back to him when he is finished perusing it. BRODKIN thereupon read several pertinent questions to [redacted] and it was noted that many of these questions attempted to link [redacted] with [redacted] both in questioning of [redacted] and in questions put to [redacted] before the grand jury. One of the questions learned by the source as having been asked [redacted] of [redacted] was, [redacted]?" When [redacted]

-14-64)

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

10:13 PM CDST DEFERRED 5-24-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 250156

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

(b)(7)(c)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HUMPHREYS AGAIN HAD ASSOCIATE [redacted] COPY MATERIAL OBTAINED SURREPTITIOUSLY FOR HUMPHREYS FROM "GOVERNMENT." APPARENTLY SAME TWO "BOOKS" INVOLVED SINCE ORIGINAL PHOTOSTATING DONE BY [redacted] UNSATISFACTORY. LATER HUMPHREYS CONVERSED WITH BROTHER ERNIE REGARDING FACT IRS HAS DISALLOWED DEDUCTIONS OF HUMPHREYS: "IHAVE INTERNAL REVENUE BOOKS. I HAD THEM COPIED. I JUST RETURNED ONE OF THE BOOKS." DURING CONVERSATION HUMPHREYS READ PORTIONS OF MATERIAL. HE MENTIONED HE WAS READING FROM A "MEMORANDUM" AND READ PHRASES "TAXPAYER BE REQUIRED" AND "THE REQUIREMENTS OF RECORDING AND REPORTING." FROM ABOVE THERE CAN BE NO DOUBT MATERIAL HUMPHREYS HAS BEEN COPYING LAST 10 DAYS HAS BEEN MATERIAL PERTAINING TO IRS INVESTIGATION. CHICAGO OFFICE HAS COPIES OF "SPECIAL AGENTS PROGRESS REPORTS" PREPARED BY IRS ON HUMPHREYS AND HAS REVIEWED PHRASEOLOGY TO DETERMINE IF THIS IS WHAT HUMPHREYS HAS, HOWEVER, NO CONCLUSION POSSIBLE. THIS MATTER BEING CLOSELY FOLLOWED.

RECEIVED: 11:46 PM [redacted]

92-3088 1374

4 MAY 25 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

5 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552		Section 552a
☐ (b)(1)	☐ (b)(7)(A)	☐ (d)(5)
☑ (b)(2)	☐ (b)(7)(B)	☐ (j)(2)
☐ (b)(3)	☑ (b)(7)(C)	☐ (k)(1)
_____	☑ (b)(7)(D)	☐ (k)(2)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(E)	☐ (k)(3)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(F)	☐ (k)(4)
☐ (b)(4)	☐ (b)(8)	☐ (k)(5)
☐ (b)(5)	☐ (b)(9)	☐ (k)(6)
☐ (b)(6)		☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1385

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

FD-263 (Rev. 5-1-59)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE	OFFICE OF ORIGIN	DATE	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD
CHICAGO	CHICAGO	5/25/65	3/16 - 5/19/65

TITLE OF CASE

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka

REPORT MADE BY

SA [redacted]

CHARACTER OF CASE

AR

(b)(7)(c)

REFERENCES:

Report of SA [redacted] dated 5/20/65, at Chicago.

- P -

ENCLOSURES

TO THE BUREAU - TWO (2)

Two copies of letterhead memorandum characterizing informants utilized in instant report.

LEADS

(b)(7)(c):(A)

MIAMI

AT MIAMI, FLORIDA Will continue to maintain contact with [redacted] who are familiar with occurrences in the vicinity of 210 Harbor Drive, Key Biscayne, Florida, the current

APPROVED

SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE

COPIES MADE:

(3) - Bureau (92-3088) ([redacted]) (RM)
1 - USA, Chicago
3 - Miami (62-3993) (RM)
(1 - USA, Miami)
2 - Oklahoma City (92-179) (RM)
2 - Chicago (92-348)

DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW

92-3088-1386

[redacted]

REC-6

16 MAY 28 1965

[redacted]

Dissemination Record of Attached Report

Agency	FBI			
Request Rec'd.	6-2-65			
Date Fwd.	1965			
How Fwd.				

Notations

[redacted]

STAT. SECT.

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(c):(D)

residence of HUMPHREYS' second wife, BETTY JEANNE. The purpose of this contact is to make sure that the Miami Office will be immediately advised should HUMPHREYS visit his second wife, in order that Miami may immediately bring this to the attention of the Chicago Office.

OKLAHOMA CITY

AT NORMAN, OKLAHOMA Maintain contact with [redacted] The purpose of this contact is to insure that should HUMPHREYS visit his first family, such contact will immediately be made known to the Oklahoma City Division in order that the Chicago Office may be advised in the event that the Chicago Office is unaware of such travel. Contact should also be maintained with [redacted] for the same purpose.

CHICAGO

AT CHICAGO, ILLINOIS Continue to conduct and report investigation concerning the activities of MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS in consonance with Bureau letter to Chicago dated November 27, 1957, entitled, "TOP HOODLUM PROGRAM; ANTI-RACKETEERING," and in conformity with Bureau letter dated February 10, 1961, entitled "MURRAY HUMPHREYS, AR," and Bureau letter to New York dated April 12, 1961, entitled "CRIMINAL INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM."

ADMINISTRATIVE

Such information provided by [redacted] which is believed susceptible to paraphrasing has been included in the body of this report. It is the considered opinion of the Chicago Office that this information has been paraphrased in such manner that it does not represent any threat to the security of this source. At the same time, it enables the details of this report to present a complete and well-rounded picture of the activities and associations of HUMPHREYS.

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

3 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☐ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552		Section 552a
☐ (b)(1)	☐ (b)(7)(A)	☐ (d)(5)
☑ (b)(2)	☐ (b)(7)(B)	☐ (j)(2)
☐ (b)(3)	☑ (b)(7)(C)	☐ (k)(1)
_____	☑ (b)(7)(D)	☐ (k)(2)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(E)	☐ (k)(3)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(F)	☐ (k)(4)
☐ (b)(4)	☐ (b)(8)	☐ (k)(5)
☐ (b)(5)	☐ (b)(9)	☐ (k)(6)
☐ (b)(6)		☐ (k)(7)

☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1386 (pgs C, 16 & 17)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

FD-204 (Rev. 3-3-59)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to: 1 - USA, Chicago
1 - USA, Miami

Report of: SA [redacted] Office: CHICAGO
Date: 5/25/68

Field Office File #: CG 92-348 Bureau File #: 92-3088

Title: MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS

Character: ANTI-RACKETEERING (b)(7)(c)

Synopsis:

HUMPHREYS continues to reside at 300 North State St., Apt. 5131, in the East Tower of the apartment complex known as Marina City, Chicago, Illinois. HUMPHREYS served subpoena, April, 1965, by Cleveland County, Okla., Sheriff's Office for hearing based on petition of first wife to increase alimony payments in divorce decree of 1957. Wife predicates suit on fraud on part of HUMPHREYS in concealing property rights at time of divorce. Informant advised HUMPHREYS in collusion with above suit since he feels he can obtain tax privileges by giving wife more alimony rather than by incurring heavy inheritance taxes by willing her [redacted] Subpoena served on HUMPHREYS 5/11/65 for appearance before Federal grand jury, NDI, 5/19/65. Twelve current associates of HUMPHREYS identified herein. Thirteen current meeting places of HUMPHREYS identified herein. Since mid-March, HUMPHREYS has traveled to Norman, Okla., on three occasions and to Hot Springs, Ark., on one occasion.

CG 92-348

I. PERSONAL HISTORY AND BACKGROUND

Residence

(b)(7)(c):(D)

Apartment 5131,
300 North State Street
(Marina City)
Chicago, Illinois

[redacted] advised that MURRAY HUMPHREYS continues to maintain his residence in Apartment 5131 in the East Tower of Marina City at 300 North State Street, Chicago, Illinois.

Relatives

Former Wife, MARY CLEMMA "BILLY" BRENDLE HUMPHREYS

[redacted]

The records of the Cleveland County Courthouse in Norman, Oklahoma, reflect that a petition was filed praying that the divorce decree between HUMPHREYS and his first wife on July 23, 1957, be vacated, since it was predicated on fraud. The petition alleged that HUMPHREYS' first wife had just learned of the fraud. The petition indicated that first wife agreed to a settlement of $60,000 alimony to be paid at the rate of $500 per month for 120 months in 1957 whereas in fact, HUMPHREYS had property and property rights greatly exceeding that which he indicated at the time of the settlement. [redacted] advised that the hearing is an attempt on the part of HUMPHREYS' first wife to increase her alimony payments.

(b)(7)(C):(D)

[redacted] advised that it was HUMPHREYS' suggestion to his first wife that she file the legal action as above. The informant advised that the reason for this is that HUMPHREYS has learned that he can obtain a tax break by having his alimony payments legally enlarged so that he can give money to his first wife by this method rather than willing it to her upon his death. The reason for this, according to [redacted] is that by the latter method, HUMPHREYS' bequest would be subject to inheritance taxes. However, by having the alimony payments increased, by court order, HUMPHREYS can deduct this from his income tax return.

Daughter, [redacted]

[redacted]

Former Wife, BETTY JEANNE
NIEBERT STACEY VINE HUMPHREYS

[redacted] advised that she has known BETTY JEANNE HUMPHREYS, also known to her as HART, since HUMPHREYS and BETTY JEANNE moved into the Key Biscayne, Florida, neighborhood. [redacted]

She advised that HUMPHREYS advised her that he is in the oil business in St. Louis, Missouri.

[redacted]

(b)(7)(c):(b)

[redacted] The entire yard is lighted at night by powerful spotlights, which are never turned off.

[redacted] advised that at the present time, BETTY JEANNE can be seen at all times with a male companion, one (FNU) [redacted]

Brother, ERNEST HUMPHREYS,
aka Jack Wright

[redacted] advised that the brother of MURRAY HUMPHREYS, ERNEST HUMPHREYS, spent the entire winter of 1964-1965 at the Thunderbird Motel in Miami Beach, Florida. This source advised that HUMPHREYS' brother, with his wife, BIRDIE, registered at the Thunderbird Motel under the name of JACK HUMPHREYS, in December, 1964, and remained there as a guest until the middle of May, 1965. This source advised that HUMPHREYS drove a Cadillac which is several years old but in good condition.

On April 20, 1965, SA RALPH R. HILL observed a 1961 black Cadillac sedan bearing 1965 Illinois license 523-032, at the parking lot of the Thunderbird Motel.

[redacted] advised that the widow of ALBERT POLLACK, PHYLLIS POLLACK, one of the owners of record of the Thunderbird Motel in Miami Beach, Florida, is a long-time friend of MURRAY HUMPHREYS.

[redacted] advised that for many years, hoodlums have been protected at the Thunderbird Motel by the ownership there, and that extra-special guests are, as a rule, assigned to rooms in the Thunderbird Annex, across the street from the Thunderbird, if that individual desires full security.

(b)(7)(c):(D)

II. RECEIPT BY HUMPHREYS OF SUBPOENA IN FEDERAL GRAND JURY INVESTIGATION, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS, MAY 11, 1965

Service of a subpoena was effected on MURRAY HUMPHREYS calling for his appearance before a Federal grand jury in the Northern District of Illinois on May 19, 1965. This subpoena was served on HUMPHREYS on May 11, 1965, by SAs MARSHALL E. RUTLAND, [redacted] and [redacted] while HUMPHREYS was seated in the Gay Nineties Room of the LaSalle Hotel in Chicago.

III. PREVIOUS INFLUENCE OF HUMPHREYS AND ASSOCIATES IN LOCAL 110, OF THE CHICAGO MOVING PICTURES OPERATORS UNION

[redacted] advised that in the late 1930's and 1940's, MURRAY HUMPHREYS and his associates, SAM "GOLFBAG" HUNT and RALPH PIERCE, in particular, had a great influence in the affairs of Local 110, the Chicago Moving Picture Operators Union. This informant advised that at that time HUMPHREYS and his associates in organized crime placed many of their relatives and friends in the union and obtained for them jobs as moving picture operators in Chicago theaters. Many of these individuals retain their positions at the present time. However, HUMPHREYS and his associates have much less influence in the affairs of that union as of this time.

IV. CURRENT ASSOCIATES OF MURRAY HUMPHREYS

[redacted]

[redacted] advised that one of the close associates of MURRAY HUMPHREYS at this time is [redacted] [redacted] has identified [redacted] as being a long-time associate of MURRAY HUMPHREYS, who, like HUMPHREYS, is a leader of organized crime in the Chicago area.

LL

SAM GIANCANA

LL [redacted] advised that infrequently, HUMPHREYS meets

CG 92-348

(b)(7)(c):(D)

with GIANCANA, usually in a location designated by GIANCANA in a western suburb or on the far west side of Chicago. This informant advised that he knows that HUMPHREYS met with GIANCANA on the west side of Chicago or in a western suburb on April 15, 1965.

[redacted] has identified GIANCANA as being the number one leader of organized crime in the Chicago area.

HY GODFREY

[redacted] advised that HY GODFREY is a chauffeur, bodyguard, errand boy and message center of several Chicago hoodlums, including HUMPHREYS.

It is noted that when service of a subpoena was effected on HUMPHREYS on May 11, 1965, as set out above, HUMPHREYS was in the company of GODFREY at the Gay Nineties Room of the LaSalle Hotel.

[redacted]

[redacted] advised that HUMPHREYS at the present time has been using the services of [redacted] to attempt to influence opposition against the anti-crime bills currently pending in the Illinois Legislature. This informant identified [redacted] as being the Republican Ward Committeeman of the [redacted] ward of Chicago and as a former member of the Illinois State Legislature, who currently holds influence over some members of the Legislature.

[redacted]

[redacted] advised that a long-time associate of MURRAY HUMPHREYS is [redacted] who operates several legitimate businesses in the Chicago area and at one time was the operator of a wire-service in Chicago. This informant advised that HUMPHREYS met with [redacted] on at least one occasion during the past two weeks.

[redacted]

[redacted] identified [redacted] AS BEING A former partner of [redacted] above, in a race-wire service.

(b)(7)(c):(D)

This informant identified [redacted] as being an infrequent companion of MURRAY HUMPHREYS. The informant knows that HUMPHREYS met with [redacted] on at least one occasion during late April or early May, 1965.

[redacted].

[redacted] identified [redacted] as having been one of the leading bookmakers in the Chicago area. The informant is unable to advise whether [redacted] continues his bookmaking activities as of this date.

[redacted] advised that he is aware that HUMPHREYS met with [redacted] on at least one occasion during the period of late April or early May, 1965.

LESLIE KRUSE

[redacted] advised that MURRAY HUMPHREYS meets with LES KRUSE on an average of three times per week at the present time.

[redacted] has identified KRUSE as being one of the leaders of organized crime in the Chicago area.

RALPH PIERCE

[redacted] has advised that MURRAY HUMPHREYS meets with RALPH PIERCE three or four times per week, on an average.

[redacted] advised that RALPH PIERCE is perhaps the closest associate of HUMPHREYS, having been the bodyguard of HUMPHREYS at one time. This source advised that at the present time, PIERCE is in charge of the south side of Chicago for organized crime.

[redacted]

[redacted] advised that at the present time, [redacted] colored, is the maid of HUMPHREYS, and that she cleans HUMPHREYS' apartment approximately three times per week. As far as the informant is able to determine, she has no particular schedule, sometimes showing up on Monday,

(b)(7)(c):(D)

Wednesday and Friday mornings, and other times, on Tuesday and Thursday afternoons. The informant advised that [redacted] lives on the mid-south side of Chicago and that she is approximately 65 years of age.

[redacted]

[redacted] advised that MURRAY HUMPHREYS is very friendly with a [redacted] who resides in the same building, Marina Towers, as does HUMPHREYS. This informant was unable to furnish any further information concerning [redacted]

FRANK "BUSTER" WORTMAN

[redacted] advised that MURRAY HUMPHREYS works in close harmony with FRANK "BUSTER" WORTMAN, the leader of organized crime in downstate Illinois. He advised that WORTMAN resides in the area of East St. Louis, Illinois, and that he infrequently comes to Chicago to confer with HUMPHREYS. The informant advised that he knows that on April 13, 1965, WORTMAN was in Chicago to confer with HUMPHREYS concerning the efforts of HUMPHREYS and WORTMAN to influence opposition against the anti-crime bills which at that time were pending before the Illinois General Assembly in Springfield.

V. CURRENT MEETING PLACES AND HANGOUTS OF MURRAY HUMPHREYS

[redacted] advised in mid-April, 1965, that at that time HUMPHREYS and his associates in organized crime became aware that a law enforcement agency in the Chicago area attempted to install an electronic listening device in the Hoe Kow Restaurant at 73 East Lake Street in Chicago. The informant advised that HUMPHREYS and his associates have been meeting at the Hoe Kow Restaurant during the noon hour for the past five or six years on an infrequent basis. The informant was unable to advise as to the identity of the agency attempting the installation or as to how HUMPHREYS and his associates learned of the attempted installation. Informant speculated, however, that the management of the

(b)(7)(D)

restaurant, which is friendly to HUMPHREYS, learned of the installation and so informed HUMPHREYS and/or his associates.

As a result of the above information, HUMPHREYS and his associates added several new meeting spots to their list of such places. For approximately three or four weeks, following the discovery, they changed their meeting spots, and as of May 18, 1965, they continued to utilize the newly acquired meeting spots. However, they occasionally continued to meet at their old locations, although not with the frequency as heretofore. The informant advised, that, as a rule, the newly acquired meeting spots consist of restaurants which are either located on a second floor or in the rear of the building, the purpose being so that HUMPHREYS and his associates cannot be seen by accident from a passerby on the street. The informant advised that without exception, the newly-acquired meeting spots were in the Loop area of Chicago. This informant advised that it is the general practice of HUMPHREYS and his particular associates to make almost daily meetings during the noon hours in public restaurants.

The La Forchetta Restaurant,
421 South Wabash,
Chicago, Illinois

[redacted] advised that one of the restaurants utilized following the discovery of the eavesdropping device by the HUMPHREYS group was the La Forchetta Restaurant located at 421 South Wabash in Chicago. The informant advised that this location is on the second floor and the only access to it is on an elevator. He advised that the first floor location is a former strip joint which is now out of business, the Yacht Club.

The Jolly Chef Restaurant,
Wabash, between Washington and Madison,
Chicago, Illinois

[redacted] advised that another restaurant acquired by the HUMPHREYS group in late April, 1965, is the Jolly Chef Restaurant located in the rear of a building on the east side of Wabash Street between Madison and Washington, in the Loop

(b)(7)(c):(D)

area of Chicago. Informant advised that the HUMPHREYS group selected this spot due to the fact that it is located in a commercial building without view from the street and that it can be entered from a rear alley.

The King's Crown Restaurant,
Wacker Drive,
Chicago, Illinois

[redacted] advised that another restaurant acquired for meeting purposes by the HUMPHREYS group in late April, 1965, was the King's Crown Restaurant located just east of the Executive House Hotel in Chicago, on Wacker Drive.

Cally's Sandwich Shop,
Washington and Dearborn,
Chicago, Illinois

[redacted] advised that it practically is a daily custom of HUMPHREYS at the present time to have breakfast at approximately 10:00 a.m., in the company of Chicago attorney [redacted] at Calley's Sandwich Shop located just east of Dearborn Street on the north side of Washington.

Pete Fish's Restaurant,
Ontario and St. Clair Streets,
Chicago, Illinois

[redacted] advised that although MURRAY HUMPHREYS is aware that law enforcement agencies in the Chicago area know that he frequents Pete Fish's Restaurant, he continues to use this restaurant infrequently as a meeting place. The informant advised that HUMPHREYS is a very close friend of MIKE FISH, the former owner of this restaurant, who is currently employed there as a host, and that he relies on MIKE FISH to furnish protection to him from law enforcement agencies. For this reason, HUMPHREYS continues to use this restaurant, even though he knows that law enforcement agencies are aware of his presence there from time to time.

The Marina City Cocktail Lounge,
Chicago, Illinois

[redacted] advised that infrequently, HUMPHREYS enjoys

(b)(7)(c):(D)

cocktails during the late evening hours, at the Marina City Cocktail Lounge, 300 North State Street, Chicago, Illinois. Informant noted that HUMPHREYS resides in Marina City.

On April 14, 1965, HUMPHREYS was observed at the Marina City Cocktail Lounge at 10:30 p.m., by SA [redacted] HUMPHREYS was in the presence of a white male, 50 to 60 years old, brown hair, who was not identified by SA [redacted]

Jacque's Restaurant,
900 North Michigan,
Chicago, Illinois

[redacted] advised that HUMPHREYS infrequently uses Jacque's Restaurant as a meeting place with his associates in organized crime.

Trader Vic's Restaurant,
Palmer House Hotel,
Chicago, Illinois

[redacted] advised that for the past two or three years, HUMPHREYS and his close associates in organized crime have been using Trader Vic's Restaurant in the basement of the Palmer House Hotel as a mid-day meeting place. Informant advised, however, that following their discovery that an electronic eavesdropping device had been installed in one of their meeting places, HUMPHREYS and his associates discontinued using Trader Vic's Restaurant. However, the informant feels that they may have used this restaurant on one occasion since their discovery.

Palmer's Pub,
Chicago, Illinois

[redacted] advised that HUMPHREYS and his close associates in organized crime used Palmer's Pub as a luncheon meeting place for the past year or so. However, in mid-April, due to their discovery that an electronic eavesdropping device had been installed in one of their luncheon meeting

(b)(7)(c):(D)

places, they discontinued using Palmer's Pub as a meeting place.

However, on May 14, 1965, SAs [redacted] and [redacted] observed HUMPHREYS meeting on the second floor of Palmer's Pub with KRUSE, PIERCE and [redacted] above.

Office of [redacted]
Chicago, Illinois

[redacted] advised that it is almost a daily habit of HUMPHREYS to have breakfast with [redacted] a Chicago attorney specializing in tax advice, at Cally's Sandwich Shop, above. The informant advised that HUMPHREYS generally then goes with [redacted] to the office of [redacted] at [redacted] from approximately 10:30 until approximately 11:30, when he then usually meets with his associates in organized crime for lunch.

Staley's Restaurant,
Eisenhower Expressway
and Wells Street,
Chicago, Illinois

[redacted] advised that HUMPHREYS infrequently meets with his associates at Staley's Restaurant. He advised that he knows that HUMPHREYS met there during the dinner hour on April 16, 1965, with [redacted] and [redacted] above.

The Gay Nineties Room,
LaSalle Hotel,
Chicago, Illinois

[redacted] advised that one of the locations selected by HUMPHREYS and his close associates as a noontime meeting place following their discovery of the electronic listening device in the Hoe Kow Restaurant, was the Gay Nineties Room of the LaSalle Hotel.

Attention is directed to the information appearing above under the caption "Service of A Subpoena on MURRAY HUMPHREYS," indicating that service of this subpoena was

effected on May 11, 1965, while he was lunching with HY GODFREY in the Gay Nineties Room.

VI. TRAVELS OF MURRAY HUMPHREYS

(b)(7)(C); (D)

Norman, Oklahoma

It is noted that information was reported in the last report on HUMPHREYS indicating that a Chicago informant furnished information that HUMPHREYS was traveling on March 17, 1965. The informant speculated that HUMPHREYS was traveling to the Miami, Florida, area. However, this information proved to be in error, and in fact, [redacted] advised that HUMPHREYS, instead, traveled to Norman, Oklahoma.

[redacted] advised that HUMPHREYS traveled to Norman, Oklahoma, on this occasion and again during the first week of April, 1965. The informant also advised that HUMPHREYS journeyed to the Norman area on May 15, 16 and 17, 1965.

It is noted that information is set out above indicating that HUMPHREYS was in Norman, Oklahoma, to arrange [redacted] and in response to a subpoena calling for an adjustment in his alimony payments to his first wife.

Hot Springs, Arkansas

[redacted] advised that MURRAY HUMPHREYS registered at the Hotel Majestic in Hot Springs, Arkansas, on April 25, 1965, at 12:06 p.m., under the identity L. HUMPHREY , 300 North State Street, Chicago, Il, Illinois [redacted] spent most of his time taking thermal baths and spending most of his time in his room and in the immediate vicinity of the hotel.

[redacted] advised that HUMPHREYS checked out of the Hotel Majestic at 12:55 p.m. on May 6, 1965.

[redacted] advised that he understands that while HUMPHREYS was in Hot Springs, Arkansas, he attended the funeral

of OWEN MADDEN, former New York hoodlum who had spent most of the past twenty years in Hot Springs, Arkansas. Informant noted, however, that it was entirely accidental that HUMPHREYS happened to be in Hot Springs at the time of MADDEN's death, since MADDEN died two or three days following the arrival of HUMPHREYS.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to File No.

Chicago, Illinois
MAY 25, 1965

TITLE: MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS

CHARACTER: ANTI-RACKETEERING (b)(7)(c)

REFERENCE: Report of SA [redacted] dated and captioned as above, at Chicago, Illinois.

All sources (except any listed below) whose identities are concealed in referenced communication have furnished reliable information in the past.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO XXX TELETYPE

3:03 PM CDST URGENT 5-24-65
TO DIRECTOR, BALTIMORE, NEW YORK AND OKLAHOMA CITY
NEW YORK VIA WASHINGTON-ENCODED
FROM CHICAGO 241734

[redacted]

PROPOSED EXCHANGE TWELVE MILLION DOLLARS IN DOMINICAN REPUBLIC PESOS FOR AMERICAN DOLLARS, AR.

(b)(7)(c):(D)

RE NEW YORK AND OKLAHOMA CITY TELS MAY 23 LAST.

FOR INFO BALTIMORE, CHICAGO TOP HOODLUM MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS HAS BEEN PROPOSITIONED TO EFFECT EXCHANGE OF TWELVE MILLION DOLLARS IN DOMINICAN REPUBLIC PESOS FOR AMERICAN DOLLARS. INFORMATION AVAILABLE FROM HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE INDICATES [redacted], NEW YORK CITY, HAS ACCESS TO PESOS. NEW YORK OFFICE INVESTIGATION DETERMINED [redacted] IS [redacted] WHO HAS PRIVATE LAW PRACTICE WITH [redacted] BALTIMORE, MARYLAND. BALTIMORE INSTRUCTED TO CHECK INDICES RE [redacted] AND IF BALTIMORE HAS RELIABLE SOURCE, [redacted] DEVELOP BACKGROUNG INFORMATION RE [redacted] BUREAU INSTRUCTED, THIS SITUATION RECEIVE EXPEDITIOUS ATTENTION WITH DAILY SUMMARY TELETYPES WHILE INVESTIGATION PENDING. LEADS TO BE HANDLED BY TELETYPE. DISCRETION URGED SINCE SOURCE IS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL.

92-3088-1997

REC-21

10 MAY 26 1965

ST-101

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

PAGE TWO FROM CHICAGO 241734

(b)(7)(c):(D)

OKLAHOMA CITY SHOULD MAKE DISCREET CONTACT WITH [redacted] NO SPECIFIC QUESTIONS OR REFERENCE SHOULD BE MADE THIS SITUATION; HOWEVER, ATTEMPT SHOULD BE MADE TO LEAD [redacted] INTO VOLUNTEERING INFORMATION CONCERNING RECENT ACTIVITIES OF HUMPHREYS, EVEN THOUGH HE PROBABLY IS NOT ATTORNEY INVOLVED.

NEW YORK OFFICE CONTINUE EFFORTS TO IDENTIFY POSSIBLE [redacted] NEW YORK OFFICE SHOULD REFER TO MYAIRTEL MAY 20 LAST WHEREIN ON PAGE SEVEN, HUMPHREYS INDICATES LAWYER INVOLVED IS "THE HEAD LAWYER IN NEW YORK FOR THIS COMPANY,." HOWEVER, AS INDICATED ON PAGE 5, HUMPHREYS SAYS "THIS IS ON ONE OF THE HEAD LAWYERS FROM THE COMPANY IN NEW YORK," ALTHOUGH HUMPHREYS NOT CLEAR, APPEARS LAWYER INVOLVED IS IN NEW YORK AND MAY NOT BE ACTUAL CHIEF OF LEGAL STAFF, PARTICULARLY SINCE [redacted] APPARENTLY SPENDS CONSIDERABLE TIME WITH PRIVATE PRACTICE IN BALTIMORE.

NO FURTHER INFORMATION OBTAINED THROUGH HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE, THIS SITUATION, TO DATE.

RECEIVED: 4:22 [redacted]

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

5-24-65

CODE

TELETYPE

URGENT

TO SACS BALTIMORE
NEWARK

FROM DIRECTOR FBI

PROPOSED EXCHANGE TWELVE MILLION DOLLARS IN DOMINICAN PESOS FOR AMERICAN DOLLARS, AR. OO: CHICAGO.

RENYTEL INSTANT DATE.

YOUR OFFICES SHOULD SUTEL TO BUREAU RESULTS OF INDICES CHECKS IN ADDITION TO FURNISHING SAME TO CHICAGO AND NEW YORK.

RECEIVED TELETYPE UNIT
MAY 24 8 01 PM '65
F.B.I.
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

VIA TELETYPE
MAY 24 1965
8:30 PM [redacted]
ENCIPHERED

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

[redacted] (3)

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☑

REC-32 92-3088 1388

19 MAY 25 1965

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

3 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552		Section 552a
☐ (b)(1)	☐ (b)(7)(A)	☐ (d)(5)
☐ (b)(2)	☐ (b)(7)(B)	☐ (j)(2)
☐ (b)(3)	☑ (b)(7)(C)	☐ (k)(1)
_____	☑ (b)(7)(D)	☐ (k)(2)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(E)	☐ (k)(3)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(F)	☐ (k)(4)
☐ (b)(4)	☐ (b)(8)	☐ (k)(5)
☐ (b)(5)	☐ (b)(9)	☐ (k)(6)
☐ (b)(6)		☐ (k)(7)

☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1389 & 1390

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO XXX TELETYPE

12:13 PM CDST URGENT 5-26-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR AND OKLAHOMA CITY
FROM CHICAGO 261559

[redacted]

PROPOSED EXCHANGE $12,000,000 IN DOMINICAN REPUBLIC PESOS FOR AMERICAN: AR. [redacted]

(b)(7)(c)

RE OKLAHOMA CITY RADIOGRAM MAY 25 LAST.

SINCE BUREAU INSTRUCTS EXPEDITIOUS HANDLING THIS INVESTIGATION OKLAHOMA CITY REQUESTED TO INITIATE DISCREET CONTACT WITH [redacted] RE ACTIVITIES OF HUMPHREYS WITHOUT DISCLOSING PURPOSE OF CONTACT.

RECEIVED: 1:26 PM [redacted]

REC 14 92-3088-1391

MAY 27 1965

50 JUN 9 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION
MAY 26 1965
TELETYPE

Mr. Tolson
Mr. Belmont
Mr. Mohr
Mr. DeLoach
Mr. Casper
Mr. Callahan
Mr. Conrad
Mr. Felt
Mr. Gale
Mr. Rosen
Mr. Sullivan
Mr. Tavel
Mr. Trotter
Tele. Room
Miss Holmes
Miss Gandy

FBI OKLACITY

558PM CST URGENT 5/26/65 [redacted]

TO DIRECTOR AND CHICAGO

FROM OKLAHOMA CITY (92-179)

PROPOSED EXCHANGE TWELVE MILLION DOLLARS IN DOMINICAN REPUBLIC PESOS FOR AMERICAN DOLLARS, AR.

(b)(7)(c)

RERAD BUREAU TO OKLA. CITY AND CHICAGO MAY TWENTYSIX.

[redacted] HUNPHREYS' ATTORNEY, IN NORMAN, OKLAHOMA OUT OF THE CITY AND EXPECTED TO RETURN FRIDAY, NEXT, AT WHICH TIME WILL BE CONTACTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH RERAD.

END

WA...G [redacted]

FBI WASH DC

CG

FBI CHICAGO

BFCPDS CLRJLQ8

92-3058-13

REC-110

MAY 27 1965

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

[redacted]

9:56 PM CDST URGENT 5-25-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 260059

MURRAY L. (also known as Anti-racketeering) HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY. (b)(7)(c)

REC-16

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HUMPHREYS GROUP INTENDS LUNCHEON MEET MAY 25 WITH ANTHONY ACCARDO AT BEEF AND BOURBON RESTAURANT, OLD TOWN SECTION CHICAGO, WHICH IS OPERATED BY [redacted] WHOSE FATHER OPERATED COTTEN CLUB FOR AL CAPONE.

HUMPHREYS DISCUSSED INTERVIEW OF HIM MAY 24 BY CHICAGO OFFICE RE WHEREABOUTS EDWARD VOGEL FOR SERVICE OF SUBPOENA. HUMPHREYS ADVISED AGENT VOGEL OUT OF TOWN. HUMPHREYS DURING CONVERSATION WITH GODFREY RE INTERVIEW INDICATED VOGEL PRESENTLY AT CALIFORNIA RESIDENCE.

HUMPHREYS DURING PAST WEEK HAD OCCASION TO MEET SEVERAL BUREAU AGENTS KNOWN TO HIM PREVIOUSLY ONLY BY REPUTATION. ACCORDING TO SOURCE AS RESULT HUMPHREYS HAS DEVELOPED GREAT RESPECT FOR FBI. HAS COMMENTED CONCERNING PERSONALITIES THESE AGENTS AND CONCLUDED "NO WONDER PEOPLE TALK TO THEM."

RECEIVED: 11:04 PM [redacted]

REC-16

MR. BELMONT FOR THE DIRECTOR

92-3088-13

CC: MR. GALE

4 MAY 28 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

4:05 PM CDST DEFERRED 5-26-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 261759

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

(b)(7)(c)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HUMPHREYS FEELS DEMOCRATIC FIRST WARD COMMITTEEMAN [redacted] FOOLISH FOR ATTEMPTING TO EVADE FEDERAL GRAND JURY SUBPOENA. NOTED ATTEMPTS MADE TO SERVE [redacted] AT WARD HEADQUARTERS MAY 24 WITHOUT SUCCESS. ARRANGEMENTS MADE THAT TIME WITH [redacted] WARD SECRETARY, FOR [redacted] TO BE AVAILABLE A.M. MAY 25; HOWEVER, [redacted] ADVISED THAT DATE HE HAD BEEN UNABLE TO REACH [redacted] CALL THEN RECEIVED FROM HOODLUM ATTORNEY [redacted] NOON MAY 25 WHO WAS INFORMED OF OUTSTANDING SUBPOENA. HE ADVISED HE WOULD SO INFORM [redacted] AFTER EXTENSIVE OPEN INQUIRY FOR [redacted] AT ALL OF HIS KNOWN HANGOUTS, [redacted] FINALLY CONTACTED AGENT WITH SUBPOENA P.M. MAY 25 LAST AND ACCEPTED SERVICE AT BELDEN STRATFORD HOTEL FOR APPEARANCE BEFORE FGJ TODAY. DURING COURSE OF CONTINUED INQUIRY FOR [redacted] CHICAGO PRESS APPARENTLY BECAME AWARE WHICH RESULTED IN CONSIDERABLE ADVERSE PUBLICITY OF [redacted] HUMPHREYS COMMENTED TO HY GODFREY [redacted] RECEIVED MORE BAD PUBLICITY THAN OTHER WITNESSES EVEN BEFORE HE APPEARED. FEELS [redacted] ACTION IN ATTEMPTING TO EVADE SUBPOENA FOOLISH SINCE IN END HE MUST APPEAR.

EX 110

RECEIVED: 5:16 PM [redacted] REC-24 92-3088-1594

8 MAY 27 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

FD-36 (Rev. 5-22-64)

FBI

Date: 5/20/65

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

JUNE

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (92-350 sub 1Z)

SUBJECT: MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka
AR

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(c):(D)

SUMMARY

EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE EXERCISED IN THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION. IT SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE BODY OF A REPORT EVEN THOUGH PARAPHRASED. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED IN LEAD COVERAGE OR AS THE BASIS FOR AN INTERVIEW UNLESS SPECIFIC CLEARANCE IS OBTAINED FROM THE BUREAU AND FROM CHICAGO. THIS IS AN EXTREMELY DELICATE AND SENSITIVE SOURCE WHICH THE CHICAGO OFFICE IS MAKING EVERY EFFORT TO FULLY PROTECT.

[redacted] furnished information concerning activities on 5/18/65:

This source advised that at 10:20 p.m. on the above date, HUMPHREYS' associate [redacted] arrived to converse with HUMPHREYS. Apparently he had again been requested by HUMPHREYS to photostat a copy of the material which this source has previously indicated that HUMPHREYS has received from an unknown individual who is obviously an employee of some type of state or government agency. HUMPHREYS indicated that he was displeased with the quality of the photostating

3 - Bureau (RM)
1 - New York (RM)
1 - Oklahoma City (RM)
1 - Chicago
(6)
[redacted]

EX-100 REC 33

92-3088-1395

CC retained for destruction by G.C. Moore

6-2 1965

SPEC. MAIL RM.

Approved: _____ Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

6 JUN 9 1965

(b)(7)(c)

which [redacted] had had performed, inasmuch as some of the words and numbers on the material which had been copied were illegible. In this regard, the following conversation took place:

HUMPHREYS: I held this book out because I wanted you to make this set over since the printing you had done was bad. And I brought the other one back to the guy. And the guy said I was supposed to bring them back to him yesterday. And I didn't do it. I don't know how the hell he gets in the office anyway. He had to put it back where he got it because all the agents work on this set. And here's another thing. The main thing is to get the numbers of the cases and the numbers of the cases are wiped off on some of this here. You should of checked each page as you printed it, as it came out and made sure it printed right. We want the number of the case in order to look it up. If it's knocked out, that's the important thing. Look at page 13 here. Here's 15. The printing here is smaller.

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS: Yes, look at it. Read this right here, you can't read it.

[redacted] ...

HUMPHREYS: Wait a minute, what's the words here, you can't read it. You didn't check your printing when it came out of the machine. Look at page 6, read the top line.

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS: (b)(7)(c)

All right, [redacted], that's what I'm talking about. And we've got to have the numbers, the numbers are the main thing. I'm going to give him this book back and I'm going to have to ask him to get the other book out again. But you've got to do it right this time, [redacted] I'd do it myself but they're following me and you're clean, they don't know you do work for me, you can go wherever you want without any trouble.

The Chicago Office is unable to make a definite interpretation in regard to this conversation. However, it is noted that the source has previously advised that the material which has been obtained for HUMPHREYS has been obtained surreptitiously, and that the papers are "government" papers. It is noted that in a previous conversation concerning this material, HUMPHREYS cautioned [redacted] not to "get caught" with the papers in his possession because he could be sentenced to ten years in prison as a result. In this regard, [redacted] made the comment that if he were caught, he would say that he had found the papers and was on his way to return them to the Government.

In the above conversation, it is felt that key words involved are the words "agents" and "case numbers." Although the words "case numbers" could refer to legal cases, and not to matters under investigation, it would appear that since the word "agents" appears in the same context, that the words "case numbers" probably refer to a case involving the investigation of a subject. From HUMPHREYS comment, "I don't know how the hell he gets in the office anyway," it would appear that whoever is furnishing this material to him is not actually an employee of the agency where the material is kept.

The Chicago Office has been very alert, as set out in my airtel dated 5/18/65, which was a reply to Bureau instructions that this situation be followed very closely, so that any information in regard to the material

which HUMPHREYS has obtained, and apparently is obtaining, can be closely scrutinized. However, on the basis of the information available to date, the Chicago Office is unable to make any definite conclusion as to the agency involved or as to the exact nature of the material being received.

HUMPHREYS then discussed the fact that he had been subpoenaed to appear before the Federal grand jury in the Northern District of Illinois on the following day, 5/19/65. HUMPHREYS indicated that he was going to "disguise myself." It is noted that when HUMPHREYS did appear in response to this subpoena, he was wearing a wide-brimmed straw hat with the brim upturned, and with large framed sunglasses. HUMPHREYS, in fact, cannot wear a hat due to the fact that he suffers from a nervous disease of the forehead.

(b)(7)(c)

Following the above conversation, HUMPHREYS and [redacted] then conversed as follows:

HUMPHREYS: Oh, listen, this company that you had your patent with, was that Marietta? That Toni Wave outfit?

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS: Who is Marietta? That place on 700 Michigan? Who's Marietta?

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS: Who is your company, the Toni Wave Company? They owned a lot of things.

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS: I think it's Marietta. I'll tell you why I ask. The lawyer in Oklahoma talked to me, he had a deal where some guy had Dominican Republic, where Trujillo used to be? The guy had $12,000,000 in pesos, not million dollars, 12,000,000 pesos,

and the pesos run about 78¢ to a dollar and the United States underwrites it or something. And he's a lamster from there. And this guy talked to me, could I exchange the money, see? And one of the lawyers from New York, and its Marietta. It's Toni Wave or something. And this is one of the head lawyers from the company in New York. And he called this lawyer while I was down there, and he's a very sharp guy. Really knows how to pull the tricks and things. And he's an officer of a bank there. Some executive of a bank. And he talked to me about it, he said he called me about it. And I said I don't know, I said maybe the company has got a warrant out for them and that money would be no good if it was stolen. Like when a ruler leaves the country with that kind of money, they have the works on him to bring him back. If he's a swindler or somebody. So what I want to find out is on the Marietta thing. Because the pesos seem to be in high class hands with them, not a racket thing, you know, and he asked me and I said, well, how do you know its' good money. It may be counterfeit money, I said you'd have to test some of it first. But I just wondered. A deal like that is a pretty good deal. Because there's a lot of money involved. See, because a guy like you could take a little trip over to Switzerland and put a little bit in the bank. Because the Swiss banks don't give out any information. You could go over there and say I want to exchange this money for American money. And then they turn it in to the country and get their money on percentage. They charge you, but not much. I said I'd hate to have this money and then find out it's counterfeit. But see, when I came back, I talked to LES and he said we have the okay there for gambling. But we turned it down because it's too far away. For American people. But, I talked to LES about this, and he said, let me check, because I think they offered

me that money one time. See, if I remember right, the guy had two sons when he got assassinated. One was a play boy, he went to Europe. And the other guy, he beat it. And they tried to bring the play boy back from Europe but he wouldn't take the job. So that's what makes me suspicious, because, you see, he had a lot of money when he went to Europe. So you see, maybe the country put a stop on this money. If it's in big denominations. But if it's in 50's and 100's, it would be hard for them to put a stop on it. Because you send in a little bit at a time.

Ramfi Rhadam

[redacted] [redacted] (b)(7)(c)

HUMPHREYS:

Yes. Sometimes they do and sometimes they don't. But the guy left with 12,000,000 dollars in pesos. And the guy says he would give me a million dollars if I could exchange the money. And he would make a million. I'd have to get a crew. But I could take a guy like you and send you over to exchange it, you know? You know how you do? You walk in those banks like you would here and everything is very confidential. They would take you in a private office and you don't have to use a name, you use a number with them. Say, I'm number 30. They put your real name down but they don't give that out. There's a Swiss law against that and anybody gives out your name gets a year in jail, automatically. There's a lot of guys lammed to Switzerland. MUSSOLINI tried and was caught. The banks are full of money from rulers who run away. I explained all this to him, how it was done. A guy could just take this money in a grip if he wanted to and go right over there. There are a lot of corporations in the United States that have money invested in Switzerland. And they play the stock

market with it. But Marietta, I thought sure that that was this guy that you had. I think it's an over-the-counter stock; but see if you can't find out about Marietta. I know I've heard about that company from somebody, I thought it was you. Anyway, this lawyer who has control of that is the head lawyer in New York for this company. And he called this other lawyer because, as I say, he's an officer of the bank. And a pretty smart operator. So, see what you can do, [redacted] because there is some money to be made here. And I know the guy is willing to give up two million dollars for the exchange. They want a million for their end, for handling it. See what you can find out about Marietta. We'll see what we can manipulate on this deal. I know the guy will trust me and give me the money.

(b)(7)(c)

[redacted] [redacted]

It is noted that the Martin Marietta Company has offices on the corner of Michigan Avenue and Ontario Street on the near north side of Chicago. The address of the company is actually 101 East Ontario, but the address of the company on Michigan Avenue would be 620 North Michigan. Therefore, it is obvious that this is the company which HUMPHREYS discusses.

A pretext telephone call to that company on 5/19/65 determined that at the present time the headquarters of this company are in New York City, and that within the year, all operations of Martin Marietta are to be transferred to New York City. At the present time there are only 12 employees of the company in New York. This call also elicited the information that the legal staff of MARTIN MARIETTA is located in New York as are all officers of the company.

In this regard, it is noted that HUMPHREYS recalls that someone has informed him about the Martin Marietta Company and that he believed it was [redacted] In fact,

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(c):(D)

it is known that HUMPHREYS' information was received from [redacted] whose tailor shop formerly was located in the building immediately adjacent to Martin Marietta. [redacted] has advised that on three or four occasions, [redacted] mentioned different officers of the Martin Marietta Company to him. HUMPHREYS also has forgotten that approximately five years ago, he frequently entered the American Marietta Building in order to surreptitiously enter Celano's Custom Tailors. Although he recalls vaguely that he has some knowledge of the Martin Marietta Company, he apparently cannot recall what the source of his recollection is.

In regard to the Martin Marietta Company, it is known that they are primarily interested in construction materials, but that there are approximately a dozen subsidiaries and/or divisions of the Martin Marietta Corp., which manufacture other materials. Although it is not known that they own the company which manufactures the permanent wave called "Toni's," this apparently is true. It is also known that [redacted] some years ago perfected a patent on a type of packaging involving aluminum cans. Apparently HUMPHREYS felt that the company which used this patent to manufacture the packaging was the Marietta Company. This, apparently, is what made him believe that [redacted] would be able to answer questions concerning this company.

Concerning the identity of the lawyer in Oklahoma, it is noted that the Oklahoma City Division in Norman, Okla., is aware of the identities of the two attorneys who have been utilized by HUMPHREYS in the recent past in order to [redacted] and to increase the alimony payments that HUMPHREYS is making to his first wife. Oklahoma City is therefore requested to identify this attorney.

Concerning the attorney in New York City who is apparently the [redacted] of Martin Marietta, the New York Office is requested to discreetly determine his identity if such is possible without jeopardizing this source.

Careful attention was devoted to this conversation to attempt to determine whether HUMPHREYS gave any indication as to whether or not he has previously utilized the banking services in Switzerland. It is believed, from his conversation, that he is not speaking from experience, when he discusses the procedure involved there. Although he discusses that corporations and "rulers" utilize this situation in Switzerland, at no point did he give any indication that he has used this situation or that any of his associates in organized crime have done so.

There is no indication from the conversation as to who brought the $12,000,000 worth of Dominican Republic pesos out of the Dominican Republic and in whose possession they are at this time. Apparently HUMPHREYS feels that there is a possibility that one of the sons of the now-deceased Dominican Republican dictator, TRUJILLO, brought the money out; however, HUMPHREYS does not appear to know this for certain.

(b)(7)(c)

From the conversation, above, it appears fairly certain that the pesos are available to the [redacted] of the Martin Marietta Company in New York [redacted] that he has made some type of contact with the attorney in Norman, Oklahoma, who in turn has contacted HUMPHREYS to determine whether HUMPHREYS would be interested in making the exchange of the pesos for American dollars. It is noted that HUMPHREYS was in Norman over the week end of May 15-16, 1965.

It also appears fairly obvious that this attorney has indicated to HUMPHREYS that if he can successfully effect the exchange, he will receive $1,000,000 in American money. It also appears that the [redacted] of Martin Marietta will also receive a million dollars for his part in the transaction. This, apparently, will leave $10,000,000 for the person who possesses the pesos at this time.

It would appear that the reason that the lawyer in New York called the lawyer in Oklahoma for this purpose is due to the fact that the latter is also an executive of a bank in Norman, Okla., and that the first lawyer felt that due to the banking connections of the Oklahoma lawyer, the latter

would be in a position to either directly effect the exchange himself or would be in a position to advise as to the mechanics of such an operation.

HUMPHREYS indicates that he has already discussed this situation with Chicago top hoodlum LESLIE KRUSE. It is noted that Chicago Division feels that KRUSE is the individual who is ordinarily contacted by the Chicago group when an investment in a legitimate enterprise is contemplated. Apparently KRUSE indicated that he has previously heard something about this situation and that it had been offered to him previously. However, apparently HUMPHREYS is going to have KRUSE conduct an investigation of this situation with a view towards furnishing a recommendation as to whether the HUMPHREYS group should become involved in it.

It is also of interest to note that HUMPHREYS commented that he had discussed the situation wherein the Chicago group contemplated an investment for purposes of controlling gambling in Santo Domingo. The attention of the Bureau is invited, in this regard, to information obtained from another highly confidential source wherein it is known that KRUSE had traveled to Santo Domingo for SAM GIANCANA for purposes of determining whether it was feasible to become involved in gambling casinos there. It is therefore of interest when HUMPHREYS stated, "We have the okay there for gambling. But we turned it down because it's too far away. For American people."

(b)(7)(c)

In regard to [redacted] it is noted that [redacted] has been used increasingly in the past two or three years by HUMPHREYS. He is formerly the manager of three or four hotels in the Chicago area and also was the manager of a gambling operation in the East St. Louis, Ill., area for FRANK "BUSTER" WORTMAN, Springfield top hoodlum. He lives with a pianist whose name is believed to be [redacted] and who recently was hired by the Conrad Hilton Hotel to perform there after [redacted] was sent to [redacted] the hirer of talent at the Conrad Hilton. HUMPHREYS has been very careful not to

(b)(7)(c):(D)

involve[redacted] in any situation which could come to the attention of law enforcement officials. It is believed that only on one occasion did he ever visit HUMPHREYS at Celano's Custom Tailors, the former headquarters of HUMPHREYS, and he very seldom meets with HUMPHREYS other than in the apartment of HUMPHREYS. However, he was observed in the company of HUMPHREYS on one occasion, approximately five years ago, when HUMPHREYS cabareted with Chicago top hoodlum [redacted] at Mangum's Chateau in a western suburb of Chicago, Lyons, Illinois. Another occasion when [redacted] was observed with HUMPHREYS was by the [redacted] when HUMPHREYS and [redacted] visited Canada in order for HUMPHREYS to arbitrate a dispute between Canadian gamblers in the fall of 1963. [redacted]

Obviously it is HUMPHREYS' strategy to keep [redacted] isolated from investigative attention of law enforcement agency so that [redacted] is free to carry on the work of HUMPHREYS when HUMPHREYS, fearful that he might be detected by law enforcement officers, deems it more advisable not to involve himself in a situation. This is what HUMPHREYS indicates when he says, above, "I'd do it myself, but they're following me and you're clean, they don't know you do work for me, you can go wherever you want without any trouble."

The Chicago Office is using the theory, similar to that involving [redacted] in Las Vegas, Nev., not to conduct any open investigation of [redacted] due to the fact that his close connections with HUMPHREYS are known and to keep him in the good graces of HUMPHREYS so that he is even more increasingly utilized by HUMPHREYS. In the event an investigation of [redacted] was opened with active investigation involved, it is believed that HUMPHREYS would be very circumspect in allowing [redacted] to frequent his apartment. This would have the effect, then, of decreasing the coverage of HUMPHREYS and his activities through this source.

The Chicago Office will continue to follow both situations set out above.

EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE EXERCISED IN THE USE

OF THIS INFORMATION. IT SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE BODY OF A REPORT EVEN THOUGH PARAPHRASED. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED IN LEAD COVERAGE OR AS THE BASIS FOR AN INTERVIEW UNLESS SPECIFIC CLEARANCE IS OBTAINED FROM THE BUREAU AND FROM CHICAGO. THIS IS AN EXTREMELY DELICATE AND SENSITIVE SOURCE WHICH THE CHICAGO OFFICE IS MAKING EVERY EFFORT TO FULLY PROTECT.

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

4 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552		Section 552a
☐ (b)(1)	☐ (b)(7)(A)	☐ (d)(5)
☑ (b)(2)	☐ (b)(7)(B)	☐ (j)(2)
☐ (b)(3)	☑ (b)(7)(C)	☐ (k)(1)
_____	☑ (b)(7)(D)	☐ (k)(2)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(E)	☐ (k)(3)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(F)	☐ (k)(4)
☐ (b)(4)	☐ (b)(8)	☐ (k)(5)
☐ (b)(5)	☐ (b)(9)	☐ (k)(6)
☐ (b)(6)		☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1396

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION
MAY 24 1965
TELETYPE

SENT BY CODED TELETYPE

FBI NEW YORK

5-26PM EDT URGENT 5-24-65 [redacted]

TO DIRECTOR, FBI --9--, BALTIMORE, CHICAGO AND NEWARK [redacted]

FROM NEW YORK /92-3799/

/3 PAGES/ [redacted]

I

PROPOSED EXCHANGE TWELVE MILLION DOLLARS IN DOMINICAN PESOS FOR AMERICAN DOLLARS., AR OO..CHICAGO

Anti-racketeering

RENYTEL MAY TWENTYTWO LAST, NO COPIES TO BALTIMORE AND NEWARK.

FOR INFO BALTIMORE AND NEWARK, CHICAGO HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED MURRAY HUMPHREYS, CHICAGO HOODLUM, CONTACTED BY NORMAN, OKLAHOMA ATTORNEY THERE DURING WEEKEND MAY FIFTEEN-SIXTEEN LAST. ATTORNEY ADVISED HUMPHREYS AN ATTORNEY IN NYC WHO IS [redacted] COMPANY PRESUMED TO BE MARTIN MARIETTA COMPANY, HAS ACCESS TO TWELVE MILLION DOLLARS IN DOMINICAN REPUBLIC PESOS. OKLAHOMA ATORNEY OFFERED HUMPHREYS ONE MILLION DOLLARS FOR EFFECTING EXCHANGE OF THESE PESOS FOR AMERICAN DOLLARS AND INDICATED NY ATTORNEY WOULD TAKE ONE MILLION DOLLARS FOR HIS END.

REC 4 92-3799-139

21 MAY 28 1965

HUNPHREYS INVESTIGATING SITUATION TO DETERMINE IF EXCHANGE FEASIBLE SITUATION RE STATUS DOMINICAN REPUBLIC PESOS, AND BACKGROUND OF NY ATTORNEY AND MARTIN MARIETTA COMPANY. IF HUMPHREYS FEELS PROPOSITION FEASIBLE, HE WILL CONSIDER SENDING HIS CHICAGO ASSOCIATE [redacted] TO SWITZERLAND TO EFFECT EXCHANGE AT SWISS BANK.

SND PAGE ONE

MR. BELMONT FOR THE DIRECTOR

Mr. Tolson
Mr. Belmont
Mr. Mohr
Mr. Casper
Mr. Callahan
Mr. Conrad
Mr. Felt
Mr. Gale
Mr. Rosen
Mr. Sullivan
Mr. Tavel
Mr. Trotter
Tele. Room
Miss Holmes
Miss Gandy

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

2 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552		Section 552a
☐ (b)(1)	☐ (b)(7)(A)	☐ (d)(5)
☐ (b)(2)	☐ (b)(7)(B)	☐ (j)(2)
☐ (b)(3)	☑ (b)(7)(C)	☐ (k)(1)
_____	☑ (b)(7)(D)	☐ (k)(2)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(E)	☐ (k)(3)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(F)	☐ (k)(4)
☐ (b)(4)	☐ (b)(8)	☐ (k)(5)
☐ (b)(5)	☐ (b)(9)	☐ (k)(6)
☐ (b)(6)		☐ (k)(7)

☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1397 (pgs 2 & 3)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☒ RADIO ☐ TELETYPE

R-94

URGENT 5-25-65

TO DIRECTOR, BALTIMORE, NEW YORK, CHICAGO

NEW YORK VIA WASHINGTON - ENCODED

FROM OKLAHOMA CITY 251900

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS

PROPOSED EXCHANGE TWELVE MILLION DOLLARS IN DOMINICAN REPUBLIC PESOS FOR AMERICAN DOLLARS. AR.

(b)(7)(c):(D)

RE CHICAGO TELETYPE MAY 22 LAST. CHICAGO RADIOGRAM MAY 23 LAST. CHICAGO AIRTEL TO BUREAU MAY 20 LAST.

PERUSAL REFERENCED AIRTEL REFERRING TO "THE LAWYER IN OKLAHOMA" AND HUMPHREYS' ASSERTION HE IS A "SHARP GUY" AND WAS CALLED FROM NEW YORK BY THE ATTORNEY FROM MARIETTA COMPANY, TENDS TO INDICATE ATTORNEY IN NORMAN IS PROBABLY [redacted] HUMPHREYS' PRESENT ATTORNEY, WHO IS MATURE, INTELLIGENT AND CONSIDERED BEST ATTORNEY IN NORMAN. HE HAS NATIONWIDE CONTACTS IN CONTRAST TO [redacted], WHO IS YOUNG, RELATIVELY INEXPERIENCED AND WITHOUT KNOWN CONTACTS IN NEW YORK. BOTH ASSOCIATED WITH BANKS IN NORMAN.

REFERENCED CHICAGO TELETYPE INDICATES HOLD CONTACT WITH [redacted] IN ABEYANCE WHILE CHICAGO RADIOGRAM INDICATES CONTACT WITH [redacted] SHOULD BE MADE. CONTACT WITH [redacted] NOT BEING INITIATED [redacted] ATTEMPT WILL BE MADE TO TACTFULLY LEAD [redacted] TO FURNISH RELAYED TO BALTIMORE AND CHICAGO

92-3088-1298

4 MAY 28 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☒ RADIO ☐ TELETYPE

PAGE 2 FROM OKLAHOMA CITY 251900

(b)(7)(c):(D)

SOME INFORMATION OF VALUE.

CONCERNING CONTACTS IN SWITZERLAND, REPORT SA [redacted] DATED NOVEMBER 22, 1963 INDICATES [redacted] REPORTED HUMPHREYS' FIRST WIFE VISITED SWITZERLAND OCTOBER 18 TO 20, 1963 WHEN SUBJECT AND WIFE VISITED EUROPE. [redacted] OKLAHOMA CITY LETTER TO CHICAGO DECEMBER 21, 1964, INDICATES HUMPHREYS' FIRST WIFE AND GRANDSON VISITED EUROPE SUMMER AND FALL, 1964, AND [redacted]

FROM ABOVE, IT IS BELIEVED HUMPHREYS WELL AWARE BANKING PROCEDURES IN SWITZERLAND. COULD POSSIBLY HAVE SENT HIS FIRST WIFE TO SWITZERLAND ON AT LEAST ONE OCCASION WITH THE ASSUMPTION THIS VISIT WAS FOR HUMPHREYS' BENEFIT, AS SHE IS NOT BELIEVED SUFFICIENTLY AFFLUENT TO HAVE SWISS BANKING CONNECTIONS HERSELF.

RECEIVED: 6:24 PM [redacted]

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

1 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552		Section 552a
☐ (b)(1)	☐ (b)(7)(A)	☐ (d)(5)
☐ (b)(2)	☐ (b)(7)(B)	☐ (j)(2)
☐ (b)(3)	☑ (b)(7)(C)	☐ (k)(1)
_____	☑ (b)(7)(D)	☐ (k)(2)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(E)	☐ (k)(3)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(F)	☐ (k)(4)
☐ (b)(4)	☐ (b)(8)	☐ (k)(5)
☐ (b)(5)	☐ (b)(9)	☐ (k)(6)
☐ (b)(6)		☐ (k)(7)

☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1398

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

10:29 PM CDST DEFERRED 5-28-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 282145

(b)(7)(c)

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA., AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED MAY 27 LAST HUMPHREYS SCHEDULED TO ATTEND MEETING "WAY OUT WEST" WITH OTHER LEADERS CHICAGO GROUP 9 A. M. MAY 28 INSTANT. FROM INFORMATION OBTAINED, APPEARS PURPOSE OF MEETING IS STRATEGY TO BE UTILIZED IN CONNECTION WITH GIANCANA IMMUNITY.

MIKE BRODKIN CONVERSED WITH ONE OF DEFENSE ATTORNEYS IN THE GIANCANA SITUATION, [redacted] ON P. M. MAY 27 LAST. [redacted] COMMENTED, WILLIAMS, GIANCANA, ET AL, FELT GOVERNMENT [redacted] TO OFFER IMMUNITY TO GIANCANA AND DESCRIBED THIS TACTIC AS [redacted] AND BRODKIN EXPRESSED DOUBT AS TO HOW TO PROCEED BUT DID NOT APPEAR TO FEEL GIANCANA COULD AFFORD TO ANSWER QUESTIONS TRUTHFULLY. BOTH ALSO INDICATED NO POSSIBLE WAY TO EXPECT OR OBTAIN FAVORABLE TREATMENT FROM JUDGE CAMPBELL.

RECEIVED: 5-29-65 12:10 AM [redacted]

Info included on note for Giancana teletype

EX 110

RFC-26 92-3088-1399

11 JUN 1 1965

F146

JUN 1 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitabl paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

1 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552		Section 552a
☐ (b)(1)	☐ (b)(7)(A)	☐ (d)(5)
☐ (b)(2)	☐ (b)(7)(B)	☐ (j)(2)
☐ (b)(3)	☑ (b)(7)(C)	☐ (k)(1)
_____	☑ (b)(7)(D)	☐ (k)(2)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(E)	☐ (k)(3)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(F)	☐ (k)(4)
☐ (b)(4)	☐ (b)(8)	☐ (k)(5)
☐ (b)(5)	☐ (b)(9)	☐ (k)(6)
☐ (b)(6)		☐ (k)(7)

☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1400

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

11:45 PM CDST URGENT 5-21-65 JAZ
TO DIRECTOR OKLAHOMA CITY AND NEW YORK
NEW YORK VIA WASHINGTON--ENCODED
FROM CHICAGO 220007

REC 33

PROPOSED EXCHANGE TWELVE MILLION DOLLARS IN DOMINICAN REPUBLIC PESOS FOR AMERICAN DOLLARS, AR. Anti-racketeering

(b)(7)(c)

RE CHICAGO TEL MAY 19 LAST, AND AIRTEL MAY 20 LAST, ENTITLED "MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AR." Anti-racketeering RE BUREAU PHONE CALL MAY 21 INSTANT.

FOR INFO NEW YORK AND OKLAHOMA CITY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HUMPHREYS, WHILE IN NORMAN, OKLAHOMA, LAST WEEK END WAS CONTACTED BY ATTORNEY THERE WHO ADVISED THAT AN ATTORNEY IN NYC NEW YORK CITY [redacted] COMPANY PRESUMED TO BE MARTIN MARIETTA CO., HAS ACCESS TO TWELVE MILLION DOLLARS IN DOMINICAN REPUBLIC PESOS.

277 PARK AVE, NEW YORK N.Y.

OKLAHOMA ATTORNEY ASKED HUMPHREYS WHETHER HE WOULD BE INTERESTED IN EFFECTING EXCHANGE THESE PESOS FOR AMERICAN DOLLARS, AND OFFERED HUMPHREYS ONE MILLION DOLLARS FOR EFFECTING EXCHANGE. INDICATED NEW YORK ATTORNEY WOULD TAKE ONE MILLION DOLLARS FOR HIS END.

AKA AMERICAN MARIETTA

APPARENTLY HUMPHREYS UNAWARE WHO POSSESSES PESOS OR WHO TOOK THEM OUT OF DOMINICAN REPUBLIC, EXCEPT HE UNDERSTANDS SUCH PERSON IS "LAMSTER FROM DOMINICAN REPUBLIC."

[redacted]

APPARENTLY, REASON HE WAS CONTACTED BY NEW YORK ATTORNEY IS HIS FAMILIARITY WITH BANKING PROCEDURES AND STATUS BANK.

HUMPHREYS INVESTIGATING SITUATION TO DETERMINE IF EXCHANGE FEASIBLE. HE IS INVESTIGATING SITUATION RE STATUS

EX-100 REC 33 92-3088 7401

1 JUN 3 1965

MR. BELMONT FOR THE DIRECTOR

Memo Dale to Belmont 5-24-65

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

PAGE 2, FROM CHICAGO 220007

(b)(7)(c)

DOMINICAN REPUBLIC PESOS, ALSO RE BACKGROUND NEW YORK ATTORNEY AND MARTIN MARIETTA CO. HAS DISCUSSED SITUATION WITH CHICAGO TOP HOODLUM LESLIE KRUSE, WHO RECENTLY TRAVELED TO SANTO DOMINGO RE PROPOSED GAMBLING OPERATIONS, CHICAGO GROUP.

HUMPHREYS FEELS IF PROPOSITION FEASIBLE, HE WILL CONSIDER SENDING HIS CHICAGO ASSOCIATE [redacted] TO SWITZERLAND TO EFFECT EXCHANGE AT SWISS BANK.

HUMPHREYS INDICATES HE FEELS IT POSSIBLE MONEY WAS TAKEN FROM COUNTRY BY ONE OF SONS OF ASSASSINATED DICTATOR TRUJILLO.

PRETEXT TELEPHONE CALL TO MARTIN MARIETTA CO., CHICAGO, DETERMINED HEADQUARTERS THIS COMPANY IN NEW YORK CITY AND THAT ENTIRE LEGAL STAFF THERE. COMPANY MAINLY IN MANUFACTURE OF CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS BUT HAS MANY SUBSIDIARIES.

BUREAU INSTRUCTED EXPEDITIOUS ATTENTION THIS SITUATION. ALL LEADS ARE TO BE HANDLED BY TELETYPE WITH DAILY TELETYPE SUMMARIES SUBMITTED WHILE INVESTIGATION IN PROCESS.

NEW YORK OFFICE DISCREETLY IDENTIFY ATTORNEY AND FURNISH BACKGROUND MARTIN MARIETTA CO., ALSO KNOWN AS AMERICAN MARIETTA CO. ADVISE WHETHER ANY INFORMATION AVAILABLE CONCERNING THIS OR SIMILAR SITUATION.

OKLAHOMA CITY IDENTIFY ATTORNEY THERE. NOTED, HUMPHREYS, SEVERAL WEEKS AGO, MENTIONED HE INITIALLY HIRED AN ATTORNEY WHO WAS TOO BUSY TO HANDLE HIS AFFAIRS, WITH RESULT HE PLACED AFFAIRS IN HANDS OF ANOTHER ATTORNEY. MENTIONED AT THAT TIME FIRST ATTORNEY WAS EXECUTIVE OF BANK.

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

PAGE 3, FROM CHICAGO 220007 (b)(7)(C):(D)

IF OKLAHOMA CITY NOT ALREADY AWARE IDENTITY THIS ATTORNEY, [redacted]

CHICAGO WILL MAINTAIN CONTINUOUS CONTACT, THIS SOURCE.

EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE EXERCISED IN COVERAGE ABOVE LEADS TO INSURE CHICAGO SOURCE NOT JEOPARDIZED.

RECEIVED: 1:04 AM [redacted]

CC: MR. GALE

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

G. ORM NO. 10
MAY 1962 EDITION
GSA GEN. REG. NO. 27
5010-106

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

TO : Mr. Belmont

DATE: May 24, 1965

FROM : J. H. Gale

SUBJECT: THEFT OF PESOS
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC

[redacted]

(b)(7)(c)

With reference to the report received from a highly confidential source by the Chicago Office relative to the alleged scheme to convert twelve million dollars' worth of Dominican Republic pesos through Chicago top hoodlum Murray Humphreys, preliminary inquiry has developed the following information:

The attorney who reportedly made the proposal to Humphreys to convert the Dominican pesos on behalf of another attorney, who is [redacted] of the Martin Marietta Company in New York, has not been definitely identified. However, the Oklahoma City Office advises that Humphreys has utilized two attorneys at Norman, Oklahoma in connection with the [redacted] One of these is [redacted] Humphreys' present attorney in Norman is [redacted] Because of the highly sensitive nature of the Chicago source, direct contact with these attorneys is being held in abeyance pending the development of further independent information which would form the basis for interview without jeopardizing our source.

The New York Division advises that the Martin Marietta Company maintains executive offices at 277 Park Avenue, New York City. It is a major producer of missiles, electronic equipment, space age products, construction materials and chemical products. [redacted]

REC 33

Further details furnished by Chicago indicate that Humphreys has speculated that the individual, as yet unidentified, who supposedly has possession of the twelve million dollars' worth of Dominican pesos is one who is a fugitive for political or other reasons from the Dominican Republic. Humphreys has speculated as to whether this might

CONTINUED - OVER

1 - Mr. DeLoach
1 - Mr. Sullivan
1 - Mr. Belmont
1 - Mr. Gale
1 - Mr. [redacted]
1 - Mr. [redacted]
[redacted] (7)

JUN 3 1965

be one of the two sons of former Dominican dictator Trujillo, who Humphreys thinks carried a considerable sum of money from the Dominican Republic to Europe. Trujillo's sons, Ramfis and Rhadames, were last reported to be in Europe and are known to travel between France, Switzerland and Spain.

Humphreys has indicated a definite interest in this proposed peso scheme and has discussed the matter with Chicago hoodlum Les Kruse, who has represented the Chicago underworld in negotiations in the Dominican Republic to establish a gambling operation. When the matter was mentioned to Kruse, he indicated to Humphreys that he had heard of a similar scheme before and that it may involve a sum of pesos which had formerly been offered to Kruse. No further details were available concerning this aspect of the scheme.

ACTION

In view of the interest of the White House, this matter is being pressed and it will be followed very closely so further developments of interest can be furnished to Mr. Watson.

All offices are immediately covering indicated leads and submitting daily teletype summaries.

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

3 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552		Section 552a
☐ (b)(1)	☐ (b)(7)(A)	☐ (d)(5)
☐ (b)(2)	☐ (b)(7)(B)	☐ (j)(2)
☐ (b)(3)	☑ (b)(7)(C)	☐ (k)(1)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(D)	☐ (k)(2)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(E)	☐ (k)(3)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(F)	☐ (k)(4)
☐ (b)(4)	☐ (b)(8)	☐ (k)(5)
☐ (b)(5)	☐ (b)(9)	☐ (k)(6)
☐ (b)(6)		☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1403

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION
MAY 22 1965
TELETYPE

FBI WASH DC 0724

FBI CHICAGO

\3 55 PM CDST URGENT 5-22-65 [redacted]

TO DIRECTOR, OKLAHOMA CITY \92-179\ AND NEW YORK

NEW YORK VIA WASHINGTON

FROM CHICAGO \92-NEW\ 1P

[redacted]

(b)(7)(c)

PROPOSED EXCHANGE TWELVE MILLION DOLLARS IN DOMINICAN REPUBLIC PESOS FOR AMERICAN DOLLARS. AR

RE OKLAHOMA CITY TEL BUREAU, CHICAGO AND NEW YORK INSTANT DATE.

CONTACT WITH HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE MAINTAINED. NO FURTHER INFORMATION THIS SITUATION OBTAINED.

OKLAHOMA CITY REQUESTED HOLD FURTHER CONTACT [redacted] IN ABEYANCE IN DEFERENCE TO SOURCE, UACB.

92-3028-1404

REC-39

END

X-100

OTHER STATION TO BE ADVISED.

JUN 2 1965

END

WA.. [redacted] FBI WASH DC FOR RELAY

TU CLR\5

70 JUN 10 1965

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☒ RADIO XXX TELETYPE

7:05 PM CDST DEFERRED 6-1-65
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 012043

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY. (b)(7)(c)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED FOLLOWING HUMPHREYS MEETING WITH HOODLUM LEADERS AM MAY 28 LAST HUMPHREYS RETURNED TO RESIDENCE WHERE HE SPENT HOLIDAY WEEKEND ENTERTAINING [redacted] GIRL FRIEND FROM NEW YORK.

WHEN HY GODFREY ARRIVED JUNE 1 INSTANT HE INFORMED HUMPHREYS MEETING OF HUMPHREYS GROUP WOULD BE HELD AT NOON AT LITTLE CORPORAL RESTAURANT ON WACKER DRIVE IN CHICAGO LOOP. [redacted] AND PIERCE WOULD ATTEND BUT KRUSE OUT OF TOWN UNTIL JUNE 4.

GODFREY ADVISED PAUL DE LUCIA INSTRUCTED HIM TO HAVE OBSERVER IN COURT ROOM WHEN GIANCANA APPEARS AM JUNE 1 SO GROUP CAN IMMEDIATELY BE ADVISED. GODFREY COMPLIED BUT DID NOT INDICATE IDENTITY OF OBSERVER.

HUMPHREYS GAVE NO INDICATION WHAT GIANCANA WILL DO ALTHOUGH HE DISCUSSED SITUATION AT LENGTH WITH GODFREY.

RECEIVED: 8:17 PM [redacted]

REC 1

92-3086 1405

JUN 2-1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

FD-143 (Rev. 4-2-63)
OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10
MAY 1962 EDITION
GSA GEN. REG. NO. 27
5010-106

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (Bufile) DATE: JUNE 6/2/65

FROM : SAC, ~~CHICAGO~~ (92-350 sub Z)

SUBJECT: JUSTIFICATION FOR CONTINUATION OF TECHNICAL OR MICROPHONE SURVEILLANCE

RE: Title MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS

Character of Case ANTI-RACKETEERING
Field Office CHICAGO
Symbol Number [redacted]
Type of Surveillance: (~~Technical~~ or Microphone)

1. Name of person or organization on whom surveillance placed:

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, Marina City.

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(C):(D)

2. Address where installation made. Also give exact room number or area covered: Apartment 5131, Marine Towers East, Marina City, Chicago, Illinois.

3. [redacted]

4. Dates of initial authorization and installation:
3/14/63, authorization,
3/21/63, installation

5. Previous and other installations on the same subject (with dates and places):
Regular Democratic Organization, 1st Ward, [redacted] 2/63.

REC-62 92-3088-1405X

6. If installation is a technical surveillance, answer following questions:

a. [redacted]

b. [redacted] EX-113 8 MAY 28 1965

c. [redacted]

1 - Bureau
1 - Chicago
Registered Mail
[redacted]

6 AUG 5 1965 Discontinued 7-12-65

[redacted]

SPEC. MAIL RM.
M. F. Row
6221 IB

CG 92-350 sub Z

d. [redacted]

e. [redacted]

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(E)

7. If a microphone surveillance involved, state number of microphones actually used and location of each:

[redacted].

8. Is the installation part of a tel-mike? If so, give symbol of other side of the combination:

No.

9. Specific examples of valuable information obtained since previous report with indication of specific value of each item and the date information received. State what use was made of each item involved: (Add insert pages)

See attached.

10. Could above information have been obtained from other sources and by other means?

No.

11. Number of live informants (in field division) who cover same subject:

None for same coverage.

12. Has security factor changed since installation?

No.

13. Any request for the surveillance by outside agency (give name, title and agency):

No.

14. Cost of Plant Premises:

a. Rental costs for plant premises:
None. [redacted].
b. Give total number of other surveillances monitored at same plant.

[redacted]

CG 92-350 sub Z

c. If any others, set out the proportionate cost of instant surveillance:

15. Cost of Leased Line for instant installation?

Nothing.

16. Personnel Costs:

a. Give total number of special employees and/or Special Agents working at plant and total salary costs.

2 SE's - [redacted] per annum (Security)
2 SE's - [redacted] per annum (Criminal)
4 Clerks - [redacted] per annum (Criminal)
1 - SA - [redacted] per annum (Criminal)

4 SA's - (Full-time) & 1 SA (50% time) - [redacted] per annum

(b)(2)

b. Total number of man hours per week spent at plant?

80 man hours - Security
280 man hours - Criminal 144 man hours - [redacted]

c. If other installations monitored at same plant, list proportionate number of man hours per week spent on instant surveillance:

Total - 32 hours per week

d. If other installations monitored at same plant, list proportionate salary expense per annum for instant surveillance:

$5,500 per annum.

17. Remarks (By SAC):

This surveillance will be maintained for an additional period of 90 days, UACB. The information obtained from this source is invaluable and is not subject to being obtained elsewhere.

18. Recommendation by Assistant Director:

(If this surveillance involves cryptanalysis, include statement that decrypted material is or is not sufficiently important to continue decrypting.)

9.

This source has continued to furnish current activities and associates of HUMPHREYS on almost an hourly basis during the past three months.

During this period of time, HUMPHREYS became concerned that his meeting places were becoming known to law enforcement agencies due to the fact that he had been utilizing them for a lengthy period of time. He therefore initiated the practice of discontinuing meetings at his old spots, except on a very infrequent basis, and substituting new locations for meetings with his associates. All the new meeting places became known through information furnished by this source.

During the past three months, HUMPHREYS has traveled out of Chicago on three occasions. On each occasion, his mode of travel, destination, length of stay, hotel where he would reside, and name under which he was traveling, became known through this source.

Most recently, HUMPHREYS has become interested in the grand jury investigation of SAM GIANCANA.

As example of the agent time saved due to information obtained through this source, the Chicago Office sought to subpoena HUMPHREYS in the GIANCANA grand jury investigation. HUMPHREYS' whereabouts were known almost on an hourly basis during the period of time, with the result that the Chicago Office was able to be very selective so as serve the subpoena on GIANCANA with a minimum of time expended, and with maximum security to this source. As a result, the subpoena was served approximately 20 minutes after it was obtained.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

8:59 PM CDST DEFERRED 6-3-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 032057

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY. REC 4 [redacted]

(b)(7)(c)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HUMPHREYS' CONVERSATIONS ALMOST TOTALLY CONCERN GIANCANA SITUATION. HUMPHREYS COMPARES PRESENT GOVERNMENT ACTION TO EARLY FIFTIES WHEN CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES INITITATED HEARINGS INTO ORGANIZED CRIME. RECALLS THEIR LAWYERS THEN "WERE AS MUCH IN THE DARK AS TO WHAT THEY COULD DO AS THEY ARE TODAY." HUMPHREYS INDICATED HE IS IN CLOSE TOUCH WITH ATTORNEYS IN ATTEMPT TO DISCOVER METHOD OF "OVERCOMING" GOVERNMENT. HUMPHREYS FEELS, HOWEVER, THAT JUST AS ATTORNEYS "DISCOVERED THE FIFTH" AMENDMENT IN THE FIFTIES, SOME SOLUTION WILL BE OBTAINED. HUMPHREYS ADVISED IN 1950 HE PAID AN ATTORNEY HUNDREDS OF DOLLARS FOR "RESEARCH TO BEAT THEM" AND RESEARCH OFFERED NO SOLUTION. HOWEVER, WILLIAM SCOTT STEWART, DECEASED CHICAGO ATTORNEY, HAD SUGGESTED RESORT TO FIFTH AMENDMENT. STEWART THEN TOLD HUMPHREYS: "YOU HAVEN'T TALKED UP TO NOW AFTER ABOUT 30 YEARS IN YOUR BUSINESS. WELL, WHY START TALKING NOW?" NOTED HUMPHREYS CITED FOR CONTEMPT OF CONGRESS WHEN HE TOOK FIFTH AMENDMENT BEFORE KEFAUVER COMMITTEE. HOWEVER STEWART DEFENDED HUMPHREYS, BROUGHT OUT HIS BACKGROUND AND ESTABLISHED PRECENDENT RIGHT OF WITNESSES TO TAKE FIFTH AMENDMENT. FROM HUMPHREYS COMMENTS HE APPEARS TO CLAIM CREDIT FOR BEING "GUINEA PIG" WHO SUCCESSFULLY TESTED THEORY.

AT PRESENT HUMPHREYS IN CONSULTATION WITH "HALF DOZEN" ATTORNEYS FOR ADVICE AS TO HOW CHICAGO GROUP "OVERCOME" IMMUNITY OFFERS. IN THIS REGARD HUMPHREYS SCHEDULED LUNCHEON MEETING

92-3088-140

EX-102 REC 41 1 JUN 4 1965

FIVE JUN 14 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO XXX TELETYPE

PAGE TWO FROM CHICAGO 032057

JUNE 4 NEXT IN PRIVATE DINING ROOM UNIDENTIFIED RESTAURANT WITH SEVEN OF HIS ASSOCIATES INCLUDING ANTHONY ACCARDO, [redacted] RALPH PIERCE, LES KRUSE, AND POSSIBLY PAUL DE LUCIA, [redacted] AND SAM BATTAGLIA. FROM INDICATIONS, PURPOSE OF MEET IS FOR HUMPHREYS TO OUTLINE ADVICE HE HAS OBTAINED FROM LAWYERS ON HOW TO DEAL WITH SITUATION. FROM HUMPHREYS COMMENT ABOVE ON ADVICE OF STEWART, APPEARS HE FEELS AT PRESENT THAT BEST COURSE IS TO REFUSE TO ANSWER AND THEN ATTEMPT TO WIN APPEAL AS HOODLUMS DID IN CONGRESSIONAL HEARINGS IN FIFTIES. (b)(7)(c)

RECEIVED: 10:21 PM [redacted]

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

7:24 PM CDST URGENT 6-2-65
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 022138

(1) also known as Anti-racketeering
MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, ~~AKA.~~ ~~AR.~~ DAILY SUMMARY.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCES ADVISE ALL MEMBERS (b)(7)(C) CHICAGO GROUP AND THEIR ASSOCIATES EXTREMELY UPSET AND CONCERNED OVER JAILING OF GIANCANA. ALTHOUGH ALL FEARFUL SAME ACTION WILL BE TAKEN AGAINST THEM, HUMPHREYS IN PARTICULAR FEELS GIANCANA BROUGHT ACTION ON HIMSELF BY VERBALLY ABUSING FBI AGENTS. HUMPHREYS SAID "HE GAVE THEM A CUSSING OUT PRETTY GOOD AND THOSE GUYS ARE THE KIND OF GUYS THAT DON'T LIKE THAT. THEY SIT BACK AND SAY WE'LL HAVE OUR INNINGS LATER. AND THAT'S JUST WHAT HAPPENED." HUMPHREYS ALSO FEELS GOVERNMENT STRATEGY WAS " ALL THOUGHT OUT EVER SINCE MOE WENT AFTER THOSE GUYS" AND "THEY WERE JUST CAMOUFLAGING BY CALLING HIM INTO NEW YORK TRYING TO THROW HIM OFF HIS GUARD TO MAKE HIM THINK THIS IS WHAT THEY WERE DOING HERE." HUMPHREYS ALSO STATED "CAMPBELL WAS ONE SIDED ALL THE WAY BECAUSE THEY TALKED TO HIM BEFORE THE HEARING EVEN STARTED." HUMPHREYS INDICATED ATTORNEY [redacted] LOCAL COUNSEL FOR GIANCANA, INFORMED HIM "WILLIAMS AND HIS CREW WERE CAUGHT BY SURPRISE." ILL.

[redacted] LOCAL ATTORNEY USED BY BIEBER AND BRODKIN FOR THEIR FEDERAL WORK, ADVISED GIANCANA ATTEMPTED TO CALL BLUFF OF GOVERNMENT WHEN HE ADVISED JUDGE CAMPBELL JUNE 1 LAST HE WOULD ACCEPT IMMUNITY AND TESTIFY FULLY.

92-3088-1407

REC-99

1 JUN 4 1965

F146

JUN 17 1965

MR. BELMONT FOR THE DIRECTOR

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

PAGE 2 FROM CHICAGO 022138

(b)(7)(c)

NOTED GIANCANA DID THIS BUT WHEN TAKEN TO GRAND JURY AGAIN RESORTED TO FIFTH AMENDMENT. [redacted] ADVISED GIANCANA SOON LEARNED GOVERNMENT WASN'T BLUFFING.

[redacted] AND JACOBSON BELIEVE [redacted], [redacted], [redacted] AND JACOBSON WILL BE GRANTED IMMUNITY NEXT. [redacted] APPROACHED MAYOR DALEY, PRESUMABLY FOR INTERCESSION WITH ~~USA~~ United States Attorney HANRAHAN SINCE HANRAHAN APPOINTED WITH DALEY'S INFLUENCE. HOWEVER, MAYOR DALEY OFFERED ABSOLUTELY NO SYMPATHY AND INFORMED [redacted] ACCORDING TO [redacted] " [redacted] " [redacted] ENTERED HOSPITAL JUNE 1 AS HE DID IN NOVEMBER, 1962 AFTER CHICAGO AGENTS OBSERVED HIM AT CZECH LODGE WITH GIANCANA. [redacted] AND JACOBSON ARE DISCONSOLATE WITH [redacted] STATING : [redacted] AND [redacted] "

ILL.

RECEIVED: 8:40 PM [redacted]

CC: MR. GALE

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

5/28

716 AMS

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

12:36 AM CDST DEFERRED 5-28-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 272126

index 9 [redacted]

Anti-racketeering

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY. REC 99

(b)(7)(c)

Federal Grand Jury

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HY GODFREY REPORTED TO HUMPHREYS IMMEDIATELY AFTER GODFREY'S APPEARANCE BEFORE FGJ MAY 26 LAST. GODFREY REPORTED HE TOOK FIFTH AMMENDMENT TO ALL QUESTIONS. HUMPHREYS INDICATED HE FEELS FROM TYPE OF QUESTIONS ASKED GODFREY "THE FBI HAS GOT US FIGURED PRETTY GOOD." FACT GODFREY WAS ASKED QUESTIONS CONCERNING MURDER OF FORMER HUSBAND OF HUMPHREY'S SECOND WIFE WHO WAS POTENTIAL WITNESS AGAINST HER FOR IRS CONCERNED HUMPHREYS. INSTRUCTED GODFREY TO CONTACT BUTCH BLASI TO INFORM GIANCANA RE QUESTIONS ASKED GODFREY ABOUT GIANCANA, WHETHER HE EVER BOUGHT FUR COAT FOR PHYLLIS MC GUIRE AND WHETHER HE EVER MADE CALLS FOR GIANCANA TO MC GUIRE.

INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE

HUMPHREYS STILL UNABLE TO "FIGURE WHAT THE GOVERNMENT IS UP TO WITH THIS." FEELS, HOWEVER, THEY "ARE AFTER MOE (GIANCAN AND ALSO TO GET A NEW BILL THROUGH WASHINGTON."

GODFREY ADVISED HUMPHREYS MAY 27 "KENNEDY FROM THE UNION HAS A FRIEND YOU MET BEFORE, WANTS TO MEET AYOU AT CELANO'S THIS AFTERNOON." HUMPHREYS, WHO HAS NOT MET AT CELANO'S FOR THREE YEARS TO KNOWLEDGE CHICAGO OFFICE, AGREED TO MEET THERE. KENNEDY IS MICHAEL J. KENNEDY OF IBEW. FRIEND UNKNOWN. GODFREY ALSO ADVISED ANTHONY ACCARDO WANTED TO MEET [redacted] AT CELANO'S THAT AFTERNOON. GODFREY INSTRUCTED TO ARRANGE APPOINTMENT SO ALL WOULD NOT BE AT

EX 110

REC-99 92-3088-1408

JUN 4 1965

INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS

JUN 16 1965

F146

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

PAGE TWO FROM CHICAGO 272126

CELANO'S AT SAME TIME. CHICAGO OFFICE WILL REMAIN ALERT TO DETERMINE WHETHER ANY MEMBERS CHICAGO GROUP APPEAR TO BE INITIATING USE OF CELANO'S AGAIN FOR MEETINGS. WILL REQUEST SURVEY IF SUCH APPEARS POSSIBLE.

ON MAY 27 GODFREY ADVISED HUMPHREYS GROUP WANTED TO MEET WITH HUMPHREYS THAT DATE. HUMPHREYS SUGGESTED MEET AT PLACE WHERE "WE HAVEN'T SET A PATTERN." FINALLY DECIDED ON ITALIAN RESTAURANT AT WABASH AND LAKE "WHERE WE MET A COUPLE OF YEARS AGO."

(b)(7)(c)

RECEIVED: 1:57 AM [redacted]

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

SAC, Chicago

6/4/65

Director, FBI

PROPOSED EXCHANGE TWELVE MILLION DOLLARS IN DOMINICAN REPUBLIC PESOS FOR AMERICAN DOLLARS
ANTI-RACKETEERING

Highly confidential sources of your office have revealed that an approach was made to Murray Humphreys to effect an exchange of twelve million Dominican pesos, smuggled out of the country, into American dollars.

You should immediately prepare a letterhead memorandum setting forth all pertinent information on this matter for submission to the Legat, Santo Domingo, for his assistance in the event any other data about this situation come to his attention.

Handle expeditiously.

MAILED Z
JUN - 4 1965
COMM-FBI

(b)(7)(c)

EX-105

REC 44

92-3015-1409

19 JUN 7 1965

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

[redacted] (4)

56 JUN 15 1965

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

5 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552		Section 552a
☐ (b)(1)	☐ (b)(7)(A)	☐ (d)(5)
☑ (b)(2)	☐ (b)(7)(B)	☐ (j)(2)
☐ (b)(3)	☑ (b)(7)(C)	☐ (k)(1)
_____	☑ (b)(7)(D)	☐ (k)(2)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(E)	☐ (k)(3)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(F)	☐ (k)(4)
☐ (b)(4)	☐ (b)(8)	☐ (k)(5)
☐ (b)(5)	☐ (b)(9)	☐ (k)(6)
☐ (b)(6)		☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1410

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

6/9/65

1 - [redacted]
1 - Mr. [redacted]
1 - Mr. [redacted]

Airtel

To: SAC, Chicago

JUNE

From: Director, FBI

MURRAY HUMPHREYS
AR

In the event you intend to continue [redacted], immediately submit an appropriate FD-143.

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(C):(D)

EX 105

REC- 32

[redacted]

MAILED 5
JUN 9 - 1965
COMM-FBI

62-3018-1411

11 JUN 11 1965

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

6 JUN 17 1965

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

ROUTE IN ENVELOPE

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

5 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552		Section 552a
☐ (b)(1)	☐ (b)(7)(A)	☐ (d)(5)
☑ (b)(2)	☐ (b)(7)(B)	☐ (j)(2)
☐ (b)(3)	☑ (b)(7)(C)	☐ (k)(1)
_____	☑ (b)(7)(D)	☐ (k)(2)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(E)	☐ (k)(3)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(F)	☐ (k)(4)
☐ (b)(4)	☐ (b)(8)	☐ (k)(5)
☐ (b)(5)	☐ (b)(9)	☐ (k)(6)
☐ (b)(6)		☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1412

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

6/9/65

AIRTEL

1 - Mr. [redacted]

TO: SAC, Chicago

FROM: Director, FBI

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka
AR

Reurtel 6/7/65.

Attached is a copy of the results of Internal Revenue Service (IRS) investigation concerning the tax liability of the subject and his former wife Jeanne Stacey Humphreys. This material was received on a strictly confidential basis from IRS Headquarters. Under no circumstances should this information be disseminated outside the Bureau, nor should the fact that we have been furnished this material be made known to IRS personnel at Chicago or elsewhere. Also, in view of the fact that the U. S. Tax Court is currently considering a tax case involving Humphreys, no investigation should be undertaken which would in any way conflict or interfere with the interests of IRS or the Court.

Enclosure

NOTE: Chicago has conducted extensive inquiry into the financial affairs of Humphreys in connection with its top hoodlum investigation of his activities. Pertinent information has been furnished to IRS, Chicago, in this regard and that agency has conducted an intensive investigation of Humphreys' tax affairs. A civil suit is presently pending by the Government against Humphreys. Chicago requested details of the IRS investigation be secured from IRS Headquarters. This material was obtained through liaison and was furnished informally on a highly confidential basis by [redacted] of IRS Headquarters.

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Rm.
Holmes

[redacted]
(4)

MAILED 11
JUN 9 - 1965
COMM-FBI

REC-42 92-3088-1413

1965

66 JUN 16 1965

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

[redacted]

847

FD-36 (Rev. 5-22-64)

FBI

Date: 5/28/65

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (92-350-sub Z)

SUBJECT : MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka
AR

SUMMARY

[redacted] furnished information concerning activities on 5/22, 24, 25 and 26/65: (b)(2) (b)(7)(C):(D)

It is believed that certain of the topics of conversation of HUMPHREYS with his associates on the above dates are of interest to the Bureau. The highlights of these conversations have already been the subject of my daily summary teletypes under this caption for May 24 and 25, 1965.

During the afternoon of 5/22/65, HUMPHREYS conversed with his brother, ERNIE. He exhibited the lock which he previously has described, and which he is about to install on his apartment door. This is the lock previously mentioned in a daily summary teletype which causes tear gas to be discharged in the event someone attempts to force the door lock. HUMPHREYS on this occasion described this as a "lock" and advised that it cost "25 bucks."

HUMPHREYS then advised his brother concerning his appearance on 5/21/65, before the Federal grand jury in Chicago. He mentioned that he had conversed in the witness room prior to testifying with "your friend, HILL. I didn't even know it was HILL. Here I am complaining to this FBI guy about how HILL down in Florida makes it hard

REC 30 92-3088-1414

(3) - Bureau (RM)
1 - Chicago
[redacted] D. C. Wick
(4)

EX-102 JUN 15 1965

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

6 JUN 17 1965

SPEC. MAIL RM.

(b)(7)(c)

for us and all the time here I was talking to HILL." Obviously HUMPHREYS refers to SA RALPH R. HILL of the Miami Division who has been in Chicago during the sessions of the Federal grand jury.

HUMPHREYS advised that he has decided that the reason that he was subpoenaed as a witness before the grand jury is that "I'm in politics. I got caught in Washington and they know I talked to politicians. You see, the FBI has things covered very good and they know what I've been up too. I told this G guy that all G guys are professional stool pigeons."

HUMPHREYS then advised his brother that he had not observed "that foxy guy, [redacted]" while waiting to testify before the grand jury.

HUMPHREYS then informed his brother that the Internal Revenue Service is suing him on taxes from 1947 to 1962, in view of the fact that he took deductions which IRS feels he was not entitled to. It is noted that this is a civil suit and that no criminal prosecution has been instituted in this regard. HUMPHREYS then told his brother that "they have the blonde for a hundred and fifty thousand dollars." It is noted that IRS intends to prosecute the second wife of HUMPHREYS for evasion of income taxes. Apparently this income totals $150,000.

At this point, HUMPHREYS was caused to say, that he has access to IRS books: "I had copies of them made. I just returned one of them." It is noted that HUMPHREYS has had his associate, [redacted] photostating copies of material which he previously described as being "government" papers. Further information concerning this is set out hereinbelow.

HUMPHREYS then advised his brother that he was attempting to influence opposition against the anti-crime bills in the Illinois General Assembly in Springfield. HUMPHREYS indicated that he felt he would be able to defeat the Immunity Law, and that he has "about eight guys down there that I can't do anything with."

HUMPHREYS then again mentioned that the IRS "was only $3,000 away from what I spent." From previous conversations in this regard, it is noted that what HUMPHREYS indicates here is that during 1959, when HUMPHREYS purchased his home in Key Biscayne, Florida, in the name of his second wife, the Chicago and Miami Offices conducted extensive investigation to determine what his total expenditures were for 1959. This information was made available to IRS, who conducted further investigation. Apparently, the expenditures discovered through this investigation lacked only $3,000 from what HUMPHREYS figures he spent during 1959.

HUMPHREYS then informed his brother that if the bill against gambling which is pending in the Illinois State Legislature is passed, his brother "will have to be awful careful with your gambling because you could get one to five years." It is noted that the Chicago Office has developed information in the past indicating that HUMPHREYS' brother is an active bookmaker. This information would tend to confirm that ERNIE HUMPHREYS is so engaged.

HUMPHREYS then discussed his recent trip to Hot Springs, Arkansas, and mentioned that he had been with his first wife there and that she had left Hot Springs a day before he did. (b)(7)(c)

HUMPHREYS then advised his brother that he had had his [redacted] The Chicago Office is unaware that [redacted] but apparently this is the case.

HUMPHREYS then advised that he had informed the FBI agents he conversed with prior to testifying before the grand jury that he "hoped they were getting their ears full because of having my apartment bugged. But they said

they didn't bug anything and they wouldn't ever bug this place. But I told them I don't say anything up here anyhow so it don't make no difference."

HUMPHREYS then advised that "everybody will quit running games if that gambling bill goes through and you can bet your bottom dollar on that." HUMPHREYS referred again to the gambling bill in the Illinois State Legislature in this regard.

HUMPHREYS then complained "It's rough today because there are so many stool pigeons. You see, the G gives them money."

Prior to departure of ERNIE HUMPHREYS, HUMPHREYS was invited to dinner that evening by his brother, ERNIE. However, HUMPHREYS declined this invitation, saying that he is too busy, that he had to see four lawyers Monday morning before court time and that he had to prepare some material to be presented to them."

(b)(7)(c)

At 7:13 p.m., on Saturday, 5/22/65, HUMPHREYS' associate [redacted] arrived. HUMPHREYS informed [redacted] that he had to have the material which [redacted] has been copying for him done over again because some of the printing did not turn out clear enough. HUMPHREYS informed [redacted] that this copying had to be done by the following day, "because I have to turn this in." HUMPHREYS indicated that he had again obtained "the book" from "that guy." [redacted] indicated that when he first copied the material, HUMPHREYS did not want him to copy the front and last page covers, so that the material could be readily identified. [redacted] indicated that one of the titles on the front cover of the material was "Memorandum." He also indicated that there were at least 34 pages in the material.

HUMPHREYS indicated that wording at the top of page 2 included "Taxpayer be required," and that some other phraseology in the papers was, "The requirements of recording and reporting."

(b)(7)(c)

[redacted] then departed with the material to be photostated and returned with the results later in the evening.

When HUMPHREYS' bodyguard, chauffeur and errand boy, HY GODFREY, arrived, during the early morning hours of 5/25/65, in order to inform HUMPHREYS that the luncheon meeting of the HUMPHREYS group was to take place at the Beef and Bourbon Restaurant on Wells and North Avenue at noon on that date, HUMPHREYS was aware that a subpoena had been served on GODFREY the previous day. He therefore gave GODFREY detailed instructions as to how he was to conduct himself when testifying before the grand jury on 5/26/65. These instructions included the following:

> "Don't try to be a wise guy. Because they'll try to get you excited. And remember this, a guy isn't himself walking into a grand jury. They try to get you excited. But make up your mind you're not gonna hurry. Don't try to be a wise guy or a lawyer. Now I wrote this out. This is what I used. Take it. The fifth amendment. Try to cut them short, but be civil. Take the fifth amendment and be calm. Make up your mind to be real relaxed. Take this even when they ask you your address. Be very polite. When you walk in, say good morning and be very polite. And ask for a drink of water. Say, excuse me. Pull this paper right out. And if they ask you who gave you this, take the fifth amendment to that too. Now listen. They know all about you. They know everything you do because they got the FBI reports and they know everything you did."

HUMPHREYS then informed GODFREY that "I got into an argument with them on dope. They thought they had something there. But they don't. Now they're very courteous. But be very relaxed. You know, I think they had that subpoena for you when they gave me mine that day. They just wanted to. You know they had a guy in Pete Fish's

(b)(7)(c)

when we were there last night looking for EDDY. I went over and talked to him. [redacted] I said to the guys, Oh, shut up, I'll talk to him. So I said, what do you want. He said, I got a subpoena for EDDY. I said, well, EDDY isn't around here, he's out of town. I said, well, you can go home, he's not around here. He said, well, I'll get him in California. So you see, they know everything."

In reference to the above, it is noted that HUMPHREYS refers to the fact that SA [redacted] of the Chicago Office, in an attempt to locate Chicago top hoodlum EDWARD VOGEL for purposes of serving a subpoena for an appearance before the Federal grand jury, observed HUMPHREYS, [redacted] and others meeting at Pete Fish's Restaurant on the evening of May 24, 1965. [redacted] was approached by HUMPHREYS at that time, substantially as HUMPHREYS above describes it. It would appear from the comments of HUMPHREYS that VOGEL is presently at his Encino, Calif., residence.

HUMPHREYS then made the following additional comments to GODFREY:

> "Here's what you do. Get there early. Go in on the west side. Walk in and go up to the cafeteria. A lot of people go there, to the second floor. And then walk to one of the elevators and go up from there. Go up to any floor, like the 20th floor, and then walk down. See? Then walk over to the window there and hand them your subpoena and be sure you get your money for being a witness. What the hell, take it. And then they put you in another room. Take a book to read there. And don't talk to those guys, you see, the FBI is there. All amongst you. They mix in pretty good. And they try to get you to talk. They are all wired up, and try to get you to say something. They sit with you and talk. I talked to them but I wouldn't let them get into any subject. I talked

(b)(7)(c)

about fishing and this and that. What's the name of that other guy that gives us such a bad time down in Florida? Oh, what the (obs), the other guy that used to be with [redacted] that worked on us."

GODFREY: [redacted]

HUMPHREYS: "No, not [redacted] he was there, too, though. He's here in Chicago. This guy is down there now. Oh well, don't worry about it, it'll come to me."

HUMPHREYS here obviously was referring to SA HILL, SA [redacted] and SA RUTLAND.

HUMPHREYS then conferred with [redacted] again concerning his appearance before the grand jury. These pertinent remarks were as follows:

"You know the FBI are a very courteous bunch of guys, they talk to you real nice and treat you pretty good. You'd be surprised. I can see why people go and talk to them. You know, [redacted] those guys are all law guys or accountants. Why the hell do you suppose they stay there when they could get a hell of a lot more. But I got into an argument with them when they got into dope, you know."

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS: "No, I didn't. You know you can't before a grand jury, [redacted] you can't. You got to be careful. ....question, you have to answer all of them. I'll tell you, [redacted], they didn't bother me too much. I didn't go on until about two o'clock. I asked the FBI guy to go out and get me a sandwich. [redacted] was there, but we didn't talk. I talked to the FBI guys. There were four of them. One funny thing, one guy I was talking to, all the time, and I was complaining about the guy they got in Florida, and he said, oh, I'm

Hill, ha ha ha. But he's been heckling everybody down there. We talked about fishing and Florida. And then when I got out of the grand jury, one of the FBI guys took me down and showed me how to get out without being bothered. You know, they were a nice bunch of guys, not what I expected. I know that the one guy is a pretty good guy but I didn't know that all of them are like that. They apologized, and I said, listen, I know you guys do your job ... "

(b)(7)(c)

"... but you see, [redacted] it depends on how you act towards them. We got one guy, [redacted] that causes bad blood with them. That's no good. Now I'm wondering whether this is what this is all about. Because there is something phony about all this. But they were very courteous. When they finished up, they asked me if I had been advised by my attorney whether I should take the fifth amendment, I said no, I was not. They said now we want you to come back and would you give us your telephone number. And I said I haven't answered my phone for two years. I wouldn't answer it for the Pope. And all the jury bu[redacted]out laughing. They said, okay, we'll get yo[redacted] through your lawyer. But I got a little ho[redacted]t them on the dope thing. But you know, what I did? I got there at 7:30 in the morning and I went up to the top floor and I walked over every floor all the way down. Nobody was in the whole building. I walked all over. I went in all the court rooms. I was in Immigration. Nobody stops you. I walked all over. But on the floor where the FBI is, you can't get in there. But everybody else, you can. On that floor, there are three interrogation rooms and the jury room and all that belongs to the DA. And they have their elevator, and down in the basement is their garage. Oh, I walked all over. Nobody said nothing. The Marshal is in there too."

It appears obvious that HUMPHREYS arrived at the Federal Building on the day of his appearance at 7:30 in the morning, although his subpoena did not call for his appearance until 10:00 a.m., when the grand jury session commenced. HUMPHREYS apparently went to the second floor of the Federal Building, as he previously advised GODFREY that he had, where a cafeteria is located. It is noted that it is common practice for many people who work in the area of the Federal Building to come to the Federal Building for coffee and breakfast in the morning. Therefore, since HUMPHREYS appeared there at 7:30 a.m., he was not noticed by the press who obviously did not arrive until perhaps two hours later.

HUMPHREYS then apparently went to an elevator located on the second floor of the Federal Building near the cafeteria, and took it to the uppermost floor of the Federal Building. He apparently then commenced a two and a half hour unescorted tour of the Federal Building and apparently was not stopped by anyone, although he made no effort to enter the space occupied by the FBI, since that space was locked at 7:30 in the morning and was guarded by agents and receptionists after the opening of business hours.

It is noted that HUMPHREYS, in instructing GODFREY how to arrive in response to his subpoena, suggested that he also go to the cafeteria, and then take an elevator to the 20th floor and then walk down five flights to the 15th floor. Since Federal Judge J. SAM PERRY issued a court order keeping the press off the 15th floor, witnesses in this fashion are able to evade having themselves photographed and interviewed.

(b)(7)(c)

From remarks made by [redacted] in response to HUMPHREYS' comments above, it appears obvious that he also had "scouted" the layout of the Federal Building for HUMPHREYS prior to HUMPHREYS' arrival there. However, it was obvious that [redacted] "scouted" the Building during business hours, since he indicated that he was unable to enter most of the places which HUMPHREYS claimed he was able to enter without being stopped.

(b)(7)(c)

In regard to HUMPHREYS' comments concerning his contact with Bureau agents, it is noted that SAs HILL, RUTLAND, [redacted] and [redacted] have been requested by the U.S. Attorney's Office to sit in the witness room to insure that witnesses do not put themselves in a position where they can overhear the proceedings before the grand jury and the testimony of other witnesses. Most of the witnesses who appear do not converse with the agents; however, HUMPHREYS spent the entire day of 5/19/65 sitting in the witness room and spent the morning and early afternoon hours of 5/24/65 in the room. During this time he conversed at great length with the agents assigned there. From his conversations, thereafter, it is obvious that he has developed a great respect for the FBI. It is interesting to note that he apparently has now gained an insight as to "why people talk to the FBI."

It is also of interest to note that when HUMPHREYS returned to his residence after his talk with SA [redacted] at Pete Fish's Restaurant on the evening of 5/25/65, he again conversed with himself for a period of time and it became obvious that he had been criticized by [redacted] and possibly other of his associates who were present for conversing with SA [redacted] HUMPHREYS repeatedly mumbled to himself that "with my reputation, after all these years, they should know I can handle myself without any troubles." From his conversation, it further appeared that HUMPHREYS had informed his associates, as he has informed GODFREY, [redacted] and his brother, of his increased respect for the FBI, with the result that his associates feel that he is in danger of developing too close a relationship with FBI agents.

On 5/26/65, [redacted] arrived and was instructed by HUMPHREYS to go to the basement of the Federal Building in Chicago in order to "look over that truck." It would appear that HUMPHREYS and [redacted] have previously conversed concerning this situation and from the conversation, it appeared obvious that HUMPHREYS had observed, probably when he appeared before the grand jury as set out above, the basement of the Federal Building and noticed the stalls for

(b)(7)(c)

emergency parking of FBI cars. It is noted that adjacent to the emergency parking stalls for the FBI are the emergency parking stalls for the Federal Bureau of Narcotics, and that they generally have a green panel truck parked in one of their stalls. Apparently, HUMPHREYS believes that this truck is used by the FBI, and he is therefore interested in it. He gave [redacted] directions as to how he can get down into the basement, and noted that he apparently was questioned by a guard in the basement when he went there, but "it was only a marshal and he asks you where you are going."

HUMPHREYS then discussed with [redacted] the fact that SA [redacted] had been at Pete Fish's Restaurant looking for EDDIE VOGEL and that although [redacted] did not feel it wise, HUMPHREYS left the table where he and his associates were dining, and conversed with SA [redacted] HUMPHREYS said that he offered to buy SA [redacted] a drink but he refused. HUMPHREYS then commented, "You might as well be nice to these guys, there is no use being nasty, that's why they're gunning for MOONEY, see? MOONEY says, get out of here, and calls them names."

The information above concerning HUMPHREYS' interest in the emergency parking stalls located in the basement of the Federal Building has been carefully noted. However, there appears to be no problem with the security involved. However, the Chicago Office will insure that no vehicle which might be of any interest to HUMPHREYS insofar as surveillances of him are concerned will be maintained in these stalls.

4-5 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
Bishop
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☒ RADIO ☐ TELETYPE

R-84
DEFERRED 6-9-65 3:27 PM
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 091744

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HUMPHREYS FEELS HE (b)(7)(c)
HAS NOW "DISCOVERED" TACTIC TO DEAL WITH GRANT OF IMMUNITY.
APPARENTLY [redacted] RECENTLY RETURNED FROM TEXAS
WHERE HE DEFENDED CLIENT. [redacted] CHICAGO DEFENSE ATTORNEY,
INFORMED [redacted] THAT WITNESS IN DALLAS OR HOUSTON WAS
GRANTED IMMUNITY BUT CONTINUED TO REFUSE TO ANSWER QUESTIONS.
HIS DEFENSE WAS JUDGE COULD GRANT IMMUNITY FROM PROSECUTION
BUT NOT "[redacted]" ACCORDING TO [redacted] "[redacted]
[redacted] UPHELD THIS CONTENTION. APPEARS THIS WAS STATE CASE
IN TEXAS AND NOT FEDERAL CASE. [redacted] REQUESTED HUMPHREYS TO
[redacted] HUMPHREYS DID SO AND FEELS THIS IS "PERFECT
DEFENSE." HUMPHREYS ADVISED HIS ASSOCIATE, [redacted] HE
INTENDS TO USE THIS TACTIC "WHEN" HE IS OFFERED IMMUNITY.
WHEN [redacted] ASKED WHY GIANCANA HADN'T USED THIS HUMPHREYS
REPLIED "WE DIDN'T DISCOVER THIS UNTIL JUST NOW."

NOTED RALPH PIERCE APPROACHED AGENT JUNE 7 LAST AT
LOCAL RESTAURANT AND DURING CONVERSATION MENTIONED HE WOULD
USE THIS DEFENSE IF HE WAS GRANTED IMMUNITY. PIERCE STATED
"ANY JUDGE WOULD UNDERSTAND THAT."

92-3088

RECEIVED: 4:25 PM [redacted]

REC-19

JUN 15 1965

EX-101

70 JUN 18 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

DECODED COPY

[redacted]

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

4:38 PM CDST DEFERRED 6-11-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 112020

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HUMPHREYS EXPRESSED NO PARTICULAR SURPRISE WHEN LEARNING COURT OF APPEALS DECLINED TO GRANT EMERGENCY HEARING TO GIANCANA. UPON LEARNING OF USA HANRAHAN'S PRAISE OF "TREMENDOUS" WORK DONE BY FBI IN LAYING GROUNDWORK OF EVIDENCE WHICH MADE JAILING OF GIANCANA POSSIBLE, HUMPHREYS COMPLAINED: "HE OUGHT TO SAY THAT. THEY PUT HIM IN DIAMONDS, THAT (OBSCENE) POLITICIAN."

(b)(7)(c)

RECEIVED: 6:08 PM [redacted]

REC 37

92-3088-1416

EX-101

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO XXX TELETYPE

6:25 PM CSDT DEFERRED 6-7-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 071920

(b)(7)(c) [redacted]

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

HUMPHREYS SECOND WIFE ARRIVED CHICAGO JUNE 6 LAST. NOTED U. S. TAX COURT CONVENES JUNE 7 INSTANT. DURING THIS SESSION COURT WILL HOLD HEARINGS RE PURCHASE OF HOME IN KEY BISCAYNE IN 1959 IN NAME BETTY JEANNE. COURT WILL ALSO HEAR CASE WHEREIN IRS ATTEMPTING TO COLLECT FULL INCOME TAXES FROM HUMPHREYS IN CONNECTION WITH $42,000 PROFIT HUMPHREYS MADE ON SALE OF STOCK IN FIRST NATIONAL LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA IN KANSAS CITY. NOTED CHICAGO ADVISED TWO YEARS AGO JOHN JAKE FACTOR SOLD STOCK IN THIS COMPANY TO HUMPHREYS IN 1960 FOR $20 PER SHARE WHEN STOCK WAS IN FACT SELLING FOR $125 PER SHARE. IRS LEARNED THIS WHEN HUMPHREYS REPORTED TRANSACTION AND CLAIMED IT AS CAPITAL GAIN. IRS CONTENDS PROFIT SHOULD [redacted] HAVE BEEN TAXED AS STRAIGHT INCOME NOT CAPITAL GAINS. BUREAU REQUESTED TO OBTAIN FULL PARTICULARS PENDING SUITS VS. HUMPHREYS AND HIS SECOND WIFE THROUGH LIAISON SOG. IRS CHICAGO SUGGESTED CONTACT THERE.

REC. 24 92-3088-1411

INGIVING WIFE DETAILS FGJ PROCEEDINGS VS. GIANCANA, HUMPHREYS SUMMED UP AS FOLLOWS: HE'S IN TROUBLE BECAUSE HE USED THE PHONE TO CALL HIS GIRL. THATS ALL HE'S IN TROUBLE ABOUT, NOTHING ELSE. THEY GAVE HIM IMMUNITY TO SAY

2 JUN 16 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

58 JUN 23 1965

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

PAGE 2 FROM CHICAGO 071920

(b)(7)(c)

WHAT HE TALKED ABOUT AND HE WOULDN'T TELL THEM. BUT YOU SEE HE GAVE THEM A BAD TALKING TO WHEN THEY TRIED TO TALK TO HIM BEFORE AND FOR THAT THEY GOT HOT AT HIM. SO NOW HE'S IN TROUBLE.

HUMPHREYS SCHEDULED NOON MEETING STOUFFER'S RESTAURANT, PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, JUNE 7 WITH LES KRUSE. THEN MADE ARRANGEMENTS TO MEET WITH [redacted] AND TO TRAVEL TO NORWOOD HOUSE RESTAURANT IN WESTERN SUBURB AT 3 PM TO MEET WITH SAM BATTAGLIA AND POSSIBLY WITH SOMEONE IN TOWN FROM ELSEWHERE.

WHEN BETTY JEANNE LEAVES CHICAGO SHE INTENDS TO VISIT LAS VEGAS. HUMPHREYS SUGGESTED SHE CONTACT RUBY KOLOD THERE ALTHOUGH KOLOD BEING FORCED TO SELL OUT AS RESULT HIS CONVICTION DENVER.

RECEIVED: 7:51 PM [redacted]

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☒ RADIO ☐ TELETYPE

R-62
URGENT 6-10-65 12:57 PM
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 101623

ANTIRACKETEERING

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE INDICATED RECENTLY HUMPHREYS APPARENTLY MET WITH SAM BATTAGLIA EVENING JUNE 8 LAST. FOLLOWING THIS CONTACT HUMPHREYS INDICATED TO HIS BROTHER THAT BATTAGLIA VERY CONCERNED HE WILL BE NEXT PERSON GRANTED IMMUNITY BY GOVERNMENT SINCE FBI AWARE HE HAS ASSUMED NEW RESPONSIBILITY ORGANIZED CRIME HERE. HUMPHREYS THEREUPON COMMENTED: "WHO WANTS TO BE A BOSS WHEN HE KNOWS THE FBI WILL GO AFTER HIM LIKE THIS AS SOON AS HE GET UP THERE."

(b)(7)(c)

RECEIVED: 1:41 PM

EX 110

REC 19

92-3088

MR. BELMONT FOR THE DIRECTOR

6 JUN 10 1965

53 JUN 21 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont ✓
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☒ RADIO ☐ TELETYPE

R-35
DEFERRED 6-14-65 2:43 PM
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 141746 [Anti-racketeering]

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED [redacted] VISITED HUMPHREYS 9 AM SUNDAY LAST TO BRING NEWSPAPER ARTICLE TO HUMPHREYS. ALTHOUGH ARTICLE NOT DESCRIBED IT LED HUMPHREYS TO COMMENT: "THE FBI WAS HOT AT THE GUY (GIANCANA) AND THEY HIT HIM FIRST. BECAUSE HE CURSED THEM." [redacted] REPLIED [redacted]"

ON JUNE 14 HUMPHREYS WAS TAKEN BY GODFREY TO OFFICE, [redacted] AT 9 A.M. HE WAS SCHEDULED TO MEET WITH [redacted] AT 10 A.M., OFFICE [redacted] AT NOON HE WAS SCHEDULED TO MEET WITH [redacted] PIERCE AND POSSIBLY KRUSE, ACCARDO AND [redacted] AT THE BEEF AND BOURBON RESTAURANT IN OLD TOWN SECTION CHICAGO. IN AFTERNOON HE WAS SCHEDULED TO MEET PLANE ARRIVING O'HARE AIRPORT FROM LAS VEGAS TO PICK UP SECOND WIFE RETURNING FROM VEGAS.

RECEIVED: 4:10 PM [redacted]

REC-119 92-3088

2 JUN 16 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

7 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552		Section 552a
☐ (b)(1)	☐ (b)(7)(A)	☐ (d)(5)
☑ (b)(2)	☐ (b)(7)(B)	☐ (j)(2)
☐ (b)(3)	☑ (b)(7)(C)	☐ (k)(1)
_____	☑ (b)(7)(D)	☐ (k)(2)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(E)	☐ (k)(3)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(F)	☐ (k)(4)
☐ (b)(4)	☐ (b)(8)	☐ (k)(5)
☐ (b)(5)	☐ (b)(9)	☐ (k)(6)
☐ (b)(6)		☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1420 thru 1422

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10
MAY 1962 EDITION
GSA GEN. REG. NO. 27

5010-106

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

TO : D. J. Brennan, Jr.

DATE: 6/16/65

FROM : P. D. Putnam

SUBJECT: MURRAY HUMPHRIES
ANTI-RACKETEERING

(b)(7)(c) [redacted]

At the request of the Organized Crime Section, inquiry was made at Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Headquarters on 6/11/65 as to the status of the Government tax case involving Humphries. [redacted] Intelligence Division with IRS, advised that the records of the Tax Court Division, Control and Docket Section, IRS, show that Humphries, through his attorneys, filed a motion for continuance on the tax case; the Government interposed no objection; and the court granted the motion on 5/12/65. [redacted] stated that this is not an unusual procedure in civil tax cases.

[redacted] added that the foregoing information is information which is subject to public scrutiny on request and that further details, if needed, concerning this matter can be obtained by our Chicago Office through the Tax Court Division, Office of Regional Counsel, IRS office in Chicago.

ACTION:

Refer to Organized Crime Section.

[redacted]
(5) [redacted]

1 - [redacted]
1 - [redacted]
1 - Liaison
1 - [redacted]

MCT REC-40

1423

4 JUN 18 196

6 ... 1965

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

6 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552		Section 552a
☐ (b)(1)	☐ (b)(7)(A)	☐ (d)(5)
☑ (b)(2)	☐ (b)(7)(B)	☐ (j)(2)
☐ (b)(3)	☑ (b)(7)(C)	☐ (k)(1)
_____	☑ (b)(7)(D)	☐ (k)(2)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(E)	☐ (k)(3)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(F)	☐ (k)(4)
☐ (b)(4)	☐ (b)(8)	☐ (k)(5)
☐ (b)(5)	☐ (b)(9)	☐ (k)(6)
☐ (b)(6)		☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1424 thru 1426

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
[redacted]

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

1:28 PM CDST DEFERRED 6-18-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 181803

(b)(7)(C) [redacted]

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HUMPHREYS GROUP SCHEDULED NOON LUNCHEON MEET JUNE 18 AT STOUFFER'S RESTAURANT ON RANDOLPH STREET, CHICAGO.

HUMPHREYS INSTRUCTED GODFREY TO INFORM SAM ALEX HUMPHREYS WANTED HIM TO MEET WITH GROUP THAT DATE.

RECEIVED: 2:35 PM [redacted]

REC-46 92-3088-1457

EX. 112

8 JUN 22 1965

58 JUN 23 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

2 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552		Section 552a
☐ (b)(1)	☐ (b)(7)(A)	☐ (d)(5)
☐ (b)(2)	☐ (b)(7)(B)	☐ (j)(2)
☐ (b)(3)	☑ (b)(7)(C)	☐ (k)(1)
_____	☑ (b)(7)(D)	☐ (k)(2)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(E)	☐ (k)(3)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(F)	☐ (k)(4)
☐ (b)(4)	☐ (b)(8)	☐ (k)(5)
☐ (b)(5)	☐ (b)(9)	☐ (k)(6)
☐ (b)(6)		☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1428 & 1429

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

FD-36 (Rev. 5-22-64)

NA 6/17/65

FBI

Date: 6/15/65

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

Mr. Tolson
Mr. Belmont
Mr. Mohr
Mr. DeLoach
Mr. Casper
Mr. Callahan
Mr. Conrad
Mr. Felt
Mr. Gale
Mr. Rosen
Mr. Sullivan
Mr. Tavel
Mr. Trotter
Tele. Room
Miss Holmes
Miss Gandy

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (92-1913)

SUBJECT: PROPOSED EXCHANGE TWELVE MILLION DOLLARS IN DOMINICAN REPUBLIC PESOS FOR AMERICAN DOLLARS
AR

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS

Reurlet 6/4/65 instructing that the Chicago Office immediately prepare LHM setting forth all pertinent information re captioned matter for submission to Legat, Santo Domingo, for his assistance in event any other data about this situation should come to his attention.

Enclosed herewith are original and five copies of LHM.

ENCLOSURE A

(F)(7)(C)

3 - Bureau (Enc. 6) (RM)
1 - Chicago
[redacted]
(4)

2 cc's enc to Santo Domingo by 6/21/65

REC 45 92-3088-1430

[redacted]

EX 109

16 JUN 22 1965

C. C. Wick 83

58 JUN 29 1965

Approved: _____ Sent _____ Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
Chicago, Illinois
June 15, 1965

In Reply, Please Refer to File No.

RE: PROPOSED EXCHANGE TWELVE MILLION DOLLARS IN DOMINICAN REPUBLIC PESOS FOR AMERICAN DOLLARS
ANTI-RACKETEERING

(b)(7)(c)

A highly confidential source advised that Chicago hoodlum Murray L. Humphreys was in Norman, Oklahoma, on the week end of May 15 and 16, 1965. While there he was contacted by an attorney who advised him that he, the attorney, had been telephonically contacted by a person whom he described as [redacted] the Martin Marietta Company in New York City. This individual indicated to the Oklahoma attorney that he had access to $12,000,000 in Dominican Republic pesos. The Oklahoma attorney inquired of Humphreys as to whether he might be interested in effecting an exchange of these pesos for American dollars. The Oklahoma attorney offered Humphreys $1,000,000 for effecting the exchange. He indicated that the New York attorney would take $1,000,000 for his end. No information was given as to who actually possessed the pesos or who had taken them out of the Dominican Republic.

The highly confidential source indicated that in the middle of May, 1965, Humphreys was investigating the situation in order to determine if the exchange was feasible. He was investigating the situation regarding the present status of Dominican Republic pesos and regarding the background of the New York attorney and of the Martin Marietta Company. Humphreys felt that if the proposition proved feasible, he would consider sending an associate, one [redacted] to Switzerland, to effect the exchange at a Swiss bank. Humphreys indicated that he felt it possible that the money was taken from the country by one of the sons of the assassinated dictator, Trujillo.

Investigation has determined that the Norman, Oklahoma, attorney is in all probability one [redacted]

92-3088 1430

COPIES DESTROYED
90 7 MAR 23 1972

ENCLOSURE

RE: PROPOSED EXCHANGE TWELVE MILLION
DOLLARS IN DOMINICAN REPUBLIC
PESOS FOR AMERICAN DOLLARS

although it is possible that another Norman, Oklahoma, attorney, one [redacted] is the attorney in question. (b)(7)(C)

Investigation has also determined that the [redacted] the Martin Marietta Company is one [redacted] MD

As of June 14, 1965, no further information has been received relative to this situation, although it is known that Humphreys indicated that he would check the situation with his associate, Chicago hoodlum Leslie Kruse. The highly confidential source indicated that Kruse is familiar with the situation in Santo Domingo, having recently traveled there. This source has advised that Kruse was traveling, possibly on the business of Humphreys, during the week of May 30th and possibly also during the early part of the week of June 6, 1965. However, no information has been received definitely showing that Kruse was traveling in connection with this situation. FHL DOM. REP

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

4 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552		Section 552a
☐ (b)(1)	☐ (b)(7)(A)	☐ (d)(5)
☐ (b)(2)	☐ (b)(7)(B)	☐ (j)(2)
☐ (b)(3)	☑ (b)(7)(C)	☐ (k)(1)
_____	☑ (b)(7)(D)	☐ (k)(2)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(E)	☐ (k)(3)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(F)	☐ (k)(4)
☐ (b)(4)	☐ (b)(8)	☐ (k)(5)
☐ (b)(5)	☐ (b)(9)	☐ (k)(6)
☐ (b)(6)		☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1431 & 1432

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

6/21/65

Airtel

To: SACs, Chicago
Newark

From: Director, FBI

PROPOSED EXCHANGE TWELVE MILLION DOLLARS
IN DOMINICAN REPUBLIC PESOS FOR AMERICAN DOLLARS
AR

(b)(7)(C):(D)

For information of Chicago, discreet check of [redacted] pertinent parties in New York and Oklahoma has been negative as have other efforts to corroborate or develop additional details concerning alleged scheme to exchange Dominican pesos through Murray Humphreys.

Chicago immediately furnish the Bureau any additional information received or developed concerning this allegation and also submit recommendations as to further action which can be taken to resolve this allegation with security to the Chicago source.

Newark, if not already done, immediately advise results [redacted]

1 - New York

NOTE: While visiting Norman, Oklahoma, CG top hoodlum Murray Humphreys allegedly was offered a proposal involving the exchange of twelve million dollars in Dominican Republic pesos for American money. The offer reportedly was made by a Norman attorney who was in contact with an individual supposedly the [redacted] Martin Marietta Company of New York. Inquiry to date to verify this report has been negative.

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

[redacted] (7)

REC 38 92-3[illegible]-1433

EX-101

19 JUN 22 1965

56 JUL 1 1965

MAIL ROOM ☑ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

MAIL ROOM JUN 21 1965 COMM FBI

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

5:37 PM CDST DEFERRED 6-16-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 161927

Anti-racketeering

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

(b)(7)(c)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HUMPHREYS FEELS HE WILL NOT BE RECALLED BY FGJ AND OFFERED IMMUNITY DUE TO HIS STRATEGY IN INFORMING FGJ HE NEVER USES PHONE AND IN REFUSING TO USE FIFTH AMENDMENT WHEN ASKED QUESTIONS ABOUT NARCOTICS. HUMPHREYS THEREFORE FEELS USA UNABLE TO LAY FOUNDATION FOR GRANT OF IMMUNITY.

Federal Grand Jury

United States Attorney

HOWEVER, IN EVENT HE SHOULD BE RECALLED HUMPHREYS HAS CONSULTED ATTORNEYS [redacted] AND [redacted] FOR THEIR ASSISTANCE IN DRAFTING FOLLOWING ANSWER TO EACH QUESTION PUT TO HIM: I REFUSE TO ANSWER ON THE GROUNDS THAT THE ANSWER MAY FURNISH A LINK IN A CHAIN OF CIRCUMSTANCES TO BE USED TO INCRIMINATE ME OR MAY TEND TO INCRIMINATE ME OR TO SUBJECT ME TO INFAMY AND DEGRADE ME OR SUBJECT ME TO FORFEITURE OR PENALTY. I FURTHER CLAIM MY RIGHT TO PRIVACY AND SILENCE UNDER (THE FIRST OR FIFTH NOT CLEAR) AMENDMENT IN THAT THIS QUESTION IS DESIGNED UNDER A PUBLISHED PLAN TO SUBJECT ME TO CRUEL AND INHUMAN PUNISHMENT IN VIOLATION OF THE EIGHTH AMENDMENT AND TO SUBJECT ME TO PUNISHMENT IN EXCESS OF THAT PRECRIBED FOR PETIT OFFENSES AND MISDEMEANORS AND TO CONVICT ME IN THE EYES OF MY FELLOW CITIZENS WITHOUT BEING CONFRONTED BY MY ACCUSORS OR BEING AFFORDED INFORMATION REGARDING THE NATURE OF ANY ACCUSSATIONS

REC 5

58 JUL 2 1965

ST-114 2 JUN 22 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

PAGE TWO FROM CHICAGO 161927 (b)(7)(c)

AGAINST ME EITHER BY INFORMATION OR INDICTMENT AND AFFORDED A TRIAL BY JURY AND TO DENY ME DUE PROCESS OF LAW.

APPEARS HUMPHREYS WILL USE THIS STATEMENT IN ANSWER TO ALL QUESTIONS AND THEN IF GRANTED IMMUNITY WILL CONTINUE TO RESIST ANSWERING BY CLAIMING COURT MAY GRANT IMMUNITY FROM PROSECUTION BUT NOT FROM DEATH.

RECEIVED: 6:50 PM [redacted]

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

2:21 PM CDST DEFERRED 6-22-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 221817

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

(1)(7)(C)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HUMPHREYS DISCUSSED PENDING ELECTION OFFICERS LOCAL 134, IBEW. NOTED MIKE KENNEDY THIS UNION IN ILL HEALTH ANNOUNCED HE WOULD NOT RUN FOR RE ELECTION AND WOULD SUPPORT ONE [redacted] FORMERLY HIS ASSISTANT. HUMPHREYS COMMENTED KENNEDY "HAD ME MEET [redacted] APPARENTLY FOR HIS APPROVAL. NOTED THIS SOURCE RECENTLY INDICATED HUMPHREYS SCHEDULED TO MEET "A FRIEND OF KENNEDY" AT CELANO'S CUSTOM TAILORS. POSSIBLY THIS IS WHEN MEETING OCCURRED.

HUMPHREYS INSTRUCTED GODFREY JUNE 22 TO CONTACT ROSS PRIO AND HAVE HIM AT 900 NORTH MICHIGAN 11 AM. HUMPHREYS INDICATED HE HAD TO GET INFO FROM PRIO FOR USE WHEN CONFERRING WITH LES KRUSE, RALPH PIERCE AND [redacted] AT NOON.

RECEIVED: 3:21 PM [redacted]

92-3088 1435

REC-52

10 JUN 24 1965

F146

JUN 30 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

7:35 PM CDST DEFERRED 6-21-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 211931

(b)(7)(c)

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA, AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED [redacted] MET HUMPHREYS EARLY AM JUNE 20 IN HUMPHREY'S APARTMENT. THEY DISCUSSED STRATEGY IN DEALING WITH IMMUNITY TACTIC. HUMPHREYS ADVISED HE HAS "ABOUT DECIDED" THAT CHICAGO GROUP SHOULD SERIOUSLY CONSIDER ACCEPTING IMMUNITY AND THEN ATTEMPT EVASIVE ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS WITHOUT ACTUALLY PERJURING THEMSELVES. HUMPHREYS FEELS SERIOUS CONSIDERATION SHOULD BE GIVEN TO ADMISSIONS OF ASSOCIATIONS WITH OTHER MEMBERS OF GROUP BUT EVASIVE ANSWERS SHOULD THEN BE CONSIDERED IN RESPONSE TO THE NATURE OF ASSOCIATION AND SOURCE OF INCOME OF ASSOCIATE. HUMPHREYS RECOGNIZES DANGER SINCE QUESTIONS OF GOVERNMENT WILL BE FRAMED FROM "WHAT THE FBI REPORTED ON US." HOWEVER, HUMPHREY'S WONDERS THAT ALTHOUGH "THEY KNOW A LOT ABOUT WHAT WE DO," PERHAPS FBI NOT COMPLETELY KNOWLEDGEABLE "AS WE MIGHT THINK."

[redacted] AGREES WITH THIS. HE ADDED THAT AFTER QUESTIONS ARE FIRST PROPOSED A TRANSCRIPT IS MADE UP AND EXHIBITED TO JUDGE WHO THEN GRANTS IMMUNITY. [redacted] MADE POINT THIS PROCEDURE GIVE WITNESS TIME TO PONDER EACH QUESTION TO

REC-52 92-3088 1436

JUN 24 1965

7 JUL 7 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

PAGE TWO FROM CHICAGO 211931

(b)(7)(c)

DETERMINE WHETHER EVASIVE ANSWERS COULD ENABLE HOODLUM TO ANSWER WITHOUT FEAR OF PERJURY. [redacted] BELIEVES GOVERNMENT IS RESTRICTED TO THESE QUESTIONS AND CANNOT ASK ADDITIONAL ONES. HOWEVER, HUMPHREYS REALIZES THIS NOT FACTUAL, THAT THIS POINT NOT CLEAR SINCE "WE DON'T KNOW HOW FAR THEY CAN GO" AND SINCE EACH ANSWER GIVES RISE TO ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS.

[redacted] FEELS THAT CHICAGO GROUP [redacted] " [redacted] FEELS EACH HOODLUM SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO DECIDE FOR HIMSELF WHETHER TO ACCEPT OFFER OF IMMUNITY AND ATTEMPT EVASIVE ANSWERS WITH UNDERSTANDING THAT HE IS NOT ALLOWED TO IMPLICATE ASSOCIATES.

HUMPHREYS BELIEVES PLAN MAY BE ALL RIGHT FOR OTHER HOODLUMS WHO HAVE GIVEN SOME SOURCE FOR THEIR INCOME IN TAX RETURNS SUCH AS [redacted] WHO CLAIMS INCOME FROM LEGITIMATE BUSINESS. HOWEVER, HE FEELS HE COULD NOT ATTEMPT THIS SINCE IN HIS RETURNS HE NEVER IDENTIFIED SOURCE OF INCOME.

HUMPHREYS AGAIN EXPRESSED OPINION CHICAGO GROUP WOULD NOT BE IN THIS PROBLEM IF "MOONEY HADN'TA GOT HOT" AT FBI.

[redacted] DISCUSSED HIS ATTEMPTS TO BEAT ORDER OF SWISS GOVERNMENT TO BAR HIM FROM SWITZERLAND. HE HAS VERIFIED ORDER BASED ON INFO FROM FBI. HUMPHREYS INDICATED [redacted]

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

PAGE THREE FROM CHICAGO 211931

(b)(7)(c)

SHOULD OBTAIN LETTER FROM FBI TO SWISS GOVERNMENT BUT STATED "I BET THEY JUST TALKED TO THEM. THOSE (OBSCENES), THEY NEVER PUT NOTHIN' IN WRITING WHERE YOU COULD CATCH THEM." DURING CONVERSATION, SOURCE ESTABLISHED NEITHER [redacted] OR HUMPHREYS EVER DEPOSITED MONEY IN SWISS BANKS AND TRIPS OF [redacted] TO SWITZERLAND WERE STRICTLY FOR SKIING.

HUMPHREYS CONVERSED WITH ASSOCITE [redacted] OVER WEEKEND. APPARENTLY MORRIS NORMAN HAS NOW PURCHASED CART RESTAURANT IN CHICAGO. HUMPHREYS WILL ASK RALPH PIERCE TO REQUEST NORMAN TO GIVE [redacted] $350 PER WEEK TO MANAGE CART.

WHEN GODFREY ARRIVED AM JUNE 21 HUMPHREYS INFORMED HIM THERE WOULD BE NO MEETING OF HUMPHREYS GROUP THAT DATE SINCE HUMPHREYS AND [redacted] "WORKED" PREVIOUS DAY. HUMPHREYS DISCUSSED WITH GODFREY AT LENGTH WHETHER NORMAN "STOOL PIGEON" OF FBI AND WHETHER HUMPHREYS SHOULD REQUEST NORMAN TO GIVE [redacted] ABOVE JOB. NOTED NORMAN FREQUENTLY CONTACTED THIS INVESTIGATION BUT IS NOT CI OR PCI.

Criminal Informant Potential Criminal Informant

DETAILED AIRTEL FOLLOWS.

RECEIVED: 9:02 PM [redacted]

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

FD-65 (Rev. 3-25-63)
OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10
MAY 1962 EDITION
GSA GEN. REG. NO. 27
5010-106

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Director, FBI (Att.: Special Investigative Division)

DATE: 6/25/65
Office of Origin: Chicago

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO

O.O. File No. 92-348
(If other than submitting office)

SUBJECT: MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, Aka - FUGITIVE
AR

In order that a fugitive index card may be prepared without delay, the following information is furnished:

☐ Probation violator's warrant issued by USDC for District of _____, (date) _____

☒ Warrant issued by ☐ U. S. Commissioner ☑ Clerk, USDC at Northern District of I, (date) 6/25/65

Date probation violator or bond default case referred to office _____

Name and Aliases:
MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, LOU HART,
LOUIS HUMPHREY, JUDGE POPE,
"CURLEY", "HUMP"

"FUGITIVE CARDS
Date

FBI # 551952

Other Identifying #

By

Offense Charged: Warrant issued to compel testimony before FGJ.

Title _____, **U. S. Code, Section** _____

If an indictment or information is outstanding specify which, giving date and place of issuance: _____

Description

Sex	Race	Complexion	Age	Birth date	Birthplace
☒ Male ☐ Female	White	Fair	66	4/20/99	Chicago, Illinois
Height	**Weight**	**Build**	**Hair**	**Eyes**	**Residence**
5'10½"	165	Slender	Grey	Blue	300 North State, Chic

Nationality	Marital status	Caution statement (where applicable)
Welsh	Divorced	None.

REC 36
MCT 2

Scars, marks and other identifying remarks
Leather-lined face;
wears glasses

Occupation

6 JUN 2 1965

70 JUL 1 Bureau
1 - Chicago
[redacted]

(b)(7)(c)

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

3 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552		Section 552a
☐ (b)(1)	☐ (b)(7)(A)	☐ (d)(5)
☑ (b)(2)	☐ (b)(7)(B)	☐ (j)(2)
☐ (b)(3)	☑ (b)(7)(C)	☐ (k)(1)
_____	☑ (b)(7)(D)	☐ (k)(2)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(E)	☐ (k)(3)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(F)	☐ (k)(4)
☐ (b)(4)	☐ (b)(8)	☐ (k)(5)
☐ (b)(5)	☐ (b)(9)	☐ (k)(6)
☐ (b)(6)		☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1438

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

2:40 PM CDST DEFERRED 6-23-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 231720

Anti-racketeering

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

(b)(7)(c)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HUMPHREYS VERY CONCERNED OVER POSSIBILITY [redacted] MAY BE "ON VERGE OF NERVOUS BREAKDOWN." WAS INFORMED BY GODFREY THAT [redacted] WENT ON A CRYING JAG" LATE YESTERDAY AFTERNOON OVER DECLINING CONDITION OF HEALTH OF MOTHER. HUMPHREYS FEELS [redacted] UNABLE TO RELAX AND IS UNABLE TO COPE WITH THOUGHT "FBI IS GOING TO SEND HIM AWAY" WITH IMMUNITY TACTIC. HUMPHREYS FEELS [redacted] WOULD BREAK DOWN COMPLETELY IF JAILED.

HUMPHREYS SCHEDULED USUAL CONTACT WITH [redacted] 10 AM JUNE 23. INSTRUCTED GODFREY TO HAVE PHIL ALDERISIO AT NOON MEETING OF HUMPHREYS GROUP.

RECEIVED: 3:51 PM [redacted]

REC 48 92-3088-1451

EX-101

15 JUN 28 1965

FBI 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

FD-36 (Rev. 10-29-63)

Mr. Tolson
Mr. B[illegible]ont

FBI

Date: 6/22/65

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (92-350 sub Z)

SUBJECT: MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka
AR

JUNE

[redacted]

(b)(2) (b)(7)(C):(D)

SUMMARY

[redacted] furnished information concerning activity on 6/20/65.

Source advised that on the above date, a Sunday, Chicago top hoodlum [redacted] arrived at 9:21 a.m. in order to confer with HUMPHREYS. Shortly after he arrived, the following conversation took place:

HUMPHREYS:

You know, I've about decided. Suposin' you went in there, see, and you got a job and they asked you about me, about MOONEY, about, ah, yes, I know MOONEY, I know that guy. Well, what does MOONEY do? Well, he owns a motel or somethin'.

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

Yeah, but he did. Well, what does JOE do? Well, JOE used to be a gambler. What about PAUL? Well, was a gambler. See, because they paid taxes on that, so you're not hurting nothing by that, see?

3 - Bureau (RM) REC 33 92-350 1440
1 - Chicago
[redacted]
(4)

9 JUN 30 1965

[redacted]

C. C. Wick

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

6 JUL 7 1965

SPEC. MAIL RM

[redacted] [redacted]

(b)(7)(c)

HUMPHREYS:

You understand? Something for everything that they have showed on their taxes, do you understand? Did you ever do this and this and that with them. No, because they can't prove anything else. Now, do you know HUMPHREYS? Yes, I know him very well. What does he do? Well, he's retired. Well, how does he make his money? Well, he's never said anything. And I never asked him. Well, what about TEETS? Well, he has some horses. See, because what this is all about is what the FBI reported on us guys, we all know they're the ones behind this. And they know a lot about what we do. But maybe not too much as we might think, you know?

[redacted] [redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

Yes, or say, I don't know about this. About the guys there in Cicero, well, they own a hotel out there.

[redacted] [redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

No, but if they did. Oh, don't worry, once they get you rolling, they'll hit on everything.

[redacted] [redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

Yeah, but we don't know how far they can go. See, you answer. Now, from your answer they can get more questions.

(b)(7)(c)

At this point [redacted] whispered, as is his customary habit, for several minutes. His comments here were inaudible to the source.

HUMPHREYS: (In reply to the inaudible comments:)

Yeah, but you don't get them. They just give them to the judge, they don't give them to you.

[redacted]

[redacted] (Whispers again). [redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

No, they couldn't prove everything. But you see, I'm in a worse spot than anybody. You guys all have something that you show your income from. I don't. I don't show them my income. I just put down so much money, but not where it came from. Where can I tell them I got it from? I couldn't answer. Like PAUL could say, I got it from going to the tracks.

[redacted]

(b)(7)(c)

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

Yeah, but they're gonna ask you about talking to us. That's what they're gonna ask you about.

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

Yeah. But I can't. On account of my income.

[redacted]

It appears clear from the above conversation that HUMPHREYS feels that consideration should be given by the hoodlum

group, in an effort to overthrow the tactics of the government in granting immunity from prosecution, to members of the Chicago group, to answering questions put to them by the Federal grand jury in such a manner so that they would evade a subsequent conviction for perjury, but at the same time would not be in a position where they would be forced to decline the offer of immunity and be sentenced to jail for contempt of a court order.

HUMPHREYS obviously feels that practically all the members of the Chicago group have either a legitimate business or a source for their income which they have previously made attempts to document. For instance, any questions concerning the business of GIANCANA could be answered by stating that GIANCANA owned a motel. It is noted that GIANCANA formerly owned a motel in a western suburb of Chicago. JOE (BATTERS), ANTHONY ACCARDO and PAUL (DE LUCIA) have previously indicated income from gambling. SAM "TEETS" BATTAGLIA owns a horse farm.

HUMPHREYS apparently feels that although the questions of the grand jury are framed as a result of the investigation conducted on members of the Chicago group by the FBI, the FBI may not be quite as knowledgeable as "we might think." HUMPHREYS therefore feels, apparently, that the Chicago group should explore the possibility of answering the questions put to them by the grand jury with the hope that sufficient testimony and evidence could not be introduced to convict the hoodlums subsequently for perjury.

(b)(7)(c)

[redacted] obviously, feels that the fact that the questions proposed by the grand jury are later transcribed and given to the judge who will make the offer of immunity, gives the hoodlums a chance to determine, during the three or fours days interim, whether answers can be framed so that some type of response could be made which would not subject the hoodlum to a conviction for perjury but would also enable him to answer the questions so as not to decline the offer of immunity and go to jail for contempt of court. However, [redacted] is under the erroneous impression that the Government is restricted to the questions initially asked before

the grand jury and that when the witness thereafter accepts immunity, and attempts to answer these questions, that the Government would not be allowed to introduce additional questions. It is noted that Judge WILLIAM CAMPBELL, in explaining the alternatives open to GIANCANA, clearly advised him that no court has the power to restrict a grand jury as to additional questions which can be proposed to a witness.

(b)(7)(C)

The Chicago Office therefore feels that [redacted] is wrong in his belief in the above regard. HUMPHREYS, from his comments, also feels that [redacted] is not on solid ground when he makes this assertion.

When HUMPHREYS indicates that he is in a "worse spot than anybody," he apparently believes that due to the fact that he claims income on his tax returns as coming from "various and miscellaneous sources," he is precluded from attempting to furnish evasive answers. Apparently he feels that since the other hoodlums have attempted to document the source of their incomes, they are in a position to give answers in this regard whereas he is not. However, the Chicago Office sees no reason why HUMPHREYS could not identify the "various and miscellaneous sources" before the grand jury if he so decided.

[redacted] was apparently asked questions concerning whether he had ever received any money from Alderman [redacted] before the grand jury. Apparently he indicates, in his conversation with HUMPHREYS, that he has never received any such money. He also indicates that he has never received any money from liquor stores, it being noted that information has been received alleging that [redacted] has a hidden financial interest in Rush Liquors. It is noted that his top lieutenant, [redacted] is on the liquor license of this firm.

It is noted that [redacted] is legitimately on the payroll of the three vending machine and coin-operated machine firms which were originally formed by Chicago top hoodlum EDWARD VOGEL. Apparently he has been on the payroll

(b)(7)(c)

of these concerns since 1957. He is therefore in a position, he feels, to answer questions concerning the nature of his association with all types of individuals by claiming that he talked to them about locations for his cigarette machines, juke boxes, etc.

It appears to be the point of [redacted] that the Chicago group should meet and agree that each individual hoodlum should be free to consider the questions which are proposed to him by the grand jury and then decide whether he wants to take the chance of attempting answers to questions, rather than refusing immunity and going to jail for contempt. It is [redacted] point that if the hoodlum should feel that he can answer these questions without implicating any of his associates, he should be free to do so.

When [redacted] refers to his problems in Switzerland, he refers to the fact that he has been visiting Switzerland during the Christmas holidays and the month of January for the past several years. Recently, the Swiss government sent him a notice indicating that he was barred from returning to Switzerland for the next ten years. Questions concerning his trips to Switzerland were put to him by the Federal grand jury. [redacted] obviously feels that the information which caused him to be barred by the Swiss Government was given to the Swiss Government by the FBI. [redacted] reference to his Switzerland situation then caused the following additional comments concerning this matter between HUMPHREYS and [redacted]

[redacted]

(b)(7)(c)

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

Where did you get your lawyer from, [redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted],

HUMPHREYS:

[redacted] I'm just thinking. Can your lawyer get the letter that the FBI gave them? To the Swiss Government? Or did they just talk to them? I bet those (obs) just talked to them. Those (obs), they never put nothin' in writing where you could catch them.

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

Why, of course you never did anything wrong there.

[redacted].

HUMPHREYS:

They punished you without any crime.

(b)(7)(c)

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

That'd be a big victory if you could overcome it. Did the lawyer say you should come over there and face it?

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

When you go back, you'll more than likely have more (obs) company. You'll really have to walk the straight and narrow.

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS then tells how a police officer in Switzerland once stopped him for a traffic violation there.

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

See. It's the little things that get you in wrong. That's just like here. If MOONEY hadn't got hot at them, they wouldn't have got hot at him and he'd be some place playing golf all day and (obs) his broad all night today.

[redacted]

(b)(7)(c)

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

Ha ha ha ha.

[redacted]

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

Where do you ride to?

[redacted]

It is clear from the above conversation that [redacted] has retained a lawyer from a list of Swiss attorneys given to him by the Swiss Consul here. This attorney has been attempting to influence the Swiss Government to rescind the order barring [redacted] from Switzerland. Apparently, or so [redacted] claims, the Swiss Government has [redacted] to the attorney that the action of the Swiss Government was taken due to information received from the FBI. [redacted] obviously has been at work in Chicago obtaining the records of local police departments in order to show that he has never been convicted of a crime. It is noted that Evergreen Park, Illinois, is the residence of [redacted] mother and that he spends considerable time there. This apparently is the reason that he sent a letter to the Evergreen Park Police Department asking for his police record.

HUMPHREYS obviously would like to obtain any

(b)(7)(c)

record wherein the FBI may have requested the Swiss Government to bar [redacted] from Switzerland. However, he apparently is willing to wager that the FBI was cautious enough in this regard so that any such request would have been made orally.

[redacted] comments in regard to his feeling that the Government feels that he has made monetary deposits in Swiss banks is pertinent. There appears to be little doubt that [redacted] has never made any such deposits in Swiss banks. From his comments, and from the lack of any rebuttal from HUMPHREYS, it would likewise appear that HUMPHREYS has never made any such deposits.

From the tone of [redacted] voice when he mentioned [redacted] he feels that he would not be in the difficulty he is in in Switzerland had he not mocked the members of the Swiss police who he felt were surveilling him in Switzerland. HUMPHREYS agreed that it is "little things" such as that which "get you in wrong" and this led him to again voice his oft-repeated conviction that GIANCANA and other members of the Chicago group would not be subject to the immunity tactic on the part of the Federal Government had not GIANCANA "got hot" at the FBI and attempted to obtain an injunction against surveillances of him, by the FBI. HUMPHREYS obviously feels that GIANCANA would today be pursuing his customary pleasures if it were not for his contemptuous treatment of the FBI.

When [redacted] left HUMPHREYS, he apparently exercised by means of his customary bicycling through Lincoln Park which is located several blocks from his residence on Lake Shore Drive.

When HY GODFREY arrived on the morning of June 21, 1965, HUMPHREYS informed him that there was to be no meeting of the HUMPHREYS group on June 21 due to the fact that he and [redacted] had "worked" the previous day. Obviously, by "work," HUMPHREYS referred to the above conversation.