0-9 (Rev. 8-16-79)

TRANSMIT VIA: AIRTEL

CLASSIFICATION:

DATE: 3/25/94

FROM: Director, FBI

TO: SAC, Boston (197-211)
Attention: Principal Legal Advisor

JOHN L. STARADUMSKY V. RONALD REAGAN, ET AL.
(U.S.D.C., D. N.H)
CIVIL ACTION NO. 90-CV-2000D

T 10 5/16/94

Re BS airtel to Director, dated 3/16/94

Final Docket Check at Concord NH

Referenced airtel and enclosed docket sheet indicates that a Motion for Summary Judgement was granted in favor of defendant's on 3/9/94.

T set 4/5/94 SMD

Boston is requested to conduct a final docket check on or after 5/9/94 to insure that no appeal has been filed in this case.

Boston should forward the results of the final docket check to SSA [redacted], LCD, CLU I.

4-6-94 gm
L/C to SA [redacted] Concord RA

4-6-94 gm
Rev to [redacted]

197-BS-71824-3

SEARCHED gm INDEXED gm
SERIALIZED gm FILED gm
MAR 30 1994
FBI — BOSTON

PLA [redacted]

PLA [redacted] Rule [redacted] covered + dist [redacted] Concord

b6
b7C

FBI/DOJ

Memorandum

To : SSA [redacted] (197-BS-71824) Date 6/9/94

From : PLA [redacted]

Subject: JOHN L. STARADUMSKY v. RONALD REAGAN,
ET AL (U.S.D.C., D NH)
CIVIL ACTION NUMBER: 90-CV-2000D

For the information of Supervisor [redacted], FBIHQ directed the Boston Division by airtel, dated March 25, 1994, to conduct a final docket check regarding captioned matter to insure that no appeal was filed. Accordingly, it is requested that you assign a lead to one of the Concord Resident Agents to conduct a final docket check regarding captioned matter to insure that no appeal has been filed regarding the dismissal of this case on March 9, 1994. A copy of the docket should be obtained and the Resident Agent conducting the lead should forward it to SSA [redacted] Legal Counsel Division, Civil Litigation Unit I, FBIHQ, by appropriate airtel. If no appeal has been taken in the case, the airtel should be RUC'd to the Bureau.

b6

6-22-94
jm

LEAD: SA [redacted]
JC 6/15/94

* Lead set 6-22-94 in error. Covered on 6-23-94. jm

2-SSA [redacted]
1-(Concord RA)
1-Boston (197-BS-71824)
MC/dj
(3)

PLA [redacted] jm
197-BS-71824-4

SEARCHED
SERIALIZED jm
INDEXED
FILED jm

Lead to IA [redacted]
6-23-94. jm

Memorandum

To : PLA [redacted] (197-BS-71824) Date 6/17/94

From : SA [redacted]

Subject: JOHN L. STARADUMSKY v. RONALD REAGAN, ET AL (U.S.D.C., D NH) CIVIL ACTION NUMBER; 90-CV-2000D

88-CV-0589 USDC-RI

Reference Memorandum of PLA [redacted] dated 6/9/94.

Enclosed for IA [redacted] is one copy of referenced memorandum.

Per referenced memorandum, writer obtained the following information from the office of the Clerk of Court, District of New Hampshire: b6

Captioned case was closed in March, 1994, upon acceptance of a motion for summary judgement. This was a Rhode Island case initially, and Rhode Island must enter the judgement, Rhode Island would also enter any appeal.

LEAD:

BOSTON DIVISION
at PROVIDENCE, RHODE ISLAND

IA [redacted] will cover lead as set forth in referenced memorandum, in light of above information. Information obtained should be forwarded to PLA [redacted]

6-23-94 jm

B-1 ROTOR LEAD TO [redacted]

1-PLA [redacted]
1-SSA [redacted] Portsmouth)
1-SSRA [redacted] (Providence)
1-IA [redacted] (Providence)

197-BS-71824

LEADS COVERED 7-8-94

197-BS-71824-5

JUN 3 1994

Memorandum

To : PLA [redacted] (197-BS-71824) Date 07/08/94

From : IA [redacted] (PROVIDENCE RA)

b6
b7C

Subject : JOHN L. STARADUMSKY v. RONALD REAGAN,
ET AL (U.S.D.C., D NH)
CIVIL ACTION NUMBER: 90-CV-2000D

Re Memo of SA [redacted] dated 06/17/94.

On 07/08/94, U.S.D.C. for the District of Rhode Island, Providence, Rhode Island, furnished the attached docket sheet for civil case 88-CV-0589 - JOHN J. STARADUMSKY v. U.S. GOVERNMENT - RONALD REAGAN ADMINISTRATION, ET AL.

2 - Boston 197-BS-71824
CCS/ccs
(2)
ccs

:T	OFF.	DOCKET NO. YR.	NUMBER	OR	FILING DATE MO.	DAY	YR.	J	NATURE SUIT	DIV. PTF	DEF	H 23	$ DEMAND THOUSANDS	JUDGE	MAG.	COUNTY	JURY DEM:	DOCKET YR.	NUMBER
	01	88	0589	1	10	06	88	2	440	1	1		10,000				P	88	0589

E: PLAINTIFFS

JOHN J. STARADUMSKY

DEFENDANTS

U.S. GOVERNMENT--RONALD REAGAN ADMINISTRATION, ET AL

CAUSE
(CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH THE CASE IS FILED AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE)

USCS 1986, 1985, 1983, 1981--GROSS FEDERAL AND STATE MISS USE AND ABUSE OF POWER, IN ISPIRACY WITH UPS DEFENDANT, DEPRIVATION OF ALL RIGHTS OF CITIZENSHIP, CIVIL & HUMAN ELECTION \UD.

ATTORNEYS

IN J. STARADUMSKY, PRO-SE
XXXXXXXXX PO Box 701
Kenyon St.
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX Coventry, RI 02816
Providence, RI 02903
XXXXXX 821-5173
7457

William F. Holt, Esq.
Assistant City Solicitor
995 Park Avenue
Cranston, RI 02910
944-4950
(Michael A. Traficante and the City of Cranston)

lith Crowell Asst. City Solicitor
Eddy Street
ov., RI 02903
l-7740 ext. 333
ity of Prov., Prov. Police Dept.
fice of the Mayor, and agent
seph Paolino Mayor/Estate Joseph
olino)

David W. Carroll, Esq.
One Old Stone Square
Providence, RI 02903
521-7000
(WPRI_TV 12, WCVB- TV 5 and ABC)

David W. Dugan, Esq. (State & AG)
72 Pine Street
Providence, RI
274-4400

Vasiliki M. Canotas, Esq.
Sheehan, Phinney, Bass & Green
1000 Elm Street, PO Box 3701
Manchester, NH 03105-3701
603-668-0300
(WPRI-TV 12, WCVB-TV 5, and ABC)

2-1 Civil Rights

CHECK HERE CASE WAS LED IN ORMA AUPERIS	FILING FEES PAID			STATISTICAL CARDS	
	DATE	RECEIPT NUMBER	C.D. NUMBER	CARD	DATE MAILED
	10-06-1988			JS-5	
				JS-6	

ED STATES DISTRICT COURT DOCKET

DC-111 (Rev: 1/87)

	NR.	PROCEEDINGS
/88	1	Complaint. lk
/88	2	PLTF m/leave to proceed in forma pauperis w/affidavit. lk
/88	3	FINANCIAL affidavit. lk
/88	4	PLTF ex parte m/hearing on all restraining orders prior to service. lk
/88	5	PLTF ex parte m/immediate change venue. lk
/88	6	PLTF's m/restraining order and protective custody. lk
/88	7	PLTF's four mtns restraining order. lk
1	8	PLTF notice of hearing. lk
.1	9	FAX copy of Order entered by Judge Devine designating Magis. Barry to hear and file proposed findings on pltff's m/rest. order and protective order.
.1	10	FAX copy of Order entered by Magis. Barry granting m/forma pauperis for purpose of filing fee only. lk
18	11	PLNTF's certification of service. kp
4	12	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT of receipt of summons and complaint. kp
26	13	FEDERAL and individual defts' m/dismiss. kp
7	14	Financial Affidavit. kp
	15	NOTICE of appeal of order dated october 11, 1988 denying him the right to proceed in forma pauperis. kp
	16	EX Parte m/for presentation of video and audio evidence. kp
	17	SUPPORT affidavit of m/proceed on appeal in forma pauperis. kp
	18	ORDER: plntf's ex parte m/presentation of video and audio evidence is granted, provided it is relevant and admissible at hrg. The court is unaware if plntf's equipment is compatible w/the court's equipment and suggests that plntf. provide his own. so ordered by MAG. Barry. kp
	19	plntf's obj. to m/dismiss and consolidated m/extension of time to file memo. of law. kp
	20	DEFTS' " City of Providence, Providence Police Dept., Office of the Mayor and Agent Joseph Paolino and Estate Joseph Paolino 's m/dismiss. kp
	21	PLNTF's ex parte motion for leave of court-- District of New Hampshire for filing of exhibits out of time, prior to hrg of 11/9/88. kp
	22	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT of receipt of summons and complaint. kp
	23	FEDERAL defts- obj. to plntf's m/TRO order or injunctive relief. kp
	24	FEDERAL defts' obj. to plntf's m/presentation of video and audio evidence. kp
	25	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT of receipt of summons and complaint. kp
	26	ENTRY of appearance of William F. Holt for deft. Michael A. Traficante and the City of Cranston. kp
	27	ANSWER of defts. Michael A. Traficante and the City of Cranston. kp
	28	ANSWER of WPRI-TV 12, WCVB-TV 5 and ABC. kp
	29	ENTRY of appearance of David W. Carroll for WPRI-TV 12, WCVB-TV 5 and ABC. kp
	30	DEFTS. Michael A. Traficante and the City of Cranston's m/dismiss pursuant to F.R.C.P. 12b6. kp
	31	DEFTS' United Parcel Service and its agents obj. to plntf's m/TRO and protective order. kp
4	32	ANSWER of defts. United Parcel Service, Inc. and Agents. kp
5	33	DEFT. Cable News Network, Inc's m/dismiss. kp
	34	ENTRY of appearance of Vasiliki M. Canotas for WPRI-TV12, WCVB-TV 5, and ABC. kp
	35	ANSWER of Governor Edward DiPrete. kp
3	36	Letter certifying that all counsel of record have been mailed copies of Cable News

A
/75)

CIVIL DOCKET CONTINUATION SHEET

AINTIFF	DEFENDANT	DOCKET NO. 88-0589
OHN J. STARADUMSKY	U.S. GOVERNMENT	PAGE 2 OF ___ PAGES

DATE	NR.	PROCEEDINGS
21/88	37	RE-Certification fm. pltff. lk
'22	38	DEFT United Parcel Service m/dismiss. lk
1/28	39	ANSWER of State of RI and AG. lk
:/15	40	ORDER: Order of Magis Barry entered 10/7/88 affirmed, former notice of appeal fm Magis. order to be considered filed as of date of this order and proper documents to be sent to Circuit, all further proceedings stayed until response is had fm Circuit entered 12/9/88 by Chief Judge Devine.
:/16		Cert. copy of docket, Order of Magis. Barry, Order of Judge Devine and Financial affidavit of Staradumsky sent to 1st circuit. lk
'7/89	41	Certified Copy: order of Court: the district court's denial in part, of appellant's m/proceed in forma pauperis is affirmed for the reasons stated in the MAG's October 11, 1988 order and in the district court's December 9, 1988 order. kp
'23	42	plntf's m/leave to proceed as in forma pauperis. kp
2	43	PTLF m/for injuctive relief; stay in court, na
	44	Ptlf m/for expedited hearing, na
'9	45	Deft, United Parcel Service, Inc. obj to plntf's m/Injunctive relief and m/expediated hrg. kp
'13	46	Deft, City of Providence, Prov. Police Dept, Office of the Mayor, and agent Jospeh Paolino/Mayor and Estate Jospeh Paolino obj to plntf's m/expediated hrg. kp
	47	ENTRY of appearance of Judith Crowell, Assistant City Solicitor for the City of Providence, Prov. Police Dept, Office of the Mayor and Agent Joseph Paolino/Mayor and Estate Joseph Paolino. kp
	48	WITHDRAWAL of appearance of Alison L. Holm for above named defts. kp
:6	49	Plntf's petition for writ of mandamus. kp
	50	CERTIFICATION. kp
	51	DEFTS', City of Providence, Providence Police Dept., Office of the Mayor, and agent Jospeh Paolino Mayor/and Estate Joseph Paolino obj to plntf's m/expedited hrgs.
	51A	PLTF m/entry default judgment.
19	52.	DEFAULT Judgment request for entry of judgment by the Clerk. kp
20	53.	AFFIDAVIT of Bishop Louis Gelineau in support of m/enlarge time to answer complaint. kp
	54.	M/Bishop Louis Gelineau,et al to enlarge time within which to answer
	55.	AFFIDAVIT of William T. Murphy in support of m/Bishop Louis Gelineau to enlarge time to answer. kp
	56.	CERTIFICATE of service. kp
	57.	DEFT'S obj to Magistrate's Recommendation for entry of default against Bishop Louis Gelineau. kp
2	58.	PLNTF'S obj to entry and m/dismiss pleadings of deft Bishop Gelineau.
	59.	PLNTF'S obj in partial to Report & Rec. of Magistrate on March 12, 1990.
13	60.	DEFT Bishop Louis Gelineau's m/more definite statement. kp
.4/90	61	Pltf obj to mtn to dismiss jew
	62	summons return jew
	63	Obj to mtn for more definite statement jew
	64	mtn for entry of judgement by default. jew
	65	Certification. jew

DC 111A
(Rev. 1/75)

CIVIL DOCKET CONTINUATION SHEET

PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	DOCKET NO. _____ PAGE ___ OF ___ PAG

DATE	NR.	PROCEEDINGS
5/1/90	66	Ex-parte m/leave of court to accept refiled matter by pltf. lk
	67	PLTF m/dismiss pleading of defts WPRI, WCVB, ABC ET AL. lk
5/1	68	PLTF exparte notice to Clerk re: writ. lk
5/2	69	DEFT Gelineau m/more definite statement. lk
4/12	70	DEFTS WPRI-TV, WCVB-TV and ABC m/dismiss. lk
4/19	71	WITHDRAWAL of Vasiliki M. Canotas for defts WPRI-TV, WCVB-TV, and ABC. lk
4/19	72	APPEARANCH of Sara Crosby for defts WPRI, WCVB and ABC. lk
4/20	73	PLTF m/to Chief Judge pursuant to R. 52(b) & 59. lk
4/20	74	Notice of new address of pltf. lk
5/22	75.	PLNTF'S ex-parte re-certification and m/leave of the court pursu to FRCP Rule 5(c). kp
9/21/93		Motion for Order of this Court to Force Defs to produce documents bd
9/21/93		Plaintiff's obj to Motion for S/J bd

FD-36 (Rev. 11-17-88)

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:
- [ ] Teletype
- [ ] Facsimile
- [x] AIRTEL

PRECEDENCE:
- [ ] Immediate
- [ ] Priority
- [ ] Routine

CLASSIFICATION:
- [ ] TOP SECRET
- [ ] SECRET
- [ ] CONFIDENTIAL
- [ ] UNCLAS E F T O
- [ ] UNCLAS

Date 7/14/94

(T) 9/12/94 Docket check at USDC - Rhode Island

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (ATTN: SSA [redacted] OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL, CIVIL LITIGATION UNIT 1)

FROM : SAC, BOSTON (197-BS-71824) (C)

SUBJECT : JOHN L. STARADUMSKY v. RONALD REAGAN, ET AL, (U.S.D.C, D NH), CIVIL ACTION NUMBER: 90-CV-2000D

Tset 8/5/94 SMD

Reference Director airtel to Boston, dated 3/25/94.

Enclosed for the Office of General Counsel is one copy each of the following documents:

b6

1. Memorandum from PLA [redacted] to SSA [redacted] dated 6/9/94.

2. Memorandum from Special Agent [redacted] to PLA [redacted] dated 6/17/94.

3. Memorandum from IA [redacted] to PLA [redacted], dated 7/8/94, with attached docket sheet from the United States District Court in Rhode Island.

For the information of SSA [redacted] PLA, Boston has reviewed the enclosed memorandums written by SA [redacted] and IA [redacted]. SA [redacted] was informed by the District Court Clerk in New Hampshire that captioned case was closed in March of 1994, and that because the case was a Rhode Island case initially, the District of Rhode Island must enter the judgement and the District of Rhode Island would also have to enter any appeal that may be

2-Bureau (Enc. 3)
1-Boston (197-BS-71824)
MC/dj
(3)

THIS AIRTEL AND ITS ENCLOSURES NEVER WAS SENT TO HQ. INSTEAD A ONE PAGE AIRTEL DATED 7/14/94 WAS SENT BECAUSE IT SIMPLIFIED THE EXPLANATION OF WHAT HQ NEEDED TO KNOW AND ANSWERED ALL THAT HQ NEEDED TO KNOW.

MC

197-BS-71824-7

Approved: _____ Transmitted _____ (Number) (Time) Per

SEARCHED _____
SERIALIZED JM
INDEXED _____
FILED JM

THIS IS BEING RETAINED IN FILE TO REFLECT WORK ACTUALLY DONE.
JMC/PLA

filed. IA [redacted] then provided a copy of a docket from Rhode Island under docket number: 88-CV-0589 (which was the original docket number of this case when it was in Rhode Island before it was transferred to New Hampshire) and the Rhode Island docket does not reflect entry of judgement for the defendants, nor does it reflect that any appeal was filed. A review of the New Hampshire docket under docket number: 90-CV-2000D, reflects the last entry of March 14, 1994, which shows the case to be closed and that the case was sent to the United States District Court for the District of Rhode Island to enter the judgement. A copy of the New Hampshire docket as of March 16, 1994, has already been provided to FBIHQ. Thus it appears that the United States District Court for the District of Rhode Island has not entered the final judgement and has not received any notice of appeal from the plaintiff.

b6

For the information SSA [redacted], Boston file 197-211 has been consolidated into 197-BS-71824.

Memorandum

To : SSA [redacted] (197-BS-71824) Date 6/9/94

From : PLA [redacted]

Subject: ~~JOHN L. STARADUMSKY v. RONALD REAGAN,~~
ET AL (U.S.D.C., D NH)
~~CIVIL ACTION NUMBER: 90-CV-2000D~~

For the information of Supervisor [redacted] FBIHQ directed the Boston Division by airtel, dated March 25, 1994, to conduct a final docket check regarding captioned matter to insure that no appeal was filed. Accordingly, it is requested that you assign a lead to one of the Concord Resident Agents to conduct a final docket check regarding captioned matter to insure that no appeal has been filed regarding the dismissal of this case on March 9, 1994. A copy of the docket should be obtained and the Resident Agent conducting the lead should forward it to SSA [redacted] Legal Counsel Division, Civil Litigation Unit I, FBIHQ, by appropriate airtel. If no appeal has been taken in the case, the airtel should be RUC'd to the Bureau.

b6

LEAD:
SA [redacted]
6-15-94

2-SSA [redacted]
1-(Concord RA)
1-Boston (197-BS-71824)
MC/dj
(3)

FD-36 (Rev. 11-17-88)

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:
- ☐ Teletype
- ☐ Facsimile
- ☒ AIRTEL

PRECEDENCE:
- ☐ Immediate
- ☐ Priority
- ☐ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:
- ☐ TOP SECRET
- ☐ SECRET
- ☐ CONFIDENTIAL
- ☐ UNCLAS E F T O
- ☐ UNCLAS

Date 7/14/94

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (ATTN: SSA [redacted], OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL, CIVIL LITIGATION UNIT 1)

FROM : SAC, BOSTON (197-BS-71824) (C)

SUBJECT : JOHN L. STARADUMSKY v. RONALD REAGAN, ET AL, (U.S.D.C, D NH), CIVIL ACTION NUMBER: 90-CV-2000D

Reference Director airtel to Boston, dated 3/25/94.

[redacted], U.S. District Court, District of New Hampshire, [redacted] Concord, New Hampshire, telephone number: [redacted] provided the following information regarding captioned matter:

b6

[redacted] telephonically contacted the Clerk of Court in Rhode Island to verify that they had this matter in their jurisdiction since it originated there. [redacted] advised that this matter was closed in March, 1994, and was referred back to Rhode Island, via letter dated 3/14/94, to the Clerk of Court in Rhode Island. [redacted] advised that the judgement had been entered by Rhode Island on 3/28/94, and no appeal has been filed. The Rhode Island case file number is 87-473P, and the case manager is [redacted] (LNU).

For the information of SSA [redacted] Boston file 197-211 has been consolidated into 197-BS-71824.

10-17-94 JM

Close #6 gmsw/mc 10/14/94 MC

197-BS-71824-8

SEARCHED
SERIALIZED JM
INDEXED
FILED JM

2-Bureau
1-Boston (197-BS-71824)
MC/dj
(3)

Approved: _____ Transmitted _____ (Number) (Time) Per _____

Memorandum

To : SAC, BOSTON (197-211) (P) Date 7/23/90

From : PLA [redacted]

Subject : JOHN J. STARADUMSKY v.
RONALD REAGAN,
ET AL;
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT,
DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE,
CA88-2000D

Reference PLA memo to SAC, Boston dated 4/23/90 Referenced memo set forth lead to check US DISTRICT COURT docket as required by FBI rules as set forth in our Investigative manuals. The field has no choice but to conduct these docket checks as required. The Bureau rule requires that the docket checks be made every 45 days.

Referenced memorandum indicated that the last entry on the docket, in captioned lawsuit that FBI Boston was aware of, was 6/17/89. The docket should be checked again and if it includes any new entries, a copy of the docket pages reflecting the new entry should be obtained and sent to the PLA. Once the PLA obtains the current docket, he will review it to determine whether or not xerox copies of any of the motions mentioned on the docket should be obtained. If so, further leads to obtain such copies will be set forth. In any event, the copy of the docket will be forwarded to legal counsel division as required by our manual. b6

It is requested that the above-mentioned investigation be conducted as soon as possible.

LEAD:

BOSTON DIVISION

AT CONCORD, NEW HAMPSHIRE

Conduct docket check as set forth above.

5-Boston
(1-Lead Agent (Concord)
(1-PLA)
JMC/smt
(5)

197-211-26

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED ____ FILED ____
JUL 25 1990
FBI - BOSTON

1*

CA [redacted]

Lead [redacted]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
55 PLEASANT STREET
POST OFFICE BOX 1498
CONCORD, NEW HAMPSHIRE 03302-1498

Office of the Clerk
Federal Building
Room 514

Telephone
603-225-1423
FTS 834-4423

RECEIVED
U.S. ATTORNEY
DISTRICT OF RI
JUL 18 1990

NOTICE OF REASSIGNMENT

In re: C.88-2000-S, John J. Staradumsky v. U.S. Government, et al.

Counsel are herewith notified that the above case has been reassigned to Chief Judge Shane Devine. The new case designation is:

C.88-2000-D.

Please show this number with the correct judge designation on all further pleadings.

Date: July 11, 1990 JAMES R. STARR, Clerk

dae

Copies to:

Counsel of Record (12)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COU
DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

John J. Staradumsky v. U.S. Government, et al.	Civil Action No. 88-2000-D NOTICE TO COUNSEL CONSENT TO ASSIGNMENT REQUEST FOR REASSIGNMENT

TO: Netti C. Vogel, Esq.; Arnold H. Huftalen, Esq.; John J. Staradumsky, pro se; Everett C. Sammartino, Esq.; William F. Holt, Esq.; Alison L. Holm, Esq.; David W. Carroll, Esq.; Sara B. Crosby, Esq.; Peter A. Meyer, Esq.; Harry W. Asquith, Jr., Esq.; William T. Murphy, Esq.;

By use of a blind-draw system, this case was assigned to Chief Judge Devine. Judge Devine was a member of the law firm of Devine, Millimet, Stahl & Branch for approximately 26 years until his appointment to the Federal bench in July of 1978. At the time of his appointment, he surrendered and was compensated for all interests in the firm. He, therefore, has had no financial interest in the firm since that time and no relative is currently employed there.

In light of this background information, we must ask that counsel file, within 20 days from the date of service of the initial or third-party complaint or 20 days from the date of this notice, whichever applies, the Consent to Assignment/Request for Reassignment below. The form must be signed by counsel and agreed to by the party/parties represented. A copy should be sent to opposing counsel.

FAILURE TO FILE SAID CONSENT/REQUEST WILL CONSTITUTE A WAIVER, AND THE CASE WILL REMAIN ASSIGNED TO JUDGE DEVINE.

DATED: July 11, 1990

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

CONSENT TO ASSIGNMENT

I have conferred with my client(s) in the above case and agree that Chief Judge Devine need not recuse himself and that the case may remain on his calendar for all proceedings.

Dated:

Attorney for

\- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

REQUEST FOR REASSIGNMENT

I have conferred with my client(s) in the above case and ask that Chief Judge Devine recuse himself from all further proceedings.

Dated:

Attorney for

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

RECEIVED
APR 10 1990
U.S. ATTORNEY
DISTRICT OF RI

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF N.H.
FILED
APR 5 3 50 PM '90

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

John J. Staradumsky

v. Civil No. 88-2000-D

United States Government, et al

O R D E R

This Order addresses the issues raised by certain of the pending pleadings.

1. The Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate (doc. no. 44)

In relevant part, the Report and Recommendtion (R & R) suggests (1) dismissal of this action as to those of the named defendants who have not been served with process; (2) the entry of default against the defendant "Catholic Churches of the Diocese of Providence, Rhode Island"; (3) the stay of all further proceedings pending resolution of motions to dismiss.

By medium of timely-filed objection (document no. 46), accompanied by documents hereinafter discussed, Bishop Louis Gelineau objects to the entry of default as against the defendant "Catholic Churches, et al". On de novo review, the Court agrees

Gelineau on October 19, 1988, it was not accompanied by a copy of either the summons or the complaint in this action. The first actual notice as to the existence and scope of these documents came by medium of telephone conversation received by Attorney Murphy, counsel for Bishop Gelineau, on March 14, 1990.[3]

Rule 6(b)(2) is to be read in conjunction with Rule 1, Fed. R. Civ. P.,[4] and grants to a court wide discretion to fashion relief. Johnson Chem. Co. v. Condado Center, Inc., 453 F.2d 1044, 1047 (1st Cir. 1972). "Excusable neglect" depends in part upon the importance of the matter involved and the prejudice, if any, to the other party." Coady v. Aguadilla Terminal, Inc., 456 F.2d 677, 678 (1st Cir. 1972). The discretion afforded to the court is not to be exercised in a manner that prejudices the other party's substantial rights. CIA Petrolera Caribe, Inc. v. Arco Caribbean, Inc., 754 F.2d 404, 409 (1st Cir. 1985).

Here, as examination of the file demonstrates that delay in the progress of this litigation is largely attributable to plaintiff, and on consideration that decision on the merits is the strong policy of the First Circuit, United States v. Pole, No. 3172, Hopkinton, 852 F.2d 636, 642 (1st Cir. 1988); Richman v.

---

[3]It appears that counsel for a codefendant upon receiving a copy of the R & R telephoned Murphy to alert him to the fact that the R & R suggested default against defendants who might include his client Bishop Gelineau.

[4]Rule 1, Fed. R. Civ. P., mandates that the federal rules "be construed to secure to the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of every action."

General Motors Corp., 437 F.2d 196, 199 (1st Cir. 1971), it is clear that allowance of the motion will not prejudice plaintiff's substantial rights. Accordingly, the motion of Bishop Gelineau seeking extension of time to answer or otherwise plead to April 16, 1990, is herewith granted.

3. Conclusion

For the reasons hereinabove detailed, the Court, with respect to the Magistrate's Report and Recommendation (document no. 44) affirms the recommendations that dismissal of the complaint be had as to all defendants who have not as yet been served, and that stay of all further proceedings be had until resolution of the motions to dismiss. The objection of Bishop Gelineau to the recommendation of entry of default as against those defendants he represents has been sustained, and that recommendation of entry of default is herewith rejected.

The motion of Bishop Gelineau to enlarge the time to answer or otherwise plead (document no. 45) has also been granted.

SO ORDERED.



Chief Judge
United States District Court

April 5, 1990
cc: John J. Staradumsky, pro se
Everett C. Sammartino, Esq.
William F. Holt, Esq.
Alison L. Holm, Esq.
David W. Carroll, Esq.
Vasiliki M. Canotas, Esq.
Peter A. Meyer, Esq.
Harry W. Asquith, Jr., Esq.
William T. Murphy, Esq.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

MAR 19 1990

John J. Staradumsky

v. Civil No. 88-2000-D

United States Government, et al.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Referred here from the United States District Court for the District of Rhode Island, the voluminous complaint comprises 10 counts and 174 pages. It names 197 defendants as having violated various civil rights of the plaintiff pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986. The named defendants include the President of the United States, former spouses of the plaintiff, and varied judicial, executive and legislative officers, state and federal. The complaint's myriad grounds range from disputed custody of infant children to employment discharge.

The chronology of the instant litigation is as follows. The complaint was docketed in this Court on October 11, 1988. That same date the undersigned issued an Order granting plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis, but only for the purpose of waiving the filing fee. Plaintiff was ordered to complete service on all named defendants by mailing a copy of the complaint by certified mail, return receipt requested, to each defendant.* Plaintiff proceeded to make service on fifteen of the defendants, while appealing the undersigned's order requiring plaintiff to pay for the cost of service. The undersigned's order was upheld by Chief Judge Devine. The case was then stayed while plaintiff appealed to the First Circuit Court of Appeals.

*Plaintiff was forewarned that failure to comply with service as outlined in the Order could result in dismissal of the action against those defendants who were not served.

RECEIVED
DEC 12 1988
OFFICE, U.S. ATTORNEY
DIST. OF R.I.

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF N.H.
FILED
DEC 3 3 04 PM '88

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

John J. Staradumsky

v. Civil No. 88-2000-D

United States Government, et al

O R D E R

This matter comes before the Court on the motion of pro se plaintiff John J. Staradumsky seeking to notice an appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit. The Notice of Appeal seeks to challenge that portion of the Order of the United States Magistrate (document no. 7, at 1, Oct. 11, 1988) which denied plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis, including the cost of service and printing of the complaint.[1]

Referred here from the United States District Court for the District of Rhode Island, the voluminous complaint comprises 10 counts and 174 pages. It names 197 defendants as having violated various civil rights of the plaintiff pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§

---

[1]The Magistrate allowed the waiver of filing fee, but did not allow plaintiff to receive taxpayer support for the costs of service or of duplication of the complaint.

of Rhode Island with respect to further proceedings regarding the transmission of the proper documents to the United States Court of Appeals so that, in turn, it might review my rulings.

I further herewith rule that all further proceedings in this case, legal and equitable,[3] are to be stayed until response is had from the Court of Appeals with respect to the terms and conditions of the instant Order.

SO ORDERED.



Chief Judge
United States District Court

December 9, 1988

cc: Mr. John J. Staradumsky
Everett C. Sammartino, Esq.
William F. Holt, Esq.
Alison L. Holm, Esq.
David W. Carroll, Esq.
Vasiliki M. Canotas, Esq.
Peter A. Meyer, Esq.
Harry W. Asquith, Jr., Esq.

---

[3]The Magistrate initially calendared a hearing on the claim for injunctive relief. Said hearing was continued to allow completion of service on all of the named defendants. Subsequently, most of the defendants have filed motions to dismiss based on various grounds. No further action will be had with respect to the request for injunctive relief nor with respect to these dispositive motions until the Court of Appeals has ruled with respect to the instant order.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND

RECEIVED
OCT 26 1988
CLERK
U. S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND

JOHN J. STARADUMSKY, Plaintiff	)	
vs.	)	C. A. 88-0589 (RI Docket)
	)	C. 88-2000D (NH Docket)
RONALD REAGAN, et al, Defendants	)	

FEDERAL AND INDIVIDUAL
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS

Now comes the federal and individual defendants,[1] through their counsel, the United States Attorney for the District of Rhode Island, and respectfully move pursuant to Rules 8(a)(2) and 12(a)(1) and (6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure that the above-captioned action be dismissed with prejudice for failure to set forth a claim and failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.[2]

[1] Said defendants are enumerated as defendants 1-18; 22; 51-55 and 61 in the Complaint. Also included in this motion are any other federal defendants who have not been so enumerated but included in the Complaint.

[2] Defendants by this motion do not waive any defense pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(h). In the event this motion is denied, defendants specifically reserve the right to assert any and all defenses available to them. These defenses include, without limitation, lack of personal jurisdiction and insufficiency of process and service of process; lack of subject matter jurisdiction; failure to exhaust the appropriate administrative remedies; failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted; statute of limitations; absolute and qualified immunity; and improper venue.

Respectfully submitted,

RONALD REAGAN, et al

By their Attorneys,

LINCOLN C. ALMOND
United States Attorney

EVERETT C. SAMMARTINO
Assistant U.S. Attorney

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 26th day of October, 1988, I caused a copy of the foregoing Motion and supporting memorandum to be forwarded, by postage prepaid mail, to John J. Staradumsky, 31 Kenyon Street, Providence, RI 02903.



IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND

RECEIVED
OCT 3 1988
CLERK
U. S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND

JOHN J. STARADUMSKY, Plaintiff	)	
vs.	)	C. A. 88-0589 (RI Docket)
	)	C. 88-2000D (NH Docket)
RONALD REAGAN, et al, Defendants	)	

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF FEDERAL
AND INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS

The plaintiff has brought a complaint consisting of 171 pages and names 197 defendants. The defendants include, inter alia, the President, the Judiciary, Legislators, Churches, etc. The Complaint is extremely difficult to distill into any sort of short and meaningful summary. It appears, however, that the thrust of the plaintiff's complaint is that the Family Court of the State of Rhode Island has awarded custody of his two children to Gloria Hartman who is the mother of the children. It appears he complains that such action by the Court was illegal and that for some unexplained, unintelligible reason, the federal defendants have in some way injured him. It is not at all discernible what relief he is requesting relative to said defendants. It is submitted that the complaint is so patently vague that the defendants cannot reasonably be required to fashion a responsive pleading.

THE COMPLAINT SHOULD BE DISMISSED
FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH
RULE 8 OF THE FEDERAL RULES OF PROCEDURE

The plaintiff's complaint should be dismissed because it fails to set forth a claim for relief in compliance with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 8(a). Courts have recognized that Rule 8(a) sets out a minimum standard for the sufficiency of complaints, and requires that they at least contain a short and plain statement of the claim that the pleader is entitled to relief. Hatch v. Reliance Insurance Co., 758 F2d 409, 415 (9th Circ-1985); Michaelis v. Nebraska State Bar Ass'n., 717 F2d 437, 438 (8th Circ-1983); Harris v. U.S. Dept. of Justice, 680 F2d 1109 (5th Circ-1982); Washington v. Baenziger, 656 F. Supp. 176 (N.D. Cal-1987); "Santa Barbara Like It Is Today", 94 F.R.D. 105, 108 (D. Nev. 1982); U.S. ex rel Dattola v. National Treasurey Employment Union, 86 F.R.D. 496, 499 (W.D.PA-1980); Brown v. Califano, 75 F.R.D. 497, 498 (D.D.C.-1977).

Although plaintiff here is pro se and thus his submissions are to be held to a less stringent standard than those drawn by legal counsel, Brown, 75 F.R.D. at 499, it nonetheless is the case that "even a pro se complaint is subject to dismissal if the pleading fails reasonably to inform the adverse party of the asserted cause of action." Id. Indeed, the court in Brown dismissed with prejudice a complaint by a pro se litigant which was a "confused and rambling narrative of charges and conclusions concerning numerous persons, ... (and) which contained an untidy assortment of claims that were neither plainly nor concisely

stated, nor meaningfully distinguished from bald conclusions, sharp harangues and personal comments." Id. at 499. This language from Brown describes plaintiff's Complaint in this case.

The purpose of Rule 8 is to "give fair notice of the claim(s) being asserted so as to permit the adverse party the opportunity to file a responsive answer, prepare an adequate defense and determine whether the doctrine of res judicata is applicable." Brown v. Califano, 75 F.R.D. at 498.

While plaintiff must be afforded reasonable latitude in meeting the formal pleading requirements of the federal rules, he must, in fairness to this Court and the defendants, comply with those rules. Here, plaintiff's unfocused assertions manifestly fail to conform to the requirements of Rule 8.

It is respectfully submitted that the rules would be violated if said defendants were required to answer this complaint and to subject themselves to discovery when the plaintiff has failed to present any of his claims in an orderly and comprehensible fashion. This is especially so where it appears many of the defendants have been sued in their individual capacities. If these defendants have been sued in a Bivens action, heightened pleading standards are mandated. See Smith v. Nixon, 807 F2d 197, 200 (D.C. Circ-1986); Martin v. Malhoyt, 830 F2d 237, 253 (D.C. Circ-1987).

PLAINTIFF HAS FAILED TO STATE A
CLAIM UPON WHICH RELIEF CAN BE GRANTED

Although it is certainly not clear as to why the said defendants are being sued, it is submitted the complaint fails to state a claim against the defendants individually. The Supreme Court has held that a cause of action exists against federal officials individually for violations of a person's constitutional rights while acting in an official capacity. Biven v. Six Unknown Named Agents, 403 U.S. 388 (1971). It is fundamental, however, that for a federal official to be properly sued individually for actions taken by him in an official capacity, the complaint must allege a specific constitutional deprivation of plaintiff's rights by defendant. Failure to allege a specific constitutional violation deprives the court of individual subject matter jurisdiction and fails to state a claim as to any individual liability of defendants for actions taken in their official capacity. In such a case the complaint must be dismissed. See Carlson v. Green, 446 U.S. 14, 18 (1980); Baker v. McCollan, 443 U.S. 137, 140 (1979); Davis v. Passman, 442 U.S. 228, 239 (1979).

The Supreme Court has, moreover, consistently held that as a threshold requirement a complaint alleging constitutional violations must contain specific allegations demonstrating the factual involvement of individual defendants. Baker v. McCollan, 443 U.S. at 140; Butz v. Economou, 438 U.S. 478, 507-08 (1978); Paul v. Davis, 424 U.S. 693, 696-97 (1976). Where a complaint fails to meet the

specificity standard, the Supreme Court has admonished lower federal courts not to perpetuate lawsuits such as this one that cry out for dismissal. Specifically, the Court has said:

> "Insubstantial lawsuits can be quickly terminated by federal courts alert to the possibilities of artful pleading. Unless the complaint states a compensable claim for relief under the Federal Constitution, it should not survive a motion to dismiss."

Butz v. Economou, 438 U.S. at 507-08.

A plaintiff seeking to sue federal officials individually must plead detailed factual allegations tying each defendant to the alleged wrongdoing. See, e.g., Martin v. D.C. Metropolitan Police Dept., 812 F.2d 1425, 1434-35 (D.C. Circ-1987), vacated in part & reh. en banc granted No. 85-06071 (D.C. Circ-May 8, 1987); Smith v. Nixon, 807 F.2d 197, 200 (D.C. Circ-1986); Ellsberg v. Mitchell, 807 F.2d 204 (D.C. Circ-1986).

Here plaintiff has neither identified any alleged constitutional violations by defendants nor pleaded any specific facts that tie each defendant to any wrongdoing. It is apparent, therefore, that plaintiff has failed to state a claim against defendants since he has failed to meet the "heightened pleading standard" applicable in Bivens cases. Smith v. Nixon, 807 F.2d at 200. Further, as the Supreme Court stated in Harlow v. Fitzgerald, 457 U.S. 800, 819-20, N. 35

> "insubstantial lawsuits undermine the effectiveness of government as contemplated by our constitutional structure, and firm application of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is fully warranted in such cases"--

Circuit courts have interpreted this to mean that a plaintiff's complaint must address with specificity how the defendant federal officials will not be able to rely on an immunity defense. See, e.g. Elliott v. Perez, 751 F2d 1472 (5th Circ-1985).

DEFENDANTS ARE ENTITLED TO IMMUNITY FROM SUIT INDIVIDUALLY

Although the complaint is incomprehensibly vague so as to determine if a claim is set for common law tort or constitutional tort liability it must be assumed the plaintiff is complaining about acts performed by said officials in their official duties or conduct. If this is the case, courts require the complaint to set forth all of the factual allegations needed to support the conclusion that the defendant violated clearly established law asserted as the basis of recovery. See Martin v. Malhoyt, (supra at 830 F.2d 254); Dominique v. Telb, 831 F.2d 673, 676 (6th Circ-1987).

The problem here is, however, the complaint is so vague it is impossible to tell if statutory or constitutional claims are being alleged. But assuming arguendo that the plaintiff has met the heightened pleading standard, dismissal would still be required.

The plaintiff has sued "everyone" from the executive, legislative and judicial branches of the federal government.

A.

The President and Mrs. Reagan are entitled to absolute immunity. This immunity does not depend on a particularized functional analysis and because the immunity is grounded in the constitution, it cannot be abrogated by statute. See Nixon v. Fitzgerald, 457 U.S. 731. This immunity encompasses all actions of the President taken in his official capacity. The plaintiff for some unfathomable reason also names Mrs. Reagan as a defendant. There is little question Mrs. Reagan, individually could not have in any way caused injury to the plaintiff. The fact that he names her as a defendant should give the Court an indication of the profound ludricousness of the complaint.

B.

It appears the plaintiff also mentions the United States Attorney and other executive branch officers for failure to prosecute someone for something. Prosecutors enjoy a broad absolute immunity from personal ability for advocatory functions including whether to initiate prosecutions, (Imbler v. Pachtman, 424 U.S. 409); investigative functions (Imbler); administrative functions (Lavicky v. Burnett, 758 F.2d 468 (10th Circ-1985).

C.

Legislators (members of Congress) are protected by absolute immunity. They are protected by an immunity that is

expressly provided and limited by the constitution's speech and debate clause. ART I, 6. This immunity bars not only civil proceedings (Doe v. McMillan, 412 U.S. at 312), but also protects a member from criminal prosecution (U.S. v. Johnson, 383 U.S. 169, 180-85). Although activities not closely connected to the business of legislating do not enjoy the absolute immunity of the speech and debate shelter, they do enjoy qualified immunity. See: Walker v. Jones, 733 F.2d 923 (D.C. Circ-1984); Consumers Union of U.S. v. Periodical Correspondents Ass'n. 515 F.2d 1341, 1350-51 (D.C.-1975).

D.

Judges and judicial administrative staff enjoy immunity both from common law and constitutional torts when performing judicial functions. Dennis v. Sparks, 449 U.S. 24, 31 (1980). This immunity extends not only to actions in cases before the Court but also to acts committed in an official capacity. Stump v. Sparkman, 435 U.S. 349, 356-57; Sharma v. Stevas, 790 F.2d 1486 (9th Circ-1986).

GOVERNMENT AGENCIES AND THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ARE ALSO IMMUNE

It is axiomatic that pursuant to the doctrine of sovereign immunity, the United States or its agencies cannot be sued except as Congress may permit. See: United states v. Sherwood, 312 U.S. 584; Monaco v. Mississippi, 292 U.S. 313; United States v. Shaw, 309 U.S. 495. Thus, except in those instances where Congress has by enactment waived sovereign immunity, such as the Federal Torts Claims Act,

the United States or its agencies cannot be sued. The complaint, as nearly as it can be deciphered, does not allege any cause of action of which Congress has waived immunity.

Conclusion

In the context of actions against federal officials, such as in this case, the Supreme Court has instructed lower federal Courts not to perpetuate lawsuits "otherwise crying out for dismissal":

> "Insubstantial lawsuits can be quickly terminated by Federal Courts alert to the possibilities of artful pleading. Unless the complaint states a compensable claim for relief---it should not service a motion to dismiss."

Butz v. Economou, 439 U.S. 478, 507-508; Harlow v. Fitzgerald, 457 U.S. 808, 817-18 (1982). When a plaintiff has not set forth any information indicating a claim for relief, the case should be dismissed. This complaint, in most charitable terms, is strictly conclusory and unintelligible. For all the foregoing reasons, the Court should dismiss this suit with prejudice.

Respectfully submitted,

RONALD REAGAN, et al

By their Attorneys,

LINCOLN C. ALMOND
United States Attorney

EVERETT C. SAMMARTINO
Assistant U.S. Attorney

FILED

OCT 31 1988

CLERK
U. S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND

JOHN J. STARADUMSKY, Plaintiff	)	
vs.	)	C. A. 88-0589 (RI Docket)
	)	C. 88-2000D (NH Docket)
RONALD REAGAN, et al, Defendants	)	

FEDERAL DEFENDANTS' OBJECTION TO PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER OR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Now come the named Federal defendants, both individually and in their official capacities as well as all federal officers, agents, agencies, judicial officers, legislative officers and elected official and executive branch officers and elected officials and hereby object to plaintiff's motion for injunctive relief.

The Court is respectfully referred to said defendants' memorandum in support of their motion to dismiss as the memorandum in support of this motion.

Respectfully submitted,

RONALD REAGAN, et al

By their Attorneys,

LINCOLN C. ALMOND
United States Attorney

EVERETT C. SAMMARTINO
Assistant U.S. Attorney

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 31st day of October, 1988, I caused a copy of the foregoing Motion and supporting memorandum to be forwarded, by postage prepaid mail, to John J. Staradumsky, 31 Kenyon Street, Providence, RI 02903. I also hereby certify that the original of this Motion and the memorandum were filed in the United States District Court, District of Rhode Island and that a copy of the Motion and memorandum were mailed for filing with the Clerk's Office for the United States District Court for the District of New Hampshire.

Josephine E. Jones

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

OCT 11 3 50 PM '88

John J. Staradumsky

v.

Civil Action No. C.88-589 (RI)
C.88-2000-D (NH)

United States Government, et al

ORDER

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(B), Magistrate William H. Barry, Jr., is designated to review and, if necessary, conduct the hearing on plaintiff's Motion for Restraining Order and Protective Order.

In accordance with subparagraph (C) the Magistrate shall file his proposed findings and recommendations under subparagraph (B) with the court and a copy shall forthwith be mailed to all parties.

SO ORDERED.

OCT 2

Chief Judge
United States District Court

October 11, 1988

cc: Clerk, U.S. District Court
District of Rhode Island
John J. Staradumsky, pro se

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

John J. Staradumsky

v.

U.S. Government, et al

N.H. Docket No. C.88-2000-D
R.I. Docket No. CA 88-589

O R D E R

The above captioned case has been referred to the District of New Hampshire for assignment to Magistrate William H. Barry upon referral by Chief Judge Devine, sitting by designation, due to the recusal of the Rhode Island district judges.

All original motions, pleadings, and papers will be filed with the Clerk's Office for the District of Rhode Island. Copies of same are to be filed with the Clerk's Office for the District of New Hampshire with a certificate of service to that effect or the filing will be refused.

Request to Proceed In Forma Pauperis and Service of Complaint

The plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis is granted, but only for the purpose of waiving the filing fee. Service in this action shall be completed by mailing a copy of the pleadings and this order by certified mail, return receipt requested, to all named defendants, the United States Attorney for the District of Rhode Island and the United States Attorney General. Said mailing shall be responsibility of the plaintiff and shall constitute service in this action. The Clerk's Office for the District of Rhode Island shall be responsible for supplying the plaintiff with sufficient copies of this order for service.

The plaintiff shall be responsible for supplying to the Clerk's Office in Rhode Island mailing addresses of all defendants with proof of mailing not later than 12 noon on October 21, 1988. Failure to comply on the plaintiff's part may result in the dismissal of this action against those defendants who have not been served.

Request for Preliminary Injunction/Order of Notice

The Court having considered the complaint and request for injunctive relief filed by the plaintiff in the above entitled action, it is hereby ORDERED that the defendants be and they hereby are directed to be and appear before the United States Magistrate for the District of New Hampshire at Concord on the 9th day of November, 1988, at 11:00 AM in Room 418 of the Federal Building, 55 Pleasant Street, Concord, New Hampshire to show cause, if any they have, why said relief should not issue against them. The parties should be prepared to present evidence at that time and are referred to the attached instructions regarding premarking of exhibits.

Jurisdiction, Docketing and Service of Orders

Jurisdiction of this action remains with the United States District Court for the District of Rhode Island. Service of all future orders issued will be the responsibility of the Clerk's Office for the District of Rhode Island and originals of orders or actions entered by the United States District Court for the District of New Hampshire will be forwarded to

the District of Rhode Island for docketing and service. In addition, in the event that an appeal is entered against any ruling made in the District of New Hampshire, it shall be the responsibility of the Clerk's Office for the District of Rhode Island to process said appeal and prepare case for transmittal to the First Circuit Court of Appeals.

SO ORDERED.

William H. Barry, Jr.
United States Magistrate,
sitting by designation

October 11, 1988

cc: Clerk, US District Court for the
District of Rhode Island
John J. Staradumsky

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

PROCEDURE FOR MARKING EXHIBITS

The following procedure will be used regarding the premarking of exhibits for trials and/or hearings, i.e. preliminary injunctions, temporary restraining orders or other evidentiary matters.

NO LATER THAN ONE (1) WEEK before the case is set for trial/hearing, counsel shall furnish to the Clerk's Office:

1. ORIGINAL AND TWO COPIES of a typed descriptive list of all exhibits to be offered. Forms for use in this listing should be obtained in the Clerk's Office. Please indicate those exhibits marked for "Identification" (ID).

2. THE ORIGINAL EXHIBITS, marked, that will be used in trial/hearing. Standard (yellow for Government and plaintiff, blue for defendant) exhibit stickers (such as Pengad) are used. DO NOT BRING large or bulky (machinery or tires) or sensitive exhibits. Those may be brought on the first day of trial.

It is, of course, assumed that by this point, copies of the exhibits will have been reviewed and exchanged by counsel. No court time will be spent while counsel review documents previously available to them.

The exhibit should be marked for ID only if agreement cannot be reached.

On the first day of trial, all exhibits agreed to will be accepted into evidence. Those marked for ID will be ruled on at that time or when offered into evidence with a specific witness. In jury-waived cases, the objection will be ruled on in the course of the written opinion.

If you have any questions on this procedure, or on the actual marking, please contact the Courtroom Deputy for assistance.

* * * * * * * * * * * *

MARKING EXHIBITS

The exhibits are kept during trial in a rack at the Clerk's bench. For everyone's convenience, all exhibit stickers shall be placed in the upper right-hand corner so they will be clearly visible when placed in order in the rack. If a document is 14 x 8 1/2", place in upper left corner.

MARKING PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS: Plaintiff's exhibits shall be marked numerically. In the case of groupings of related exhibits, they shall be marked with a number and letter designation, i.e. 1A, 1B, 1C.

Memorandum

To : SAC, BOSTON (197-211) (P) Date 7/25/90

From : SA [redacted] (CONCORD RA/C-7)

Subject: JOHN J. STARADUMSKY V. RONALD REAGAN,
ET AL;
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT,
DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE,
CA #88-2000D

Re memo of PLA [redacted] dated 4/23/90; and telephone call from Mrs. [redacted] Legal Counsel, FBIHQ, 7/24/90.

For the information of the file, the only entries on the Docket since 6/17/89, was an appearance of an attorney on 6/26/89; and on 7/11/89, verification of this appearance to the attorney's office of DEVINE, MILLIMET, STAHL & BRANCH, PA.

On 7/24/90, Mrs. [redacted] telephonically contacted the Concord, New Hampshire Resident Agency and advised she wanted a copy of the Order consolidating file number 88-2000D between Rhode Island and New Hampshire. Contact with Mrs. [redacted] Clerk, District Court Clerk's Office, Concord, New Hampshire, revealed there was no copy of an Order consolidating Rhode Island and New Hampshire cases concerning captioned matter. Mrs. [redacted] further advised that captioned case is a Rhode Island case and, therefore, no consolidation of two cases would be possible inasmuch as there was never a New Hampshire case involved, but merely a transfer of the Rhode Island case to New Hampshire for disposition. b6

Mrs. [redacted] was telephonically advised of the above by SA [redacted] on 7/24/90.

2-Boston
1-(197-211)
1-(PLA [redacted]
EBG:blsb
(2)

1*

197-211-28
MS MS
JUL 26 1990

Memorandum

To : SAC, BOSTON Date 1/30/91

From : PLA [redacted] (197-211) (P)

Subject : JOHN J. STARADUMSKY V. RONALD REAGAN, ET AL;
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
CA# 88-2000D

Re memo of SA [redacted] dated 7/25/90.

Referenced memo reflects that the last time the docket in captioned case was checked was on 7/24/90. The last entry on the docket was 7/11/90. The docket should be checked again and copies of any entries after 7/11/90 should be obtained and sent to the PLA.

LEAD:

CONCORD DIVISION:

AT CONCORD, NEW HAMPSHIRE:

Check docket and obtain copies of any entries after 7/11/90.

b6

MC/lad
(3)

1*

197-211-29
SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED ____ FILED ____
FBI — BOSTON
[redacted]

Memorandum

To : SAC, BOSTON (197-211) Date 2/6/91

From : SA [redacted] (CONCORD RA) (C-7)

Subject: JOHN T. STARADUMSKY V. RONALD REAGAN, ET AL;
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT,
DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE,
CA# 88-2000D

Re memo of PLA [redacted] dated 1/30/91.

Enclosed are copies of the docket concerning captioned case.

On 2/5/91, [redacted] Clerk, U.S. District Court, District of New Hampshire, Concord, New Hampshire, reviewed docket #88-2000D and provided one copy each of three pages of this docket, which are enclosed.

Original sent to FBIHQ 2/7/91

pm

1-Boston (Encs. 3)
EBG:bls
(1)

1*

197-211-30

SEARCHED ___ INDEXED ___
SERIALIZED ___ FILED ___
FEB 19 1991
FBI — BOSTON

CIVIL DOCKET CONTINUATION SHEET

PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	DOCKET NO. 88-2000-S
n J. Staradumsky	US Government, et al.	PAGE 3 OF ___ PAGES

	NR.	PROCEEDINGS
1990 MAR 12	44	REPORT & RECOMMENDATION, WHB (EOD 3-12-90) cc 1. Action be dismissed against all named defts who have not heretofore been served by pltf. 2. Mot for entry of default (#s 39 & 40) against deft Catholic Churches of the Diocese of Providence, RI be granted. 3. All further proceedings in this case be stayed pending outcome of defts mots to dismiss.
21	45	MOT of Bishop louis Gelineau et al to Enlarge Time to Answer to 4-16-90 w/Affs and Memo
	46	OBJ to Mag's Recommendation for Entry of Default against Bishop Louis Gelineau, et al.
22	47	Pltf's Request for Entry of Judgment by the Clerk - Default Judgment - against Providence Journal (Deft #131)
APR 2 4/5	48	Pltfs Obj to Entry and MOT to Dismiss Pleadings w/Memo - Strike/ Dismiss pleadings of deft Bishop Louis Gelineau and Catholic Churches (copy)
	49	Pltfs OBJ in Partial to Report and Rec w/Memo (copy)
	50	ORDER, SD (EOD 4-6-90) cc Ct approves part of R & R which suggests dismissal of action as to defts who have not been served and the stay of further proceedings pending resolution of mots to dismiss. Ct sustains obj of Bishop Gelineau re default being entered. Mot to Ext time to answer (#45) granted.
11	51	APPEARANCE for Defts 134, 137 & 139 by Sara B. Crosby, Esq.
	52	WITHDRAWAL of Atty Vasiliki Canotas for Defts 134, 137 & 139
13	53	ORDER, WHB (EOD 4-13-90) cc Pltf's Mot for Entry of Default against Providence Journal (#47) granted.
18	54	Defts 134, 137 & 139 MOT to Dismiss w/Memo
24 MAY	55	Pltf's Notice to the Court - re New Address
2	56	Pltf's MOT to Dismiss Pleading of Defts WPRI, et al or in Lieu of have Defts File More Definite Statements w/Memo
	57	Pltf's Ex-Parte MOT for Leave of Ct to Accept Refiled Matter Pursuant to FRCP 9 & 5 w/Memo
	58	Pltf's Ex-Parte Notice to the Clerk - w/Writ of Exec
3	59	Deft Gelineau's MOT for More Definite Statement w/Memo
11	60	Defts 134, 137 & 139 SUPP MEMO in Support of Mot to Dismiss
14	61	Pltfs OBJ to Mot to Dismiss of Defts 134, 137 & 139 w/Memo
	62	Pltfs OBJ to Mot for More Definite Statement w/Memo
21		**JUDGE CHANGE - REASSIGNMENT**
25	63	Pltf's Ex Parte Re-Certification and MOT for Leave of the Ct Pursuant to FRCP 5(c)

CIVIL DOCKET CONTINUATION SHEET

PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	DOCKET NO. 88-2000-S
John J. Staradumsky	US Government, et al.	PAGE 4 OF ___ PAGES

DATE	NR.	PROCEEDINGS
1990		
May 25	64	Pltf's MOT for Entry of Judgment by Default - by the Court - as to Defts Michael Dukakis & Estate of; State of Mass, et al.
Jun 13	65	Deft Providence Journal's OBJ to Request for Entry of Default Judgment w/Memo
	66	Deft Providence Journal's MOT to Set Aside Default w/Memo
26	67	APPEARANCE for Bishop Louis Gelineau (#97) by Arnold H. Huftalen JUDGE CHANGE (Recusal)
Jul 11		NOTICE re "D" Assignment to Cnsl of Record
17		Deft Stop and Shop MOT TO DISMISS
Aug 17	68	ORDER, SD (EOD 8-20-90) cc Pltf given 20 days from date of Ord to file amended complt; failure to do so will result in dismissal of action
21	69	Deft Gelineau's MOT TO DISMISS w/Memo
Sep 10	70	Pltf's EX-PARTE MOT FOR EXTENSION to 12-5-90 to Amend Cmplt
Sep 19	71	ORDER, WHB (EOD 9-19-90) cc Pltf's Mot to Extend (#7) Granted; Replacement cmplt due by 12-5-90; failure will result in dismissal; all pending mots to dismiss (#10, 19, 26, 28, 31, 54, 56, 60, 69) Denied as MOOT; Mot for more def statement (#59) Denied as MOOT; Mot to Set Aside default (#66) Granted; Mot for Default (#47) Denied as MOOT; Mot to accept refiled matter (#57) Denied as MOOT; Mot for default (#64) Denied as MOOT

CASE NUMBER: 197 - 0000211 CONTROL NO: 00770

ASSIGNED BY: [redacted] BY SUPV: C1 DATE ENTERED: 04/02/91

ASSIGNED TO: [redacted] TO SUPV: ASII DATE MODIFIED:

DATE SET: 04/15/91 INTERVAL: 0 RESTRICT: TERMINATE DATE:

PREV DATE: NEXT DATE: 04/15/91 DEADLINE DATE:

SUBJECT: JOHN J. STARADUMSKY V. RONALD REAGAN, ET AL

TEXT: T SET FOR 4/15/91
DOCKET CHECK NEEDED 4/15/91

CONTROL NO: -----

<GO> - CONTINUE F8 - DELETE F10 - RETURN TO TICKLER MENU
F1 - REQUERY F9 - MODIFY SHIFT-F10 - RETURN TO FOIMS MENU

b6

TO: [redacted]

FROM: [redacted]

[redacted] above come up on tickler again (NH docket 88-2000D).
Pls get copy of entries on the docket, if any for 1991 only.
Thanks.

[redacted]

Copy sent to [redacted]
PLA 4/16/91

197-211-31

SEARCHED ___ INDEXED ___
SERIALIZED ___ FILED ___
APR 17 1991
FBI — BOSTON

[redacted]

5/23/91

Memorandum

To : SAC, BOSTON (197-211) (P) Date 7/1/91

From : SRA [redacted]

Subject: JOHN J. STARADUMSKY
v. RONALD REAGAN,
ET AL

Re memo of SA [redacted] 4/17/91.

For the information of the file, contact has been maintained with the U.S. District Court, Concord, New Hampshire and as of 7/1/91, there have been no entries and/or activity concerning captioned matter in the U.S. District Court in Concord, New Hampshire in 1991.

Copy sent to FBIHQ by PLA on 7/8/91

b6

PLA spoke to SA [redacted] about this on 7/9/91. SA [redacted] advised that U.S. DIST. CT. clerk will tell him if any new entries appear on docket - so in his opinion the docket does not need to be checked every 45 days. Suggest SSA [redacted] sent him a Routing Slip requesting memo on this.

1-Boston
EBG:lrr
(1)

197-211-32

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED ____ FILED ____
JUL 05 1991
FBI - BOSTON
[redacted] 1/me

(info)

1*

Memorandum

To : SAC, BOSTON (197-211) (P) Date 12/9/91

From : SSA [redacted]

Subject: JOHN J. STARADUMSKY
V. RONALD REAGAN,
ET AL

During a recent file review, it was determined that the requirement for checking the U.S. District Court docket every 45 days is not being met. Therefore, a lead is being set to expeditiously check the docket and a tickler is being set to re-check every 45 days.

LEADS:

CONCORD ~~DIVISION~~ Resident Agency

AT CONCORD, N. H.

Expeditiously check docket #88-2000D, in captioned matter, and report results to PLA [redacted].

b6

JMM/smt
(3)

1*

197-211-33
SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED ____ FILED ____
1991
FBI — BOSTON
PLA [redacted]

Memorandum

To : SAC, BOSTON (197-211) (P) Date 12/10/91

From : SA [redacted] CONCORD RA) (C-7)

Subject: JOHN J. STARADUMSKY v. RONALD REAGAN; ET AL

Enclosed are three pages of docket sheets obtained from the U.S. District Court, Concord, New Hampshire, on 12/10/91.

On 12/10/91, Mrs. [redacted], Clerk, U.S. District Court, Clerk's Office, Concord, New Hampshire, reviewed Docket #90-CV-2000 and provided the three pages of the docket sheets which are enclosed.

b6

copy sent to FBIHQ on 12/16/91 by PLA

2-Boston
EBG:bls
(2)

1*

197-211-34

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED ____ FILED ____
DEC 12 1991
FBI — BOSTON

MAG LEAD

U.S. District Court
U. S. District Court of New Hampshire (Concord)

CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 90-CV-2000

Staradumsky v. West Warwick, et al
Assigned to: Chief Judge Shane Devine
Demand: $0,000
Lead Docket: None
Dkt# in Dist RI is 87cv0473T

Filed: 5/21/90
Jury demand: Plaintiff
Nature of Suit: 440
Jurisdiction: Federal Question

Cause: 42:1983 Civil Rights Act

JOHN J. STARADUMSKY plaintiff	John J. Staradumsky [NTC] [PRO SE] P. O. Box 316 Mapleville, RI 02839

v.

TOWN OF WEST WARWICK, RI defendant	Warren C. Nighswander, Esq. [COR LD NTC] Sulloway, Hollis & Soden PO Box 1256 Concord, NH 03302-1256 224-2341
WEST WARWICK, RI, POLICE DEPARTMENT defendant	Warren C. Nighswander, Esq. (See above) [COR LD]
DANNY PETRARCA, Former Chief of Police, West Warwick, RI defendant	Warren C. Nighswander, Esq. (See above) [COR LD]
UNNAMED WEST WARWICK POLICE OFFICERS defendant	Warren C. Nighswander, Esq. (See above) [COR LD]
WILLIAM FIELD defendant	Gordon A. Rehnborg, Jr., Esq. [COR LD NTC] Wiggin & Nourie PO Box 808 Manchester, NH 03105 669-2211

5/21/90	--	CASE REFERRED to Judge Shane Devine from DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND (cmd) [Entry date 2/19/91]
5/21/90	--	CASE TRANSFERRED from the District of Rhode Island due to recusal of Rhode Island judges (cmd) [Entry date 2/19/91]
8/17/90	13	ORDER: Pla amended cmp DISMISSED; five remaining dfts are Town of W. Warwick, RI, W. Warwick P.D., W. Warwick former chief of police, unnamed W. Warwick police officers, William Field; other other dfts DISMISSED (signed by Judge Shane Devine) (cmd) [Entry date 2/19/91]
9/6/90	14	NOTICE of attorney appearance for West Warwick, West Warwick PD, Danny Petrarca, West Warwick Offrs by Warren C. Nighswander (cmd) [Entry date 2/19/91]
12/7/90	17	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky, to Proceed in Forma Pauperis as to printing and mail costs (cmd) [Entry date 2/19/91]
12/7/90	--	Document #17 follows the referral number in Magistrate Judge Barry's Order of 2/19/91, though it is out of sequence chronologically (cmd) [Entry date 2/19/91]
12/26/90	15	NOTICE of attorney appearance for William Field by Gordon A. Rehnborg Jr. (cmd) [Entry date 2/19/91]
2/19/91	18	ORDER CASE CONSOLIDATED WITH C.88-2000-D; granting [17-1] motion to Proceed in Forma Pauperis as to printing and mail costs as outlined, set Amended Pleadings deadline to 3/22/91 for amended complaint, set Pretrial Conference for 11:00 4/19/91 at the USDC, Rhode Island, courtroom 110; only five dft from 90-2000-D shall appear (signed by Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr. (cmd) [Edit date 2/26/91]
2/19/91	19	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #3 in C.88-2000-D) MOTION by John J. Staradumsky, for Temporary Restraining Order and Protective Order with memorandum (cmd) [Entry date 2/26/91]
2/19/91	20	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #14 in C.88-2000-D) OBJECTION to [19-1] motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Protective Order by Federal Defendants (cmd) [Entry date 2/26/91]
2/19/91	21	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #27 in C.88-2000-D) OBJECTION to [19-1] motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Protective Order by UPS (cmd) [Entry date 2/26/91]
2/19/91	22	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #35 in C.88-2000-D) MOTION by John J. Staradumsky, to Stay in State Courts with memorandum (cmd) [Entry date 2/26/91]

2/19/91	23	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #23 in C.88-2000-D) Petition by John J. Staradumsky, for Writ of Mandamus (cmd) [Entry date 2/26/91]
2/19/91	24	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #41 in C.88-2000-D) OBJECTION by City of Providence to [22-1] motion to Stay in State Courts (cmd) [Entry date 2/26/91]
2/19/91	--	Consolidated Lead Case (cmd) [Entry date 2/26/91]
4/3/91	25	MOTION by West Warwick, West Warwick PD, Danny Petrarca, West Warwick Offrs, to Dismiss with memorandum; (cmd) [Entry date 4/4/91]
4/15/91	26	OBJECTION by John J. Staradumsky to [25-1] motion to Dismiss (cmd) [Entry date 6/14/91]
5/21/91	27	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky, for Order of Clarification Follow-up deadline 6/10/91 (jgb) [Edit date 6/14/91]
6/12/91	28	ORDER: magistrate judge's order of 2/19/91 affirmed in all respects; plaintiff's petition for leave to appeal [26-1] motion for Order of Clarification denied; all defendants except five named defendants in lead case are dismissed; granting [25-1] motion to Dismiss; denying [22-1] motion to Stay in State Courts denying [19-1] motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Protective Order; only violations of 28 U.S.C. Section 1983 remain viable in this action (signed by Judge Shane Devine) (cmd) [Entry date 6/14/91] [Edit date 6/14/91]
6/17/91	29	NOTICE of attorney appearance for dismissed party, Rhode Island Bar Association (C.88-2000-D) by Seth E. Bowerman, Esq. (cmd) [Entry date 7/24/91]
7/9/91	--	Deadline set for case review re appeal; set Miscellaneous deadline for 9/2/91 (cmd)
8/8/91	30	NOTICE of attorney appearance by Ovide Lamontagne re Catholic Church (notice only) (cmd) [Entry date 8/9/91]

PROVIDENCE JOURNAL-BULLETIN

Metropolitan

1/22/92

'I am the average American. And I'm qualified for the job'

—Journal-Bulletin Photo by KRIS CRAI

THE CANDIDATE: John J. Staradumsky, whose name is on the March 10 Democratic presidential primary ballot.

Burrillville's John J. Staradumsky
longest shot in presidential primary



—Journal-Bulletin Photo by KRIS CRAIG

THE CANDIDATE: John J. Staradumsky, whose name is on the March 10 Democratic presidential primary ballot.

Burrillville's John J. Staradumsky longest shot in presidential primary

By JONATHAN SALTZMAN
Journal-Bulletin Staff Writer

BURRILLVILLE — One thing came abundantly clear when hn J. Staradumsky showed a vis- or his photo-ID card from a 10- y stay in state prison four years o: This is not your typical presi- ntial candidate.

Staradumsky, the only Rhode Is- nder among 15 candidates whose mes will appear on the ballot in e state's Democratic primary arch 10, was jailed in February 988 for failing to pay child sup- ort for his twins.

That was not his only brush ith the law. In the past few years, e unemployed truckdriver has een convicted of refusing to take n alcohol breath test and of as- aulting a man outside a Coventry rugstore. A charge of assaulting a voman in Burrillville last year is ending.

Staradumsky, 50, has also been ighting the West Warwick police n the courts since 1983, claiming hat officers manhandled him vhile a fire destroyed his video usiness that year. Police main- ained he was behaving belliger- ntly.

Interviewed in his Mapleville railer home yesterday, Stara- lumsky denied that his legal prob- ems or brief prison stay under- nined his candidacy.

"To me they're not skeletons," he said. "They're war wounds from fighting the political machine."

Staradumsky contended that his arrests, repeated vandalism of his cars and burglaries of his homes over the years bespeak a nebulous conspiracy by a "vicious political system" to discourage ordinary citizens from seeking public office.

In the last decade, Staradumsky has unsuccessfully tried to get on the ballot for U.S. senator, governor and attorney general — always thwarted, he said yesterday, by politicians.

But, to his pleased surprise, Staradumsky learned a week ago that state Democratic Chairman Mark Weiner had granted his request to be placed on the presidential primary ballot.

Weiner said he has never met Staradumsky and knows nothing about him. But he placed his name on the ballot with the likes of Arkansas Gov. Bill Clinton and former Massachusetts Sen. Paul Tsongas because, he said, "it's not up to me to decide who should be or should not be a candidate."

Staradumsky said he has no campaign organization or money. He has been unemployed since 1985, he said, spending five years on workers' compensation and the last two years on welfare.

> 'We could have had Saddam out of there, dead or alive. I think Bob Hope, Bing Crosby and Dorothy Lamour could have walked up the road to Baghdad to take him out.'

Still, he considers himself a bona fide candidate.

"I am the average American," he said. "And I'm qualified for the job. . . . I'm intelligent. I have an independent thought. I can think."

Sitting in a living room that featured a large aquarium, ceramic panda bears and a framed velvet rendering of Jesus, Staradumsky offered his views on foreign and domestic affairs.

- On the Persian Gulf war: He faulted President Bush for allowing Saddam Hussein to remain in power after allied forces crushed Iraqi troops. "We could have had Saddam out of there, dead or alive. I think Bob Hope, Bing Crosby and Dorothy Lamour could have walked up the road to Baghdad to take him out."
- On U.S.-Japanese relations: He said President Bush should have demanded — not requested — trade concessions from Japan during the President's recent trip there. "Since World War II, we've rebuilt Japan . . . and they've bit our hand off up to our elbow."
- On peace efforts in the Middle East: He said Israel should relinquish land on the West Bank to accommodate a Palestinian state. "I've always been a friend of Israel, but Israel has to give in on some issues."
- On education: The system must be completely overhauled because "children can reach college and still not be able to read or write."
- On welfare: "I believe in a workfare system. There's no incentive for people to get off welfare." He attributed his own unemployment to medical problems and said "I'm going to be looking fo: work."

Staradumsky said he expected to campaign throughout Rhode Island. If he does well in the primary he will try to get on the ballot in other states. He also said he would like to debate the other Democratic candidates.

"Give me an hour debate with them, and I think I can have the public on my side," he said.

Memorandum

To : SAC, BOSTON Date 4/6/92

From : PLA [redacted] (197-211)-P-

Subject: JOHN J. STARADUMSKY V. RONALD REAGAN, ET AL
U.S. DISTRICT COURT,
DISTRICT OF N.H.
CIVIL ACTION #88-2000D

In light of the information contained in serial #35 of the case file to the effect that AUSA [redacted] believes that captioned lawsuit has been dismissed against all federal defendents and requested a status check from the District Court Clerk regarding his belief. PLA believes that docket checks performed by SSRA [redacted] should be held in abeyance until such time as AUSA [redacted] determines from the court clerk whether his belief regarding dismissal is true. PLA [redacted] has sent AUSA [redacted] a letter requesting him to advise the FBI in Boston and Washington whether he received a response to his January 23, 1992 letter to the Clerk of the U.S. District Court in N.H. and the nature of that response. Upon receipt of AUSA's [redacted] reply to PLA [redacted] letter, it will be decided whether to resume docket checks or close this investigation because the case has been indeed dismissed as suspected.

b6

2-Boston
MC/jmr
(2) jmr

1*

197-211-36

APR 16 1992

U.S. Department of Justice

Federal Bureau of Investigation

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No. 197-211

Boston, Massachusetts

April 6, 1992

Mr. [redacted]
Senior Assistant United States Attorney
District of Rhode Island
10th floor
Westminster Square Building,
10 Dorrance Street
Providence, RI 02903

Dear Mr. [redacted]:

I am in receipt of a copy of your letter dated January 23, 1992, to Ms. [redacted] Deputy Clerk, United States District Court, Concord, NH, regarding the John J. Staradumsky lawsuit against the U.S. and the F.B.I. In your letter, you expressed your understanding that the Staradumsky case had been dismissed against all federal defendents, and you requested from Ms. [redacted] that she inform you of the status of the case relative to the federal defendants. I request on behalf of myself and Ms. [redacted] of our Headquarters in Washington, D.C., that you advise us of any response that you may have received from Ms. [redacted] with reference to your January 23rd letter. Thank you for your assistance in this regard.

b6

1-Addressee
1-Boston
MC/jmr
(2)

Sincerely yours,

THOMAS A. HUGHES
Special Agent in Charge

[redacted]
BY: Principal Legal Advisor

SEARCHED ____
SERIALIZED ____
INDEXED ____
FILED ____

197-211-37

Memorandum

To : SAC, BOSTON (197-211) (P) Date 5/1/92

From : SA [redacted] (CONCORD RA) (C-8)

Subject: JOHN J. STARADUMSKY v RONALD REAGAN; ET AL

b6

Enclosed for the Boston file is a xerox copy of the docket sheet concerning captioned matter.

On 4/27/92, Mrs. [redacted] U.S. District Court Clerk's Office, advised there has not been any activity concerning captioned matter since 8/8/91, and provided a xerox copy of the docket sheet, which is enclosed.

Docket entry #18 indicates that our case docket # 88-2000D was consolidated on 2/19/91 into 90-CV-2000.

COPY OF ~~ORIGINAL~~ DOCKET sent to HQ by PLA on 5/15/92.

2-Boston (Enc. 1)
EBG:blsbls
(2)

1*

197-211-38

SEARCHED ___ INDEXED ___
SERIALIZED ___ FILED ___
MAY 06 1992
FBI — BOSTON

MAG LEAD

U.S. District Court
U. S. District Court of New Hampshire (Concord)

CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 90-CV-2000

Staradumsky v. West Warwick, et al
Assigned to: Chief Judge Shane Devine
Demand: $0,000
Lead Docket: None
Dkt# in Dist RI is 87cv0473T

Filed: 5/21/90
Jury demand: Plaintiff
Nature of Suit: 440
Jurisdiction: Federal Question

Cause: 42:1983 Civil Rights Act

JOHN J. STARADUMSKY plaintiff	John J. Staradumsky [NTC] [PRO SE] P. O. Box 316 Mapleville, RI 02839
v.	
TOWN OF WEST WARWICK, RI defendant	Warren C. Nighswander, Esq. [COR LD NTC] Sulloway, Hollis & Soden PO Box 1256 Concord, NH 03302-1256 224-2341
WEST WARWICK, RI, POLICE DEPARTMENT defendant	Warren C. Nighswander, Esq. (See above) [COR LD]
DANNY PETRARCA, Former Chief of Police, West Warwick, RI defendant	Warren C. Nighswander, Esq. (See above) [COR LD]
UNNAMED WEST WARWICK POLICE OFFICERS defendant	Warren C. Nighswander, Esq. (See above) [COR LD]
WILLIAM FIELD defendant	Gordon A. Rehnborg, Jr., Esq. [COR LD NTC] Wiggin & Nourie PO Box 808 Manchester, NH 03105 669-2211

5/21/90	--	CASE REFERRED to Judge Shane Devine from DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND (cmd) [Entry date 2/19/91]
5/21/90	--	CASE TRANSFERRED from the District of Rhode Island due to recusal of Rhode Island judges (cmd) [Entry date 2/19/91]
8/17/90	13	ORDER: Pla amended cmp DISMISSED; five remaining dfts are Town of W. Warwick, RI, W. Warwick P.D., W. Warwick former chief of police, unnamed W. Warwick police officers, William Field; other other dfts DISMISSED (signed by Judge Shane Devine) (cmd) [Entry date 2/19/91]
9/6/90	14	NOTICE of attorney appearance for West Warwick, West Warwick PD, Danny Petrarca, West Warwick Offrs by Warren C. Nighswander (cmd) [Entry date 2/19/91]
12/7/90	17	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky, to Proceed in Forma Pauperis as to printing and mail costs (cmd) [Entry date 2/19/91]
12/7/90	--	Document #17 follows the referral number in Magistrate Judge Barry's Order of 2/19/91, though it is out of sequence chronologically (cmd) [Entry date 2/19/91]
12/26/90	15	NOTICE of attorney appearance for William Field by Gordon A. Rehnborg Jr. (cmd) [Entry date 2/19/91]
2/19/91	18	ORDER CASE CONSOLIDATED WITH C.88-2000-D; granting [17-1] motion to Proceed in Forma Pauperis as to printing and mail costs as outlined, set Amended Pleadings deadline to 3/22/91 for amended complaint, set Pretrial Conference for 11:00 4/19/91 at the USDC, Rhode Island, courtroom 110; only five dft from 90-2000-D shall appear (signed by Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr. (cmd) [Edit date 2/26/91]
2/19/91	19	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #3 in C.88-2000-D) MOTION by John J. Staradumsky, for Temporary Restraining Order and Protective Order with memorandum (cmd) [Entry date 2/26/91]
2/19/91	20	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #14 in C.88-2000-D) OBJECTION to [19-1] motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Protective Order by Federal Defendants (cmd) [Entry date 2/26/91]
2/19/91	21	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #27 in C.88-2000-D) OBJECTION to [19-1] motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Protective Order by UPS (cmd) [Entry date 2/26/91]
2/19/91	22	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #35 in C.88-2000-D) MOTION by John J. Staradumsky, to Stay in State Courts with memorandum (cmd) [Entry date 2/26/91]

2/19/91	23	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #23 in C.88-2000-D) Petition by John J. Staradumsky, for Writ of Mandamus (cmd) [Entry date 2/26/91]
2/19/91	24	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #41 in C.88-2000-D) OBJECTION by City of Providence to [22-1] motion to Stay in State Courts (cmd) [Entry date 2/26/91]
2/19/91	--	Consolidated Lead Case (cmd) [Entry date 2/26/91]
4/3/91	25	MOTION by West Warwick, West Warwick PD, Danny Petrarca, West Warwick Offrs, to Dismiss with memorandum; (cmd) [Entry date 4/4/91]
4/15/91	26	OBJECTION by John J. Staradumsky to [25-1] motion to Dismiss (cmd) [Entry date 6/14/91]
5/21/91	27	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky, for Order of Clarification Follow-up deadline 6/10/91 (jgb) [Edit date 6/14/91]
6/12/91	28	ORDER: magistrate judge's order of 2/19/91 affirmed in all respects; plaintiff's petition for leave to appeal [26-1] motion for Order of Clarification denied; all defendants except five named defendants in lead case are dismissed; granting [25-1] motion to Dismiss; denying [22-1] motion to Stay in State Courts denying [19-1] motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Protective Order; only violations of 28 U.S.C. Section 1983 remain viable in this action (signed by Judge Shane Devine) (cmd) [Entry date 6/14/91] [Edit date 6/14/91]
6/17/91	29	NOTICE of attorney appearance for dismissed party, Rhode Island Bar Association (C.88-2000-D) by Seth E. Bowerman, Esq. (cmd) [Entry date 7/24/91]
7/9/91	--	Deadline set for case review re appeal; set Miscellaneous deadline for 9/2/91 (cmd)
8/8/91	30	NOTICE of attorney appearance by Ovide Lamontagne re Catholic Church (notice only) (cmd) [Entry date 8/9/91]

Memorandum

C-1 Rotor

To : SAC, BOSTON (197-211) (P) Date 5/28/92

From : PLA [redacted]

Subject: JOHN J. STARADUMSKY v.
RONALD REAGAN;
ET AL

For the information of SRA [redacted] on 5/19/92, PLA [redacted] received a telephone call from MS. [redacted] Legal Counsel Division, FBIHQ. MS. [redacted] advised that she had received a copy of a letter dated January 23, 1992, which was sent from AUSA [redacted], Providence, R.I. to MS. [redacted], Deputy Clerk, United States District Court, Concord, NH., regarding captioned lawsuit. MS. [redacted] advised that in the letter, AUSA [redacted] made reference to a telephone conversation that he had with MS. [redacted] on 1/22/92. More over, AUSA [redacted] stated that it was his understanding that the matter of STARADUMSKY v. REAGAN, civil action number 88-2000D had been dismissed against all parties including all Federal defendants. Moreover, AUSA [redacted] stated in the above mentioned letter that he understood that the suit was dismissed due to MR. STARADUMSKY'S not filing an amended complaint on 3/22/91.

b6

MS. [redacted] advised that she also conducted a review of the recent docket in the matter of STARADUMSKY v.WEST WARWICK, Et Al., Civil Action Number 90-CV-2000, which was obtained by SRA [redacted] on 4/27/92 from MRS. [redacted], U.S. DISTRICT COURT CLERK'S OFFICE, Concord, New Hampshire. MS. [redacted] advised that she conducted a review of this docket, and noted docket entry number 18, dated 2/19/91, which notes among other things that civil action number 88-2000D was consolidated into civil action number 90-CV-2000 on 2/19/91.

1-Boston (197-911)
1-PLA [redacted]
1-[redacted]
JMC/amf
(3)

5 lead Defendants
West W
W W PD
Dan Peh
W L
WMP

Covered by,
JA [redacted]

197-211-39

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED ____ FILED ____
JUN 0 4 1992

MW 6/4/92

[redacted]

Concord RA

(197-211)

MS. [redacted] advised that FBIHQ wishes to know whether or not the FBI has been dismissed as the defendant in captioned action, or whether the FBI is still a defendant in this matter under a new civil action number of 90-CV-2000D. She requested that a lead be assigned to SRA [redacted] from the Boston Field Office to determine the exact status of this action as it pertains to the FBI.

b6

LEADS:

BOSTON DIVISION:

AT CONCORD, NEW HAMPHIRE:

Contact either MS. [redacted] or MRS. [redacted], U.S. DISTRICT CLERK'S OFFICE, Concord, New Hampshire and obtain information requested by FBIHQ as set forth above.

Memorandum

To : SAC, BOSTON (197-211) Date 6/10/92
(ATTN: PLA [redacted])

From : SA [redacted] (CONCORD RA/C-8)

Subject: JOHN J. STARADUMSKY v.
RONALD REAGAN;
ET AL

Re memo of PLA [redacted] dated 5/28/92.

On 6/9/92, Mrs. [redacted] U.S. District Court Clerk's Office, Concord, New Hampshire, advised of the following information concerning captioned case, after a review of their file 90-CV-2000D.

Mrs. [redacted] advised that the suit has been dismissed against all parties, with the exception of the five lead defendants, which do not include any Federal officers of the FBI.

b6

COPY SENT TO HQ by PLA on 6/17/92 - This can should now be closed.

2-Boston
1-(197-211)
1-(PLA [redacted])
EBG:bls
(2)

1*

197-211-40

SEARCHED INDEXED
SERIALIZED FILED
JUN 17 1992
FBI - BOSTON

0-9 (Rev. 8-16-79)

TRANSMIT VIA: AIRTEL

CLASSIFICATION:

DATE: 6/16/92

FROM: Director, FBI (197-8362)

TO: SAC, Boston (197-2115(P))
Attention: Principal Legal Advisor

(T) 8/1/92 and each 90 days, to respond to PLA.

T set for 7/27/92

JOHN J. STARADUMSKY v.
RONALD REAGAN, ET AL.
(U.S.D.C. / D. NEW HAMPSHIRE)
CIVIL ACTION NO. 90CV2000D

Enclosed is one copy of Order in the above-captioned action dated 8/17/90.

T set 7/2/92 SMT

Since the order is not final (non-appealable) it is important that any actions on behalf of the remaining defendants be followed.

Boston is requested to monitor the proceedings and supply FBIHQ, Attention: Legal Counsel Division, with a docket therefrom every 90 days beginning 8/1/92.

Should you have any questions, please contact Attorney Advisor [redacted] at (FTS) [redacted].

Enclosure

b6

197-211-41

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED OM FILED OM

JUN 2 2 1992

L/C 7/1/92 per w/encl.

[redacted]

Concord RA

FBI/DOJ

RECEIVED
U.S. ATTORNEY
DISTRICT OF RI

AUG 20 1990

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

John Staradumsky

v. Civil No. 90-2000-D

West Warwick Police Department, et al

O R D E R

A portion of the complaint of pro se plaintiff John Staradumsky accuses thirteen defendants, including police officers employed by the West Warwick, Rhode Island, Police Department, of harassment violative of his constitutional rights. The statutory foundation for these claims is set forth at 42 U.S.C. § 1983, which, in relevant part, reads as follows:

> Every person who, under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage, of any State or Territory or the District of Columbia, subjects, or causes to be subjected, any citizen of the United States or other person within the jurisdiction thereof to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and laws, shall be liable to the party injured in an action at law, suit in equity, or other proper proceeding for redress.

Plaintiff has not alleged that Gloria Jean Hartmann, William "Chico" Hartmann, Ray Garrity Sheila Staradumsky, or the

"resident John Does" were acting "under color of" state law when they engaged in the conduct of which plaintiff complains. This action cannot therefore be sustained against them, and, accordingly, these defendants must be and herewith are dismissed from this case.

The original complaint can, however, be fairly understood to state facially sufficient claims against (1) the Town of West Warwick, Rhode Island, (2) the West Warwick Police Department, (3) West Warwick Police Chief Danny Petrarca, (4) certain unnamed West Warwick police officers, and (5) William Field.

On January 23, 1990, plaintiff filed a fourteen-page amendment to the complaint. On June 26, the Court issued an Order allowing plaintiff twenty days to modify his complaint so as to conform to the pleading requirements specified in Rules 8 and 10 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Plaintiff has not responded to that Order.

It is well established that "[c]omplaints based on civil rights statutes must do more than state simple conclusions; they must at least outline the facts constituting the alleged violation." Fisher v. Flynn, 598 F.2d 663, 665 (1st Cir. 1979). It is equally well settled that pro se civil rights complaints are held to less stringent standards than formal pleadings by lawyers. Haines v. Kerner, 404 U.S. 519, 520-21 (1972). Like

"formal" complaints, pro se complaints can only be dismissed for failure to state a claim if it appears beyond doubt that plaintiff could prove no set of facts which would entitle him to relief. Estelle v. Gamble, 429 U.S. 97, 106 (1976). See also Pavilonis v. King, 626 F.2d 1075, 1078 (1st Cir. 1980) (if pro se complaints are "hopelessly general", dismissal is appropriate).

In the amended complaint, plaintiff has failed to outline facts sufficient to support the claims he asserts therein. Nonspecific complaints about "total deprivation", "conspiracy", and "intimidations" cannot state claims under the civil rights laws.

Accordingly, the amended complaint (document no. 15) must be and it is herewith dismissed. The action will proceed against the following five defendants named in the original complaint: (1) Town of West Warwick, Rhode Island, (2) West Warwick Police Police Department, (3) West Warwick's former chief of police Danny Petrarca, (4) certain unnamed West Warwick police officers, and (5) William Field. All other defendants are herewith dismissed.

SO ORDERED.

Chief Judge
United States District Court

August 17, 1990
cc: [see attached list]

Memorandum

To : SAC, BOSTON (197-8362) (P) Date 7/29/92

From : SA [redacted] (CONCORD RA) (C-8)

Subject: JOHN J. STARADUMSKY v.
RONALD REAGAN, ET AL
(U.S.D.C, D. NEW HAMPSHIRE)
CIVIL ACTION NO. 90CV2000D

Re Bureau airtel to Boston, dated 6/16/92.

On 7/28/92, Mrs. [redacted] U.S. District Court Clerk's office, District of New Hampshire, Concord, New Hampshire, advised the enclosed mandate is the only activity involving captioned matter since the Order by U.S. District Court Chief Judge SHANE DEVINE on 8/17/90. b6

At the present time, the file is being transferred to Chief Judge DEVINE as the docket is not available.

Tickler set for 10/27/92 for [redacted] recheck Docket

ORIGINAL SENT TO [redacted] HQ ON 8/19/92 by PLA.

2-Boston (Enc. 1)
EBG:bls
(2)

1*

197-211-42

SEARCHED ____ INDEXED ____
SERIALIZED ____ FILED ____
AUG 03 1992
FBI — BOSTON

RE 87-473
N.H. 90-2000

MANDATE

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIRST CIRCUIT

No. 91-1414

JOHN J. STARADUMSKY,
Plaintiff, Appellant,

v.

TOWN OF WEST WARWICK, RHODE ISLAND, ET AL.,
Defendants, Appellees.

ORDER OF COURT

Entered: June 19, 1991

On May 6, 1991 this court advised appellant that it may not have jurisdiction to consider the appeal because the February 1991 order from which plaintiff appeals is an order from which plaintiff appeals is an order issued by a magistrate. Appellant was further advised that the district court order of August 17, 1990 was not a final order and there was no September 19, 1990 order on the district court docket.

The appellant was ordered to either move for voluntary dismissal under Rule 42(b) FRAP or to show cause why this appeal should not be dismissed and that the failure to take either action by May 17, 1991 might lead to dismissal for failure to prosecute.

On May 21, 1991 this Court enlarged the time to file a response to May 28, 1991.

The appellant having failed to take any action, the above captioned appeal is dismissed for failure to prosecute.

By the Court:

FRANCIS P. SCIGLIANO Clerk

Certified and issued
as formal mandate under
F.R.A.P. 41 on JUL 11 1991
FRANCIS P. SCIGLIANO
Clerk

By _____
Chief Deputy Clerk.

37

[cc: Ms's Buffardi, Holm and Messrs. Staradumsky, Fanning, Rehnborg, Quigley, Marran, Nighswander and Peirce]

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF N.H.
FILED
SEP 30 9 42 AM '91

Post-It™ brand fax transmittal memo 7671 | # of pages ▸ 7

To Bess Dreyer	From
Co. FBI	Co. USDC-NH
Dept.	Phone #
Fax # 202-324-1040	Fax #

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF N.H.
FILED

JUL 31 3 24 PM '92

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

John J. Staradumsky

v.

Civil No. 90-2000-D

Town of West Warwick, Rhode Island, et al

[redacted] called on 8/20/92 from HQ. She advised all federal defendants have been dismissed. This order dismisses a non-federal defendant but other non-federal defendants remain as defendants. HQ advises that case cannot be closed until all defendants dismissed. PLA-Jmc 8/26/92

b6
b7C

O R D E R

This is a civil rights action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983[1] in which plaintiff alleges a vast conspiracy among the defendants[2] to evict him in 1984 from his rented residence; to help the mother of his children win custody of those children in 1987; to harass him into lawsuits pending in Rhode Island state courts; to murder him by driving him insane; to hold him hostage in the state of Rhode Island; to harass him by failing to properly investigate complaints made by him in 1983 when his business was burned; by intimidating witnesses in his pending

---

[1]Charges brought under various other civil rights statutes have previously been dismissed.

197-211-43

[2]The remaining defendants of the scores originally named in this action are: the Town of West Warwick, Rhode Island; that town's police department; former police chief Danny Petrarca and certain unnamed police officers; and plaintiff's former landlord William Field. For a detailed history of the tortuous account of this case, see the magistrate judge's order of February 19, 1991. Only those facts necessary to resolve the instant motions will be repeated here.

45

Rhode Island state court cases; by slandering him, spying on him and invading his privacy; and by improperly detaining him in July 1984.[3] Presently before the court is defendant William Field's motion for summary judgment and plaintiff's motion for change of venue. For the reasons that follow, defendant's motion is granted, and plaintiff's motion is denied.

1. William Field's Motion for Summary Judgment

This defendant is sued in his capacity as plaintiff's landlord, with whom all the other defendants allegedly conspired to evict plaintiff from his rented apartment. As ground for the instant motion, Field argues that he was not a "state actor" within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 1983, and thus is not subject to suit under that statute.

In relevant part, 42 U.S.C. § 1983 states:

> Every person who, under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage, of any State . . . subjects, or causes to be subjected, any citizen of the United States . . . to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and laws, shall be liable to the party injured in an action at law, suit in equity, or other proper proceeding for redress.

[3]This action was originally filed in the United States District Court for the District of Rhode Island, but was transferred to this court when plaintiff named all the sitting Rhode Island federal judges as defendants, thus necessitating their recusal.

It is settled law that a plaintiff in a section 1983 action must allege both a deprivation of rights secured by the laws or constitution of the United States, and that said deprivation was committed by a person acting "under color of state law." Gomez v. Toledo, 446 U.S. 625 (1980); McGillicuddy v. Clements, 746 F.2d 76 (1st Cir. 1984); MacDonald v. Manchester Fire Dept., 769 F. Supp. 40 (D.N.H. 1990).

When a private individual such as Field has been named as part of a civil rights conspiracy, the First Circuit has stated that "it is appropriate to require that the relationship or nature of cooperation between the state and a private individual be pled in some detail" in order to convert the actions of a private party to action "under color of state law." McGillicuddy, supra, 746 F.2d at 77 (citations omitted).

The role of summary judgment is to "'pierce the pleadings and assess the proof in order to see whether there is a genuine need for trial.'" Mesnick v. General Elec. Co., 950 F.2d 816, 822 (1st Cir. 1991), cert. denied, ___ U.S. ___, ___ S. Ct. ___, 60 U.S.L.W. 3841 (June 15, 1992) (quoting Garside v. Osco Drug, Inc., 895 F.2d 46, 50 (1st Cir. 1990)). The burden is on the moving party to show that there is no genuine issue of material fact and that it is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. Rule 56(c), Fed. R. Civ. P. The court must view the entire record in the light most favorable to the nonmovant, "'indulging

all reasonable inferences in that party's favor.'" Id. (quoting Griggs-Ryan v. Smith, 904 F.2d 112, 115 (1st Cir. 1990)). However, once the moving party has made a properly supported motion for summary judgment, the adverse party "must set forth specific facts showing that there is a genuine issue for trial." Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc., 477 U.S. 242, 250 (1986) (citing Rule 56(e), Fed. R. Civ. P.).

In support of the instant motion, defendant Field, via affidavit, states that, following complaints from neighbors concerning noise, threats, and other aspects of plaintiff's behavior, he told plaintiff to quit the premises, which plaintiff did in September 1984, one month after the request. Field states that he did not use the judicial process to aid him, nor was he instructed by any other defendant, past or present, to evict plaintiff.

In response to the motion, plaintiff states "THAT THE EVICTION WAS THE ACT, BY FIELD, BY AND FOR THE OTHER DEFENDANTS, HE BECAME AN INSTRUMENT OF THE DEPRIVATION WILLING, WANTONLY AND MALICIOUSLY . . . . " Plaintiff's Memorandum of Law attached to Objection to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment. Plaintiff also calls the affidavit "sheer fabrications" and demands that the "BURDEN [BE PLACED] ON THE DEFENDANTS TO OFFER 'PROOFS' IN A COURT OF LAW." Objection to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment.

The court finds that plaintiff's unsupported epithets do not enable him to meet his burden in response to defendant's adequately supported motion. In the absence of any evidence to controvert defendant's testimony, the court finds that there is no genuine issue of material fact with respect to defendant William Fields' involvement herein. In short, he was not "acting under color of state law." Thus, defendant Field's motion for summary judgment (document no. 35) must be and herewith is granted.

2. Plaintiff's Motion for Re-Instatement of Pleadings [regarding] Change of Venue/Transfer to Another District

It now appearing that plaintiff has complied with Rule 5, Fed. R. Civ. P., the "Motion for Re-Instatement of Pleadings" is herewith granted. That, however, has no effect on the utterly meritless nature of the venue-related motion.

Plaintiff moves pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404, which provides in relevant part:

> (a) For the convenience of the parties and witnesses, in the interest of justice, a district court may transfer any civil action to any other district or division where it might have been brought.

Plaintiff seeks transfer "ENTIRELY OUT OF NEW ENGLAND . . . TO THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS OF EASTERN PENNSYLVANIA." Memorandum

of Law in Support of Ex-Parte Motion for Change of Venue/Transfer at 1.

As grounds therefor, plaintiff alleges first, without details, that the orders of this court are "Incompetent, malpractic, and or Conspiring against him." Motion for Re-Instatement. He further alleges

> that the State of New Hampshire has been shown much favored treatments by the 'Reagan and Bush Republican administrations' and in particular, the Cabinet position of former Governor Sununu and now appointed Supreme Court Judge David H. Souter. Plaintiff charges that the Bush (A defendant) has tampered with the decisions of the Federal Court Judges of New Hampshire and the appointment of these individuals named has created a 'hostile situation and matters of prejudice' against the same plaintiff herein. That plaintiff charges that all decisions made by the judges of the districts of New Hampshire and Rhode Island, have been totally out of the context of any constitutional laws, and have been of a nature of discrimination and conspiracy against him and his civil and human rights. That he declares that the actions to dismiss and or deny his pleadings, have been and are of a nature to deprive him of his rights to a trial by jury and against all defendants herein both civil actions.
>
> PLAINTIFF THEREFORE RESPECTFULLY DEMANDS, THAT THE JUDGES ET AL, AND THE NEW HAM[P]-SHIRE U.S. DISTRICT COURT, RECUSE ITSELF VOLUNTARILY TO AVOID FURTHER CONFLICT OF INTERESTS IN THESE ACTIONS. AND IF DENIED PLAINTIFF SHALL SEEK OTHER LEGAL MEANS TO REMOVE THESE ACTIONS FROM THE NEW HAMPSHIRE 'ARENA', TAINTED ALREADY IN HIS KNOWLEDGES OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL LAWS OF AMERICA, BY ITS PRIOR DECISIONS IN THESE MATTERS.

The verbal histrionics lack any factual support, and fall far short of satisfying section 1404.[4] See generally, Cianbro Corp. v. Curran-Lavoie, Inc., 814 F.2d 7, 11 (1st Cir. 1987); 15 C. Wright & A. Miller, Federal Practice and Procedure § 3847 (1986). Plaintiff's motion for change of venue/transfer (document no. 41) must be and herewith is denied.

SO ORDERED.

Chief Judge
United States District Court

July 31, 1992

cc: John J. Staradumsky, pro se
Warren C. Nighswander, Esq.
Gordon A. Rehnborg, Jr., Esq.
Ovide M. Lamontagne, Esq.

[4]The court notes, for example, that plaintiff has nowhere indicated whether this action is one that "could have been brought" in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

Memorandum

To : SAC, BOSTON (197-211) (P) Date 9/24/92

From : SA [redacted] (CONCORD RA/C-8)

Subject: JOHN J. STARADUMSKY
v. RONALD REAGAN, ET AL;
(U.S.D.C., DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE)
CIVIL ACTION NO. 90CV2000D

b6

Enclosed is one copy of the docket sheet obtained 9/14/92.

On 9.4.91, [redacted], FBIHQ, requested the docket sheet regarding captioned case. In addition, Ms. [redacted] requested a copy of the Magistrate Judge's order dated 6/12/91.

Both of the above documents were obtained and prior to these being faxed to her, she called and advised she had contacted Attorney [redacted] and obtained the Magistrate's order dated 6/12/91.

2-Boston (Attachment)
EBG:blsbcs
(2)

1*

SEARCHED ____
SERIALIZED ____
INDEXED ____
FILED ____
197-211-44

LEAD

U.S. District Court
U. S. District Court of New Hampshire (Concord)

CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 90-CV-2000

Staradumsky v. West Warwick, et al
Assigned to: Chief Judge Shane Devine
Demand: $0,000
Lead Docket: None
Dkt# in Dist RI is 87cv0473T

Filed: 5/21/90
Jury demand: Plaintiff
Nature of Suit: 440
Jurisdiction: Federal Question

Cause: 42:1983 Civil Rights Act

JOHN J. STARADUMSKY plaintiff	John J. Staradumsky [NTC] [PRO SE] P. O. Box 316 Mapleville, RI 02839
v.	
TOWN OF WEST WARWICK, RI defendant	Warren C. Nighswander, Esq. [COR LD NTC] Sulloway, Hollis & Soden PO Box 1256 Concord, NH 03302-1256 224-2341
WEST WARWICK, RI, POLICE DEPARTMENT defendant	Warren C. Nighswander, Esq. (See above) [COR LD]
DANNY PETRARCA, Former Chief of Police, West Warwick, RI defendant	Warren C. Nighswander, Esq. (See above) [COR LD]
UNNAMED WEST WARWICK POLICE OFFICERS defendant	Warren C. Nighswander, Esq. (See above) [COR LD]
WILLIAM FIELD defendant [term 07/31/92]	Gordon A. Rehnborg, Jr., Esq. [term 07/31/92] [COR LD NTC] Wiggin & Nourie PO Box 808 Manchester, NH 03105 669-2211

Proceedings include all events.
1:90cv2000 Staradumsky v. West Warwick, et al LEAD

5/21/90	--	CASE REFERRED to Judge Shane Devine from DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND (cmd) [Entry date 2/19/91]
5/21/90	--	CASE TRANSFERRED from the District of Rhode Island due to recusal of Rhode Island judges (cmd) [Entry date 2/19/91]
8/17/90	13	ORDER: Pla amended cmp DISMISSED; five remaining dfts are Town of W. Warwick, RI, W. Warwick P.D., W. Warwick former chief of police, unnamed W. Warwick police officers, William Field; other other dfts DISMISSED (signed by Judge Shane Devine) (cmd) [Entry date 2/19/91]
9/6/90	14	NOTICE of attorney appearance for West Warwick, West Warwick PD, Danny Petrarca, West Warwick Offrs by Warren C. Nighswander (cmd) [Entry date 2/19/91]
12/7/90	17	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky, to Proceed in Forma Pauperis as to printing and mail costs (cmd) [Entry date 2/19/91]
12/7/90	--	Document #17 follows the referral number in Magistrate Judge Barry's Order of 2/19/91, though it is out of sequence chronologically (cmd) [Entry date 2/19/91]
12/26/90	15	NOTICE of attorney appearance for William Field by Gordon A. Rehnborg Jr. (cmd) [Entry date 2/19/91]
2/19/91	18	ORDER CASE CONSOLIDATED WITH C.88-2000-D; granting [17-1] motion to Proceed in Forma Pauperis as to printing and mail costs as outlined, set Amended Pleadings deadline to 3/22/91 for amended complaint, set Pretrial Conference for 11:00 4/19/91 at the USDC, Rhode Island, courtroom 110; only five dft from 90-2000-D shall appear (signed by Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr. (cmd) [Edit date 2/26/91]
2/19/91	19	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #3 in C.88-2000-D) MOTION by John J. Staradumsky, for Temporary Restraining Order and Protective Order with memorandum (cmd) [Entry date 2/26/91]
2/19/91	20	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #14 in C.88-2000-D) OBJECTION to [19-1] motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Protective Order by Federal Defendants (cmd) [Entry date 2/26/91]
2/19/91	21	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #27 in C.88-2000-D) OBJECTION to [19-1] motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Protective Order by UPS (cmd) [Entry date 2/26/91]
2/19/91	22	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #35 in C.88-2000-D) MOTION by John J. Staradumsky, to Stay in State Courts with memorandum (cmd) [Entry date 2/26/91]

2/19/91	23	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #23 in C.88-2000-D) Petition by John J. Staradumsky, for Writ of Mandamus (cmd) [Entry date 2/26/91]
2/19/91	24	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #41 in C.88-2000-D) OBJECTION by City of Providence to [22-1] motion to Stay in State Courts (cmd) [Entry date 2/26/91]
2/19/91	--	Consolidated Lead Case (cmd) [Entry date 2/26/91]
3/25/91	25	NOTICE OF APPEAL by John J. Staradumsky . File stamped copies to all parties with Appeal Information Sheet; certified copy of docket, copy of order/opinion/judgment, Appeal Information Sheet to CCA. (cmd) [Entry date 7/20/92] [Edit date 7/22/92]
4/3/91	26	MOTION by West Warwick, West Warwick PD, Danny Petrarca, West Warwick Offrs, to Dismiss with memorandum; (cmd) [Entry date 4/4/91] [Edit date 7/22/92]
4/11/91	27	RESPONSE (by non-part Almacs, Inc.) in opposition to [25-1] motion to Dismiss (cmd) [Entry date 7/20/92]
4/15/91	28	OBJECTION by John J. Staradumsky to [25-1] motion to Dismiss (cmd) [Entry date 6/14/91] [Edit date 7/22/92]
5/1/91	29	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky to Proceed in Forma Pauperis on appeal (cmd) [Entry date 7/20/92]
5/21/91	30	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky, for Order of Clarification Follow-up deadline 6/10/91 (jgb) [Edit date 7/22/92]
6/12/91	31	ORDER: magistrate judge's order of 2/19/91 affirmed in all respects; plaintiff's petition for leave to appeal [26-1] motion for Order of Clarification denied; all defendants except five named defendants in lead case are dismissed; granting [25-1] motion to Dismiss; denying [22-1] motion to Stay in State Courts denying [19-1] motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Protective Order; only violations of 28 U.S.C. Section 1983 remain viable in this action (signed by Judge Shane Devine) (cmd) [Entry date 6/14/91] [Edit date 7/22/92]
6/17/91	32	NOTICE of attorney appearance for dismissed party, Rhode Island Bar Association (C.88-2000-D) by Seth E. Bowerman, Esq. (cmd) [Entry date 7/24/91] [Edit date 7/22/92]
7/9/91	--	Deadline set for case review re appeal; set Miscellaneous deadline for 9/2/91 (cmd)
8/8/91	33	NOTICE of attorney appearance by Ovide Lamontagne re Catholic Church (notice only) (cmd) [Entry date 8/9/91] [Edit date 7/22/92]

Memorandum

To : SAC, BOSTON (197-211) (P) Date 11/17/92

From : SA [redacted] (CONCORD RA) (C-8)

Subject: JOHN J. STARADUMSKY
v. RONALD REAGAN; ET AL;
U.S. DISTRICT COURT,
DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE,
CIVIL ACTION NO. 90-CV-2000D

Enclosed is one copy of the docket sheet obtained on 11/16/92. b6

On 11/16/92, Mrs. [redacted] U.S. District Court Clerk's Office, Concord, New Hampshire, provided a copy of the docket sheet concerning captioned matter.

Mrs. [redacted] further advised that the subject is due at a pre-trial conference before U.S. Magistrate WILLIAM H. BARRY, Concord, New Hampshire, at 11:00 a.m., 11/17/92.

On 11/17/92, Mrs. [redacted] advised that subject did not show up for his pre-trial connfference due to car trouble. The pre-trial conference was continued, no specific date was set.

LEADS:

BOSTON DIVISION:

AT CONCORD, NEW HAMPSHIRE:

Will report results of pre-trial conference.

CC: sent to LCD, FBIHQ
by PLA on 1/4/93
mc

2-Boston (Enc. 1)
EBG:blsbls
(2)

mc

1*

197-211-45

SEARCHED ___ INDEXED ___
SERIALIZED ___ FILED ___
JAN 0 5 1993
FBI — BOSTON

U.S. District Court
U. S. District Court of New Hampshire (Concord)

CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 90-CV-2000

Staradumsky v. West Warwick, et al
Assigned to: Judge Paul J. Barbadoro
Demand: $0,000
Lead Docket: None
Dkt# in Dist RI is 87cv0473T

Filed: 5/21/90
Jury demand: Plaintiff
Nature of Suit: 440
Jurisdiction: Federal Question

Cause: 42:1983 Civil Rights Act

JOHN J. STARADUMSKY plaintiff	John J. Staradumsky [NTC] [PRO SE] PO Box 316 Mapleville, RI 02839
v.	
TOWN OF WEST WARWICK, RI defendant	Peter A. Meyer, Esq. [COR LD NTC] Sulloway, Hollis & Soden PO Box 1256 Concord, NH 03302-1256 224-2341
	Warren C. Nighswander, Esq. [term 09/11/92] [COR LD NTC] Sulloway, Hollis & Soden PO Box 1256 Concord, NH 03302-1256 224-2341
WEST WARWICK, RI, POLICE DEPARTMENT defendant	Peter A. Meyer, Esq. (See above) [COR LD NTC]
	Warren C. Nighswander, Esq. [term 09/11/92] (See above) [COR LD]
DANNY PETRARCA, Former Chief of Police, West Warwick, RI defendant	Peter A. Meyer, Esq. (See above) [COR LD NTC]
	Warren C. Nighswander, Esq. [term 09/11/92] (See above) [COR LD]

UNNAMED WEST WARWICK POLICE OFFICERS defendant	Peter A. Meyer, Esq. (See above) [COR LD NTC]
	Warren C. Nighswander, Esq. [term 09/11/92] (See above) [COR LD]
WILLIAM FIELD defendant [term 07/31/92]	Gordon A. Rehnborg, Jr., Esq. [term 07/31/92] [COR LD NTC] Wiggin & Nourie PO Box 808 Manchester, NH 03105 669-2211

Proceedings include all events.
1:90cv2000 Staradumsky v. West Warwick, et al

5/21/90	--	CASE REFERRED to Judge Shane Devine from DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND (cmd) [Entry date 2/19/91]
5/21/90	--	CASE TRANSFERRED from the District of Rhode Island due to recusal of Rhode Island judges (cmd) [Entry date 2/19/91]
8/17/90	13	ORDER: Pla amended cmp DISMISSED; five remaining dfts are Town of W. Warwick, RI, W. Warwick P.D., W. Warwick former chief of police, unnamed W. Warwick police officers, William Field; other other dfts DISMISSED (signed by Judge Shane Devine) (cmd) [Entry date 2/19/91]
9/6/90	14	NOTICE of attorney appearance for West Warwick, West Warwick PD, Danny Petrarca, West Warwick Offrs by Warren C. Nighswander (cmd) [Entry date 2/19/91]
12/7/90	17	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky, to Proceed in Forma Pauperis as to printing and mail costs (cmd) [Entry date 2/19/91]
12/7/90	--	Document #17 follows the referral number in Magistrate Judge Barry's Order of 2/19/91, though it is out of sequence chronologically (cmd) [Entry date 2/19/91]
12/26/90	15	NOTICE of attorney appearance for William Field by Gordon A. Rehnborg Jr. (cmd) [Entry date 2/19/91]
2/19/91	18	ORDER CASE CONSOLIDATED WITH C.88-2000-D; granting [17-1] motion to Proceed in Forma Pauperis as to printing and mail costs as outlined, set Amended Pleadings deadline to 3/22/91 for amended complaint, set Pretrial Conference for 11:00 4/19/91 at the USDC, Rhode Island, courtroom 110; only five dft from 90-2000-D shall appear (signed by Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr. (cmd) [Edit date 2/26/91]
2/19/91	19	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #3 in C.88-2000-D) MOTION by John J. Staradumsky, for Temporary Restraining Order and Protective Order with memorandum (cmd) [Entry date 2/26/91]
2/19/91	20	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #14 in C.88-2000-D) OBJECTION to [19-1] motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Protective Order by Federal Defendants (cmd) [Entry date 2/26/91]
2/19/91	21	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #27 in C.88-2000-D) OBJECTION to [19-1] motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Protective Order by UPS (cmd) [Entry date 2/26/91]
2/19/91	22	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #35 in C.88-2000-D) MOTION by John J. Staradumsky, to Stay in State Courts with memorandum (cmd) [Entry date 2/26/91]

2/19/91	23	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #23 in C.88-2000-D) Petition by John J. Staradumsky, for Writ of Mandamus (cmd) [Entry date 2/26/91]
2/19/91	24	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #41 in C.88-2000-D) OBJECTION by City of Providence to [22-1] motion to Stay in State Courts (cmd) [Entry date 2/26/91]
2/19/91	--	Consolidated Lead Case (cmd) [Entry date 2/26/91]
3/25/91	25	NOTICE OF APPEAL by John J. Staradumsky . File stamped copies to all parties with Appeal Information Sheet; certified copy of docket, copy of order/opinion/judgment, Appeal Information Sheet to CCA. (cmd) [Entry date 7/20/92] [Edit date 7/22/92]
4/3/91	26	MOTION by West Warwick, West Warwick PD, Danny Petrarca, West Warwick Offrs, to Dismiss with memorandum; (cmd) [Entry date 4/4/91] [Edit date 7/22/92]
4/11/91	27	RESPONSE (by non-part Almacs, Inc.) in opposition to [25-1] motion to Dismiss (cmd) [Entry date 7/20/92]
4/15/91	28	OBJECTION by John J. Staradumsky to [25-1] motion to Dismiss (cmd) [Entry date 6/14/91] [Edit date 7/22/92]
5/1/91	29	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky to Proceed in Forma Pauperis on appeal (cmd) [Entry date 7/20/92]
5/21/91	30	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky, for Order of Clarification Follow-up deadline 6/10/91 (jgb) [Edit date 7/22/92]
6/12/91	31	ORDER: magistrate judge's order of 2/19/91 affirmed in all respects; plaintiff's petition for leave to appeal [26-1] motion for Order of Clarification denied; all defendants except five named defendants in lead case are dismissed; granting [25-1] motion to Dismiss; denying [22-1] motion to Stay in State Courts denying [19-1] motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Protective Order; only violations of 28 U.S.C. Section 1983 remain viable in this action (signed by Judge Shane Devine) (cmd) [Entry date 6/14/91] [Edit date 7/22/92]
6/17/91	32	NOTICE of attorney appearance for dismissed party, Rhode Island Bar Association (C.88-2000-D) by Seth E. Bowerman, Esq. (cmd) [Entry date 7/24/91] [Edit date 7/22/92]
7/9/91	--	Deadline set for case review re appeal; set Miscellaneous deadline for 9/2/91 (cmd)
8/8/91	33	NOTICE of attorney appearance by Ovide Lamontagne re Catholic Church (notice only) (cmd) [Entry date 8/9/91] [Edit date 7/22/92]

8/21/91	34	MOTION by non-parties K-Mart, et al for Judgment on the Pleadings with memorandum (cmd) [Entry date 7/22/92]
9/11/91	35	MOTION by William Field for Summary Judgment with memorandum (cmd) [Entry date 7/22/92]
9/12/91	36	OPINION of CCA Re: [31-1] appeal by John J. Staradumsky, court has no jurisdiction over interlocutory appeal; appeal has since been dismissed for lack of jurisdiction; motion is moot; petition for mandamus (filed directly w/CCA) denied as moot. (cmd) [Entry date 7/22/92]
9/30/91	37	MANDATE OF CCA Re: [31-1] appeal by John J. Staradumsky; captioned appeal is dismissed for failure to prosecute. (cmd) [Entry date 7/22/92]
10/10/91	38	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky for Leave to File late objection to motion for summary judgment (cmd) [Entry date 7/22/92]
10/17/91	39	OBJECTION by William Field to [38-1] motion for Leave to File late objection to motion for summary judgment (cmd) [Entry date 7/22/92]
11/6/91	40	NOTICE of attorney appearance by Rhode Island Bar Assn - non party (cmd) [Entry date 7/22/92]
1/6/92	41	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky for Leave to File/reinstate pleadings change of venue/transfer (cmd) [Entry date 7/22/92]
1/13/92	42	OBJECTION by William Field to motion for change of venue/transfer to another district (leave to file motion not yet granted by court) (cmd) [Entry date 7/22/92]
1/17/92	43	OBJECTION by West Warwick Offrs, Danny Petrarca, West Warwick PD, West Warwick to motion to change venue/transfer to another district (motion for leave to file not yet granted for the above motion) (cmd) [Entry date 7/22/92]
7/29/92	--	RULE 11 ORDER granting [38-1] motion for Leave to File late objection to motion for summary judgment (cmd)
7/29/92	44	OBJECTION by John J. Staradumsky to [35-1] motion for Summary Judgment with memorandum (cmd)
7/29/92	--	ENDORSED ORDER mooting [34-1] motion for Judgment on the Pleadings as order of SD dated 6/12/91 and opinion and order of CCA of 9/12/91 and 9/30/92 uphold dismissal of all defendants except those outlined in 6/12/91 order (signed by Clerk James R. Starr) (cmd) [Entry date 7/30/92]

7/30/92	--	File to SD for ruling on summary judgment motion and motion for change of venue/transfer (cmd)
7/31/92	45	ORDER granting [41-1] motion for Leave to File/reinstate pleadings change of venue/transfer and denying said motion; granting [35-1] motion for Summary Judgment; mooting [29-1] motion to Proceed in Forma Pauperis on appeal as appeal was dismissed (signed by Chief Judge Shane Devine) (cmd) [Entry date 8/3/92]
7/31/92	46	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky to Transfer Case, or to Change Venue (allowed and denied by order of 7/31/92/#45) (cmd) [Entry date 8/3/92]
8/11/92	--	FURTHER PRETRIAL CONFERENCE set at 11:00 9/2/92 before Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr. (dae)
9/2/92	47	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky to Continue Pretrial Conference set for 9/2/92 with assent (jar)
9/2/92	48	ORDER granting [47-1] motion to Continue Pretrial Conference set for 9/2/92 (signed by Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr.) (jar) [Entry date 9/3/92]
9/3/92	--	CASE NO LONGER REFERRED TO William H. Barry, Jr. (cmd)
9/3/92	--	FURTHER PRETRIAL CONFERENCE set at 11:00 11/17/92 before Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr. (dae)
9/11/92	49	NOTICE of attorney appearance (substitution) for West Warwick Offrs, Danny Petrarca, West Warwick PD, West Warwick by Peter A. Meyer (in place of W. Nighswander) (prk) [Entry date 9/14/92]
11/11/92	--	CASE reassigned to Judge Paul J. Barbadoro . Reassignment of caseload due to appointment of new judges. (dcap)

Pre-trial Conference
Deadlines w/ Motions

Memorandum

To : SAC, BOSTON (197-211) (P) Date 1/27/93

From : SA [redacted] (CONCORD RA) (C-4)

Subject:
JOHN J. STARADUMSKY
v. RONALD REAGAN; ET AL;
U.S. DISTRICT COURT,
DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE,
CIVIL ACTION NO. 90-CV-2000D

Re memo of SA [redacted] dated 11/17/92.

b6

On 1/27/93, Miss [redacted], Docket Clerk Trainee, U.S. District Court Clerk's Office, Concord, New Hampshire, advised of the following information concerning captioned matter:

Miss [redacted] advised that a pre-trial conference which was originally scheduled for 11/17/92, was held on 12/22/92, before Magistrate WILLIAM H. BARRY, JR. As a result of that conference, a preliminary order was issued on 12/30/92, which set a dispositive, not a deadline, on 7/1/93. A discovery deadline, however, was set for 7/1/93, and a pre-trial deadline was set for 7/15/93. There was also a motion for [redacted] to appear Pro Hack Vice and this motion was granted on 1/14/93.

Miss [redacted] advised that the computer is down at the present time and, therefore, was unable to provide a copy of the docket sheet; however, the above information came directly from the docket sheet and not other information of a pertinent nature is available.

LEADS:

BOSTON DIVISION:

AT CONCORD, NEW HAMPSHIRE:

Will follow and report information concerning captioned matter.

2-Boston
EBG:bls
(2)

1*

197-211-46
SEARCHED ___ INDEXED ___
SERIALIZED ___ FILED ___
FEB 11 1993
FBI — BOSTON

FD-36 (Rev. 11-17-88)

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:
- ☐ Teletype
- ☐ Facsimile
- ☒ AIRTEL

PRECEDENCE:
- ☐ Immediate
- ☐ Priority
- ☐ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:
- ☐ TOP SECRET
- ☐ SECRET
- ☐ CONFIDENTIAL
- ☐ UNCLAS E F T O
- ☐ UNCLAS

Date 7/9/93

(1) 9/1/93 docket check

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI
(ATTN: [redacted], LEGAL COUNSEL DIVISION)

FROM : SAC, SAC, BOSTON (197-211) (P) (CONCORD RA/C-4)

SUBJECT : JOHN J. STARADUMSKY V. RONALD REAGAN;
ET AL;
U.S. DISTRICT COURT,
DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE,
CIVIL ACTION NUMBER 90-CV-2000D

Tset 7/16/93 SMT

Enclosed for the Bureau is one copy of the Docket Sheet obtained on 7/9/93.

On 7/9/93, Mrs. [redacted] U.S. District court, Clerk's Office, Concord, New Hampshire, provided a copy of the Docket Sheet concerning captioned matter, which is enclosed.

b6

R/S sent to S.A. [redacted] 10/22/93 that Docket check overdue. MN.

2-Bureau (Enc. 1)
2-Boston
EBG:bls
(4)

1*

PLA [redacted]

Approved: [signature] Transmitted _____ (Number) (Time) Per _____

197-211-48

U.S. District Court
U. S. District Court of New Hampshire (Concord)

CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 90-CV-2000

Staradumsky v. West Warwick, et al
Assigned to: Judge Paul J. Barbadoro
Demand: $0,000
Lead Docket: None
Dkt # in Dist RI : is 87cv0473T

Filed: 05/21/90
Jury demand: Plaintiff
Nature of Suit: 440
Jurisdiction: Federal Question

Cause: 42:1983 Civil Rights Act

JOHN J. STARADUMSKY plaintiff	John J. Staradumsky [NTC] [PRO SE] PO Box 316 Mapleville, RI 02839

v.

TOWN OF WEST WARWICK, RI defendant	Peter A. Meyer, Esq. [COR LD NTC] Warren C. Nighswander, Esq. [term 09/11/92] [COR LD NTC] Sulloway & Hollis PO Box 1256 Concord, NH 03302-1256 224-2341
WEST WARWICK, RI, POLICE DEPARTMENT defendant	Peter A. Meyer, Esq. (See above) [COR LD NTC] Warren C. Nighswander, Esq. [term 09/11/92] (See above) [COR LD]
DANNY PETRARCA, Former Chief of Police, West Warwick, RI defendant	Peter A. Meyer, Esq. (See above) [COR LD NTC] Warren C. Nighswander, Esq. [term 09/11/92] (See above) [COR LD]
UNNAMED WEST WARWICK POLICE OFFICERS defendant	Peter A. Meyer, Esq. (See above) [COR LD NTC] Warren C. Nighswander, Esq. [term 09/11/92] (See above) [COR LD]

WILLIAM FIELD
defendant
[term 07/31/92]

Gordon A. Rehnborg, Jr., Esq.
[term 07/31/92]
[COR LD NTC]
Wiggin & Nourie
PO Box 808
Manchester, NH 03105
669-2211

RHODE ISLAND BAR ASSOCIATION
defendant

Brian T. McDonough, Esq.
[COR LD NTC]
Yakovakis, McDonough & Lindh, P.A.
67 Central Street
Manchester, NH 03101
623-9700

Seth Bowerman
[COR LD NTC]
Hanson, Curran, Parks and Whitman
146 Westminster Street
Providence, RI 02903-2218
(401) 421-2154

5/21/90	--	CASE REFERRED to Judge Shane Devine from DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND (cmd) [Entry date 02/19/91]
5/21/90	--	CASE TRANSFERRED from the District of Rhode Island due to recusal of Rhode Island judges (cmd) [Entry date 02/19/91]
8/17/90	13	ORDER: Pla amended cmp DISMISSED; five remaining dfts are Town of W. Warwick, RI, W. Warwick P.D., W. Warwick former chief of police, unnamed W. Warwick police officers, William Field; other other dfts DISMISSED (signed by Judge Shane Devine) (cmd) [Entry date 02/19/91]
9/6/90	14	NOTICE of attorney appearance for West Warwick, West Warwick PD, Danny Petrarca, West Warwick Offrs by Warren C. Nighswander (cmd) [Entry date 02/19/91]
12/7/90	17	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky, to Proceed in Forma Pauperis as to printing and mail costs (cmd) [Entry date 02/19/91]
12/7/90	--	Document #17 follows the referral number in Magistrate Judge Barry's Order of 2/19/91, though it is out of sequence chronologically (cmd) [Entry date 02/19/91]
12/26/90	15	NOTICE of attorney appearance for William Field by Gordon A. Rehnborg Jr. (cmd) [Entry date 02/19/91]
2/19/91	18	ORDER CASE CONSOLIDATED WITH C.88-2000-D; granting [17-1] motion to Proceed in Forma Pauperis as to printing and mail costs as outlined, set Amended Pleadings deadline to 3/22/91 for amended complaint, set Pretrial Conference for 11:00 4/19/91 at the USDC, Rhode Island, courtroom 110; only five dft from 90-2000-D shall appear (signed by Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr. (cmd) [Edit date 02/26/91]
2/19/91	19	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #3 in C.88-2000-D) MOTION by John J. Staradumsky, for Temporary Restraining Order and Protective Order with memorandum (cmd) [Entry date 02/26/91]
2/19/91	20	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #14 in C.88-2000-D) OBJECTION to [19-1] motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Protective Order by Federal Defendants (cmd) [Entry date 02/26/91]
2/19/91	21	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #27 in C.88-2000-D) OBJECTION to [19-1] motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Protective Order by UPS (cmd) [Entry date 02/26/91]
2/19/91	22	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #35 in C.88-2000-D) MOTION by John J. Staradumsky, to Stay in State Courts with memorandum (cmd) [Entry date 02/26/91]

2/19/91	23	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #23 in C.88-2000-D) Petition by John J. Staradumsky, for Writ of Mandamus (cmd) [Entry date 02/26/91]
2/19/91	24	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #41 in C.88-2000-D) OBJECTION by City of Providence to [22-1] motion to Stay in State Courts (cmd) [Entry date 02/26/91]
2/19/91	--	Consolidated Lead Case (cmd) [Entry date 02/26/91]
3/25/91	25	NOTICE OF APPEAL by John J. Staradumsky . File stamped copies to all parties with Appeal Information Sheet; certified copy of docket, copy of order/opinion/judgment, Appeal Information Sheet to CCA. (cmd) [Entry date 07/20/92] [Edit date 07/22/92]
4/3/91	26	MOTION by West Warwick, West Warwick PD, Danny Petrarca, West Warwick Offrs, to Dismiss with memorandum; (cmd) [Entry date 04/04/91] [Edit date 07/22/92]
4/11/91	27	RESPONSE (by non-part Almacs, Inc.) in opposition to [25-1] motion to Dismiss (cmd) [Entry date 07/20/92]
4/15/91	28	OBJECTION by John J. Staradumsky to [25-1] motion to Dismiss (cmd) [Entry date 06/14/91] [Edit date 07/22/92]
5/1/91	29	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky to Proceed in Forma Pauperis on appeal (cmd) [Entry date 07/20/92]
5/21/91	30	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky, for Order of Clarification Follow-up deadline 6/10/91 (jgb) [Edit date 07/22/92]
6/12/91	31	ORDER: magistrate judge's order of 2/19/91 affirmed in all respects; plaintiff's petition for leave to appeal [26-1] motion for Order of Clarification denied; all defendants except five named defendants in lead case are dismissed; granting [25-1] motion to Dismiss; denying [22-1] motion to Stay in State Courts denying [19-1] motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Protective Order; only violations of 28 U.S.C. Section 1983 remain viable in this action (signed by Judge Shane Devine) (cmd) [Entry date 06/14/91] [Edit date 07/22/92]
6/17/91	32	NOTICE of attorney appearance for dismissed party, Rhode Island Bar Association (C.88-2000-D) by Seth E. Bowerman, Esq. (cmd) [Entry date 07/24/91] [Edit date 07/22/92]
7/9/91	--	Deadline set for case review re appeal; set Miscellaneous deadline for 9/2/91 (cmd)
8/8/91	33	NOTICE of attorney appearance by Ovide Lamontagne re Catholic Church (notice only) (cmd) [Entry date 08/09/91] [Edit date 07/22/92]

8/21/91	34	MOTION by non-parties K-Mart, et al for Judgment on the Pleadings with memorandum (cmd) [Entry date 07/22/92]
9/11/91	35	MOTION by William Field for Summary Judgment with memorandum (cmd) [Entry date 07/22/92]
9/12/91	36	OPINION of CCA Re: [31-1] appeal by John J. Staradumsky, court has no jurisdiction over interlocutory appeal; appeal has since been dismissed for lack of jurisdiction; motion is moot; petition for mandamus (filed directly w/CCA) denied as moot. (cmd) [Entry date 07/22/92]
9/30/91	37	MANDATE OF CCA Re: [31-1] appeal by John J. Staradumsky; captioned appeal is dismissed for failure to prosecute. (cmd) [Entry date 07/22/92]
10/10/91	38	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky for Leave to File late objection to motion for summary judgment (cmd) [Entry date 07/22/92]
10/17/91	39	OBJECTION by William Field to [38-1] motion for Leave to File late objection to motion for summary judgment (cmd) [Entry date 07/22/92]
11/6/91	40	NOTICE of attorney appearance by Rhode Island Bar Assn - non party (cmd) [Entry date 07/22/92]
1/6/92	41	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky for Leave to File/reinstate pleadings change of venue/transfer (cmd) [Entry date 07/22/92]
1/13/92	42	OBJECTION by William Field to motion for change of venue/transfer to another district (leave to file motion not yet granted by court) (cmd) [Entry date 07/22/92]
1/17/92	43	OBJECTION by West Warwick Offrs, Danny Petrarca, West Warwick PD, West Warwick to motion to change venue/transfer to another district (motion for leave to file not yet granted for the above motion) (cmd) [Entry date 07/22/92]
7/29/92	--	RULE 11 ORDER granting [38-1] motion for Leave to File late objection to motion for summary judgment (cmd)
7/29/92	44	OBJECTION by John J. Staradumsky to [35-1] motion for Summary Judgment with memorandum (cmd)
7/29/92	--	ENDORSED ORDER mooting [34-1] motion for Judgment on the Pleadings as order of SD dated 6/12/91 and opinion and order of CCA of 9/12/91 and 9/30/92 uphold dismissal of all defendants except those outlined in 6/12/91 order (signed by Clerk James R. Starr) (cmd) [Entry date 07/30/92]

7/30/92	--	File to SD for ruling on summary judgment motion and motion for change of venue/transfer (cmd)
7/31/92	45	ORDER granting [41-1] motion for Leave to File/reinstate pleadings change of venue/transfer and denying said motion; granting [35-1] motion for Summary Judgment; mooting [29-1] motion to Proceed in Forma Pauperis on appeal as appeal was dismissed (signed by Chief Judge Shane Devine) (cmd) [Entry date 08/03/92]
7/31/92	46	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky to Transfer Case, or to Change Venue (allowed and denied by order of 7/31/92/#45) (cmd) [Entry date 08/03/92]
8/11/92	--	FURTHER PRETRIAL CONFERENCE set at 11:00 9/2/92 before Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr. (dae)
9/2/92	47	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky to Continue Pretrial Conference set for 9/2/92 with assent (jar)
9/2/92	48	ORDER granting [47-1] motion to Continue Pretrial Conference set for 9/2/92 (signed by Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr.) (jar) [Entry date 09/03/92]
9/3/92	--	CASE NO LONGER REFERRED TO William H. Barry, Jr. (cmd)
9/3/92	--	FURTHER PRETRIAL CONFERENCE set at 11:00 11/17/92 before Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr. (dae)
9/11/92	49	NOTICE of attorney appearance (substitution) for West Warwick Offrs, Danny Petrarca, West Warwick PD, West Warwick by Peter A. Meyer (in place of W. Nighswander) (prk) [Entry date 09/14/92]
11/11/92	--	CASE reassigned to Judge Paul J. Barbadoro . Reassignment of caseload due to appointment of new judges. (dcap)
11/18/92	--	PRETRIAL CONFERENCE resetting/continuing to 9:00 12/22/92 before Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr. (dae)
12/22/92	--	FURTHER PRETRIAL CONFERENCE held before Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr. Taped S-647: 0-178. Pltf pro se; Peter Meyer and Ovide Lamontagne, Esqs. (dae) [Entry date 01/04/93] [Edit date 01/06/93]
12/23/92	50	MOTION by RI Bar Association for Seth Bowerman to Appear Pro Hac Vice; Objection to Motion Deadline 1/12/93 (jar) [Entry date 01/07/93]
12/30/92	51	PRETRIAL order filed, set dispositive Motion Filing deadline 7/1/93, set Discovery deadline to 7/1/93, set Pretrial deadline for 7/15/93 (signed by Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr.) (jar) [Entry date 01/07/93]

1/14/93	--	RULE 11 ORDER granting [50-1] motion for Seth Bowerman to Appear Pro Hac Vice (jar)
2/24/93	52	Disclosure of experts by plaintiff John J. Staradumsky (jar) [Entry date 02/25/93]
3/12/93	53	Order Pleading Refused: RI Bar Assoc's motion for entry of final judgment; no certificate of service; no concurrence (signed by Judge Paul J. Barbadoro) (jar)
4/5/93	54	Order Pleading Refused: RI Bar Assoc's motion for entry of final judgment; no concurrence (signed by Judge Paul J. Barbadoro) (jar) [Entry date 04/06/93]
4/19/93	55	MOTION by RI Bar Association for Entry of Final Judgmemt w/partial assent with memorandum; Objection to Motion Deadline 5/10/93 (jar) [Entry date 04/21/93]
5/6/93	56	OBJECTION by John J. Staradumsky to [55-1] motion for Entry of Final Judgmemt w/partial assent (jar)
5/10/93	57	MOTION by West Warwick Offrs, Danny Petrarca, West Warwick PD to Compel Answers to Interrogatories; Objection to Motion Deadline 5/31/93 (jar)
5/24/93	58	MOTION by West Warwick Offrs, Danny Petrarca, West Warwick PD, West Warwick, RI to Extend Time for Discovery to 8/16/93; PTI: 8/31/93 w/assent (jar) [Entry date 06/02/93]
6/2/93	--	RULE 11 ORDER granting [58-1] motion to Extend Time for Discovery to 8/16/93; PTI: 8/31/93 w/assent, reset Discovery deadline to 8/16/93, reset Pretrial material deadline for 8/31/93 (jar)
6/8/93	--	Motion(s) referred: [57-1] motion to Compel Answers to Interrogatories referred to Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr. (jar)
6/9/93	59	ORDER granting [57-1] motion to Compel Answers to Interrogatories (signed by Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr.) (jar) [Entry date 06/10/93]

FD-36 (Rev. 11-17-88)

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:
- ☐ Teletype
- ☐ Facsimile
- ☒ AIRTEL

PRECEDENCE:
- ☐ Immediate
- ☐ Priority
- ☐ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:
- ☐ TOP SECRET
- ☐ SECRET
- ☐ CONFIDENTIAL
- ☐ UNCLAS E F T O
- ☐ UNCLAS

Date 11/12/93

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI
(ATTN: [redacted] LEGAL COUNSEL DIVISION)

FROM : SAC, BOSTON (197-211) (P) (CONCORD RA/C-4)

SUBJECT : JOHN J. STARADUMSKY vs. RONALD REAGAN;
ET AL;
U.S. DISTRICT COURT,
DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE,
CIVIL ACTION NUMBER 90-CV-2000D

b6

Enclosed for the Bureau is one copy of the docket sheet obtained on 11/10/93.

On 11/10/93, Mrs. [redacted], U.S. District Court, Clerk's Office, Concord, New Hampshire, provided a copy of the docket sheet concerning captioned matter, which is enclosed.

2-Bureau (Enc. 1)
2-Boston
EBG:blsb
(4)

197-211-49

SEARCHED
SERIALIZED
INDEXED
FILED

1*

Approved: _____ Transmitted _____ (Number) (Time) Per _____

U.S. District Court
U. S. District Court of New Hampshire (Concord)

CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 90-CV-2000

Staradumsky v. West Warwick, et al
Assigned to: Judge Paul J. Barbadoro
Demand: $0,000
Lead Docket: None
Dkt # in Dist RI : is 87cv0473T

Filed: 05/21/90
Jury demand: Plaintiff
Nature of Suit: 440
Jurisdiction: Federal Question

Cause: 42:1983 Civil Rights Act

JOHN J. STARADUMSKY plaintiff	John J. Staradumsky [NTC] [PRO SE] PO Box 316 Mapleville, RI 02839
v.	
TOWN OF WEST WARWICK, RI defendant	Peter A. Meyer, Esq. [COR LD NTC] Warren C. Nighswander, Esq. [term 09/11/92] [COR LD NTC] Sulloway & Hollis PO Box 1256 Concord, NH 03302-1256 224-2341
WEST WARWICK, RI, POLICE DEPARTMENT defendant	Peter A. Meyer, Esq. (See above) [COR LD NTC] Warren C. Nighswander, Esq. [term 09/11/92] (See above) [COR LD]
DANNY PETRARCA, Former Chief of Police, West Warwick, RI defendant	Peter A. Meyer, Esq. (See above) [COR LD NTC] Warren C. Nighswander, Esq. [term 09/11/92] (See above) [COR LD]
UNNAMED WEST WARWICK POLICE OFFICERS defendant	Peter A. Meyer, Esq. (See above) [COR LD NTC] Warren C. Nighswander, Esq. [term 09/11/92] (See above) [COR LD]

WILLIAM FIELD
defendant
[term 07/31/92]

Gordon A. Rehnborg, Jr., Esq.
[term 07/31/92]
[COR LD NTC]
Wiggin & Nourie
PO Box 808
Manchester, NH 03105
669-2211

RHODE ISLAND BAR ASSOCIATION
defendant

Brian T. McDonough, Esq.
[COR LD NTC]
Yakovakis, McDonough & Lindh, P.A.
67 Central Street
Manchester, NH 03101
623-9700

Seth Bowerman
[COR LD NTC]
Hanson, Curran, Parks and Whitman
146 Westminster Street
Providence, RI 02903-2218
(401) 421-2154

Proceedings include all events.
1:90cv2000 Staradumsky v. West Warwick, et al

5/21/90	--	CASE REFERRED to Judge Shane Devine from DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND (cmd) [Entry date 02/19/91]
5/21/90	--	CASE TRANSFERRED from the District of Rhode Island due to recusal of Rhode Island judges (cmd) [Entry date 02/19/91]
8/17/90	13	ORDER: Pla amended cmp DISMISSED; five remaining dfts are Town of W. Warwick, RI, W. Warwick P.D., W. Warwick former chief of police, unnamed W. Warwick police officers, William Field; other other dfts DISMISSED (signed by Judge Shane Devine) (cmd) [Entry date 02/19/91]
9/6/90	14	NOTICE of attorney appearance for West Warwick, West Warwick PD, Danny Petrarca, West Warwick Offrs by Warren C. Nighswander (cmd) [Entry date 02/19/91]
12/7/90	17	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky, to Proceed in Forma Pauperis as to printing and mail costs (cmd) [Entry date 02/19/91]
12/7/90	--	Document #17 follows the referral number in Magistrate Judge Barry's Order of 2/19/91, though it is out of sequence chronologically (cmd) [Entry date 02/19/91]
12/26/90	15	NOTICE of attorney appearance for William Field by Gordon A. Rehnborg Jr. (cmd) [Entry date 02/19/91]
2/19/91	18	ORDER CASE CONSOLIDATED WITH C.88-2000-D; granting [17-1] motion to Proceed in Forma Pauperis as to printing and mail costs as outlined, set Amended Pleadings deadline to 3/22/91 for amended complaint, set Pretrial Conference for 11:00 4/19/91 at the USDC, Rhode Island, courtroom 110; only five dft from 90-2000-D shall appear (signed by Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr. (cmd) [Edit date 02/26/91]
2/19/91	19	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #3 in C.88-2000-D) MOTION by John J. Staradumsky, for Temporary Restraining Order and Protective Order with memorandum (cmd) [Entry date 02/26/91]
2/19/91	20	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #14 in C.88-2000-D) OBJECTION to [19-1] motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Protective Order by Federal Defendants (cmd) [Entry date 02/26/91]
2/19/91	21	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #27 in C.88-2000-D) OBJECTION to [19-1] motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Protective Order by UPS (cmd) [Entry date 02/26/91]
2/19/91	22	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #35 in C.88-2000-D) MOTION by John J. Staradumsky, to Stay in State Courts with memorandum (cmd) [Entry date 02/26/91]

2/19/91	23	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #23 in C.88-2000-D) Petition by John J. Staradumsky, for Writ of Mandamus (cmd) [Entry date 02/26/91]
2/19/91	24	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #41 in C.88-2000-D) OBJECTION by City of Providence to [22-1] motion to Stay in State Courts (cmd) [Entry date 02/26/91]
2/19/91	--	Consolidated Lead Case (cmd) [Entry date 02/26/91]
3/25/91	25	NOTICE OF APPEAL by John J. Staradumsky . File stamped copies to all parties with Appeal Information Sheet; certified copy of docket, copy of order/opinion/judgment, Appeal Information Sheet to CCA. (cmd) [Entry date 07/20/92] [Edit date 07/22/92]
4/3/91	26	MOTION by West Warwick, West Warwick PD, Danny Petrarca, West Warwick Offrs, to Dismiss with memorandum; (cmd) [Entry date 04/04/91] [Edit date 07/22/92]
4/11/91	27	RESPONSE (by non-part Almacs, Inc.) in opposition to [25-1] motion to Dismiss (cmd) [Entry date 07/20/92]
4/15/91	28	OBJECTION by John J. Staradumsky to [25-1] motion to Dismiss (cmd) [Entry date 06/14/91] [Edit date 07/22/92]
5/1/91	29	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky to Proceed in Forma Pauperis on appeal (cmd) [Entry date 07/20/92]
5/21/91	30	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky, for Order of Clarification Follow-up deadline 6/10/91 (jgb) [Edit date 07/22/92]
6/12/91	31	ORDER: magistrate judge's order of 2/19/91 affirmed in all respects; plaintiff's petition for leave to appeal [26-1] motion for Order of Clarification denied; all defendants except five named defendants in lead case are dismissed; granting [25-1] motion to Dismiss; denying [22-1] motion to Stay in State Courts denying [19-1] motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Protective Order; only violations of 28 U.S.C. Section 1983 remain viable in this action (signed by Judge Shane Devine) (cmd) [Entry date 06/14/91] [Edit date 07/22/92]
6/17/91	32	NOTICE of attorney appearance for dismissed party, Rhode Island Bar Association (C.88-2000-D) by Seth E. Bowerman, Esq. (cmd) [Entry date 07/24/91] [Edit date 07/22/92]
7/9/91	--	Deadline set for case review re appeal; set Miscellaneous deadline for 9/2/91 (cmd)
8/8/91	33	NOTICE of attorney appearance by Ovide Lamontagne re Catholic Church (notice only) (cmd) [Entry date 08/09/91] [Edit date 07/22/92]

8/21/91	34	MOTION by non-parties K-Mart, et al for Judgment on the Pleadings with memorandum (cmd) [Entry date 07/22/92]
9/11/91	35	MOTION by William Field for Summary Judgment with memorandum (cmd) [Entry date 07/22/92]
9/12/91	36	OPINION of CCA Re: [31-1] appeal by John J. Staradumsky, court has no jurisdiction over interlocutory appeal; appeal has since been dismissed for lack of jurisdiction; motion is moot; petition for mandamus (filed directly w/CCA) denied as moot. (cmd) [Entry date 07/22/92]
9/30/91	37	MANDATE OF CCA Re: [31-1] appeal by John J. Staradumsky; captioned appeal is dismissed for failure to prosecute. (cmd) [Entry date 07/22/92]
10/10/91	38	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky for Leave to File late objection to motion for summary judgment (cmd) [Entry date 07/22/92]
10/17/91	39	OBJECTION by William Field to [38-1] motion for Leave to File late objection to motion for summary judgment (cmd) [Entry date 07/22/92]
11/6/91	40	NOTICE of attorney appearance by Rhode Island Bar Assn - non party (cmd) [Entry date 07/22/92]
1/6/92	41	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky for Leave to File/reinstate pleadings change of venue/transfer (cmd) [Entry date 07/22/92]
1/13/92	42	OBJECTION by William Field to motion for change of venue/transfer to another district (leave to file motion not yet granted by court) (cmd) [Entry date 07/22/92]
1/17/92	43	OBJECTION by West Warwick Offrs, Danny Petrarca, West Warwick PD, West Warwick to motion to change venue/transfer to another district (motion for leave to file not yet granted for the above motion) (cmd) [Entry date 07/22/92]
7/29/92	--	RULE 11 ORDER granting [38-1] motion for Leave to File late objection to motion for summary judgment (cmd)
7/29/92	44	OBJECTION by John J. Staradumsky to [35-1] motion for Summary Judgment with memorandum (cmd)
7/29/92	--	ENDORSED ORDER mooting [34-1] motion for Judgment on the Pleadings as order of SD dated 6/12/91 and opinion and order of CCA of 9/12/91 and 9/30/92 uphold dismissal of all defendants except those outlined in 6/12/91 order (signed by Clerk James R. Starr) (cmd) [Entry date 07/30/92]

7/30/92	--	File to SD for ruling on summary judgment motion and motion for change of venue/transfer (cmd)
7/31/92	45	ORDER granting [41-1] motion for Leave to File/reinstate pleadings change of venue/transfer and denying said motion; granting [35-1] motion for Summary Judgment; mooting [29-1] motion to Proceed in Forma Pauperis on appeal as appeal was dismissed (signed by Chief Judge Shane Devine) (cmd) [Entry date 08/03/92]
7/31/92	46	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky to Transfer Case, or to Change Venue (allowed and denied by order of 7/31/92/#45) (cmd) [Entry date 08/03/92]
8/11/92	--	FURTHER PRETRIAL CONFERENCE set at 11:00 9/2/92 before Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr. (dae)
9/2/92	47	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky to Continue Pretrial Conference set for 9/2/92 with assent (jar)
9/2/92	48	ORDER granting [47-1] motion to Continue Pretrial Conference set for 9/2/92 (signed by Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr.) (jar) [Entry date 09/03/92]
9/3/92	--	CASE NO LONGER REFERRED TO William H. Barry, Jr. (cmd)
9/3/92	--	FURTHER PRETRIAL CONFERENCE set at 11:00 11/17/92 before Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr. (dae)
9/11/92	49	NOTICE of attorney appearance (substitution) for West Warwick Offrs, Danny Petrarca, West Warwick PD, West Warwick by Peter A. Meyer (in place of W. Nighswander) (prk) [Entry date 09/14/92]
11/11/92	--	CASE reassigned to Judge Paul J. Barbadoro . Reassignment of caseload due to appointment of new judges. (dcap)
11/18/92	--	PRETRIAL CONFERENCE resetting/continuing to 9:00 12/22/92 before Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr. (dae)
12/22/92	--	FURTHER PRETRIAL CONFERENCE held before Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr. Taped S-647: 0-178. Pltf pro se; Peter Meyer and Ovide Lamontagne, Esqs. (dae) [Entry date 01/04/93] [Edit date 01/06/93]
12/23/92	50	MOTION by RI Bar Association for Seth Bowerman to Appear Pro Hac Vice; Objection to Motion Deadline 1/12/93 (jar) [Entry date 01/07/93]
12/30/92	51	PRETRIAL order filed, set dispositive Motion Filing deadline 7/1/93, set Discovery deadline to 7/1/93, set Pretrial deadline for 7/15/93 (signed by Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr.) (jar) [Entry date 01/07/93]

1/14/93	--	RULE 11 ORDER granting [50-1] motion for Seth Bowerman to Appear Pro Hac Vice (jar)
2/24/93	52	Disclosure of experts by plaintiff John J. Staradumsky (jar) [Entry date 02/25/93]
3/12/93	53	Order Pleading Refused: RI Bar Assoc's motion for entry of final judgment; no certificate of service; no concurrence (signed by Judge Paul J. Barbadoro) (jar)
4/5/93	54	Order Pleading Refused: RI Bar Assoc's motion for entry of final judgment; no concurrence (signed by Judge Paul J. Barbadoro) (jar) [Entry date 04/06/93]
4/19/93	55	MOTION by RI Bar Association for Entry of Final Judgmemt w/partial assent with memorandum; Objection to Motion Deadline 5/10/93 (jar) [Entry date 04/21/93]
5/6/93	56	OBJECTION by John J. Staradumsky to [55-1] motion for Entry of Final Judgmemt w/partial assent (jar)
5/10/93	57	MOTION by West Warwick Offrs, Danny Petrarca, West Warwick PD to Compel Answers to Interrogatories; Objection to Motion Deadline 5/31/93 (jar)
5/24/93	58	MOTION by West Warwick Offrs, Danny Petrarca, West Warwick PD, West Warwick, RI to Extend Time for Discovery to 8/16/93; PTI: 8/31/93 w/assent (jar) [Entry date 06/02/93]
6/2/93	--	RULE 11 ORDER granting [58-1] motion to Extend Time for Discovery to 8/16/93; PTI: 8/31/93 w/assent, reset Discovery deadline to 8/16/93, reset Pretrial material deadline for 8/31/93 (jar)
6/8/93	--	Motion(s) referred: [57-1] motion to Compel Answers to Interrogatories referred to Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr. (jar)
6/9/93	59	ORDER granting [57-1] motion to Compel Answers to Interrogatories (signed by Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr.) (jar) [Entry date 06/10/93]
8/31/93	60	PRETRIAL MATERIAL filed by West Warwick Offrs, Danny Petrarca, West Warwick PD, West Warwick, RI . (jar) [Entry date 09/01/93]
8/31/93	61	MOTION by West Warwick Offrs, Danny Petrarca, West Warwick PD, West Warwick, RI for Summary Judgment with memorandum; Objection to Motion Deadline 9/20/93 (jar) [Entry date 09/01/93]

9/16/93	62	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky to Compel Defendants to Produce Documents referred to in their motion for summary judgment with memorandum; Objection to Motion Deadline 10/6/93 (jar) [Entry date 09/20/93]
9/16/93	63	OBJECTION by John J. Staradumsky to [61-1] motion for Summary Judgment (jar) [Entry date 09/20/93]
9/22/93	64	OBJECTION by West Warwick Offrs, Danny Petrarca, West Warwick PD, West Warwick, RI to [62-1] motion to Compel Defendants to Produce Documents referred to in their motion for summary judgment (jar)
9/22/93	--	Motion(s) referred: [62-1] motion to Compel Defendants to Produce Documents referred to in their motion for summary judgment referred to Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr. (jar)
9/23/93	65	ORDER denying [62-1] motion to Compel Defendants to Produce Documents referred to in their motion for summary judgment as to No.s 1 & 3; moot as to No.2. (signed by Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr. (prk) [Entry date 10/01/93]
9/29/93	66	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky for Leave to File Pretrial statement "out of time/if out of time" with pretrial statement attached; Objection to Motion Deadline 10/19/93 (mm) [Entry date 10/04/93]
10/5/93	67	REPLY by defendants to objection to [61-1] motion for Summary Judgment w/attachment (mm)
10/12/93	68	OBJECTION by West Warwick Offrs, Danny Petrarca, West Warwick PD, West Warwick, RI to [66-1] motion for Leave to File Pretrial statement "out of time/if out of time" (kn) [Entry date 10/13/93]

Routing Slip
FD-4 (Rev. 8-8-89)

Date 3/17

To: ☐ Director
Att.:

FILE #

☐ SAC
☑ ASAC
☐ Supv.
☐ Agent
☐ OSM
☐ Rotor #
☐ Steno
☐ Typist
☐ M
Room

Title

C-1 Rotor

RE:

☐ Acknowledge
☐ Assign ☐ Reassign
☐ Bring file
☐ Call me
☐ Correct
☐ Deadline
☐ Delinquent
☐ Discontinue
☐ Expedite
☐ File

☐ For Information
☐ Handle
☐ Initial & return
☐ Leads need attention
☐ Mark for indexing
☐ Open case
☐ Prepare lead cards
☐ Prepare tickler
☐ Recharge file ☐ serial
☐
☐ Send to

☐ Return assignment card
☐ Return file ☐ serial
☐
☐ Return with action taken
☐ Return with explanation
☐ Search and return
☐ See me
☐ Type

case closed by C-4 SSA.

b6

Closing serial ...
Putting this goes to you.

Thanks,

SAC

See reverse side

Office

FPI-RBK

FD-36 (Rev. 11-17-88)

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:
- ☐ Teletype
- ☐ Facsimile
- ☒ AIRTEL

PRECEDENCE:
- ☐ Immediate
- ☐ Priority
- ☐ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:
- ☐ TOP SECRET
- ☐ SECRET
- ☐ CONFIDENTIAL
- ☐ UNCLAS E F T O
- ☐ UNCLAS

Date 3/16/94

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI
(ATTN: [redacted] LEGAL COUNSEL DIVISION)

FROM : SAC, BOSTON (197-211) (C) (CONCORD RA/C-4)

SUBJECT : JOHN L. STARADUMSKY vs. RONALD REAGAN;
ET AL;
U.S. DISTRICT COURT,
DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE,
CIVIL ACTION NUMBER 90-CV-2000D

b6

Re Boston airtel to Director, dated 11/12/93.

Enclosed for the Bureau is one copy of the docket sheet obtained on 3/15/94.

On 3/15/94, [redacted] Clerk, U.S. District Court, Clerk's Office, Concord, New Hampshire, provided a copy of the docket sheet concerning captioned matter, which is enclosed.

Inasmuch as the case has been closed in the U.S. District Court, Concord, New Hampshire, having found for the defendants on 3/14/94, no further investigation is being conducted by the Boston Division, and this case is being closed.

3-23-94

Closed 3/17/94

197-211-50

SEARCHED
SERIALIZED
INDEXED
FILED

2-Bureau (Enc. 1)
1-Boston
EBG:bls
(3)

1*

Approved: _____ Transmitted _____ (Number) (Time) Per _____

CLOSED

U.S. District Court
U. S. District Court of New Hampshire (Concord)

CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 90-CV-2000

Staradumsky v. West Warwick, et al
Assigned to: Judge Paul J. Barbadoro
Demand: $0,000
Lead Docket: None
Dkt # in Dist RI : is 87cv0473T

Filed: 05/21/90
Jury demand: Plaintiff
Nature of Suit: 440
Jurisdiction: Federal Question

Cause: 42:1983 Civil Rights Act

JOHN J. STARADUMSKY plaintiff	John J. Staradumsky [NTC] [PRO SE] PO Box 316 Mapleville, RI 02839
v.	
TOWN OF WEST WARWICK, RI defendant	Peter A. Meyer, Esq. [COR LD NTC] Warren C. Nighswander, Esq. [term 09/11/92] [COR LD NTC] Sulloway & Hollis PO Box 1256 Concord, NH 03302-1256 224-2341
WEST WARWICK, RI, POLICE DEPARTMENT defendant	Peter A. Meyer, Esq. (See above) [COR LD NTC] Warren C. Nighswander, Esq. [term 09/11/92] (See above) [COR LD]
DANNY PETRARCA, Former Chief of Police, West Warwick, RI defendant	Peter A. Meyer, Esq. (See above) [COR LD NTC] Warren C. Nighswander, Esq. [term 09/11/92] (See above) [COR LD]
UNNAMED WEST WARWICK POLICE	Peter A. Meyer, Esq.

OFFICERS defendant	(See above) [COR LD NTC] Warren C. Nighswander, Esq. [term 09/11/92] (See above) [COR LD]
WILLIAM FIELD defendant [term 07/31/92]	Gordon A. Rehnborg, Jr., Esq. [term 07/31/92] [COR LD NTC] Wiggin & Nourie 146 Main Street Nashua, NH 03060 889-2212
RHODE ISLAND BAR ASSOCIATION defendant	Brian T. McDonough, Esq. [COR LD NTC] McDonough & Lindh, PA 1361 Elm St., Suite 408 Manchester, NH 03101-1323 623-9700 Seth Bowerman [COR LD NTC] Hanson, Curran, Parks and Whitman 146 Westminster Street Providence, RI 02903-2218 (401) 421-2154

5/21/90	--	CASE REFERRED to Judge Shane Devine from DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND (cmd) [Entry date 02/19/91]
5/21/90	--	CASE TRANSFERRED from the District of Rhode Island due to recusal of Rhode Island judges (cmd) [Entry date 02/19/91]
8/17/90	13	ORDER: Pla amended cmp DISMISSED; five remaining dfts are Town of W. Warwick, RI, W. Warwick P.D., W. Warwick former chief of police, unnamed W. Warwick police officers, William Field; other other dfts DISMISSED (signed by Judge Shane Devine) (cmd) [Entry date 02/19/91]
9/6/90	14	NOTICE of attorney appearance for West Warwick, West Warwick PD, Danny Petrarca, West Warwick Offrs by Warren C. Nighswander (cmd) [Entry date 02/19/91]
12/7/90	17	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky, to Proceed in Forma Pauperis as to printing and mail costs (cmd) [Entry date 02/19/91]
12/7/90	--	Document #17 follows the referral number in Magistrate Judge Barry's Order of 2/19/91, though it is out of sequence chronologically (cmd) [Entry date 02/19/91]
12/26/90	15	NOTICE of attorney appearance for William Field by Gordon A. Rehnborg Jr. (cmd) [Entry date 02/19/91]
2/19/91	18	ORDER CASE CONSOLIDATED WITH C.88-2000-D; granting [17-1] motion to Proceed in Forma Pauperis as to printing and mail costs as outlined, set Amended Pleadings deadline to 3/22/91 for amended complaint, set Pretrial Conference for 11:00 4/19/91 at the USDC, Rhode Island, courtroom 110; only five dft from 90-2000-D shall appear (signed by Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr. (cmd) [Edit date 02/26/91]
2/19/91	19	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #3 in C.88-2000-D) MOTION by John J. Staradumsky, for Temporary Restraining Order and Protective Order with memorandum (cmd) [Entry date 02/26/91]
2/19/91	20	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #14 in C.88-2000-D) OBJECTION to [19-1] motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Protective Order by Federal Defendants (cmd) [Entry date 02/26/91]
2/19/91	21	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #27 in C.88-2000-D) OBJECTION to [19-1] motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Protective Order by UPS (cmd) [Entry date 02/26/91]
2/19/91	22	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #35 in C.88-2000-D) MOTION by John J. Staradumsky, to Stay in State Courts with memorandum (cmd) [Entry date 02/26/91]

2/19/91	23	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #23 in C.88-2000-D) Petition by John J. Staradumsky, for Writ of Mandamus (cmd) [Entry date 02/26/91]
2/19/91	24	TRANSFERRED PLEADING - (formerly #41 in C.88-2000-D) OBJECTION by City of Providence to [22-1] motion to Stay in State Courts (cmd) [Entry date 02/26/91]
2/19/91	--	Consolidated Lead Case (cmd) [Entry date 02/26/91]
3/25/91	25	NOTICE OF APPEAL by John J. Staradumsky . File stamped copies to all parties with Appeal Information Sheet; certified copy of docket, copy of order/opinion/judgment, Appeal Information Sheet to CCA. (cmd) [Entry date 07/20/92] [Edit date 07/22/92]
4/3/91	26	MOTION by West Warwick, West Warwick PD, Danny Petrarca, West Warwick Offrs, to Dismiss with memorandum; (cmd) [Entry date 04/04/91] [Edit date 07/22/92]
4/11/91	27	RESPONSE (by non-part Almacs, Inc.) in opposition to [25-1] motion to Dismiss (cmd) [Entry date 07/20/92]
4/15/91	28	OBJECTION by John J. Staradumsky to [25-1] motion to Dismiss (cmd) [Entry date 06/14/91] [Edit date 07/22/92]
5/1/91	29	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky to Proceed in Forma Pauperis on appeal (cmd) [Entry date 07/20/92]
5/21/91	30	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky, for Order of Clarification Follow-up deadline 6/10/91 (jgb) [Edit date 07/22/92]
6/12/91	31	ORDER: magistrate judge's order of 2/19/91 affirmed in all respects; plaintiff's petition for leave to appeal [26-1] motion for Order of Clarification denied; all defendants except five named defendants in lead case are dismissed; granting [25-1] motion to Dismiss; denying [22-1] motion to Stay in State Courts denying [19-1] motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Protective Order; only violations of 28 U.S.C. Section 1983 remain viable in this action (signed by Judge Shane Devine) (cmd) [Entry date 06/14/91] [Edit date 07/22/92]
6/17/91	32	NOTICE of attorney appearance for dismissed party, Rhode Island Bar Association (C.88-2000-D) by Seth E. Bowerman, Esq. (cmd) [Entry date 07/24/91] [Edit date 07/22/92]
7/9/91	--	Deadline set for case review re appeal; set Miscellaneous deadline for 9/2/91 (cmd)
8/8/91	33	NOTICE of attorney appearance by Ovide Lamontagne re Catholic Church (notice only) (cmd) [Entry date 08/09/91] [Edit date 07/22/92]

8/21/91	34	MOTION by non-parties K-Mart, et al for Judgment on the Pleadings with memorandum (cmd) [Entry date 07/22/92]
9/11/91	35	MOTION by William Field for Summary Judgment with memorandum (cmd) [Entry date 07/22/92]
9/12/91	36	OPINION of CCA Re: [31-1] appeal by John J. Staradumsky, court has no jurisdiction over interlocutory appeal; appeal has since been dismissed for lack of jurisdiction; motion is moot; petition for mandamus (filed directly w/CCA) denied as moot. (cmd) [Entry date 07/22/92]
9/30/91	37	MANDATE OF CCA Re: [31-1] appeal by John J. Staradumsky; captioned appeal is dismissed for failure to prosecute. (cmd) [Entry date 07/22/92]
10/10/91	38	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky for Leave to File late objection to motion for summary judgment (cmd) [Entry date 07/22/92]
10/17/91	39	OBJECTION by William Field to [38-1] motion for Leave to File late objection to motion for summary judgment (cmd) [Entry date 07/22/92]
11/6/91	40	NOTICE of attorney appearance by Rhode Island Bar Assn - non party (cmd) [Entry date 07/22/92]
1/6/92	41	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky for Leave to File/reinstate pleadings change of venue/transfer (cmd) [Entry date 07/22/92]
1/13/92	42	OBJECTION by William Field to motion for change of venue/transfer to another district (leave to file motion not yet granted by court) (cmd) [Entry date 07/22/92]
1/17/92	43	OBJECTION by West Warwick Offrs, Danny Petrarca, West Warwick PD, West Warwick to motion to change venue/transfer to another district (motion for leave to file not yet granted for the above motion) (cmd) [Entry date 07/22/92]
7/29/92	--	RULE 11 ORDER granting [38-1] motion for Leave to File late objection to motion for summary judgment (cmd)
7/29/92	44	OBJECTION by John J. Staradumsky to [35-1] motion for Summary Judgment with memorandum (cmd)
7/29/92	--	ENDORSED ORDER mooting [34-1] motion for Judgment on the Pleadings as order of SD dated 6/12/91 and opinion and order of CCA of 9/12/91 and 9/30/92 uphold dismissal of all defendants except those outlined in 6/12/91 order (signed by Clerk James R. Starr) (cmd) [Entry date 07/30/92]

7/30/92	--	File to SD for ruling on summary judgment motion and motion for change of venue/transfer (cmd)
7/31/92	45	ORDER granting [41-1] motion for Leave to File/reinstate pleadings change of venue/transfer and denying said motion; granting [35-1] motion for Summary Judgment; mooting [29-1] motion to Proceed in Forma Pauperis on appeal as appeal was dismissed (signed by Chief Judge Shane Devine) (cmd) [Entry date 08/03/92]
7/31/92	46	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky to Transfer Case, or to Change Venue (allowed and denied by order of 7/31/92/#45) (cmd) [Entry date 08/03/92]
8/11/92	--	FURTHER PRETRIAL CONFERENCE set at 11:00 9/2/92 before Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr. (dae)
9/2/92	47	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky to Continue Pretrial Conference set for 9/2/92 with assent (jar)
9/2/92	48	ORDER granting [47-1] motion to Continue Pretrial Conference set for 9/2/92 (signed by Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr.) (jar) [Entry date 09/03/92]
9/3/92	--	CASE NO LONGER REFERRED TO William H. Barry, Jr. (cmd)
9/3/92	--	FURTHER PRETRIAL CONFERENCE set at 11:00 11/17/92 before Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr. (dae)
9/11/92	49	NOTICE of attorney appearance (substitution) for West Warwick Offrs, Danny Petrarca, West Warwick PD, West Warwick by Peter A. Meyer (in place of W. Nighswander) (prk) [Entry date 09/14/92]
11/11/92	--	CASE reassigned to Judge Paul J. Barbadoro . Reassignment of caseload due to appointment of new judges. (dcap)
11/18/92	--	PRETRIAL CONFERENCE resetting/continuing to 9:00 12/22/92 before Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr. (dae)
12/22/92	--	FURTHER PRETRIAL CONFERENCE held before Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr. Taped S-647: 0-178. Pltf pro se; Peter Meyer and Ovide Lamontagne, Esqs. (dae) [Entry date 01/04/93] [Edit date 01/06/93]
12/23/92	50	MOTION by RI Bar Association for Seth Bowerman to Appear Pro Hac Vice; Objection to Motion Deadline 1/12/93 (jar) [Entry date 01/07/93]
12/30/92	51	PRETRIAL order filed, set dispositive Motion Filing deadline 7/1/93, set Discovery deadline to 7/1/93, set Pretrial deadline for 7/15/93 (signed by Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr.) (jar) [Entry date 01/07/93]

1/14/93	--	RULE 11 ORDER granting [50-1] motion for Seth Bowerman to Appear Pro Hac Vice (jar)
2/24/93	52	Disclosure of experts by plaintiff John J. Staradumsky (jar) [Entry date 02/25/93]
3/12/93	53	Order Pleading Refused: RI Bar Assoc's motion for entry of final judgment; no certificate of service; no concurrence (signed by Judge Paul J. Barbadoro) (jar)
4/5/93	54	Order Pleading Refused: RI Bar Assoc's motion for entry of final judgment; no concurrence (signed by Judge Paul J. Barbadoro) (jar) [Entry date 04/06/93]
4/19/93	55	MOTION by RI Bar Association for Entry of Final Judgmemt w/partial assent with memorandum; Objection to Motion Deadline 5/10/93 (jar) [Entry date 04/21/93]
5/6/93	56	OBJECTION by John J. Staradumsky to [55-1] motion for Entry of Final Judgmemt w/partial assent (jar)
5/10/93	57	MOTION by West Warwick Offrs, Danny Petrarca, West Warwick PD to Compel Answers to Interrogatories; Objection to Motion Deadline 5/31/93 (jar)
5/24/93	58	MOTION by West Warwick Offrs, Danny Petrarca, West Warwick PD, West Warwick, RI to Extend Time for Discovery to 8/16/93; PTI: 8/31/93 w/assent (jar) [Entry date 06/02/93]
6/2/93	--	RULE 11 ORDER granting [58-1] motion to Extend Time for Discovery to 8/16/93; PTI: 8/31/93 w/assent, reset Discovery deadline to 8/16/93, reset Pretrial material deadline for 8/31/93 (jar)
6/8/93	--	Motion(s) referred: [57-1] motion to Compel Answers to Interrogatories referred to Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr. (jar)
6/9/93	59	ORDER granting [57-1] motion to Compel Answers to Interrogatories (signed by Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr.) (jar) [Entry date 06/10/93]
8/31/93	60	PRETRIAL MATERIAL filed by West Warwick Offrs, Danny Petrarca, West Warwick PD, West Warwick, RI . (jar) [Entry date 09/01/93]
8/31/93	61	MOTION by West Warwick Offrs, Danny Petrarca, West Warwick PD, West Warwick, RI for Summary Judgment with memorandum; Objection to Motion Deadline 9/20/93 (jar) [Entry date 09/01/93]

9/16/93	62	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky to Compel Defendants to Produce Documents referred to in their motion for summary judgment with memorandum; Objection to Motion Deadline 10/6/93 (jar) [Entry date 09/20/93]
9/16/93	63	OBJECTION by John J. Staradumsky to [61-1] motion for Summary Judgment (jar) [Entry date 09/20/93]
9/22/93	64	OBJECTION by West Warwick Offrs, Danny Petrarca, West Warwick PD, West Warwick, RI to [62-1] motion to Compel Defendants to Produce Documents referred to in their motion for summary judgment (jar)
9/22/93	--	Motion(s) referred: [62-1] motion to Compel Defendants to Produce Documents referred to in their motion for summary judgment referred to Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr. (jar)
9/23/93	65	ORDER denying [62-1] motion to Compel Defendants to Produce Documents referred to in their motion for summary judgment as to No.s 1 & 3; moot as to No.2. (signed by Magistrate Judge William H. Barry Jr. (prk) [Entry date 10/01/93]
9/29/93	66	MOTION by John J. Staradumsky for Leave to File Pretrial statement "out of time/if out of time" with pretrial statement attached; Objection to Motion Deadline 10/19/93 (mm) [Entry date 10/04/93]
10/5/93	67	REPLY by defendants to objection to [61-1] motion for Summary Judgment w/attachment (mm)
10/12/93	68	OBJECTION by West Warwick Offrs, Danny Petrarca, West Warwick PD, West Warwick, RI to [66-1] motion for Leave to File Pretrial statement "out of time/if out of time" (kn) [Entry date 10/13/93]
12/8/93	69	ORDER denying [55-1] motion for Entry of Final Judgmemt w/partial assent (signed by Judge Paul J. Barbadoro) (jar) [Entry date 12/10/93]
1/13/94	70	///ORDER granting in part only w/respect to res judicata and collateral estoppel claims (plaintiff's first claim), denying in part [61-1] motion for Summary Judgment. Defts given 30 days to file motion for summary judgment re alleged detention/conspiracy; dfts given 30 days to file motion for summary judgment on remaining claims/no triable issue exists set Motion Filing deadline 2/15/94 (signed by Judge Paul J. Barbadoro) (kn) [Entry date 01/19/94]
2/11/94	71	MOTION by West Warwick Offrs, Danny Petrarca, West Warwick PD, West Warwick, RI for Summary Judgment with memorandum; Objection to Motion Deadline 3/3/94 (jar) [Entry date 02/17/94]

3/9/94	--	ENDORSED ORDER granting [71-1] motion for Summary Judgment. For the reasons seth forth in my 1/13/94 order, plaintiff has failed to demonstrate that any triable issues remain for trial. The Clerk is ordered to enter judgment for the defendants consistent with this order. (signed by Judge Paul J. Barbadoro) (jar) [Entry date 03/14/94]
3/14/94	--	Case closed--case sent to USDC-RI to enter judgment (jar)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

No Duplication Fees are charged for Deleted Page Information Sheet(s).

Total Deleted Page(s) ~ 3
Page 30 ~ Referral/Consult
Page 31 ~ Referral/Consult
Page 75 ~ Referral/Consult