FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION/PRIVACY ACTS SECTION

COVER SHEET

SUBJECT: MURRAY HUMPHREYS

PART 11 OF 13

FD-36 (Rev. 12-20-56)

FBI

Date: 1/26/65

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (92-350 Sub 11)

SUBJECT : MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka
AR

JUNE

REC 20

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(C):(D)

SUMMARY

EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE EXERCISED IN THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION. IT SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE BODY OF A REPORT EVEN THOUGH PARAPHRASED. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED IN LEAD COVERAGE OR AS THE BASIS FOR AN INTERVIEW UNLESS SPECIFIC CLEARANCE IS OBTAINED FROM THE BUREAU AND FROM CHICAGO. THIS IS AN EXTREMELY DELICATE AND SENSITIVE SOURCE WHICH THE CHICAGO OFFICE IS MAKING EVERY EFFORT TO FULLY PROTECT.

[redacted] furnished information concerning activities on 1/15/65, 1/16/65, 1/20/65, 1/21/65, 1/22/65, and 1/23/65.

Source advised on 1/15/65 that at 2:19 p.m. on that date, Chicago top hoodlum FELIX "MILWAUKEE PHIL" ALDERISIO arrived, and questioned whether or not BRODKIN had heard from

3 - Bureau (REGISTERED)
1 - Denver (REGISTERED)(AM)
1 - Las Vegas (REGISTERED)(AM)
1 - Los Angeles (REGISTERED)(AM)
1 - Boston (REGISTERED)(AM)
1 - Indianapolis (REGISTERED)(AM)
1 - Minneapolis (REGISTERED)(AM)
1 - Chicago
[redacted]
(10)

REC 20 92-3088-1268

2-17

6 JAN 28 1965

Approved: 6 FEB 19 1965 Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

(b)(7)(c)

[redacted] as yet. It is noted that [redacted] was arrested by FBI agents approximately six weeks ago in connection with his involvement with two others in a "scam" situation. BRODKIN advised ALDERISIO that he had not, and then made a telephone call to [redacted], Chicago attorney, who is one of the attorneys who represents [redacted] was unable to furnish any further information concerning the whereabouts of [redacted]

ALDERISIO then mentioned that his associate, Chicago bondsman [redacted] called Denver, Colorado, on 1/15/65, and learned that RUBY KOLOD intends to immediately go to Houston, Texas, for a physical examination by the noted heart specialist, Dr. [redacted] (ph). ALDERISIO is concerned that should anything happen to KOLOD, he would be unable to prove that the allegations against him were untrue. ALDERISIO feels that RUBY "has the key to the testimony and can show where this guy has been stopping me. I can't disprove any of that testimony, but RUBY can."

The above information is being submitted to the Denver Office for their information inasmuch as that office is office of origin in the ITAR- extortion case against ALDERISIO and KOLOD. This information is also being made available to the Las Vegas Office inasmuch as KOLOD is a top hoodlum of that office.

BRODKIN then furnished ALDERISIO a letter which he had received from [redacted] Chicago hoodlum and associate of ALDERISIO, who is presently confined to the Federal Penitentiary in Terre Haute, Indiana. In the letter, [redacted] mentioned that if ALDERISIO should desire to visit him, he should write to him first because in this way he can arrange his schedule so as to conform to the visit. ALDERISIO stated that he "can't imagine someone in prison being that busy that he can't take time out for visitors."

ALDERISIO then commented that he had to make a meet with [redacted] later in the day. It is noted that [redacted] is one of the leading "juice" operators in the Chicago area.

BRODKIN mentioned that he had contacted Chicago

(b)(7)(c)

top hoodlum MURRAY HUMPHREYS recently and informed HUMPHREYS that if Chicago top hoodlum SAM GIANCANA needed any legal assistance "out East," that "I have a guy that'll be able to help." This obviously is a reference to New York attorney [redacted], it being noted that this information has previously been received from [redacted] ALDERISIO indicated that he did not believe that [redacted] would be the individual who is capable of helping GIANCANA in this instance, and that Washington, D.C., attorney EDWARD BENNETT WILLIAMS would be the only one capable of handling that case.

Ill.

[redacted] arrived just prior to this comment, and he agreed with ALDERISIO that New York State "[redacted]." BRODKIN then referred to the trouble which bookmakers are having in New York City as a result of contempt of court citations as an example of what can happen to people. It appears that this is probably a reference to the fact that New York State has granted immunity to certain witnesses recently and has cited them for contempt of court following their refusal to testify.

Green

[redacted] apparently had appeared at BRODKIN's office to ask BRODKIN whether or not he should take care of the hotel bill of Chicago politician [redacted] which he had incurred recently in San Francisco. BRODKIN indicated that this would be all right with him. [redacted] then discussed the fact that he intends to hold a testimonial dinner on February 12 inasmuch as he has to raise $2500 next week and $10,000 in two weeks, for the attorney fees and for expenses incidental to his appeal.

On 1/16/65, Saturday, ALDERISIO again conversed with BRODKIN. BRODKIN informed him that he had recently been in touch with HUMPHREYS and with "PAUL" concerning the obtaining of a counsel for Los Angeles top hoodlum [redacted] At first it was felt that this reference to "PAUL" was a reference to PAUL DE LUCIA, Chicago top hoodlum, inasmuch as DE LUCIA was observed with [redacted] HUMPHREYS, and BRODKIN at the Palmer House Hotel on 1/18/65, obviously discussing this situation. However, from later conversation it became obvious that this reference to "PAUL" is a reference to Chicago hoodlum and labor racketeer PAUL "RED"

Caliz

Ill.

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(C):(D)

DORFMAN. ALDERISIO questioned why BRODKIN, himself, could not represent [redacted] but BRODKIN advised that he is not as well conversant with Federal tax matters as this case requires. BRODKIN indicated that he had to meet with HUMPHREYS and "PAUL" on 1/18/65, concerning this matter.

It is noted that HUMPHREYS and BRODKIN were observed in each other's company on that date at the Palmer House Hotel.

ALDERISIO again indicated his great confidence in EDWARD BENNETT WILLIAMS and suggested that [redacted] should obtain the services of WILLIAMS. BRODKIN then again indicated, as previously reported by [redacted] that he told HUMPHREYS, "If you can get hold of the Greek, tell him not to go to New York, they will be waiting for him there." ALDERISIO suggested that [redacted] should go through Canada when he returns to Chicago from his European travel, rather than through New York. BRODKIN then commented, "That's an (obs) town, New York. Those (obs)(obs), I never saw a town like that. I had enough experience in that [redacted] case, how they push people around there."

BRODKIN and ALDERISIO then conversed concerning the "bull that SANDY SMITH is writing about SAM BATTAGLIA. Just harassment."

BRODKIN, following the departure of ALDERISIO, made a telephone call to his wife and informed her that "I have to go up and meet CURLY for an hour or so at his place." It is noted that [redacted] reported that on 1/16, HUMPHREYS and BRODKIN conversed at HUMPHREYS' apartment.

Shortly thereafter, ALDERISIO returned to BRODKIN's office and met with his associate, [redacted] informed ALDERISIO that Chicago top hoodlum JIMMY ALLEGRETTI "wants to borrow some money." He requested the opinion of ALDERISIO as to whether he should loan money to ALLEGRETTI. The comments of ALDERISIO in this regard were not obtained by the source.

Later in the afternoon, BRODKIN received a telephone call from someone who was apparently in Palm Springs and who was conversant with individuals in Las Vegas.

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

7 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552		Section 552a
☐ (b)(1)	☐ (b)(7)(A)	☐ (d)(5)
☑ (b)(2)	☐ (b)(7)(B)	☐ (j)(2)
☐ (b)(3)	☑ (b)(7)(C)	☐ (k)(1)
_____	☑ (b)(7)(D)	☐ (k)(2)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(E)	☐ (k)(3)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(F)	☐ (k)(4)
☐ (b)(4)	☐ (b)(8)	☐ (k)(5)
☐ (b)(5)	☐ (b)(9)	☐ (k)(6)
☐ (b)(6)		☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1268 (pgs 5 to 7; 9 to 10 & 13

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

(b)(7)(c)

top hoodlum [redacted] He stated that [redacted] is always complaining about people not giving [redacted] their business. Then when they do get the business, they can't fill the orders."

BRODKIN then informed ALDERISIO that a Greek newspaper had a picture of ALDERISIO, [redacted] FRANK FERRARO, and SAM BATTAGLIA. This photograph accompanied a story which was one of a series that a Greek writer was writing on VALACHI. BRODKIN informed ALDERISIO that the day that [redacted] arrived in Athens, Greece, this photograph accompanying the series appeared in the Athens newspaper. BRODKIN indicated that [redacted] informed him, upon his return from Europe, that the Greek newspaper made a big point of the fact that [redacted] was the only Greek with the other major hoodlums being Italian. When ALDERISIO indicated that he had not seen [redacted] since his return from Europe, BRODKIN mentioned that [redacted] was at that time at Postl's Health Club. BRODKIN indicated that he had just accompanied [redacted] to Postl's and then come down from Postl's to his office in the same building.

BRODKIN then asked ALDERISIO if he knows how he could get ahold of [redacted] ALDERISIO indicated that he could probably reach him, but that it would be better for BRODKIN to call [redacted] BRODKIN then asked his secretary to attempt to telephonically reach [redacted] ALDERISIO wanted to know whether this effort to reach [redacted] concerned [redacted] BRODKIN indicated that this was true, and that "what's-his-name wants to talk to [redacted] about getting him some more help." This information is apparently pertinent to the Chicago Office. It is noted that [redacted] is considered to be a minor Chicago hoodlum and that his specialty includes burglary and cartage thefts, and not organized crime. However, Chicago agents observed [redacted] in the company of HUMPHREYS, BRODKIN, [redacted] DE LUCIA, PIERCE, and KRUSE in the Palmer House Hotel on 1/18/65. At this time [redacted] appeared to be one of the principal persons involved in the conversation, which it is known from [redacted], concerned the tax problems of [redacted] [redacted] is a major Northern Indiana bookmaker and hoodlum. The information that [redacted] could be reached through [redacted] would make it appear that [redacted] is involved in

(b)(7)(c)

feels that perhaps someone may not have been paid off or offered the proper fee for processing this application. BRODKIN then instructed [redacted] to get the complete story so that DORFMAN can find out what happened in this situation.

At this point, BRODKIN received a telephone call from Chicago top hoodlum MURRAY HUMPHREYS. Although the subject matter of this conversation did not become apparent, BRODKIN indicated that he would "let HUMPHREYS' "contact know on Tuesday as to what we can do." This apparently refers to HUMPHREYS' errand boy, HY GODFREY. BRODKIN then mentioned to HUMPHREYS that "the redhead, " a reference to DORFMAN, was then in his office and then told DORFMAN that the caller was "the curly headed man." This is a reference to HUMPHREYS.

At the conclusion of the conversation concerning the Brattleboro, Vt., Company, [redacted] questioned as to whether this company might be able to get a loan from another union. DORFMAN indicated, however, that there was not enough money available even if "you contacted someone like MEANY." DORFMAN indicated that he is willing to handle a loan application but only if it is a good solid deal. DORFMAN indicated that "I certainly don't want to get in trouble, I won't make enough money to handle a loan like this to compensate for the trouble. I don't want trouble from anybody."

[redacted] then informed DORFMAN that this company could obtain character references such as ARTHUR GOLDBERG or WILLARD WIRTZ in the event this was necessary. DORFMAN replied, however, that the trustees were not interested in character references but instead, only whether the company is a good business to put money in or not. [redacted] mentioned that the company will need the loan by 3/31/65. The conference concluded when [redacted] was instructed to recontact the New York City law firm for the complete facts concerning this situation. He indicated that he would then recontact BRODKIN and DORFMAN.

Ill.

D.C.

A copy of this communication is being designated for the Boston Office for their information concerning this

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

27 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552		Section 552a
☐ (b)(1)	☐ (b)(7)(A)	☐ (d)(5)
☑ (b)(2)	☐ (b)(7)(B)	☐ (j)(2)
☐ (b)(3)	☑ (b)(7)(C)	☐ (k)(1)
_____	☑ (b)(7)(D)	☐ (k)(2)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(E)	☐ (k)(3)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(F)	☐ (k)(4)
☐ (b)(4)	☐ (b)(8)	☐ (k)(5)
☐ (b)(5)	☐ (b)(9)	☐ (k)(6)
☐ (b)(6)		☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1269

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

1:10 AM CST DEFERRED 2-16-65 AAA
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 160212

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

REC 61

(F)(7)(C)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HUMPHREYS GROUP HAS BEEN PRESENTED PROPOSITION TO CONTROL GAMBLING IN HOTEL APPARENTLY TO BE OR UNDER CONSTRUCTION IN CARIBBEAN ISLAND. HOTEL APPEARS TO BE MEMORA BAY (PHONETIC) AND ISLANDS MAY BE IN LEEWARD ISLAND COLONY IN BRITISH WEST INDIES JUST BELOW PUERTO RICO. NAME OF LESSER ANTILES ALSO MENTIONED. NO FURTHER PARTICULARS RE PROPOSITION, HOTEL OR ISLAND AVAILABLE. HUMPHREYS INTENDS TO CONSULT WITH LES KRUSE RE THIS SITUATION. HUMPHREYS HAVING COPIES OF BILLS HE IS OPPOSING IN ILLINOIS GENERAL ASSEMBLY COPIED BY OFFICE STAFF OF [redacted] LAUNDRY WORKERS, TO BE TAKEN BY [redacted] TO FRANK "BUSTER" WORTMAN. WORTMAN IS TO WORK FROM SOUTHERN END OF STATE NORTH WHILE HUMPHREYS GROUP WORKS FROM NORTH TO SOUTH. [redacted] TO LEAVE CHICAGO TO VISIT WORTMAN WITH THIS MATERIAL FEBRUARY 16. HUMPHREYS AND WORTMAN ARE SENDING MATERIAL TO FRIENDLY LABOR LEADERS TO HAVE THEM MAKE PERSONAL CONTACTS WITH LEGISLATORS AND TO HAVE UNION MEMBERS WRITE LETTERS TO LEGISLATORS VS. BILLS. HUMPHREYS MENTIONED HE HAS MAN NAMED "LUCAS" OR POSSIBLY A [redacted] WORKING FOR HIM. THIS MAN ALLEGEDLY VERY STRONG AS LOBBYIST IN SPRINGFIELD.

92-3088

REC 61

FEB 26 1965

F146

FEB 25 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

PAGE TWO FROM CHICAGO 160212

(b)(7)(c)

POSSIBLY REFERENCE TO FORMER U.S. SENATOR SCOTT LUCAS OR [redacted] SPRINGFIELD ATTEMPT IDENT.

HUMPHREYS INTENDS TO HAVE WORTMAN PUT [redacted] IN STEAMFITTERS UNION IN EAST ST. LOUIS. WORTMAN HAS ALREADY PUT FATHER AND SON-IN-LAW IN THIS UNION. THROUGH CONTACT WITH ONE OF TOP OFFICIALS. HUMPHREYS WANTS WORTMAN ALSO TO USE HIS INFLUENCE WITH ONE DAN MC GLENN "PHONETIC" WHO IS LEGISLATOR ABOUT 75 YEARS OLD WHOM WORTMAN HAS FREQUENTLY BROUGHT TO CHICAGO TO MEET WITH HUMPHREYS IN PAST. THIS MAN NOT ON KEY COMMITTEE BUT HAS GREAT INFLUENCE IN LEGISLATURE. ACCORDING TO HUMPHREYS. HUMPHREYS STATED "I GOT THE TEAMSTERS. WE DON'T HAVE TO WORRY ABOUT THEM." HUMPHREYS WANTS ONE PARTICULAR LEGISLATOR FROM PEORIA CONTACTED. NAME [redacted] (PHONETIC). WANTS WORTMAN TO CONTACT [redacted] BECAUSE I DON'T TALK TO THAT OBSCENE [redacted] THIS PROBABLY REFERENCE TO [redacted] PEORIA GAMBLER AND HOODLUM.

ON FEBRUARY 13 UNKNOWN PERSON FROM MIDDLE OF STATE WAS BROUGHT TO HUMPHREYS' APARTMENT BY GODFREY. TALKED MAINLY ABOUT BEATING WIRETAP BILL. HUMPHREYS TOLD HIM HE WOULD TAKE COOK COUNTY, WORTMAN SOUTHERN "BUT YOU GUYS GOT TO WORK IN YOUR SPOTS." NAMED ONE LEGISLATOR CONTROLLED BY [redacted] BUT DID NOT MENTION NAME.

HUMPHREYS ADVISED [redacted] GAMBLING SITUATION IN GRAND BAHAMA ISLAND FELL THROUGH AND [redacted] WILL NOT BE USED THERE.

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

PAGE THREE FROM CHICAGO 160212

(b)(7)(c)

ADVISED CHICAGO GROUP GETTING BACK MONEY INVESTED. DID NOT MENTION REASON AND CHICAGO NOT AWARE WHETHER CONTACTS OF MIAMI OFFICE WITH BRITISH GOVERNMENT RESPONSIBLE.

WHEN HUMPHREYS ENTERTAINED HIS NEW YORK GIRL FRIEND OVER WEEKEND SHE MENTIONED SHE HAD BEEN IN BRITISH WEST INDIES. THIS PROMPTED HUMPHREYS TO TELL HER "A GUY IS BUILDING A HOTEL THERE IN THE ISLAND NEXT TO PUERTO RICO. HE WANTS ME TO TAKE THE GAMBLING THERE."

WHEN GODFREY ARRIVED AM FEBRUARY 15 HE WAS INSTRUCTED TO CONTACT [redacted] WHO HAD JUST RETURNED FROM MIAMI AND HAVE HIM MEET WITH HUMPHREYS, [redacted] RALPH PIERCE, LES KRUSE AND PAUL DE LUCIA NOON THAT DATE TRADER VIC'S RESTAURANT, PALMER HOUSE HOTEL.

SAN JUAN ATTEMPT TO IDENT HOTEL DESCRIBED BY HUMPHREYS UNDER CONSTRUCTION SAN JUAN. SAN JUAN, MIAMI AND SPRINGFIELD ADVISED AIRMAIL.

RECEIVED: 2:35 AM [redacted]

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

v. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
Bishop
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

4:50 PM CST DEFERRED 2-16-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 161903

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

(b)(7)(c) [redacted]

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HUMPHREYS SUMMONED [redacted] AFTERNOON FEBRUARY 15 AND INSTRUCTED HIM TO CONTACT FRANK "BUSTER" WORTMAN IN EAST ST. LOUIS AM FEBRUARY 16. HUMPHREYS GAVE [redacted] THREE THERMOFAX COPIES OF SIX ANTI-CRIME BILLS PENDING IN ILLINOIS STATE LEGISLATURE AND OTHER LITERATURE PERTAINING TO BILLS. INSTRUCTED [redacted] TO TELL WORTMAN TO CONTACT ALL LABOR UNIONS IN DOWNSTATE ILLINOIS TO SEND TELEGRAMS AND LETTERS TO MEMBERS JUDICIARY COMMITTEE IN OPPOSITION TO BILLS. ALSO INSTRUCTED THAT ON GAMBLING BILL NOT TO USE UNIONS BUT INSTEAD TO HAVE TRUSTED MEMBERS OF LEGISLATURE CONTACT INDIVIDUAL BELIEVED IDENT WITH [redacted] ILLINOIS. [redacted] DEFEATED IN RECENT ELECTIONS WHEN REPUBLICANS REFUSED TO SLATE HIM DUE TO HIS KNOWN SUPPORT OF HOODLUM INTERESTS. APPARENTLY [redacted] NOW IN SPRINGFIELD AS BAG MAN FOR HUMPHREYS.

HUMPHREYS ALSO USING [redacted] AS LOBBYIST IN SPRINGFIELD VS. BILLS. HUMPHREYS HAS ALREADY HAD UNIONS HERE IN WHICH HE HAS INFLUENCE SEND TELEGRAMS, LETTERS, LAWYERS AND LOBBYISTS TO SPRINGFIELD VS. BILLS. SPECIFICALLY MENTIONED WERE TEAMSTERS, ELECTRICAL WORKERS, BARTENDERS AND

REC-10 92-3088-1271

EX-101

FEB 18 1965

56 FEB 26 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4- (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

PAGE TWO FROM CHICAGO 161903

(b)(7)(c)

LAUNDRY WORKERS.

HUMPHREYS BELIEVES MAYOR DALEY, CHICAGO, MAY GIVE ORDER TO DEMOCRATIC LEGISLATORS TO SUPPORT BILLS SINCE MOST OF BILLS DRAWN UP BY SPECIAL COMMITTEE APPOINTED THIS RESPECT BY DALEY. HUMPHREYS' STRATEGY IS TO THEREFORE LINE UP SUCH SOLID OPPOSITION DOWNSTATE THAT DALEY'S ORDER WILL BE OVERCOME.

HUMPHREYS ESPECIALLY WANTS WORTMAN TO MAKE CONTACT WITH ONE LEGISLATOR FROM PEORIA WHO HUMPHREYS FEELS IS APPROACHABLE ON THIS. HUMPHREYS MENTIONED IT IS COSTING HIM A FORTUNE, THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS IN ATTEMPT TO BEAT THIS. ALTHOUGH HE DID NOT SPECIFICALLY SO STATE TO [redacted] IT APPEARS POSSIBLE TRUSTED LEGISLATORS TO BE SENT TO [redacted] WILLL RECEIVE PAYOFF FROM [redacted] ACTING AS HUMPHREYS' BAG MAN.

AS SPRINGFIELD ADVISED TELETYPE FEBRUARY 15 [redacted] HAD RESERVATION DELTA AIRLINES 7:15 AM FEBRUARY 16 TO ST. LOUIS FROM WHERE HE COULD TAKE BUS TO EAST ST. LOUIS, ILLINOIS, TO MAKE MEET WITH WORTMAN, APPARENTLY IN PADDOCK CLUB THERE. DISCREET PHYSICAL SURVEILLANCE LEFT TO DISCRETION SPRINGFIELD. AIRMAIL INFO COPY SPRINGFIELD. DETAILED AIRTEL FOLLOWS.

RECEIVED: 6:05 PM [redacted]

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

5:54 PM CST DEFERRED 2-18-65
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 182151

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY. (b)(7)(c) [redacted]

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED [redacted] ACCOMPANIED HUMPHREYS TO HIS APARTMENT AFTER LUNCHEON MEET FEBRUARY 17 LAST. HUMPHREYS GAVE HIM LIST OF MEMBERS ILLINOIS GENERAL ASSEMBLY JUDICIARY COMMITTEE. SINCE [redacted] IS CHICAGO HOODLUM RESPONSIBLE FOR CONTACTS ORGANIZED LABOR HUMPHREYS ASKED HIM TO CONTACT HIS PEOPLE ALONG LINES HUMPHREYS HAS CONTACTED THOSE LABOR FIGURES WITH WHOM HE MAINTAINS CONTACT. PURPOSE IS TO OPPOSE ANTI CRIME BILLS.

HUMPHREYS TOLD [redacted] LES KRUSE RECENTLY DROVE HUMPHREYS TO SPRINGFIELD WHERE HE PUT HIM IN TOUCH WITH [redacted] HUMPHREYS ALSO ADVISED HE IS WORKING WITH [redacted] POWER IN HOUSE. HUMPHREYS ALSO HAVING JOHN T. O'BRIEN, VICE PRESIDENT, IBT, OPPOSE BILLS IN TEAMSTERS NEWSPAPER.

HUMPHREYS ENCOURAGED [redacted] TO WORK HARD BY SAYING, "THIS IS SO IMPORTANT. IF WE DON'T BEAT BILLS WE'RE OUTA BUSINESS."

HY GODFREY OPERATED ON AM FEBRUARY 18 AND WILL NOT APPEAR AT LEAST REMAINDER THIS WEEK. DETAILED AIRTEL FOLLOWS. AM COPY SPRINGFIELD.

RECEIVED: 7:06 PM [redacted]

REC-47

92-3088-12

FEB 19 1965

F146

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

5:47 PM CST DEFERRED 2-17-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 172124

[redacted]

Anti-racketeering

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA, AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED FEBRUARY 16 (b)(7)(C)
HY GODFREY TOOK SHOTGUN TO HUMPHREYS. SINCE HUMPHREYS NOT A HUNTER, APPARENT USE IS FOR ADDITIONAL PROTECTION IN APARTMENT. HUMPHREYS HAS RENEWED LEASE. NOW HAS BURGLAR ALARM, THREE INSIDE AND OUTSIDE LOCKS, TEAR GAS DEVISE, BARS AND DOUBLE THICKNESS GLASS ON BALCONY WINDOW, PISTOL AND SHOTGUN.

HUMPHREYS, KRUSE, PIERCE AND GODFREY VISITED BRODKIN'S OFFICE AFTERNOON FEBRUARY 16. WHILE THERE HUMPHREYS SPOTTED TWO MEN IN YELLOW CAB IN PARKING LOT ACROSS STREET. WHEN THEY REMAINED THERE UNUSUAL AMOUNT OF TIME HUMPHREYS CONCLUDED THEY WERE FBI AGENTS. ON FEBRUARY 17 HE INSTRUCTED GODFREY TO CAUTION GROUP NOT TO VISIT BRODKIN'S OFFICE BECAUSE "THE G IS WATCHING IT".

HUMPHREYS GROUP MEETING TRADER VIC'S RESTAURANT FEBRUARY 17 AT NOON. [redacted] WILL ALSO BE PRESENT. CONFERENCE WITH BRODKIN AGAIN CONCERNED EFFORTS TO OPPOSE ANTI-CRIME BILLS IN ILLINOIS LEGISLATURE. [redacted] AND [redacted] CHICAGO ATTORNEYS, WERE TO LEAVE 8 AM ON ILLINOIS CENTRAL GREEN DIAMOND FEBRUARY 17 FOR SPRINGFIELD TO ASSIST IN FIGHT. THEY WERE INSTRUCTED TO CONTACT [redacted] AND HE'LL TELL YOU WHAT TO DO". [redacted] IS ILLINOIS REPRESENTATIVE SPONSORED BY [redacted] WARD HERE.

REC-34 92-3088-127

66 MAR 1 1965

4 FEB 19 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

PAGE TWO FROM CHICAGO 172124

GODFREY SCHEDULED TO ENTER WESLEY MEMORIAL HOSPITAL FEBRUARY 17 TO UNDERGO SHOULDER OPERATION FOR BURSITIS NEXT DAY. AM INFO COPY SPRINGFIELD.

(b)(7)(c)

RECEIVED: 7:17 PM [redacted]

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

FD-36 (Rev. 5-22-64)

FBI

Date: 2/16/65

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI JUNE

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (92-350 Sub 11)

SUBJECT: MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka
AR

SUMMARY

EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE EXERCISED IN THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION. IT SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE BODY OF A REPORT EVEN THOUGH PARAPHRASED. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED IN LEAD COVERAGE OR AS THE BASIS FOR AN INTERVIEW UNLESS SPECIFIC CLEARANCE IS OBTAINED FROM THE BUREAU AND FROM CHICAGO. THIS IS AN EXTREMELY DELICATE AND SENSITIVE SOURCE WHICH THE CHICAGO OFFICE IS MAKING EVERY EFFORT TO FULLY PROTECT.

(b)(2): (b)(7)(C):(D)

[redacted] furnished information concerning activities on 2/12/65:

Upon the arrival of [redacted] employee, MIKE BRODKIN advised that [redacted] has been subpoenaed to return before the New York County Grand Jury on 2/23/65. It is noted that indications have been received through this source that although [redacted] was granted immunity, he refused to testify before the

3 - Bureau (RM)
1 - New York (RM)(AM)
1 - Miami (RM)(AM)
1 - Denver (RM)(AM)
1 - Chicago
[redacted]
(7)

REC-3 92-[illegible]-1274

C C. Wick

8 FEB 24 1965

Approved: _____ Sent _____ Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

6 FEB [illegible] 1965

(b)(7)(c)

grand jury concerning his involvement and the involvement of the [redacted] brothers, and has been cited for contempt of court.

BRODKIN indicated that there is an attorney in the office of [redacted] in New York who is very close to an Asst. District Attorney, apparently in New York County, and that BRODKIN and [redacted] hope that favorable treatment can be obtained for [redacted] through the liaison between this attorney and the Asst. District Attorney. From information previously received from this source, it is very possible that the attorney in the office of [redacted] to whom BRODKIN refers is [redacted] a recently resigned Asst. District Attorney, New York County.

BRODKIN and [redacted] then agreed that it is regrettable that [redacted] "is gonna have to take the rap on this rather than the main guys." This is an apparent reference again to the [redacted] brothers. BRODKIN advised that he is defending [redacted] but his main reason for being involved in this case is that "I wouldn't want any way to hurt HUMP and the other guys. Their interest is to protect [redacted]" Obviously, BRODKIN refers here to Chicago top hoodlum MURRAY HUMPHREYS, and apparently to the fact that HUMPHREYS has requested BRODKIN to insure that [redacted] insulates the [redacted] brothers in his testimony.

[redacted] then questioned [redacted] as to whether or not he has received any more stops for "Davy's kid." This obviously refers to the son of Chicago and Miami top hoodlum DAVE YARAS, who has a financial interest in the A-1 Industrial Garment Service in Chicago. [redacted] advised that he has not recently received any stops for YARAS, but that he will get him some Industrial stops in Cicero and in Leyden Township. BRODKIN also advised that he, himself, would try to pick up some new stops for YARAS. He cautioned [redacted] however, that he should be

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(c):(D)

very careful in making these contacts in order that he in no way jeopardizes his relationship with [redacted] for whom [redacted] is employed.

At 10:34 a.m. on 2/12/65, Chicago top hoodlum RALPH PIERCE arrived. He advised BRODKIN that the noon meeting of the hoodlums on that day was at "Murphy's Number 3" and that BRODKIN was to attend. It is believed that this is the code name for PETE FISH's Restaurant. PIERCE advised that HUMPHREYS would also be there.

PIERCE and BRODKIN then discussed the trial of Chicago top hoodlum [redacted] in the Cook County Criminal Courts, for insurance fraud, which was to commence on 2/15/65. BRODKIN cautioned that everyone should be very cautious in the way that they conduct themselves in this situation. PIERCE mentioned that he had talked to the "Triple A" guy yesterday. He indicated that this man's first name was [redacted] and BRODKIN mentioned that this man was number 153 on the list. It appears that what they refer to was to a member of the jury panel of the Cook County Criminal Courts. Apparently, PIERCE has made some type of approach either to a juror or to someone who has an association with Juror #153 on the list.

PIERCE and BRODKIN also discussed the fact that someone named [redacted] a colored person, is also going to be contacted. PIERCE also mentioned one [redacted] or [redacted] as "the number one guy on my list." It is not known whether he referred to this individual as the numerically first person on the list, or whether he is the best prospect for a successful approach on PIERCE's list. PIERCE mentioned that "I've got the south area to cover." It is noted that this source and [redacted] have furnished information indicating that HUMPHREYS is directing the approach to what appears to be

(b)(7)(c)

jurors in the [redacted] case. These leads have been parcelled out by HUMPHREYS to the hoodlums generally by area. It is noted that since PIERCE is in charge of organized crime on the South Side of Chicago, he is responsible for exploring avenues of approach to the jurors on the South Side of Chicago.

PIERCE then apparently pointed to a name on the list, and mentioned, "I have this guy 100 per cent."

BRODKIN then brought up the "[redacted] ordeal in New York City." He feels that he still might have a chance to beat this case, and that "something may happen that will help us." For the first time to PIERCE, BRODKIN then displayed a copy of his notes from the grand jury testimony wherein [redacted] was asked questions as to whether he was only a "pipeline" from the [redacted] to [redacted] the top official of the New York State Liquor Authority. BRODKIN again bragged of how he and [redacted] had protected the [redacted] in this situation.

Apparently someone named [redacted] is mentioned in the transcript of the grand jury testimony, and this individual apparently is fairly well known to PIERCE. However, the Chicago Office is unable to determine who this individual is. The New York Office is requested to advise should they be in possession of this information.

Both PIERCE and BRODKIN agreed that [redacted] is lucky that BERGER is the guy involved in this thing." Apparently this is a reference to their belief that [redacted] by his testimony, is protecting [redacted]

At 11:08 a.m., PIERCE and BRODKIN departed to meet at "Murphy's Number 3" with HUMPHREYS.

At 1:10 p.m., BRODKIN returned to his office with Chicago top hoodlum LES KRUSE. KRUSE commented that there appeared to be a lot of lawyers on the list. BRODKIN then pointed to a name and mentioned that if KRUSE desired that he contact this individual, BRODKIN would do so.

(b)(7)(c)

Following the departure of KRUSE, Chicago attorney [redacted] arrived. It is noted that BRODKIN has secured the services of [redacted] at the request of HUMPHREYS, [redacted] being a Chicago attorney who specializes in civil liberties-type situations. Apparently on the instructions of HUMPHREYS, [redacted] has been assigned to go over in minute detail each of the bills which HUMPHREYS desires to beat in the Illinois Legislature. [redacted] indicated that he would like to go to Springfield and attend the hearings in person. BRODKIN advised that this probably would be a good suggestion, and mentioned that he has gotten [redacted] an attorney at [redacted] in Chicago, to go to Springfield and oppose the bills on behalf of HUMPHREYS. [redacted] mentioned that there would be two individuals from the American Civil Liberties Union in Springfield to oppose the bills also. These hearings are being conducted by the House Judiciary Committee.

There are twelve bills which are being opposed by the HUMPHREYS group. These are: House of Representatives Bills #145 through #154, and also Bills #108 and Bill #159. Very briefly, they refer to loan sharking, granting of immunity, gambling, and search and seizure.

BRODKIN indicated that PAUL "RED" DORFMAN, Labor racketeer, who has been requested by HUMPHREYS to use his influence with Labor leaders in opposition to these bills, "caught the flu in Florida last week and isn't out yet." Apparently, therefore, DORFMAN has been able to lend only a minimum amount of assistance to the forces gathered by HUMPHREYS to fight these anti-crime bills in the Illinois State General Assembly.

At 2:26 p.m., Chicago top hoodlum FELIX "MILWAUKEE PHIL" ALDERISIO arrived. ALDERISIO is concerned with a local trial involving one of his minor associates, [redacted] who is to come up for trial on 2/16/65 in Cook County Criminal Courts. Apparently, BRODKIN has received another letter from [redacted]

(b)(7)(c)

Denver attorney, and he showed this letter to ALDERISIO. It is noted [redacted] is representing ALDERISIO in the ITAR-extortion case against ALDERISIO, RUBY KOLOD, and [redacted] in Denver.

No particular details contained in this letter were obtained by the source.

At 3:09 p.m., Chicago top hoodlum MURRAY HUMPHREYS arrived. Apparently this is the first time he had been in touch with ALDERISIO for some time, and the two appeared very happy to see each other. HUMPHREYS indicated that he is worried about the [redacted] case, and ALDERISIO mentioned that he feels better now that [redacted] has two good attorneys representing him. HUMPHREYS complained that "the Greek", an apparent reference to Chicago top hoodlum [redacted] has been running him all over and "even your guys have been running me all over." HUMPHREYS indicated that he has been running so hard that even HY GODFREY had to cancel his scheduled operation on his shoulder for bursitis. GODFREY now intends to go into Wesley Memorial Hospital on Wednesday, 2/17/65. GODFREY had come into BRODKIN's office seven minutes prior to HUMPHREYS, and entered into the conversation concerning his health at this point.

It became apparent that HUMPHREYS had come to BRODKIN's office pursuant to a 3:00 p.m. appointment. However, BRODKIN at that time was in the office of Chicago attorney [redacted] and was not present. HUMPHREYS contacted BRODKIN in [redacted] office and indicated that he would call BRODKIN from an outside phone.

[redacted] entered at this time and talked about a bank in Denver that is owned by two Japanese. The president of this bank wants to have lunch with [redacted] when [redacted] is in Denver representing ALDERISIO. [redacted] commented that it does not appear to make any difference to the president of this bank whether [redacted] is defending ALDERISIO or who he is associated with.

(b)(7)(c)

Apparently this individual has some land for sale and this is why he wants to meet [redacted] ALDERISIO discussed in little detail his case with HUMPHREYS. Characteristically, HUMPHREYS feels that "the 'G' will be well prepared" in the ALDERISIO case, and cautioned ALDERISIO not to take this trial lightly.

Upon leaving, HUMPHREYS asked ALDERISIO to be sure to tell [redacted] that "we're all behind you."

Shortly thereafter, [redacted] and ALDERISIO left for a steam bath at Postl's Health Club.

At 3:31 p.m., [redacted] appeared and attempted to locate Chicago bookmaker [redacted] He called the YMCA on Fifty-Third Street, where he asked for [redacted] Apparently, [redacted] suggested that he attempt to reach [redacted] at the Somerset Cocktail Lounge on Jeffrey Avenue, Chicago telephone number 734-6633.

There, he was apparently told to call [redacted] and ask for '[redacted]' Apparently [redacted] was informed that [redacted] usually is at this location between 7:00 and 8:00 p.m.

[redacted] then called Chicago telephone number [redacted] and asked for Chicago and Miami top hoodlum DAVE YARAS, but was unable to locate either him or [redacted]

[redacted] then made a series of approximately eight telephone calls to individuals whose identities were obtained by the source, inviting them to a testimonial dinner in his honor which is to be held on 2/19/65 at the Sherman House Hotel in Chicago. This is one of the methods by which [redacted] is raising money for his defense in New York City.

On 2/13/65, BRODKIN visited his office in the

late morning, but no pertinent activity was noted.

EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE EXERCISED IN THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION. IT SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE BODY OF A REPORT EVEN THOUGH PARAPHRASED. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED IN LEAD COVERAGE OR AS THE BASIS FOR AN INTERVIEW UNLESS SPECIFIC CLEARANCE IS OBTAINED FROM THE BUREAU AND FROM CHICAGO. THIS IS AN EXTREMELY DELICATE AND SENSITIVE SOURCE WHICH THE CHICAGO OFFICE IS MAKING EVERY EFFORT TO FULLY PROTECT.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

11:53 PM CST DEFERRED 2-23-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 232137

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

REC-1 (F)(7)(C)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HUMPHREYS SCHEDULED 10:00 A.M. MEETING FEBRUARY 22 LAST WITH [redacted] MAN [redacted] FORMER [redacted] HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES. HUMPHREYS KNOWN TO BE USING [redacted] TO FIGHT ANTI - CRIME BILLS.

HUMPHREYS THEN MET WITH HIS GROUP AT PETE FISH'S RESTAURANT. ANOTHER HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED MIKE BRODKIN DEPARTING FOR NEW YORK TO ASSIST [redacted] PRIOR TO DEPARTURE, BRODKIN CALLED AT 1:00 A.M. TO HUMPHREYS MEETING AT FISH'S. ALTHOUGH TRAVEL DELAYED, BRODKIN AND [redacted] LATER DEPARTED. [redacted] HAS BEEN GRANTED IMMUNITY BEFORE NEW YORK COUNTY GRAND JURY AND APPEARS THERE AGAIN FEBRUARY 23 INSTANT.

AT 4:00 P.M., HUMPHREYS BROUGHT UNKNOWN PERSON WHO APPEARED TO BE LABOR LEADER TO APARTMENT AND SOLICITED HIS HELP IN FIGHTING CRIME BILLS IN SPRINGFIELD. THIS PERSON AGREED TO HELP AFTER HUMPHREYS AGREED NOT TO ASK HIM TO FIGHT GAMBLING AND BOMBING BILLS WHICH UNION COULD NOT LEGITIMATELY BE EXPECTED TO OPPOSE.

ON FEBRUARY 23 INSTANT, HUMPHREYS INSTRUCTED HY GODFREY TO TAKE COPIES OF ANTI - CRIME BILLS TO [redacted] WHO WOULD BE AT MIKE FISH'S AT NOON. [redacted] CHICAGO HOODLUM, WHO YEARS AGO RAN POLICY WHEELS FOR ORGANIZED CRIMINAL GROUP, IS TO ASSIST HUMPHREYS AGAINST BILLS.

~~HUMPHREYS WAS THEN DRIVEN BY ASSOCIATE [redacted] TO OFFICE OF [redacted]~~ AT 10:00 A.M., FEBRUARY 23 INSTANT.

RECEIVED: 1:10 AM 2-24-65 [redacted]

REC-1 92-3088-1275

16 FEB 24 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO XXX TELETYPE

3:26 PM CST DEFERRED 2-19-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 191951

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

(b)(7)(c) [redacted]

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED GODFREY REMAINS IN WESLEY MEMORIAL HOSPITAL WITH RESULT HUMPHREYS NOT CONSULTED FOR DAILY PROGRAM OF HIS GROUP.

HOWEVER, FROM ANOTHER SOURCE IT IS KNOWN HUMPHREYS IS SCHEDULED TO MEET FEBRUARY 19 INSTANT WITH [redacted] RALPH PIERCE, LES KRUSE, AND MIKE BRODKIN AT JACQUES RESTAURANT ON NEAR NORTH SIDE.

FOLLOWING LUNCH MEET YESTERDAY HUMPHREYS SCHEDULED MEET AT 3:30 P.M. WITH PIERCE IN BOOKSTORE LOCATED SOUTHEAST CORNER WASHINGTON AND CLARK IN LOOP AREA AND THEN SCHEDULED MEET WITH [redacted] AT 181 GRILL AT 181 WEST MADISON IN SAME AREA. PURPOSE TO LEARN OF PROGRESS OF INVESTIGATIONS HUMPHREYS GROUP MAKING OF JURY PANEL. COOK COUNTY CRIMINAL COURTS. LIST OF JURY PANEL MEMBERS GIVEN FEBRUARY 18 TO [redacted] LIEUTENANT OF FRANK LA PORTE, FOR USE OF LA PORTE GROUP IN MAKING SUCH INVESTIGATIONS. MEMBERS THIS PANEL WILL BE SELECTED TO TRY [redacted] ON INSURANCE FRAUD TRIAL LOCALLY WITH TRIAL TO COMMENCE MARCH 2 NEXT.

RECEIVED: 4:51 PM [redacted]

REC-1 92-3088-1276

11 FEB 24 1965

MAR 2 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

FD-36 (Rev. 10-29-63)

FBI

Date: 2/9/65

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI JUNE

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (92-350 Sub 11)

SUBJECT: MURRAY HUMPHREYS, aka
AR

REC-120

SUMMARY

EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE EXERCISED IN THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION. IT SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE BODY OF A REPORT EVEN THOUGH PARAPHRASED. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED IN LEAD COVERAGE OR AS THE BASIS FOR AN INTERVIEW UNLESS SPECIFIC CLEARANCE IS OBTAINED FROM THE BUREAU AND FROM CHICAGO. THIS IS AN EXTREMELY DELICATE AND SENSITIVE SOURCE WHICH THE CHICAGO OFFICE IS MAKING EVERY EFFORT TO FULLY PROTECT.

(b)(2)

[redacted] furnished information concerning (b)(7)(C); (D) activities on 2/5 and 2/6/65:

At 9:21 a.m., on 2/5/65, BRODKIN made a telephone call to an unknown place "for the good looking boy." He left a message that this person was to call him at BRODKIN's office. Obviously, BRODKIN was looking for Chicago top hoodlum [redacted]

At 9:43 a.m., BRODKIN received a telephone call apparently from [redacted] He informed [redacted] that "that matter comes up a week from Monday." "The grey-haired fellow was in and you had better call me from someplace else." The reference to

3 - Bureau (REGISTERED)
1 - New York (REGISTERED)(AM)
1 - Miami (REGISTERED)(AM)
1 - Indianapolis (REGISTERED)(AM)
1 - Chicago
[redacted]
(7)

REC-120 ST-117 92-3087-1277

25 FEB 12 1965

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M _____
Special Agent in Charge

6 MAR 2 1965

(b)(7)(C)

the "grey-haired man" is to Chicago top hoodlum MURRAY HUMPHREYS, and the "matter" which [redacted] discussed is obviously the [redacted] trial which was to commence a week from Monday in Chicago. More will be set forth concerning this information hereinbelow.

BRODKIN then discussed the article which appeared on 2/5/65 in the Chicago "Sun-Times" written by Chicago reporter SANDY SMITH. This article concerned an interview of SMITH with FRED KRAMER, the president of the Chicago Ticket Sellers Union. It is noted that SMITH has been writing a series of articles concerning ticket scalping at the Chicago Stadium, and that he interviewed KRAMER concerning his connections with Chicago hoodlums, FRANK FERRARO in particular. The article ridiculed KRAMER and BRODKIN is of the opinion that SANDY SMITH is the "worst (obs) in Chicago."

At 2:19 p.m., Chicago top hoodlum [redacted] and his bodyguard and chauffeur, HY GODFREY, arrived. BRODKIN indicated to [redacted] that he had received information that Gary, Indiana, hoodlum [redacted] is "going to try to come back to Chicago, maybe next Saturday." It is noted that [redacted] had been deported to Italy. It is also noted that this information, along with information received later, was the subject of Chicago teletypes 2/5 and 2/6/65, entitled [redacted] aka, AR."

[redacted] then informed BRODKIN that he intended to leave Chicago on the following Monday at 12:30 p.m. [redacted] then requested BRODKIN to contact Judge [redacted] of the Circuit Court of Cook County. It is noted that Judge [redacted] is presently assigned to the Criminal Courts. BRODKIN thereupon called Judge [redacted] and talked to the personal bailiff of [redacted] He identified himself as "Mr. MICHAELS." He refused to give the bailiff his number, inasmuch as this obviously would identify him to the bailiff. He informed the bailiff that he would call Judge [redacted] back. Apparently BRODKIN was informed that Judge [redacted] was on the bench.

BRODKIN then informed [redacted] that he would "catch

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

8 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552		Section 552a
☐ (b)(1)	☐ (b)(7)(A)	☐ (d)(5)
☑ (b)(2)	☐ (b)(7)(B)	☐ (j)(2)
☐ (b)(3)	☑ (b)(7)(C)	☐ (k)(1)
_____	☑ (b)(7)(D)	☐ (k)(2)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(E)	☐ (k)(3)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(F)	☐ (k)(4)
☐ (b)(4)	☐ (b)(8)	☐ (k)(5)
☐ (b)(5)	☐ (b)(9)	☐ (k)(6)
☐ (b)(6)		☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1277 (pgs 3 thru 7, 10 to 12)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

(b)(7)(c)

requested to know whether there were any messages from KRUSE and/or [redacted] to [redacted] However, both replied negatively.

GODFREY and BRODKIN then engaged in an argument inasmuch as GODFREY did not feel that BRODKIN had supplied sufficient help to his friend, [redacted] in Chicago. It is noted that information has previously been obtained from this source indicating that GODFREY requested BRODKIN to obtain help from [redacted] Chicago Office of the Illinois State Department of Revenue, in reducing the sales tax assessments against [redacted] BRODKIN became very angered at this, and informed GODFREY that he felt that a cut of $240,000 from the sales taxes of [redacted] was "quite a lot of help."

At this point, the following conversation ensued:

GODFREY:

"Listen ...ah... the young man wanted him over there at Murphy's Monday. Should I have PAUL down to?"

[redacted]

[redacted]

BRODKIN:

"Tell the young man I'll be there."

GODFREY:

"He knows. He asked for you."

BRODKIN:

"Where is it?"

[redacted]

[redacted]

BRODKIN:

"Oh, the Chinaman's?"

[redacted]

BRODKIN:

"You called yesterday but you didn't leave any message where you were gonna be. All the word I got was that BUTTERCUP called."

[redacted]

BRODKIN:

"He's in the phone book. In Miami. Near the Flager (ph) Building."

GODFREY:

"Listen, MIKE, my son-in-law is maybe gonna run for judge in Fort Lauderdale. He's a Democrat, he's in with [redacted] (ph)."

In regard to the above, it appears obvious that GODFREY refers to the fact that Chicago top hoodlum MURRAY HUMPHREYS has called a luncheon meeting at Trader Vic's Restaurant, Basement, Palmer House Hotel, for the following Monday. GODFREY wanted advice as to whether he should inform Chicago top hoodlum PAUL DE LUCIA to be there also. "BUTTERCUP" is the code name which hoodlums use in referring to GODFREY. [redacted] is a Miami attorney. The name of the son-in-law of GODFREY is not known to the Chicago Office. From the conversation

FD-36 (Rev. 5-22-64)

FBI

Date: 2/18/65

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI JUNE

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (92-350 sub Z)

SUBJECT: MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka
AR

REC- 120

SUMMARY

EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE EXERCISED IN THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION. IT SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE BODY OF A REPORT EVEN THOUGH PARAPHRASED. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED IN LEAD COVERAGE OR AS THE BASIS FOR AN INTERVIEW UNLESS SPECIFIC CLEARANCE IS OBTAINED FROM THE BUREAU AND FROM CHICAGO. THIS IS AN EXTREMELY DELICATE AND SENSITIVE SOURCE WHICH THE CHICAGO OFFICE IS MAKING EVERY EFFORT TO FULLY PROTECT.

[redacted] furnished information concerning activities on 2/17/65:

The source advised that at 1:30 p.m., on the above date, Chicago top hoodlum [redacted] accompanied HUMPHREYS to his apartment, apparently following a luncheon meeting at which they were both present.

HUMPHREYS indicated that he had asked [redacted] to accompany him to his apartment because he wanted to give him a list of the representatives on the Judiciary Committee in the House of Representatives of the Illinois State General Assembly.

REC- 120
ST-117

92-3580-1278

3 - Bureau (RM)
1 - Springfield (RM)
1 - Chicago
[redacted]
(5)

25
11 FEB 20 1965

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

6 MAR 2 1965

In this regard, the following conversation took place:

HUMPHREYS: (b)(7)(C)

"Here they are. I don't want to carry them with me. [redacted] is telling me, LES drove me down, [redacted] tells me that the whole bunch is dogging it down there. They've even changed the Mayor's wiretap bill and changed it to the New York style. You know I been working with [redacted], too, you know that. I've gone all out. Now [redacted] is putting on a big drive against them. Now you see your guy, talk to him about it, [redacted] and see what he can do."

[redacted]

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

"Well, here's what I want the unions to do. Wiretapping, grand jury, and immunity the most. Unions can do that. And challenge the Crime Commission bill."

[redacted]

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

"Well, he's important, because their International is here now. He can have it done through the

International (several inaudible words), the hoisting engineers."

[redacted] (b)(7)(c)

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

"Yes, he is. That's part of the same International. Don't you understand? Hoisting Engineers and (inaudible words) of the Building (Service or Trades)."

[redacted]

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

"Yes." That's the same International. We got to get moving. MIKE has got the lawyers moving, they're down there today. Three of them. And that lawyer who is the Committeeman from the 46th Ward, [redacted] is that his name, he's down there lobbying. He's a darned good man. And the Labor lawyer from Wilmette. He's down there. JOHN O'BRIEN sent these out, too. All the Teamsters. The Teamsters I don't worry about. They even got their newspaper coming out. I sent word to the guy from East St. Louis, you understand? And I got word back that he's all set. Now we got to get the guy in Peoria. I don't talk to that (obs). I got mad at him the last time. He got smart with me and I finally told him that he was paid for everything we ever asked from him and not to forget that we could frame him for everytime he sat down with us. And he said, all right, but I'll remember this. A real smart guy. I said,

remember, you belong to [redacted]. And that was the end of it." (b)(7)(c)

[redacted]

[redacted]?"

HUMPHREYS:

"No, (obs)! He was just trying to bulldoze us."

[redacted]

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

"Now, listen! Would you give this a lot of thought."

[redacted]

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

"This is so important! If we don't, we're outa business. This is dynamite, this immunity especially."

(b)(2)

The above obviously refers to information which (b)(7)(D) is being provided by this source and by [redacted] indicating that HUMPHREYS is responsible for the strategy which is being utilized by the Chicago hoodlum group in order to defeat the anti-crime bills which have been

introduced in the Illinois General Assembly, which is currently in session. (b)(7)(c)

The reference to [redacted] is apparently to [redacted] aka [redacted]" the [redacted] of the Republican members of the House of Representatives, who was not re-slated for election in the November, 1964, elections, by the Republicans, due to the suspicion that he was sympathetic to the interests of organized crime.

The reference to "LES" is apparently a reference to Chicago top hoodlum LESLIE KRUSE. It is possible that KRUSE is the Chicago hoodlum who initially had a contact with [redacted] it being noted that [redacted] is from Antioch, Illinois, in the area where KRUSE has influence.

The reference to [redacted] is probably a reference to [redacted] the present [redacted] of Chicago who, like [redacted], was not reslated when responsible elements of the Republican Party refused to slate him. [redacted] when he was a member of the legislature, was generally referred to by newspapers as "the leader of the West Side Bloc", that group of legislators who could be expected to further the interests of the hoodlum element.

JOHN O'BRIEN is JOHN T. "SANDY" O'BRIEN, the International Vice-President of the Teamsters Union and an official of Local 710 of the Teamsters in Chicago.

Although the Chicago Office has given considerable thought to the identity of the person with whom [redacted] obviously has a contact, the Chicago Office at this time is unable to identify him. It is felt that this individual is possibly connected with the International Union of Operating Engineers, inasmuch as the Hoisting Engineers is a part of that international union. However, there is no criminal influence case pending against that union, and no allegations have been received indicating that any official of that union is under the influence of any Chicago hoodlum. A pretext telephone call made to Local

#150 of that union, which is located in Cicero, Illinois, determined that the International Headquarters of that union is in Washington, D.C., and that there is no one named "MIKE" who is known to the woman who answered the telephone in the headquarters of Local 150.

The reference to [redacted] is apparently to [redacted] of the Local #150. This is one of the reasons why the Chicago Office felt that possibly this is the union referred to by [redacted] and HUMPHREYS. However, since their headquarters are in Washington, D.C., it does not fit the information furnished through the source.

It is noted that [redacted] furnished information approximately four months ago that [redacted] is responsible for contacts in organized labor for the Chicago hoodlum group, whereas HUMPHREYS, due to the fact that he formerly had this responsibility, maintains contact with four or five union leaders in the Chicago area for the reason that the leaders of these unions have always been susceptible to HUMPHREYS' influence.

The reference to "MIKE" has "got the lawyers moving" is a reference to the fact that Chicago attorney MICHAEL BRODKIN has sent lawyers to Springfield to work in opposition to the anti-crime bills.

The reference to [redacted] is apparently a reference to [redacted] the Alderman and Ward Committeeman of the [redacted] ward.

The reference to the "Labor lawyer from Wilmette" is to a person who is not immediately identifiable to the Chicago Office.

The "guy from East St. Louis" is apparently FRANK "BUSTER" WORTMAN, Springfield top hoodlum.

The reference to "the guy in Peoria" is to a person who has been mentioned previously by HUMPHREYS, but

whose identity is not at this time known.

The Springfield Office has been requested to attempt to identify this individual. He apparently is a Labor leader from Peoria.

From the above conversation, it is obvious that HUMPHREYS feels that it is absolutely necessary to the interests of organized crime in Illinois that the anti-crime bills in the Illinois State Legislature be defeated.

EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE EXERCISED IN THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION. IT SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE BODY OF A REPORT EVEN THOUGH PARAPHRASED. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED IN LEAD COVERAGE OR AS THE BASIS FOR AN INTERVIEW UNLESS SPECIFIC CLEARANCE IS OBTAINED FROM THE BUREAU AND FROM CHICAGO. THIS IS AN EXTREMELY DELICATE AND SENSITIVE SOURCE WHICH THE CHICAGO OFFICE IS MAKING EVERY EFFORT TO FULLY PROTECT.

FD-36 (Rev. 5-22-64)

FBI

Date: 2/17/65

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (92-350 Sub Z) JUNE

SUBJECT: MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka
AR

SUMMARY

EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE EXERCISED IN THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION. IT SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE BODY OF A REPORT EVEN THOUGH PARAPHRASED. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED IN LEAD COVERAGE OR AS THE BASIS FOR AN INTERVIEW UNLESS SPECIFIC CLEARANCE IS OBTAINED FROM THE BUREAU AND FROM CHICAGO. THIS IS AN EXTREMELY DELICATE AND SENSITIVE SOURCE WHICH THE CHICAGO OFFICE IS MAKING EVERY EFFORT TO FULLY PROTECT.

[redacted] furnished information concerning activities on 2/15/65:

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(C):(D)

Source advised that at 3:30 p.m. on the above date, [redacted] arrived in the apartment of MURRAY HUMPHREYS. [redacted] is a very close companion of HUMPHREYS who formerly worked for FRANK "BUSTER" WORTMAN, Springfield top hoodlum, and who has also had a history of being a hotel and restaurant manager in the Chicago area.

In view of the fact that it appears that the following is a classic example of the machinations of HUMPHREYS in his role as a strategist for the Chicago

3 - Bureau (RM)
1 - St. Louis (RM)(AM)
1 - Springfield (RM)(AM)
1 - Chicago
[redacted]
(6)

REC- 56

92-[illegible]

EX 109

[redacted] 26 19 1965

C C. Wick

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

6 MAR 2 1965

hoodlum group, the following is being set out in considerable detail: (b)(2)

(b)(7)(D)

As [redacted] and [redacted] have been advising during the past two weeks, HUMPHREYS is almost totally involved in forming opposition to the anti-crime bills which are presently pending in the Illinois State Legislature. Included among these bills are those legalizing wiretapping by law enforcement agencies under certain controls; providing witness immunity upon the approval of the United States Attorney General; making certain phases of organized gambling a felony; extending the number and life of county grand juries from three months to eighteen months, and the number from one to five; liberalizing the rules of search and seizure; and a bill giving the State greater power to inspect books and records of companies which are believed to be controlled by hoodlum interests, including taverns, restaurants and night clubs.

From information previously obtained from these two sources, HUMPHREYS has been in contact with Labor leaders, politicians, public officials and attorneys in order to line up support in opposition to these bills.

(b)(7)(C)

On 2/13/65, HUMPHREYS indicated to [redacted] that he wanted [redacted] to get himself ready to take a trip to WORTMAN, who controls downstate Illinois for organized crime, with instructions from HUMPHREYS as to what HUMPHREYS wants WORTMAN to do in this fight against the anti-crime bills. He waited in this regard until WORTMAN got out of the hospital, it being noted that WORTMAN was seriously ill apparently, with a heart attack, for some time.

On the morning of 2/15/65, HUMPHREYS gave a copy of the bills which he wanted to send to WORTMAN to his bodyguard and chauffeur, HY GODFREY, and instructed GODFREY to go to [redacted] of the Laundry Workers

and Dye House Workers Union, Local 46, for the purpose of making ten thermofax copies of each of the bills of interest to HUMPHREYS.

(b)(7)(c)

At 3:00 p.m., GODFREY gave the thermofax copies to HUMPHREYS, and was given one copy of each of the bills to give to [redacted] is a former CAPONE alcohol runner, who now operates one of the largest legitimate [redacted] in Chicago. As is set out in the conversation with [redacted] below, [redacted] is organizing the opposition against the bill mentioned above giving the State the right to a closer inspection of books and records concerning hoodlum investments in taverns, night clubs, and restaurants.

Following the arrival of [redacted] the following conversation took place:

HUMPHREYS:

"All right, I'm ready for you to go see BUSTER. I want to educate you on what to say. Take these letters with you, but I want you to bring these two back. These are just to show him how to do it. See, the bills come this way, [redacted]. These are thermofax copies. These are four bills in this pack here. Here's number 151. Here's 159. Here's 152. Here's 145. This is the grand jury bill, I think I'll give him one of these, too. All right, I'll put these things all together here. And now here is the names of every member of the House and Senate. And their addresses and where they're from. Democrat and Republican. Here's what I want him to do, this is very important. This bill means if they give you immunity and you refuse to answer, they can get in touch with the attorney general even if you claim your privilege and he can waive your right and within five days you have to talk, there is no chance to refuse to talk and you go to jail. That's what it says right there. If the

U.S. Attorney General in five days says he gives you immunity, you're dead all over the United States. That's it. Now understand this, because if you make any mistakes on this thing you can ruin the whole thing. Now here's the wiretap bill. This is the bill that the Mayor put through here. The guy in Danville wants to amend this. He doesn't like the Mayor's bill because he is a Republican. He wants to put in the same type wiretapping as they got in New York. Any judge can give an okay to put a tap on your line. Now on the grand jury we have a three month life of the grand jury. Now they're trying to amend this to 18 months and not have one special grand jury but five. Then they can keep everybody in turmoil all the time, to keep the heat going. See, I put down here: from one jury to three months to five with 18 months. Unlawful search and seizure. That's a wrong bill, they've amended that so they can just walk in any place. They could walk in unions or union guys' homes. So its up to them to stop this bill. And here's on 152 where the attorney general can put a business out of business. The unions will want to fight that. If he thinks it's a racket, he can put you out of business and if he can do that, he can do it to unions.

"Now, here's what I want him to do, understand this. This is important. He must get to all labor down there. And here is all the guys on the Judiciary Committee. They will give it to a subcommittee. Now, tell him these are the guys who will be on the subcommittee which the Judiciary gives it to. You understand? Here is the guy from Peoria. Tell him to see if he can get somebody in Peoria to talk to this guy. Tell him I'm not on speaking terms with that guy in Peoria. Understand?"

From the above, it is obvious that HUMPHREYS has given [redacted] copies of the bills which he is interested in defeating. He has also given to [redacted] all to be given to WORTMAN, a copy of the identities of those members of the House of Representatives who are on the Judiciary Committee and who are on a subcommittee which will be assigned study of these bills. These obviously are the individuals whom HUMPHREYS desires that pressure be put on to vote against the passage of these bills.

The exact identity of the legislator who is apparently from Peoria, Illinois, is not known at this time. The Springfield Division is requested to attempt to identify the individual.

The individual with whom HUMPHREYS is not on speaking terms may be [redacted] gambling and hoodlum figure in Peoria, with whom HUMPHREYS has previously indicated he does not hold in respect.

HUMPHREYS:

> "Here's what he has to do. He has to get all the unions, they all have to be in Illinois, to write letters to all these guys on the subcommittee, all these representatives. Here's a copy of a telegram and then, see, I have already done this with five unions here. Send a telegram and then write a letter. He has to take all the unions in his end of the state. Now, if he can get any CIO's, that would help a hell of a lot. I haven't got any CIO. Tell him to push this as hard as he can. Without getting himself sick again."

It is noted that the five unions which HUMPHREYS indicates later in the conversation that he has contacted, are not affiliated with the CIO. HUMPHREYS obviously wishes that WORTMAN can use whatever influence he may have with

a union affiliated with CIO in order to obtain pressure against the bills from that union.

HUMPHREYS: (b)(7)(c)

"Now tell him we got [redacted] down there lobbying for us. And we got a lot of people helpin'. A lot of those colored representatives down there in particular."

SCOTT LUCAS is a former U.S. Senator from Illinois. Although the Chicago Office is not aware of this, apparently, the [redacted] is being used by HUMPHREYS in Springfield, Illinois, as a licensed lobbyist against the anti-crime bills.

The Springfield Office is requested to attempt to verify the presence of [redacted] in Springfield, and to determine what his official capacity is.

The reference to the "colored representatives down there" is to those members of the Illinois State Legislature under the control of U.S. Congressman WILLIAM DAWSON of Chicago. It is noted that Congressman DAWSON is the most influential Chicago public official and politician who is Negro and he controls practically all Negro public officials in Illinois. Previous information has been obtained from this source indicating that HUMPHREYS feels that he will have no problem from the colored legislators.

HUMPHREYS:

"But now get this. If he is positive, absolutely positive, that the guy won't squawk, absolutely positive, then he can tell him that we're interested in this."

[redacted]

[redacted]

CG 92-350 sub Z

HUMPHREYS:

(b)(7)(c)

"That's right. Like this [redacted] He was the boss man. Like the last time he wouldn't let the bills through, they adjourned the meeting. All the bills died. But tell him not to use the guy unless he's sure."

Apparently HUMPHREYS refers here to [redacted] of the House of Representatives. When his activities on behalf of legislation in support of hoodlum interests and against legislation in opposition to the interests of the hoodlum element became known, the Republican Party refused to reslate [redacted] for re-election last November. As a result, [redacted] is no longer a public official in Illinois. What HUMPHREYS indicates above is that during the last session of the Illinois State General Assembly, there were anti-crime bills pending. A wiretapping bill was of particular interest to the organizations opposing crime. However, the bills never came before the floor of the Senate because they were bottled up in the committees to which they were assigned. The session of the General Assembly was adjourned with the result that the bills never came to the floor for a vote as to their passage. Inasmuch as [redacted] was then the [redacted] of the House of Representatives, it was obvious that it was through his influence and with his approval that these bills were not passed. Responsible elements of the Republican Party in Illinois therefore refused to reslate [redacted] for re-election in November, 1964.

From what HUMPHREYS says later in the conversation, it appears that [redacted] is now in Springfield and is being used as a "bag man" for HUMPHREYS and as a person to whom WORTMAN can send legislators whom he trusts for advice and counsel as to methods by which they can defeat the anti-crime bills.

HUMPHREYS:

"Now, we've got other people down there working hard. Now there are 33 guys on the committee and I've got a lot of people working independently on this, not as a gang, but all by themselves. Now here's a guy from the University of Chicago who I've not gotten to. I'd like to get him."

HUMPHREYS:

"Where is that guy, ah ..." (b)(7)(c)

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

"That DuPage County is bad."

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

"All matters. All the way down the line."

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

"He can see him, too. But no wrong guys, understand? Tell him not to take a chance on one (obs) who might whisper to someone. Not a chance is to be taken here. Don't let him do it."

HUMPHREYS:

"Now, listen to this. The bigger the local, the better."

(b)(7)(c)

[redacted]

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

"The Bartenders don't mean (obs). They're doing that from here. With the International. From here. The Joint Board did it already. See, tell him I've used all the Teamsters. I've used the Bartenders, all the Laundry Workers, and I'm gonna come through with the Electrical Workers from this end. But they got a group down there, too, and I can't come through from that end. Now, in Chicago the Teamsters only go to 79th Street and then south of that they go through Hammond. But that doesn't hurt me because I'm going through the International and that way I've got them all. I'm having the International write the letter. And they got all their lawyers down there working on it, in Springfield. In other words, I'm having them put the heat on the guys. And they got their own lobbyigts down there. Against all these bills that I showed you. Because the immunity bill hurts them, the grand jury hurts them, the phone bill hurts them. They don't want those bills. Now, you got to explain all this to him. And he has to get all those locals down there. Now the guy in Peoria, I haven't reached."

[redacted]

[redacted]

The reference to [redacted] an Illinois State Legislator, is not subject to interpretation by the Chicago Office. Possibly he is a key member of the Judiciary

Committee.

(b)(7)(c)

[redacted] Springfield is requested to attempt to identify [redacted] It is noted that the Chicago Office is not at all certain that the comment of [redacted] was to [redacted] and this is strictly phonetic.

When HUMPHREYS refers to "the Bartenders," he apparently refers to the Hotel, Restaurant, and Bartenders Union in Chicago, inasmuch as it is known that he is very close to the officials of this union. It is also known that he is very close to JOHN T. "SANDY" O'BRIEN, the First International Vice-President of the International Brotherhood of Teamsters. HUMPHREYS is also very close to [redacted] of the Laundry Workers Union, and to MICHAEL J. KENNEDY, the most influential officer of the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, in Chicago. However, the significance to his saying "I'm gonna come through with the Electrical Workers," it being noted that he has previously remarked that KENNEDY is not in Chicago and has not been in touch with him recently, is not known.

HUMPHREYS:

> "Now explain this to him. This is what we are up against. If the Mayor gives the order here, we won't be able to conjure ourselves up a chance, you understand? Because if the Mayor gives the order to go for the bills, that's a solid thing. Now he's already had his own committee put the okay on some of these. Like the wiretap. That's his own commission. He'd like to put this through. But if he sees heat coming, he'll duck it. That's why it is very important that we get all the pressure on from downstate to get over the Chicago guys in case the Mayor gives an order. You understand?"

[redacted]

[redacted]

Ill.

What HUMPHREYS refers to here is that Mayor RICHARD J. DALEY of Chicago formed a Special Committee to investigate the possibility of new legislation to be used in the fight against organized crime. This committee, commonly referred to as the Mayor's Committee, drafted several of the above bills, and the mayor has publicly thrown his support in favor of these bills. HUMPHREYS, therefore, obviously, is concerned that Mayor DALEY will give orders to the Democratic members of the Illinois General Assembly that they are to support and vote for the anti-crime bills. HUMPHREYS feels that unless this support can be overcome by opposition from the Republican members of the Illinois General Assembly and from those downstate Democrats who are not under the control of Mayor DALEY, these bills will pass. HUMPHREYS also feels that DALEY may be inclined not to privately give out orders to those Democrats under his control, if "he sees heat coming." This is why HUMPHREYS feels that it is so important that all Labor unions throughout the state of Illinois give loud local opposition to these bills.

HUMPHREYS:

"Now, take your time with him and explain everything. H's got to work the whole end of his state. Now, I'm going to give you all this stuff to take to him. And you got to explain all of this to him. Give him three copies of all of this.

(b)(7)(c)

Now, be very careful on handling this, [redacted]."

[redacted]

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

"This is dangerous stuff. I mean, one bad move, and we can lose everything."

[redacted]

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

"Because I'm spending thousands of dollars on this to beat. It is costin' me a fortune just trying to work it out. I may not be able to beat it, but I'm gonna try like hell, I'll tell you that."

(b)(7)(c)

It is not at all clear just exactly what HUMPHREYS is "spending thousands of dollars" and "a fortune" on. Possibly HUMPHREYS has made an arrangement which the Chicago Office is not privy to, with [redacted] so that [redacted] will be the conduit through which HUMPHREYS' money passes to those legislators who are willing to be corrupted. More on this particular aspect is forthcoming in later conversation.

HUMPHREYS then asked [redacted] what flight he was taking to visit WORTMAN. He was told that [redacted] was leaving at 7:15 on the morning of 2/16/65, arriving, apparently in St. Louis, Missouri, at 8:08 p.m., on a non-stop Delta jet. He then indicated that he would take a bus from St. Louis to East St. Louis, Illinois, where he would arrive prior to the time for his appointment with WORTMAN. It appeared possible that this appointment was to take place at the Paddock Club but this was not certain. [redacted] intended to leave East St. Louis on a 4:05 flight back to Chicago and later, indicated that he would "report back" to HUMPHREYS on the morning of 2/17/65.

Based on this information, as it was received at approximately 4:15 p.m. on February 15, 1965, the Springfield Office was immediately notified by teletype and it was left to the discretion of the Springfield Office as to whether they would attempt an extremely discreet surveillance of the meeting of [redacted] and WORTMAN.

[redacted] then indicated that he has previously talked to WORTMAN and given him the numbers of three of the anti-crime bills. He indicated that he gave these numbers

to WORTMAN "when we talked about [redacted] remember?" (b)(7)(c)

It is noted that [redacted] is a member of the Illinois State House of Representatives who has been on the Illinois State Crime Commission.

[redacted] then reviewed in great detail the instructions given him by HUMPHREYS to be passed on to WORTMAN.

At the conclusion of this review, the following comments were then made:

HUMPHREYS:

"We've got to crowd the labor guys in from all over the state so that those representatives will say the hell with this."

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

"That's right. And now here's a copy of a talk that this guy [redacted] from the American Federation of Labor made against this bill. Take this to him for a talking point. Now, don't let this fall into anybody's hands. Because then we'd be in trouble. Boy, the papers would love to know we're lobbying. Now, here's two letters that unions here wrote. Just for his idea, but don't let him copy the letter. We don't want all sending the same letter. This is just for an idea.

"Come on, speed it up. You don't have to read every word."

(b)(7)(c)

[redacted]

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

"Skip read it, what the hell, we'll be here all day."

[redacted] then reminded HUMPHREYS that he had wanted WORTMAN to obtain a job through the Steamfitters Union in East St. Louis for [redacted] HUMPHREYS remarked that he was glad that [redacted] had reminded him about this and told him, "I want him to get the blonde's brother, [redacted] break him in. Here, write this number for him to get in touch with [redacted] This is [redacted] telephone number. This is [redacted] number. [redacted] But [redacted] is [redacted] Now, [redacted] number is [redacted] Missouri, area code [redacted]

At this point, the following conversation ensued:

HUMPHREYS:

"Now do you understand all this thoroughly, [redacted] He's got to get all those unions in there, and fast."

[redacted]

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

"That's right! Now, I've already had the Teamsters, through the International, write to all their locals to object to these bills. But he knows a lot of the Teamsters down there. He is to talk to them and get them moving. He knows what to do. Have their membership write in. He's pretty good with the unions down there.

(b)(7)(c)

We have to go and knock out the immunity bill. That's a tough one. And on the gambling bill, real hard. He has to do that with the politicians, not with the labor guys. The labor guys have nothing to do with the gambling, you can't expect them to get their neck out on that. Now, understand, if BUS is in real good with a guy he can trust real well, he can talk to [redacted] down there. [redacted] will be down there lobbying. He's not in the House, he just bums around down there."

[redacted]

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

"Oh, yeah. [redacted] is known. All the guys know [redacted] He was the guy who held all the bills until the last minute, and then they cut, they adjourned the whole thing, and it was over with for two years. See? And that's why he got all the heat. But he's got a lot of guts. And he's a Republican. But push this over for me real nice, in a nice way. Don't get him confused. Now if these guys get ahold of [redacted] see, [redacted] will give them (speaks low -- next seven or eight words inaudible). Because he doesn't have the money to give them. Know what I mean? Now understand, the guys who are to get ahold of [redacted] are the guys on the gambling, not on the other things."

HUMPHREYS obviously again indicates that if WORTMAN can trust a legislator implicitly, he is to have that legislator contact [redacted] in Springfield. Obviously, [redacted] is known to all Illinois State Legislators.

The comments of HUMPHREYS concerning what [redacted] will give the legislators were inaudible, even though great effort was expended in an attempt to ascertain these seven or eight words. It would appear very possible that HUMPHREYS

(b)(7)(c)

has been in contact with [redacted] and that he has made independent arrangements with [redacted] as to what he is to tell and give those legislators who are sent to him. However, this is strictly speculation on the part of the Chicago Office, since no source has previously indicated any direct connection between HUMPHREYS and [redacted] Insofar as the Chicago Office is aware, no source has ever previously furnished any information indicating corruption of [redacted] by HUMPHREYS or any of HUMPHREYS' associates. It appears obvious that HUMPHREYS is depending on the Labor unions to influence the vote of the legislators against all those bills other than the gambling bill. He feels that no Labor union could be expected to legitimately fight a bill making certain aspects of organized gambling a felony, since this would be entirely out of their legitimate domain.

HUMPHREYS:

> "See, if all these guys all write letters, it's easy for my guy to lobby down there. These guys say, for Christ's sake, what's going on here. And we want those union guys to go down there to Springfield. A lot of them have lobbyists. Now, if they all go down there, that confuses the House. They say, why (obs)! Look at all these guys! Get the coal miners. Get into all those towns. Even if he has to send a guy around to do it. But he has to work hard and fast.
>
> "And you tell him we have to lock this up in the Committee. But even if we do, the House can bring it out of the Committee with about 80 votes. But if everybody is groaning enough, they won't have the 80 votes to bring it out of the Committee. You understand? He knows all that. But if they get it out of the Committee and on the floor, we can't beat it. The main thing is, he's got to get on that guy in Peoria. And the reason I don't ...

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(c):(D)

[redacted]

[redacted] HUMPHREYS here again repeats what this source and have been saying for the last two or three weeks. This is, that HUMPHREYS hopes to exert enough influence on the members of the subcommittee and on the Judiciary Committee so that he can "lock up" the fight against the bills in these committees, because he feels that he cannot influence a sufficient number of all the members of the Illinois General Assembly in order that they will oppose these bills.

HUMPHREYS then instructed [redacted] to also inform WORTMAN that he wants WORTMAN to influence the legislators under his influence that they are to keep the appropriation for the Illinois State Crime Commission to a minimum. And also to inform WORTMAN "there is a bill to divorce the commission from the legislature. We don't want that. We want to keep it in politics. Tell him that. So that next time, maybe I can knock it out altogether." HUMPHREYS refers here to the fact that the Illinois State Crime Commission was created by the Illinois General Assembly in its last session. There is now a bill pending in Springfield which would make the Illinois Crime Commission a separate entity entirely independent of the Legislature. HUMPHREYS obviously does not want this to happen because he wants to "keep it in politics" so that they Legislators will have control over the Commission, with the result that they may be influenced by HUMPHREYS at a later date to disband the Illinois Crime Commission.

[redacted] then asked once more that HUMPHREYS review for him "that bill with the Attorney General again. I don't understand that. HUMPHREYS then again explained in detail the elements of this bill, and the strategy to be used by WORTMAN when he discusses this bill with the Labor unions: "What it is, the Attorney General can knock out the charter if he sees they're in the rackets. And tell the unions

that that can apply to unions."

HUMPHREYS then indicated, "the liquor bill is another bad bill, but I didn't send that down to [redacted] But that will hurt. You couldn't get any money out of a saloon because you wouldn't be able to have anybody in the background. And it gives them the right to go in anytime and check the books. But [redacted] is lobbying against that bill, see? Tell him that. He's got guys down there. But tell him it's a bad bill for him or for anybody that's got a saloon. They can check your money in and out and who's getting it."

[redacted] then questioned HUMPHREYS: [redacted] In reply to this, the following conversation took place:

HUMPHREYS:

"They get smart to us. Then they close the doors. Don't you know who's behind all this?"

[redacted]

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

"You're (obs) right! And half of these guys they're using are kinky themselves. They know how it's done, they're doing it themselves! Well, get out of here. I got to get over and meet those guys. We meet every Monday night. Wait, look at this. This is a report of whenever a bill is introduced and what is happening to it. I have them send me this every week and I read this every week and stay on top of what is going on down there. Okay, say hello to [redacted] for me."

HUMPHREYS obviously feels that the Federal Government is behind the anti-crime bills. This is not known by the Chicago Office to be true, but it is in keeping with HUMPHREYS' tendency to blame the Federal Government for all of his problems, inasmuch as until the intensification of hoodlum investigations was initiated several years ago, HUMPHREYS and his group received very little interference from local authority.

HUMPHREYS' reference to "we meet every Monday night" is an apparent reference to information which has just been developed by the Chicago Office that HUMPHREYS and his associates, along with some of their [redacted] hoodlum associates, such as MIKE BRODKIN, [redacted] and others, meet regularly at Pete Fish's Restaurant on Monday evenings. (b)(7)(c)

The Springfield Office is requested to conduct a thorough review of all of the above with the view in mind that they will furnish an identification of those members of the Illinois State Legislature who are not identified by the Chicago Office.

The Springfield Office will also discreetly attempt to identify the present capacity of the [redacted] and of [redacted] The Springfield Office will also attempt identification of the key legislator from Peoria and supply background concerning [redacted] It is also suggested that the Springfield Office may desire to be alert through established contacts, informants and sources, who furnish information concerning the Illinois State Legislature so that any further information concerning corruption there can be obtained.

The Chicago Office expects that when [redacted] reports back to HUMPHREYS, possibly on the morning of 2/17/65, the above source will be privy to this information.

The Chicago Office also expects that further information concerning current efforts of HUMPHREYS to corrupt key members of the Legislature will be obtained through [redacted] and [redacted]

(b)(7)(C)

One copy of this communication is being furnished to the St. Louis Division for informational purposes only inasmuch as [redacted] apparently resides in the St. Louis territory, and due to the interest of the St. Louis Office in the affairs and activities of WORTMAN. Regarding [redacted] it is noted that the St. Louis Office has conducted considerable investigation concerning the [redacted] It is noted that the "[redacted]" mentioned above is an [redacted] Obviously, HUMPHREYS feels that WORTMAN is in a position, through his influence on an official of the Steamfitters Union in East St. Louis, or possibly in St. Louis, so that a job can be obtained for [redacted] in St. Louis, his home, and in Miami, Florida.

EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE EXERCISED IN THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION. IT SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE BODY OF A REPORT EVEN THOUGH PARAPHRASED. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED IN LEAD COVERAGE OR AS THE BASIS FOR AN INTERVIEW UNLESS SPECIFIC CLEARANCE IS OBTAINED FROM THE BUREAU AND FROM CHICAGO. THIS IS AN EXTREMELY DELICATE AND SENSITIVE SOURCE WHICH THE CHICAGO OFFICE IS MAKING EVERY EFFORT TO FULLY PROTECT.

2/25/65

AIRTEL

1 - Mr. [redacted]

TO: SAC, Chicago

FROM: Director, FBI

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka.
AR

(b)(7)(c)

Recent communications report an increasing volume of information concerning the intensive efforts being led by Murray Humphreys to thwart the passage of anticrime bills presently under consideration in the Illinois State Legislature.

Your sources have indicated that Humphreys and his associates feel that the passage of these bill would have a crippling effect on organized crime activities in the Chicago area and that they are, therefore, enlisting the aid of various labor organizations and other individuals in an intensive effort to defeat this legislation.

In view of this, it is desired that you consider the possibility of making appropriate dissemination of the information reported by your sources relative to these efforts of Humphreys and others to appropriate sources in position to exploit these efforts of the underworld to influence legislation in the State Legislature.

Promptly furnish the Bureau with your observations and recommendations as to such dissemination and include your evaluation as to whether this can be accomplished with full security to your highly confidential sources.

REC-1 92-[illegible] 1280

NOTE: Highly confidential sources of the CGO are reporting an increasing volume of information indicating that Humphreys is intensively enlisting the aid of friendly labor groups, former State Legislators, attorneys and other hoodlum figures, including the notorious Frank Leona "Buster" Wortman of East St. Louis in efforts to prevent the passage of anticrime bills, including those relating to gambling, wire tapping, immunity and extension of the tenure of state grand juries. Humphreys has indicated a vital concern that these bills, if passed, will strike a crippling blow at the activities of the entire underworld organization in the Chicago area.

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

[redacted]

MAIL ROOM ☑ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

COPY FBI

19 FEB 26 1965

FD-36 (Rev. 5-22-64)

FBI

Date: 2/24/65

Transmit the following in _____ [redacted]
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM: SAC, SPRINGFIELD (92-145) -P-

SUBJECT: MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka
ANTI-RACKETEERING

REC-130

Re Chicago Daily Summaries in caption matter dated 12/12/64, 12/15/64, and 12/16/64.

Individual identified as DAN McGLYNN mentioned in summaries is deceased. McGLYNN was a very prominent East St. Louis, Illinois attorney and Republican politican for many years. He was known in the East St. Louis, Illinois area as "Mr. Republican for downstate Illinois". McGLYNN has been dead since the summer of 1964. McGLYNN was previously interviewed by Springfield Agents at East St. Louis concerning his Wortman association. This came about because WORTMAN made telephone threats to McGLYNN in 1962 when WORTMAN was being tried in U.S. District Court, East St. Louis on income tax charges. McGLYNN when interviewed denied any association with WORTMAN and claimed he only knew the individual and had never had any personal, business, political or any other type of dealings to do with FRANK WORTMAN or any of WORTMAN's associates. It is

(b)(7)(c)

3-Bureau
2-Chicago (92-350) Sub Z
1-St. Louis (Info)
3-Springfield (2-92-145)
(1-92-112)

REC-130

92-3088-1281

[redacted]
(9)

FEB 27 1965

69 MAR 5 1965

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

(b)(7)(c)

Apparent that HUMPHREYS is not aware of the current East St. Louis, Illinois situation.

It is also pointed out that over the week-end, that on February 13, 1965, WORTMAN was released from the hospital in St. Louis where he had been confined for about six weeks because of a heart attack. WORTMAN is now alledgedly consigned to bed rest at his home for at least another month.

Surveillance on [redacted] was not conducted due to source of information of [redacted] planned visit to the East St. Louis area. Any fisur in the vicinity of WORTMAN's moat residence can not be discreet without extensive planning and manpower; the residence is in an open rural area some twenty miles outside of East St. Louis, Illinois.

WORTMAN does have close contacts in various labor groups primarily through [redacted] of St. Louis who operates the [redacted] as well as the [redacted] and a close WORTMAN and [redacted] associate one [redacted] is one [redacted] payroll.

Regarding Daily Summary 2/15/65, Chicago should furnish St. Louis and Springfield identity of alledged father and son-in-law in the Steamfitters Union in East St. Louis. For information of St. Louis, Chicago by above communication furnished information that HUMPHREYS intended to have WORTMAN put the [redacted] in the Steamfitters Union in East St. Louis, Illinois and alledgely already put the father and son-in-law in this union through contact with one of top officials. From information available to Springfield and St. Louis would appear that this union is actually the Steamfitters Union in St. Louis, Missouri which is alledgedly controlled by [redacted] an ex-convict and a very close associate of WORTMAN's as well as by the present business agent, [redacted] The St. Louis Steamfitters Union is a much larger operation then the East St. Louis local and many WORTMAN hoodlums

(b)(7)(c)

have been on the payroll of this union or do carry cards of the union including WORTMAN himself.

St. Louis will endeavor to determine if [redacted] is on the above union payroll or carries a card from it and also if the father-in-law and son-in-law is mentioned are in this union.

House Bills #270, 271, 272, and 273 relating to legalized interception of telephonic communications were introduced to 74th General Assembly of State of Illinois (now in session) on 2/4/65 and each was referred to the Committee on Judiciary.

Also House Bill #277 which provides for licenses to sell, purchase or possess firearms was introduced to the General Assembly on 2/4/65 and was referred to the Committee on Judiciary.

Springfield is following this matter and will advise of any pertinent developments.

4-5 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

7:59 PM CST DEFERRED 2-26-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR AND LAS VEGAS
FROM CHICAGO 270031

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR.

CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED FELIX ALDERISIO CLAIMS MIKE BRODKIN CONFERRED AFTERNOON FEBRUARY 26 WITH RUBY KOLOD IN VEGAS. BRODKIN STAYING AT DUNES HOTEL.

[redacted] ARRIVING VEGAS MARCH 4 WITH WIFE. WIFE WILL REMAIN IN VEGAS WHILE [redacted] AND [redacted] GO HUNTING OR FISHING IN LOS CRUZ.

ABOVE SUBMITTED FOR INFORMATION.

RECEIVED: 9:03 PM [redacted]

EX - 109

REC- 16

92- 3088-1282

MAR 2

70 MAR 10 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

11:57 PM CST DEFERRED 2-26-65 DHM
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 270154

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

REC-9

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HUMPHREYS SCHEDULED MEETING FEBRUARY 25 WITH RALPH PIERCE AND LES KRUSE AND PROBABLY WITH [redacted] AT ONTARIO HOUSE RESTAURANT, CHICAGO NEAR NORTH SIDE.

(1)(7)(C)

HUMPHREYS ALSO INTENDED TO MEET WITH SAM GIANCANA AT PUCCI'S TAILORS ON NEAR NORTH SIDE. [redacted] ALSO SCHEDULED TO BE THERE TO MEET PRIVATELY WITH GIANCANA, NOT HUMPHREYS.

HUMPHREYS ALSO SCHEDULED MEETING SAME DATE WITH [redacted] PURPOSE CONCERNING INTERVIEW OF [redacted] BY IRS THIS WEEK RE HUMPHREYS' 1961 AND 1962 INCOME TAX RETURNS. HUMPHREYS COMMENTED "THE G IS WAITING FOR A SLIP BUT I'M TOO CAREFUL ON MY TAXES THEY'LL NEVER GET ME THERE."

ON SAME DATE [redacted] BUSINESS AGENT, HOTEL AND RESTAURANT WORKERS UNION HERE, CONFERRED WITH HUMPHREYS RE HIS MISSION IN SPRINGFIELD. [redacted] SENT TO SPRINGFIELD TO INFLUENCE LEGISLATORS VS. ANTI CRIME BILLS. [redacted] INFORMED HUMPHREYS SOME LABOR LEADERS NOT EXCITED AGAINST IMMUNITY BILL AND SEE WIRE TAPPING BILL AS ONLY LABOR PROBLEM. HUMPHREYS UPSET OVER THIS SINCE IMMUNITY BILL MOST INTERESTED IN DEFEATING. INSTRUCTED [redacted] TO TRAVEL AGAIN TO SPRINGFIELD TO CARRY FIGHT AGAINST IMMUNITY BILL. ALTHOUGH HUMPHREYS CONCERNED RE IMMUNITY BILL HE DOES NOT FEEL

REC- 16 92-3088-1263

EX. - 109

MAR 2 1965

F146

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☐ TELETYPE

PAGE TWO FROM CHICAGO 270154

(b)(7)(c)

AUTHORITIES WOULD "HAVE GUTS TO GIVE ME IMMUNITY BECAUSE THEY'D BE AFRAID I'D GRAB THE OPPORTUNITY AND CLEAN UP EVERYTHING." DID NOT INDICATE COURSE OF ACTION HE WOULD TAKE IF GRANTED IMMUNITY.

ASSOCIATE [redacted] ALSO REPORTED TO HUMPHREYS FEBRUARY 25 THAT FRANK BUSTER WORTMAN CONTACTED "THAT FELLOW THAT YOU TOLD HIM TO SEE AND TOLD HIM THE SAME THING YOU TOLD ME TO TELL HIM, AND HE SAID HE WOULD." THIS PROBABLY REFERS TO LEGISLATOR OR LABOR LEADER IN PEORIA HUMPHREYS REQUESTED WORTMAN CONTACT.

[redacted] REPORTED WORTMAN WORRIED ABOUT INFLUENCE MAYOR DALEY HAS IN SUPPORT OF LEGISLATION. INDICATED KNOWN FACT DALEY NOT ONLY CONTROLS MOST DEMOCRATS AND INFLUENCES ALL BUT SOME NOMINAL REPUBLICANS ARE IN FACT UNDER CONTROL DALEY SINCE THEY ARE ON PUBLIC PAYROLLS IN OFFICES HEADED BY DEMOCRATS WHO COULD TERMINATE SERVICES AT WILL. HUMPHREYS FEELS THIS WILL BE MAJOR FACTOR IF BILLS PASS.

HUMPHREYS INDICATED [redacted] PERTURBED WITH GIANCANA SINCE GIANCANA HAS OWED HIM SMALL AMOUNT OF MONEY FOR SOME TIME. HUMPHREYS HAS CAUTIONED [redacted] TO FORGET IT "BECAUSE MOE IS STUBBORN ENOUGH HE MIGHT NOT PAY IT AT ALL."

AM CC SPRINGFIELD.

RECEIVED: 2:10 AM 2-27-65 [redacted]

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

FD-36 (Rev. 5-22-64)

FBI

Date: 2/25/65

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (92-350 SUB 11)

SUBJECT: MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka
AR

JUNE

SUMMARY

REC-10

EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE EXERCISED IN THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION. IT SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE BODY OF A REPORT EVEN THOUGH PARAPHRASED. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED IN LEAD COVERAGE OR AS THE BASIS FOR AN INTERVIEW UNLESS SPECIFIC CLEARANCE IS OBTAINED FROM THE BUREAU AND FROM CHICAGO. THIS IS AN EXTREMELY DELICATE AND SENSITIVE SOURCE WHICH THE CHICAGO OFFICE IS MAKING EVERY EFFORT TO FULLY PROTECT.

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(d: 10)

[redacted] furnished information concerning activities on 2/19/65:

At 10:48 a.m., BRODKIN's first order of business on the above date was to attempt to make a telephone call to the secretary of [redacted] However, he was unable to locate [redacted] secretary.

Shortly thereafter he made a telephone call to Room Number 782, at Wesley Memorial Hospital where he talked to Chicago Hoodlum HY GODFREY who was in the hospital

REC-10

92-3078-1287

3-3

3 - Bureau
1 - Phoenix
1 - Miami
1 - Chicago

EX-114

[redacted]
(6)

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

6 MAR 5 1965

for an operation on his shoulder to alleviate a bursitis condition.

During the conversation he asked GODFREY where "they" are going to be today. This obviously refers to the Chicago hoodlum group.

At 11:15 a.m., BRODKIN received a phone call and mentioned to his partner after completing the call: "Curly wants to see me". This is a reference to Chicago Top Hoodlum MURRAY HUMPHREYS. BRODKIN immediately departed thereafter.

(b)(7)(c)

Later BRODKIN conversed with his partner, [redacted] and with Chicago Attorney [redacted] BRODKIN asked [redacted] whether it might be possible for [redacted] to travel to Washington, D.C. where he could visit "your friend, Art Goldberg". It is noted that previous information has been received from this source indicating that [redacted] is a personal friend of Justice ARTHUR GOLDBERG of the United States Supreme Court, having gone through the University of Chicago Law School with Justice GOLDBERG. BRODKIN indicated that he was interested in the appeal of Chicago Top Jewel Thief [redacted] who was recently convicted [illegible] and sentenced to ten years in the Federal Penitentiary by U.S. District Judge ABRAHAM L. MAROVITZ. [redacted] answered BRODKIN by saying that Justice GOLDBERG does not handle this type of cases and that these types of appeals are handled by Justice TOM CLARK. BRODKIN, [redacted] and [redacted] then discussed the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court in such a manner and reviewed a book entitled "Revised Rules of the Supreme Court of the United States, Part II." They talked of filing a writ of certiorari for [redacted] then asked [redacted] whom [redacted] in the United States Marshal's Office in Chicago. BRODKIN indicated that he was friendly with both [redacted] the United States Marshal, and with [redacted] the Chief Deputy United States Marshal, but that he felt that [redacted] would be the better of the two." [redacted]

(b)(7)(c)

then left BRODKIN's office, presumably to call [redacted]

BRODKIN then indicated to [redacted] that he felt sure that Justice GOLDBERG would inform [redacted] "just how to handle such an appeal" if [redacted] would go to Washington and talk to GOLDBERG. [redacted] agreed that there would be no difficulty in talking to Justice GOLDBERG about this but felt that he should first talk to the attorney who was handling this case or first review the court files regarding this. Both BRODKIN and [redacted] indicated that there was "no sense" in talking to Judge MAROVITZ about the case. [redacted] then decided that he would go to the Circuit Court of Appeals and examine the record. BRODKIN encouraged him in this direction and advised that if they could do a good job on this situation there would be a good fee involved. BRODKIN commented that Houston Attorney [redacted] wanted $5,000 for this appeal, a price which apparently was way out of line in the opinion of BRODKIN and [redacted]

BRODKIN then commented during the middle of this conversation that he intended to visit with United States District Judge [redacted] later in the day. However, he did not specifically say that he would talk to Judge [redacted] concerning the [redacted] situation.

At 11:59 a.m., BRODKIN received another telephone call and mentioned the number 900. Apparently he had been informed during the first telephone call that HUMPHREYS wanted him to join the Chicago hoodlums for their luncheon meeting that date and on this phone call was informed that the meeting would be at "900". It is noted that [redacted] indicated that on 2/19/65, the HUMPHREYS group intended to meet for their luncheon meeting at Jacques Restaurant. Jacques is located at 900 North Michigan.

[redacted] then immediately departed obviously for the luncheon meeting. He returned at 2:12 p.m.

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(C); (D)

and at 2:38 p.m., on 2/19/65, Chicago Top Hoodlums LESLIE KRUSE and [redacted] arrived. KRUSE commented that Chicago and Miami Top Hoodlum DAVE YARAS was then "down South" apparently a reference to Miami, Florida. KRUSE then instructed [redacted] to pick out names which are in areas that [redacted] is familiar with. He pointed to one name that is in the Skokie area and read the name [redacted] who is employed at [redacted] Skokie, Illinois, and who resides at [redacted], Morton Grove, Illinois. Apparently these are again names listed on the jury panel of the Cook County Criminal Courts. It is noted that [redacted] and this source have been furnishing continuing information indicating the interest of the HUMPHREYS group in attempting to approach jurors who may be selected to try Chicago Top Hoodlum [redacted] in the Cook County Criminal Court for insurance fraud. This trial is to commence 3/2/65.

KRUSE then gave [redacted] a copy of the list and told him to see what he could do to talk to "a couple of these also because I am having trouble with them." Apparently he pointed to two names on the list. It is noted that KRUSE and [redacted] both live in Northern suburbs and apparently have both been assigned names of persons in the Northern suburbs or on the far North Side of Chicago.

[redacted] then mentioned a name on the list who apparently is a liquor salesman and they talked of a connection which they may be able to make with that prospective juror through a wholesale liquor agency, possibly Austin Liquors. It is noted that Austin Liquors is owned by [redacted] who is a close associate of several Chicago top hoodlums. It appears possible that what KRUSE had in mind was that [redacted] might be able to talk to this liquor salesman with the thought in mind that if the salesman provided favorable treatment to [redacted] he would find it most beneficial as a liquor salesman.

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

3 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552		Section 552a
☐ (b)(1)	☐ (b)(7)(A)	☐ (d)(5)
☐ (b)(2)	☐ (b)(7)(B)	☐ (j)(2)
☐ (b)(3)	☑ (b)(7)(C)	☐ (k)(1)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(D)	☐ (k)(2)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(E)	☐ (k)(3)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(F)	☐ (k)(4)
☐ (b)(4)	☐ (b)(8)	☐ (k)(5)
☐ (b)(5)	☐ (b)(9)	☐ (k)(6)
☐ (b)(6)		☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1284 (pgs 5 thru 7)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

FD-36 (Rev. 5-22-64)

FBI

Date: 2/23/65

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (92-350 SUB 11) JUNE

SUBJECT: MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka
AR

SUMMARY

EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE EXERCISED IN THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION. IT SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE BODY OF A REPORT EVEN THOUGH PARAPHRASED. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED IN LEAD COVERAGE OR AS THE BASIS FOR AN INTERVIEW UNLESS SPECIFIC CLEARANCE IS OBTAINED FROM THE BUREAU AND FROM CHICAGO. THIS IS AN EXTREMELY DELICATE AND SENSITIVE SOURCE WHICH THE CHICAGO OFFICE IS MAKING EVERY EFFORT TO FULLY PROTECT.

[redacted] furnished information concerning activities on 2/17/65:

(b)(2)

Chicago Attorney MIKE BRODKIN arrived in his office for the first time on the above date at 1:20 p.m. He contacted his wife telephonically and informed her that he had just had lunch with [redacted] and "Humpy". Obviously this refers to Chicago Top Hoodlums [redacted] and MURRAY HUMPHREYS.

(b)(7)(C)(D)

REC-33

3 - Bureau
1 - Springfield
1 - Las Vegas
1 - Chicago

[redacted]
(6)

Approved: C. C. Wick _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

6 MAR 5 1965

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(c):(D)

BRODKIN then made a telephone call to Chicago Hoodlum PAUL "RED" DORFMAN who was then in Miami, Florida. BRODKIN informed DORFMAN that he had just had lunch with "him" and that "he said for you to pursue that matter." It is noted that DORFMAN has been suffering from pneumonia and that he is recuperating in Florida. The attention of the Bureau and the Springfield Office is directed to information in my airtel dated 2/18/65, wherein [redacted] furnished information that HUMPHREYS instructed [redacted] to contact a labor leader concerning a certain situation. In this conversation [redacted] mentioned that the individual who he was to contact had been ill and that he was out of touch recuperating. Although it is not believed certain that this individual is identical with DORFMAN, since it appeared that [redacted] mentioned that this individual had an operation and was therefore not suffering from pneumonia, this is a possibility.

BRODKIN then made a telephone call to Chicago Attorney [redacted] It is noted that on 2/16/65, BRODKIN believed that he had perfected arrangements for [redacted] to go to Springfield, Illinois, apparently to testify in opposition to the anti-crime bills which are pending before the House of Representatives Judiciary Committee. However, [redacted] did not make the trip as planned. BRODKIN inquired why [redacted] did not go and then suggested that [redacted] wire the Chairman of the Judiciary Committee and request that the hearing be continued until such time as he might be able to appear to testify.

At 2:10 p.m., Chicago Top Hoodlum LESLIE KRUSE arrived. He inquired whether HUMPHREYS or [redacted] were coming to BRODKIN's office that afternoon and was informed by BRODKIN that although he had lunch with these two individuals, he did not know whether they would be coming

(b)(7)(c)

to his office that afternoon.

KRUSE then inquired whether MIKE had obtained the transcript of the testimony in the gambling case in Lake County. It is noted that he refers to the case against [redacted] and others who were arrested in a gambling raid in Highwood, Illinois, based on an FBI warrant. It is noted that at the time that KRUSE arrived, he did not know that the above had been sentenced but was aware that they had been convicted and that the sentencing was to take place that afternoon. BRODKIN then indicated that he had received the transcript and that he was going to refer it to [redacted] who is the individual who does the appeal work for BRODKIN.

KRUSE then commented concerning the arrest by Postal Authorities of several Chicago individuals on a planned bankruptcy situation. This is the situation where a top echelon informant of the Chicago Office was arrested with associates of FELIX "MILWAUKEE PHIL" ALDERISIO in connection with their planned bankruptcy of the Vogue Credit Jewelers Company.

At 2:15 p.m., on 2/17/65, BRODKIN received a telephone call from Canadian gambler [redacted] in Montreal. This was an entirely desultory and social conversation.

BRODKIN later asked KRUSE whether he was at Binyon's Restaurant on the previous Monday night, 2/15/65. KRUSE replied that he was there on that date and that he is there "every Monday, like clock work." He also commented that [redacted] and [redacted] were there and that "they all come down". This is probably a reference to Chicago Top Hoodlums [redacted] and [redacted]

BRODKIN and KRUSE then checked the list of the jury panel of the Cook County Criminal Courts. As

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(c): (D)

they did on 2/16/65, they refer to Juror Number 38 and on this occasion they referred to him as [redacted] or [redacted] (phonetic). KRUSE told BRODKIN "scratch him out, it's okay."

BRODKIN then questioned KRUSE as to whether KRUSE had a date with HUMPHREYS on that date. KRUSE advised that he had a date with HUMPHREYS at Randolph and Wabash when HUMPHREYS got through with BRODKIN but that HUMPHREYS did not show up. BRODKIN commented that HUMPHREYS was probably at his apartment at this time. It is noted that [redacted] confirmed BRODKIN's opinion in this regard. BRODKIN suggested that KRUSE call HUMPHREYS on the phone but KRUSE commented that HUMPHREYS does not take telephone calls in his apartment. KRUSE mentioned that he would go to HUMPHREYS' apartment but that he had told "those fellows in Lake County" to call him at BRODKIN's office at 3:00 p.m. concerning the results of their sentencing. The reference to "Randolph and Wabash" is probably a reference to the Stouffer's Restaurant which is located at that corner and which infrequently is the location of luncheon meetings of the HUMPHREYS group.

At this point [redacted] associate of Chicago hoodlums, arrived and mentioned that he had just met HUMPHREYS who was on his way home. He mentioned that HUMPHREYS intends to be at his cocktail party at the Sherman House on the following Friday. [redacted] indicated that he hopes that he could raise considerable money for the expenses of his attorneys in New York City from this party. [redacted] mentioned that [redacted] "and that he did not have a chance to talk to him about money. KRUSE mentioned his opinion that [redacted] should give [redacted] money in this regard.

BRODKIN then completed a telephone call to [redacted] and his side of the conversation included the following: "Listen I got a record of a gambling case that was tried up in Lake County. I want

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

4 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552		Section 552a
☐ (b)(1)	☐ (b)(7)(A)	☐ (d)(5)
☐ (b)(2)	☐ (b)(7)(B)	☐ (j)(2)
☐ (b)(3)	☑ (b)(7)(C)	☐ (k)(1)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(D)	☐ (k)(2)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(E)	☐ (k)(3)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(F)	☐ (k)(4)
☐ (b)(4)	☐ (b)(8)	☐ (k)(5)
☐ (b)(5)	☐ (b)(9)	☐ (k)(6)
☐ (b)(6)		☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1285 (pgs 5 to 7 & 9)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

(b)(7)(c)

that he was close to [redacted] and [redacted] but that he did not know the other defendants: "they're local guys." BRODKIN then expressed the thought that they should get defense attorney [redacted] one of the three attorneys who represented the defendants in the above case, but "I don't really know what [redacted] could do. Of course he could always go in, no telling what he can do." It is noted that [redacted] has considerable influence in the Lake County area, having just been appointed a Magistrate of the Circuit Courts of Lake County.

KRUSE then briefed ALDERISIO concerning the above case and pointed out "this is one of those FBI pinches."

The secretary of BRODKIN then appeared to announce that HY GODFREY [redacted]" It is noted that GODFREY, the bodyguard, errand boy and chauffeur of the HUMPHREYS group, was to undergo a shoulder operation for bursitis at Wesley Memorial Hospital on the morning of 2/18/65.

KRUSE, ALDERISIO and BRODKIN then continued discussion concerning the gambling case in Lake County. BRODKIN informed KRUSE "you have to appeal this. There is no other alternative. I'll see Judge [redacted] It is noted that Judge [redacted] is a United States District Court judge. It is not immediately known what BRODKIN feels Judge [redacted] might be able to do about this situation, but perhaps he feels that since the arrests were made on the basis of an FBI warrant, Judge [redacted] might be able to suggest some recourse.

BRODKIN then mentioned that RUBY KOLOD, one of his codefendants in the ITAR - EXTORTION case pending in Denver, Colorado, was in Chicago on 2/16/65 for a funeral. ALDERISIO mentioned that he himself had been in Florida over the weekend and that he was not in Chicago when [redacted] was. BRODKIN was

FD-36 (Rev. 5-22-64)

FBI

Date: 2/19/65

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

Mr. Tolson
Mr. Belmont
Mr. Mohr
Mr. DeLoach
Mr. Conrad
Mr. Rosen
Tele. Room
Miss Holmes
Miss Gandy

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (92-350 sub 11)

SUBJECT: MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka
AR

JUNE

SUMMARY

EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE EXERCISED IN THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION. IT SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE BODY OF A REPORT EVEN THOUGH PARAPHRASED. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED IN LEAD COVERAGE OR AS THE BASIS FOR AN INTERVIEW UNLESS SPECIFIC CLEARANCE IS OBTAINED FROM THE BUREAU AND FROM CHICAGO. THIS IS AN EXTREMELY DELICATE AND SENSITIVE SOURCE WHICH THE CHICAGO OFFICE IS MAKING EVERY EFFORT TO FULLY PROTECT.

(b)(2)

[redacted] furnished information concerning (b)(7)(c): (D) activities on 2/16/65.

At 1:48 P.M. on the above date, Chicago top hoodlums, MURRAY HUMPHREYS, RALPH PIERCE, and LES KRUSE, arrived. KRUSE asked BRODKIN whether he had a few minutes to discuss a problem which he has up in Lake County, Illinois. KRUSE described that in 3/64 the State Police made a gambling raid based on FBI warrants forsix or seven keepers of a gambling establishment in Highwood, Illinois. KRUSE advised that these individuals had been convicted and that they would be sentenced on 2/17/65. It is obvious that KRUSE is interested in the arrest of [redacted] et al. BRODKIN assured that, "I have a kid up there who can help out if you need him. But be careful not to make a bad move up there." KRUSE indicated that he was interested in attacking the warrant. BRODKIN indicated that he would have ten days after the sentencing to file an appeal and that the case would then go to the Illinois State Appellate Court, KRUSE indicated that he would be able to get a transcript of the court record and bring it to BRODKIN on 2/17/65.

1286

3 - Bureau (RM)
1 - Springfield (RM)
1 - New York (RM)
1 - Chicago

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

6 MAR 5 1965
[redacted]
(6)

(b)(7)(c)

At this point, HUMPHREYS entered the room, apparently having delayed in the outer office for approximately ten minutes. Approximately a minute after HUMPHREYS entered, the TV was turned on loudly.

BRODKIN informed HUMPHREYS that he himself is considering going down to Sppingfield, Illinois, the state capital, to direct the strategy which HUMPHREYS has master-minded against anti-crime bills which are pending there. HUMPHREYS took issue with BRODKIN in this respect and told him that under no conditions would he be allowed to go to Springfield and that he should stay in the background. HUMPHREYS indicated that "I can't afford to have you appear down there. The papers would like to make a big play about that."

BRODKIN mentioned that [redacted] and [redacted] Chicago attorneys, were going down to Sppingfield on 2/17/65 and that he believed that [redacted] of the Chicago Chapter of the Defense Attorneys Association, is also going down to Springfield on 2/17/65.

HUMPHREYS then apparently gave money to BRODKIN to cover the expenses of these individuals for the trip to Springfield and back.

BRODKIN then contacted [redacted] and confirmed that he was going to Springfield with [redacted] on 2/17/65. [redacted] apparently informed BRODKIN that [redacted]." BRODKIN indicated that he was happy about this because, "I am not sure of this guy." BRODKIN advised [redacted] not to worry about expenses. He told him that as soon as he arrives in Springfield, [redacted] is to call [redacted] at the State House Inn, 101 East Adams, Springfield, phone number [redacted] BRODKIN again cautioned [redacted] that he is particularly interested in getting the bills concerning gambling immunity and wire tapping, thrown out and also about the one concerning the extension of time of the Grand Jury.

It is noted that [redacted] is an Illinois State Representative who is sponsored by the regular Democratic organization of the First Ward of Chicago. It, therefore, follows that he can be expected to give support to any interest of the hoodlum organization in Chicago.

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(C):(D)

At this point HUMPHREYS advised that he had noticed that there was a Yellow Cab parked in a parking lot located across the street from BRODKIN's office. He believed that there were two males in this cab and that it had been parked there for an unusually long time. He informed BRODKIN that he was to check into this matter with the owner of the lot, who is [redacted] son-in-law." It is noted that [redacted] the son-in-law of Chicago top hoodlum, [redacted] is the owner of the parking lot located across the street from BRODKIN's office.

III,

It is noted that [redacted] on 2/17/65 advised that HUMPHREYS and HY GODFREY discussed the fact that they felt that the above instance indicated that the FBI was surveilling BRODKIN's office. HUMPHREYS, according to [redacted], cautioned GODFREY to inform HUMPHREYS' associates as to this possibility. However, he did not give any instructions to GODFREY indicating that Chicago hoodlums were not to frequent BRODKIN's office.

The assembled group then turned their attention to the Cook County Criminal Courts Jury Panel. PIERCE mentioned that juror No. 312 is the son of Chicago attorney [redacted] He, therefore, felt that, since this individual works for the Chicago Transit Authority and since he is the son of an attorney, he would not be put on the jury. They then mentioned that someone who works in Portland, Texas, but who resides in Cook County is also on the list and that juror No. 38 is named [redacted] PIERCE expressed the opinion that "the Feds will try to get off." This apparently refers to information previously obtained that there is someone who is employed by the Treasury Department is on this list. Obviously what the hoodlums refer to here is to those jurors who might be selected to hear the local insurance fraud trial of Chicago top hoodlum [redacted]

III,

BRODKIN then made a call to [redacted] above. He instructed [redacted] that he was to meet both with [redacted] and [redacted] while in Springfield and that he was to "spare nothing." [redacted] has been identified above. [redacted] is a colored member of the Illinois State House of Representatives. He has an extremely good background, being a graduate of the [redacted] Law School. However, he is known to be under the influence of U.S. Congressman WILLIAM DAWSON and apparently he is corruptible.

(b)(7)(c)

HUMPHREYS then instructed BRODKIN to meet with the hoodlum group during the noon luncheon meeting on 2/17/65. He mentioned that the lunch would be "at Murphy's at 12 tomorrow, be there." This is believed to be a reference to Trader Vic's Restaurant in the Palmer House Hotel.

BRODKIN then advised that he had had the case before Judge [redacted] continued until 3/2/65. He commented that he believed that Judge [redacted] "must have drunk all weekend." It is noted that Judge [redacted] did not come to court on Monday, 2/15/65 and did not inform the attorneys and defendants that he would not be in until 1:00 in the afternoon on 2/16/65. The matter which has been continued until 3/2/65 is the [redacted] trial. It is noted that Chicago top hoodlum [redacted] requested BRODKIN to meet with Judge [redacted] and request a continuance of this case. At first, BRODKIN was unable to effect this continuance. However, the continuance has now been granted.

BRODKIN then made a phone call to [redacted] and requested him to call [redacted] He then told [redacted] that if he needs any expense money he should immediately come to BRODKIN's office. This would confirm the fact, as set out above, that HUMPHREYS provided BRODKIN money for the expenses in connection with the trip to Springfield.

BRODKIN then mentioned that HUMPHREYS has obtained the services of [redacted] who is working on the bills. [redacted] is a most influential officer with Local 46, Laundry Workers, Dry Cleaning and Dye House Workers Union.

HUMPHREYS departed at this point and later PIERCE, KRUSE and BRODKIN talked of what an outstanding person HUMPHREYS is.

PIERCE then indicated that HUMPHREYS was right that, if BRODKIN should go to Springfield, "You'd be labeled as a lobby for the gangsters."

BRODKIN then informed PIERCE and KRUSE that Chicago bookmaker [redacted] and [redacted] each donated $100 towards the testimonial dinner in honor of [redacted] PIERCE opined that [redacted] should contribute at least $25,000 to this

(b)(7)(c)

affair. [redacted] of the Chicago Office of the Illinois State Department of Revenue, "gave $500 and he doesn't even know [redacted]." PIERCE claimed that he had talked to HUMPHREYS about getting to [redacted] and having him pay [redacted] complete bill, but HUMPH wouldn't go along with this." It is noted that [redacted] has been convicted for bribery of New York State Liquor Authority officials and that he protected Chicago public official, [redacted] who was the principle behind [redacted] in this affair. A testimonial dinner for [redacted] is being thrown at the Sherman House Hotel as a method of raising money for the legal expenses of [redacted] in New York City.

At 2:45 P.M., PIERCE and KRUSE departed indicating that they would see MIKE "at Murphy's for lunch tomorrow."

Later Cook County Circuit Judge [redacted] and [redacted] arrived. BRODKIN then made a phone call to his wife and advised her that Judges [redacted] and [redacted] were then in his office and that he would meet her that night at 11:00 P.M. at the White Hall Club. It appeared possible that Judges [redacted] and [redacted] were to be the dinner companions of the BRODKINS. The White Hall Club is one of the most exclusive private dining clubs in Chicago. BRODKIN is known to be a member of this club.

At 3:00 P.M., HY GODFREY, bodyguard and chauffeur of the HUMPHREYS group arrived. Apparently he had been contacted by HUMPHREYS and informed of a suspicious looking Yellow Cab which was parked in a parking lot as noted by HUMPHREYS above. Apparently HUMPHREYS had instructed GODFREY to investigate this situation. GODFREY indicated that he was unable to find out anything about the Yellow Cab but during his investigation noticed that there was a "suspicious looking parked car in the alley." He then mentioned that, "They followed RALPH yesterday." BRODKIN informed GODFREY, however, that the automobile in the alley which he must be speaking of is parked in that alley everyday and that it is nothing new and, therefore, nothing to be concerned about.

At 3:36 P.M., [redacted] arrived and was given $50 by BRODKIN for expense money in connection with his trip to Springfield. [redacted] informed BRODKIN that he has been in Springfield before on similar situations and that he knows "how to operate down there." He then made a telephone call and made reservations on the Illinois Central Queen Diamond to leave from the 12th Street Station in Chicago at *8:00 A.M. on 2/17/65. He also made reservation on this train for return.

EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE EXERCISED IN THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION. IT SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE BODY OF A REPORT EVEN THOUGH PARAPHRASED. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED IN LEAD COVERAGE OR AS THE BASIS FOR AN INTERVIEW UNLESS SPECIFIC CLEARANCE IS OBTAINED FROM THE BUREAU AND FROM CHICAGO. THIS IS AN EXTREMELY DELICATE AND SENSITIVE SOURCE WHICH THE CHICAGO OFFICE IS MAKING EVERY EFFORT TO FULL PROTECT.

FD-36 (Rev. 5-22-64)

FBI

Date: 2/24/65

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (92-350 SUB 11) JUNE

SUBJECT: MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka.
AR

REC 33

SUMMARY

[redacted] furnished the following information concerning activities on 2/18/65: (b)(2) (b)(7)(C); (D)

At 1:26 p.m., on the above date, [redacted] one of the top lieutenants of Chicago Top Hoodlum FRANK LA PORTE, arrived. He was obviously there in order to receive the list of members of the jury panel of the Cook County Criminal Courts. It is noted that Chicago hoodlums for the last two weeks have been actively interested in attempting to explore avenues of approach to prospective jurors in the state case against [redacted] Chicago Top Hoodlum RALPH PIERCE either arrived simultaneously with [redacted] or brought [redacted] to BRODKIN's office. PIERCE informed [redacted] that Chicago Top Hoodlum MURRAY HUMPHREYS had already sent two of these lists to the LA PORTE group and that [redacted] and LA PORTE are to look at this list. [redacted] advised PIERCE that LA PORTE may return to Chicago the following day but this is not at all certain. [redacted] then requested that BRODKIN mail the list to him because he was afraid of being caught with the list on his person. [redacted] then gave BRODKIN an address in Richton Park, Illinois, a South suburb of Chicago.

REC 33

3 - Bureau
1 - Chicago

[redacted]
(4)

8

C C - Wick

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M
Special Agent in Charge

6 MAR 5 1965

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(c):(D)

While PIERCE and [redacted] remained in BRODKIN's office, Chicago Top Hoodlum [redacted] arrived. [redacted] then advised that two of his subordinates had been arrested locally for bookmaking and mentioned the name [redacted] as being one of these individuals.

[redacted] then made a telephone call to [redacted] the secretary at Co-op Music, Chicago Heights, Illinois. [redacted] furnished the other side of this conversation; however, it was desultory.

PIERCE then asked [redacted] how [redacted] was. This refers to Chicago Gambler [redacted] PIERCE indicated that he had not talked to him recently.

As [redacted] and PIERCE left, PIERCE mentioned that he would see [redacted] the following day at the noon luncheon meeting of the HUMPHREYS group which on that day would be at Jacques Restaurant, 900 North Michigan, Chicago.

BRODKIN then exhibited a copy of the notes which he had made of the grand jury testimony in New York in the [redacted] case. He then indulged in his customary boasting of how he has protected the [redacted] brothers by insuring that [redacted] does not testify against the [redacted] in this situation. It is noted that BRODKIN, to the knowledge of the source, has now shown his notes of the grand jury testimony to approximately a half a dozen top hoodlums with his ever present boast of the protection he is affording the [redacted]

[redacted] and BRODKIN then discussed the possibility of spending ten or twelve days in Florida around the middle of March. From this conversation it appears that [redacted] has made a definite decision to travel to Florida at this time and it appeared that he was encouraging BRODKIN to go with him. It is noted that [redacted] is perhaps

(b)(7)(c)

BRODKIN's closest friend.

[redacted] then talked on the telephone to TONI BRODKIN, the wife of MIKE BRODKIN, and informed her about the possibility of this trip and perhaps facetiously, suggested to her that she can go to Florida with [redacted] and that MIKE BRODKIN could then join them when he finishes with [redacted] in New York City. BRODKIN then got on the phone and described the dress of [redacted] that day: black striped suit, black tie, black handkerchief and a beautiful sun tan. It is noted that [redacted] has just returned this week from Miami. [redacted] then again took the phone from BRODKIN and informed TONI BRODKIN that MIKE had "better be nice to me because if he's not I'll go out with [redacted] instead."

At 1:53 p.m., an unknown individual telephoned BRODKIN obviously looking for RALPH PIERCE. BRODKIN informed him that PIERCE had left. [redacted] then suggested to BRODKIN that he informed the caller that RALPH was going to meet HUMP at the book store at the corner of Clark and Washington in Chicago.

Very shortly thereafter, [redacted] called BRODKIN looking for HUMPHREYS. [redacted] is the [redacted] of Local 46 of the Laundry, Dry Cleaning and Dye House Workers Union in Chicago. BRODKIN informed [redacted] that [redacted] was on the phone looking for HUMPHREYS. After conversation with [redacted] BRODKIN informed [redacted] that "[redacted] will relay the message that you want to see that fellow. He is going to meet that fellow on the street at 6:30. Give me his number and I'll have him call you after 6:30." [redacted] apparently gave the number DE 2-6463 which BRODKIN copied and then gave to [redacted] with the understanding that [redacted] would have HUMPHREYS call [redacted] between 6:30 and 7:00 at the above number. It is noted that the above number

(b)(7)(c)

is listed to the 181 Grill, 181 West Madison Street, Chicago. It is not known that this is a hangout of [redacted] but since he had the telephone number ready, this apparently is the case.

At 2:02 p.m., on 2/18/65, [redacted] was heard to say something about Judge [redacted] BRODKIN then made a telephone call, apparently to the chambers of United States District Judge [redacted] without identifying himself, it being obvious that he is on very friendly terms with the bailiff or secretary who answered the phone, BRODKIN was informed that Judge [redacted] was not in his chambers or on his bench at that time.

During desultory conversation which followed, [redacted] was believed to have informed BRODKIN that [redacted] it was not certain that this is what [redacted] said. This remark prompted [redacted] to say: [redacted]"

It appears possible that [redacted] is attempting to visit Greece with HUMPHREYS in June. It is noted that [redacted] has relatives in Greece and frequently visits there.

At 2:10 p.m., Chicago Attorney [redacted] arrived. It is noted that [redacted] is being used by the HUMPHREYS group to oppose the anti-crime bills which are presently pending in the Illinois General Assembly. On the previous day, 2/17/65, he was sent to Springfield to testify against these bills. When he arrived, [redacted] kidded him about [redacted]."

[redacted] advised that he has been going to Springfield on similar missions for over thirty years, but he's never seen anything like yesterday. [redacted]" [redacted] advised that although other speakers

(b)(7)(c)

have been given up to 45 minutes to voice their support of each individual bill, they gave [redacted] only two minutes to talk against the entire package of anti-crime bills.

[redacted] mentioned the opinion that State Representatives [redacted] and [redacted] [redacted]. [redacted] expressed interest in the immunity bill and in the gambling bill.

[redacted] advised that he had suggested to [redacted], above, that [redacted]. [redacted] then mentioned someone whose name was inaudible to the source who was close to someone on the committee which caused BRODKIN to comment "that (obscene) ought to be with us. You know who he is, his partner is [redacted] I did a million (obscene) favors for that guy. When he had trouble over at the County Hospital, I covered up for him." This refers obviously to the son of [redacted]

[redacted] then advised that he had been in contact with Representative [redacted] in Springfield. He noted that he had driven back to Chicago from Springfield with [redacted] He advised that [redacted] It is noted that it was [redacted] instructions given to him by HUMPHREYS through BRODKIN that he contact [redacted] when he arrived in Springfield, [redacted] being sponsored by the First Ward Regular Democratic Organization of Chicago and therefore subject to the influence of the hoodlum organization in Chicago.

BRODKIN then advised [redacted] that [redacted] is very close to Justice ARTHUR GOLDBERG of the United States

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

3 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552

☐ (b)(1)
☐ (b)(2)
☐ (b)(3)
_____
_____
_____
☐ (b)(4)
☐ (b)(5)
☐ (b)(6)
☐ (b)(7)(A)
☐ (b)(7)(B)
☑ (b)(7)(C)
☐ (b)(7)(D)
☐ (b)(7)(E)
☐ (b)(7)(F)
☐ (b)(8)
☐ (b)(9)

Section 552a

☐ (d)(5)
☐ (j)(2)
☐ (k)(1)
☐ (k)(2)
☐ (k)(3)
☐ (k)(4)
☐ (k)(5)
☐ (k)(6)
☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1287 (pgs 6 thru 8)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

1 - Office, 7133
1 - Mr. [redacted]
1 - Mr. [redacted]

2-24-65

Airtel

To: SAC, Chicago

From: Director, FBI

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS;
ANTIRACKETEERING

ReBuairtel 1-28-65.

Enclosed for your information is self-explanatory literature plus two photographs of the "Tear Gas Watchdog," an electrical tear gas system sold by Chariot Firearms, Incorporated, 65 Broad Street, Stamfprd, Connecticut. This company was formerly known as Tear Gas Devices. Also enclosed is literature concerning the BT-1, a te gas device sold by Penguin Associates, Incorporated, Malvern, Pennsylvania.

As pointed out in reBuairtel, based on the limited descriptive data available to your office concerning the tear gas device mentioned by subject Humphreys, it appears the device referred to by Humphreys may be the "Tear Gas Watchdog."

An official of Chariot Firearms, Incorporated, has advised the New Haven Office that the "Tear Gas Watchdog" cartridge is manufactured by Penguin Associates, Incorporated, and is identical to the BT-1 cartridge explained in the enclosed literature. This official stated the "Tear Gas Watchdog" is sold in various areas of the United States through mail order requests and is a very new device.

(b)(7)(C)

Enclosures (4)

[redacted]

92-3088-1288

MAR 1 1965

NOTE: The Chicago Office previously learned through a highly confic tial source that subject Humphreys, a Chicago hoodlum, had reportedly obtained a tear gas device to prevent surreptitiou entrance into businesses and residences through doors and windows. Chicago requested a check with the Laboratory to determine nature of this device. ReBuairtel advised Chicago the device may be the "Tear Gas Watchdog" and advised that the Laboratory was attempting to obtain additional data concerning this device. Desired information has just been received from the New Haven Office.

[redacted] (6)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

7:43 CST DEFERRED 3-1-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 012312

Anti-racketeering

[redacted]

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED FEBRUARY 27 HY GODFREY REPORTED NEGATIVELY ON HIS PROGRESS OF DETERMINING METHOD OF CONTACT OF JURORS WHO ARE ON COOK COUNTY CRIMINAL COURTS JURY PANEL. [redacted] TRIAL SCHEDULED TO COMMENCE MARCH 2 NEXT.

ON FEBRUARY 28 HUMPHREYS HAD BREAKFAST MEETING WITH [redacted]. DURING MEETING HUMPHREYS AND [redacted] VISITED BY SEVERAL LOCAL JUDGES AND POLITICIANS WHO HAPPENED TO BE HAVING BREAKFAST SAME RESTAURANT. [redacted] INFORMED HUMPHREYS HE MADE $800,000 FROM PRACTICE OF LAW LAST YEAR. [redacted] HAS FIVE CARS INCLUDING ROLLS ROYCE. ALTHOUGH RESIDENCE IS NOW IN CALIFORNIA, [redacted] MAINTAINS APARTMENT HERE AT RENTAL $1,000 PER MONTH.

ON FEBRUARY 28 HUMPHREYS INSTRUCTED ASSOCIATE [redacted] TO CONTACT PERSON SOURCE UNABLE TO IDENT RE ANTI CRIME BILLS. ON MARCH 1 [redacted] REPORTED BACK HE HAD MADE CONTACT AND PERSON REPORTED THAT HIS CONTACT, OBVIOUSLY A LEGISLATOR, ADVISED WIRE TAPPING BILL DEFINITELY "IS DEAD." HUMPHREYS OBTAINED GREAT SATISFACTION FROM THIS. HOWEVER, HE CAUTIONED THAT FIGHT IS NOT OVER AND THAT FIGHT VS. OTHER ANTI CRIME BILLS, ESPECIALLY IMMUNITY BILL, MUST CONTINUE. NOTED MY DAILY SUMMARY RECENTLY MENTIONED LABOR LEADER HUMPHREYS REQUESTED

92-3000

REC-106

70 MAR 11 1965

TO MAR 13 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

PAGE TWO FROM CHICAGO 012312 (b)(7)(c)

[redacted] TO CONTACT RE BILLS. CHICAGO NOW ABLE TO IDENT THIS PERSON AS MIKE KENNEDY OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS. HUMPHREYS AND [redacted] ATTEMPTED TO CONTACT KENNEDY TOGETHER FEBRUARY 26 BUT COULD NOT MAKE CONNECTION.

ON MARCH 1 HUMPHREYS INDICATED HE WOULD CONTACT [redacted] OF MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES UNION HERE THAT DATE RE ANTI CRIME BILLS. AIRMAIL INFO COPY LOS ANGELES AND SPRINGFIELD.

RECEIVED: 9:10 PM [redacted]

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

(Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

7:31 PM URGENT 2-27-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR, CHICAGO, AND MIAMI
FROM DETROIT 272230

(F)(7)(C): [redacted]

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS; AR; DAILY SUMMARY; OO:CHICAGO.

REURTEL, FEBRUARY 27, INSTANT.

REC 20

DURING PERTINENT PERIOD [redacted]

FOR THE INFORMATION OF MIAMI, [redacted] WAS IN CHICAGO, ILL. ON FEBRUARY 22, LAST, AND INCCONTACT WITH [redacted] AND [redacted]

MURRAY HUMPHREYS INDICATED ON FEBRUARY 22, LAST, HE INTENDED TO MEET WITH SOMEONE ON LAKE ST. CHICAGO HOODLUM ATTORNEY [redacted] HAD BEEN IN CONTACT WITH PHIL ALDERISO IN CHICAGO BEFORE AND AFTER FEBRUARY 22, LAST REGARDING A POSSIBLE CONTACT WITH SOMEONE IN GROSSE POINTE, MICH.

MIAMI ATTEMPT TO FOLLOW CORRADO'S ACTIVITIES AND ADVISE DETROIT, CHICAGO, AND BUREAU.

RECEIVED: 7:42 PM [redacted]

REC 20

12-3077-1290

21 MAR 3 1965

EX. - 109

F146

67 MAR 12 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

6 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552

☐ (b)(1)
☐ (b)(2)
☐ (b)(3)
_____
_____
_____
☐ (b)(4)
☐ (b)(5)
☐ (b)(6)
☐ (b)(7)(A)
☐ (b)(7)(B)
☑ (b)(7)(C)
☐ (b)(7)(D)
☐ (b)(7)(E)
☐ (b)(7)(F)
☐ (b)(8)
☐ (b)(9)

Section 552a

☐ (d)(5)
☐ (j)(2)
☐ (k)(1)
☐ (k)(2)
☐ (k)(3)
☐ (k)(4)
☐ (k)(5)
☐ (k)(6)
☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1291 thru 1292

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

6:30 PM CST DEFERRED 3-2-65 [redacted]

TO DIRECTOR

FROM CHICAGO 022051

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY. (b)(7)(C) REC 61

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HUMPHREYS INSTRUCTED ASSOCIATE [redacted] TO CONTACT BUSTER WORTMAN EVENING MARCH 1 AND MAKE SURE "HE IS PUSHING ON THE SENATE" ON ANTI CRIME BILLS. [redacted] REPORTED AM MARCH 2 HE CONTACTED WORTMAN AS INSTRUCTED BY USING CODE NAME JACK WEINER, A MUTUAL FRIEND WHO HAS BEEN DECEASED THREE YEARS. TOLD WORTMAN HUMPHREYS DEPENDING ON HIM AND WAS ASSURED WORTMAN WOULD DO EVERYTHING IN HIS POWER. REASON HUMPHREYS INTERESTED IN ILLINOIS STATE SENATE IS STATE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES PASSED EIGHT ANTI CRIME BILLS MARCH 1. THESE INCLUDED IMMUNITY BILL, WHICH IS BILL HUMPHREYS MOST AFRAID OF, AS WELL AS EXTENDING LIFE OF GRAND JURIES, INCREASING MAXIMUM SENTENCE FOR GAMBLING CONVICTION TO FIVE YEARS AND MAKING JUICE OPERATIONS A FELONY. HOUSE, HOWEVER, DID NOT PASS WIRETAPPING BILL WHICH IS STILL IN COMMITTEE. HUMPHREYS STILL HAS CHANCE TO BLOCK BILLS IF HE CAN INFLUENCE SUFFICIENT NUMBER OF SENATORS. INDICATED HE FELL FOUR SHORT IN HOUSE.

INDICATED HE WAS INTRODUCED TO CHICAGO FIRE COMMISSIONER [redacted] BY [redacted] RECENTLY BUT DID NOT INDICATE PURPOSE OF CONTACT.

HUMPHREYS COMMENTED [redacted] IS CLOSE TO [redacted] [redacted] MET HER THROUGH FIRST WIFE

92-3088-1213

REC 61

MAR 3 1965

FILE

MAR 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

PAGE TWO FROM CHICAGO 022051

(b)(7)(c)

WHO MODELED WITH [redacted]

ON AM MARCH 2 HUMPHREYS INSTRUCTED GODFREY TO MAKE CONTACT [redacted] TO DETERMINE [redacted] KNOWLEDGE OF LOBBYIST NAMED [redacted] OF CHICAGO WHO HAS CONSIDERABLE INFLUENCE IN ILLINOIS GENERAL ASSEMBLY.. HUMPHREYS DESIRES TO USE [redacted] WITH SENATORS. HUMPHREYS GROUP MET EVENING OF MARCH 1 AS HAS BECOME THEIR WEEKLY SCHEDULE AT PETE FISH'S RESTAURANT.

AM INFO COPY SPRINGFIELD.

RECEIVED: 9:57 PM [redacted]

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

8:47 PM CST DEFERRED 2-26-65 [redacted]

(b)(7)(c)

TO DIRECTOR AND DETROIT

FROM CHICAGO 270058

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY. REC-44

[redacted] ADVISED SA [redacted]

[redacted]

NOTED HUMPHREYS INDICATED FEBRUARY 22 HE INTENDED TO MEET SOMEONE ON LAKE STREET THAT DATE, POSSIBLY WITH ABOVE GROUP.

[redacted]

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED FEBRUARY 25 AND 26 [redacted] CHICAGO HOODLUM ATTORNEY, CONTACTED CHICAGO TOP HOODLUM PHIL ALDERISIO SOMETIME EARLY THIS WEEK. ON FEBRUARY 25 ALDERISIO TELEPHONICALLY CONTACTED [redacted] AND ADVISED HE WOULD DO WHAT [redacted] PREVIOUSLY HAD ASKED HIM TO DO AND WOULD HAVE DONE IT SOONER "IF YOU HAD TOLD ME WHO IT WAS FOR." ON FEBRUARY 26 ALDERISIO AGAIN IN CONVERSATION WITH [redacted] SUGGESTED [redacted] MAKE PHONE CALL IN ATTEMPT TO REACH SOME ONE IN GROSSE POINT. NOTED [redacted]

REC-44

16 MAR 3 1965

70 MAR 11 1965

EX-103

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

PAGE TWO FROM CHICAGO 270058

(b)(7)(c)

[redacted] FROM ABOVE APPEARS [redacted] IN CHICAGO THIS WEEK IN CONTACT [redacted], [redacted] AND POSSIBLY HUMPHREYS AND TWO NEW YORK HOODLUM FIGURES BUT APPARENTLY NOW HAS RETURNED TO GROSSE POINT PARK.

DETROIT ADVISE IF [redacted] KNOWN TO HAVE BEEN RECENTLY IN CHICAGO.

AM INFO COPY NEW YORK.

RECEIVED: 11:59 PM [redacted]

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

NA 3/3/65

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

1:49 PM CST DEFERRED 3-3-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 031625

Anti-racketeering

[redacted]

(b)(7)(c)

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

REC-1 9[redacted]

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HUMPHREYS MENTIONED MARCH 3 "I GOT TO [redacted] SUNDAY." QUOTE WAS DURING CONVERSATION PERTAINING TO HUMPHREYS' EFFORTS TO BLOCK ANTI-CRIME BILLS IN SENATE AND WAS FOLLOWED BY TALK OF SENATORS UNDER INFLUENCE OF U.S. CONGRESSMAN WILLIAM DAWSON, COLORED. IT THEREFORE APPEARS HUMPHREYS REFERS TO STATE SENATOR [redacted] COLORED , OF CHICAGO'S SOUTH SIDE.

HUMPHREYS THEN REFERRED TO SPEECH STATE SENATOR ANTHONY DE TOLVE ALLEGEDLY MADE MARCH 2 ON FLOOR OF SENATE "DID YOU HEAR WHAT THAT SILLY DE TOLVE SAID. HE SAID THE FBI IS HARRASSING THE SO CALLED SYNDICATE LEADERS IN CHICAGO SO MUCH THEY CAN'T EVEN VIOLATE A TRAFFIC LAW. WHY, THAT STUPID (OBSCENE) DON'T HE KNOW SOME PEOPLE WILL THINK THAT'S A COMPLIMENT FOR THE FBI."

HUMPHREYS THEN DISCUSSED RECALL OF SAM GIANCANA BEFORE NEW YORK GRAND JURY. EXPRESSED OPINION "NEW YORK IS A LOT TOUGHER THAN HERE."

HUMPHREYS ALSO DISCUSSED CIVIL CONTEMPT CITATION OF [redacted] WITH EXPLANATION [redacted] COULD BE HELD FOR LIFE UNDER SUCH PROCEDURE.

GODFREY BROUGHT SOME TYPE PAPERS TO HUMPHREYS MARCH 3 RE

REC-1 92-3088-1295

8 50 PM 22 MAR 5 1965

67 MAR 12 1965
FIYG

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

PAGE TWO FROM CHICAGO 031625

(b)(7)(c)

[redacted] SITUATION IN NEW YORK. THIS PROMPTED HUMPHREYS TO REVIEW LAW OF PERTAINING TO EAVESDROPPING. CONCERNED THAT LAW ONLY PROHIBITS BREAKING AND ENTERING OR PENETRATION OF WALLS. WAS THEN GIVEN REPORT OF WHAT HE CALLED "THE SPIKE ISSUE" REFERRING TO SPIKE TYPE MIKES. THIS REPORT PERTAINED TO SITUATION WHERE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY APPARENTLY ENTERED A GAS STATION AND ESTABLISHED MICROPHONIC COVERAGE.

HUMPHREYS GROUP WITHOUT RALPH PIERCE SCHEDULED MEETING WITH ANTHONY ACCARDO AND POSSIBLY [redacted] MARCH 3 DURING NOON HOUR AT JACQUES RESTAURANT, NEAR NORTH SIDE.

RECEIVED: 3:04 PM [redacted]

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 Rev. (12-14-64)

NA 3/4/65

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

4:22 PM CST DEFERRED 3-4-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 041843

Gandy

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

(b)(7)(c)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HUMPHREYS LEFT HIS APARTMENT UNUSUALLY EARLY MARCH 4 TO BE CHAUFFEURED TO MEET WITH UNIDENTIFIED PERSON NEAR "AIRPORT" IN CHICAGO.

HUMPHREYS THEN WILL BE CHAUFFEURED TO MEETING WITH [redacted] HUMPHREYS GROUP THEN INTENDED TO HAVE DAILY LUNCHEON MEETING AT STOUFFER'S RESTAURANT IN LOOP.

GODFREY REPLENISHED HUMPHREYS MONEY SUPPLY MARCH 4. AMOUNT NOT DETERMINED.

RECEIVED: 5:32 PM [redacted]

REC-40 92-3088-129

3 MAR 8 1965

Intel CB [redacted] 3-5-65

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

3/5/65

1 - Mr. [redacted]

AIRTEL

REC-40 92-3088 1296

TO: SAC, Chicago

FROM: Director, FBI

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka.
AR

Reurtel 3/4/65.

(b)(7)(c)

Retel and other recent communications have made reference to Godfrey furnishing money to Humphreys under circumstances indicating that he may be the source through which part of Chicago hoodlum funds are channeled and distributed.

If not already done, appropriate efforts should be made to determine further details as to Godfrey's handling of hoodlum funds with a view to adding to information already available details as to the specific origin, channelization, distribution and other pertinent facts concerning the handling of underworld finances in the Chicago area.

The Bureau should be kept advised of results of your inquiry in this regard.

[redacted]

(4)

MAILED 6
MAR - 5 1965
COMM-FBI

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

MAIL ROOM TELETYPE UNIT

FD-36 (Rev. 5-22-64)

FBI

Date: 2/26/65

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (92-350 SUB 11) JUNE

SUBJECT: MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka
AR

REC 41

SUMMARY

EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE EXERCISED IN THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION. IT SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE BODY OF A REPORT EVEN THOUGH PARAPHRASED. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED IN LEAD COVERAGE OR AS THE BASIS FOR AN INTERVIEW UNLESS SPECIFIC CLEARANCE IS OBTAINED FROM THE BUREAU AND FROM CHICAGO. THIS IS AN EXTREMELY DELICATE AND SENSITIVE SOURCE WHICH THE CHICAGO OFFICE IS MAKING EVERY EFFORT TO FULLY PROTECT.

(b)(2)

[redacted] furnished information concerning activities on 2/22/65: (b)(7)(C); (D)

Shortly after MIKE BRODKIN arrived in his office at 9:27 a.m., on the above date, he requested his secretary to place a telephone call to either [redacted] or [redacted] in New York City". [redacted] is a New York defense attorney and [redacted] is a former Assistant District Attorney in New York County who, several months ago, went to work in [redacted] office. When the call was completed, BRODKIN informed [redacted] that he would be in New York late that afternoon and that he would call him either at the office or at [redacted] home when he got there. He also informed [redacted]

3 - Bureau
1 - New York
1 - Miami
1 - Chicago

[redacted]
(6)

[redacted] 92-

16 MAR 3 1965

Approved: _____ Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

6 MAR 1 1965

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(c):(D)

that he had been attempting to contact [redacted] as apparently he had been requested to do by [redacted] However, [redacted] has been "ducking Brodkin". It is known that BRODKIN has been attempting to locate [redacted] who also has offices in the same building that BRODKIN has. [redacted] is a former Chicago bookmaker who many years ago accepted an oil lease in Texas in payment for a gambling debt. The lease turned out to be on land which was extremely productive and [redacted] is now a legitimate Chicago millionaire. No information is available as to why [redacted] desires that BRODKIN contact [redacted]

At 1:05 p.m., BRODKIN called Pete Fish's Restaurant and asked to talk to Chicago Top Hoodlum [redacted] When he apparently was informed that [redacted] was busy, he asked to talk to "Ralph Pierce or anyone of them." When he apparently was put in contact with one of the Chicago hoodlums who was then meeting at Fish's Restaurant, as confirmed by [redacted] on 2/22/65, he asked that the group wait for him because he had to leave town at 3:00 and that he wanted to meet with them prior thereto. He learned that they were meeting in the private dining room located on the third floor of Pete Fish's Restaurant.

At 2:08 p.m., on 2/22/65, Chicago Top Hoodlum RALPH PIERCE and an individual who later appeared to be identical with [redacted] a front for Chicago hoodlums in the Simon Meat Company and several months ago in the C and B Meat Company, arrive. The unknown individual complained that he had been crucified in the Chicago newspapers as a [redacted]. He asked BRODKIN's advice as to whether he should bring a libel suit against the "Chicago Sun Times". PIERCE mentioned that he at that time had an appointment with [redacted]

(b)(7)(c)

a well known Chicago attorney who has represented HUMPHREYS and others for civil liberties type actions, but did not suggest to [redacted] that [redacted] consult with [redacted]

PIERCE then gave the individual believed identical with [redacted] a list of the prospective jurors in the [redacted] Criminal Courts of Cook County case. PIERCE instructed this person not to make any contacts directly with the persons on the list "but just see how close you can get to them." [redacted] commented that there were people from all over Cook County on the list and that he could find no one living near him but that there were a couple of persons on the list from Lincolnwood which is close to where he lives. This fact contributes to the tentative identification of this person as [redacted] resides at [redacted] Street, which is on the far near North Side of Chicago near Lincolnwood.

Following the departure of PIERCE and [redacted] Chicago Top Hoodlum PHIL ALDERISIO and Chicago Attorney [redacted] entered. They indicated that they were waiting the arrival of Chicago Top Jewel Thief IRVING HORNSTEIN, the leading jewel fence in Chicago. [redacted] advised ALDERISIO that BRODKIN had just left for New York City on a 3:00 flight. He informed ALDERISIO that HORNSTEIN had called him on Saturday, 2/20/65, asking him for $25,000 in cash. [redacted] indicated that HORNSTEIN advised that he had $10,000 but needed an additional $25,000 to purchase some merchandise. [redacted] indicated that he was willing to loan the money to HORNSTEIN but would have to wait until Tuesday morning, 2/23/65, when the banks would open in order to get the money. He indicated that the lot of jewelry which HORNSTEIN intended to buy contained several large stones, several bracelets and emeralds and one of the stones was an Alexander Right stone, it being noted that this is a specific type of diamond.

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

2 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552		Section 552a
☐ (b)(1)	☐ (b)(7)(A)	☐ (d)(5)
☐ (b)(2)	☐ (b)(7)(B)	☐ (j)(2)
☐ (b)(3)	☑ (b)(7)(C)	☐ (k)(1)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(D)	☐ (k)(2)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(E)	☐ (k)(3)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(F)	☐ (k)(4)
☐ (b)(4)	☐ (b)(8)	☐ (k)(5)
☐ (b)(5)	☐ (b)(9)	☐ (k)(6)
☐ (b)(6)		☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1297 (pgs 4+5)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

Rev. 5-22-64)

FBI

Date: 3/2/65

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (92-350 SUB 11) JUNE

SUBJECT: MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka.
AR

[redacted] (b)(2)
[redacted] (b)(7)(C); (D)

REC-41

SUMMARY

[redacted] furnished information concerning [redacted] activities on 2/25/65:

During the early afternoon of the above date, Chicago Top Hoodlum PHIL ALDERISIO arrived. He attempted to locate Attorney [redacted] at this time but was unable to do so. Later in the afternoon he again attempted to contact [redacted] and on this occasion was successful. He informed [redacted] that the number of the person he was interested in finding was in the book and that [redacted] should find this number and ALDERISIO would call him back the following day. ALDERISIO indicated to [redacted] that [redacted] should have told him who wanted this number. It is noted that on the next day this source indicated that ALDERISIO was again in touch with [redacted] and that [redacted] apparently informed him that he was unable to locate the telephone number ALDERISIO was interested in. However, ALDERISIO indicated that the number was listed and that he could call information for the number. This number was in "Grosse Point". In regard to this, it is noted that [redacted]

3 - Bureau
1 - Chicago

EX-117

92-1298

[redacted]
(4)

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

6 MAR 1 1965

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(C):(D)

[redacted] It is noted that [redacted] of the Continental Plaza Hotel in Chicago, is a very close associate of BRODKIN and HUMPHREYS along with many other Chicago hoodlums. It is noted that [redacted] has identified [redacted] It is also noted that [redacted] advised on 2/22/65, that HUMPHREYS intended to lunch that date with someone apparently from out of Chicago "on Lake Street". It is also noted that investigation conducted by the Detroit and Chicago Offices in 1960 established that [redacted] As a result of the above information, it can be concluded that ALDERISIO's purpose in contacting [redacted] was to obtain the telephone listing for [redacted]

Following the arrival of the leading jewel fence in Chicago, Chicago Top Jewel Thief IRVING HORNSTEIN, ALDERISIO and HORNSTEIN discussed a cache of jewelry which HORNSTEIN had in his possession. ALDERISIO pointed out a particular diamond that was in the load and indicated that he wanted it mounted on a size 6 ring. He also indicated a particular diamond which he wanted mounted on a size 6½ ring. They also examined some stones which ALDERISIO and

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

2 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552

☐ (b)(1)
☐ (b)(2)
☐ (b)(3)
_____
_____
_____
☐ (b)(4)
☐ (b)(5)
☐ (b)(6)
☐ (b)(7)(A)
☐ (b)(7)(B)
☑ (b)(7)(C)
☐ (b)(7)(D)
☐ (b)(7)(E)
☐ (b)(7)(F)
☐ (b)(8)
☐ (b)(9)

Section 552a

☐ (d)(5)
☐ (j)(2)
☐ (k)(1)
☐ (k)(2)
☐ (k)(3)
☐ (k)(4)
☐ (k)(5)
☐ (k)(6)
☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or the~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1298 (pgs 3 & 4)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

4:28 PM CST DEFERRED 3-5-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 051834.

REC-44

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

(F)(7)(C)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED MARCH 5 INSTANT HUMPHREYS INSTRUCTED HY GODFREY TO CONTACT [redacted] AND HAVE HIM STAND BY AT A PUBLIC PHONE AT 11:45 THAT DATE SO HUMPHREYS COULD CONTACT HIM FROM ANOTHER OUTSIDE PHONE. [redacted] LIEUTENANT OF WILLIAM DADDANO IN JUKEBOX FIELD IN LAKE AND MC HENRY COUNTY. HUMPHREYS INDICATED HE MAY WANT [redacted] TO CONTACT [redacted] POSSIBLY [redacted], CHICAGO HOODLUM NOW IN LABOR FIELD. PURPOSE POSSIBLY IN FURTHERANCE HUMPHREYS FIGHT VS ANTI CRIME BILLS IN WHICH HUMPHREYS USING ALL LABOR CONTACT AT HIS COMMAND.

HUMPHREYS GROUP SCHEDULED LUNCHEON MEETING AT "CHINAMAN'S" MARCH 5. THIS IS CODE NAME FOR TRADER VIC'S RESTAURANT IN PALMER HOUSE HOTEL. HUMPHREYS DOES NOT WANT [redacted] THERE APPARENTLY TO AVOID FURTHER ATTENTION. HUMPHREYS WANTS TO CONTACT [redacted] WASHINGTON, DC HOODLUM, TELEPHONICALLY MARCH 5. ASKED GODFREY FOR [redacted] NUMBER BUT COULD NOT DETERMINE IF [redacted] IS CORRECT NUMBER. IN THIS CONNECTION GODFREY MENTIONED HE CALLED [redacted] AT [redacted] MARCH 4 INDICATING [redacted] IS ASSOCIATE [redacted] OR POSSIBLY MEETING PLACE OF [redacted]. PURPOSE OF CONTACT IS "I WANT COPY OF THIS BOOK THEY JUST PUT OUT. [redacted] CAN GET IT". HUMPHREYS INDICATED HE HAD MEETING AT OFFICE [redacted] AT 10:00 AM AND THEN WOULD MEET GROUP AT "CHINAMAN'S".

REC-44
EX-117

92-3088-1277

F146

67 MAR 19 1965

MAR 8 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

PAGE TWO FROM CHICAGO 051834

HUMPHREYS FURNISHED MAID WITH TEAR GAS GUN FOR HER PERSONAL PROTECTION. CAN BE CARRIED IN POCKET OR PURSE, BLINDS PERSON FOR TEN MINUTES AND SPRAYS DYE WHICH CANNOT BE ERADICATED FOR COUPLE DAYS. HUMPHREYS PURCHASED TWO SUCH ITEMS IN DRUG STORE AND WILL USE ONE HIMSELF.

AM COPY WASHINGTON FIELD.

RECEIVED: 5:44 PM [redacted] (b)(7)(c)

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

5 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552		Section 552a
☐ (b)(1)	☐ (b)(7)(A)	☐ (d)(5)
☑ (b)(2)	☐ (b)(7)(B)	☐ (j)(2)
☐ (b)(3)	☑ (b)(7)(C)	☐ (k)(1)
_____	☑ (b)(7)(D)	☐ (k)(2)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(E)	☐ (k)(3)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(F)	☐ (k)(4)
☐ (b)(4)	☐ (b)(8)	☐ (k)(5)
☐ (b)(5)	☐ (b)(9)	☐ (k)(6)
☐ (b)(6)		☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1300

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

FD-36 (Rev. 10-29-63)

FBI

Date: 3/4/65

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (92-348)

SUBJECT: MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka.
AR

[redacted]

Re Bureau airtel 2/25/65, instructing Chicago to promptly furnish the Bureau with Chicago's observations and recommendations as to such dissemination which might be made to thwart the intensive efforts of HUMPHREYS which he is making to oppose the passage of anti-crime bills presently under consideration in the Illinois State Legislature.

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(C):(D)

The Chicago Office is unable to recommend that any dissemination be made concerning this situation. Chicago feels that all of the information being obtained in this regard is being obtained from [redacted]

The Chicago Office is also unable to advise of any specific situation which would be susceptible to exploitation by a friendly representative of the press or by a local law enforcement agency.

REC 61 93-3 11-1301

The Chicago Office will remain alert for any development in HUMPHREYS strategy and maneuvering which may enable the Chicago Office to recommend dissemination.

EX. - 109

2 MAR 8 1965

C.C. - Wick

3 - Bureau (Fni)
1 - Chicago

[redacted]
(4)

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

F146

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

4 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552		Section 552a
☐ (b)(1)	☐ (b)(7)(A)	☐ (d)(5)
☑ (b)(2)	☐ (b)(7)(B)	☐ (j)(2)
☐ (b)(3)	☑ (b)(7)(C)	☐ (k)(1)
_____	☑ (b)(7)(D)	☐ (k)(2)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(E)	☐ (k)(3)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(F)	☐ (k)(4)
☐ (b)(4)	☐ (b)(8)	☐ (k)(5)
☐ (b)(5)	☐ (b)(9)	☐ (k)(6)
☐ (b)(6)		☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1302

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

8:46 PM CST DEFERRED 3-8-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 082304

(b)(7)(c)

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. ~~AR.~~ Anti-Racketeering DAILY SUMMARY. REC-26

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED MIKE BRODKIN VISITED HUMPHREYS AM MARCH 6 LAST TO REPORT ON PROGRESS OF STATE CASE VS [redacted] NOTED BRODKIN INVOLVED AS DEFENSE ATTORNEY THIS CASE AND PRESENTLY PICKING JURORS KNOWING IN ADVANCE WHICH JURORS MAY BE SUBJECT TO APPROACH DUE TO PRELIMANARY WORK DONE BY LEADERS ORGANIZED CRIME HERE. BRODKIN ADVISED HUMPHREYS HE HAS TALKED REPEATEDLY TO JUDGE [redacted] PRESIDING OVER CASE. HOWEVER, ALL JUDGE [redacted] HAS COMMITTED HIMSELF TO IS PROMISE OF BEING FAIR AND NOT WANTING TO HURT ANYBODY. BRODKIN DOES NOT EXPECT ANY FAVORABLE TREATMENT FROM PROSECUTORS TRYING CASE.

HUMPHREYS AND BRODKIN THEN DISCUSSED FIGHT VS ANTI CRIME BILLS IN STATE LEGISLATURE. BOTH FEEL BILLS WILL BE PASSED AND THEIR EFFORTS WILL NOT OVERCOME INFLUENCE OF MAYOR DALEY OF CHICAGO ON LEGISLATORS, ESPECIALLY DEMOCRATIC LEGISLATORS.

HUMPHREYS AND BRODKIN BRIEFLY DISCUSSED RECENT RULING COOK COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE GEORGE LEIGHTON. LEIGHTON, WHO REPRESENTED GIANCANA WHEN HE SOUGHT TO ENJOIN FBI PHYSICAL SURVEILLANCES RULED ON MARCH 5 THAT CHICAGO POLICEMEN HAD NO RIGHT TO DRAW GUN ON DEFENDANT WHO WAS SUSPECTED OF SLASHING CITIZENS AND WHO HAD BROKEN BEER BOTTLE IN HAND. EVEN THOUGH DEFENDANTS SLASHED POLICEMAN IN FACE CAUSING 27 STITCHES AND THREE WEEKS HOSPITALIZATION LEIGHTON ACQUITTED THEM OF AGGRAVATED

REC-26 92-3088-1303

EX-100

11 MAR 10 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

PAGE TWO FROM CHICAGO 082304

(b)(7)(c)

BATTERY RULING "THEY DID THE HUMAN THING" AND ASKED "WHAT ELSE SHOULD A CITIZEN DO" WHEN CONFRONTED BY POLICE OFFICER WITH DRAWN GUN. BRODKIN DESCRIBED THIS RULING AS "A BEAUT" AND HUMPHREYS REMARKED "THERE'S A GUY WITH GUTS. HE DON'T CARE WHAT ANYBODY WOULD SAY.".

IN DISCUSSING TERRELL-MACHEN FIGHT WHICH BRODKIN WITNESSED PREVIOUS NIGHT, HUMPHREYS APPEARED TO FEEL "CHICAGO DECISION" GIVING UNPOPULAR DECISION TO TERRELL WAS EXPECTED. HOWEVER, NOTHING DEFINITELY SAID INDICATING FIGHT FIXED. NOTED ANOTHER SOURCE ADVISED IN JANUARY THAT FIGHT BEING HELD IN CHICAGO SINCE TERRELL OWNERS FELT TERRELL WOULD BE GIVEN DECISION IF FIGHT WENT 15 ROUNDS. GODFREY ALSO ATTENDED FIGHT AND SAT NEXT TO [redacted] MENTIONED CHICAGO ATTORNEY [redacted] WAS JUDGE AND ALTHOUGHT BOTH AGREED [redacted] FRIENDLY WITH THEM NEITHER APPEARED TO SUGGEST FIGHT FIXED THROUGH [redacted] THEY NOTED [redacted]

HY GODFREY BROUGHT MESSAGE TO HUMPHREYS MARCH 8 THAT BROTHER-IN-LAW OF HOODLUM [redacted], HAVING BEEN INDICTED LOCALLY FOR BRIBERY OF SHERIFF'S POLICE, WANTED HELP WITH CHIEF JUSTICE OF CRIMINAL COURTS, [redacted] HUMPHREYS INSTRUCTED GODFREY TO CONTACT [redacted] RE THIS.

HUMPHREYS CONCERNED OVER REPEATED APPEARANCES NEW YORK GRAND JURY SAM GIANCANA. ASKED GODFREY IF HE CONTACTED GIANCANA OVER WEEKEND AND IF SO WHO GIANCANA'S LAWYER IN NEW YORK IS. GODFREY STATED HE WAS IN CONTACT SATURDAY AFTERNOON MARCH 6 WITH GIANCANA BUT UNAWARE IDENTITY GIANCANA'S NEW YORK ATTORNEY. HUMPHREYS FEELS GIANCANA SHOULD RETAIN EDWARD BENNETT WILLIAMS TO TAKE

NK

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

PAGE THREE FROM CHICAGO 082304 (b)(7)(c)

GIANCANA'S SITUATION BEFORE SUPREME COURT TO DISCHARGE GIANCANA FROM SUBPOENA. FEELS IT IS VIOLATION OF CONSTITUTION TO REQUIRE SUCH REPEATED APPEARANCES.

HUMPHREYS VISITED OFFICE [redacted] 10:00 AM AS IS USUAL DAILY CUSTOM. SCHEDULED NOON LUNCHEON MEETING BUT SOURCE NOT PRIVY TO IDENTITY OF LOCATION.

HUMPHREYS CURRENTLY IN CLOSE PERSONAL CONTACT WITH MICHAEL J. KENNEDY, HEAD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS LOCAL HERE, WHO IS IN HOSPITAL WITH LUNG DISEASE. USING KENNEDY TO FIGHT ANTI CRIME LEGISLATURE SINCE KENNEDY IS RUNNING HIS UNION FROM HOSPITAL BED. USING [redacted] AS INTERMEDIARY. [redacted] BRINGING MEALS TO KENNEDY AT HUMPHREYS' EXPENSE. APPARENTLY [redacted] HAS RESPONSIBILITY FOR CONTACT KENNEDY BUT HUMPHREYS CHARACTERISTICALLY PROVIDING FOR COMFORT OF ORGANIZED CRIME CONTACT.

RECEIVED: 10:15 PM [redacted]

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

10:30 PM CST DEFERRED 3/9/65 DRS
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 90137

(b)(7)(c) [redacted]

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HUMPHREYS GROUP MET EVENING MARCH 8 LAST AS IS WEEKLY CUSTOM MONDAY NIGHTS AT PETE FISH'S RESTAURANT. MIKE BRODKIN ALSO SCHEDULED TO BE PRESENT TO ADVISE CONCERNING CONTACTS TO BE MADE WITH JURORS IN STATE CASE VS. [redacted] SOURCE FURNISHED VAGUE REFERENCES TO FOUR JURORS GROUP FELT WOULD BE SUSCEPTIBLE TO CONTACT. HOWEVER STATE EXERCISED CHALLENGES TO ALL FOUR. BRODKIN, WHO IS ATTORNEY HANDLING QUESTIONING OF JURORS FOR DEFENSE, APPARENTLY WAS TO GIVE GROUP IDENTITIES OF JURORS SELECTED TO DATE.

ANOTHER SOURCE ADVISED HUMPHREYS CONFERRED WITH [redacted] OF HOTEL AND RESTAURANT WORKERS UNION AFTERNOON MARCH 8. CONCERNED THAT LABOR UNIONS NOT FIGHTING ANTI CRIME BILLS IN ILLINOIS LEGISLATURE AS HE EXPECTS PARTICULAR BILL EXTENDING LIFE OF GRAND JURIES. HUMPHREYS VETOED SUGGESTION OF [redacted] OF THIS UNION THAT HUMPHREYS INSTRUCT [redacted] OF SAME UNION TO PROMOTE [redacted]

HUMPHREYS VISITED OFFICE [redacted] 9:30 AM MARCH 9 WITH ENVELOPE GODFREY BROUGHT FROM ALDERISIO FOR [redacted] NOTED [redacted] AT PRESENT BUSY HANDLING TAX RETURNS PRACTICALLY ALL CHICAGO HOODLUMS. HUMPHREYS THEN

REC-120 92-3088-1304

EX-109

5 MAR 10 1965

62 MAR 22 1965 F146

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

PAGE TWO FROM CHICAGO 90137

(b)(7)(c)

HAD BRUNCH WITH [redacted] AND GODFREY AT RESTAURANT WASHINGTON AND DEARBRON IN LOOP AND RETURNED HOME 11:30 AM.

REC'D: 11:52 PM [redacted]

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

9:44 PM CST DEFERRED 3-10-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR AND MIAMI
FROM CHICAGO 102344

9-[redacted]
ad 5

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HUMPHREYS INFORMED ASSOCIATE [redacted] MARCH 9 HE HOPES TO LEAVE TOWN SHORTLY "TO GO DOWN THERE AND SEE WHAT I CAN DO." ON MARCH 10 HE ASKED HY GODFREY IF LESLIE KRUSE HAD RETURNED TO CHICAGO. NOTED SOURCE PREVIOUSLY INDICATED HUMPHREYS INTENDED TO HAVE KRUSE WORK ON GAMBLING OPPORTUNITY OF CHICAGO GROUP IN ISLAND UNDER BRITISH CONTROL IN WEST INDIES. POSSIBILITY HUMPHREYS REFERS TO THIS WHEN HE INDICATES TRAVEL "DOWN THERE" NOTING ALSO HE INTENDS TO USE [redacted] TO WHOM HE WAS SPEAKING, THAT SITUATION. ALSO NOTED MIAMI ADVISED RE KRUSE'S RECENT TRAVEL TO MIAMI. ALTHOUGH HUMPHREYS NOT BELIEVED PRESENT MARCH 9 HIS GROUP MET EVENINGS MARCH 8 AND 9 AT MIKE FISH'S RESTAURANT WITH MIKE BRODKIN. PURPOSE IS TO CONTINUE EFFORTS TO DETERMINE WHICH JURORS ON JURY PANEL [redacted] TRIAL MAY BE SUSCEPTIBLE TO LATER APPROACH. BRODKIN IS THEN IN POSITION TO CHALLENGE ANY JURORS THAT GROUP DECIDES WOULD BE HOSTILE TO THEIR INTERESTS AND TO MAKE EVERY EFFORT TO INSURE THAT JURORS SYMPATHETIC TO THEIR INTERESTS ARE IMPANELED. APPARENTLY THIS TECHNIQUE SUPERCEDES PREVIOUS HIT OR MISS METHOD OF WAITING UNTIL JURY IS SELECTED BEFORE INVESTIGATION JURY MEMBERS FOR POSSIBLE APPROACH.

REC- 13

92-3088 1305

REC'D: 10:54 PM [redacted]

11 MAR 11 1965

FIYb

67 MAR 16 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

FD-143 (Rev. 4-2-63)
Optional Form No. 10

May 1962 Edition
GSA Gen. Reg. No. 27

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

M E M O R A N D U M

JUNE

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

DATE: 3/2/65

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (92-350 Sub Z)

SUBJECT: JUSTIFICATION FOR CONTINUATION OF TECHNICAL OR MICROPHONE SURVEILLANCE

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(C):(D):(E)

RE: Title MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS

Character of Case ANTI-RACKETEERING
Field Office CHICAGO
Symbol Number [redacted]
Type of Surveillance: (~~Technical~~ or Microphone)

1. Name of person or organization on whom surveillance placed:

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, Marina City.

2. Address where installation made. Also give exact room number or area covered: Apartment 5131, Marine Towers East, Marina City, Chicago, Illinois

3. [redacted]

4. Dates of initial authorization and installation:
3/14/63, authorization;
3/21/63, installation

5. Previous and other installations on the same subject (with dates and places): Regular Democratic Organization, 1st Ward, [redacted] 2/63.

6. If installation is a technical surveillance, answer following questions:

a. [redacted]

b. [redacted]

c. [redacted]

1 - Bureau
1 - Chicago

See note Pg 4

MAR 4 1965

Registered Mail
[redacted] (2)

[redacted]

SPEC. MAIL

CG 92-350 Sub Z

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(E)

d. [redacted]

e. [redacted]

7. If a microphone surveillance involved, state number of microphones actually used and location of each:

[redacted]

8. Is the installation part of a tel-mike? If so, give symbol of other side of the combination:

No.

9. Specific examples of valuable information obtained since previous report with indication of specific value of each item and the date information received. State what use was made of each item involved: (Add insert pages)

See attached.

10. Could above information have been obtained from other sources and by other means?

No

11. Number of live informants (in field division) who cover same subject:

None for same coverage.

12. Has security factor changed since installation?

No.

13. Any request for the surveillance by outside agency (give name, title and agency):

No.

14. Cost of Plant Premises:

a. Rental costs for plant premises:
None. [redacted]

b. Give total number of other surveillances monitored at same plant.

[redacted]

c. **If any others, set out the proportionate cost of instant surveillance;**

15. **Cost of Leased Line for instant installation?**

Nothing.

16. **Personnel Costs:** (b)(2)

a. **Give total number of special employees and/or Special Agents working at plant and total salary costs.**

2 SE's - [redacted] per annum (Security)
2 SE's - [redacted] per annum (Criminal)
4 Clerks - [redacted] per annum (Criminal)
1 - SA - [redacted] per annum (Criminal)

4 SA's - (Full-time)
& 1 SA (50%-time) -
$[redacted] per annum

b. **Total number of man hours per week spent at plant?**

80 man hours - Security
280 man hours - Criminal
144 man hours - [redacted]

c. **If other installations monitored at same plant, list proportionate number of man hours per week spent on instant surveillance;**

Total - 32 hours per week.

d. **If other installations monitored at same plant, list proportionate salary expense per annum for instant surveillance:**

$5,500 per annum.

17. **Remarks (by SAC):**

This surveillance will be maintained for an additional period of 90 days, UACB. The information obtained from this source is invaluable and is not subject to being obtained elsewhere.

18. Recommendation by Assistant Director:
(If this surveillance involves cryptanalysis, include statement that decrypted material is or is not sufficiently important to continue decrypting.)

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(D)

This installation is located in the subject's Chicago residence. Humphreys is a hoodlum of national stature who has a long-time association with organized criminal activity.

During the recently authorized period, this source continued to furnish valuable information regarding the subject's activities. Of particular interest is the detailed information obtained from [redacted] concerning Humphreys' efforts to insure that the anti-crime bills pending in the Illinois State Legislature are defeated. This source provided information concerning individuals with whom Humphreys is in contact regarding this matter. It is noted that Humphreys sent his long-time associate, Hy Godfrey, to East St. Louis, Illinois, to see Buster Wortman, leader of organized criminal activity in that area, regarding this matter.

It is recommended that this installation be continued for an additional 90-day period.

9. It is believed that more pertinent information has been received from this source during the past ninety days than ever heretofore received. The practice of HY GODFREY of coming to the apartment of HUMPHREYS on a daily basis has been extended to six days a week wherein it formerly was five and the conversations which have been taking place between HUMPHREYS and GODFREY have been more extensive, apparently as HUMPHREYS has become less hesitant due to his apparent belief that he is completely secure in his apartment. As a result, the Chicago Office is able to be currently advised, practically on an hour to hour basis, seven days per week, concerning the activities and associations and interests of HUMPHREYS.

During the past ninety days, HUMPHREYS has devoted considerable time to attempting to insure that the anti-crime bills currently pending in the 74th General Assembly of the Illinois State Legislature, are defeated. The identity of labor leaders and public officials who are being used by HUMPHREYS in this have been obtained by the source. (b)(7)(c)

HUMPHREYS also is attempting to explore avenues of approach to jurors who are on the Cook County Criminal Courts jury panel and who will serve on the insurance fraud case which will be tried shortly in the Criminal Courts of Cook County. This case involves Chicago Top Hoodlum [redacted] among others.

As a result of this source, the current meeting places of the Chicago hoodlum organization are known to the Chicago Office. There are approximately a half a dozen of these spots.

Also as a result of information being currently supplied by this source, the current responsibilities of the leaders of organized crime in the Chicago area have become known.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO XXX TELETYPE

10:05 PM CST DEFERRED 3-11-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 111952

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

REC-17 9 [redacted]

(b)(7)(c)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED ON MARCH 11 HUMPHREYS GIVEN LIST OF JURORS PICKED TO DATE IN STATE CASE VS. [redacted] HY GODFREY IS MEETING MIKE BRODKIN AT THE CHICAGO JEWISH TEMPLE EACH MORNING AT 8 AM AND THEN TELEPHONICALLY IN CONTACT WITH BRODKIN EVERY EARLY AFTERNOON TO OBTAIN NAMES AND ADDRESSES OF JURORS AS THEY ARE IMPANELLED. HUMPHREYS THEN IS ASSIGNING LEADS TO APPROPRIATE HOODLUM LEADER OF AREA IN WHICH JUROR LIVES OR WORKS FOR INVESTIGATION TO SEE WHETHER THERE IS ANY AVENUE OF APPROACH TO THIS JUROR. BRODKIN ATTEMPTING TO SEAT AS MANY JURORS WITH ITALIAN BACKGROUND AS POSSIBLE. HUMPHREYS SCHEDULED MEETING AT NOON THIS DATE WITH RALPH PIERCE. LOCATION NOT KNOWN.

GODFREY AGAIN DISCUSSED WITH HUMPHREYS MARCH 11 POSSIBILITY HUMPHREYS CONTACTING [redacted] OF JANITOR'S UNION TO OBTAIN POSITION FOR GODFREY'S SON-IN-LAW IN CHARGE OF DENTAL CLINIC JANITOR'S UNION CONTEMPLATING. HUMPHREYS ALREADY TALKED TO [redacted] RE THIS AND WAS TOLD NO PLANS FINALIZED, TO SEE HIM LATER. HUMPHREYS SUGGESTED GODFREY'S SON-IN-LAW WORK ON IT HIMSELF AND LAY FOUNDATION. HUMPHREYS THEN WILL RECONTACT [redacted] "TO PUT THE CLINCHER IN."

RECEIVED: 11:17 PM [redacted] REC-17 92-3088-1507

EX-17

9 MAR 12 1965

67 MAR 22 1965

The intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

12:24 AM CST DEFERRED 3-12-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR AND LAS VEGAS
FROM CHICAGO 112232

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED MARCH 10 LAST (b)(7)(C)
HUMPHREYS INTERESTED WEREABOUTS CHICAGO TOP HOODLUM LESLIE KRUSE.

ANOTHER HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED INSTANT CHICAGO TOP HOODLUM RALPH PIERCE MADE PHONE CALL 10:40 A.M. TO KRUSE AT DUNES HOTEL, LAS VEGAS, WHERE HE REACHED HIM UNDER TRUE NAME. PIERCE INFORMED KRUSE "JUST HAD BREAKFAST WITH OLD MAN. HE'S HOLLERING FOR YOU. THOUGHT YOU WERE SUPPOSED TO COME BACK YESTERDAY. HE NEEDED YOU. I DON'T KNOW WHY." WAS APPARENT PIERCE COVERING UP FOR KRUSE IN THAT HE TOLD HUMPHREYS HE WAS UNAWARE OF KRUSE'S WHEREABOUTS. STATED TO KRUSE HE WOULD HAVE LUNCH WITH HUMPHREYS MARCH 11 INSTANT AND FEEL HUMPHREYS OUT TO DETERMINE WHETHER MANDATORY KRUSE RETURN TO CHICAGO IMMEDIATELY. IF HE DETERMINED KRUSE SHOULD BE IN CHICAGO, HE WOULD RECONTACT KRUSE. IF KRUSE DID NOT HEAR FROM PIERCE, HE MAY WAIT UNTIL SUNDAY MORNING NEXT TO RETURN.

LAS VEGAS REQUESTED TO TAKE NO ACTION WHICH WOULD JEOPARDIZE SOURCE.

AM CC MIAMI SINCE MIAMI ADVISED MARCH 10 LAST OF PROBABILITY KRUSE STILL THERE.

REC-3 92-3088-1308

RECEIVED: 1:36 AM [redacted]

64 MAR 1 1965
F146

MAR 12 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

2:20 AM CST 3-13-65 DEFERRED [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 130110

(b)(7)(c) [redacted]

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HUMPHREYS WORKED UNTIL 2 AM, MARCH 12 ON INCOME TAX FIGURES PERTAINING APPARENTLY TO HIS 1959 RETURN ON WHICH IRS HAS CIVIL SUIT TO OBTAIN ADDITIONAL TAXES. NOTED THIS 15 YEAR HUMPHREYS PURCHASED RESIDENCE KEY BISCAYNE, FLORIDA, AND BUREAU CONDUCTED EXTENSIVE INVESTIGATION TO DETERMINE TOTAL AMOUNTS HUMPHREYS EXPENDED THAT YEAR. HUMPHREYS ADVISED ASSOCIATE [redacted] MARCH 12 THAT FIGURES HE KEPT FOR THAT YEAR ON ALL HIS EXPENDITURES EXCEED BY $3,000 WHAT GOVERNMENT WAS ABLE TO LOCATE. HUMPHREYS STATED "THAT'S HOW CLOSE A JOB THEY ARE ABLE TO DO ON ME. CAN YOU IMAGINE THAT." HUMPHREYS FEELS HOWEVER HE HAS NO FEAR SINCE INCOME HE REPORTED THAT YEAR BASED ON FIGURES HE KEPT WILL EXCEED AMOUNT GOVERNMENT CAN SHOW.

HY GODFREY REPORTED MARCH 12 ON PROGRESS INVESTIGATION ASSIGNED HIM ON BACKGROUND OF JUROR IN [redacted] STATE CASE. GODFREY UNABLE TO DETERMINE ANY AVENUE APPROACH HIS TARGET TO DATE. APPEARS EACH JUROR IS OR WILL BE ASSIGNED PARTICULAR HOODLUM FOR INVESTIGATION TO DETERMINE FEASIBILITY OF APPROACH.

WHEN HUMPHREYS ASKED GODFREY WHETHER GROUP WAS ABLE TO LOCATE LES KRUSE, GODFREY ADVISED RALPH PIERCE MADE PHONE CALL TO HIM AFTER LUNCH MARCH 11. NOTED OBVIOUS FROM INFO RECEIVED ANOTHER SOURCE MARCH 11 AS SET OUT MYTEL MARCH 11 TO

92-3088-1309

EX-100

REC- 24

G MAR 15 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

PAGE TWO CHICAGO 130110

(b)(7)(c)

DIRECTOR AND LAS VEGAS THAT PIERCE LIED TO HUMPHREYS AT NOON MEETING IN THAT HE HAD ALREADY CONTACTED PIERCE AM MARCH 11. GODFREY ADVISED PIERCE GAVE KRUSE HUMPREYS' INSTRUCTIONS THAT HE RETURN IMMEDIATELY AND INDICATED POSSIBILITY KRUSE WILL MEET WITH REST OF HUMPHREYS GROUP AT NOON MEETING MARCH 12. HUMPHREYS VISITED OFFICE [redacted] 10 AM MARCH 12 SPECIFICALLY TO DISCUSS IRS CASE AGAINST HIS SECOND WIFE.

AM INFO COPY LAS VEGAS.

RECEIVED: 3:35 AM [redacted]

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-61)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

9:00 PM CST DEFERRED 3-16-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 162040

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

REC 9 [redacted]
(b)(7)(c)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HUMPHREYS RETURNED TO CHICAGO FROM OKLAHOMA EVENING MARCH 15. MET WITH [redacted] AT PETE FISH'S RESTAURANT AND RETURNED TO RESIDENCE AT 9:35 PM.

HY GODFREY REPORTED TO HUMPHREYS MARCH 16 THAT " G HAS BEEN WORKING VERY CLOSE ON ME" AND MENTIONED HE KNOWS GOVERNMENT IS INVESTIGATING HIS INSURANCE AGENCY. NOTED THIS OFFICE CONTACTED BY IRS WHO HAS INITIATED FULL FIELD INVESTIGATION OF GODFREY AND INSURANCE BUSINESS.

GODFREY ALSO ADVISED "G IS WORKING ON RALPH HARD TOO". NOTED RALPH PIERCE ADVISED ASSOCIATE MAURICE NORMAN LATE LAST WEEK THAT OWNER JENNIE'S RESTAURANT ADVISED HIM FBI AGENTS WERE THERE SHOWING PHOTO OF PIERCE AND SOUTH SIDE BOOKMAKERS. JENNIE'S IS MEETING PLACE OF PIERCE. GODFREY THEN ADVISED CHICAGO PD "IS TRYING TO BUG THE CHINESE PLACE". THIS APPARENTLY REFERS TO CHINESE RESTAURANT LAKE STREET WHERE CHICAGO PD OBSERVED [redacted] WITH DETROIT HOODLUM [redacted] TWO WEEKS AGO. GODFREY THEN ADVISED "THERE IS NO QUESTION THEY GOT MIKE FISH'S." NOTED ALL CHICAGO LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES AWARE HOODLUMS HAVE BEEN USING FISH'S AS MEETING PLACE FOR PAST TEN YEARS. HUMPHREYS DECIDED "WELL, WE'LL JUST HAVE TO BREAK UP THESE DEALS. IF NOT THEY WILL GET ONE SPOT ON US ONE DAY".

GODFREY ADVISED GROUP IS SO CONCERNED OVER COVERAGE OF

REC 14 92-3038-1310

12 MAR 17 1965

APR 26 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

PAGE TWO FROM CHICAGO 162040 (b)(7)(c)

MEETING PLACES WHEN THEY MET AT JACQUE'S RESTAURANT MARCH 15 "ANYTHING THEY HAD TO SAY THEY GOT UP AND WALKED AROUND TWO AT A TIME". DURING CONVERSATION GODFREY AND HUMPHREYS INDICATED CONCERN ONLY OVER COVERAGE AT PUBLIC RESTAURANTS WHERE THEY HAVE BEEN MEETING IN GROUPS.

HUMPHREYS THEN INQUIRED RE PROGRESS OF STATE CASE VS. [redacted] AND INDICATED HE HAD RETURNED TO CHICAGO BEFORE HIS BUSINESS WAS COMPLETED IN OKLAHOMA DUE TO HIS CONCERN THIS CASE. SCHEDULED MEETING WITH HIS GROUP FOR LUNCH TO BE ADVISED OF PROGRESS IN INVESTIGATION CONDUCTED BY GROUP OF JURORS AFTER BEING ADVISED BY GODFREY "MY END HASN'T BEEN SO LUCKY".

AM COPY OKLAHOMA FOR INFO.

RECEIVED: 10:35 PM [redacted]

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

MAR 15 1965

TELETYPE

Mr. Tolson
Mr. Belmont
Mr. Mohr
Mr. Casper
Mr. Callahan
Mr. Conrad
Mr. Felt
Mr. Gale
Mr. Rosen
Mr. Sullivan
Mr. Tavel
Mr. Trotter
Tele. Room
Miss Holmes
Miss Gandy

FBI CHICAGO

431 PM CST DEFERRED 3/15/65 [redacted]

TO DIRECTOR (92-3088) AND MIAMI

FROM CHICAGO (92-348) 1P

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AR; DAILY SUMMARY.

(b)(7)(c)

HUMPHREYS LOCATED NORMAN, OKLAHOMA, [redacted] ALTHOUGH INFORMATION OBTAINED HERE INDICATING HUMPHREYS INTENDS RETURN TO CHICAGO, MARCH SIXTEEN, NEXT, OKLAHOMA CITY OFFICE ADVISES HUMPHREYS POSSIBLY WILL REMAIN THERE UNTIL [redacted] MARCH SEVENTEEN NEXT. MIAMI DISCONTINUE.

END

WA..[redacted]...

FBI WASH DC

MM [redacted]

FBI MIAMI

TU CLRX 0NGY2@

64 MAR 19 1965

REC-102 92-3088-1311

MAR 17 1965

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION
MAR 15 1965
TELETYPE

Mr. Tolson
Mr. Belmont
Mr. Mohr
Mr. DeLoach
Mr. Casper
Mr. Callahan
Mr. Conrad
Mr. Felt
Mr. Gale
Mr. Rosen
Mr. Sullivan
Mr. Tavel
Mr. Trotter
Tele. Room
Miss Holmes
Miss Gandy

[redacted]

FBI CHICAGO

1227PM CDST URGENT 3/15/65 [redacted]

TO DIRECTOR AND MIAMI

FROM CHICAGO (92-348) 1P

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY. (b)(7)(c)

HUMPHREYS BELIEVED TO HAVE DEPARTED CHICAGO MID MORNING MARCH THIRTEEN LAST TO VISIT SECOND WIFE, KEY BISCAYNE, FLA. MIAMI VERIFY.

END

WA. [redacted]

FBI WASH DC

MM. [redacted]

FBI MIAMI

CLRP@!

REC- 131 92-3088 1312

EX. - 109

9 MAR 17 1965

58 MAR 25 1965

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

6 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552		Section 552a
☐ (b)(1)	☐ (b)(7)(A)	☐ (d)(5)
☑ (b)(2)	☐ (b)(7)(B)	☐ (j)(2)
☐ (b)(3)	☑ (b)(7)(C)	☐ (k)(1)
_____	☑ (b)(7)(D)	☐ (k)(2)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(E)	☐ (k)(3)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(F)	☐ (k)(4)
☐ (b)(4)	☐ (b)(8)	☐ (k)(5)
☐ (b)(5)	☐ (b)(9)	☐ (k)(6)
☐ (b)(6)		☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1313

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

FD-36 (Rev. 10-29-63)

FBI

Date: 3/12/65

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (92-350 SUB 11) JUNE

SUBJECT: MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka.
AR

SUMMARY

EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE EXERCISED IN THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION. IT SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE BODY OF A REPORT EVEN THOUGH PARAPHRASED. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED IN LEAD COVERAGE OR AS THE BASIS FOR AN INTERVIEW UNLESS SPECIFIC CLEARANCE IS OBTAINED FROM THE BUREAU AND FROM CHICAGO. THIS IS AN EXTREMELY DELICATE AND SENSITIVE SOURCE WHICH THE CHICAGO OFFICE IS MAKING EVERY EFFORT TO FULLY PROTECT.

(b)(2)

[redacted] furnished information concerning activities on 3/6/65:

(b)(7)(c):(D)

Note: [redacted] advised that prior to coming to his office on 3/6/65, BRODKIN visited with MURRAY HUMPHREYS from 9:22 a.m. until 9:55 a.m. The subject matter of this conversation was contained in my teletype dated 3/8/65.

At 10:15 a.m., BRODKIN arrived in his office. He and Chicago Attorney [redacted] then discussed the bank robbery case in progress in the United States District Court in Chicago against [redacted] ET AL.

3 - Bureau
1 - New York
1 - Chicago

REC 5

92-3000-1314

- 109

4 MAR 15 1965

[redacted]
(5)

C. Wick

Approved: _____ Sent _____ Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

6 MAR 23 1965

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

2 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552		Section 552a
☐ (b)(1)	☐ (b)(7)(A)	☐ (d)(5)
☐ (b)(2)	☐ (b)(7)(B)	☐ (j)(2)
☐ (b)(3)	☑ (b)(7)(C)	☐ (k)(1)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(D)	☐ (k)(2)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(E)	☐ (k)(3)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(F)	☐ (k)(4)
☐ (b)(4)	☐ (b)(8)	☐ (k)(5)
☐ (b)(5)	☐ (b)(9)	☐ (k)(6)
☐ (b)(6)		☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1314 (pgs 2+3)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

FD-36 (Rev. 10-29-63)

FBI

Date: 3/11/65

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (92-350 SUB 11) JUNE [redacted]

SUBJECT: MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka.
AR

REC 5 (b)(2)
(b)(7)(C):(D)

SUMMARY

EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE EXERCISED IN THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION. IT SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE BODY OF A REPORT EVEN THOUGH PARAPHRASED. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED IN LEAD COVERAGE OR AS THE BASIS FOR AN INTERVIEW UNLESS SPECIFIC CLEARANCE IS OBTAINED FROM THE BUREAU AND FROM CHICAGO. THIS IS AN EXTREMELY DELICATE AND SENSITIVE SOURCE WHICH THE CHICAGO OFFICE IS MAKING EVERY EFFORT TO FULLY PROTECT.

[redacted] furnished information concerning activities on 3/5/65:

Chicago Top Hoodlum RALPH PIERCE arrived in the office of MIKE BRODKIN at 1:04 p.m., on the above date. The first thing he did was to make a telephone call to [redacted] and asked for [redacted] influential Democratic public official. It is noted that [redacted] is listed to [redacted] at [redacted]. It is noted that this is the law office

3 - Bureau
1 - New York
1 - Chicago

[redacted]
(5)

REC 5 92-[illegible]-1345

EX. - 109

8 MAR 15 1965

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M[redacted]er _____
Special Agent in Charge

6 MAR 23 1965

(b)(7)(c)

of the [redacted] brothers. PIERCE apparently was informed that [redacted] was "at the district". He then upon made a telephone call to the Metropolitan Sanitary District of Chicago and again asked for [redacted] He was not in. PIERCE then called the 5th Ward Regular Democratic Headquarters and asked for [redacted] but was again informed that [redacted] was not there.

At 1:23 p.m., Chicago Top Hoodlum [redacted] arrived. He and PIERCE discussed the fact that [redacted] had received a sentence of 30 days for contempt of court in New York City.

[redacted] then made a telephone call to Postl's Health Club where he attempted to locate HY GODFREY. He left the message for GODFREY there that [redacted]." He then advised that he would be at Postl's in half an hour.

GODFREY arrived in the presence of the source at 1:40 p.m. He explained that he had stopped at Henry Susk's Pontiac Agency on the way from lunch with PIERCE, [redacted] and HUMPHREYS to BRODKIN.

[redacted] advised GODFREY that he was to take a message that the only juror that they [redacted]" [redacted] did not say who the message was to be taken to but it presumably was to be taken to MURRAY HUMPHREYS.

[redacted] then asked GODFREY: [redacted]?[redacted]" Apparently [redacted] was not certain as to whether the Western Suburb of Morton Grove is located in territory assigned by organized crime to Chicago Top Hoodlum [redacted] It is noted that the HUMPHREYS group has been

(b)(7)(c)

assigning leads to investigate members of the jury panel in the State case for insurance fraud against Chicago Top Hoodlum [redacted] The purpose of this investigation is to determine what avenue of approach the hoodlums might have to a juror in the [redacted] trial.

BRODKIN arrived in his office at 4:18 p.m. He made a telephone call and asked someone for two extra tickets to the Terrell-Machen fight that night for "a kid out in the Criminal Courts." BRODKIN indicated that he was going to the fights with Chicago Labor Racketeer PAUL "RED" DORFMAN and that they were going to eat at the Matador Room of the Stockyards Inn, before going to the fights.

BRODKIN then informed [redacted] concerning a juror who apparently was on the list of the Chicago hoodlums as someone they might be able to approach. BRODKIN therefore approved the selection of this individual on the jury when he engaged in the questioning of jurors in the [redacted] case on the morning of 3/5/65. However, on the afternoon of 3/5/65, this juror asked to be let off because he indicated that he could not be fair.

[redacted] then indicated that he had again talked to Circuit Court Judge [redacted] who is presiding over the [redacted] trial, concerning the favorable treatment for [redacted] BRODKIN indicated: "He starts whining. I'll give you good instructions here, what the hell, you want everything. But don't you see what that means? That means he ain't going to take it away from the jury. You understand that?" This indicates the possibility that when BRODKIN approached Judge [redacted] he was informed that Judge [redacted] would give favorable instructions to the jury in the [redacted] case but that he would not grant a motion for a direct verdict in favor of [redacted]

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

2 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552

☐ (b)(1)
☐ (b)(2)
☐ (b)(3)
_____
_____
_____
☐ (b)(4)
☐ (b)(5)
☐ (b)(6)
☐ (b)(7)(A)
☐ (b)(7)(B)
☑ (b)(7)(C)
☐ (b)(7)(D)
☐ (b)(7)(E)
☐ (b)(7)(F)
☐ (b)(8)
☐ (b)(9)

Section 552a

☐ (d)(5)
☐ (j)(2)
☐ (k)(1)
☐ (k)(2)
☐ (k)(3)
☐ (k)(4)
☐ (k)(5)
☐ (k)(6)
☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1315 (pgs 4 & 6)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

night, 3/8/65, in order that additional investigation can be assigned in this regard.

BRODKIN then asked GODFREY where RALPH PIERCE was and was told that GODFREY was to meet him shortly at the parking lot at Van Buren and Wabash in the loop area of Chicago.

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(C):(D)

BRODKIN then asked GODFREY how he could get in touch with MURRAY HUMPHREYS and was informed by GODFREY that GODFREY would see HUMPHREYS at 9:00 the following morning and would inform HUMPHREYS that BRODKIN wanted to see him. It is noted that [redacted] advised that HUMPHREYS and BRODKIN met in the apartment of HUMPHREYS at 9:22 a.m. until 9:55 a.m. on the following day, 3/6/65.

Upon leaving, [redacted] indicated that he was en route to the office of [redacted] where he was to have [redacted] figure his income taxes. He mentioned something about net worth and $39,000. However, the source was unable to obtain any specifics in this regard.

BRODKIN then made a telephone call in an attempt to talk to [redacted] the [redacted] Chicago Office of the Illinois State Department of Revenue but was advised that [redacted] was not there. Later he conversed with a person obviously identical with [redacted] and advised him that he could obtain tickets which he was attempting to obtain through the Berger Enterprises, a theatrical agency on 53rd Street in New York City. [redacted] suggested that [redacted] use BRODKIN's name when he contacted [redacted]

BRODKIN then complained that "there are no more jurors, we exhausted the list," and then complained about Judge [redacted] drinking too much.

(Rev. 10-29-63)

FBI

Date: 3/15/65

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (92-350 SUB 11) JUNE

SUBJECT: MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka.
AR

REC 5.1

SUMMARY

EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE EXERCISED IN THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION. IT SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE BODY OF A REPORT EVEN THOUGH PARAPHRASED. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED IN LEAD COVERAGE OR AS THE BASIS FOR AN INTERVIEW UNLESS SPECIFIC CLEARANCE IS OBTAINED FROM THE BUREAU AND FROM CHICAGO. THIS IS AN EXTREMELY DELICATE AND SENSITIVE SOURCE WHICH THE CHICAGO OFFICE IS MAKING EVERY EFFORT TO FULLY PROTECT.

(b)(2)

[redacted] furnished information concerning activities on 3/12/65: (b)(7)(C):(D)

This source advised that Chicago Top Hoodlum RALPH PIERCE and HY GODFREY, bodyguard and chauffeur for Chicago top hoodlums, arrived in the office of MIKE BRODKIN at 1:24 p.m., on 3/12/65. It was obvious that they had just arrived after having lunch with MURRAY HUMPHREYS and other Chicago hoodlums and that the subject of the luncheon meeting was to discuss individuals who appeared on the list of jurors in the state case for insurance fraud against Chicago Top Hoodlum [redacted]

[redacted]

REC 5.1

92-3[illegible]/3 16

3 - Bureau
1 - New York
1 - Chicago

EX. - 109

12 MAR 19 1965

C C - Wick

[redacted]
(5)

NINE

[redacted]

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

6 MAR 23 1965

SPEC. MAIL RM.

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

2 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552

☐ (b)(1)
☐ (b)(2)
☐ (b)(3)
_____
_____
_____
☐ (b)(4)
☐ (b)(5)
☐ (b)(6)
☐ (b)(7)(A)
☐ (b)(7)(B)
☑ (b)(7)(C)
☐ (b)(7)(D)
☐ (b)(7)(E)
☐ (b)(7)(F)
☐ (b)(8)
☐ (b)(9)

Section 552a

☐ (d)(5)
☐ (j)(2)
☐ (k)(1)
☐ (k)(2)
☐ (k)(3)
☐ (k)(4)
☐ (k)(5)
☐ (k)(6)
☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1316 (pgs 2 & 3)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

they had no plans to hold meetings with each other over the weekend.

(b)(7)(c)

GODFREY then made a series of telephone calls in an attempt to locate ALDERISIO. In between these calls, he advised PIERCE that Chicago Top Hoodlum MURRAY HUMPHREYS was then at the office of [redacted] indicated that he had an appointment in [redacted] office at 3:00 p.m., on 3/12/65. GODFREY then made another telephone call in pursuit of ALDERISIO. It is noted that he used the code name "Playful" when inquiring concerning the whereabouts of ALDERISIO. When he contacted Chicago bondsman [redacted] in this regard, he asked [redacted] to have ALDERISIO at the offices of the First National Mortgage Company at 1:30 p.m., on 3/15/65. GODFREY left the message with [redacted] that "Ralph will be there", indicating that he was making the call on behalf of PIERCE. It appeared that PIERCE desired to meet with ALDERISIO concerning the investigation which has been assigned to ALDERISIO concerning the juror in the [redacted] trial. After making the call to [redacted] GODFREY informed PIERCE and [redacted] that [redacted] had informed him that "Phil may have to go to Florida". GODFREY also opined that ALDERISIO might be "upstairs at 4:00" indicating that [redacted] apparently expected that ALDERISIO would be at Postl's Health Club at 4:00 on 3/12/65.

EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE EXERCISED IN THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION. IT SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE BODY OF A REPORT EVEN THOUGH PARAPHRASED. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED IN LEAD COVERAGE OR AS THE BASIS FOR AN INTERVIEW UNLESS SPECIFIC CLEARANCE IS OBTAINED FROM THE BUREAU AND FROM CHICAGO. THIS IS AN EXTREMELY DELICATE AND SENSITIVE SOURCE WHICH THE CHICAGO OFFICE IS MAKING EVERY EFFORT TO FULLY PROTECT.

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

4 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552

☐ (b)(1)
☑ (b)(2)
☐ (b)(3)
_____
_____
_____
☐ (b)(4)
☐ (b)(5)
☐ (b)(6)
☐ (b)(7)(A)
☐ (b)(7)(B)
☑ (b)(7)(C)
☑ (b)(7)(D)
☐ (b)(7)(E)
☐ (b)(7)(F)
☐ (b)(8)
☐ (b)(9)

Section 552a

☐ (d)(5)
☐ (j)(2)
☐ (k)(1)
☐ (k)(2)
☐ (k)(3)
☐ (k)(4)
☐ (k)(5)
☐ (k)(6)
☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1317

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

6:30 PM CST DEFERRED 3-17-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 172148

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka. Matt Kelly AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY. REC 8 [redacted]
(b)(7)(c)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HY GODFREY INFORMED HUMPHREYS MARCH 17 INVESTIGATION INDICATES "BUG" DISCOVERED IN CHINESE RESTAURAN ON LAKE STREET IN LOOP AS REPORTED MY SUMMARY MARCH 16 WAS INSTALLED BY "THE INTERNAL REVENUE." HUMPHREYS INDICATED SURPRISE AT THIS AND INDICATED THIS WOULD BE CHIEF TOPIC FOR DISCUSSION AT DAILY NOON MEETING MARCH 17 WHICH HE SCHEDULED FOR TRADER VIC'S RESTAURANT IN BASEMENT PALMER HOUSE HOTEL.

HUMPHREYS CONTINUED TO WARN GODFREY AND [redacted] TO BE VERY CAREFUL IN THEIR ACTIVITIES BECAUSE OF "HOW HARD THEY ARE WORKING NOW. WE GOT TO WATCH OUT. IT'S BAD." INDICATION OF CAUTION OF HUMPHREYS HE INSTRUCTED [redacted] TO FLY TO ST. LOUIS MARCH 18 TO MEET WITH FRANK WORTMAN INSTEAD OF CONTINUING TO CONTACT HIM TELEPHONICALLY TO OBTAIN PROGRESS REPORT ON WORTMAN'S EFFORTS TO OPPOSE ANTI CRIME BILLS IN ILLINOIS GENERAL ASSEMBLY. [redacted] WILL MEET WORTMAN AT AIRPORT AND FLY BACK TO CHICAGO IMMEDIATELY. WORTMAN HAS INDICATED TO [redacted] THAT HE IS HAVING TROUBLE WITH "THAT GUY IN THE MIDDLE OF THE STATE." HUMPHREYS STATED "HE MUST MEAN [redacted] (PHONETIC)."

HUMPHREYS LEAVING CHICAGO 6 PM MARCH 17 FOR NORMAN, OKLAHOMA. HAS TRAIN RESERVATIONS UNDER NAME MATT KELLY ARRIVING NORMAN 9:20 AM MARCH 18. WILL RETURN CHICAGO LEAVING NORMAN ON TRAIN 5:25 PM. HAS

MCT-20 92-3088-13
2 MAR 18 1965

70 MAR 25 1965

REC [redacted]

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

PAGE TWO FROM CHICAGO 172148

(b)(7)(c)

BEDROOM RESERVATIONS. .

RE TRIP T[redacted]TO WORTMAN, HUMPHREYS COMMENTED "HE'S A DUMB (OBSCENE) IF HE THINKS THE PHONE IN HIS OWN PLACE IS ALL RIGHT. I CAN'T BELIEVE HE REALLY TOLD YOU THAT."

HUMPHREYS CONTACT IN ELECTRICAL UNION, MICHAEL J. KENNEDY, IS APPARENTLY DYING. HUMPHREYS HAD [redacted] SEND HIM $10 MASS CARD.

AM COPY SPRINGFIELD. REQUEST IDENTIFICATION "FAREWELL."

AM INFO COPY OKLAHOMA CITY.

U.S.A.

RECEIVED: 7:45 PM [redacted]

2

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

11 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552

- ☐ (b)(1)
- ☑ (b)(2)
- ☐ (b)(3)
- _____
- _____
- _____
- ☐ (b)(4)
- ☐ (b)(5)
- ☐ (b)(6)
- ☐ (b)(7)(A)
- ☐ (b)(7)(B)
- ☑ (b)(7)(C)
- ☑ (b)(7)(D)
- ☐ (b)(7)(E)
- ☐ (b)(7)(F)
- ☐ (b)(8)
- ☐ (b)(9)

Section 552a

- ☐ (d)(5)
- ☐ (j)(2)
- ☐ (k)(1)
- ☐ (k)(2)
- ☐ (k)(3)
- ☐ (k)(4)
- ☐ (k)(5)
- ☐ (k)(6)
- ☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1319 thru 1321

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10
MAY 1962 EDITION
GSA GEN. REG. NO. 27

5010-106

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Mr. Belmont

DATE: March 17, 1965

FROM : J. H. Gale

SUBJECT: MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS
ANTI-RACKETEERING

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(C):(D)

[redacted], a highly confidential source of the Chicago Office, reported on March 9, 1965, comments made by Chicago top hoodlum Murray Humphreys to his associate, [redacted] concerning Chicago Criminal Courts Judge George M. Leighton. Leighton is the Judge who recently freed two defendants who slashed a Chicago police officer in the face with a broken beer bottle when officers attempted to arrest the two defendants for drunk and disorderly conduct. Humphreys' observations were made in connection with a television broadcast concerning this controversy, during which there was a description of efforts then being made in the Illinois State General Assembly to suspend the rules of the Legislature in order to proceed with impeachment proceedings against Judge Leighton because of his release of these two defendants.

Humphreys alluded to a statement during the broadcast to the effect that Judge Leighton has only found 37 per cent of the defendants brought before him guilty. Humphreys pointed out this was used as a basis for criticism of Judge Leighton and stated that in his opinion the Judge should find all the guys not connected with the hoodlum element guilty when brought before him so that a high record of convictions could be built up so that later on when the Judge wanted to favor the hoodlum element by releasing one of their members that he could, if questioned, point to his high record of convictions in his court.

Another highly confidential source of the Chicago Office, [redacted] also reported on March 9, 1965, that Hy Godfrey, handy man for Murray Humphreys, and Chicago hoodlum attorney Mike Brodkin discussed details of the extensive efforts being made by the underworld to obtain information on and possibly contact members of the jury who will hear evidence in the state prosecution now proceeding against Chicago top hoodlum [redacted] on local charges of insurance fraud. The gist of this conversation indicated that the underworld is extremely interested in doing anything possible to encourage the seating of persons of Italian background on this jury, as it is felt they will be more inclined to leniency toward Caifano during his trial.

1 - Mr. Belmont
1 - Mr. Gale
1 - Mr. [redacted]
1 - Mr. [redacted]
[redacted] (5)

REC- 40 92-[illegible]-1-22

2[illegible] MAR 24 1965

Continued - Over

SPEC. MAIL RM.

Memorandum to Mr. Belmont
Re: Murray L. Humphreys

ACTION

(b)(7)(c)

Chicago has been instructed to follow closely efforts of the underworld to contact prospective jurors in the [redacted] case and to remain alert to any possibility of dissemination in the event this can be accomplished with security for our highly sensitive sources.

FD-36 (Rev. 10-29-63)

FBI

Date: 3/12/65

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

Mr. Tolson
Mr. Belmont
Mr. Mohr
Mr. DeLoach
Mr. Casper
Mr. Callahan
Mr. Conrad
Mr. Felt
Mr. Gale
Mr. Rosen
Mr. Sullivan
Mr. Tavel
Mr. Tamm
Tele. Room
Miss Holmes
Miss Gandy

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (92-350 SUB Z)

JUNE

SUBJECT: MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka.
AR

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(C):(D)

SUMMARY

There has been a paucity of information obtained from [redacted] during the first three days of the week of 3/7/65, due to the fact that MIKE BRODKIN has been spending his entire day in the Criminal Courts Building picking a jury in the state case against Chicago Top Hoodlum [redacted]

On 3/9/65, [redacted] furnished information of interest when [redacted] conversed with MURRAY HUMPHREYS at the time when a television broadcast concerned the controversy over the decision of Criminal Courts Judge GEORGE M. LEIGHTON when he freed two defendants who slashed a Chicago police officer in the face with a broken beer bottle when the police officers attempted to arrest the two Puerto Rican defendants on the near North Side of Chicago for drunken and disorderly conduct. As the Bureau is aware, GEORGE LEIGHTON was elected in 11/64 and is serving his first term on the bench. Although LEIGHTON represented SAM GIANCANA in his suit

92-3088-1323

(3) - Bureau
1 - Chicago

REC-40

12 MAR 16 1965

[redacted]
(4)
C C - Wick

memo Gale to Belmont 3-12-65

[redacted]

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

6 MAR 26 1965

against the FBI for restraint of FBI surveillances of GIANCANA, it is noted that no specific information has been received during LEIGHTON's short term as a judge indicating that he has ever been corrupted or that any attempts had been made to obtain favorable treatment for a defendant being tried by Judge LEIGHTON. However, from the decisions rendered by Judge LEIGHTON and from the background of Judge LEIGHTON, it is known that he is very interested in upholding civil liberties with the result that his decisions had been favorable to the accused rather than to society at large.

The particular broadcast of interest described the efforts in the Illinois State General Assembly, in both houses, to suspend the rules of the legislature in order to one, impeach Judge LEIGHTON and two, transfer him from hearing criminal cases to civil cases.

This broadcast occasioned the following comment on the part of HUMPHREYS:

> Do you see where they are putting out that he has found guilty only about 37% of the cases he has been on? Since he took over? In other words, you see a guy can be criticized because he doesn't convict enough guys. This is something we make sure of when a guy is with us. Find all the guys who aren't one of us guilty as hell and build up your record. Don't give those (obscene) something they can hang their hat on like that. Then when it comes time for a favor on something we're interested in, who can holler about it. You understand? Because then the guy can look back on his record and say to them, what the hell, how can I find everybody guilty, check my record. You see, you got to out smart everybody in this business. That's the secret, to look ahead so that a foundation has been laid."

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(c):(D)

It appears obvious that what HUMPHREYS has in mind is that any judge under the influence of organized crime in Chicago should be very careful to lean over backwards to convict those defendants who are not connected with organized crime so that he cannot be open to a charge of general leniency to criminals as a group. Then when a particular case in which the hoodlums are interested comes before the judge, the judge can be less susceptible to criticism when he acquits that particular defendant. This philosophy on the part of HUMPHREYS is in keeping with his remark, obtained in 1959 by [redacted] that his group should be very careful not to ask many favors from Judge JOSEPH POPE, now deceased, so that nothing could "splash back" on Judge POPE which would thereafter be subject to use against him when the hoodlum group puts the pressure on public officials under their control and influence to name Judge POPE a United States District Judge.

[redacted] advised that the only activity on 3/9/65 occurred at 8:47a.m. when HY GODFREY arrived to converse with BRODKIN before BRODKIN left for the Criminal Courts Building on the West Side of Chicago in order to continue picking jurors in the [redacted] insurance fraud case.

GODFREY mentioned that he had several more names to check out. BRODKIN indicated that there is plenty of time left and he mentioned one person as being in show business whom he did not believe would be too difficult to contact. However, he warned GODFREY that they should be very careful of each and every person considered.

BRODKIN then questioned whether GODFREY had the name of the landlady or janitor of the building which is located next "to the guy that is the janitor for the Portland Cement Company". GODFREY did not

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

2 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552

- ☐ (b)(1)
- ☐ (b)(2)
- ☐ (b)(3)
- _____
- _____
- _____
- ☐ (b)(4)
- ☐ (b)(5)
- ☐ (b)(6)
- ☐ (b)(7)(A)
- ☐ (b)(7)(B)
- ☑ (b)(7)(C)
- ☐ (b)(7)(D)
- ☐ (b)(7)(E)
- ☐ (b)(7)(F)
- ☐ (b)(8)
- ☐ (b)(9)

Section 552a

- ☐ (d)(5)
- ☐ (j)(2)
- ☐ (k)(1)
- ☐ (k)(2)
- ☐ (k)(3)
- ☐ (k)(4)
- ☐ (k)(5)
- ☐ (k)(6)
- ☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1323 (pgs 4 & 5)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☒ RADIO ☐ TELETYPE

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

R-47
DEFERRED 3-22-65
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 221742

REC 5

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HUMPHREYS RETURNED TO RESIDENCE 9:30 AM, INSTANT DATE. OKLAHOMA CITY ADVISED SEPARATELY.

CHICAGO PRESS QUOTING MAYOR DALEY AS SUPPORTING MARSHALL KORSHAK FOR POSITION, STATE DIRECTOR OF REVENUE, HIGHLY SENSITIVE POST WITH MANY OPPORTUNITIES FOR GRAFT. KNOWLEDGABLE SOURCE INDICATES GOVERNOR KERNER DEFINITELY INTENDS KORSHAK APPOINTMENT. NOTED BUREAU AUTHORIZED DISSEMINATION INFO INVOLVING KORSHAK IN BRIBERY NYSLA OFFICIALS TO [redacted] SUCH WAS DONE BY SAC, CHICAGO, TWO WEEKS AGO. [redacted] HAS MADE INQUIRY OF NY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS OFFICE THIS MATTER AND RECEIVED CONFIRMATION OF KORSHAK'S ALLEGED CONNECTION. [redacted] INTERVIEWED KORSHAK ASKING DIRECT QUESTION WHETHER KORSHAK INVOLVED IN BRIBERY WITH [redacted] CHAIRMAN NYSLA,* AND [redacted] KORSHAK REPEATEDLY REFUSED COMMENT. [redacted] HAS AUTHORIZED STORY ON BASIS KORSHAK'S REFUSAL TO DENY INVOLVEMENT WHICH WILL BE FORTHCOMING THIS WEEK. [redacted] THAT STORY ALONE NOT SUFFICIENT TO BLOCK KORSHAK APPOINTMENT. [redacted] DIRECTOR

(b)(7)(c)

* New York State Liquor Authority

REC 5 92-3088

1 MAR 24 1965

RECEIVED-DATE

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☒ RADIO ☐ TELETYPE

PAGE TWO, FROM CHICAGO 221742

(b)(7)(c)

ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT PUBLIC SAFETY, WHO HAS GREAT INFLUENCE WITH KERNER, AND MADE KNOWN FACT KORSHAK REFUSED TO COMMENT RE INVOLVEMENT. [redacted] ADVISED ADVERSE PUBLICITY RE KORSHAK FORTHCOMING. [redacted] THAT HE WILL CONTACT KERNER IMMEDIATE FUTURE THIS REGARD. DETAILED AIRTEL FOLLOWS.

RECEIVED: 2:49 PM [redacted]

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64).

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

8:30 PM CST DEFERRED 3-23-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 232203

Anti-racketeering

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

(b)(7)(c)

REC 61

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED AFTER HUMPHREYS RETURNED TO CHICAGO MARCH 22 LAST HE IMMEDIATELY DEPARTED FOR OFFICE [redacted] HE THEN MET WITH OTHER MEMBERS HIS GROUP FOR REGULAR LUNCHEON MEETING, LOCATION NOT DETERMINED.

ANOTHER SOURCE ADVISED MIKE BRODKIN SCHEDULED MEET WITH HUMPHREYS GROUP AT PETE FISH'S RESTAURANT MARCH 22. BRODKIN HAS SECURED LIST OF CURRENT VENIREMEN COOK COUNTY CRIMINAL COURTS. HUMPHREYS GROUP PREPARING TO INITIATE INVESTIGATION THESE VENIREMEN TO DETERMINE POSSIBILITY OF AVENUE OF APPROACH SO BRODKIN WILL HAVE BENEFIT OF KNOWING WHICH MAY BE SUSCEPTIBLE TO APPROACH WHEN CHOOSING JURY IN STATE CASE VS. [redacted] ALTHOUGH JUDGE [redacted] DID NOT DECLARE MISTRIAL AT REQUEST HOODLUMS, BRODKIN FEELS HIS ACTION BENEFICIAL TO GROUP SINCE THEY WERE UNABLE TO FIND JUROR THEY COULD APPROACH WITH REASONABLE EXPECTATION OF SUCCESS. JUDGE NOW HEARING TRIAL IS [redacted] WHO HAS EXCELLENT REPUTATION. TRIAL DATE MARCH 27.

ILL.

REC 61

12 MAR 25 1965

HUMPHREYS DISCUSSED WITH GODFREY SITUATION INVOLVING ASSAULT EARLY MONRING MARCH 20 LAST OF U. S. NARCOTICS AGENT

FILE

56 MAR 31 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

PAGE TWO CG 232203

(b)(7)(c)

1LL [redacted] IN MGM LOUNGE CICERO. HUMPHREYS MISTAKINGLY FELT [redacted] IS FBI AGENT AND GROUP IN FOR CONSIDERABLE TROUBLE AS RESULT OF ATTACK. NOTED [redacted] ASSAULTED WITH BLACK JACK BY MINOR HOODLUM [redacted] IN WELL KNOWN HOODLUM HANGOUT OWNED BY [redacted] CICERO HOODLUM, AFTER [redacted] WAS RECOGNIZED DURING EARLY MORNING HOURS INVESTIGATION THERE. [redacted] WELL KNOWN TO CICERO AREA HOODLUMS SINCE HE RECENTLY INVESTIGATED CASE INVOLVING [redacted] RECENTLY CONVICTED GANG LAND MURDER OF NARCOTICS BUREAU INFORMANT ANTHONY MOSCHIANO. [redacted] CALLED "TURNCOAT DAGO COP" AND HOSPITALIZED WITH SERIOUS INJURIES BY [redacted]

GODFREY HAS INFORMATION [redacted] ACCOMPANIED BY GIRL AND WAS NOT ACTUALLY ON OFFICIAL BUSINESS. HUMPHREYS INDICATED HE WILL EXPLORE ENTIRE SITUATION FURTHER.

1LL

RECEIVED: 9:49 PM [redacted]

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

NA 3/24/65 [redacted]

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☒ RADIO ☐ TELETYPE

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

R-28
DEFERRED 3-24-65
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 242150

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

(b)(7)(c)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED GODFREY ADVISED HUMPHREYS MARCH 24 [redacted] WOULD NOT MEET WITH HUMPHREYS GROUP AT LUNCH THAT DATE BUT SENT WORD HE WOULD BE AVAILABLE NEXT DAY. HUMPHREYS INDICATED NEVERTHELESS HE INTENDED REST OF GROUP TO MEET WITH HIM. GODFREY ADVISED HE HAD MEETING "OUT WEST THIS MORNING" WHICH USUALLY INDICATES MEETING WITH SAM GIANCANA TO BE ADVISED OF MESSAGES GIANCANA WANTS TO SEND TO HUMPHREYS GROUP. GODFREY ADVISED HE WOULD REPORT TO HUMPHREYS AT NOON. HUMPHREYS CAUTIONED GODFREY TO BE VERY CAREFUL OF SURVEILLANCES AND REFERRED TO INTENSIFIED INVESTIGATION OF GODFREY BY TRS AND "IF THEY'RE ON YOU SO CLOSE WE MUST ASSUME THEY MUST BE ON THE REST OF US EVEN CLOSER."

GODFREY REPORTED UNIDENTIFIED RESTAURANTEUR CONTACTED CONDUCTED HIM PREVIOUS DAY WITH REQUEST GODFREY ASK HUMPHREYS FOR JOB FOR RESTAURANTEUR. HUMPHREYS INDICATED NO SYMPATHY FOR THIS SINCE HE HAD PREVIOUSLY SUGGESTED RESTAURANTEUR ABANDON HIS RESTAURANT AFTER OBTAINING $5,000 LOAN FROM [redacted] FOR THIS PERSON. [redacted] IS FORMER PARTNER HUMPHREYS IN SUPERIOR LAUNDRY AND LINEN SUPPLY COMPANY.

HUMPHREYS HAS GIVEN UP ON BLOCKING ANTI CRIME BILLS IN ILLINOIS HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES AND IS NOW CONCENTRATING ON SENATE. HUMPHREYS PLANNED DINNER NIGHT OF MARCH 24 WITH SAM ALEX.

92-3088-1306

RECEIVED: 9:07 PM [redacted]

REC 61 4 MAR 25 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be s paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

6:33 PM CST DEFERRED 3-25-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 252019

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY. (b)(7)(c) [redacted]

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HUMPHREYS CONCERNED OVER INVESTIGATIONS OF HIM. DOORBELL RANG TWICE AM MARCH 25. HUMPHREYS REFUSED TO ANSWER, WHEN GODFREY LATER ARRIVED HUMPHREYS INSTRUCTED HIM TO CHECK WITH DOORMAN AS TO IDENTITY HIS VISITOR. HUMPHREYS INDICATED HE DID NOT ANSWER DOOR EVEN THOUGH HE COULD SEE THROUGH PEEPHOLE VISITOR LOOKED LIKE DELIVERY BOY BECAUSE "I THOUGHT IT MIGHT BE THE G TRYING TO SERVE A PAPER ON ME. I'DON'T PUT NOTHING PAST THOSE (OBSCENES)."

GODFREY THEN REPORTED TO HUMPHREYS RE MEETING "OUT WEST" WHICH HE MENTIONED AS SET OUT MYTEL SUMMARY MARCH 24. REPORTED BUTCH BLASI, BODY GUARD OF GIANCANA WHO IS ALSO REAL ESTATE SALESMAN, IS INVOLVED IN A LAND PROJECT RIVER GROVE, ILLINOIS. CHICAGO ATTORNEY [redacted] IS REPRESENTING PURCHASER AND ATTEMPTING TO NEGOTIATE PURCHASE AT TERMS SUITABLE TO HIS CLIENT. BLASI ASKED GIANCANA FOR PERMISSION TO TALK TO HUMPHREYS TO HAVE HUMPHREYS CONTACT [redacted] BECAUSE [redacted] "DON'T KNOW WHO BUTCH IS." HUMPHREYS INDICATED HE WOULD CONTACT [redacted] MARCH 25. [redacted] IS CHICAGO ATTORNEY WHO IS REPRESENTING HUMPHREYS IN HIS SUIT AGAINST CHICAGO PD. GODFREY TOOK HUMPHREYS TO HOSPITAL SINCE HUMPHREYS UNABLE TO SLEEP LAST TWO NIGHTS. HOWEVER NOT BELIEVED TO BE SERIOUSLY ILL.

92-3888

RECEIVED 8:03 PM [redacted]

REC-39

16 MAR 26 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

FD-263 (Rev. 5-1-59)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE	OFFICE OF ORIGIN	DATE	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD
CHICAGO	CHICAGO	3/29/65	1/20/65 - 3/15/65

TITLE OF CASE

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka

REPORT MADE BY: SA [redacted]

TYPED BY: [redacted]

CHARACTER OF CASE: AR

(b)(7)(C)

REFERENCE: Report of SA [redacted] dated 2/3/65 at Chicago.

- P -

ENCLOSURES

TO BUREAU

Two copies of a letterhead memorandum characterizing the informants utilized in instant report.

LEADS

MIAMI

(b)(7)(D)

12 AT MIAMI, FLORIDA. Continue to maintain contact with [redacted] who are familiar with occurrences in the vicinity of 210 Harbor Drive, Key Biscayne, Florida, the current

APPROVED: NM

SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE

COPIES MADE:

3 - Bureau (92-3088) ([redacted]) (RM)
1 - USA, Chicago
3 - Miami (62-3993) (RM)
(1 - USA, Miami)
2 - Oklahoma City (92-179)
2 - Chicago (92-348)

DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW

92-3088-1328

REC 37

16 MAR 31 1965

E-102

Dissemination Record of Attached Report

Agency				
Request Recd.	1-D	4-9-65		
Date Fwd.	1-D	4-D		
How Fwd.				
By				

Notations

NIN STAT. SECT.

70 APR 12 1965

residence of HUMPHREYS' second wife, BETTY JEANNE. The purpose of this contact is to make sure that the Miami Office will be immediately advised should HUMPHREYS visit his second wife, in order that Miami may immediately bring this to the attention of the Chicago Office.

OKLAHOMA CITY

(b)(7)(c):(D)

AT NORMAN, OKLAHOMA. Maintain contact with [redacted] The purpose of this contact is to insure that should HUMPHREYS visit his first family, such contact will immediately be made known to the Oklahoma City Division in order that the Chicago Office may be advised in the event that the Chicago Office is unaware of such travel. In this regard, Oklahoma City is advised that information has been obtained indicating that Mrs. MARY CLEMMA HUMPHREYS and her grandson, [redacted] are as of this report, traveling in Europe.

CHICAGO

AT CHICAGO, ILLINOIS. Continue to conduct and report investigation concerning the activities of MURRAY HUMPHREYS in consonance with Bureau letter to Chicago dated November 27, 1957, entitled, "TOP HOODLUM PROGRAM; ANTI-RACKETEERING," and in conformity with Bureau letter dated February 10, 1961, entitled "MURRAY HUMPHREYS, AR," and Bureau letter to New York dated April 12, 1961, entitled, "CRIMINAL INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM."

ADMINISTRATIVE

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(c):(D)

Such information provided by [redacted] which is believed susceptible to paraphrasing, has been included in

COVER PAGE

the body of this report. It is the considered opinion of the Chicago Office that this information has been paraphrased in such manner that it does not represent any threat to the security of this source. At the same time, it enables the details of this report to present a complete and well-rounded picture of the activities and associations of HUMPHREYS.

INFORMANTS

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(C):(D)

[redacted] - [redacted]

[redacted] - [redacted]

[redacted] - [redacted]

[redacted] - [redacted] to SA [redacted]

[redacted] - [redacted]

[redacted] - [redacted]

[redacted] - [redacted]

[redacted] - [redacted] (upon request)

COVER PAGE

FD-204 (Rev. 3-8-59)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to: 1 - USA, Chicago
1 - USA, Miami

Report of: SA [redacted]
Date: 3/21/68

Office: Chicago

Field Office File #: 92-348

Bureau File #: 92-3088

Title: MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS

(b)(7)(c)

Character: ANTI-RACKETEERING

Synopsis: HUMPHREYS continues residence at 300 North State Street, in Apartment 5131, East Tower, in apartment complex known as Marina City. Although another leader of organized crime has primary responsibility for contact with Chicago area labor union leaders, HUMPHREYS maintains contact with four of these leaders. These are MICHAEL KENNEDY, Local 134, IBEW; JOHN T. O'BRIEN, International Vice President, Teamsters Union; [redacted] of Local 46 of Laundry Workers and [redacted] of Local 593 of Hotel - Motel Service Workers. HUMPHREYS currently opposing passage of anti-crime bills pending before current session of Illinois State General Assembly in Springfield. Eighteen current associates of HUMPHREYS identified herein, including Chicago hoodlums, Detroit hoodlum , Chicago politicians, labor leaders, a legislator, a former legislator, and a businessman. Six current hangouts and meeting places of HUMPHREYS identified herein. HUMPHREYS current message drop identified. HUMPHREYS recently traveled to Norman, Oklahoma, to visit first wife and daughter and believed to have traveled to Miami, Florida area to visit second wife.

- P -

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

CG 92-348

DETAILS: AT CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

I. PERSONAL HISTORY AND BACKGROUND

Residence

Apartment 5131
300 North State Street
(Marina City)
Chicago, Illinois

(b)(7)(c):(D)

[redacted] has advised on several occasions that MURRAY HUMPHREYS, as of March 15, 1965, continues to maintain Apartment 5131 in the East Tower of the apartment complex known as Marina City at 300 North State Street, on the near North Side of Chicago, Illinois.

II. RESPONSIBILITY OF HUMPHREYS TO MAINTAIN CONTACT WITH CERTAIN CHICAGO LABOR LEADERS

[redacted] has advised on three or four occasions in the month of February, 1965, that it is the current responsibility of Chicago Hoodlum [redacted] to maintain contact with leaders of labor unions in the general Chicago area in order to insure that wherever possible these labor leaders provide favorable treatment for members of organized crime. The informant advised, however, that this responsibility formerly was carried by MURRAY HUMPHREYS and that HUMPHREYS continues as of the present time to maintain contact with at least four leaders of organized labor in the Chicago area for the reason that he was the one who initially developed a close relationship with these specific leaders and therefore feels that he can do more with these particular leaders than can [redacted] or any other leader of organized crime. This informant

identified the following as being the labor leaders who are currently being contacted along these lines by HUMPHREYS:

MICHAEL J. KENNEDY, Local 134, International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers in Chicago.

JOHN TIMOTHY "SANDY" O'BRIEN, First Vice President of the International Brotherhood of Teamsters and Secretary-Treasurer of Local 710 of the International Brotherhood of Teamsters in Chicago.

(b)(7)(c)

[redacted] of Local 46 of the Laundry, Cleaning And Dyehouse Workers Union in Chicago.

[redacted] of Local 593, Hotel - Motel Service Workers, Drug Store, Sports Events and Industrial Catering Employees, Chicago.

The informant advised that in respect to the relationship with KENNEDY, this situation is more or less on a divided basis in that both [redacted] and HUMPHREYS are in contact with KENNEDY. The informant advised that a business agent of Local 134 of the IBEW, [redacted] is used by [redacted] as an intermediary between [redacted] and KENNEDY but that HUMPHREYS contacts KENNEDY directly whenever he has a problem which he wishes the IBEW to handle. The informant advised that in respect to the relationship with the Hotel - Motel Service Employees, HUMPHREYS has two other individuals whom he can contact in regard to any problem he might have involving that union. These individuals are [redacted] the former bodyguard and chauffeur of HUMPHREYS who is now a business agent with Local 593, and [redacted] who, according to the informant, at this time occupies no official position with Local 593 or Local 450 of Cicero, Illinois, but who still maintains considerable influence

in the Hotel - Motel Service Workers Union and in the Bartenders Restaurant and Club Employees Union in Cicero.

III. INTEREST OF HUMPHREYS IN OPPOSING ANTI-CRIME BILLS PENDING IN 74TH ILLINOIS GENERAL ASSEMBLY IN SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS

(b)(7)(C):(D)

[redacted] advised that as of the 15th of March, 1965, MURRAY HUMPHREYS has been spending considerable time in an attempt to influence legislators in the 74th General Assembly in Springfield, against the passage of the anti-crime bills which are currently pending before that legislative body. This informant advised that HUMPHREYS is attempting to use all the labor unions in the state of Illinois in an attempt to insure that the immunity bill in particular is not passed. The informant also advised that HUMPHREYS is fighting against the wire tap bill, the gambling bill and the bill extending the life of local grand juries to eighteen months. This informant advised that HUMPHREYS is using the services of [redacted] in this regard. It is noted that [redacted] in the 73rd General Assembly, was the [redacted] of the House of Representatives. He was not slated for re-election as a State Representative when certain elements of the Republican Party joined together to block his candidacy due to their firm suspicions that [redacted] was being used in behalf of hoodlum interests. [redacted] is therefore no longer a member of the House of Representatives but is being used by HUMPHREYS to contact certain members of the house and senate in Springfield. The informant noted that [redacted] is from Antioch, Illinois, and that he has been friendly with Chicago Hoodlum LESLIE KRUSE for many years and that it was KRUSE who put HUMPHREYS in contact with [redacted] The informant also advised that HUMPHREYS has been in contact with [redacted] in regard to his purpose in defeating the anti-crime bills.

(b)(7)(c):(D)

It is noted that [redacted] is in much the same position as [redacted] in that he was an influential member of several recent general assemblies but was not reslated on the Republican ticket for the same reasons that [redacted] was not. [redacted] remains as the [redacted] of the Regular Republican Organization of the First Ward of the City of Chicago and in this position, maintains some influence over Illinois legislators, particularly Republican legislators. The informant also noted that HUMPHREYS has been using O'BRIEN, KENNEDY, [redacted] and [redacted] all identified above, to send telegrams and letters on behalf of their unions to members of the General Assembly and to instruct their membership to do likewise.

IV. CURRENT ASSOCIATES OF HUMPHREYS

ANTHONY ACCARDO,
Also Known as
JOE BATTERS

ILL

[redacted] advised that during the months of February and early March, 1965, HUMPHREYS was in contact with Chicago Hoodlum Leader TONY ACCARDO on at least two occasions. The informant advised that on one occasion, HUMPHREYS met with ACCARDO at Jacques Restaurant, 900 North Michigan.

[redacted] has identified ACCARDO as being a long time associate of HUMPHREYS in that both have been leaders of organized crime in the Chicago area since approximately 1930.

[redacted]

ILL

[redacted] advised that HUMPHREYS during February and the first part of March, 1965, has been meeting with [redacted] almost on a daily basis concerning problems of organized crime in the Chicago area.

(b)(7)(C):(D)

The informant advised that HUMPHREYS and [redacted] along with two other leaders of organized crime in Chicago, generally meet during the noon hour at public restaurants in the loop and near North Side of Chicago.

[redacted] has identified [redacted] as being one of HUMPHREYS closest associates and as being a leader of organized crime in Chicago.

[redacted]

[redacted] advised that HUMPHREYS met with [redacted] on at least two occasions during February and the first half of March, 1965. The informant advised that one of these meetings occurred in a Chinese restaurant on Lake Street in the loop area of Chicago and the other at Jacques Restaurant, 900 North Michigan.

ILL

[redacted] has identified [redacted] as being an associate of HUMPHREYS, although not a particularly close one, in that both are leaders of organized crime in the Chicago area. [redacted] advised that [redacted] has certain responsibilities for organized crime on the West Side of Chicago and in certain western suburbs of Chicago.

[redacted]

ILL

[redacted] and that he met with [redacted] at a Chinese restaurant on Lake Street in the loop area of Chicago.

[redacted] advised that although he never became aware of the identity of the hoodlum from Detroit, he knows that on February 23, 1965, HUMPHREYS met with [redacted]

(b)(7)(c):(D)

[redacted] and with Chicago Attorney [redacted] on Lake Street in the loop area of Chicago, in a public restaurant during the noon hour.

PAUL DE LUCIA,
~~Also Known as~~
PAUL RICCA

[redacted] advised that MURRAY HUMPHREYS met with PAUL DE LUCIA on four or five occasions during the latter part of February, 1965, in regard to the deportation case which was pending at that time against DE LUCIA.

[redacted] has identified DE LUCIA as being a long time close associate of HUMPHREYS in that both have been leaders of organized crime in the Chicago area since the 1920's.

SAM GIANCANA

During the mid afternoon of February 10, 1965, SAs MARSHALL E. RUTLAND and [redacted] observed MURRAY HUMPHREYS meeting with SAM GIANCANA in a booth in the Oakside Restaurant on Roosevelt Road and Oak Park Avenue in Oak Park, Illinois.

From the conversation of GIANCANA and HUMPHREYS which was overheard by the agents, it appeared that their conversation concerned efforts to influence members of the Illinois General Assembly against anti-crime bills which were at that time pending there.

[redacted] advised that although HUMPHREYS and GIANCANA are not in frequent contact with each other, they do have lines of communication open to them and do meet occasionally due to the fact that both are leaders

of organized crime in this area. The informant advised that generally these meetings are lined up through DOMINIC "BUTCH" BLASI, a chauffeur and bodyguard for GIANCANA.

[redacted] has identified GIANCANA as being the current number one leader of organized crime in the Chicago area as of March 1, 1965.

[redacted]

[redacted] advised that on at least two occasions MURRAY HUMPHREYS met with [redacted] during the latter part of February and the early part of March, 1965. This informant identified [redacted] as being the [redacted] of the First Ward of Chicago and as being a former member of the Illinois State General Assembly, House of Representatives. The informant advised that the purpose of the contacts between HUMPHREYS and [redacted] concerned HUMPHREYS' efforts to influence members of the Illinois General Assembly against the passage of the anti-crime bills.

MICHAEL J. KENNEDY

Attention is directed to the information appearing hereinabove under the caption "Responsibility of Humphreys to Maintain Contact with Certain Labor Leaders in the Chicago Area". It is noted that HUMPHREYS and KENNEDY have been associates, according to CG T-1, for at least twenty years.

[redacted]

[redacted] advised that MURRAY HUMPHREYS is believed to have been in touch with [redacted] on at least one occasion during February, 1965. The informant identified [redacted] as being the Regular Democratic Ward

(b)(7)(C):(D)

Committeeman of the [redacted] Ward of the City of Chicago and a member of the Ward of Trustees of the Metropolitan Sanitary District of Greater Chicago. He also identified [redacted] as being a former State Senator and stated that the purpose of the contact between HUMPHREYS and [redacted] was in furtherance of the desires of HUMPHREYS that the anti-crime bills pending in the Illinois State General Assembly not be passed. The informant advised that it appeared that HUMPHREYS desire that [redacted] indicate his opposition to these bills to the legislators under his influence.

[redacted]

[redacted] advised that MURRAY HUMPHREYS and [redacted] occasionally meet on Sundays to discuss matters of mutual interest. The informant advised that February 28, 1965, was a specific example of such a meeting and noted that [redacted] and HUMPHREYS met for breakfast in a public restaurant in the loop area of Chicago on this occasion.

Calif
NEV
ILL

[redacted] has identified [redacted] as being a Chicago, Los Angeles and Las Vegas attorney who has been under the influence of HUMPHREYS for 25 years or more. This informant identified [redacted] as being a direct representative of HUMPHREYS in the Las Vegas, Nevada area.

LESLIE KRUSE

ILL

[redacted] advised that LESLIE KRUSE and MURRAY HUMPHREYS meet two or three times per week on the average to discuss interests of organized crime inasmuch as both are prominent leaders of organized crime in the Chicago area.

[redacted]

ILL

[redacted] and [redacted] have advised that MURRAY

(b)(7)(c):(b)

HUMPHREYS is in contact with [redacted] on the average of once a month. This informant identified [redacted] as being the [redacted] of the Regular Democratic Organization of the First Ward of Chicago, Illinois.

[redacted] advised that [redacted] carries the orders of SAM GIANCANA, the number one leader of organized crime in Chicago, to other politicians and public officials in the Chicago area.

[redacted] advised that HUMPHREYS recently has been in contact with [redacted] concerning his efforts to defeat current legislation in the Illinois General Assembly.

[redacted]

[redacted] advised that one [redacted] a businessman in the Chicago area who has a financial interest in the [redacted] with Chicago Hoodlum [redacted] is in occasional contact with MURRAY HUMPHREYS inasmuch as [redacted] has some type of contact in the Chicago Police Department which enables him to learn of investigations and contemplated investigations on the part of the Intelligence Unit of the Chicago Police Department. Inasmuch as these investigations are of great interest to HUMPHREYS, HUMPHREYS maintains close liaison with [redacted]

[redacted]

Attention is directed to the information set out above under the caption "RESPONSIBILITY OF HUMPHREYS TO MAINTAIN CONTACT WITH CERTAIN CHICAGO LABOR LEADERS" wherein [redacted] is identified as being used by HUMPHREYS in his efforts to defeat the anti-crime legislation currently pending before the 74th General Assembly.

CG 92-348

(b)(7)(C):(D)

[redacted]

[redacted] advised that [redacted] is in occasional contact with HUMPHREYS inasmuch as both are leaders of organized crime in the Chicago area. 1-L

[redacted] identified [redacted] as being a leader of organized crime in the Lawndale District of Chicago and in the Rogers Park area of Chicago.

RALPH PIERCE

[redacted] advised that MURRAY HUMPHREYS and RALPH PIERCE meet with each other practically every day of the week due to the fact that both are leaders of organized crime in the Chicago area. This informant advised that these meetings generally occur in public restaurants in the loop area and on the near north side of Chicago, during the noon hour. 1-L

[redacted] has identified PIERCE as being the leader of organized crime on the South Side of Chicago and as being perhaps HUMPHREYS closest associate. This informant advised that PIERCE at one time was the bodyguard and chauffeur for HUMPHREYS.

[redacted]

[redacted] advised that [redacted] is a member of the House of Representatives of the 74th General Assembly in Springfield, Illinois. He comes from the South Side of Chicago. [redacted] advised that HUMPHREYS has been in contact with [redacted] and is using [redacted] in his efforts to defeat the anti-crime bill currently pending before the 74th General Assembly.

[redacted]

Attention is invited to the information appearing under the caption entitled "INTEREST OF HUMPHREYS IN OPPOSING

(b)(7)(c):(b)

ANTI-CRIME BILLS PENDING IN 74TH ILLINOIS GENERAL ASSEMBLY IN SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS," wherein [redacted] of Local 46 of the Laundry and Dyehouse Workers Union, is identified as being a contact of HUMPHREYS.

[redacted] advised that HUMPHREYS, during February and early March, 1965, was in repeated contact with [redacted] in regard to HUMPHREYS efforts to block the anti-crime bills which were then pending before the Illinois General Assembly.

V. HANGOUTS AND MEETING PLACES OF HUMPHREYS

Office of Chicago Attorney [redacted]
Chicago, Illinois

[redacted] advised that during February and the first half of March, 1965, MURRAY HUMPHREYS visited the offices of Chicago Attorney [redacted] at [redacted] across the street from City Hall, in the loop area of Chicago, practically on a daily basis during the mid morning hours. This informant advised that he was unable to furnish the identities of those associates of HUMPHREYS contacted in the offices of [redacted] by HUMPHREYS and was unable to furnish any information concerning the nature of these meetings except that [redacted] was assisting HUMPHREYS in the preparation of the Federal Income Tax return of HUMPHREYS for the calendar year 1964. This informant identified [redacted] as the tax attorney for practically every hoodlum in the Chicago area.

Pete Fish's Restaurant
Ontario and St. Clair Streets
Chicago, Illinois

(b)(7)(c):(D)

[redacted] advised that MURRAY HUMPHREYS is increasingly in the habit of making meets with his hoodlum associates in a private dining room located on the third floor of Pete Fish's Restaurant. Informant advised that MIKE FISH, brother of PETE FISH, is a very close personal friend of HUMPHREYS and that HUMPHREYS trusts MIKE FISH to inform him in the event of any activity on the part of any law enforcement agency in the Chicago area. He therefore feels that although law enforcement may know of his meetings at Pete Fish's Restaurant, he is protected by the management so that his meetings in the private dining room on the third floor are not compromised by any investigation.

Jacque's Restaurant
900 North Michigan
Chicago, Illinois

[redacted] advised that MURRAY HUMPHREYS during February and early March, 1965, occasionally used Jacque's Restaurant as a place to meet with his hoodlum associates. Information is set out hereinabove under the caption "TONY ACCARDO" indicating that HUMPHREYS and ACCARDO met there during the above period of time.

The Lowenbrau ,
Lower Wacker Drive
and Michigan Avenue,
Chicago, Illinois

[redacted] advised that very infrequently HUMPHREYS meets with his associates in the Lowenbrau Inn. The informant advised, however, that Chicago Hoodlum Leader [redacted] uses the Lowenbrau Inn on a much more frequent basis than does HUMPHREYS and that generally it is at the wishes of [redacted] that HUMPHREYS meets there.

Stouffer's Restaurants
Chicago, Illinois

(b)(7)(D)

[redacted] advised that HUMPHREYS meets with his associates at two Stouffer's Restaurants located in the loop area of Chicago. One of these is located on the Northwest corner of Randolph and Wabash and the other is located adjacent to the Prudential Building in the Prudential Plaza just east of Chicago's loop. In the latter restaurant, the informant advised that HUMPHREYS and his associates most frequently use the Gibraltar Room which is located on the second floor level of the Stouffer's Restaurant in the Prudential Plaza.

Trader Vic's Restaurant
Palmer House Hotel
Chicago, Illinois

[redacted] advised that HUMPHREYS frequently used Trader Vic's Restaurant located on a lower level of the Palmer House Hotel as a meeting place with his associates during February and the early part of March, 1965.

VI. MESSAGE CENTER UTILIZED BY MURRAY HUMPHREYS

[redacted] advised that during February and early March, 1965, MURRAY HUMPHREYS utilized Celano's Custom Tailors on Michigan Boulevard on the near North Side of Chicago as a message drop to receive and leave messages from and for his hoodlum associates.

VII. TRAVEL

Norman, Oklahoma

[redacted] advised that MURRAY HUMPHREYS visited his first wife, BILLIE, and his daughter and grandson

(b)(7)(C):(D)

in the Norman, Oklahoma area on two occasions during early 1965. The first occasion was on January 20, 1965, and the second occasion was on January 30, 1965. [redacted]

Miami, Florida

[redacted] advised that over the weekend of March 13 and 14, 1965, HUMPHREYS left the Chicago area. This informant was unable to state as a matter of fact but advised that HUMPHREYS indicated to him that he was traveling to visit his second wife, BETTY JEANNE, at her residence in Key Biscayne, Florida, for a brief period of time.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to File No.

Chicago, Illinois
3/29/65

Title: MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS

Character: ANTI-RACKETEERING

Reference: Report of Special Agent [redacted] Jr., dated and captioned as above, at Chicago.

(b)(7)(c)

All sources (except any listed below) whose identities are concealed in referenced communication, have furnished reliable information in the past.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. It is the property of the Federal Bureau of Investigation and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

1 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552

☐ (b)(1)
☐ (b)(2)
☐ (b)(3)
_____
_____
_____
☐ (b)(4)
☐ (b)(5)
☐ (b)(6)
☐ (b)(7)(A)
☐ (b)(7)(B)
☑ (b)(7)(C)
☑ (b)(7)(D)
☐ (b)(7)(E)
☐ (b)(7)(F)
☐ (b)(8)
☐ (b)(9)

Section 552a

☐ (d)(5)
☐ (j)(2)
☐ (k)(1)
☐ (k)(2)
☐ (k)(3)
☐ (k)(4)
☐ (k)(5)
☐ (k)(6)
☐ (k)(7)

☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1328 (pg 17)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

FD-36 (Rev. 10-29-63)

FBI

Date: 3/22/65

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (92-350 SUB 11) JUNE

SUBJECT: MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka.
AR

REC-9

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(C):(D)

SUMMARY

[redacted] and [redacted] furnished only limited information during the latter part of the week of 3/14/65, due to the fact that HUMPHREYS left Chicago on Wednesday evening to travel to Norman, Oklahoma, where he intended to remain until Sunday evening, 3/21/65, and due to the fact that MIKE BRODKIN was almost totally concerned with the defense of Chicago Top Hoodlum [redacted] in the Criminal Courts of Cook County. He therefore spent very little time in his office.

However, on 3/17/65, BRODKIN did spend a little time in his office due to the fact that Judge [redacted] who is presiding over the [redacted] trial, at that time was "sick" and unable to appear in court. It is believed that in actuality, Judge [redacted] was intoxicated as, apparently he has been for the past two or three weeks, even while presiding over the [redacted] case. Information has been received indicating that he fell off the bench during the mid afternoon of 3/16/65 and was unable to continue.

(3) - Bureau
1 - Chicago

[redacted]
(4)

EX-101

92-1329

MAR 24 1965

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

6 APR 5 1965

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(C); (D)

BRODKIN appeared in his office during the early afternoon hours of 3/17/65, and indicated that he was there after all the participants in the trial waited until noon for Judge [redacted] who "never showed up".

BRODKIN then made a telephone call to Postl's Health Club in an attempt to locate Chicago Top Hoodlum [redacted] but apparently was informed that [redacted] had not arrived for the day.

[redacted] BRODKIN then made a telephone call to [redacted] and asked whether or not he was feeling okay. Apparently this telephone call was made to the residence of Judge [redacted].

It is noted that considerable information has been submitted to the Bureau in my daily summary teletypes and in my summary airtels from [redacted] and from [redacted] indicating that MURRAY HUMPHREYS is spearheading the opposition of the organized criminals in Illinois to the anti-crime legislation currently pending before the 74th General Assembly in Springfield, Illinois. It is noted that wire tap legislation proposed by the Illinois Crime Commission was killed by the House Judiciary Committee on 3/17/65 by a vote of 26 to 3. Although this was the second time that authorization for wire tapping by law enforcement agencies in Illinois had failed to pass the judiciary, this should not be taken to indicate that such legislation will not be passed. It is noted that the two bills which have been defeated were supported and drafted by committees other than the one proposed by the committee on organized crime which was organized by Mayor RICHARD J. DALEY of Chicago. The bill on wire tapping drafted by that committee, although more limited than the one drafted by the Illinois Crime Committee, is still alive in the General Assembly and will be considered later. As [redacted] has indicated, HUMPHREYS feels that his problem consists of the support which Mayor DALEY is giving to the anti-crime

legislation and to the resultant pressure which he is putting on legislators under his influence and control. Inasmuch as it would obviously be expected that Mayor DALEY would be supporting the bill drafted by his own committee, no conclusion should be attached to the defeat of the first two wire tap bills which have been considered by the committee even though their defeat has been by a very substantial margin.

(b)(7)(c)

It may be of interest to note that on the same day that the House Judiciary Committee of the Illinois General Assembly defeated by a 26 to 3 vote the wire tap bill authorizing wire tapping, this committee voted unanimously for passage of a bill increasing the penalty for illegal wire tapping. Making this of interest is the fact that former State Senator [redacted] of Danville, Illinois, along with others, testified in support of this legislation by saying that there is considerable evidence that there is "a tremendous amount of wire tapping going on". It is noted that [redacted] has indicated that HUMPHREYS has instructed [redacted] to contact BUSTER WORTMAN concerning a person whose identity was not clear to the source but who is obviously from Danville, Illinois. The Springfield Office has interpreted this information as indicating that [redacted] is the person referred to by HUMPHREYS.

Also of possible interest is an article which appeared in the "Chicago Sun Times" on 3/18/65, entitled "Korshak Boomed For State Revenue Chief". This article indicated that a "consurgent move was underway to obtain the appointment of Marshall Korshak as the next Illinois Director of Revenue." It is noted that the previous Director of Revenue, THEODORE [redacted], resigned after revelations of his conflict of interests in that while the Director of Revenue he was an officer of envelope firms which were doing business with the State of Illinois. The article indicated that "Korshak admits freely he is unhappy at the Sanitary District where Wrangling has reached a new high."

Concerning KORSHAK it is noted that the Bureau has approved the dissemination of information concerning

Change 9/1

(b)(7)(c):(D)

the direct involvement of KORSHAK in the bribery of the New York State Liquor Authority to [redacted] This information has been given to [redacted] at the present time is active in the documentation of this information so that he can prepare a story showing the link of KORSHAK to the New York State Liquor Authority and to [redacted] KORSHAK's intermediary in this situation, who has been convicted of bribery of the NYSLA in New York City. The Bureau will be advised concerning further developments in this situation. However, it is felt that in the event that [redacted] is able to complete his story shortly, the "boom" in favor of KORSHAK can be headed off. It is noted also that the Director of Revenue for the State of Illinois is in a position to profit very handsomely in the event he is so inclined by favoring individuals and businesses inasmuch as this department supervises the compliance of individuals and businesses with such state taxes as the personal property tax and the sale tax laws. The attention of the Bureau is invited to the voluminous information received from this source that [redacted] of the Chicago Office of the Illinois State Department of Revenue, has been corrupted so that several businesses have received reduced sales tax assessments. [redacted]

3/22/65, As set out in my teletype this caption, [redacted] contacted [redacted]

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

3 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552

☐ (b)(1)
☐ (b)(2)
☐ (b)(3)
_____
_____
_____
☐ (b)(4)
☐ (b)(5)
☐ (b)(6)
☐ (b)(7)(A)
☐ (b)(7)(B)
☑ (b)(7)(C)
☐ (b)(7)(D)
☐ (b)(7)(E)
☐ (b)(7)(F)
☐ (b)(8)
☐ (b)(9)

Section 552a

☐ (d)(5)
☐ (j)(2)
☐ (k)(1)
☐ (k)(2)
☐ (k)(3)
☐ (k)(4)
☐ (k)(5)
☐ (k)(6)
☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1329 (pgs 5 thru 7)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

NA 3/26/65 [redacted]

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☒ RADIO ☐ TELETYPE

R-100
DEFERRED 3-26-65
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 261738

(b)(7)(C) [redacted]

ANTIRACKETEERING

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE FURNISHED NO PERTINENT INFO DURING DAILY MEETING BETWEEN HUMPHREYS AND HY GODFREY.

COLUMINIST CHICAGO SUN TIMES MARCH 26 QUOTED CONSIDERABLE SPECULATION TOUCHED OFF BY FAILURE GOVERNOR KERNER TO APPOINT MARSHALL KORSHAK NEW STATE REVENUE DIRECTOR LAST WEDNESDAY. APPOINTMENT HAD BEEN CALLED A "CINCH." HOWEVER COLUMN CONTINUED THAT KORSHAK WILL STILL BE APPOINTED BUT GOVERNOR SHOWING CONSIDERATION TO OTHER CANDIDATES BY NOT MOVING HASTILY. WOULD APPEAR HOWEVER INFO DISSEMINATED TO [redacted] AND [redacted] ILLINOIS PUBLIC SAFETY [redacted] WHO IN TURN CONTACTED GOVERNOR HAS HAD SOME EFFECT.

RECEIVED: 3:39 PM [redacted]

REC-131 92-3088-33

4 MAR 31 1965

EX-109

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-8 (Rev. 12-14-64)

NA 3/30/65

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

3:34 PM CST DEFERRED 3-30-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 301922

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

(b)(7)(C)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HUMPHREYS GROUP MET MONDAY NIGHT AT PETE FISH'S RESTAURANT MARCH 29 LAST. SUBJECT OF DISCUSSION WAS BACKGROUND INVESTIGATIONS BY HOODLUMS OF PROSPECTIVE JURORS IN STATE CASE PENDING VS. [redacted]

HUMPHREYS SET UP NEW LOCATIONS FOR NOON LUNCHEON MEETINGS OF HIS GROUP WHICH MET MARCH 29 LAST IN NEW LOOP RESTAURANT, FORMERLY KNOWN AS YACHT CLUB AND UNDER NEW MANAGEMENT. HY GODFREY MADE CONTACT THERE AND PRONOUNCED IT FIT FOR SECURITY PURPOSES.

HUMPHREYS SPENT ENTIRE WEEKEND LAST USING ADDING MACHINE, APPARENTLY COMPUTING TAX RETURN BY ADDING UP ALL ITEMS OF EXPENDITURES PAST YEAR. HUMPHREYS SYSTEM IS TO REPORT AMOUNT OF INCOME IN EXCESS OF ALL EXPENDITURES WHICH HE CAREFULLY NOTES ALL YEAR. THIS PRECLUDES NET WORTH PROSECUTION. ASSOCIATE [redacted] PROCURED TRAIN TICKETS FOR HUMPHREYS ON TEXAS FLYER APRIL 2 NEXT FOR NORMAN, OKLAHOMA, WITH RETURN PLANNED EVENING APRIL 5. PURPOSE OF TRIP REGARDS [redacted]

REC-131 92-3248-1331

1 MAR 31 1965

EX-103

F146

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☒ RADIO ☐ TELETYPE

PAGE 2 FROM CHICAGO 301922

(b)(7)(c)

[redacted] SINCE HUMPHREYS PREVIOUSLY INDICATED HE WOULD HAVE [redacted] PLACED IN VEGAS CASINO OPERATION THROUGH RALPH PIERCE, THIS INDICATES SUCH MAY BE ACCOMPLISHED. [redacted] DISTANTLY RELATED HUMPHREYS. RECENTLY RETIRED FROM AIR FORCE AS COLONEL. LAS VEGAS SHOULD BE ALERT TO LEARN OF [redacted] LOCATION SINCE HE IS FRONT FOR HUMPHREYS BEING TRAINED IN CASINO OPERATIONS FOR USE BY HUMPHREYS IN POSSIBLE CARRIBEAN ISLAND GAMBLING SETUP. NO ACTIVE INVESTIGATION SHOULD BE CONDUCTED REFERENCE TO SOURCE.

AM INFO COPY TO OKLAHOMA CITY AND LAS VEGAS.

RECEIVED: 4:48 PM [redacted]

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

8:31 PM CST DEFERRED 3-31-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 311926

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY. (b)(7)(c) [redacted]

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HUMPHREYS GROUP CONTINUES TO CHANGE LOCATIONS OF NOON MEETING PLACES. MET MARCH 30 AT SMALL RESTAURANT 17TH NORTH WABASH IN LOOP. LOCATED IN BUILDING PROVIDING NO VIEW TO PUBLIC FROM OUTSIDE. CHECK OF NEW RESTAURANT WHERE GROUP MET MARCH 29 DISCLOSED THIS RESTAURANT CALLED LA FORCHETTA AND LOCATED ON SECOND FLOOR WITH ONLY ACCESS BY MEANS OF ELEVATOR. NO PUBLIC VIEW.

HUMPHREYS CONTINUES TO USE ASSOCIATE [redacted] TO CATER TO MIKE KENNEDY OF ELECTRICAL UNION CONFINED IN HOSPITAL. [redacted] INSTRUCTED TO DETERMINE METHOD BY WHICH HUMPHREYS AND [redacted] CAN VISIT KENNEDY AFTER EVENING VISITING HOURS WITHOUT FEAR OF DISCOVERY. WHILE HUMPHREYS GROUP MET EVENING MARCH 29 AT PETE FISH'S HUMPHREYS HIMSELF CONDUCTED COUNTER SECURITY MEASURES TO DETERMINE WHETHER MEETING PHYSICALLY SURVEILLED. SPENT MOST OF EVENING WALKING INSIDE AND OUTSIDE RESTAURANT OBSERVING PATRONS AND PASSERSBY.

RECEIVED: 9:41 PM [redacted]

92-3088-1332

REC-23 6 APR 1 1965

EX 109

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

FD-36 (Rev. 5-22-64)

FBI

Date: 3/31/65

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via 2 AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (92-350 sub 11)

SUBJECT : MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka
AR

JUNE

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(C); (D)

SUMMARY

[redacted] furnished information concerning activities on 3/26/65:

At 2:13 p.m., on the above date, Chicago top hoodlums [redacted] RALPH PIERCE, LESLIE KRUSE and [redacted] used the offices of MIKE BRODKIN to confer concerning their efforts to investigate the background of the prospective jurors in the state case for insurance fraud against Chicago top hoodlum [redacted] which commenced on 2/29/65:

PIERCE mentioned that juror number 15 on the venire list was assigned to him for investigation and that HY GODFREY has juror number 24. He also mentioned that someone had the woman from Continental Airlines assigned to him and that this woman might be number 25.

At this point, [redacted] interrupted the conversation to announce that he is now driving a Star Fire automobile, having gotten rid of the Buick which he had been driving until the week of 3/21/65.

3 - Bureau (RM)
1 - Chicago
[redacted]
(4)

REC- 31

1333

4 APR 6 1965

C. C. Wick

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M

Special Agent in Charge

6 APR 9 1965

(b)(7)(c)

[redacted] then advised the group that his top lieutenant, Chicago top hoodlum [redacted] had been arrested on an open warrant by the Chicago Police Department either that day or the previous day. [redacted] advised that he feels that [redacted] He indicated that someone whom he believed to be an FBI agent [redacted] indicated his belief that [redacted]. According to [redacted], the Chicago Police Department held [redacted] for three hours and then released him without being charged. Although [redacted] felt that [redacted] inasmuch as there was no charge placed against [redacted] in particular, disagreed with him and felt that this situation should be thoroughly explored.

[redacted] then advised that Chicago attorney [redacted] has conferred with him and indicated that the Chicago hoodlums should send a letter to the newspapers stating that [redacted]. Then when the papers continue to publish articles which allege that this person is a hoodlum, this letter would serve as the basis for a libel suit.

[redacted] indicated that this could be discussed by Chicago top hoodlum MURRAY HUMPHREYS with [redacted] or BRODKIN.

[redacted] then mentioned that Chicago top hoodlum [redacted] was waiting for him [redacted] Postl's Health Club. He then departed with [redacted] requested him to extend "[redacted]."

The conversation then reverted to the investigation of the prospective jurors in the [redacted] case, with PIERCE mentioning that Chicago top hoodlum SAM BATTAGLIA is also working on the list.

[redacted] advised that he had delivered his list of the jurors to [redacted] on the night of the day on

which he had received it. He complained that he has been [redacted]" (b)(7)(c)

The reference to [redacted] is apparently a reference to Chicago top hoodlum [redacted] in charge of organized criminal activities in Cicero.

[redacted] then indicated that he has also been assigned to contact Chicago top hoodlum [redacted] regarding the list of jurors, but that [redacted] has been out of town. 2/11

PIERCE then indicated that if the HUMPHREYS group desires any further contact with [redacted] concerning additional jurors to investigate, they will "bring him in on Monday." This would indicate that [redacted] would be requested to come into the Loop area of Chicago to meet with the HUMPHREYS group during their regular noon luncheon meeting on Monday.

When BRODKIN appeared, he conferred with PIERCE and KRUSE. He mentioned the number 76 and 18, apparently references to additional jurors. He indicated to PIERCE and KRUSE that they can continue to use his office in his absence as they desire, and suggested that they might leave the list of jurors in his office where it would always be available and where they would be in no particular trouble if they were arrested, inasmuch as they would not have a copy of the list on their persons. 2/11

Following the departure of KRUSE and PIERCE, Chicago labor racketeer PAUL "RED" DORFMAN arrived. He advised that he was leaving on vacation with his wife for Palm Springs, California, for three weeks, and invited BRODKIN to vacation with them. BRODKIN very bitterly complained that he could not do as suggested due to his heavy "call." He then asked DORFMAN to write down the following numbers as he dictated: "7, 18, 24, 44, 78, 83, 115, 223, 224, 315, 382, 398, 425 and 444." DORFMAN did as requested, and indicated that there were 15 numbers dictated. BRODKIN mentioned the name [redacted] and then informed DORFMAN that he should put a star next to the

(b)(7)(c)

numbers "24, 223, 382, and 444." These obviously appear to be the numbers of the prospective jurors in the [redacted] case as they appear on the venire list.

Regarding the investigation by the HUMPHREYS group of the prospective jurors in the [redacted] matter, it is noted that this situation apparently parallels their investigation of prospective jurors in the [redacted] case which was declared a mistrial by Judge [redacted] That, is, these hoodlums are investigating the background of these jurors in order to determine whether there is any avenue of approach which might be made later on with the jurors. However, the instructions appear to be, as they were last time, that no direct approach is to be made by anyone to a juror until such time as HUMPHREYS decides. It therefore does not appear that any direct approach to a juror has been made as of this time. However, the investigation of the jurors serves an additional purpose in that BRODKIN is able to challenge or accept jurors on the basis of his knowledge of what has been uncovered concerning the jurors. In the event that he feels that the juror can later be successfully approached, he can make every effort to see that this juror is selected. In the event that the investigation determines some reason why the juror cannot be approached or why the juror might be hostile to the [redacted] situation, he can then challenge the juror. This obviously is of considerable assistance to the defense of [redacted] and his co-defendants.

[redacted] DORFMAN then made a telephone call to [redacted], [redacted] of BRODKIN. He informed [redacted] that an unidentified business "could only get a loan from the fund with [redacted]'s okay. And this is very unlikely because this business is very shaky." It is noted that DORFMAN, a former Teamsters union official who now operates an insurance business in Chicago, is frequently used by Chicago hoodlum associates in obtaining loans from the Teamsters Union pension fund. This, apparently, would be the subject of the above conversation.

EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE EXERCISED IN THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION. IT SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE BODY OF A REPORT EVEN THOUGH PARAPHRASED. IT SHOULD NOT BE

USED IN LEAD COVERAGE OR AS THE BASIS FOR AN INTERVIEW UNLESS SPECIFIC CLEARANCE IS OBTAINED FROM THE BUREAU AND FROM CHICAGO. THIS IS AN EXTREMELY DELICATE AND SENSITIVE SOURCE WHICH THE CHICAGO OFFICE IS MAKING EVERY EFFORT TO FULLY PROTECT.

FD-36 (Rev. 5-22-64)

FBI

Date: 3/30/65

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (92-350 Sub 11)

SUBJECT: MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka
AR

JUNE

REC-31

SUMMARY

EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE EXERCISED IN THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION. IT SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE BODY OF A REPORT EVEN THOUGH PARAPHRASED. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED IN LEAD COVERAGE OR AS THE BASIS FOR AN INTERVIEW UNLESS SPECIFIC CLEARANCE IS OBTAINED FROM THE BUREAU AND FROM CHICAGO. THIS IS AN EXTREMELY DELICATE AND SENSITIVE SOURCE WHICH THE CHICAGO OFFICE IS MAKING EVERY EFFORT TO FULLY PROTECT.

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(C)
(D)

[redacted] furnished information concerning activities on 3/25/65:

At 1:15 p.m. on the above date, Chicago top hoodlums RALPH PIERCE and LESLIE KRUSE arrived to confer with [redacted] of the Ticker Sellers Union in Chicago and is the contact of PIERCE and HY GODFREY who obtains tickets to all types of entertainment and sporting events throughout the country for the Chicago hoodlum group. PIERCE, KRUSE and [redacted] conferred desultorily

3 - Bureau (RM)
1 - Houston (RM)(AM)
1 - New York (RM)(AM)
1 - San Diego (RM)(AM)
1 - Chicago
[redacted]
(7)

REC-31

1334

6

16 APR 2 1965

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

6 APR 9 1965

(b)(7)(c)

concerning the arrest which was announced on 3/25/65 of [redacted] and [redacted] ticket sellers at the Chicago Stadium. [redacted] and [redacted] were arrested as a result of an investigation by local authorities of "ticket scalping" at Chicago Black Hawks hockey games. This investigation resulted from an exposé of "Chicago Sun-Times" reporter SANDY SMITH.

PIERCE then obtained two main floor tickets to the production, "Lord Jim," from [redacted]. [redacted] then indicated that he had business with [redacted] at Postl's Health Club. As [redacted] departed to contact [redacted], PIERCE mentioned that [redacted]" (Chicago top hoodlum [redacted] was then also at Postl's. [redacted] is the bodyguard and chauffeur of [redacted]

When BRODKIN arrived, he asked PIERCE where PIERCE and his associates had had their daily luncheon meeting. PIERCE replied that they had eaten at Staley's Restaurant, a known hangout of the HUMPHREYS group.

BRODKIN then made a telephone call to [redacted] apparently at the headquarters of the Regular Republican organization of the 1st Ward. [redacted] is the Republican Ward Committeeman of the 1st Ward, and a former State Senator.

BRODKIN then put PIERCE on the phone with [redacted] and PIERCE questioned concerning "What is happening to the wiretapping bill?"

It is noted that later on the day of 3/25/65, the House of Representatives passed the wiretapping bill, which was proposed by Mayor DALEY's Committee on Organized Crime.

BRODKIN then advised PIERCE and KRUSE that he had talked to "the Judge" (apparently a reference to Cook County Criminal Courts Judge [redacted] in the hallway ~~of the Criminal Courts Building on 3/25/65~~, and told him

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

6 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552		Section 552a
☐ (b)(1)	☐ (b)(7)(A)	☐ (d)(5)
☐ (b)(2)	☐ (b)(7)(B)	☐ (j)(2)
☐ (b)(3)	☑ (b)(7)(C)	☐ (k)(1)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(D)	☐ (k)(2)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(E)	☐ (k)(3)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(F)	☐ (k)(4)
☐ (b)(4)	☐ (b)(8)	☐ (k)(5)
☐ (b)(5)	☐ (b)(9)	☐ (k)(6)
☐ (b)(6)		☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1334 (pgs 3; 4; 6 to 9)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

(b)(7)(c)

left the office to confer with [redacted], New York City theatrical agent, who had arrived in BRODKIN's office, PIERCE, [redacted] and KRUSE discussed a situation where someone owns a Caribbean island in its entirety. PIERCE indicated as soon as "they are through producing a movie from this island," this guy will introduce gambling on it. From the conversation, it appeared that this island is very close to Nassau. [redacted] questioned whether or not this might be a good location as is Freeport in the Grand Bahamas.

This conversation was discontinued upon the reappearance of BRODKIN.

The group then discussed the article in the "Chicago Sun-Times" concerning JOE STEIN of the 1st National Mortgage Company, which identified this company as being a front for Chicago top hoodlum FELIX "MILWAUKEE PHIL" ALDERISIO. BRODKIN indicated that he felt certain that STEIN would be indicted.

PIERCE then mentioned that he had recently visited the 1st National Mortgage Company where he conferred with ALDERISIO. It is noted that this meeting was covered by another Chicago highly confidential source.

BRODKIN then again indicated his high regard for Chicago top hoodlum MURRAY HUMPHREYS. He felt honored that HUMPHREYS appeared to be so concerned due to the possibility that BRODKIN might be indicted in Houston in regard to the Reed Enterprise case.

During this conversation, PIERCE indicated that HUMPHREYS at that time, during the early afternoon, was with "that broad that he usually meets every afternoon." PIERCE, [redacted], KRUSE and BRODKIN all agreed that it was very good for HUMPHREYS to be "visiting with her" since this would relieve "this man from all his personal worries." It is noted that the Chicago Office is aware that HUMPHREYS has a girl friend who frequently visits him from New York City. However, it is not known that he meets with a girl "every afternoon."

(b)(7)(c)

a social gathering where both [redacted] and [redacted] will be, and attempt to give Judge [redacted] "a little fusion of courage. He's a weak man."

BRODKIN then conversed with New York City theatrical agent [redacted] He discussed the many situations pending in Chicago such as the [redacted] matter, the [redacted] situation, and others, but concluded with the opinion that "Chicago is a hell of a lot better than New York City."

BRODKIN then advised that "nobody should ever put the finger on anybody." He indicated that this had been his advice to [redacted] in regard to the involvement of [redacted] in bribery of officials of the New York State Liquor Authority and that [redacted] had followed this advice by not "bringing out any testimony" about [redacted] This obviously refers to the fact that this source has indicated that [redacted] was nothing but an intermediary between [redacted] and officials of the NYSLA in regard to the bribery of these officials for issuing a liquor license to the Playboy Club in New York City.

Following the departure of KRUSE, PIERCE and [redacted] DORFMAN and BRODKIN conferred privately concerning the request of KRUSE for a loan through the good offices of DORFMAN. BRODKIN indicated that it was his opinion that although KRUSE has a lot more money than either DORFMAN or BRODKIN, he is very tight with it and is always wanting a special deal.

BRODKIN then discussed with DORFMAN the involvement of BIEBER and BRODKIN in the Houston situation. He again related what HUMPHREYS indicated to him, that HUMPHREYS was "a hundred per cent behind me." He then again indicated that [redacted] had informed BRODKIN that the "G has been interested in me for thirty years." He advised that [redacted] told him "the G has a list of persons that they consider the most important. JOE BATTERS is number one. MOONEY is number two and I am third."

BRODKIN indicated "HUMPY is either seventh or eighth." As should be obvious, BRODKIN's information is extremely inaccurate.

BRODKIN then informed DORFMAN, "HUMPY has BUSTER working on that deal." It appeared that DORFMAN understood what BRODKIN was discussing here, inasmuch as he did not question BRODKIN concerning this. "HUMPY" is obviously a reference to HUMPHREYS and "BUSTER" is a reference to Springfield top hoodlum FRANK "BUSTER" ORTMAN.

EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE EXERCISED IN THE USE OF THIS INFORMTION. IT SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE BODY OF A REPORT EVEN THOUGH PARAPHRASED. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED IN LEAD COVERAGE OR AS THE BASIS FOR AN INTERVIEW UNLESS SPECIFIC CLEARANCE IS OBTAINED FROM THE BUREAU AND FROM CHICAGO. THIS IS AN EXTREMELY DELICATE AND SENSITIVE SOURCE WHICH THE CHICAGO OFFICE IS MAKING EVERY EFFORT TO FULLY PROTECT.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☒ RADIO TH ☐ TELETYPE

R-103
DEFERRED 4-1-65
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 011835

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY. (b)(7)(C)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED MARCH 31 HUMPHREYS GROUP MET THAT DATE AT ANOTHER NEW MEETING PLACE. LOCATED ALSO IN LOOP. GODFREY INFORMED HUMPHREYS HE MET WITH JOHN T. O'BRIEN, TEAMSTERS VICE PRESIDENT WHO INFORMED HIM HE HAD ALREADY MET WITH HUMPHREYS ON SAME SUBJECT MATTER BUT SUBJECT NOT LEARNED. GODFREY MET WITH GIANCANA TO GIVE HIM COPIES OF TWO BOOKS WHICH HUMPHREYS OBTAINED CONCERNING CHICAGO HOODLUMS. THESE APPARENTLY ARE BOOKS HUMPHREYS OBTAINED THROUGH WFO HOODLUM [redacted] ONE PROMINENTLY MENTIONS LV HOODLUM MOE DALITZ.

ON APRIL 1 HUMPHREYS GROUP SCHEDULED MEETING AT RESTAURANT THEY HAVE GIVEN CODE NAME "THE FORK," WHICH IS LA FORCHETTA, NAME OF RESTAURANT NEWLY ACQUIRED AS MEETING SPOT THIS WEEK.

GODFREY MENTIONED HE MET WITH GIANCANA EVENING MARCH 31 TO MAKE APPOINTMENT FOR [redacted] BUT GIANCANA GOT A "HURRY UP CALL" AND APPEARED TO BE UNABLE TO MAKE APPOINTMENT WITH [redacted] THAT NIGHT. HUMPHREYS SARCASTICALLY FELT CALL WAS FROM ONE OF GIANCANA'S GIRL FRIENDS AND APPEARED ANGERED GIANCANA LET PLEASURE INTERFERE WITH BUSINESS.

REC-131 92-3088/1335

9 APR 2 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☒ RADIO ☐ TELETYPE

PAGE TWO FROM CHICAGO 011835

RE DAILY SUMMARY GIANCANA THIS DATE. MAY BE SIGNIFICANT HUMPHREYS GROUP INDICATES CONTINUED FREQUENT CONTACT GIANCANA BUT ALMOST NEVER MENTION BATTAGLIA. THIS AND LACK OF COMMENT RE ANY CHANGES CHICAGO GROUP TEND TO INDICATE CHANGES MENTIONED GIANCANA SUMMARY HAVE NOT TRANSPIRED.

RECEIVED: 4:47 PM [redacted] (b)(7)(c)

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

FD-36 (Rev. 5-22-64)

FBI

Date: 3/25/65

Transmit the following in _____ (Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____ (Priority)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI JUNE

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (92-350 Sub 11)

SUBJECT: MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka
AR

REC-26

SUMMARY

EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE EXERCISED IN THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION. IT SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE BODY OF A REPORT EVEN THOUGH PARAPHRASED. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED IN LEAD COVERAGE OR AS THE BASIS FOR AN INTERVIEW UNLESS SPECIFIC CLEARANCE IS OBTAINED FROM THE BUREAU AND FROM CHICAGO. THIS IS AN EXTREMELY DELICATE AND SENSITIVE SOURCE WHICH THE CHICAGO OFFICE IS MAKING EVERY EFFORT TO FULLY PROTECT.

(b)(2)

[redacted] furnished information concerning (b)(7)(C) activities on 3/22/65:

At 11:37 a.m. on the above date, BRODKIN advised an unknown person that he was then waiting for Chicago top hoodlum PHIL ALDERISIO and that "I have to go over to see the people at Fish's." This is obviously a reference to the Chicago hoodlums who were known to be meeting that day at Pete Fish's Restaurant on the near north side of Chicago.

3 - Bureau (REGISTERED)
1 - Denver (REGISTERED)(AM)
1 - Chicago
[redacted]
(5)

REC-26

1336

17 MAR 27 1965

C C- Wick

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

6 APR 12 1965

(b)(7)(c)

BRODKIN then indicated that he was "just as happy" that the State case for insurance fraud against Chicago top hoodlum [redacted] had been declared a mistrial by Judge [redacted] and appeared to indicate that the reason for his feeling in this regard is that he did not feel that there was any real hope for a successful approach to a juror in the [redacted] trial.

BRODKIN then indicated that he has a copy of the ~~venure~~ VENIRE list and mentioned that the new trial would start during the last week of March. It appeared that he may have been talking to former Chicago bookmaker [redacted] who, although not a Chicago hoodlum, enjoys the confidence of HUMPHREYS, BRODKIN, et al. It also appeared that the purpose of BRODKIN's meeting with the Chicago hoodlums was to again initiate investigation of the prospective jurors in the [redacted] case, along the same lines as the investigation of the jurors in the first trial.

Chicago bondsman [redacted] arrived at this point, and indicated that he was having some trouble placing bonds for [redacted] He indicated the possibility that he may be able to do this with someone named [redacted] (ph). [redacted] indicated that he was leaving for Denver, Colorado, on the night of 3/22/65, and indicated that he expected that Washington, D.C., attorney EDWARD BENNETT WILLIAMS would be leaving Washington about the same time for Denver. Colorado

At 12:22 p.m., ALDERISIO arrived. He indicated that he was also leaving for Denver on 3/22/65. ALDERISIO did not intend to go with BRODKIN to the meeting at Pete Fish's Restaurant. However, he indicated that he would ride along with BRODKIN in that direction.

[redacted] then asked [redacted] to contact one [redacted] (ph) to obtain bonds for [redacted] made this telephone call and asked for bond coverage for [redacted] then $40,000 in bonds for [redacted] and for his co-defendant, [redacted]

Later in the afternoon, [redacted] himself, placed a telephone call to a person who may have been named [redacted]

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

2 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552

☐ (b)(1)
☐ (b)(2)
☐ (b)(3)
_____
_____
_____
☐ (b)(4)
☐ (b)(5)
☐ (b)(6)
☐ (b)(7)(A)
☐ (b)(7)(B)
☑ (b)(7)(C)
☐ (b)(7)(D)
☐ (b)(7)(E)
☐ (b)(7)(F)
☐ (b)(8)
☐ (b)(9)

Section 552a

☐ (d)(5)
☐ (j)(2)
☐ (k)(1)
☐ (k)(2)
☐ (k)(3)
☐ (k)(4)
☐ (k)(5)
☐ (k)(6)
☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1336 (pgs 3 & 4)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

inability to understand why a witness would pick his photograph out of several pictures and indicated that he felt he was being framed because [redacted]

It should be noted that an informant of the Chicago Office has advised that [redacted] has been identified by a witness to a $31,000 robbery at McCormick Place in Chicago as being one of the burglars. He was scheduled to be placed in a show-up on the evening of 3/23/65.

It is obvious that [redacted] is in difficulty in regard to this arrest because if he refuses to cooperate by allowing his fingerprints to be taken and to be given a lie-detector test, he could be subject to dismissal from his position with the City of Chicago. However, if he does cooperate, he is subject to even more serious difficulty even though it is not clear whether he was actually involved in the robbery.

[redacted] and [redacted] left with the understanding that BRODKIN would make every effort to see that [redacted] was not fingerprinted and was not requested to take a lie-detector test.

Later in the afternoon, Chicago attorney [redacted] arrived. He discussed the fact that he is presently defending defendants in a bank robbery case in the U.S. District Court. This is the case involving [redacted] and [redacted] BRODKIN was very interested in learning who the agents from the FBI are who are involved in this trial.

BRODKIN and [redacted] then discussed the fact that they have filed their briefs in the "Stickney case a couple of months ago, but that the State has been given an extension of time." It is noted that BRODKIN frequently refers to this case and hopes to win a reversal. This is the case involving corruption of city officials of the Village of Stickney, a suburb of Chicago. It is noted that BRODKIN became involved in this case due to the request of HUMPHREYS, since the evidence which convicted the officials

was obtained by use of an electronic monitoring device on the person of an honest official of Stickney which picked up conversations when the honest official was approached by the defendants who had been corrupted in regard to city contracts. HUMPHREYS is anxious that this case be reversed on the basis that the evidence was illegally obtained by the complaining witness. It is noted that information has previously been obtained indicating that BRODKIN has discussed this case with his friend, Chief Justice of the Illinois State Supreme Court, RAY KLINGBIEL.

EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE EXERCISED IN THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION. IT SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE BODY OF A REPORT EVEN THOUGH PARAPHRASED. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED IN LEAD COVERAGE OR AS THE BASIS FOR AN INTERVIEW UNLESS SPECIFIC CLEARANCE IS OBTAINED FROM THE BUREAU AND FROM CHICAGO. THIS IS AN EXTREMELY DELICATE AND SENSITIVE SOURCE WHICH THE CHICAGO OFFICE IS MAKING EVERY EFFORT TO FULLY PROTECT.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☒ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

3:35 PM CST DEFERRED 4-5-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 051930

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY. [redacted] (b)(7)(c)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HUMPHREYS HAS NOT RETURNED TO CHICAGO FROM OKLAHOMA. EXPECTED BACK AM APRIL 6, NEXT.

IN HUMPHREYS ABSENCE HIS GROUP CONTINUED INVESTIGATION BACKGROUND JURORS [redacted] TRIAL. JUROR ASSIGNED RALPH PIERCE FOR INVESTIGATION FOUND TO HAVE ADDITIONAL EMPLOYMENT NOT KNOWN TO PROSECUTION WHEREBY HE "MOONLIGHTS" AS DOORMAN AT ALLEGRO CLUB ON FAR SOUTH SIDE CHICAGO. BRODKIN ACCEPTED THIS JUROR WITH FEELING HE OFFERS BEST POTENTIAL FOR LATER APPROACH SINCE ALLEGRO CLUB "IS OWNED BY SOME OF OUR PEOPLE". DETAILED AIRTEL FOLLOWS.

RECEIVED: 4:43 PM [redacted]

REC-9 92-3088-1331

25 APR 6 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

T.
Be.
Moh.
DeLo
Caspe
Callaha.
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

7:17 PM CST DEFERRED 4-2-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 022143

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY. (b)(7)(c)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE INDICATED HUMPHREYS LEFT RESIDENCE EVENING APRIL 1 LAST AND SPENT NIGHT ELSEWHERE. ALTHOUGH HUMPHREYS MAY HAVE LEFT CHICAGO ENROUTE NORMAN, OKLAHOMA ONE DAY EARLIER THAN ORIGINALLY PLANNED IT APPEARS MORE LIKELY HE USED TACTIC HE HAS EMPLOYED BEFORE LEAVING RESIDENCE DAY BEFORE HE PLANS TRAVEL IN ORDER TO PRECLUDE SURVEILLANCE ON DAY OF TRAVEL.

APPEARS NOW ONE OF "BOOKS" HUMPHREYS OBTAINED FROM WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE HOODLUM [redacted] WAS REPORT OF SENATE SUBCOMMITTEE ON INVESTIGATIONS ENTITLED ORGANIZED CRIME AND ILLICIT TRAFFIC IN NARCOTICS.

AIRMAIL INFO COPY OKLAHOMA CITY.

RECEIVED: 8:40 PM [redacted]

Disclosure such copies pertinent offices?

REC-9 92-3088-1338

25 APR 6 1965

ontained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

7:45 PM CST DEFERRED 4-6-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 062135

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY. (b)(7)(C)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HUMPHREYS DEPARTED OKLAHOMA 5:30 PM APRIL 5 AND ARRIVED CHICAGO 9 AM APRIL 6 VIA SANTA FE RR. SPENT 40 MINUTES "DODGING BEHIND POSTS TO SEE WHO'S FOLLOWING ME" AND THEN RETURNED TO RESIDENCE.

HAD NOON LUNCHEON MEETING SCHEDULED WITH HIS GROUP APRIL 6 AND CONTACTED [redacted] TO FIND LOCATION. PURPOSE OF TRAVEL WAS TO VISIT DAUGHTER [redacted] MET WITH ASSOCIATE [redacted] UPON ARRIVAL CHICAGO. ASKED [redacted] PROGRESS OF BUSTER WORTMAN TO BLOCK ANTI CRIME BILLS IN GENERAL ASSEMBLY. WAS TOLD WORTMAN CONTINUES BELIEF HUMPHREYS MUST [redacted] HUMPHREYS INDICATED WORTMAN MEANS SENATOR [redacted] FROM CHICAGO. [redacted] INFLUENTIAL MEMBER SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE. ANOTHER SOURCE INDICATED SIX WEEKS AGO HUMPHREYS IN CONTACT [redacted] RE BACKGROUND [redacted].

HUMPHREYS INSTRUCTED [redacted] TO CONTINUE CONTACTS MIKE KENNEDY, ELECTRICAL WORKERS UNION HERE, IN HOSPITAL AND INDICATED HE AND [redacted] WILL SURREPTITIOUSLY VISIT KENNEDY AT NIGHT VIA COVERT ROUTE.

AIRMAIL COPIES OKLAHOMA CITY AND SPRINGFIELD.

RECEIVED: 8:57 PM [redacted]

REC-6 92-3088-1359

9 APR 7 1965

F146

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

4 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552		Section 552a
☐ (b)(1)	☐ (b)(7)(A)	☐ (d)(5)
☑ (b)(2)	☐ (b)(7)(B)	☐ (j)(2)
☐ (b)(3)	☑ (b)(7)(C)	☐ (k)(1)
_____	☑ (b)(7)(D)	☐ (k)(2)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(E)	☐ (k)(3)
_____	☐ (b)(7)(F)	☐ (k)(4)
☐ (b)(4)	☐ (b)(8)	☐ (k)(5)
☐ (b)(5)	☐ (b)(9)	☐ (k)(6)
☐ (b)(6)		☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1340

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

8:45 PM CST DEFERRED 4-8-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 082240

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY REC-23 (b)(7)(c) [redacted]

SPOT PHYSICAL SURVEILLANCE APRIL 7 DETERMINED CUSTOMARY MEETING PLACE OF HUMPHREYS PRIOR TO USUAL MID MORNING MEET WITH [redacted] LOCATION IS CALLY'S SNACK SHOP, WASHINGTON AND DEARBORN, CHICAGO LOOP.

BUSTER WORTMAN SENT FOLLOWING MESSAGE TO HUMPHREYS LATE AFTERNOON APRIL 7 THROUGH ASSOCIATE [redacted]. HUMPHREYS UNDERSTOOD THIS TO MEAN WORTMAN HAS SPENT TEN THOUSAND IN BRIBES TO LEGISLATORS IN SPRINGFIELD AND WILL BE IN CHICAGO TO OBTAIN MONEY FROM HUMPHREYS. HUMPHREYS COMMENTED HE HIMSELF HAS SPENT SEVEN THOUSAND. RECIPIENTS NOT IDENTIFIED. [redacted] REPORTED WORTMAN CLAIMS BRIBES BLOCKED PASSAGE OF ONE OF ANTI CRIME BILLS POSSIBLY IMMUNITY BILL. HUMPHREYS DOUBTS WORTMAN'S EFFORTS AMOUNTED TO MUCH.

WHEN [redacted] COMMENTED WIRE TAP BILL LIMITED TO 2 YEARS, HUMPHREYS INDICATED "BUGS IN YOUR APARTMENT" ARE OF MUCH GREATER CONCERN.

HUMPHREYS PHONE CALL APRIL 6 DETERMINED TO BE FROM SECOND WIFE IN FLORIDA WHO WAS ARRESTED LOCALLY THERE. HUMPHREYS ADVISED HE CONTACTED LAWYER AND ANOTHER PERSON TO HAVE CASE FIXED.

REC-23 72-3088-1341

EX-101

APR 12 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

PAGE 2 FROM CHICAGO 082240

(b)(7)(c)

HUMPHREYS RETURNED TO APARTMENT LATE EVENING APRIL 7 SLIGHTLY INTOXICATED WITH NEPHEW OF RALPH PIERCE AND WIFE.

NEPHEW INCURRED WRATH HUMPHREYS BY COMPLAINING JOB IN MOVIE PROJECTIONIST UNION PROCURRED FOR HIM BY PIERCE NOT WORTH HAVING AND PIERCE IS HINDERANCE TO NEPHEW BECAUSE OF NOTORIETY. HUMPHREYS DESCRIBED HOW UNION AT ONE TIME "BELONGED TO US GUYS" AND HOW CHICAGO GROUP PLACED MANY RELATIVES IN UNION. ANGERED, HUMPHREYS ADVISED HE COULD HAVE NEPHEW FIRED NEXT DAY IF NEPHEW DID NOT SHOW PROPER GRATITUDE.

DETAILED AIRTEL FOLLOWS. AIRMAIL COPIES SPRINGFIELD AND MIAMI INFO.

RECEIVED: 9:57 PM [redacted].

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

1:55 PM CST DEFERRED 4-7-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 071836

Anti-racketeering

(b)(7)(c)

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED [redacted] VISITED HUMPHREYS APARTMENT AFTERNOON APRIL 6 TO ADVISE HE RECEIVED PHONE CALL AT POSTL'S HEALTH CLUB FROM [redacted] WHO RECEIVED CALL FROM ONE OF HUMPHREYS EX WIVES WANTING HUMPHREYS TO CALL IMMEDIATELY. HOWEVER, [redacted] CONFUSED AS TO WHICH EX WIFE. HUMPHREYS LEFT APARTMENT IMMEDIATELY TO CALL [redacted] TO FIND OUT WHICH WIFE. SITUATION INDICATIVE OF SECURITY CONCIOUSNESS HUMPHREYS.

GODFREY ADVISED HUMPHREYS APRIL 7 ~~IRS~~ INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE CONDUCTING EXTENSIVE INVESTIGATION GODFREY. HUMPHREYS ADVISED GODFREY NOT TO WORRY TOO MUCH ABOUT IRS BECAUSE "IT DOESN'T MEAN ANYTHING. JUST LIKE ME. THEY RECOMMENDED HERE TO WASHINGTON THEY INDICT ME. BUT WHEN IT GOT TO WASHINGTON THEY DROPPED IT. DON'T WORRY ABOUT THOSE GUYS SO MUCH. WORRY ABOUT THOSE OTHER GUYS FOLLOWING US ALL OVER. THEY MIGHT TAG US TOGETHER AND GET US ON SOME KIND OF GENERAL CONSPIRACY." HUMPHREYS GROUP SCHEDULED NOON LUNCHEON MEETING FOR APRIL 7 LOCATION NOT MENTIONED DURING GODFREY MEETING SINCE BOTH KNEW LOCATION. HUMPHREYS SCHEDULED MID MORNING MEETING WITH [redacted] HIS OFFICE.

HUMPHREYS ATTENDED WAKE UNKNOWN PERSON EVENING APRIL 6 WITH [redacted] AND SAM ALEX.

RECEIVED: [redacted]05 PM [redacted]

92-3088-1342

6 APR 12 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

6:13 PM CST DEFERRED 4-9-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 092045

(b)(7)(c)

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED GODFREY ARRIVED HUMPHREYS APARTMENT APRIL 8 LAST TO ADVISE MIKE BRODKIN WANTED TO MEET HUMPHREYS IMMEDIATELY BEFORE BRODKIN WENT TO COURT TO DEFEND [redacted] THAT DAY. HUMPHREYS IMMEDIATELY LEFT TO MEET BRODKIN. PURPOSE CONCERNED [redacted] CASE BUT SPECIFICS NOT MENTIONED. NOTED GODFREY HAS BEEN INSTRUCTED TO MEET BRODKIN EACH MORNING 8 AM AT CHICAGO TEMPLE. HUMPHREYS GROUP CONTINUES TO CHANGE DAILY NOON LUNCHEON MEETING LOCATIONS. SCHEDULED TO MEET APRIL 9 IN NEW RESTAURANT IMMEDIATE VICINITY EXECUTIVE HOUSE CHICAGO LOOP. PURSUANT TO HUMPHREYS PREVIOUS INSTRUCTIONS TO GODFREY TO MAKE EVERY EFFORT TO SHOW HE IS ENGAGED AS LIQUOR SALESMAN SINCE HE REPORTS INCOME FROM MERIT LIQUORS AS SALESMAN, GODFREY PLANS TO ATTEND LIQUOR SALESMAN MEETING APRIL 9. HUMPHREYS COMMENDED THIS IMAGINATION PART OF GODFREY WITH COMMENT "GOOD, LET THEM SEE WHAT YOU LOOK LIKE BECAUSE YOU'VE GOT TO WATCH YOURSELF PRETTY CLOSE.". NOTED IRS HAS ADVISED THEY ARE INVESTIGATING GODFREY'S CLAIMS AS LIQUOR SALESMAN TO SHOW POSSIBILITY OF FRAUD.

RECEIVED: 7:24 PM [redacted]

REC-44 92-3088-1343

6 APR 12 1965

EX-101

F/46

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

5-22-64)

FBI

Date: 4/9/65

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

Mr. Tolson
Mr. Belmont
Mr. Mohr
Mr. DeLoach
Mr. Casper
Mr. Callahan
Mr. Conrad
Mr. Dalbeck
Mr. Gale
Mr. Rosen
Mr. Sullivan
Mr. Tavel
Mr. Trotter
Tele. Room
Miss Holmes
Miss Gandy

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (92-350 sub Z)

SUBJECT: MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka
AR

JUNE

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(C):(D) REC 5

SUMMARY

EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE EXERCISED IN THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION. IT SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE BODY OF A REPORT EVEN THOUGH PARAPHRASED. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED IN LEAD COVERAGE OR AS THE BASIS FOR AN INTERVIEW UNLESS SPECIFIC CLEARANCE IS OBTAINED FROM THE BUREAU AND FROM CHICAGO. THIS IS AN EXTREMELY DELICATE AND SENSITIVE SOURCE WHICH THE CHICAGO OFFICE IS MAKING EVERY EFFORT TO FULLY PROTECT.

[redacted] furnished information concerning activities on 4/7/65:

Source advised that HUMPHREYS' close associate, [redacted] arrived at HUMPHREYS' apartment at 5:30 p.m. on the above date. The following conversation thereafter transpired:

[redacted]

[redacted]

3 - Bureau (REGISTERED)
1 - Miami (REGISTERED)
1 - Oklahoma City (REGISTERED)
1 - Springfield (REGISTERED) REC 5
1 - Chicago
[redacted]
(7)

92-3-[illegible]-1344

25 APR 14 1965

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

6 APR 16 1965

HUMPHREYS:

(b)(7)(c)

I don't know who he gave the ten to. I put out seven. Is that the message, that he gave out ten? I'll bet he didn't do (obs).

[redacted]

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

Well, that's ten down the sewer.

[redacted]

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

We had to get 24 guys on that, remember?

[redacted]

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

Two of my guys got run out of there. They were being followed by the FBI too much.

[redacted]

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

That's a bunch of (obs) though. It isn't the wiretapping, it's the bug they put in your apartment to pick up all the conversation.

(b)(7)(c)

Obviously [redacted] refers to FRANK "BUSTER" WORTMAN, Springfield top hoodlum, when he refers to [redacted]. It is noted that this source has indicated for several months that HUMPHREYS is using [redacted] as a contact for himself with WORTMAN. It would appear that WORTMAN's message to HUMPHREYS through [redacted] is that he has committed himself for bribes of $10,000 to members of the General Assembly in Springfield and that he will be in Chicago next week in order to obtain the money from HUMPHREYS. It would also appear that HUMPHREYS has committed himself for bribes of $7,000, making a total of at least $17,000 in bribes to legislators in order to insure that the anti-crime bills in the Illinois General Assembly were not passed.

When [redacted] commented that WORTMAN [redacted]" he is obviously referring to the fact that on the afternoon of the above date, the key measure in a series of anti-crime bills backed by Mayor DALEY failed by six votes of passage by the Senate. The vote was 24 for and 19 against. This measure would have empowered State's Attorneys in Illinois to grant immunity to prosecution in any state of the country to a witness who then could be compelled to testify in court. This was the only bill which did not pass the Senate after they had won approval in the House. It is noted that Mayor DALEY put considerable pressure on the Democrats, since it was his special committee which drafted and approved the above bills. The bills which did pass included the bill which allowed extension of grand jury terms from 3 to 18 months. Another imposed heavy punishment on conviction of gambling and acts of intimidation. It is of interest to note that only four Democrats in the Senate opposed the immunity bill. These were Senators ANTHONY DE TOLVE, a relative of SAM GIANCANA, and a person who is known to have been corrupted on many occasions, and [redacted] of Chicago, whom this source has previously indicated was contacted on a Sunday several weeks ago by HUMPHREYS in regard to these bills. It is noted that the immunity bill was the one which HUMPHREYS had the most interest in blocking. It should be noted, however, that the bill, although not passed, remains alive inasmuch as it was marked "consideration postponed."

When HUMPHREYS refers to "two of my guys got run out of there. They were being followed by the FBI too

(b)(7)(c)

much," he refers to a situation which is not subject to interpretation by the Chicago Office. It is noted, however, that HUMPHREYS frequently believes that he and his associates are being followed by the FBI when such is not the case.

HUMPHREYS' comment that he was more concerned about the "bug they put in your apartment to pick up all the conversations," led into a brief and general conversation concerning the ALDERISIO trial in Denver wherein the discovery of microphones in Las Vegas was disclosed. The conversation then switched to the recent publicity wherein a Post Office official in Washington admitted opening mail on persons who are delinquent in their taxes. HUMPHREYS apparently had not seen this article which appeared in the "Chicago Tribune" on 4/7/65, and requested [redacted] to obtain it for him. HUMPHREYS indicated that he was aware that "the Post Office was giving the Police return addresses on my mail," and that "they do that commonly," but that he was unaware that Postal officials were actually opening mail.

HUMPHREYS then invited [redacted] to have dinner with HUMPHREYS and Chicago top hoodlum [redacted] that night. The invitation was accepted by [redacted] HUMPHREYS then again asked [redacted] "He said he got 24 of them, did he? He's full of (obs)." However, it should be noted that in reference to to the figure 24, and to the fact that WORTMAN claims that he was able to block passage of the immunity bill, the vote against the bill was 24 to 19.

HUMPHREYS and [redacted] then discussed the fact that WORTMAN will probably become intoxicated on the evening of 4/7/65, in celebration of the victory which he claims. HUMPHREYS indicated that he was worried about WORTMAN due to his drinking habits since he has a history of heart trouble.

HUMPHREYS then advised [redacted] that the phone call which he had received through [redacted] on the afternoon of

211

(b)(7)(c)

4/5/65, was from his second wife in Miami, Florida, and that she had been arrested locally in Florida when she was in a tavern there. HUMPHREYS described this situation to [redacted] very briefly as follows:

> "She was down in some saloon or something and a plainclothesman came in and looked in her pocketbook and pinched her."

HUMPHREYS returned to his apartment late on the evening of 4/7/65, with [redacted] and [redacted]. [redacted] is a nephew of Chicago top hoodlum RALPH PIERCE and [redacted] the wife of [redacted], [redacted]

HUMPHREYS appeared to be in an intoxicated condition inasmuch as his words were slurred and due to the fact that he failed to turn on the radio the moment he arrived in his apartment, which is a common practice of the security-conscious HUMPHREYS.

In speaking to the [redacted] HUMPHREYS described the arrest of his second wife as follows:

> "Let me tell you about what happened to my second wife. She got pinched in a bar and she can punch like a man. You see the FBI has her followed just like she was me. And they got her in a bar and they looked in her pocketbook for her identification and she called them a s.o.b. and everything, oh, she can really go. So I called a lawyer down there and told him to make sure he talks to somebody so she doesn't even get fined so she won't have a record. And then I called another guy to check on the lawyer, you see I don't trust anybody. But you see when you've been married to somebody, you can't just walk away from them. But some real estate man in the bar put up the bond for her. Now I don't tell her what I'm doing for her, I just told her I'd straighten it out. You know I pay her $18,000 a month to her and I pay $6,000 a month to the other one.

(b)(7)(c)

Although in listening to HUMPHREYS, it might seem that he feels that it was the FBI who arrested his second wife, this does not appear to be his intention. It also should be noted that he appears to intend to indicate that he pays alimony of $18,000 a year and of $6,000 a year rather than that amount each month.

HUMPHREYS then advised [redacted] that he had just returned to Chicago from Oklahoma where he had been visiting his 30-year-old daughter [redacted] But you ought to see my grandson, who is going to be ten years old. He is brilliant. [redacted]

In regard to this, it is noted that this source has previously indicated that HUMPHREYS has traveled to Norman, Oklahoma, three times during the past month in order to care for his daughter, [redacted]

Conversation concerning HUMPHREYS' family led [redacted] to advise that she has two children by a former marriage, but due to the fact that [redacted] makes only $118 take-home pay per week, she is unable to support these children and they stay with her mother. [redacted] who apparently like the others was at least slightly intoxicated, then was caused to complain about the uncle of [redacted] RALPH PIERCE, as follows:

[redacted]."

This caused [redacted] to indicate that PIERCE obtained a job for [redacted] thirty years ago in the movie projectionists union in Chicago, a job which [redacted] continues to hold to this day. [redacted] complained that this

(b)(7)(c)

job was not worth very much and HUMPHREYS became slightly angered at this point. He advised: "In the first place, the union belonged to us guys, we're the guys who put all you guys in there. And all of a sudden you guys got bigger than us and we're the ones who put them all in there. To make a living. This is a family affair, we never got any money out of there. Nothing. I even put my own brother in there."

Obviously what HUMPHREYS refers to is Local 110 of the Chicago Moving Picture Operators Union, It is noted that a Criminal Influence-type case is pending in the Chicago Office concerning this situation due to allegations which have been received that there is considerable criminal influence in this union.

HUMPHREYS' comment that he had even "put his own brother in there" caused [redacted] to advise HUMPHREYS: [redacted]." This comment caused HUMPHREYS to become a little bit more angered, and then caused [redacted] to ask the following question of HUMPHREYS:

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

> Let me explain to you. So you understand. Let me tell you something, son. A lot of people went to jail so you could have a job there. Do you know that?

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

> What money did they get? They didn't get a dime. Not one of those guys who went to jail got a dime. These were just real guys who took the rap and went to jail. And your uncle was one of the guys who was on trial for that. But he got out of it, he got a pass. So if he woulda hadda go to jail for ten years, would you appreciate it?

[redacted] (b)(7)(c)

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

> Listen, you asked for something and RALPH was limited to what he could do, he's not a powerhouse. He can just do certain things, is that right? So one of the things he can do is get this job. And he did. Now people were taking $5,000 to get these jobs.

HUMPHREYS' comment that "a lot of people went to jail so you could have a job there" apparently refers to the fact that several top leaders of the Chicago group were convicted in the early 1940's of extortion of the Hollywood movie industry. RALPH PIERCE was one of these hoodlums. However, the judge during the course of the trial acquitted PIERCE on his own motion. Although there has never been any direct information developed by the Chicago Office showing a connection between that case and the take-over by the Chicago group of Local 110, from HUMPHREYS' comments, apparently there must be some tie.

(b)(7)(c)

HUMPHREYS then discussed how he had obtained a job for his own brother in Local 110 even though his brother at that time was an alcoholic. He also discussed how RALPH PIERCE had a brother named [redacted] for whom he also obtained a job in Local 110.

HUMPHREYS then indicated his displeasure with the [redacted] family for belittling the efforts of RALPH PIERCE. This caused [redacted] to comment that it wasn't PIERCE who obtained the job, but instead, the now-deceased Chicago hoodlum leader SAM "GOLF BAG" HUNT. HUMPHREYS replied that since he, HUNT and PIERCE were "buddies in those days, it was all one, whoever did it, you made all your living through us guys." This caused the following conversation:

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

Where? Name them.

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

You people think, listen, RALPH is lucky if he gets by himself. Do you know that ten years ago I had to give RALPH money to get by on? Did you know that? And what are you capable of doing on your own? Nothing! And that's what all these people are capable of doing on their own, nothing! Don't come griping around to me, you're talking to a guy who knows. What do you think my brother could do?

[redacted]

(b)(7)(c)

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

Let me understand you, You are saying RALPH made you a bum?

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

Where could you have gone?

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

At least you're making a livin'. If you don't like it, go and get a new job.

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

What kind of a job do you want?

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

Well, let me tell you, RALPH can only do so much. After all, he's limited. And the same with me, I can't do everything. Listen, RALPH hasn't got too much for his own, I'm telling you. He's humping for himself, too. When do you get this job?

[redacted]

In 1935.

(b)(7)(c)

HUMPHREYS:

That's ...ah...30 years. Now, if you didn't like what RALPH was doing for you, in 30 years you coulda got another job.

[redacted]

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

I was in the Army, too, you know. Were you decorated?

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

Holding him back! He was only stopping him from getting in trouble.

[redacted]

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

Is that the way you think? I wonder if everybody thinks like this?

[redacted]

[redacted]

(b)(7)(c)

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

He's supporting you.

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

You want to bet?

[redacted]

HUMPHREYS:

I say I can put him outa that theater tomorrow. And he won't get another job for six months.

(This shuts [redacted] and [redacted] up).

HUMPHREYS:

You're talking big, but you don't understand that whatever you got come from RALPH.

The above conversation appears to be self-explanatory. The laments of [redacted] take on added interest when it is understood that, as [redacted] has admitted, she occasionally engages in sexual activity with PIERCE for sums in excess of $100 per half hour. However, it is not believed that [redacted] is aware of such contributions to his family income in such manner.

As the hour approached midnight, HUMPHREYS became increasingly annoyed with the apparent ingratitude of [redacted] to the efforts of his close associate, PIERCE,

(b)(7)(c)

and finally advised them: "Listen, don't cry to me. Now get out of here, I want to go to bed."

Source advised on 4/8/65 that HY GODFREY, the bodyguard and chauffeur of HUMPHREYS, arrived for his daily meeting to ascertain HUMPHREYS' "program" one hour earlier than usual. It is noted that GODFREY has been instructed to meet with Chicago attorney MIKE BRODKIN at the Chicago Temple where BRODKIN attends services at 8:00 a.m. each morning in order to be advised by BRODKIN of any messages which BRODKIN desires to transmit to the Chicago group relative to his defense of Chicago top hoodlum [redacted] who is currently being tried in the Criminal Courts of Cook County for insurance fraud.

GODRREY arrived on 4/8/65 at 7:56 a.m., and advised HUMPHREYS that BRODKIN wanted to see him immediately. HUMPHREYS thereupon indicated that he would immediately get dressed and meet with BRODKIN, apparently in the vicinity of the Chicago Temple.

No information was obtained from the source indicating the nature of BRODKIN's interest in meeting with HUMPHREYS.

In this regard, the attention of the Bureau is invited to the fact that although the Chicago group feels that they will be able to influence a juror in the [redacted] case to afford favorable treatment to [redacted] the jury was locked up on 3/6/65; it is not believed that an approach has been made to this juror as of this time. It will be increasingly difficult for the Chicago group to contact this juror at this time. This, perhaps, may be the reason for BRODKIN's interest in contacting HUMPHREYS.

EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE EXERCISED IN THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION. IT SHOULD NOT BE INCLUED IN THE BODY OF A REPORT EVEN THOUGH PARAPHRASED. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED IN LEAD COVERAGE OR AS THE BASIS FOR AN INTERVIEW UNLESS SPECIFIC CLEARANCE IS OBTAINED FROM THE BUREAU AND FROM CHICAGO. THIS IS AN EXTREMELY DELICATE AND SENSITIVE SOURCE WHICH THE CHICAGO OFFICE IS MAKING EVERY EFFORT TO FULLY PROTECT.

FD-36 (Rev. 5-22-64)

FBI

Date: 4/2/65

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (92-350 sub 11)

SUBJECT: MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS
AR

JUNE

REC-40

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(C):(D)

SUMMARY

EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE EXERCISED IN THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION. IT SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE BODY OF A REPORT EVEN THOUGH PARAPHRASED. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED IN LEAD COVERAGE OR AS THE BASIS FOR AN INTERVIEW UNLESS SPECIFIC CLEARANCE IS OBTAINED FROM THE BUREAU AND FROM CHICAGO. THIS IS AN EXTREMELY DELICATE AND SENSITIVE SOURCE WHICH THE CHICAGO OFFICE IS MAKING EVERY EFFORT TO FULLY PROTECT.

[redacted] furnished information concerning activities on 3/29/65:

This source advised that during the early afternoon of the above date, Chicago top hoodlums [redacted] RALPH PIERCE, LES KRUSE and HY GODFREY arrived. [redacted] indicated that he had to meet someone later in the afternoon either in a [redacted] or [redacted] and that GODFREY should contact HUMPHREYS in order to ascertain whether HUMPHREYS desired to be in on the contact or not.

[redacted] then brought up the fact that he believes that he noticed that one of the jurors on the list of veniremen of the Cook County Criminal Courts is a Yellow Cab driver in

REC-40

3 - Bureau (RM)
1 - Las Vegas (RM)(AM)
1 - New York (RM)(AM)
1 - Chicago
[redacted] C.C. Wick
(6)

12 APR 14 1965

Approved: _____ Sent _____ Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

6 APR 16 1965

SPEC. MAIL RM.

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

5 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552

☐ (b)(1)
☐ (b)(2)
☐ (b)(3)
_____
_____
_____
☐ (b)(4)
☐ (b)(5)
☐ (b)(6)
☐ (b)(7)(A)
☐ (b)(7)(B)
☑ (b)(7)(C)
☐ (b)(7)(D)
☐ (b)(7)(E)
☐ (b)(7)(F)
☐ (b)(8)
☐ (b)(9)

Section 552a

☐ (d)(5)
☐ (j)(2)
☐ (k)(1)
☐ (k)(2)
☐ (k)(3)
☐ (k)(4)
☐ (k)(5)
☐ (k)(6)
☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1345 (pgs 2 thru 6)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☒ RADIO ☐ TELETYPE

R-61
DEFERRED 4-12-65
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 121831

[redacted]

(b)(7)(c)

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HUMPHREYS WAS DRIVEN TO HOSPITAL BY HY GODFREY AM APRIL 12. HOWEVER, BELIEVED FOR GENERAL CHECK UP.

HUMPHREYS GROUP PLANNED NOON LUNCHEON MEETING BUT LOCATION NOT OBTAINED BY SOURCE.

RECEIVED: 3:02 PM [redacted]

REC- 16

92-3038-1316

APR 14 1965

239

JUN 23 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☒ RADIO ☐ TELETYPE

R-59
DEFERRED 4-15-65
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 151645

(b)(7)(c) [redacted]

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED GODFREY MADE PLANS WITH HUMPHREYS TO DRIVE HIM TO 10 AM MEETING APRIL 15 "OUT WEST." USUALLY THIS REFERS TO MEET WITH SAM GIANCANA.

HUMPHREYS PREVIOUSLY HAD INSTRUCTED GODFREY TO CONTACT HUMPHREYS' ASSOCIATES [redacted] [redacted] AND [redacted] TO SET UP DINNER FOR 6:30 PM APRIL 15 AT STALEY'S RESTAURANT. ALL ABOVE FORMERLY OPERATED WIRE SERVICE FOR CHICAGO GROUP. HOWEVER, PURPOSE IS TO CHEER UP [redacted] WHO AT PRESENT TIME IS "DOWN" FINANCIALLY.

RECEIVED: 1:44 PM [redacted] .

EX 105

REC 33 92-3088-1347

21 APR 16 1965

58 APR 23 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

6:39 PM CST DEFERRED 4-14-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 142056

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY. [redacted]

(b)(7)(c)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED ASSOCIATE [redacted] ADVISED HUMPHREYS LATE EVENING APRIL 13 BUSTER WORTMAN WOULD BE IN FOLLOWING DAY AND COULD BE REACHED AT TOWNE HOTEL, REFERENCE TO HEADQUARTERS CICERO HOODLUM [redacted] HUMPHREYS INDICATED HE WOULD NEVER CONSIDER MEETING WORTMAN THERE. WHEN HY GODFREY ARRIVED EARLY AM APRIL 14 HUMPHREYS INSTRUCTED HIM TO GO TO OUTSIDE PHONE, CALL WORTMAN AT HOTEL, HAVE WORTMAN TO GO TO OUTSIDE PHONE, CALL GODFREY AND THEN GODFREY IS TO TELL WORTMAN TO MEET HUMPHREYS LOBBY ST. CLAIR HOTEL 11:30 AM APRIL 14. HUMPHREYS AND WORTMAN THEN WOULD GO ELSEWHERE. SOURCE PREVIOUSLY ADVISED WORTMAN WOULD BE IN CHICAGO THIS WEEK TO MEET HUMPHREYS TO REPORT HIS EFFORTS TO BLOCK ANTI CRIME LEGISLATION IN ILLINOIS GENERAL ASSEMBLY AND TO OBTAIN $10,000 HE HAS COMMITTED HIMSELF TO IN BRIBES TO LEGISLATORS.

GODFREY THEN REPORTED TO HUMPHREYS PAUL DE LUCIA AND ANTHONY ACCARDO ARE UPSET DUE TO DIFFICULTY IN REACHING HUMPHREYS SINCE HUMPHREYS HAS DISCONTINUED USE OF "OFFICE WHERE YOU COULD HAVE A PHONE LIKE WE USED TO." HUMPHREYS INDICATED HE DISCONTINUED SUCH PRACTICE SEVERAL YEARS AGO AFTER ALL MAJOR AND MINOR HOODLUMS BECAME AWARE CELANO TAILORS WAS HIS OFFICE. HUMPHREYS REFUSED TO CONSIDER THIS AND SAID "YOU TELL THEM IF THEY WANT TO SET THE G UP LIKE

92-3088 1348

EC-50

16 APR 16 1965

APR 22 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 1£-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO XXX TELETYPE

PAGE TWO, FROM CHICAGO 142056

(b)(7)(c)

THAT GO AHEAD BUT DON'T INCLUDE ME IN." HUMPHREYS THEN ADVISED THIS IS REASON WHY HE HAS NEVER ANSWERED PHONE IN HIS OWN APARTMENT.

GODFREY THEN ADVISED TOP HOODLUM [redacted] CONTACTED HIM APRIL 13 AFTER LANDLORD OF APARTMENT [redacted] APPARENTLY USING IN CHICAGO INFORMED HIM CHICAGO PD TAPPING [redacted] PHONE AND ARE USING APARTMENT AROUND CORNER TO MONITOR. [redacted] HAS CONTACTED PHONE COMPANY WHO CHECKED [redacted] PHONE BUT ADVISED THEY COULD FIND NOTHING. [redacted] HAS NOW POSTED LOOKOUT AT APARTMENT TO ATTEMPT TO PHOTOGRAPH AND LOG IN AND OUT POLICEMEN MONITORING. HUMPHREYS DESIRES GODFREY TO RECONTACT [redacted] FOR MORE INFO. HE WILL THEN DISCUSS WITH ATTORNEY POSSIBILITY OF OBTAINING CITIZEN'S WARRANT AND MAKE ENTRY OF APARTMENT WHERE MONITORING PERFORMED ON BASIS WARRANT. THIS WILL BE SUBJECT DAILY NOON MEETING APRIL 14. NOTED ABOVE NOT CONNECTED WITH ANY INVESTIGATION CHICAGO DIVISION.

DETAILED AIRTEL FOLLOWS.

AIRMAIL COPY SPRINGFIELD.

RECEIVED: 7:57 PM [redacted]

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☐ RADIO ☒ TELETYPE

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
Deloach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

7:21 PM CST DEFERRED 4-13-65 [redacted]
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 132208

Anti-racketeering

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

REC-50

[redacted] (b)(7)(c)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE ADVISED HY GODFREY BROUGHT SUM OF MONEY TO HUMPHREYS AM APRIL 13. WHEN HUMPHREYS COMPLAINED GODFREY SHOULD KNOW BETTER THAN BRING HIM LARGE BILLS GODFREY INDICATED ANOTHER PACKAGE OF BILLS WERE OF SMALLER DENOMINATIONS AND WERE FOR HUMPHREYS WHILE LARGER BILLS WERE FOR SOMEONE ELSE. HUMPHREYS THEN APPARENTLY TOOK PACKAGE OF LARGER BILLS AND INSTRUCTED GODFREY "TAKE THIS OVER TO [redacted] TAKE THESE TO HIS OFFICE AND JUST HAND IT TO HIM. DON'T CALL ON THE PHONE." APPARENTLY HUMPHREYS REFERRED TO [redacted] SOURCE PREVIOUSLY INDICATED HUMPHREYS USING [redacted] FORMER STATE SENATOR, TO DEFEAT ANTI CRIME BILLS IN LEGISLATURE. ALSO HUMPHREYS COMMITTED HIMSELF IN AMOUNT $7,000 THIS PURPOSE AND TO FURNISH BUSTER WORTMAN TEN THOUSAND SAME REGARD.

HUMPHREYS INSTRUCTED GODFREY TO SET UP NOON MEETING AT "WABASH SOUTH" APPARENT REFERENCE TO ONE OF NEWLY DESIGNATED MEETING SPOTS, THE LA FORCHETTA RESTAURANT.

AIRMAIL COPY SPRINGFIELD.

RECEIVED: 8:41 PM [redacted]

REC-50 92-3088

21 APR 16 1965

F146

APR 29 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

4-3 (Rev. 12-14-64)

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

DECODED COPY

☐ AIRGRAM ☐ CABLEGRAM ☒ RADIO ☐ TELETYPE

R-72
DEFERRED 4-16-65
TO DIRECTOR
FROM CHICAGO 161817

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, AKA. AR. DAILY SUMMARY.

[redacted]

(b)(7)(c)

OKLAHOMA CITY ADVISED WHEN HUMPHREYS IN NORMAN, OKLAHOMA, APRIL 2 LAST HE WAS SERVED WITH SUBPOENA BY SHERIFFS OFFICE TO ATTEND HEARING APRIL 20 IN NORMAN ON PETITION FIRST WIFE TO VACATE DIVORCE DECREE. FIRST WIFE WANTS $60,000 ALIMONY INCREASED CHARGING FRAUD IN DIVORCE PROCEEDINGS IN THAT HUMPHREYS HAD MORE PROPERTY RIGHTS THAN INDICATED AT TIME OF DIVORCE, 1957. HUMPHREYS ACCEPTED SUMMONS NONCHALANTLY.

ABOVE EXPLAINS STATEMENT RECENTLY MADE BY HUMPHREYS THAT BEST WAY TO LEAVE MONEY IS NOT BY WILL BUT BY LARGE ALIMONY PAYMENTS SINCE THIS MEHTOD PROVIDES BETTER "TAX BREAK." IT WOULD APPEAR HUMPHREYS HAS INSTRUCTED FIRST WIFE TO FILE ABOVE ACTION SO HE CAN GIVE HER ADDITIONAL MONEY RATHER THAN BEQUEATH HER MONEY WHICH THEN WOULD BE SUBJECT TO INHERITANCE TAXES.

AM COPY OKLAHOMA CITY.

RECEIVED: 2:53 PM [redacted]

REC 5 92-3088 1350

1 APR 19 1965

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably paraphrased in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.

FD-143 (Rev. 4-2-63)
Optional Form No. 10

May 1962 Edition
GSA Gen. Reg. No. 27

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

MEMORANDUM

JUNE

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

DATE: 4/16/65

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (92-350 sub 11)

SUBJECT: JUSTIFICATION FOR CONTINUATION OF TECHNICAL OR MICROPHONE SURVEILLANCE

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(C):(D)

RE: Title MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS

Character of Case ANTI-RACKETEERING
Field Office CHICAGO
Symbol Number [redacted]
Type of Surveillance: (~~Technical or~~ Microphone)

1. Name of person or organization on whom surveillance placed:
MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, 300 North State St., Chicago, Illinois.

2. Address where installation made. Also give exact room number or area covered:
Suite 1223-27, 188 W. Randolph, Chicago, Illinois.

3. Location of monitoring plant: REC-62

EX-113

4. Dates of initial authorization and installation:
1/15/64, authorization;
5/29/64, installation.

APR 21 1965

5. Previous and other installations on the same subject (with dates and places): 300 North State St., Chicago, Illinois, April, 1963.

[redacted]

6. If installation is a technical surveillance, answer following questions:

a. [redacted]

b. [redacted]

c. [redacted]

~~1 - Bureau~~ (RM)
1 - Chicago
[redacted]
(2)

Discontinued
7-12-65

M. F. Row
6221 IB

SPEC. MAIL RM.

Registered Mail

6 AUG 5 1965

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(A): (E):

d. [redacted]

e. [redacted]

7. If a microphone surveillance involved, state number of microphones actually used and location of each:

[redacted].

8. Is the installation part of a tel-mike? If so, give symbol of other side of the combination:

No

9. Specific examples of valuable information obtained since previous report with indication of specific value of each item and the date information received. State what use was made of each item involved: (Add insert pages)

See attached.

10. Could above information have been obtained from other sources and by other means?

No.

11. Number of live informants (in field division) who cover same subject:

None for same coverage.

12. Has security factor changed since installation?

No.

13. Any request for the surveillance by outside agency (give name, title and agency):

No.

14. Cost of Plant Premises:

a. Rental costs for plant premises:

[redacted].

b. Give total number of other surveillances monitored at same plant.

[redacted]

c. If any others, set out the proportionate cost of instant surveillance:

15. Cost of Leased Line for instant installation?

Nothing.

16. Personnel Costs:

(b)(2)

a. Give total number of special employees and/or Special Agents working at plant and total salary costs.

2 Special Employees, full time, Security [redacted] per annum.
2 Special Employees - full time, Criminal [redacted] per annum.
4 Clerks - full time - Criminal - [redacted] per annum.
1 Special Agent - full time - Criminal - [redacted] per annum.
3 Special Agents - full time - [redacted] & 1 Special Agent 60% of time

b. Total number of man hours per week spent at plant?

540 hours

Total: [redacted]

c. If other installations monitored at same plant, list proportionate number of man hours per week spent on instant surveillance:

60 hours per week

d. If other installations monitored at same plant, list proportionate salary expense per annum for instant surveillance:

$15,000 per annum.

17. Remarks (by SAC):

This surveillance will be maintained for an additional period of 90 days, UACB. The information obtained from this source is invaluable and is not subject to being obtained elsewhere.

18. Recommendation by Assistant Director:
(If this surveillance involves cryptanalysis, include statement that decrypted material is or is not sufficiently important to continue decrypting.)

9.

(b)(7)(c)

Since the last submission of an FD-143, this source has furnished considerable information concerning the activities of several Chicago top hoodlums including MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, [redacted] RALPH PIERCE, LESLIE KRUSE, PHIL ALDERISIO, and to a lesser extent on [redacted] and HY GODFREY. This source has indicated that these hoodlums have had two primary activities during the past three months. One of these has been the investigation of the background of the jurors in the state case against Chicago top hoodlum [redacted] The investigation of these jurors has been with a view towards determining an avenue of approach so that a juror could be approached to furnish favorable treatment to [redacted] This investigation has been conducted of the entire jury panel so that when MIKE BRODKIN, who has been defending [redacted] is engaged in the selection of a jury, he will know which jurors can be expected to furnish sympathetic treatment to [redacted] and those who might be expected to be hostile towards [redacted]

As of this time, no direct approach to a juror has been made as far as is known. However, the identity of one juror whom the hoodlums feel would provide favorable treatment has been obtained.

The other activity which the Chicago group has been active in, according to this source, is to influence legislators in the 74th General Assembly in Springfield, Illinois, the state capital, to vote against the several anti-crime bills which are currently pending in the Legislature. The identities of several individuals, including legislators who have been approached in this regard have been obtained. The close cooperation extended in this regard to the Chicago group by Springfield top hoodlum FRANK "BUSTER" WORTMAN also has been obtained.

Considerable other information concerning the activities and whereabouts of the Chicago hoodlums has also been obtained, as has information concerning corruption in law enforcement agencies in the Chicago, in the local and federal judiciary, in the public offices, and in the Illinois General Assembly.

4/19/65

1 - [redacted]
1 - Mr. [redacted]
1 - Mr. [redacted]

Airtel

To: SAC, Chicago (92-350)

JUNE

From: Director, FBI

MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS
AR

(b)(2)
(b)(7)(c):(D)

Promptly submit an appropriate FD-143 concerning [redacted]

REC-1 92-3088-1351

4 APR 21 1965

MAILED 30
APR 19 1965
COMM-FBI

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
DeLoach
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
Felt
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

[redacted]

6 APR 23 1965

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

FD-36 (Rev. 5-22-64)

FBI

Date: 3/26/65

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI JUNE

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (92-350-Sub 11)

SUBJECT: MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka
AR

REC-40

SUMMARY

EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE EXERCISED IN THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION. IT SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE BODY OF A REPORT EVEN THOUGH PARAPHRASED. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED IN LEAD COVERAGE OR AS THE BASIS FOR AN INTERVIEW UNLESS SPECIFIC CLEARANCE IS OBTAINED FROM THE BUREAU AND FROM CHICAGO. THIS IS AN EXTREMELY DELICATE AND SENSITIVE SOURCE WHICH THE CHICAGO OFFICE IS MAKING EVERY EFFORT TO FULLY PROTECT.

[redacted] furnished information concerning activities on 3/24/65:

At 2:06 p.m. on the above date, MIKE BRODKIN returned to his office with Chicago top hoodlum RALPH PIERCE following a luncheon meeting with PIERCE, HUMPHREYS, and other Chicago hoodlums. Inasmuch as BRODKIN and PIERCE immediately discussed the list of venire men from whom the jurors who will hear the trial of [redacted] will be selected, it is felt that the purpose of the luncheon meeting between the HUMPHREYS group and BRODKIN was to again plan

3 - Bureau (RM)
1 - Houston (RM)(AM)
1 - San Diego (RM)(AM)
1 - Chicago
[redacted]
(6)

REC-40 352

18 MAR 30 1965

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

6 APR 23 1965

211

investigations of the jurors who may be selected to try [redacted] who is being tried in the Criminal Courts of Cook County for insurance fraud. It may be of interest to note that it was announced in the Chicago press on 3/25/65 that Judge EDWARD FINNEGAN, who declared the first [redacted] trial a mistrial, has been given a leave of absence from the bench due to the fact that he is suffering from "dysentary" and that he will be confined to a hospital in Minnesota for approximately four weeks. From comments of BRODKIN, it appears that Judge FINNEGAN's "dysentary" is actually acute alcoholism.

BRODKIN was in extremely high spirits and mentioned to PIERCE and later again to [redacted] what MURRAY HUMPHREYS told him at the luncheon meeting: "That he is 100 per cent back of me and that if I need any type of aid at this time, I should feel free in asking HUMPY for help. He called me 'son.' Isn't he one great guy?"

Apparently what HUMPHREYS is "100 per cent back of" BRODKIN concerning is the feeling of BRODKIN that he is about to be indicted in Houston, Texas. More will be set out hereinafter in this communication concerning this situation.

BRODKIN mentioned that he had had dinner at Jennie's Restaurant on the evening of 3/23/65. It is noted that Jennie's Restaurant is one of the prime hangouts of PIERCE and his underlings on the far South Side of Chicago. This office conducted an investigation at Jennie's Restaurant in an attempt to identify the associates of PIERCE who meet with him there and information has been received indicating definitely that the management of this restaurant informed PIERCE of our investigation there. It is therefore of interest to note above that PIERCE continues to use Jennie's Restaurant.

PIERCE then mentioned that Juror #315, one [redacted] (correct spelling), was to be given by Chicago top hoodlum [redacted] to [redacted]"

Later in the conversation, BRODKIN made a

reference to Juror #315 and used the name "[redacted]" and mentioned that this juror had been given to [redacted] who is to "return the results to [redacted]" [redacted] is a Precinct Captain in the 1st Ward, and is an employee of the [redacted] Obviously there must be something in the background of [redacted] which makes the Chicago group feel that [redacted] is in a position to either obtain information concerning him or to make a contact with him.

As PIERCE made ready to leave, he informed BRODKIN that he wanted everyone together on the following Friday, 3/26/65, in order to obtain the "results of the names assigned." BRODKIN indicated that he would also attend this conference.

At 2:48 p.m., [redacted] arrived. [redacted] in Chicago and for many years was a large stockholder in the Dunes Hotel in Las Vegas. One of the first things that BRODKIN told [redacted] when [redacted] arrived was what HUMPHREYS had informed him at lunch that day as to HUMPHREYS being "100 per cent in back of" BRODKIN.

During the conversation with [redacted] it became very apparent that BRODKIN is extremely upset concerning the fact that he feels that he is about to be indicted in Houston, Texas. At this point, the attention of the Bureau is invited to Houston airtel to the Director dated 3/20/65, entitled [redacted] aka, et al, D/B/A Reed Enterprises, Inc., San Diego, California, ITOM, OO HOUSTON." Bureau file [redacted] As the Bureau is aware, the Houston Office is office of origin in an ITOM case against the Reed Enterprises, Inc. Reed Enterprises has published several books which are alleged to be obscene material. Through this source, previous information has been obtained showing that [redacted] and BRODKIN represent Reed Enterprises in Chicago and that they have been in frequent conversation with [redacted] a member of the CPA firm of Auerbach & Auerbach, Chicago, which handles the accounting for Reed Enterprises. It is noted that investigation of the Chicago Office has indicated that approximately $25,000 has been disbursed by Reed Enterprises

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

6 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552

- ☐ (b)(1)
- ☑ (b)(2)
- ☐ (b)(3)
- _____
- _____
- _____
- ☐ (b)(4)
- ☐ (b)(5)
- ☐ (b)(6)
- ☐ (b)(7)(A)
- ☐ (b)(7)(B)
- ☑ (b)(7)(C)
- ☑ (b)(7)(D)
- ☐ (b)(7)(E)
- ☐ (b)(7)(F)
- ☐ (b)(8)
- ☐ (b)(9)

Section 552a

- ☐ (d)(5)
- ☐ (j)(2)
- ☐ (k)(1)
- ☐ (k)(2)
- ☐ (k)(3)
- ☐ (k)(4)
- ☐ (k)(5)
- ☐ (k)(6)
- ☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3008-1352 (pgs 4 thru 9)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

5 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552

- ☐ (b)(1)
- ☑ (b)(2)
- ☐ (b)(3)
- _____
- _____
- _____
- ☐ (b)(4)
- ☐ (b)(5)
- ☐ (b)(6)
- ☐ (b)(7)(A)
- ☐ (b)(7)(B)
- ☑ (b)(7)(C)
- ☑ (b)(7)(D)
- ☐ (b)(7)(E)
- ☐ (b)(7)(F)
- ☐ (b)(8)
- ☐ (b)(9)

Section 552a

- ☐ (d)(5)
- ☐ (j)(2)
- ☐ (k)(1)
- ☐ (k)(2)
- ☐ (k)(3)
- ☐ (k)(4)
- ☐ (k)(5)
- ☐ (k)(6)
- ☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1353 & 1354

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ

Rev. 5-22-64)

FBI

Date: 4/13/65

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (92-350-sub 11)

SUBJECT : MURRAY L. HUMPHREYS, aka
AR

JUNE

REC 58

SUMMARY

EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE EXERCISED IN THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION. IT SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE BODY OF A REPORT EVEN THOUGH PARAPHRASED. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED IN LEAD COVERAGE OR AS THE BASIS FOR AN INTERVIEW UNLESS SPECIFIC CLEARANCE IS OBTAINED FROM THE BUREAU AND FROM CHICAGO. THIS IS AN EXTREMELY DELICATE AND SENSITIVE SOURCE WHICH THE CHICAGO OFFICE IS MAKING EVERY EFFORT TO FULLY PROTECT.

(b)(2)

[redacted] furnished information concerning activities on 4/9 and 4/10/65: (b)(7)(C)(D)

[redacted] indicated that on the above date, Chicago top hoodlum FELIX "MILWAUKEE PHIL" ALDERISIO returned to Chicago after having been convicted for ITAR - EXTORTION in Denver, Colo., the previous day. ALDERISIO conferred with [redacted] concerning his trial. [redacted] found it hard to understand how ALDERISIO could be convicted of conspiracy, but not of the substantive violation.

3 - Bureau (REGISTERED)
1 - Denver (REGISTERED) 105
1 - Houston (REGISTERED)
1 - New York (REGISTERED)
1 - Chicago

[redacted]
(7)
C. C. Wick

1355

APR 14 1965

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

6 APR 26 1965

4-750 (Rev. 12-14-88)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

8 Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

☑ Deletions were made pursuant to the exemptions indicated below with no segregable material available for release to you.

Section 552

☐ (b)(1)
☐ (b)(2)
☐ (b)(3)
_____
_____
_____
☐ (b)(4)
☐ (b)(5)
☐ (b)(6)
☐ (b)(7)(A)
☐ (b)(7)(B)
☑ (b)(7)(C)
☐ (b)(7)(D)
☐ (b)(7)(E)
☐ (b)(7)(F)
☐ (b)(8)
☐ (b)(9)

Section 552a

☐ (d)(5)
☐ (j)(2)
☐ (k)(1)
☐ (k)(2)
☐ (k)(3)
☐ (k)(4)
☐ (k)(5)
☐ (k)(6)
☐ (k)(7)

☑ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to ~~you or~~ the subject of your request.

☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.

☐ Documents originated with another Government agency(ies). These documents were referred to that agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

_____ Pages contain information furnished by another Government agency(ies). You will be advised by the FBI as to the releasability of this information following our consultation with the other agency(ies).

_____ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s): _____

☐ For your information: _____

☑ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

FBI HQ file 92-3088-1355 (pgs 2 thru 9)

XXXXXX
XXXXXX
XXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X DELETED PAGE(S) X
X NO DUPLICATION FEE X
X FOR THIS PAGE X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI/DOJ